A mobile platform with a robotic arm working in a semi-structured environment: two basic contributions

Nicolas Clavel, Pierre Thompson, Francis Sevila, René Zapata

► To cite this version:

Nicolas Clavel, Pierre Thompson, Francis Sevila, René Zapata. A mobile platform with a robotic arm working in a semi-structured environment: two basic contributions. 12th Triennial World Congress of the International Federation of Automatic control, Jul 1993, Sydney, Australia. pp.493-500, 10.1016/S1474-6670(17)48776-3 . hal-02577075

HAL Id: hal-02577075

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02577075>

Submitted on 5 Oct 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A MOBILE PLATFORM WITH A ROBOTIC ARM WORKING IN A SEMI-STRUCTURED ENVIRONMENT: TWO BASIC CONTRIBUTIONS

N. Clavel*, P. Thompson*, F. Sevilla* and R. Zapata**

*CEMAGREF, B.P. 5095, F-34033 Montpellier Cedex 1, France

**LJRM, Place Eugène Bataillon, F-34095 Montpellier Cedex 5, France

Abstract. Envisioned robots for rural and outdoor activities will navigate in semi-structured environments and execute intelligent tasks with the robotic actuators they carry. Most actions will have to be done avoiding a stop of the mobile. Two problems generated by such a concept of machine are addressed in this paper: how to navigate in a partially known and evolving environment, and how to combine robotic arm motions with its supporting mobile platform ones. Tests are made on the specially designed and built agricultural mobile robot O'TOMAT.

Key Words. Control Applications, Local Navigation, Artificial Potential Fields

1. INTRODUCTION

Rural outdoor environments, like forests, agricultural open fields, orchards, or golf courses will need in the future, autonomous machines to execute robotic tasks in an adaptive way. Performing such actions and staying profitable, human and environment friendly, means that efficiency, rapidity and security will be crucial. In particular:

- * Navigation method will have to be flexible enough to help finding an appropriate path in an environment which is only partially known, and where non-announced obstacles can appear.

- * Robot actuators, using machine vision as their main reference information, will have to execute sophisticated tasks, while their carrying platform will stay moving on an appropriate trajectory. Combination of motions and references in the 3 involved coordinate systems (machine vision-robot arm-mobile platform) has to be made appropriately. State of the art methods have been found for both problems, however they were not entirely solving them. Complementary theoretical developments have been made, they are described in chapter 4 and 5 of this paper. To implement and test these modified methods, a complete mobile robot has been specially designed and built, this system is described in chapter 2.

2. DESIGN OF A SPECIFIC MOBILE ROBOT: O'TOMAT.

A complete mobile robotic system, called O'TOMAT, able to work alone, executing tasks in a semi-structured environments, has been developed (see figure 1). Its purpose is to validate the various methods proposed in the research, on a flat floor (2 dimensional problem).

Fig. 1. Overall view of robot O'Tomat

The four wheel mobile platform (MP) of this robot has a steering control obtained by differential rotation between the 2 electrically powered rear wheels, the 2 non-powered front wheels being free to rotate. Odometers are mounted on the rear wheels. It advances at speeds up to 1m/s.

A target detection camera is rigidly mounted at the front of MP.

The robotic arm has 2 rotational joints for spherical positioning, and the movement to the target for task execution is obtained by a telescopic device. The whole system is small and light (50kg). A built-in central PC 486DX type board manages a VPIX (BYTECH) frame grabbing board, and 5 mono-axe PELLEN-MAX control boards (1 for each electrical motor: 2 on MP and 3 on the manipulator). Battery mountings provide electric energy to the whole system. The system has been tested in laboratory with simulated workspace environments as mentioned below.

