

Birds and bats contribute to natural regulation of the millet head miner in tree-crop agroforestry systems

Ahmadou Sow, Djiby Seye, Emile Faye, Laure Benoit, Maxime Galan, Julien

Haran, Thierry Brévault

▶ To cite this version:

Ahmadou Sow, Djiby Seye, Emile Faye, Laure Benoit, Maxime Galan, et al.. Birds and bats contribute to natural regulation of the millet head miner in tree-crop agroforestry systems. Crop Protection, 2020, 132, pp.105127. 10.1016/j.cropro.2020.105127. hal-02558065

HAL Id: hal-02558065 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02558065

Submitted on 29 Apr 2020 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

1 Original article: Special issue Agroforestry

2 Birds and bats contribute to natural regulation of the millet head miner in tree-

3 crop agroforestry systems

- 4 Ahmadou Sow^a*, Djiby Seye^a, Emile Faye^{b,c,d}, Laure Benoit^{e,f}, Maxime Galan^{f,g}, Julien Haran^{e,f}, Thierry
- 5 Brévault^{h,i}
- 6 ^a UCAD, FST, Département de Biologie Animale, Dakar, Senegal
- 7 ^b CIRAD, UPR HortSys, Dakar, Senegal
- 8 ^c HortSys, Univ Montpellier, CIRAD, Montpellier, France
- 9 ^d ISRA, Centre pour le Développement de l'Horticulture, Dakar, Senegal
- 10 ^e CIRAD, UMR CBGP, 34398 Montpellier, France
- 11 ^fCBGP, Univ Montpellier, CIRAD, INRA, IRD, Montpellier SupAgro, Montpellier, France
- 12 ^g INRA, UMR CBGP, Montpellier, France
- 13 ^h CIRAD, UPR AIDA, Centre de recherche ISRA-IRD, Dakar, Senegal
- 14 ^{*i*} AIDA, Univ Montpellier, CIRAD, Montpellier, France

15

- 16 *Corresponding author. E-mail address: s_ahmadou@yahoo.fr (Ahmadou Sow)
- 17

18 Abstract

- 19 A better understanding of environmental factors driving natural pest regulation is a major challenge for
- 20 designing sustainable cropping systems. The objective of the present study was to assess the association
- 21 between vegetation openness in traditional tree-crop agroforestry systems in Senegal, richness and

22 abundance of vertebrates including insectivorous birds and bats, and their contribution to the natural 23 regulation of crop pests. The millet head miner (MHM), Heliocheilus albipunctella (Lepidoptera, 24 Noctuidae), a major constraint to increasing millet crop productivity in sub-Saharan Africa, was selected 25 as a model system. Ten sites separated by at least one km from each other were selected in a 100 km² 26 study area in the Peanut basin in Senegal. In each site, a pair of millet fields distant from at least 100 m 27 each was selected according to a gradient of vegetation openness within a 100-m radius buffer with sampling plot (5 x 5 m) at the center. Nine insectivorous bird and bat species were recorded in millet 28 29 fields over the 2017 cropping season and their predator status was confirmed by direct observation or 30 DNA detection in fecal samples. Grain losses were reduced when panicles were accessible to birds and bats, confirming their net contribution to pest regulation. At a local scale, tree diversity and vegetation 31 openness were important predictors of the abundance of insectivorous village weaver birds and grey-32 33 headed sparrows, respectively. Some tree species (soapberry trees and neems) indirectly contributed to 34 natural regulation of the MHM likely by providing refuges to insectivorous vertebrates whereas other tree species (baobabs) provided disservices as possible refuges for the MHM moths. Further research is 35 36 needed to better understand relationships between tree cover, food web interactions and natural pest 37 suppression, so that specific conservation measures such as habitat management can be designed to improve pest control. 38

39

40 1. Introduction

During the last decades, worldwide losses of biodiversity have occurred at an unprecedented rate and agricultural intensification has been a major driver (Cardinale et al., 2012; Philpott et al., 2008; Tilman et al., 2002; Wilby and Thomas, 2002). The dramatic land use changes include the simplification of agricultural landscapes by deforestation and monoculture and the extensive use of agricultural inputs such as broad-spectrum insecticides to control crop pests, with detrimental impact on ecosystem health

46	(Tscharntke et al., 2005; Barzman et al., 2015). Along with the loss of functional biodiversity, particularly
47	biological control agents, such cultivated ecosystems have become more and more susceptible to pest
48	outbreaks. This is particularly pregnant in southern contexts where arthropod pests pose a permanent
49	threat to food security (Garrity et al., 2010). New models for crop protection are needed to reduce the
50	dependence of agricultural systems on pesticides that stimulate ecological processes of natural
51	regulation of crop pests (Brévault and Clouvel, 2019). One approach is to use the benefits of agroforestry
52	systems to enhance or restore functional biodiversity (here, natural enemies of crop pests) to boost
53	ecosystem services such as biological control of crop pests. A meta-analysis conducted by Pumarino et al.
54	(2015) showed that agroforestry practices generally result in higher abundance of natural enemies of
55	pests, lower pest abundance and less plant damage in perennial crops (e.g., coffee, cocoa and plantain)
56	but not in annual crops (e.g., maize, rice and beans). However, studies carried out in Kenya showed that
57	abundance of stem borers was significantly lower in Leucaena leucocephala (Lam.) (Fabales,
58	Mimosaceae) alley cropping than in a maize monocrop (Ogol et al., 1999).
59	Among natural enemies of crop pests, vertebrates including insectivorous bats and birds can provide
60	valuable ecosystem services such as suppression of insect pests (Maas et al., 2013; Maine and Boyles,
61	2015; Pejchar et al., 2018). By protecting crops through feeding on herbivorous pests, they can provide
62	substantial increases in crop yields (Bael et al., 2008; Karp et al., 2013) and a true alternative to chemical
63	insecticides (Bianchi et al., 2006; Tscharntke et al., 2012). The abundance and diversity of semi-natural
64	habitats within agricultural landscapes, particularly trees, has the potential to increase the abundance
65	and diversity of birds and bats (Harvey et al., 2006; Redlich et al., 2018) and thus natural regulation of
66	crop pests (e.g., nesting and breeding site, alternative preys). However, birds and bats can also
67	negatively impact natural regulation of crop pests by consuming natural enemies of crop pests, i.e., intra-
68	guild predation (Grass et al. 2017; Tschumi et al., 2018). On the other hand, trees can also benefit pests
69	by providing food and refuges (Schroth et al., 2000). More research is thus needed on ecological issues

