

# The impacts of repeated drought on the aboveground primary growth of Pinus halepensis Mill. and Quercus ilex L. in Mediterranean France

C. Didier

## ▶ To cite this version:

C. Didier. The impacts of repeated drought on the aboveground primary growth of Pinus halepensis Mill. and Quercus ilex L. in Mediterranean France. Environmental Sciences. 2010. hal-02594187

# HAL Id: hal-02594187 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02594187

Submitted on 15 May 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## THE IMPACTS OF REPEATED DROUGHT ON THE ABOVEGROUND PRIMARY GROWTH OF *PINUS HALEPENSIS* MILL. AND *QUERCUS ILEX* L. IN MEDITERRANEAN FRANCE

Les conséquences des sécheresses répétées sur la croissance primaire aérienne de pinus halepensis mill. et quercus ilex l. en france méditerranéenne

> A thesis presented in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science at the Université de Poitiers

> > by Cody Didier

Under the direction of Drs. Michel Vennetier and François Girard of the Cemagref, Aix-en-Provence

August 2010



## Abstract

The Mediterranean basin is forecast to experience warming above the global average with concurrent decreases in precipitation and increases in the frequency of severe drought and heat waves over the course of the 21<sup>st</sup> century, but the impact of severe and/or repeated drought on the aboveground primary growth of Mediterranean forest trees is not well understood. Architectural analysis of Aleppo pine and holm oak, two widely distributed western Mediterranean tree species, was carried out for trees along an elevation transect. Aleppo pine primary growth was greatly reduced following the 2003 heat wave and four subsequent years of drought. Recovery of most architectural variables was seen after two years without drought. Severe drought in 2007 resulted in minimal primary growth of holm oak, but leaf development recovered the year after drought and recovery appeared to be related to well-timed precipitation after bud burst. Climatic conditions at the low and high sites of the elevation transect appear to impose limits to primary growth and post-drought recovery. The species likely to be favored could not be determined, but it is clear that the expected climate change for the Mediterranean basin will greatly reduce primary growth with implications for Aleppo pine-holm oak forest ecosystem functioning.

Keywords: Pinus halepensis Quercus ilex primary growth tree architecture altitudinal transect drought climate change

## Résumé

D'ici la fin du 21<sup>ème</sup> siècle, le bassin Méditerranéen est susceptible de connaître un réchauffement climatique supérieur à la moyenne mondiale avec une réduction des précipitations et une augmentation de la fréquence des sécheresses et canicules. À ce jour, les conséquences des sécheresses sévères et/ou répétées sur la croissance primaire aérienne des arbres ne sont que très peu documentées dans la littérature scientifique. L'analyse architecturale du pin d'Alep et chêne vert, deux essences forestières dominantes de la Méditerranée occidentale, a été réalisée le long d'un transect altitudinal. Les principaux résultats montrent que la croissance primaire du pin d'Alep a significativement diminuée après la canicule de 2003 et s'est maintenue à de très faibles niveaux pour les quatre années suivantes, années qui étaient particulièrement sèches. La récupération de la plupart des variables architecturales est survenue après deux ans sans sécheresse. La sécheresse de 2007 a occasionné une croissance minimale du chêne vert, mais le développement foliaire a repris l'année suivante avec les précipitations ponctuelles après l'éclatement des bourgeons. Les conditions climatiques aux sites de basse et haute altitude semblaient limiter la croissance primaire et la récupération après la sécheresse. À la lumière des résultats obtenus, nous ne pouvons affirmer quelle espèce du chêne vert ou du pin d'Alep sera favorisée. Toutefois, il est certain que le changement climatique réduira considérablement la croissance primaire avec des implications pour le fonctionnement de tout l'écosystème.

Mots clefs: Pinus halepensis Quercus ilex croissance primaire architecture des arbres transect altitudinal sécheresse changement climatique

## Foreward

This research was carried out from February to August 2010 at the Cemagref (*Institut de recherche pour l'ingénierie de l'agriculture et de l'environnement*) under the direction of Drs. Michel Vennetier and François Girard. This research was executed within the context of a larger several-year project coordinated among various research institutions. The research was funded by the French National Research Agency (DROUGHT+ project, N° ANR-06-VULN-003-04), the French Ministry for Ecology, Energy and Sustainable Development (GICC – REFORME project, n° MEED D4E CV05000007), the *Conseil Général* des Bouches-du-Rhône (CG13), ECCOREV Research Federation (FR3098), and the Cemagref.

The Cemagref is a French national research institution that works on land and water management. The research carried out at the Cemagref is aimed at developing new scientific understanding and technical innovations for managers, policy makers, and businesses to respond to current issues in the domains of natural resources management, regional planning, and land use. The research is focused on surface water resources, aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems, rural areas, water technologies, agricultural systems, and food security all within the context of sustainable development.

This project was carried out with the Cemagref at le Tholonet (outside of Aix-en-Provence), where work is concentrated on water and rural spaces in four different working groups: Hydrology and Hydraulic Engineering, Hydrobiology, the Irrigation Equipment Research and Testing Laboratory, and Mediterranean Ecosystems and Risks (EMAX). The objective of work within EMAX is the development of decision-making tools for policy makers and managers for sustainable management within the context of climate change. The research of EMAX is further separated in two axes: analysis of wildfire risk and Mediterranean vegetation dynamics in response to disturbance, with this project being implemented under the latter.

## Acknowledgements

At the outset, I would be remiss not to acknowledge several individuals without whom this work would never have been possible. First off, I owe a deep debt of gratitude to Michel Vennetier. Having already filled a different project opening that I applied for, he offered me the opportunity to work on this project and took a risk in accepting an American graduate student trying to navigate the French research world. Without his insight, experience, and advice I might still be on page one.

I would also like to thank François Girard, who in sharing his office with me has been subjected to incessant questions and my lousy French accent and grammar on a daily basis for the past 6 months. His support during each phase of this project, particularly in data analysis and thesis editing has been invaluable.

I could not forget to mention Aminata N'Diaye Boubacar, Roland Estève, and Willy Martin who have been a tremendous help both in the field and in the laboratory.

Finally, the encouragement and good humor of all of my colleagues at the Cemagref has been much appreciated.

# Table of Contents

Abstract	V
Foreward	VII
Acknowledgements	VIII
List of Tables	XI
List of Figures	XII
1. Introduction	
2. Materials and methods	
2.1 General research area	
2.2 Thermal-hydrological transect	
2.3 Site descriptions	6
2.3.1 Saint-Mitre	6
2.3.2 Font Blanche	6
2.3.3 Siou Blanc	6
2.4 Climate datasets	7
2.5 Sampling	
2.6 Tree architectural organization	
2.7 Morphological markers	9
2.8 Measurements	
2.8.1 Description of primary growth	
2.8.2 Leaf area	11
2.8.3 Phenological observations	11
2.9 Statistical analyses	
3. Results	
3.1 Characterization of climate	
3.1.1 Evolution of climatic conditions at study sites	
3.1.2 Climate, 2006–2009	14
3.2 Aleppo pine	
3.2.1 Comparison of primary growth between sites, 1995–2009	
3.2.2 Evolution of primary growth between the three sites, 1995–2009	