3. NAVIGATION IN A SEMI-STRUCTURED ENVIRONMENT

3.1. The artificial potential field method "APF".

Mobile robot navigation can be made using either global or local methods:

- * With global navigation methods, optimal trajectories (Brooks, 1983) and manoeuvring (Pommier, 1991) can be computed, but they imply the knowledge of the complete working environment. This means that integration of unknown obstacles, or changing motion goal during the mobile robot mission is time consuming, and not always feasible.
 - * The local navigation method allows incidental events but not global optimisation.
- Mobile robots for rural environments will be task oriented, dedicated to execute tasks scattered in their environment in an only partially known arrangement. Such tasks can be:
- * curing damaged trees in a forest,
 - or
 - * spraying chemical on weeds among growing vegetables,
 - or
 - * harvesting unevenly matured fruits,
 - or
 - * pruning landscaping bushes in a golf course or in a leisure park
 - etc.

Most of these applications mean that tasks are decided and determined when the robot is arriving near the future task location, by some detection means (mainly based on machine vision). For such applications, global navigation methods can be applied only for a very rough definition of the robot trajectory in its environment. Only a local method can allow a local task definition to be taken into account for the trajectory computation. In this paper, we will address a particular local navigation method based on the use of Artificial Potential Fields ("APF"). First developed by Khatib (Khatib 1978, 1985), the navigation algorithms using APF have interested many researchers because of their main advantages,

compare to other proposed methods: low algorithmic complexity, integration of robot inertial characteristics, natural understanding of the phenomena and simple computation for on-machine implementation. In APF, navigation is made by solving the following differential equation:

$$M(x)\ddot{x} + F_c = -grad(U_g(x))$$

- with:
- M(x): Inertial Matrix
 - F_c: Centrifugal Force
 - U_g(x) = U_a(x) + U_r(x)
 - U_g: Global APF.
 - U_a: Attractive APF (goal)
 - U_r: Repulsive APF (obstacles)

Fig. 2: Example of global APF.

The trajectory of the robot tends to follow the maximum negative slope between its starting point and its target ("deep" value of the gradient), avoiding obstacles (represented by peak values of the gradient). Among various inherent limitations of the APF method (Koren and Borenstein 1991), the major one for the type of conditions found in the semi-structured environment applications, is the non-convergence induced by the presence of local minima in the workspace description. In some cases, generally in front of obstacles, the gradient slope followed by the trajectory arrived in basin of low gradient, from which no descending slope can extract it.

A solution to this basic problem has to be found that respects all the advantages of the APF method. A new method is proposed in this paper which solves the problem. The method allows also to compute well-shaped trajectories and permits in some cases to overcome saddles in the gradient surface, which appear between obstacles close to each others.

3.2. The local minima problem in the "APF" potential fields

Some solutions have already been proposed to solve this problem. We can separate them in two classes:

1) those trying to modify the APF original method to avoid local minimum (Khoditscheck 1988, Megherbi and Wolovitch 1992, Noborio 1989). Khoditscheck defines a reference space without local minimum and tries to find an homeomorphism with the real workspace. Megherbi uses the complex variable and the conform transformations to solve the case one goal / one obstacle. Noborio builds directly the force field acting on the robot, using geometric criterion's on the obstacles boundaries.

2) those, which use the original APF method, but tend to extract the robot from the basin of attraction of the local minimum where the trajectory has converged. (Barraquand 1989 and Latombe 1991). Barraquand uses the principle of the thermodynamic method named "simulated annealing", and gives some increasing energy to the robot, in any direction. The purpose is to have it leave his stable state inside the minimum. Latombe, having a global numerical representation of the workspace, fills the local attracting domain.

The method proposed in this work should be classified in a third class, in the sense that it uses basic APF method in its continuous form. It is applied continuously, all along the trajectory (but the goal) without waiting for local convergence.

3.3. Our solution: systematic local deformation of the "APF"

The general idea of this method has been numerically developed in (Clavel 1992/1, Clavel 1992/2). It is based on the systematic addition of a complementary local APF to the global one, designed to destabilize and extract the trajectory from any occurring minimum:

Destabilizing a minimum.

The way to destabilize a local minimum is to transform it into a local maximum. The study of the stability is made by using a Lyapunov function, built with the global APF as a basis. Thus neglecting the kinetic energy of the robot, the destabilisation condition we obtain is stronger, depending only on the force field topology.