70 to better understand how tree-crop agroforestry systems can contribute to enhance ecosystem services 71 such as biological control of crop pests. Although a substantial body of research has been performed on 72 the role of bats and birds in perennial cropping systems such as coffee plantations (Bael et al., 2008), few 73 studies have focused on annual cropping systems as an unstable mosaic of habitats (Kennedy and Storer, 74 2000). More research is thus needed to deepen our knowledge of the life system of insectivorous birds 75 and bats including seasonal population dynamics (e.g., breeding cycles, migration), multitrophic 76 interactions within food webs (e.g. intraguild predation; see Grass et al., 2017), and temporal suitability 77 of habitats in agricultural landscapes (Maas et al., 2016).

78 Agricultural landscapes in the "Peanut basin" in Senegal (West Africa) are generally structured by 79 traditional agroforestry parkland systems (AFS), in which trees (mainly apple-ring acacias, Faidherbia 80 albida) (Fabales, Fabaceae) are distributed in cultivated fields (Seyler, 1993). Even though the impact of 81 those trees on soil fertility has been widely demonstrated, their effect on crop pests and biological 82 control has been poorly studied (Hadgu et al., 2009; Umar et al., 2013). At the landscape scale, they offer 83 a greater diversity of ecological niches in time and space than a simple mosaic of annual crops. The pearl 84 millet, Pennisetum glaucum (L.) R. Br. (Cyperales, Poaceae), is the main annual crop in those agricultural 85 systems. However, a key insect pest, the millet head miner (MHM) (Heliocheilus albipunctella de Joannis) 86 (Lepidoptera, Noctuidae) is a permanent threat for increasing crop yields (Gahukar, 1984; Sow et al., 87 2018; Vercambre, 1978). Moths emerge from the soil one to two months after the beginning of the rainy 88 season. After mating, females lay eggs in the millet panicle on the silk of the flower involucre or under 89 stamens, but also on the floral peduncles or on the spine (Nwanze and Harris, 1992). The young larvae 90 perforate the glumes and consume flowers, while the older ones cut off the floral peduncles (Gahukar, 1984; Vercambre, 1978), thus preventing grain formation. In the absence of any insecticide application 91 92 by farmers, millet production relies on pest regulation by natural enemies (Bhatnagar, 1987; Nwanze and 93 Sivakumar, 1990; Sow et al., 2019, 2017). A two-year monitoring of 90 millet fields and their surrounding

94 landscape (2000 m-buffer around millet fields) showed that abundance of trees at the landscape scale
95 significantly increased natural regulation of the MHM (Soti et al., 2019). Using high throughput molecular
96 tools, the food web structures and ecological processes underlying natural regulation of crop pests by
97 arthropods were documented and a large diversity of parasitoids and predators among arthropods were
98 identified (Sow et al., 2018).

99 In the present study, we investigated the contribution of vertebrates, particularly insectivorous birds and 100 bats, to the natural regulation of the MHM in tree-crop agroforestry systems in Senegal. We 101 hypothesized that (i) abundance and diversity of trees at a local and landscape scale enhance the 102 abundance and diversity of insectivorous birds, and that (ii) abundance and diversity of insectivorous 103 birds at a local scale reduce pest incidence. We used satellite and drone imagery, remote sensing and 104 geographical information system (GIS), to map and quantify the abundance and diversity of trees species 105 at local and landscape scale around a set of 20 millet fields. Pest incidence and grain loss were evaluated 106 by direct field observations on millet panicles while natural regulation was assessed using exclusion 107 cages. In addition, the abundance and diversity of birds and bats was monitored in and around millet 108 fields and fecal samples were collected to check for the presence of the MHM based on DNA analyses. 109 Results are discussed in the light of relationships between tree diversity and abundance, role of 110 vertebrates in food webs (ecosystem services vs. disservices) and biological control of crop pests for the 111 deployment of ecologically-intensive cropping systems.

112

113 2. Materials and Methods

114 2.1. Study area

The field survey was conducted in 2017 in the northern area of the "Peanut basin", near Bambey
(14°43'0.79"N; 16°30'5.56"O) in Senegal. This area is under the influence of a Sudano-Sahelian climate

with a short rainy season (400-600 mm) from July to October (monsoon). The landscape is composed of

118 tree-crop agroforestry systems mainly including apple-ring acacias (Faidherbia albida), soapberry trees 119 (Balanites aegyptica; Sapindales, Zygophyllaceae), baobabs (Adansonia digitata; Malvales, 120 Bombacaceae), tamarinds (Tamarindus indica; Fabales, Caesalpiniaceae) and red acacias (Acacia seyal; 121 Fabales, Fabaceae). Crops mainly include staple crops such as pearl millet, peanuts, and cowpea. 122 2.2. Study design 123 A total of 10 sites distant from at least one km each other (Fig. 1) were selected across the landscape 124 (100 km²) according to the land-use proportion of tree cover (2.8-8.7%) at a landscape scale (one-km 125 radius buffer around millet fields), following previous treatment of very high resolution satellite imagery 126 (Soti et al., 2018). In each site, two millet fields distant from at least 100 m each were selected according 127 to vegetation openness (open vs. closed) within a 100-m radius buffer with sampling plot (5 x 5 m) at the 128 center. The minimum-maximum distance between paired sampling points was 100 m (Fig. 1). Sampling 129 points captured a large variation in the abundance (number of trees and land-use proportion of tree 130 cover) and diversity (SHDI) of trees (Table 1). Tree cover around sampling plots (100-m radius buffer) was 131 mainly composed of A. indica, B. aegyptiaca, F. albida, and A. digitata (Table 1). Situations of closed 132 vegetation were mostly found around "compound" (close-to-home) millet fields with high abundance of 133 neems, whereas "bush" millet fields presented more open vegetation (Fig. S1).