3.3.2a Decomposition of the time-period: evolution of primary growth, 199	95–2003
	19
3.3.2b Decomposition of the time-period: evolution of primary growth, 200	)5–2009
	20
3.3 Holm oak	22
3.3.1 Shoot growth and branching, 2007–2009	22
3.2.2 Foliar architecture component	
3.4 Aleppo pine and holm oak inter-site primary growth comparison, 2007–20	0930
4. Discussion	31
4.1 Effects of repeated drought on primary growth of Aleppo pine	
4.2 Effects of drought on primary growth of holm oak, 2007–2009	
4.3 Differential species response to drought	
4.4 Consequences of reduced primary growth for Mediterranean pine-oak fore	st41
5. Conclusion	42
6. References	45

# List of Tables

Table 2.1 General site characteristics.   6
Table 3.1 Annual precipitation and percent deviation from the average at each site
1995–2009. *=Years where annual precipitation was at least 30% below the 1961-
1990 average at one or more sites
Table 3.2 Mean values with standard deviation for the periods 1995 to 2009, 1995 to
2003, and 2005 to 2009. Letters indicate results of posthoc multiple comparison
tests
Table 3.3 Recapitulation of the inter-site differences for primary growth of Aleppo pine
and holm oak from 2007 to 2009, compiled from the hypothesis and multiple
comparison test results of each species

# List of Figures

Figure 2.1 Map of research area and study sites7
Figure 2.2 Morphological markers for holm oak: a) swelling, bud scar, and opposite
branching indicating the separation of the present year and previous year growth
units and b) contrasting alternate branching pattern, and morphological markers for
Aleppo pine: c) the tight clustering of bud scale scars indicating the separation
between two consecutive years of growth and d) the spaced scales indicating the
new growth unit is from the same year10
Figure 3.1. Monthly precipitation (gray bars), average maximum temperature
(triangles), and average minimum temperature (squares) from 2006 to 2009 for a)
Siou Blanc, b) Font Blanche, and c) Saint-Mitre
Figure 3.2 Results of principal component analysis on shoot length, number of cycles,
number of branches, and number of needles for 1995 to 2008 at a) Siou Blanc, b)
Font Blanche, and c) Saint-Mitre
Figure 3.3 Evolution of the shoot development variables: shoot length, number of
growth units, and number of branches at Saint-Mitre (dashed line/triangles), Font
Blanche (solid black line/diamonds), and Siou Blanc (gray line/squares) from 1995
to 2009. Vertical lines denote critical years in the time series used to separate the
15 year series into pertinent intervals
Figure 3.4 Evolution of the foliar development variables: number of needles and needle
length at Saint-Mitre (dashed line/triangles), Font Blanche (black line/diamonds),
and Siou Blanc (gray line/squares), 1995-2009. Vertical lines denote critical years
in the time series
Figure 3.5 Box plots of lengths of the primary axis at each site from 2007 to 2009, with
whiskers indicating the 10 <sup>th</sup> and 90 <sup>th</sup> percentiles and points indicating outliers. The
table presents the differences between the years at each site. Groups with different
letters represent a significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ) in a posthoc Nemenyi test24
Figure 3.6 Box plots of lengths of secondary axes of holm oak at each site, from 2007 to
2009 with whiskers indicating the 10 <sup>th</sup> and 90 <sup>th</sup> percentiles and points indicating
outliers. The table presents the differences between the years at each site. Groups
with different letters represent a significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ) in a posthoc
Nemenyi test

- Figure 3.7 Box plots of secondary branching of holm oak at each site in 2008 and 2009 with whiskers indicating the  $10^{\text{th}}$  and  $90^{\text{th}}$  percentiles and points indicating outliers. The table presents the differences between the years at each site. Groups with different letters represent a significant difference (p<0.05) in a posthoc Nemenyi test.
- Figure 3.9 Box plots of holm oak laminar leaf area at each site from 2007 to 2009 with whiskers indicating the  $10^{\text{th}}$  and  $90^{\text{th}}$  percentiles and points indicating outliers. The table presents the differences between the years at each site. Groups with different letters represent a significant difference (p < 0.05) in a posthoc Nemenyi test. ..... 29

## 1. Introduction

Over the past decades, climate change has become a primary concern for the international community (Bernstein et al., 2007). Since 1850 when datasets for recorded measurements begin, 11 of the years from 1995 to 2006 were ranked among the 12 warmest years as of 2007 (Bernstein et al., 2007), and the decade from 2000–2009 was ranked as the warmest decade (WMO 2010). In Europe, the climate of the late 20<sup>th</sup> and early 21<sup>st</sup> centuries is almost certainly the warmest of the last 500 years, and the 20<sup>th</sup> century was on average 0.5°C warmer than the previous four centuries (Luterbacher et al., 2004). In France, the recent warming of approximately 0.9°C for annual average and 1.2°C for annual average minimum over the past century has surpassed both European and global averages (Lebourgeois et al., 2001; Moisselin et al., 2002).

To date, climate change has been noted primarily by global warming. However, climate projections also forecast changes in precipitation patterns as well as changes in the frequency of more severe climatic events: decrease in cold days, nights, and frosts; increase in hot days and nights; increase in heat waves; and increase in heavy rainfalls (Bernstein et al., 2007). Overall, Europe is expected to experience warming above the global average. This warming will likely manifest as increased winter low temperatures in northern Europe and increased summer high temperatures in southern Europe and the Mediterranean region (Christensen et al., 2007). Under various models and scenarios, France and the Mediterranean region will experience a general warming at a rate faster than in most other areas in the world, an increase in winter precipitation, a decrease in annual precipitation, an increase in length and intensity of summer drought conditions, and an increase in the intensity and frequency of extreme climatic events (Gibelin and Déqué, 2003; Déqué, 2007). At the current development and population growth trajectory, the world is on course for the most pessimistic of the climate scenarios (Nakicenovic and Swart, 2000), which gives more urgency to the need to study the potential impacts of climate change.

The Mediterranean basin represents a climatic transition zone between temperate and tropical zones, has a mountainous topography, and has a 10,000-year history of anthropogenic disturbance (Blondel and Aronson 1999; Quézel and Médail, 2003). The

Mediterranean climate is characterized by high temperatures associated with low rainfall in summer and cool to cold wet winters. This distinct climate, geology, and history of human impact have favored the development of abundant plant and animal biodiversity (Cowlings, 1996; Blondel and Aronson, 1999). However, land use change and habitat destruction have reduced the extent of primary vegetation to less than 5% of its original surface leading this region to be classified as one of the world biodiversity 'hotspots' (Myers et al., 2000). In addition to impacts of land use change, ample evidence from across the globe exists for the ecological impacts of recent climate change (Walther et al., 2002; Parmesan, 2006), and tree species in the Mediterranean region may already be responding to warming as well (Peñuelas and Boada, 2003). The increase in summer water stress resulting from increased temperatures and decreased precipitation in a region already characterized by summer drought is susceptible to decrease tree growth in Mediterranean areas (Rathgeber et al., 2000; Borghetti et al., 2004; Ogaya et al., 2003; Sardans and Peñuelas, 2007). Raising temperature may lead to phenologic lags (Kramer et al., 2000), particularly in the beginning and the end of the growing season.