In the local domain D of the basin of attraction of this local minimum:

$$D = \{ x / \phi(x,t) \rightarrow x_{min} \text{ when } t \rightarrow \infty \} \\ \text{with } \phi(x,t) \text{ the flow associated.}$$

The built Lyapunow function is the following:

$$L(y) = U_g(y) - U_g(y_{min}) \\ \text{with } y_{min} = x - x_{min}$$

We know that the flow associated to the system follows the decreasing values of the APF, so the ones

of the Lyapunov function. We have shown in (Clavel 1992/1) that if we add the local APF to U_g :

$$P(x) = F [x , x_{min}]$$

with F: a continuous, positive, finite, scalar decreasing function calculated with the origin x_{min} .

The destabilizing condition on $P(x)$ at the point x_{min} is:

$$\text{slope}(P) > - \text{slope}(U_g)$$

Extracting the robot from the local attracting domain.

After the destabilization of the local minimum, the robot has to be pushed out of the basin of attraction D.

To study this point, the Lie derivative $L_x()$ is used. The Lie derivative of a scalar function S, in x with respect to the associated vector field X, is equal to the variation rate of F along the integral curve of the vector field.

To extract the robot from the local attraction of D, the integral curve associated to the potential field $[U_g(x) + P(x)]$ must follow increasing values of $U_g(x)$. This is translated in the following condition on the Lie derivative:

The Lie derivative of the potential function $[U_g(x)]$ with respect to the vector field induced by $[U_g(x) + P(x)]$ must be positive.

$$L_x (U_g(x)) \cdot \text{grad}[U_g(x) + P(x)] > 0$$

This leads to (Clavel 1992/1):

$$\text{slope}(P(x)) > - \text{slope}(U_g(x)) \\ \pi/2 < \text{Angle}[\text{grad}(P(x)), \text{grad}(U_g(x))] < 3\pi/2$$

Generalisation to the whole motion

It is necessary to avoid to study each local basin of attraction and to wait for each local convergence. This is why these 2 constraints, of destabilization and of extraction, are applied continuously all along the motion of the mobile robot: this induce a local APF, which is added systematically to the global APF, at the current point of the trajectory. Of course for the global convergence it vanishes at the goal. It works as if the robot is always carrying his own repulsive APF and we obtain a time varying global APF:

$$U_{total}(x,t) = U_g(x) + P(x,t) \\ \text{with the origin of } P(x,t) \text{ in the current point} \\ \text{of the flow } (\phi(x,t_c)).$$

3.4. Consequences on the behaviour of the mobile robot.

The behaviour of the robot looks like the one of a passive surfer always followed by his wave. The local APF reinforces the general motion direction given by the static global one.

Fig. 3. 2-dimension representation of the robot behaviour when passing through a local minima under local APF influence.

In this example, robot behaviour follows 3 steps:

step 1: The robot is following the decreasing values of the global APF.

step 2: The robot arrives at the local minimum and the local APF destabilize it.

step 3: The robot "climbs" the increasing values of the global APF, thanks to the energy of the local one.

Fig. 4. An example of local APF action when the trajectory meets minima in front of obstacles.

3.5. Experimental results.

Simulation.

The figures 5, 6, 7, 8 show the results obtained by applying the local APF method in a computer simulated situation. The obstacles are designed using super ellipsis equations which allow very different shapes with few parameters. We can model the cross to the square via the ellipsis. A study of the obstacle shape influence can be found in (Clavel 1990). It has to be noticed that, for all these examples, the attractive, the repulsive and the wave shaped local APF have the same values. We do not need to change them with respect to the environment configuration.

Fig. 5. This is the basic case: one obstacle between the start and the target. Compared to the examples shown in figure 4, the oscillations phenomenon have been erased by an appropriate choice of APF functions, obtaining a well shaped trajectory.

Figure 6 and 7: In these cases of multi-obstacle avoidance, the proximity of the obstacles induces saddle shapes of the global gradient between the obstacles and induces also various minima. The space between obstacles is sufficiently large to allow the robot to pass through.

Fig. 6. The saddle gradient between the two first obstacles does not change the trajectory of the robot: it has enough space to pass through straight ahead.