134

117

135 2.3 Landcover mapping

Drone photogrammetry and object-based image analysis procedures were used to characterize each site
 following the method described in Sarron *et al.* (2018). Briefly, each site was overflowed using a UAV (DJI
 Mavic Pro, DJI Inc, China) in order to compute a high resolution orthomosaic (1.30 cm.pixel⁻¹) and a
 Canopy Height Model (CHM) using a photogrammetry procedure. The two layers were then analysed in

140	eCognition Developer 9 software (Trimble Geospatial, Munich, Germany) through an object-based
141	classification workflow to obtain land cover maps. These landcover maps contained 5 object classes:
142	millet crops, bare soil, trees, grasslands, and building (Fig. 1). Tree species were manually identified
143	within the 100-m radius buffer (photointerpretation) and corrections were made when needed. Land
144	cover maps were expressed as geospatial vectors with each object, including the delineated trees,
145	corresponding to a geolocalized polygon and described in an adjunct database (.shapefile format) to be
146	imported in GIS and processed in Fragstats software (McGarigal and Marks, 1995) for spatial analysis.

147 **2.4. Data collection**

The incidence of the MHM was monitored in the 20 sampling plots by counting eggs on 25 randomly selected panicles at panicle emergence. Counting is done with a round trip on the surface of the panicle with a fine forceps. Females of the MHM usually lay their eggs on emerging panicles up to the male flowering stage, between involucral bristles and glumes.

152 At the male flowering stage of millet, i.e. after completion of egg development, sampling plots were divided into two subplots of each 2.5 x 5 m. One subplot designated bird exclosure, while the other 153 154 served as control. Bird exclosures (2.5 m height) were built with wooden frames covered with a 155 polyethylene nylon mesh (25 x 25 mm cell size) that allowed predatory arthropods to enter but that 156 excluded birds. In each cage, eight panicles were protected with a mesh bag to exclude natural enemies, 157 while 16 other panicles were left open (without mesh bag) to exclude only birds. The same design was 158 reproduced outside the cage in the second subplot with eight panicles protected with a mesh bag to 159 exclude natural enemies, and 16 other panicles left open as a control. As a result, a total of 960 panicles 160 were monitored in the study design. Damages caused by the MHM (number and length of mines) were 161 recorded from each panicle just before harvest, i.e., 21 days later. Conversion of damages to grain losses 162 was done using allometric relations (Thiaw et al., 2017).

163	Field monitoring of birds and bats was performed on the five sites located in the southern part of the
164	study area, over a period of 15 days (3 days in each site) from millet grain filling to maturity.
165	Observations of birds were carried out around sampling plots in the morning (7.00 to 9.00 am UTC) and
166	in the late afternoon (4.30 to 6.30 pm UTC). The species and food diet (millet grain vs. MHM larvae) of
167	birds observed on millet panicles were recorded. Bird abundance in millet fields was calculated by using
168	the frequency of occurrence (C) proposed by Linsdale (1928). Bird species were identified using the
169	"Guide of West African birds" (Borrow and Demey, 2015). Bats were counted at regular time intervals (5
170	min) at dusk (from 6.30 to 7.40 pm UTC).
171	2.5. Analysis of fecal samples

172 To check the predation of the MHM by vertebrates, fecal samples of the village weaver (Ploceus 173 cucullatus; Passeriformes, Ploceidae) and the Mauritian tomb bat (Taphozous mauritianum; Chiroptera, 174 Emballonuridae) were collected during 24 hours by using a plastic tarpaulin placed under nesting trees, 175 neem (Azadirachta indica; Sapindales, Meliaceae) and palm trees (Borassus aethiopum; Arecales, 176 Arecaceae), respectively. Samples were collected at three millet phenological stages corresponding to successive development of the immature stages of the MHM: (1) panicle emergence to female flowering 177 for eggs, (2) panicles with grains at the milk stage for the 1st and 2nd instar larvae, and (3) panicles with 178 grains at the dough stage for 3rd and 4th instar larvae, from late August to late September. A total of 80 179 180 and 92 fecal samples for birds and bats, respectively, were individually collected using clean cotton buds 181 and placed in 2-ml microtubes with silica-gel granules to absorb moisture and prevent the development 182 of molds and DNA degradation. Detection of the MHM in fecal samples was performed by amplification 183 of the 133 bp fragment of cytochrome c oxidase I (COI mini-barcode, Gillet et al., 2015) and sequencing 184 on a MiSeq Illumina platform (Appendix A).

185 2.6. Statistical analyses

186 The R program (version 3.6.1) was used for statistical analyses (R Core team, 2014). We used generalized 187 linear models (glm function from the MASS package) to test the effect of bird abundance and diversity 188 on pest incidence (including the number of eggs per panicle and grain loss). Models were fitted using the 189 appropriate distribution type and link function: binomial for proportion of infested panicles or grain loss 190 (link = logit), and Poisson for abundance (count data, link = log). We then tested with the same type of 191 models the effect of tree cover at a local and landscape scale on the abundance and diversity of birds, 192 and on pest incidence. Multi-model inference approach was performed to examine the relative 193 importance of each explanatory variable (Burnham and Anderson, 2002). The MuMIn package was used 194 to perform model selection and model averaging. Akaike weights were calculated according to the 195 Akaike information criterion (AICc) which is a bias-corrected version of the AIC recommended in analyses 196 where the sample size is small relative to the number of fitted parameters (Burnham and Anderson, 2002; Rusch et al., 2011; Soti et al., 2019). The indirect effect of natural enemy exclosure (three 197 198 treatments: mesh bag, cage, and open as control) on grain loss was analysed using a linear mixed model 199 (Imer function in the Ime4 package) with site as a random effect to account for the variation due to this 200 factor. Multiple post-hoc comparisons were carried out with Tukey contrasts using the *glht* function from 201 the multcomp package.

202 3. Results

203 **3.1.** Abundance and diversity of insectivorous birds and bats

204 Sampling points captured a large variation in the abundance (number) and diversity (SHDI) of observed

- 205 birds (Table 1). A total of eight insectivorous birds belonging to five families (Corvidae, Passeridae,
- 206 Ploceidae, Pycnonotidae and Sturnidae) were observed in millet fields (Table 2). The grey-headed
- 207 sparrow (Passer griseus, Passeriformes, Passeridae), the village weaver (P. cuculatus), and the little
- 208 weaver (*Ploceus luteolus;* Passeriformes, Ploceidae) were the most frequently observed species (Table 2).

