



HAL
open science

Spray-drift from an axial fan sprayer Full-scale measurement in a wind-tunnel: low-drift' v's standard nozzle performance

G.M. Richardson, Alexandra Herbst, P. Roux, P. Delpech

► **To cite this version:**

G.M. Richardson, Alexandra Herbst, P. Roux, P. Delpech. Spray-drift from an axial fan sprayer Full-scale measurement in a wind-tunnel: low-drift' v's standard nozzle performance. 8th Workshop on Spray Application Techniques in Fruit Growing, Jun 2005, Barcelona, Spain. pp.1, 2005. hal-02587578


HAL Id: hal-02587578

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02587578>

Submitted on 15 May 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Spray-drift from an axial fan sprayer: Full-scale measurement in a wind-tunnel: 'low-drift' v's standard nozzle performance

Richardson, GM¹; Herbst, A²; Roux, P³ and Delpech, P⁴

¹Silsoe Research Institute, Wrest Park, Silsoe, Bedford MK45 4HS, UK

²BBA, Fachgruppe Anwendungstechnik, Messeweg 11/12, D-38104 Braunschweig, Germany

³Cemagref, UMR ITAP, BP5095, 34033 Montpellier cedex 1, France

⁴CSTB, 11 rue Henri Picherit, BP 82341, 44323 Nantes cedex 3, France





Figure 1: Cross section view of the experimental arrangements in the wind tunnel




Comparisons of airborne-drift measurements: Vertical distributions from Layer-1

(Relative 'Drift Index' values are given in boxes)

- A 'No crop' comparison over predicts the benefit of 'low-drift' nozzles
- Four rows of crop reduce the nozzle effect after spray filtering & redistribution
- Favourable evaporative conditions (>6°C Wet Bulb Depression) yield little effect
- The Velocity measurements shows unabated wind beneath the artificial canopy



Distributions of airborne-drift (z = 0.25 m) and Sedimentation: from LAY-1 to LAY-4 downwind (See Fig. 1)




- The ratio of Airborne-drift to sedimentation shows a marked difference between nozzle types for 'No crop'
- As expected from the vertical distribution, the effect of the crop is to reduce all drift values: by almost a decade
- The contrast in sedimentation between nozzle types is maintained: indicative of large 'low-drift' droplets falling beyond the crop

NB: Airborne measurements, when in a field context; need to be made above any filtering plants in the downwind zone.

Wind tunnel compared to Field distributions of Spray sedimentation ratios ('low-drift'/standard nozzle). Each normalised by Application volume rate.

- 'Low-drift' nozzles can produce a worse sedimentation within 5 m or so downwind of the last crop row; with axial-fan spraying
- Extrapolation of the full-scale Syrah Vineyard data of Balsari & Marruco suggests so (at both of their growth stages)
- And Heijne et al.'s June apple orchard data demonstrates the same with a cross-flow sprayer and two types of low-drift nozzle



References

- P Balsari And P Marruco. Influence of canopy parameters on spray drift in vineyard Aspects of Applied Biology 71, 2004 pp 157-164.
- B Heijne, M Wenneker And J C Van De Zande. Air inclusion nozzles don't reduce pollution of surface water during orchard spraying in the Netherlands Aspects of Applied Biology 66, 2002 pp 193-199.