Large, woody shrubs and trees are the principal structural component in Mediterranean ecosystems and are essential to ecosystem functioning (Vallejo et al., 2005). In the western Mediterranean basin, Aleppo pine (Pinus halepensis Mill.) and holm oak (Quercus ilex L.) are two of the most important tree species. Aleppo pine covers approximately 3.5 million hectares in the Mediterranean basin from northern Sahara to southern France (Quézel, 1986). Aleppo pine grows typically on marls or calcareous marls, but also on compact fissured limestone substrates, as found in Provence. Its optimal range is situated at low elevation in semi-arid to sub-humid conditions, with annual rainfalls ranging from 350 to 550 mm and mean annual temperatures from 16 to 19°C, where it its highly competitive due to its high resistance to water stress (Quézel and Médail, 2003). Nonetheless, it grows faster with 650 to 800 mm of annual precipitation where human impact and fires released competition from more adapted species (Vennetier et al., 2010a). Holm oak is present from southern to northern France but it covers about 600,000 hectares (92% of its total surface area) in Mediterranean France alone (Inventaire Forestier National, 2008). Holm oak can be found on almost any substrate, but is less favored on marls and calcareous marls. It grows optimally in

sub-humid to humid conditions and is restricted when annual precipitation drops below 400–450mm (Rodà, 1993; Quézel and Médail, 2003).

Water availability is the main limiting factor to vegetation and production in Mediterranean ecosystems (Le Houerou, 2005; Allard et al., 2008). The mild Mediterranean climate results in a long growing season, which is disrupted by summer drought. Mediterranean vegetation is adapted to this pattern primarily through two strategies: drought avoidance or drought resistance. The vegetation dynamic in Aleppo pine-holm oak forests derives from the different drought adaptation strategies of the two species: Aleppo pine is a drought avoider and holm oak is a drought resistor. In response to water deficits, Aleppo pine quickly closes the stomata on its needles to maintain positive water potential and then enters into dormancy when drought becomes limiting. This species has the tendency to form several growth units (flushes) in the same growing season, a process called polycyclism (Barthélémy and Caraglio 2007; Girard et al., 2010a), which confers to a tree a significant advantage in contrast to monocyclic species, particularly in the Mediterranean area where the growing season is split in two parts by drought. Therefore, Aleppo pine does not experience the drought per se; rather it is able to become dormant and then resume growth via polycyclism when favorable conditions return. In contrast, holm oak maintains physiological activity (i.e. stomatal conductance) at reduced levels during drought via osmotic adjustment to withstand low water potentials (Kyriakopoulos and Richter, 1991) and deep rooting systems that can also take advantage of fissures in the bedrock to reach groundwater up to more than 15 meters (Rodà et al., 1999). Although this species is also known to be polycyclic, this feature does not confer the same advantage as with Aleppo pine since it is not a consistent feature of holm oak growth and the extant shoots on holm oak would have still been exposed to drought stress. Of the two, Aleppo pine is considered to be the better drought adapted. Several studies have suggested that the anticipated increase of summer drought will induce different responses from these two tree species, thereby potentially altering the dynamic in mixed Aleppo pine-holm oak forests (Resco de Dios et al., 2007; Gaucherel et al., 2008).

To date, studies on the drought impacts on these two species have focused mainly on radial (secondary) growth (Ogaya and Peñuelas 2007; Vila et al., 2008; Gea-Izquierdo et al., 2009), leaf gas exchange (Rambal et al., 2003; Asensio et al., 2007), and

photosynthetic capacity (Chaves et al., 2002). Scant literature exists on the potential impacts of climate change on primary growth, that which develops from meristematic tissue and includes such growth processes as shoot elongation, branching, leaf production, bud development, flowering, fruit production, and fine root production. Whereas secondary growth has storage and structural functions, primary growth is the mechanism by which the plant explores and interacts with its environment. As opposed to secondary growth, i.e. radial trunk growth, which is linked to site conditions, primary growth is largely dependent on recent climatic conditions (López-Serrano et al., 2005). This makes primary growth an interesting aspect of tree growth for investigating the response of a given tree species to climate. Few recent studies have begun to explore the impacts of drought events on Aleppo pine canopy architecture (Girard et al., 2010b), but there is no work as of yet on the effects of long-term or severe isolated drought on holm oak architecture.

Europe witnessed an exceptional heat wave and drought in 2003 (Rebetez et al., 2006), and extensive studies have shown the impact of this severe climatic event on forest production (Ciais et al., 2005, Granier et al., 2007). Additionally, the years from 2004 to 2007 in the French Mediterranean have imposed repeated drought conditions beyond the normal climate pattern with an impact measured by a fast forest flora turnover (Vennetier and Ripert, 2009). The years after 2007 have seen a return to normal precipitation patterns. There exists, therefore, a substantial opportunity to study the direct and delayed impact of drought on the primary growth of Aleppo pine and holm oak and their potential recovery in recent years using retrospective methods

The objective of this study is to describe and compare the aboveground primary growth of Aleppo pine and holm oak in response to changing climate through retrospective canopy architecture analysis. Following the climate forecasts for the Mediterranean, both the effects of chronic moderate drought and acute intense drought are considered. The main hypothesis is that Aleppo pine will exhibit stronger primary growth under long-term xeric conditions and the corollary that holm oak will have better primary growth under long-term mesic conditions. A secondary hypothesis is that holm oak will be more severely affected by temporary and severe drought than Aleppo pine.

## 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1 General research area

The research was carried out at three sites in mixed Mediterranean forest of southern France in the Bouches-du-Rhône (13) and Var (83) departments. The sites were selected based on the relative homogeneity of their edaphic characteristics and forest cover, as determined by a bioclimatic model for the region (Vennetier, 2007a; Vennetier et al., 2008). All of the sites had thin rocky clay soils on limestone parent material with exposed bedrock and deep fissures. Slope at each site is less than 5%. The dominant class of trees originated from either a past fire or from logging and is even-aged: 55 years +/-2 for Aleppo pine and oak coppice. Some old scattered pines remaining from the parent stand originated from progressive pasture abandonment during the previous centuries and are 90 to 120 years, as are probably the oak stumps. Each site had about the same density of 15 trees/100m<sup>2</sup>. The species present at each site are characteristic of the western Mediterranean basin: the broad-leafed phillyrea (*Phillyrea latifolia* L.) and the Kermes oak (*Quercus coccifera* L.) are dominant in the understory, and Aleppo pine and holm oak are dominant in a discontinuous overstory.

## 2.2 Thermal-hydrological transect

The basic element of the experimental design in this study was the comparison of different sites comprising similar compositions of Mediterranean forest but located along an elevation transect. This transect then provided a range of climatic conditions distributed along a thermal-hydrological transect with temperature decreasing and precipitation increasing with elevation. Previous work in the French Mediterranean has demonstrated the utility of such an approach (Vennetier et al 2005a). For our study, three sites (Table 2.1) were selected at the lower, middle, and upper segments of the transect, which constitute a dry, an intermediate, and a wet site relative to one another. The precipitation gradient then allowed observations of long-term adaptations and differences between sites under different climate regimes.

Site	Saint-Mitre	Font Blanche	Siou Blanc
Туре	Dry	Intermediate	Wet
Elevation (m)	120	400	600
Precipitation (mm)*	566	745	806
Mean annual temperature (°C)*	14.4	12.7	11.4

Table 2.1 General site characteristics.