The frequency of occurrence of the five other bird species observed was very low (<3%). Direct field observations showed that the encountered bird species were omnivorous (both granivorous and insectivorous), except *Lamprotornis pulcher* (Muller) (Passeriformes, Sturnidae) which was observed feeding exclusively on insects. We identified two bat species foraging around millet sampling plots: a frugivorous species, the African straw-colored fruit bat (*Eidolon helvum*; Chiroptera, Pteropodidae) and an insectivorous species, the Mauritian tomb bat (*T. mauritianus*) (Table 2).

Using a metabarcoding approach, we successfully detected DNA sequences of the MHM in fecal samples
of the village weaver bird and the Mauritian tomb bat. The frequency of detection of the MHM DNA in
fecal samples from village weavers indicated that these birds primarily feed on late instar larvae (Fig. 2).
Detection of the MHM DNA in bats indicated that they feed on MHM moths (egg oviposition period) and
probably also on late instar larvae.

220 **3.2. Effect of tree cover on insectivorous birds**

The diversity of trees was the most important predictor explaining the abundance of birds observed in and around millet sampling plots (Fig. 3A). The abundance of birds increased with the diversity of trees in a 100-m radius around sampling plots. The abundance of the village weavers followed the same trend, whereas the abundance of the grey-headed sparrow was negatively correlated to the abundance of trees (Fig. 3B, 3C). No effect of tree cover on the abundance or diversity of birds was detected at a landscape scale (1000 and 1750-m radius buffer around sampling points). Direct field observations showed that palm trees served as preferential nesting site for the Mauritian tomb bat.

228 **3.3. Effect of insectivorous vertebrates on pest incidence**

The MHM incidence in millet fields was generally high (53.4% egg-infested panicles, 96% damaged
panicles), but variable among fields (24-80% egg-infested panicles, 87-100% damaged panicles). Grain
losses due to the MHM varied from 9.7 to 29.3% among sampling plots (Table 1). The abundance and

diversity of birds did not contribute significantly in explaining pest incidence levels (Fig. 4). Grain loss was
significantly reduced when panicles were accessible to arthropods only (-17.4%), and even more (-35.7%)
when they were accessible to both arthropods and birds (Fig. 5).

235 3.4. Effect of tree cover on MHM incidence

The abundance of baobabs at a local scale, i.e., 100-m radius buffer around sampling plots, increased MHM egg infestation on panicles and grain losses (Fig. 6). Conversely, the abundance of soapberry trees in the same area decreased egg infestation and grain losses, whereas the abundance of neems decreased egg infestation only (Fig. 6). Egg infestation and grain loss did not depend on the abundance or diversity of trees at a landscape scale.

241 4. Discussion

Among natural enemies of crop pests, vertebrates including insectivorous bats and birds can provide valuable ecosystem services such as suppression of insect pests, particularly in agroforestry systems (Karp et al., 2013, 2016; Maas et al., 2013; Maine and Boyles, 2015). However, they can also disrupt natural pest regulation by intraguild competition with predatory arthropods in agroecosystems (Grass et al., 2017; Martin et al., 2013). In the present study, we investigated the association between vegetation openness, richness and abundance of insectivorous birds and bats, and their contribution to the natural regulation of the MHM in tree-crop agroforestry systems in Senegal.

The exclusion of natural enemies including arthropods and vertebrates from millet panicles showed that grain losses significantly decreased when panicles were accessible to arthropods only, and even more when they were accessible to both arthropods and birds. We did not observed significant damage on millet panicles due to seed predation by granivorous birds. The vertebrate predatory community was composed of eight bird species of Passeriformes mostly represented by weavers (*Ploceus* spp.) and sparrows (*Passer griseus*). Direct field observations showed that encountered bird species were both

255 granivorous and insectivorous, except L. pulcher, which was observed feeding exclusively on insects. Two 256 bat species were seen foraging in the surrounding environment of millet sampling plots: a frugivorous 257 species, the African straw-colored fruit bat (Eidolon helvum) and an insectivorous species, the Mauritian 258 tomb bat (Taphozous mauritianus). The analysis of fecal samples of birds and bats indicated that village 259 weavers primarily fed on late instar larvae, whereas bats fed on MHM moths (egg oviposition period) 260 and probably also on late instar larvae. According to Goodman et al. (2007), the Mauritian tomb bat is a 261 nocturnal hunter, with moths as preferred food, though during daylight hours they can occasionally prey 262 upon butterflies and termites. The Mauritian tomb bat possibly contributes more than birds to the 263 natural regulation of MHM populations, because unlike birds, insectivorous bats can feed on a large 264 quantity of moths (Kingdon, 1974), thus limiting egg-laying on millet panicles. Birds can exert high 265 predation on MHM larvae during the reproductive season to feed their chicks. Contrary to adults who 266 feed mainly on seeds to which they add insects, chicks feed exclusively on insects including lepidopteran 267 larvae (Bruggers et al., 1985; Petersen et al., 2007).