Fig. 7. The direction of the motion is changed in order to avoid the obstacles during the overcoming of saddles between the various obstacles. The robot follows the least repulsive way.

Fig. 8. The distances between the obstacles are not sufficient for the robot to pass through. It avoids the five obstacles as it would have done for a equivalent large one.

Experiments with the mobile robot O'TOMAT.

A set of typical cases of semi-structured environments have been arranged for the robot to find its trajectory through. Any change in the workspace configuration, for instance going from one testing configuration to the next one by changing starting point, target and obstacles number and positions, is obtained by simple reshaping of the gradient function using a limited number of parameters, thanks to the potential of the general APF method of navigation. This is confirming the potential of APF navigation method for on machine real time implementation.

However, with the global APF navigation method, the robot ability to find its trajectory is dramatically low in the type of semi-structured workspace environments used for the tests, where minima types of situation are numerous: applying the local APF continuous wave principle has allowed the robot navigation system to solve most trajectory problems. Navigation is always complemented with local conditions parameters: this allows the real time management of unpreviewed events.

Actual behaviours of the robot, using the local APF wave to determine on-board the trajectory have been similar to the simulation ones as shown above in figure 4 to 8. An average precision of 25 cm has been obtained for trajectories of 10m long.

Compare to the normal global APF, the algorithm complexity is severely changed. The computation cost is just increased by the time dependent APF force computing. The navigation software is adapted to on-board real time computation.

Limitations have been found on 2 aspects during these experiments:

- * the actual robot trajectory was not continuous enough, due to the limitation of the applied method in taking the robot non-holonomy into account.
- * the target is attained at a certain precision: but the orientation of the Mobile Platform when approaching the target, in order for the task to be appropriately executed, has not been considered.

The latter point has a major importance for the next aspect which is addressed in this paper.

4. COMBINATION OF ROBOT ARM / MOBILE PLATFORM MOTIONS

4.1. An "On-The-Go" task action

An appropriate Mobile Platform (called below MP) navigation allows to pass from a starting point to the "MP final point" passing through different "MP target points" in the outdoor environment (MP target points might be trees or bushes, for instance). The MP should stay moving on an appropriate trajectory near the "MP targets", while robotic actuators carried

on board of the MP, have to execute sophisticated tasks on the different "MP targets".

These actuators work on task targets called "M target" (leaves, fruits, branches for instance) which are positioned inside the "MP target" (trees, bushes, etc.). The "M targets" are defined through the use of an on-board-MP machine vision system.

Combination of motions and references in the 3 involved coordinate systems (machine-vision, robot-arm, mobile-platform) have to be made appropriately for the task to be executed "on-the-go" of MP.

Most industrial mobile robots apply the tasks they are designed for, only when they are stopped (Dubowsky and Vance 1989). Thus, the problem of controlling a robot arm mounted on a MP to act "on-the-go" is seldomly found in the literature. However some construction robots have been studied with such abilities like: painting, plastering, welding or surfacing robots (Jira, 1988; Salagnac et al., 1990). Generally their environment is well-known and geometrically modeled, the task and the end-effector are rather simple and, above all, these mobile platforms use their mobility to help the end-effector motion control. (Schroeder and Teutterer, 1990; Pin and Culioli, 1992)

There are also similar situations where the robot is fixed, and the task scene is mobile, like robots manipulating parts taken on an industrial conveyor. In these cases, conveyor trajectory is linear and speed is constant. This problem is also known as the "Tracking Problem" (Anderson, 1985; Espiau et al., 1991; Houshangi, 1990)

In this paper a method is proposed for such combination in a case considered as being a common situation in futur rural applications of mobile robotics: (Sittichareonchai et al., 1989; Ballerin et al., 1991 and see Fig. 9).

- * task detection and definition, is made with images grabbed through a machine vision system (called "camera" below), placed in front of the Mobile Platform MP: the MP trajectory has to bring the camera beside the "MP target" in an appropriate manner for the camera to detect a "M target".

- * The robotic actuator is based at the rear of the MP. It works when arriving in appropriate position in front of "M target", defined previously when the camera was in a similar position.