\*1961 – 1990 average

#### 2.3 Site descriptions

#### 2.3.1 Saint-Mitre

The first site was Saint-Mitre-les-Remparts (43° 27' N, 5° 02' E) located near the western shore of *l'Etang de Berre* in the Bouches-du-Rhône department (Figure 2.1). Saint-Mitre was a low elevation site at 120m, characterized by mixed Aleppo pine and holm oak in the canopy stratum with Kermes oak dominating the understory and an absence of *Phillyrea latiflolia*, replaced by *Phillyrea angustifolia*. This site was categorized as a thermo-Mediterranean bioclimatic type (Le Houerou, 2005).

### 2.3.2 Font Blanche

The second site was located in the Departmental Forest of Font Blanche (43° 14' N, 5° 40' E), approximately 25km east of Aubagne in the Bouches-du-Rhône department (Figure 2.1). Font Blanche was the medium elevation site in the transect at 400m and is categorized as a meso-Mediterranean bioclimatic type (Le Houerou, 2005). This forest at this site was also characterized by mixed Aleppo pine and holm oak, but differs from Saint-Mitre by a decrease in Kermes oak abundance and the presence of *Phillyrea latifolia* in the understory.

## 2.3.3 Siou Blanc

The third site was situated on the Siou Blanc plateau (43°14'N, 5°53'E), near the village of Cuges-les-Pins in the Var department (Figure 2.1). Siou Blanc was the highest elevation site in the transect at 600m and is categorized as a supra-Mediterranean

climate type (Le Houerou, 2005). The climatic conditions at Siou Blanc allow the development of an important downy oak (*Quercus pubescens* Willd.) component in the canopy, and Kermes oak was less abundant. The overstory, as in the other two sites, included Aleppo pine and holm oak.



Figure 2.1 Map of research area and study sites.

### 2.4 Climate datasets

Temperature and precipitation datasets for the research sites came from measurements of various meteorological stations in the region (MétéoFrance 2010). For Saint-Mitre, the data came from the station at Istres, which is located 6km from the site at a similar elevation also on the west coast of *l'Etang de Berre*. Climate data for Font Blanche prior to 2008 was interpolated from the temperature and precipitation data from several meteorological stations in the vicinity: Gémenos, Roquefort la Bédoule, Aubagne, and Saint-Baume. Since August 2007, a meteorological station operated by the *Insitut National de la Recherche Agronomique* (INRA) has been in place at Font Blanche. For Siou Blanc, the temperature data came from stations at Le Beausset and Le

Castellet, and precipitation data came from La Roquebrussanne in addition to these two stations. Temperature was corrected using a lapse rate of 0.55°C/100m, and a weighted average for temperature and precipitation according to distance from the research site was used. The climate conditions at each site were then used to infer drought intensity.

### 2.5 Sampling

Sampling was done during January and February of 2009 and 2010 when both species are dormant. A sample was defined as a branch harvested following four criteria for both species: 1) from a dominant tree, 2) in the upper part of the canopy, 3) with full sunlight exposure, and 4) constituting at least the entirety of the last three years of growth. Three samples were taken from five different trees at each site.

In a previous study at the Cemagref (Girard et al 2010a, b; Ouarmim, 2009), Aleppo pine branches were harvested from 2006 to 2009 to reconstruct primary growth for the period 1995-2008. The sampling followed the same aforementioned criteria with the exception of sampling branches with many more years of growth. Pairs of dominant branches (exposition in the crown: north and south) were cut at three crown positions (top, intermediate and low-level when alive, aged respectively 15, 25 and 35 years) on six dominant Aleppo pines per site. Successive branch sampling from 2005 to 2009 (seven trees per year) was made to consider branch and axes autocorrelation and expand the studied time span (Girard et al. 2010b). The data compiled were also used in this study to supplement the current sampling campaign and to provide a longer and updated time-series dataset. To reconcile the differences between sampling procedures, all Aleppo pine samples were classified into three vigor groups, and the samples used in this study were categorized as vigorous to give a homogeneous base for comparison among sites and years. Previous work at the Cemagref (Girard et al. 2010b) has found Aleppo pine architectural structure and development to be closely related to vigor, and this therefore allows the use of data from samples harvested under different criteria.

#### 2.6 Tree architectural organization

A hierarchical approach derived from Barthélémy and Caraglio (2007) was used to describe the architectural structure of the sample. Three architectural categories were used in this system: A1 was the name given to the principal axis of growth, A2 was the name given to secondary branching off of the principal axis, and A3 was the name given to secondary branching off of an A2 shoot. An A4 classification also exists, but it was quite uncommon (<1%) and was excluded from the analysis. Starting from the base of the A1 from 2007, each growth unit (growth cycle) was systematically classified in a database according to its age and architectural category.

### 2.7 Morphological markers

Morphological markers characteristic to each species like size and density of bud scales and bud scars at the base of the growth units, lateral axes, and position of cones were used to reconstruct tree primary growth for a) the last three years and b) for the last 10 to 15 years on each branch, following methods described by Sabatier et al. (2006) and Pardos et al. (2003) which proved to be also relevant for Aleppo pine (Girard et al. 2010b).

Aleppo pine is regularly polycyclic, but provides clear morphological markers to determine the extent and age of growth units. Two characters were used to identify the start of the growth unit. The first was the presence of a segment of the shoot with scales and no needles (Figure 2.2). Aleppo pine only produced secondary branches and cones at the beginning of growth units, which also provided a clear indication when present. For holm oak, the analogs of the same two key morphological markers identify the start of the growth unit. The terminal bud-scale scar defined the base of the growth unit, and the extent of the stem between two consecutive bud scars was the complete growth unit (Figure 2.2). The other marker was the presence of nearly opposite secondary branching that often, but not always, was found directly below the terminal bud-scale scar. This was contrasted by the obvious alternate branching pattern found along the length of the growth unit.

The age of each growth unit was determined by counting back from the most recently produced shoot. The color of the shoot and the degree of lignification were reasonably good characters to determine the difference between a growth unit from the present year and one from the previous year. For Aleppo pine, a tight clustering of the needleless scales indicated a growth unit produced at the beginning of the year, as opposed to a

polycyclic growth unit (Figure 2.2). The occurrence of polycyclism in holm oak is low (<5%, personal observations); however, since holm oak did not provide an obvious morphological marker between the growth units of a polycyclic shoot, the age of each growth unit was crosschecked to avoid errors by retracing the growth units from several branches on the same sample. Crossdating twigs was done by comparing ages of annual shoots on main axis with first shoots of basic whorls.



**Figure 2.2** Morphological markers for holm oak: a) swelling, bud scar, and opposite branching indicating the separation of the present year and previous year growth units and b) contrasting alternate branching pattern, and morphological markers for Aleppo pine: c) the tight clustering of bud scale scars indicating the separation between two consecutive years of growth and d) the spaced scales indicating the new growth unit is from the same year.

## 2.8 Measurements

## 2.8.1 Description of primary growth

Several measurements were made for each growth unit on each sampled branches: flush length, twig diameter, number of branches, number of interaxilary branches (for holm oak), and number of leaves or needles. Flush length was measured to the nearest millimetre from the bottom of the basal branches or bud-scale scar to the base of the next growth unit using a digital calliper ( $\pm 0.01$ mm). Numbers of branches and leaves were simply the counts (0 if absent), and each fascicle bearing needles was considered "one leaf" despite Aleppo pine usually having two needles per fascicle. The leaves were stripped from the stem, and the stems and leaves were separated by year.