268 The abundance and diversity of natural habitats in agroforestry systems is a key factor for the 269 conservation of natural enemies, particularly vertebrates in agricultural landscapes (Bianchi et al., 2006; 270 Holland et al., 2016; Rusch et al., 2016). The positive impact of trees species richness on bats and 271 insectivorous birds communities in agricultural landscape has been demonstrated (Harvey et al., 2006). 272 In our study, the abundance of birds (all species together) was positively related to the diversity of trees 273 around millet sampling plots. Populations of village weavers showed the same trend, whereas grey-274 headed sparrows were preferentially observed in open habitats. Village weavers are gregarious (Collias 275 and Collias, 1970) and their colonies settle a few weeks after the start of the rainy season, just before the 276 emergence of millet panicles (Bruggers et al., 1985). They build their nests on different tree species 277 (mainly neems, palm trees, and balanites) located near residential areas and forage in neighbor millet 278 fields to collect insects, particularly late instar larvae on panicles at the time of chick breeding. Unlike the

279 village weaver, the grey-headed sparrow is solitary and it can be encountered in a wide range of open 280 habitats, including open woodlands and human habitation. No effect of tree cover was detected at a 281 landscape scale (1000 and 1750-m radius buffer around sampling points), indicating short-distance 282 interaction of insectivorous birds with the surrounding landscape. Direct field observations showed that 283 palm trees served as preferential nesting site for the Mauritian tomb bat. The species is characterized by 284 very effective hunting aptitudes including excellent vision, nocturnal hunting, echolocation of prey, and 285 fast flight (Fenton, 1990; Dengis, 1996), which make it an excellent predator of noctuid moths. Their hunting season coincides with the moment when MHM females leave their shelter sites at dusk to lay 286 eggs on millet heads. Our field observations revealed that this generalist predator was able to hunt 287 288 insects under the street lights in villages, in addition to its ability to hunt effectively in total darkness (A. 289 Sow, unpublished data).

290 When addressing the effect of tree cover on the incidence of MHM, we found that relative contribution 291 of trees to natural pest regulation was probably species-dependent. In the present study, we observed a 292 negative effect of the abundance of soapberry trees and neems on egg infestation. As these trees are 293 often used as nesting sites by village weaver colonies, MHM moths could have been deterred from 294 resting on such trees, as the analysis of fecal samples indicated that those birds primarily feed on late 295 instar larvae. An alternative hypothesis is that soapberry trees and neems offer refuges for other natural 296 enemies groups capable of affecting pest incidence through the direct consumption of eggs (e.g., 297 predatory arthropods) or moths (e.g., bats). On the other hand, the presence of certain tree species can 298 negatively affect crop yield by increasing the population density of the pest (Schroth et al., 2000). This is 299 possibly the case for baobabs, as our results showed that MHM incidence (egg infestation on panicles 300 and grain losses) increased with baobab density at a local scale. Baobabs could provide resources for 301 insect pests, such as refuges and food.

302 5. Conclusion

303 The present study provides key information on hypotheses addressed about the link between abundance 304 and diversity of trees at a local and landscape scale and the abundance and diversity of insectivorous 305 birds and bats, and their net contribution to natural pest control and reduction of millet grain loss. At a 306 local scale, the abundance of insectivorous village weaver birds increased with tree diversity whereas 307 that of grey-headed sparrows decreased with the abundance of trees. Results also showed that trees 308 indirectly contribute to natural regulation of the MHM likely by providing refuges to insectivorous 309 vertebrates (e.g., palms/bats or neems/village weavers). However, other tree species can provide 310 disservices as refuges for pests (e.g., baobabs/MHM moths). To capture the variability of such natural 311 pest regulation and the effects of environmental perturbations (climate, land use, deforestation, 312 pesticides, etc.), it would be relevant to carry out this study on a pluriannual scheme. More research is 313 also needed to deeply examine the links between tree species (habitats), natural enemies (taxonomic 314 and functional traits of communities), pest regulation (e.g., intraguild predation, temporal dynamics) and crop yield. This should assist the design of specific conservation measures such as habitat management 315 316 to promote conservation biological control. Next steps include farmer-participatory approaches to 317 design farmscapes fostering natural pest regulation.

318

319 Acknowledgements

This work was undertaken as part of, and funded by the CGIAR Research Program on Grain Legumes and Dryland Cereals (Program FP3-3.3, Managing functional biodiversity and crop pests) and supported by CGIAR Fund Donors. Funding support for this study was also provided by CIRAD (Action incitative CreSi 2017). We are grateful to Amadou R.A. Etikokou for assistance to statistical analyses.

324 Competing interests

325 The authors declare no competing interests.

326 References

- 327 Bael, S.A.V., Philpott, S.M., Greenberg, R., Bichier, P., Barber, N.A., Mooney, K.A., Gruner, D.S., 2008.
- 328 Birds as predators in tropical agroforestry systems. Ecology 89, 928–934.
- 329 Barzman, M., Bàrberi, P., Birch, A.N.E., Boonekamp, P., Dachbrodt-Saaydeh, S., Graf, B., Hommel, B.,
- 330 Jensen, J.E., Kiss, J., Kudsk, P., Lamichhane, J.R., Messéan, A., Moonen, A.-C., Ratnadass, A., Ricci,
- 331 P., Sarah, J.-L., Sattin, M., 2015. Eight principles of integrated pest management. Agronomy for
- 332 Sustainable Development 35, 1199–1215.
- 333 Bhatnagar, V.S., 1987. Conservation and encouragement of natural enemies of insect pests in dryland
- 334 subsistence farming: Problems, progress and prospects in the Sahelian zone. International Journal
- of Tropical Insect Science 8, 791–795.
- Bianchi, F.J.J.A., Booij, C.J.H., Tscharntke, T., 2006. Sustainable pest regulation in agricultural landscapes:
- 337 a review on landscape composition, biodiversity and natural pest control. Proceedings of the Royal
 338 Society B: Biological Sciences 273, 1715–1727.
- Borrow, N., Demey, R., 2015. Guide des oiseaux de l'Afrique de l'Ouest. Delachaux et Niestlé. Paris.
- 340 Brévault, T., Clouvel, P., 2019. Pest management: Reconciling farming practices and natural regulations.
- 341 Crop Protection 115, 1–6.
- 342 Bruggers, R.L., Jaeger, M.E., Jaeger, M.M., 1985. Tisserins gendarmes (*Ploceus cucullatus abyssinicus*) et
- 343 tisserins masqués (*Ploceus intermedius intermedius*) munis d'émetteurs radio et de rubans dans
- 344 une colonie de nidification du Sud de l'Ethopie. L'Oiseau et la Revue française d'ornithologie 55,
- 345 81–92.