- * the "M target" for the task is generally situated inside a foliage: it is not defined by its 3-D position, but only by its "best line of approach and withdrawal" inside this foliage (line of minimum obstacles occurrence for the arm: (Rabatel et al, 1991 and see Fig. 10).

- * Since an end-effector will execute a task on the "M target" (cutting, spraying, detaching, etc...), it has to be maintained close to the point of "M target", during the time of this task, although the MP stays moving on.

Only the situation where the MP is moving on a flat floor is considered: MP motion is only 2-D.

Fig. 9. Robot with the different reference coordinate systems

4.2. A combination of reference systems

The rotational parameters of the "M target", obtained during detection by the camera, are memorized in the robot arm reference frame at the detection time as well as the actual robot position (see Fig. 9). The position of the camera reference frame, versus the robot arm reference frame has to be known exactly (Calibration of camera reference frame vs robot arm reference frame is absolutely necessary).

When the arm is brought to treat "M target", the MP has moved along a trajectory controlled by the autonomous navigation system (see Fig. 11). During this motion, the actual orientation of the robot arm inside the reference frame R_r has to be known to be compared to the corrected approach angles of the target registered when "M target" has been detected. This continuous comparative positioning is necessary to control the arm motion during its approach to the target, the task process, and the withdrawal from the foliage.

Mathematical methods have been developed to combine the numerous parameters involved in the above combination of the robot arm and MP motions.

4.3. Arm versus platform motions combination

According to the data from image processing, the position of the detected "M target" is on a straight line (Θ_o, Φ_o) , as shown in Fig. 10. To treat the target point properly and to be sure that no vegetation is blocking the way, the acceptable approach angles must be close to the detected parameters (Θ_o, Φ_o) . An angle of compliance of $\pm 5^\circ$ is allowed around this ideal line of approach. This defines a cone of approach inside the foliage (see Fig. 10. Starting point of cone is situated on the detection line at maximum penetration depth p_{max})

The strategy of motions for the picking arm includes 4 steps:

ARM MOTION 1: while the mobile platform is advancing, pre-positioning of the arm toward the "M target" optimum treatment situation.

This pre-positioning of the arm is made in order to be ready to penetrate inside the cone of approach (see Fig. 10). This is obtained by maintaining the arm direction pointed toward an intermediate point T at the base of the approach cone (see Fig. 10).

The coordinates of point T are:

$$O_c^T = \begin{bmatrix} p_{min} \\ p_{min} \cdot \text{tg}(\Phi_o) \\ p_{min} \cdot \text{tg}(\Phi_o) / \cos(\Theta_o) \end{bmatrix}$$

Θ_o, Φ_o = target M spherical coordinates obtain at detection time, and

p_{min} = minimal distance between robot and vegetation at detection time, known with a simple range sensor. (Needed because we have only the approach line to the target and not the cartesian coordinates of the M target).

The targeting of point T gives us the angular coordinates of the pre-positioned arm (Θ_o, Φ_o) , following the method of position calculation presented in the next chapter.

ARM MOTION 2: arm penetration inside the approach cone

When Θ_f is equal to $\Theta_o + 5^\circ$, the arm penetrates rapidly inside the approach cone by increasing its length df , following the approach line until the end-effector detects the target point M with a proximity sensor.

ARM MOTION 3: arm position control during the task

To maintain the end-effector close onto the target point M while the MP is moving, a correction of the command must be calculated using the method of arm position computation described below.

ARM MOTION 4: arm withdrawal

After task execution, the robot arm is rapidly withdrawn outside the foliage, staying inside the above defined approach cone.

Fig. 10. Target M detection and approach parameters

4.4. Method for position correction of the arm coordinates

During three motions (arm motions 1, 2 and 3) of the four phases described above, the instantaneous arm position in R_r (in spherical ϕ, θ, r or Cartesian X_r, Y_r, Z_r coordinates) has to be computed in order for the arm to target a point (T or M), which coordinates (X_c, Y_c, Z_c) are known in the robot reference frame R_r (see Fig. 11).