## 2.8.2 Leaf area

Ten leaves of each species were taken, at random but roughly representative, from both the present and previous year for leaf area calculation. For holm oak, the leaves were scanned and analyzed using WinFolia (Regent Instruments Inc., 2007). This program provided measures for the area of each individual leaf as well as length, width, perimeter, and a form coefficient. Before scanning, the leaves were soaked in water for two days for turgescence. This step was necessary to give additional flexibility to the otherwise rigid leaves, which in turn provided a better estimate of leaf area when the leaves were compressed between the scanning surface and the scanner cover. Measurement of Aleppo pine leaf area followed a different protocol to take into account the trapezoidal form of the needles. The length of the needle (one per fascicle with the assumption that needles born from the same fascicle are identical) was measured with a ruler to the nearest millimetre, and width and thickness were measured at the middle of the needle with a digital calliper ( $\pm 0.01$ mm). Needle length was used as a proxy for needle area, as width and thickness can be contorted by twisting as needles grow.

## 2.8.3 Phenological observations

At Font Blanche, 9m-high scaffolding was installed in 2007 and 2008 to access the top-level foliage of 17 mature Aleppo pines and 16 holm oak of the same generation (55  $\pm$ 1 years). On each tree, three to six branches close to the scaffold were chosen using the same protocol for branch sampling for biweekly phenologic observations. Phenological stages (phenological phases or phenophases) were identified using a procedure proposed by DuMerle and Mazet (1983). Budburst, leafing-out, flowering, and fruiting processes were scaled over several stages ranging for from stage A (winter stage) when the bud was completely closed to stage E (maturation) when the new leaves were fully developed and mature. The onset of twigs was checked by visual observations of the apical buds. Flush length was measured to the nearest millimeter with a ruler, every week or 15 days from February 12<sup>th</sup> to November 15<sup>th</sup> 2008, from January 28<sup>th</sup> to December 15<sup>th</sup> 2009 and from January 28<sup>th</sup> to December 15<sup>th</sup> 2010.

## 2.9 Statistical analyses

Comparisons between sites and between years were performed with ANOVA (Fstatistic) and with a Kruskal-Wallis test (H-statistic) in the case of data that did not meet the criteria for parametric tests (normality and heteroscedasticity), and residuals in ANOVA were visually checked for homogeneity. If only two groups were compared, a Mann-Whitney test (*U*-statistic) was used in lieu of Kruskal-Wallis. Posthoc multiple comparison tests were done to determine differences between groups using a Tukey test with ANOVA and a Nemenyi test (Nemenyi, 1963) if necessary after the Kruskal-Wallis test. Analysis of trends was done via linear regression models. Multivariate relationships between individual years, climate, and primary growth variables were explored using Principle Component Analysis (PCA) methods. Statistical analyses were performed using R software (R Development Core Team, 2010).

### 3. Results

## 3.1 Characterization of climate

#### 3.1.1 Evolution of climatic conditions at study sites

Analysis of the climate datasets suggests that climate has already begun to warm at the three study sites. At all three sites, the average annual temperature has increased ( $R^2$ =0.52, 0.26 and 0.61, p<0.001 at Saint-Mitre, Font Blanche, and Siou Blanc respectively). The average temperature of the last 10 years was 1.2–1.3°C greater than the 1961–1990 average at each site. Over the study period 1995–2009, annual temperature of every year at each site, with the exception of 1995 and 1996 at Font Blanche, was above the 1961–1990 average annual temperature. The average summer maximum temperature was also above the 1961–1990 average for every year of the study, except for Siou Blanc and Saint-Mitre in 1997 (0.09 and 0.01°C below average respectively). No significant trends were observed at any of the sites for precipitation, which was found to be highly variable from one year to another. However over the study period, many years experienced precipitation well below the historic 30-year average (Table 3.1). At Font Blanche the largest deficits were from 2004 to 2007, during which all years were at least 30% below average. At Siou Blanc 2001, 2004, 2005, and 2007 were at least 29% below average. At Saint-Mitre, these years were 2001 and 2004 to 2007 (all at least 23.5% below average). The largest deficit for all sites was 2007 when Saint-Mitre, Font Blanche, and Siou Blanc were 41%, 62%, and 46% below average respectively, with very little rain from March to October. In actual amounts of rainfall however, a 46% decline in rainfall at Siou Blanc is approximately the same as a 24% decline at Saint-Mitre (2007 at Siou Blanc versus 2001 at Saint-Mitre for example).

	Precipitation (mm)					
Year	Saint	-Mitre	Font l	Blanche	Siou	Blanc
1961-1990 average	566		748		807	
1995	612	8%	852	14%	919	14%
1996	822	45%	1043	39%	1043	29%
1997	490	-13%	607	-19%	713	-12%
1998	529	-7%	595	-20%	627	-22%
1999	656	16%	859	15%	942	17%
2000	556	-2%	760	2%	856	6%
2001*	430	-24%	563	-25%	551	-32%
2002	762	35%	991	32%	984	22%
2003	683	21%	655	-12%	693	-14%
2004*	426	-25%	441	-41%	561	-30%
2005*	433	-23%	525	-30%	570	-29%
2006*	373	-34%	521	-30%	740	-8%
2007*	336	-41%	281	-62%	434	-46%
2008	779	38%	779	4%	1063	32%
2009	697	23%	712	-5%	872	8%

 Table 3.1 Annual precipitation and percent deviation from the average at each site, 1995–2009. \*=Years where annual precipitation was at least 30% below the 1961–1990 average at one or more sites.

## 3.1.2 Climate, 2006–2009

The monthly precipitation and temperatures (min and max) for each site from 2006 to 2009 (Figure 3.1) demonstrated a similar climate pattern for all three sites with overall differences in the amplitude of each measurement among the three sites. As expected for the elevation gradient, rainfall was generally greatest at Siou Blanc and lowest at Saint-Mitre and temperatures were typically highest at Saint-Mitre and lowest at Siou Blanc. The climate of Font Blanche tended to vary between the two extremes. For all three sites, 2006 was a dry year during the first part of the growing season (Figure 3.1); Siou Blanc and Font Blanche were relieved of drought conditions with late summer and autumn rainfalls but Saint-Mitre received little precipitation until the end of 2007 and beginning of 2008 (exceptions being September 2006 and May 2007). As mentioned, 2007 was a particularly extreme drought year for all sites. Additionally, the water deficit at Font Blanche remained in late summer and fall but improved at Saint-Mitre and Siou Blanc. Furthermore, the rainfall in spring 2008 occurred in a few heavy but isolated events in which most precipitation was lost due to runoff, and Font Blanche remained under drought conditions until September 2008. Years 2008 and 2009 were more or less a return to historic precipitation levels and patterns, with Saint-Mitre in fact receiving above average rainfall.



Figure 3.1. Monthly precipitation (gray bars), average maximum temperature (triangles), and average minimum temperature (squares) from 2006 to 2009 for a) Siou Blanc, b) Font Blanche, and c) Saint-Mitre.