- 346 Burnham, K. P., Anderson, D. R., 2004. Multimodel inference: understanding AIC and BIC in model
- 347 selection. Sociological Methods and Research 33, 261-304.
- 348 Cardinale, B.J., Duffy, J.E., Gonzalez, A., Hooper, D.U., Perrings, C., Venail, P., Narwani, A., Mace, G.M.,
- 349 Tilman, D., Wardle, D.A., Kinzig, A.P., Daily, G.C., Loreau, M., Grace, J.B., Larigauderie, A.,
- 350 Srivastava, D.S., Naeem, S., 2012. Biodiversity loss and its impact on humanity. Nature 486, 59–67.
- 351 Collias, N.E., Collias, E.C., 1967. A Quantitative Analysis of Breeding Behavior in the African Village
- 352 Weaverbird. The Auk 84, 396–411.
- 353 Dengis, C. A., 1996. Taphozous mauritianus. Mammalian Species 522, 1-5.
- Fenton, M. B., 1990. The foraging behaviour and ecology of animal-eating bats. Canadian Journal of
 Zoology 68, 411-422.
- Gahukar, R.T., 1984. Insect pests of pearl millet in West Africa: a review. International Journal of Pest
 Management 30, 142–147.
- 358 Garrity, D.P., Akinnifesi, F.K., Ajayi, O.C., Weldesemayat, S.G., Mowo, J.G., Kalinganire, A., Larwanou, M.,
- Bayala, J., 2010. Evergreen Agriculture: a robust approach to sustainable food security in Africa.
- 360 Food Security 2, 197–214.
- 361 Gillet, F., Tiouchichine, M.-L., Galan, M., Blanc, F., Némoz, M., Aulagnier, S., Michaux, J.R., 2015. A new
- 362 method to identify the endangered Pyrenean desman (Galemys pyrenaicus) and to study its diet,
- using next generation sequencing from faeces. Mammalian Biology Zeitschrift für Säugetierkunde
 80, 505–509.
- Grass, I., Lehmann, K., Thies, C., Tscharntke, T., 2017. Insectivorous birds disrupt biological control of
 cereal aphids. Ecology 98, 1583–1590.
- 367 Hadgu, K.M., Kooistra, L., Rossing, W.A.H. et al. 2009. Assessing the effect of Faidherbia albida based
- 368 land use systems on barley yield at field and regional scale in the highlands of Tigray, Northern
- 369 Ethiopia. Food Security 1, 337–350.

- 370 Harvey, C.A., Medina, A., Sánchez, D.M., Vílchez, S., Hernández, B., Saenz, J.C., Maes, J.M., Casanoves, F.,
- 371 Sinclair, F.L., 2006. Patterns of Animal Diversity in Different Forms of Tree Cover in Agricultural
- 372 Landscapes. Ecological Applications 16, 1986–1999.
- Holland, J.M., Bianchi, F.J., Entling, M.H., Moonen, A.-C., Smith, B.M., Jeanneret, P., 2016. Structure,
- function and management of semi-natural habitats for conservation biological control: a review of

375 European studies. Pest management science 72, 1638–1651.

- 376 Karp, D.S., Mendenhall, C.D., Sandí, R.F., Chaumont, N., Ehrlich, P.R., Hadly, E.A., Daily, G.C., 2013. Forest
- bolsters bird abundance, pest control and coffee yield. Ecology letters 16, 1339–1347.
- 378 Kennedy, G. G., Storer, N. P., 2000. Life systems of polyphagous arthropod pests in temporally unstable
- 379 cropping systems. Annual Review of Entomology 45, 467-493.
- 380 Kingdon, J., 1974. East African Mammals: An Atlas of Evolution in Africa, Academic Press. ed. Jonathan
 381 Kingdon, London.
- Linsdale, J.M., 1928. A Method of Showing Relative Frequency of Occurrence of Birds. The Condor 30,
 180–184.
- Maas, B., Clough, Y., Tscharntke, T., 2013. Bats and birds increase crop yield in tropical agroforestry
 landscapes. Ecology letters 16, 1480–1487.
- 386 Maas, B., Karp, D.S., Bumrungsri, S., Darras, K., Gonthier, D., Huang, J.C.-C., Lindell, C.A., Maine, J.J.,
- 387 Mestre, L., Michel, N.L., Morrison, E.B., Perfecto, I., Philpott, S.M., Şekercioğlu, Ç.H., Silva, R.M.,
- 388 Taylor, P.J., Tscharntke, T., Bael, S.A.V., Whelan, C.J., Williams-Guillén, K., 2016. Bird and bat
- 389 predation services in tropical forests and agroforestry landscapes. Biological Reviews 91, 1081–
- 390 1101.
- 391 McGarigal, K., Marks, B.J., 1995. FRAGSTATS: spatial pattern analysis program for quantifying landscape
- 392 structure. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-351. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific
- 393 Northwest Research Station, Portland, Oregon, USA, 122 pp.

- Maine, J.J., Boyles, J.G., 2015. Bats initiate vital agroecological interactions in corn. Proceedings of the
 National Academy of Sciences USA 112, 12438–12443.
- 396 Martin, E.A., Reineking, B., Seo, B., Steffan-Dewenter, I., 2013. Natural enemy interactions constrain pest
- 397 control in complex agricultural landscapes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA
- 398 110, 5534–5539.
- 399 Nwanze, K.F., Harris, K.M., 1992. Insect pests of pearl millet in West Africa. Review of Agricultural
 400 Entomology 80, 1132–1155.
- 401 Nwanze, K.F., Sivakumar, M.V.K., 1990. Insect pests of pearl millet in Sahelian West Africa—II. Raghuva
- 402 *albipunctella* De Joannis (Noctuidae, Lepidoptera): Distribution, population dynamics and
- 403 assessment of crop damage. Tropical Pest Management 36, 59–65.
- 404 Ogol, C.K.P.O., Spence, J.R., Keddie, A., 1999. Maize stem borer colonization, establishment and crop
 405 damage levels in a maize-leucaena agroforestry system in Kenya. Agriculture, Ecosystems and
 406 Environment 76, 1–15.
- 407 Pejchar, L., Gallo, T., Hooten, M.B., Daily, G.C., 2018. Predicting effects of large-scale reforestation on
 408 native and exotic birds. Diversity and Distributions 24, 811–819.
- 409 Petersen, B.S., Christensen, K.D., Jensen, F.P., 2007. Bird population densities along two precipitation
- 410 gradients in Senegal and Niger. Malimbus 29, 101–121.
- 411 Philpott, S.M., Arendt, W.J., Armbrecht, I., Bichier, P., Diestch, T.V., Gordon, C., Greenberg, R., Perfecto,
- 412 I., REYNOSO-SANTOS, R., SOTO-PINTO, L., 2008. Biodiversity loss in Latin American coffee
- 413 landscapes: review of the evidence on ants, birds, and trees. Conservation Biology 22, 1093–1105.
- 414 Pumariño, L., Sileshi, G.W., Gripenberg, S., Kaartinen, R., Barrios, E., Muchane, M.N., Midega, C., Jonsson,
- 415 M., 2015. Effects of agroforestry on pest, disease and weed control: A meta-analysis. Basic and
- 416 Applied Ecology 16, 573–582.