Therefore we have to compute the correction matrix which is composed of the differences ($dx, dy, 0, 0, d\delta$) between the actual position of the mobile and the memorized position of the mobile at detection time. This gives the correction matrix rT_c :

$${}^rT_c = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(d\delta) & -\sin(d\delta) & 0 & dx \\ \sin(d\delta) & \cos(d\delta) & 0 & dy \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_r \\ Y_r \\ Z_r \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = {}^rT_c * \begin{bmatrix} X_c \\ Y_c \\ Z_c \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The values of ($dx, dy, d\delta$) are continuously obtained through the mobile platform trajectory measurements obtained through the readings of the MP motion sensors.

Fig. 11. Schematic of the combination of robot arm / mobile platform motions

4.5. Experimental results

The mobile robot O'TOMAT has been used to test the above combination procedures. The tests have been run with simulated bushes and targets.

Implementation of the method on the on-board computer has been made with no-significant problems.

The reliability of the combination of the camera/arm/MP systems has been satisfactory. On a constant speed (0.3 m/s) mobile operation, the arm tip has been precisely positioned on the target (with an average of ± 5 mm precision).

Arm and MP motions combination does correctly work, except when the MP trajectory between detection and task is out of certain boundaries compare to a regular one.

This result has to be translated in term of constraints on the navigation procedure: the MP trajectory has to follow an appropriate line along the target object (tree, bush, etc.) for two main reasons:

- * first, for the camera to efficiently detect "M targets",
- * then, for the carried robot arm to appropriately execute task on "M target".

5. CONCLUSION

Envisioned robots for rural and outdoor activities will navigate in semi-structured environments and execute intelligent tasks with the robotic actuators they carry: most actions will have to be delivered avoiding a stop of the mobile machine. Two problems generated by such a concept of machine have been addressed in this paper:

*how to navigate in a partially known and evolving semi-structured environment: a particular local navigation method based on the use of Artificial Potential Fields ("APF") has been chosen. The major limitation of the APF method for such workspaces being undesirable minima of potential blocking the trajectory computation, a complementary method, building continuously a local wave of potential along the trajectory has been developed. Satisfactory results have been obtain on simulation. On a real robot experiment, although trajectories have been computed on-board efficiently, robot characteristics, like non-holonomy and dimensions, are not completely taken into account. The mobile robot orientation near its motion target was not considered as a constraint for the navigation.

*how to combine a robotic arm motions with its supporting platform one, after on-board vision detection has taken place: Motions strategy and computing method allowing the combination of motions and references in the 3 coordinate systems involved (machine vision / robot arm / mobile platform) have been designed for the task to be executed appropriately "on-the-go" of the mobile platform. Tests with real robotic actions, on actual laboratory scenes and tasks, have given satisfactory results, except when robot trajectory between

detection and task was too irregular. Only flat floor motions of the mobile platform were considered.

Tests have been made with a specially designed and built mobile robot O'TOMAT, carrying machine vision and telescopic arm, and working in flat floor type conditions.

Further researches are needed on the following aspects:

- * Navigation method has to include actual robot parameters in an efficient way.

- * Combination method of camera/arm/MP reference + motions has to be extended to the case of 3-dimension motions of the carrying platform, which will be the most common in rural outdoor situations.

- * a complete linkage of the constraints of the 2 aspects addressed in this paper is needed: navigation has to ease to a certain extent task detection and execution, and combination of arm vs. MP motion has to be more robust toward MP trajectory irregularities.