### 3.2 Aleppo pine

The PCA showed that all variables and years were distributed primarily along the x-axis with an overall negligible vertical dispersal (Figure 3.2). The first factor (xaxis) explained between 70 and 92% of variance, and therefore indicated that a strong relationship existed between years and the architectural features. At all three sites shoot length, number of growth units and branching were closely related. At Saint-Mitre and Font Blanche, the number of needles was slightly offset from the other three variables, although in the same general direction in each case. On the contrary, the number of needles was distinctly separate from shoot length, number of growth units, and branching at Siou Blanc. Number of needles at Siou Blanc was strongly aligned with the y-axis (24% of variance), and number of needles at Saint-Mitre and Font Blanche was also offset along the vertical axis. This implied that the y-axis may represent foliar primary growth as opposed to the shoot growth/branching on the x-axis, and that number of needles was influenced by slightly different factors than the other architecture variables. The effect of the y-axis was however negligible at Saint-Mitre where it accounted for only 5% of the explained variance. Furthermore, an approximate grouping was also apparent for the years. For Saint-Mitre and Font Blanche, the years from 1995 to 2003 were located on the left half of the PCA plane and 2004 to 2008 on the right half (Figure 3.2b,c). At Siou Blanc the years 2000 to 2002 were also clustered with the years 2005 to 2008 (Figure 3.2a). Years on the right side of the PCA plane were years when a severe drought occurred (Table 3.1) whereas those on the left were years with favorable precipitation. Therefore, a relationship appears to exist between drought and architectural development.



Figure 3.2 Results of principal component analysis on shoot length, number of cycles, number of branches, and number of needles for 1995 to 2008 at a) Siou Blanc, b) Font Blanche, and c) Saint-Mitre.

#### 3.2.1 Comparison of primary growth between sites, 1995–2009

Over the period 1995 to 2009, a general pattern appeared for architectural features: trees at Saint-Mitre and Font Blanche were similar and those at Siou Blanc were different. Pine twigs in Font Blanche and Saint-Mitre produced more growth units per year and more needles per year than Siou Blanc (Table 3.2). There were no significant differences among sites for shoot length or number of branches (p=0.06 in both cases). Differences found in the number of cycles and needles combined with these two results near the significance level point toward a distinction between Saint-Mitre and Font

Blanche on the low and middle part of the thermal-hydrological gradient and Siou Blanc at the upper end. Using the distinction among years apparent from the PCA, the period 1995 to 2009 was separated into two intervals: 1995 to 2003 and 2005 to 2009. In grouping the years, 2004 was excluded as it was a transitional year between the two periods, but it was relevant in comparisons between individual years. For the period 1995 to 2003, all architecture variables showed the same result: Aleppo pines at Saint-Mitre and Font Blanche produced longer shoots, more growth units, more branches, and more needles than at Siou Blanc (Table 3.2). Finally, for the period 2005 to 2009, all architecture variables showed the same result but with a different pattern: trees at Font Blanche had longer shoots, higher number of cycles, higher branching and more needles than at Saint-Mitre and Siou Blanc.

	Shoot length	Number of	Number of	Number of
	Shoot length	growth units	branches*	needles
1995–2009				
Saint-Mitre	$156.4 \pm 65.7^{a}$	$1.99\pm0.37^a$	$3.39\pm1.53^a$	$60.0\pm13.8^a$
Font Blanche	$188.2\pm49.3^a$	$2.12\pm0.21^a$	$3.53\pm0.99^a$	$62.0 \pm 17.5^{a}$
Siou Blanc	$137.7\pm56.6^a$	$1.66\pm0.32^{b}$	$2.51\pm0.97^{a}$	$42.2\pm16.9^{b}$
1995–2003				
Saint-Mitre	$194.6\pm38.7^{ab}$	$2.19\pm0.14^{a\ddagger}$	$4.37\pm0.63^a$	$68.8\pm4.7^a$
Font Blanche	$218.4\pm31.8^a$	$2.22 \pm 0.16^{a^{+}_{\star}}$	$4.02\pm0.77^a$	$68.5\pm15.8^a$
Siou Blanc	$153.1\pm63.0^{b}$	$1.70\pm0.41^{b\ddagger}$	$2.87\pm1.03^{b}$	$39.9 \pm 20.7^{b}$
$2005 – 2009^{\ddagger}$				
Saint-Mitre	$77.6 \pm 61.5^{b}$	$1.52\pm0.67^{b}$	$1.58\pm1.46^{b}$	$43.7\pm21.8^{b}$
Font Blanche	$146.8\pm64.4^a$	$1.96\pm0.76^a$	$2.63\pm1.72^{a}$	$51.4 \pm 19.6^{\rm a}$
Siou Blanc	$95.0\pm78.3^{b}$	$1.55\pm0.62^{b}$	$1.75 \pm 1.43^{b}$	$44.1\pm23.7^b$

 Table 3.2 Mean values with standard deviation for the periods 1995 to 2009, 1995 to 2003, and 2005 to 2009. Letters indicate results of posthoc multiple comparison tests.

\*Branches does not include 2009

<sup>‡</sup>Variables compared with non-parametric tests

#### 3.2.2 Evolution of primary growth between the three sites, 1995–2009

For each character related to shoot elongation and production, Aleppo pine appeared to be experiencing a reduction in primary growth between 1995 to 2009 at all three sites of the gradient. At Saint-Mitre, Font Blanche, and Siou Blanc, a significant negative trend existed for shoot length ( $R^2=0.50$ , 0.42, and 0.29 respectively at p<0.05) and number of branches ( $R^2=0.92$ , 0.35, and 0.61 respectively at p<0.05). A significant negative trend in number of growth units was seen at Saint-Mitre ( $R^2=0.31$ , p<0.05) and Font Blanche ( $R^2=0.34$ , p<0.05). The number of needles showed no tendency at Font Blanche or Siou Blanc but demonstrated a negative trend over the 15-year period at Saint-Mitre ( $R^2=0.45$ , p<0.01). The trends were generally weak to moderate in terms of explained variance. When the means for each component of canopy architecture at each site were displayed as a time series (Figures 3.3 and 3.4), it was apparent that there were several years acting as inflection points in the lines for each site across all variables, thereby contributing to weak  $R^2$ . These years were 2003, 2005, 2007, and to a lesser extent 2001. The distinction of these years also supported the separation of the entire time series into two intervals as determined by the PCA.

## 3.3.2a Decomposition of the time-period: evolution of primary growth, 1995–2003

At Font Blanche no significant trends were found during the period 1995–2003 for any architectural traits. Although, an apparent decline in shoot length at Siou Blanc was present between 1998 and 2001, the upturn of values in 2002 and 2003 reduced any tendency over the entire interval. Saint-Mitre was the only site where Aleppo pine showed a significant moderate negative trend for shoot length ( $R^2=0.40$ , p<0.05). Trees at Saint-Mitre and Siou Blanc both had moderate negative trends for number of growth units ( $R^2=0.42$  and 0.47 respectively, p < 0.05). Pines at Saint-Mitre and Siou Blanc also showed stronger negative trends for branching ( $R^2=0.85$  and 0.54, p<0.001 and 0.05 respectively). A Kruskal-Wallis test with posthoc Nemenyi test was used to compare all 15 years at each site for each architectural trait. Within the interval 1995-2003, most years were not significantly different from one another. At Saint-Mitre, no significant differences were seen among any of the years from 1995 to 2003 for any of the architecture variables. At Font Blanche, there was also no difference in number of cycles or number of branches and few differences in shoot length and number of needles (2001 and 2003). Trees at Siou Blanc, on the contrary, presented a different pattern showing a significant decline in all architecture measures from 1998 to 2001 and subsequent recovery in 2003. Despite not being significantly superior to most other years in the period from 1995-2003, 2003 was a productive year at all sites in absolute terms.