$\overline{\mathbf{n}}$			D	re	51	\sim	
υι	1111	aı		17	<u>.</u>	U.	

417	R Core Team, 2014. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical
418	Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL http://www.R-project.org/
419	Redlich, S., Martin, E.A., Wende, B., Steffan-Dewenter, I., 2018. Landscape heterogeneity rather than
420	crop diversity mediates bird diversity in agricultural landscapes. PloS one 13, e0200438.
421	Rusch, A., Valantin-Morison, M., Sarthou, J. P., & Roger-Estrade, J., 2011. Multi-scale effects of landscape
422	complexity and crop management on pollen beetle parasitism rate. Landscape Ecology 26, 473-
423	486.
424	Rusch, A., Chaplin-Kramer, R., Gardiner, M.M., Hawro, V., Holland, J., Landis, D., Thies, C., Tscharntke, T.,
425	Weisser, W.W., Winqvist, C., 2016. Agricultural landscape simplification reduces natural pest
426	control: A quantitative synthesis. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 221, 198–204.
427	Sarron, J., Malézieux, ., Sané, C.A.B., Faye, É., 2018. Mango Yield Mapping at the Orchard Scale Based on
428	Tree Structure and Land Cover Assessed by UAV. Remote Sensing 10, 1900.
429	Schroth, G., Krauss, U., Gasparotto, L., Aguilar, J.A.D., Vohland, K., 2000. Pests and diseases in
430	agroforestry systems of the humid tropics. Agroforestry Systems 50, 199–241.
431	Seyler, J.R., 1993. A systems analysis of the status and potential of Acacia Albida (Del.) in the North
432	Central Peanut Basin of Senegal. Michigan State University. Department of Forestry. 30 p.
433	Soti, V., Lelong, C., Goebel, FR., Brévault, T., 2018. Designing a field sampling plan for landscape-pest
434	ecological studies using VHR optical imagery. International Journal of Applied Earth Observation
435	and Geoinformation 72, 26–33.
436	Soti, V., Thiaw, I., Debaly, Z.M., Sow, A., Diaw, M., Fofana, S., Diakhate, M., Thiaw, C., Brévault, T., 2019.
437	Effect of landscape diversity and crop management on the control of the millet head miner,
438	Heliocheilus albipunctella (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) by natural enemies. Biological Control 129,
439	115–122.

- 440 Sow, A., Brévault, T., Benoit, L., Chapuis, M.-P., Galan, M., D'acier, A.C., Delvare, G., Sembène, M., Haran,
- 441 J., 2019. Deciphering host-parasitoid interactions and parasitism rates of crop pests using DNA
- 442 metabarcoding. Scientific Reports 9, 3646.
- 443 Sow, A., Brévault, T., Delvare, G., Haran, J., Benoit, L., Coeur d'Acier, A., Galan, M., Thiaw, C., Soti, V.,
- 444 Sembène, M., 2018. DNA sequencing to help identify crop pests and their natural enemies in agro-
- ecosystems: the case of the millet head miner *Heliocheilus albipunctella* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae)
- 446 in sub-Saharan Africa. Biological Control 121, 199–207.
- 447 Sow, A., Brévault, T., Delvare, G., Haran, J., Mbacké, S., 2017. Régulation naturelle des populations de la
- 448 mineuse de la chandelle de mil, *Heliocheilus albipunctella* (Lepidoptera, Noctuidae), dans le bassin
 449 arachidier au Sénégal. AFPP, CIRAA 1–9.
- 450 Thiaw, C., Brévault, T., Diallo, N. F., Sow, A., Ngom, D., Soti et al. 2017. Incidence et régulation naturelle
- 451 de la chenille mineuse de l'épi de mil, *Heliocheilus albipunctella* de Joannis (Lepidoptera,
- 452 Noctuidae) à Bambey dans le bassin arachidier au Sénégal. Agronomie Africaine 29, 83-95.
- 453 Tilman, D., Cassman, K.G., Matson, P.A., Naylor, R., Polasky, S., 2002. Agricultural sustainability and
- 454 intensive production practices. Nature 418, 671–677.
- 455 Tschumi, M., Ekroos, J., Hjort, C., Smith, H. G., & Birkhofer, K., 2018. Rodents, not birds, dominate
- 456 predation-related ecosystem services and disservices in vertebrate communities of agricultural
- 457 landscapes. Oecologia 188, 863-873.
- 458 Tscharntke, T., Klein, A.M., Kruess, A., Steffan-Dewenter, I., Thies, C., 2005. Landscape perspectives on
- 459 agricultural intensification and biodiversity–ecosystem service management. Ecology letters 8,
- 460 857–874.
- 461 Umar, B. B., Aune, J. B., & Lungu, O. I., 2013. Effects of Faidherbia albida on the fertility of soil in
- 462 smallholder conservation agriculture systems in eastern and southern Zambia. African Journal of
- 463 Agricultural Research 8, 173-183.

464 Vercambre, B., 1978. *Raghuva* spp. et *Masalia* sp., chenilles des chandelles du mil en zone sahélienne.

465 Agronomie Tropicale 33, 62–79.

- 466 Wilby, A., Thomas, M.B., 2002. Natural enemy diversity and pest control: patterns of pest emergence
- 467 with agricultural intensification. Ecology Letters 5, 353–360.
- 468
- 469
- 470

Journal Pre-proof

- 471 Appendix A. Supplementary Data
- 472 Figure S1
- 473 Figure captions

474 Figure 1. Map of the 20 paired millet sampling plots within the study area (Bambey, Senegal). Example
475 (on the right) of a digitized landscape around one sampling plot.