6. REFERENCES

- Anderson, R.L. (1985). Real time intelligent visual control of a robot. In: *Proceedings IEEE Workshop on Intelligent Control* pp.89-94 1985
- Balerin, S., Bourelly, A. and Sévila, F. (1991). Mobile Robotics Applied To Fruit Harvesting: The Case Of Greenhouse Tomatoes." In: *Proceedings of the "Automated Agriculture For The 21st. Century"*, Chicago, December 1991. ASAE, St Joseph (MI-USA).
- Brooks, R. (1983). Solving the find path problem by good representation of free space., In: *I.E.E.E.*, March-April 1983.
- Barraquand, J., and Latombe, J.C. (1989). On nonholonomic robots and optimal manoeuvring. In: *Revue d'intelligence artificielle*, 1989, 3(2).
- Clavel, N. (1990). Détermination et analyse des champs de potentiels fictifs utilisés dans la fonction de navigation des robots mobiles. *Mémoire D.E.A.* 1990, L.I.R.M.M. Montpellier (France).
- Clavel, N. (1992/1). Local navigation by means of time dependent artificial potential fields: the wave approach. In: *Proceedings IFToMM* 16-18 september, 1992, Nagoya (Japan).
- Clavel, N. (1992/2). Local navigation by means of artificial potential fields: surfing on a time dependent local deformation. In: *Proceedings of Automazione 1992, 36th annual congress of ANIPLA*, 16-18 november 1992
- Dubowsky, S. and Vance, E. (1989). Planning mobile manipulator motions considering vehicle dynamic stability constraints. In: *Proceedings IEEE Int. Conf. Robotics and Automation 1989*
- Espiau, B.; Chaumette, F. and Rives P.; (1991). Positioning of a robot with respect to an object, tracking it and estimating its velocity by visual servoing. In: *Proceedings IEEE Int. Conf. Robotics and Automation 1991*.
- Houshang, N. (1990). Control of a robotic manipulator to grasp a moving target using vision. In: *Proceedings IEEE Int. Conf. on Robotics and Automation* pp. 604-609 1990.
- Jira, (1988). *Proceedings Fifth International Symposium on Robotics in Construction*, Tokyo (Japan), 1988.
- Khatib, O. (1978). Dynamic control of manipulations operating in a complex environment. In: *3rd C.I.S.M.* Udine (Italy), 12-15 September 1978.
- Khatib, O. (1985). Real time obstacle avoidance for manipulators and mobile robots. In: *I.E.E.E.* St Louis (U.S.A.), 25-26 March 1985.
- Khoditscheck, D.E. (1988). Robot navigation functions on manifolds with boundary. In: *Advances in applied mathematics*. July 1988.
- Koren, Y., and Borenstein, J. (1991). Potential field methods and their inherent limitations for mobile robot navigation. In: *I.E.E.E.* Sacramento (U.S.A.), April 1991.
- Latombe, J.C. (1991). In: *Proceedings Journées raisonnement géométrique : de la perception vers l'action*, LIFIA Institut I.M.A.G., Grenoble 16-17 September 1991.
- Megherbi, D., and Wolovitch, W.A. (1992). Real-time velocity feedback obstacle avoidance via complex variables and conformal mapping. In: *Proceedings I.E.E.E. Int. Conf. Robotics and Automation* Nice (France), May 1992.
- Nodorio, H. (1989). A potential approach for a mobile point mobile robot on an implicit potential field. *I.E.E.E.* Tokyo (Japan).
- Pin, F. and Culioli, J.-C., (1992). Optimal positioning of combined mobile platform-manipulator systems for material handling tasks. In: *Journal of intelligent and robotic systems* 6: 165-182, 1992; Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Pommier, E. (1991). Génération de trajectoires pour robot mobile non holonome par gestion des centres de rotation, *PhD Thesis*, L.I.R.M. Montpellier (France), 20 Nov. 1991.
- Rabatel, G., Bourelly, A. and Sévila, F. (1991). Object detection with machine vision in outdoor complex scenes: the case of robotic harvest of apples. In: *European Robotics and Intelligent Systems Conference*, June 23-28 1991, Corfu (Greece).
- Salagnac, J.L. and Vinot, B. (1990). General localisation system for building robotics. In: *Proceedings of Mobile Robots Workshop*, Paris La Défense (F).
- Schroeder, D. and Teutterer, W. (1990). Improved performance of a mobile manipulator by decoupling the motion of vehicle and robot. In: *Proceedings of 3rd European Conference on Power Electronics Aachen Germany 1990* pp. 991-995
- Sittichareonchai, A. and Sévila, F. (1989). A robot to harvest grape." In: *ASAE paper N° 89.7074*. ASAE, St. Joseph (MI-USA).