## 3.3.2b Decomposition of the time-period: evolution of primary growth, 2005–2009

A significant decline of architectural development following 2003 set it apart from the entire 15-year time series at Saint-Mitre and Font Blanche in comparison with Siou Blanc where architectural development declined after 1998. Architecture variables at Saint-Mitre and Font Blanche usually declined significantly from 2003 to 2004, but the greatest effect occurred between 2003 and 2005, again confirming the position of 2004 as a transitional year between the peak in 2003 and the minimum in 2005. The last interval of the time-series, 2005 to 2009, was marked by two general patterns in primary growth: stabilization and recovery. All three sites exhibited both trends in at least one aspect of architecture. Over 2005 to 2007, shoot length, number of branches, and number of leaves of pines at Saint-Mitre stabilized at a significantly lower level than the 1995–2003 interval. All variables except needle length were at a significant minimum in 2008 and shoot length, number of cycles, and number of needles recovered in 2009. Needle length at Saint-Mitre showed large and significant increases in 2008 and 2009 while all other architecture variables were at a minimum for the entire 15 years. Architectural development of trees at Font Blanche stabilized from 2005 to 2008, and at a level significantly lower than the 1995 – 2003 period for shoot length and number of branches. A slight recovery appeared in 2007 for all variables, but was halted in 2008. Shoot length, number of needles, and needle length recovered in 2009. At Siou Blanc, a general stabilization of primary growth was seen over 2005 to 2009 with branching and number of needles being lower than the 2003/2004 maximum and a recovery of shoot length in 2009.



Figure 3.3 Evolution of the shoot development variables: shoot length, number of growth units, and number of branches at Saint-Mitre (dashed line/triangles), Font Blanche (solid black line/diamonds), and Siou Blanc (gray line/squares) from 1995 to 2009. Vertical lines denote critical years in the time series used to separate the 15 year series into pertinent intervals.



Figure 3.4 Evolution of the foliar development variables: number of needles and needle length at Saint-Mitre (dashed line/triangles), Font Blanche (black line/diamonds), and Siou Blanc (gray line/squares), 1995-2009. Vertical lines denote critical years in the time series.

## 3.3 Holm oak

## 3.3.1 Shoot growth and branching, 2007–2009

In 2007, holm oak showed no differences in principal axis length (A1) among the sites (Figure 3.5) but the Kruskal-Wallis test gave a result near the threshold at p=0.07. The length of the A1 also demonstrated the effect of the variability of holm oak primary growth: although no significant change occurred at any site from 2007 to 2008, trees at Siou Blanc were shown to have produced longer A1 than at Font Blanche. Furthermore, the variability of A1 growth was consistently lower at the driest site, Saint-Mitre. The difference in 2009 was due to a significant decrease at Saint-Mitre from 2008 to 2009. The Kruskal-Wallis test of the three years at Font Blanche was near the threshold (p=0.07). The length of the secondary axis (A2) was different among sites only in 2009, when holm oak at Font Blanche produced longer A2 than either Saint-Mitre or Siou Blanc (Figure 3.6). This, however, was not due to an increase in the A2 length at Font Blanche in 2009 but rather a decrease in A2 length from 2008 to 2009 at Saint-Mitre and Siou Blanc. As with the A1 length, variability was generally lower at Saint-Mitre, but paradoxically the maximum value for the length of A2 was found at this site in 2008. Additionally, the variability of all sites was lower in 2007 than in 2008 or 2009.



Figure 3.5 Box plots of lengths of the primary axis at each site from 2007 to 2009, with whiskers indicating the  $10^{th}$  and  $90^{th}$  percentiles and points indicating outliers. The table presents the differences between the years at each site. Groups with different letters represent a significant difference (p < 0.05) in a posthoc Nemenyi test.



Figure 3.6 Box plots of lengths of secondary axes of holm oak at each site, from 2007 to 2009 with whiskers indicating the  $10^{th}$  and  $90^{th}$  percentiles and points indicating outliers. The table presents the differences between the years at each site. Groups with different letters represent a significant difference (p < 0.05) in a posthoc Nemenyi test.

Branching from the previous year's A1 was found to be an important part of total secondary branching, comprising approximately 40-60% of the total, except for Sainte-Mitre where it was only 8% in 2009. Branching was therefore compared for 2008 and

2009 for branches developing from both the present and previous year's A1 (Figure 3.7). Differences for branching were present only at Saint-Mitre, where more secondary branches were produced than at Font Blanche in 2008; due to a decrease in branching from 2008 to 2009 at Saint-Mitre, holm oak produced fewer branches here than at Siou Blanc in 2009.



Saint-Mitre Font Blanche Siou Blanc

Figure 3.7 Box plots of secondary branching of holm oak at each site in 2008 and 2009 with whiskers indicating the  $10^{th}$  and  $90^{th}$  percentiles and points indicating outliers. The table presents the differences between the years at each site. Groups with different letters represent a significant difference (p < 0.05) in a posthoc Nemenyi test.

#### 3.2.2 Foliar architecture component

Leaves produced on branches originating from the principal axis of the previous year had no significant bearing on the results of comparisons. Therefore, we analyzed the number of leaves produced on the A1 of the same year and its secondary branches. With differences among sites during each year and differences among years at each site, the number of leaves produced by holm oak was more responsive among sites and years than shoot and branching architectural characters (Figure 3.8). In general, a contrasting pattern was present at Saint-Mitre than at Font Blanche and Siou Blanc. 2007 was a low for leaves produced at all sites. The number of leaves increased in 2008 and dropped again in 2009 at Saint-Mitre. Conversely, at Font Blanche and Siou Blanc no change occurred from 2007 to 2008, but significantly more leaves were produced at both sites in 2009 in comparison with 2007. By the end of the 3-year period, however, no differences among sites were present in the number of leaves. The variability of the number of leaves at Saint-Mitre was lower than at Font Blanche and Siou Blanc and was lower in 2007 than in 2008 and 2009.



**Figure 3.8** Box plots of number of leaves of holm oak at each site from 2007 to 2009 with whiskers indicating the  $10^{th}$  and  $90^{th}$  percentiles and points indicating outliers. The table presents the differences between the years at each site. Groups with different letters represent a significant difference (p < 0.05) in a posthoc Nemenyi test.

The laminar leaf area showed less of a distinct pattern than other architecture variables. Leaf area was not different among the sites in 2007 or in 2009 (Figure 3.9). Also, an inconsistent response of holm oak leaf area in 2008 among sites and between years at

each site suggested that 2008 was a transitional year between 2007 and 2009. Nonetheless, the exceptional laminar leaf area in 2008 at Saint-Mitre implied that holm oak at this site expressed a distinctly different growth response than at the other two sites.



Saint-Mitre Font Blanche Siou Blanc

Figure 3.9 Box plots of holm oak laminar leaf area at each site from 2007 to 2009 with whiskers indicating the  $10^{\text{th}}$  and  $90^{\text{th}}$  percentiles and points indicating outliers. The table presents the differences between the years at each site. Groups with different letters represent a significant difference (p < 0.05) in a posthoc Nemenyi test.