Figure 2. Detection rate (%) of the millet head miner DNA in bird (*Ploceus cucullatus*) and bat (*Taphozous mauritianus*) fecal samples collected at different phenological stages of the millet crops corresponding to
the presence of different development stages of the millet head miner (moths and eggs, moths and early
instar larvae, late instar larvae). Bars represent the 95% confidence intervals (Cl95).
Figure 3. Relative importance of the abundance and diversity of trees (at a 100-m radius buffer around

sampling plots) in explaining variation of (A) the abundance of birds, (B) the abundance of the grey-

482 headed sparrow, and (C) the abundance of the village weaver. Variables were ranked according to their

483 sum of Akaike weights, which are the probabilities that the given predictor would appear in the best

484 fitting model. Black bars indicate the most important variables. Estimated parameter values and their

485 their standard error (SE) are presented on the right of each bar.

Figure 4. Relative importance of the abundance and diversity of birds (at a 100-m radius buffer around sampling plots) in explaining variation of (A) egg infestation and (B) grain losses due to the millet head miner (MHM). Variables were ranked according to their sum of Akaike weights (w + (j)), which are the probabilities that the given predictor would appear in the best fitting model. Estimated parameter values and their standard error (SE) are presented on the right of each bar.

Figure 5. Grain loss (%) on egg-infested panicles (i) covered with a mesh bag to exclude natural enemies,
(ii) covered with a polyethylene nylon mesh (25 x 25 mm cell size) to exclude vertebrates but not
predatory arthropods or parasitoids, or (iii) exposed to both parasitoids, predatory arthropods and
vertebrates (open panicles). Means followed by different letters are significantly different (post hoc
Tukey test). Mean grain (±SE) loss: mesh bag (26.3 ± 1.4%), cage (21.7 ± 1.3%) and open control (16.9 ±
1.1%).

Figure 6. Relative importance of the abundance and diversity of trees (at a 100-m radius buffer around sampling plots) in explaining variation of (A) egg infestation and (B) grain losses due to the millet head miner (MHM). Variables were ranked according to their sum of Akaike weights (w + (j)), which are the probabilities that the given predictor would appear in the best fitting model. Black bars indicate the most important variables. Estimated parameter values and their standard error (SE) are presented on the right of each bar. **Table 1.** Tree cover and diversity, bird abundance and diversity, and pest incidence of the 20 sampling plots in the study area. Tree cover is characterized at the local (100-m radius buffer around sampling plots) and landscape scale (1-km radius buffer around sampling plots).

Variables		Range (min-max)	Mean ± CI95
Tree cover			6
At a local scale	Tree cover (%)	3.5-18.3	9.3 ± 1.8
	Number of trees	21-94	52.3 ± 9.3
	Number of Azadirachta indica	0-53	15.8 ± 6.5
	Number of Balanites aegyptiaca	0-29	13.4 ± 3.2
	Number of Faidherbia albida	2-18	8.2 ± 1.9
	Number of Adansonia digitata	0-31	8.3 ± 3.7
	Number of <i>Borassus aethiopium</i>	0-7	1.3 ± 0.8
	Tree SHDI	0.9-1.7	1.3 ± 0.1
At a landscape scale	Tree cover (%)	2.8-8.7	5.7 ± 1.0
Bird abundance and diversity			
	Number of birds per sampling plot	1-83	49 ± 18
	Bird SHDI	0.0-1.3	0.9 ± 0.3
Pest incidence			
	Egg infested panicles (%)	24.0-80.0	53.4 ± 6.8
	Number of eggs per panicle	0.4-3.8	1.9 ± 0.4
	Damaged panicles (%)	86.7-100	96.2 ± 1.9
	Grain loss (%)	9.7-29.3	16.9 ± 2.1

Order Family	Common name	Latin name	Food diet	Frequency of occurrence (%)	Relative abundance (%)
Passeriformes					
Corvidae	African piapiac	Ptilostomus afer (Swainson, 1837)*	I/G	0.4	1.5
Passeridae	Grey-headed sparrow	Passer griseus (Vieillot, 1817)*	I/G	32.5	42.6
Ploceidae	Village weaver	Ploceus cucullatus (Statius Müller, PL, 1776)**	I/G	34.2	37.4
	Little weaver	Ploceus luteolus (Lichtenstein, MHK, 1823)*	I/G	17.1	12.3
ycnonotidae	Common bulbul	Pycnonotus barbatus (Desfontaines, 1789)*	I/G	2.5	1.9
turnidae	Long-tailed glossy starling	Lamprotornis caudatus (Statius Müller, 1776)*	I/G	0.4	3.3
	Chestnut-bellied starling	Lamprotornis pulcher (Müller, 1776)*	I	0.8	0.4
	Lesser blue-eared starling	Lamprotornis chloropterus (Swainson, 1838)*	I/G	0.8	0.6
Chiroptera					
'teropodidae	African straw-colored fruit bat	Eidolon helvum (Kerr, 1792)**	F	-	-
Emballonuridae	Mauritian tomb bat	Taphozous mauritianus (E. Geoffroy, 1818)**	I	-	-

Table 2. Inventory of major birds and bats observed in millet-based agroecosystems in the North of the Peanut basin in Senegal.

analysis. I: insectivorous. F: frugivorous. G: granivorous.

SENEGA





Journal





Α

В

С





0.0

0.26±0.14

0.5

1.0

Soapberry trees



Relative variable importance

```
Journal Pre-proof
```





<u>Journal Pre-proof</u>

Α

В



Highlights

- Vertebrates, including birds and bats, are effective predators of the millet head miner.
- They contribute to natural pest control and reduction of millet grain loss.
- The abundance of insectivorous birds is enhanced by tree diversity at a local scale.
- The contribution of trees for natural pest control is species-dependent. Trees can serve as refuges for pest (eg. baobab/moths) and predators (eg. palm tree/bats or neem/village weavers).

Declaration of interests

 \boxtimes The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests:

Journal Prerk