## 3.4 Aleppo pine and holm oak inter-site primary growth comparison, 2007–2009

Aleppo pine primary growth was favored at Font Blanche in 2007, but holm oak primary growth was overall not different among sites (Table 3.3). Taking into account the inter-annual differences at each site in addition to the differences among sites, Aleppo pine primary growth was particularly low in 2008 at Saint-Mitre, but holm oak at Saint-Mitre had better growth than other years and among sites for all architectural components except shoot development. In 2009, Aleppo pine growth was generally favored at Saint-Mitre and Font Blanche, whereas holm oak growth was favored at Font Blanche and Siou Blanc and was exceptionally low at Saint-Mitre. The growth response of holm oak and Aleppo pine over 2007–2009 showed more or less the same tendency at Font Blanche and Siou Blanc but an opposing tendency at Saint-Mitre.

**Table 3.3** Recapitulation of the inter-site differences for primary growth of Aleppo pine and holm oak from 2007 to 2009, compiled from the hypothesis and multiple comparison test results of each species

Architectural	Species response among different sites		
component	Aleppo pine	Holm oak	
2007			
Shoot length	SM and SB < FB	No differences	
Polycyclism	SM and SB < FB	_	
Branching	(SM < FB) = SB	No differences	
Number of leaves	(SM < FB) = SB	No differences	
Leaf length and area	(SM < FB) = SB	(SM < FB) = SB	
2008			
Shoot length	SM < SB < FB	SM and $FB < SB$	
Polycyclism	SM < SB < FB	_	
Branching	(SM < FB) = SB	(SM > FB) = SB	
Number of leaf	SM < FB and SB	(SM > FB) = SB	
Leaf length and area	SM and $FB > SB$	SM > FB and $SB$	
2009			
Shoot length	No differences	(SM < FB) = SB	
Polycyclism	(SM > SB) = FB	_	
Branching		(SM < SB) = FB	
Number of leaves	(FB > SB) = SM	No differences	
Leaf length	SM > FB and $SB$	No differences	

## 4. Discussion

Although the primary growth response of holm oak was somewhat unclear considering the high architectural variability and the constraints of a three-year study period, primary growth was indeed limited at all sites during 2007 and post-2007 primary growth demonstrated divergent patterns at each site. This decline in growth in recent years is corroborated by other work documenting decline in primary and secondary growth of forest species in the French Mediterranean after the extreme heat wave and drought in 2003 and through the subsequent drought years (Vennetier el al., 2007b). The final two years of the study period were the first years of regular to favorable precipitation since 2002, which offers an insight into the multi-year effect of repeated drought and the potential for recovery from prolonged drought. Contrary to holm oak, the response of Aleppo pine architectural growth was clear: a significant decline of all architectural variables was observed following the 2003 heat wave. It was not evident for all architecture variables at all sites due to the effects of year-to-year variation. However, the fact that most architecture measures for the period 2005–2009 were often significantly lower than the more productive years prior to 2003 if not from the entire 1995–2003 period demonstrated the reduced primary growth during the latter portion of the study period. Those assumptions were then confirmed by the PCA calculation.

When considered in conjunction with the climatic data for the study period, PCA results indicated a strong relationship between climate and primary growth. The equal age of the trees and edaphic homogeneity of the sites eliminates the natural decline of growth with age as a confounding factor and the potential effects of heterogeneous site characteristics. This thus leaves the climate of the individual years as a cause of the observed differences in primary growth between sites and over the years. The segregation of years in the PCA plane corresponds well to years with either favorable or drought conditions defined on an annual basis. The years from 1995 to 2003 were grouped due to ample rainfall and warm temperature that was well timed during the growing season. Year 2003 also fell into this category because the heat wave and drought began in May after most growth was already finished. The years after 2003, and

2000 to 2002 at Siou Blanc, were all years of repeated drought stress beginning from May 2003 and lasting to 2007 (SM and SB) or 2008 (FB). Although 2008 was a year of favorable climate for tree growth, architecture still suffered from the lasting impacts of successive drought years. Year 2009 also had abundant precipitation. The years were either positively or negatively correlated to architectural development because of the influence of previous and present year's climate and the seasonal distribution of precipitation on primary growth.

Other studies by our research group have shown the importance of the distribution of precipitation and temperature during critical periods for tree architectural development and the effect of both the preceding year's (n-1) climate as well as that of the current year (n) on primary growth (Barthélémy and Caraglio, 2007; Thabeet et al., 2009; Girard et al., 2010b). Therefore, climate of year (n-1) and of year (n) will be useful in examining how the two species respond to isolated and repeated drought, and the distribution of climate parameters during these two periods will be useful in determining the actual drought experienced and the subsequent effect of drought on each species according to their respective drought adaptation strategy and phenology. For Aleppo pine, spring and fall precipitation of year (n-1) and fall precipitation of year (n-1) have a negative effect on shoot length and number of growth units (Girard et al., 2010b). The influence of climate parameters on holm oak architectural development has not been directly studied to date, but similar assumptions on the influence of past and present year climate are made.

Primary growth derives from two basic processes: *preformation* and *neoformation*. The former depends mostly on climate of previous year, the latter on only the climate of the present year (Caraglio et al., 1997). At the basic entity of primary growth, the bud, organs may be preformed; that is to say, the number of growth units or the number of leaves are predetermined in the bud before the start of the growing season. In the case of preformation, the development of the embryonic preformed organs in the bud occurs when the bud develops during the previous year's growing season thus the climate of the previous year plays an integral role in the preformation. In fact, the predetermined organs will develop independently of the current year climate (Taiz and Zeiger, 2006). On the other hand, neoformation is a factor primarily in twig elongation but also in the

formation of branches, fruiting, and leaf development and thus is impacted by the current year climate. To demonstrate this, two growth units may be preformed the year (n-1), but an 'unprogrammed', a neoformed, third growth unit (even a fourth) may develop under favorable autumn climatic conditions. The primary growth of Aleppo pine is known to be highly preformed, but the balance between neoformation and preformation of holm oak remained unknown in the literature. Therefore, the primary growth response of holm oak may also be informative (but not conclusive) of the level of preformation and neoformation for the measured architecture variables.

### 4.1 Effects of repeated drought on primary growth of Aleppo pine

Architectural development of trees at Saint-Mitre and Font Blanche was found to be similar for the entirety of the period from 1995 to 2003, and dissimilar for 2005 to 2009, thereby implying that the primary growth response of pine at each site became dissociated after the 2003 climate event. The decoupling, with Font Blanche remaining superior and Saint-Mitre becoming more similar to Siou Blanc, might indicate the potential for a shift in habitat suitability at the hottest and driest parts of Aleppo distribution in French Mediterranean under continued drought as has been witnessed for Scots pine (Vennetier et al., 2005b; Thabeet et al., 2009). The shift in primary growth at Saint-Mitre may have begun much earlier. The cause and validity of the decline remained uncertain though. Precipitation was generally not limiting during from 1995 to 2003 at Saint-Mitre, thus it is possible that high temperatures in excess of the historic average had begun to induce water stress. The regression model demonstrated the trend over the entire period, but the high variability between years resulted in no inter-annual differences, which casts doubt on the accuracy of the trend.

Primary growth remained relatively stable at the three sites until 1999. These years were also the best for primary growth, although there is some uncertainty in the first two to three years due to small sample sizes. The decline at Siou Blanc began after 1998 and may have been due to two factors. First, heavy snowfall early in years 1998, 1999, and 2001 at Siou Blanc and Font Blanche (mainly 1999 and 2001) affected architectural development. The 1999 snow break was particularly important at Siou Blanc and the effects on trees lasted until 2003. In 2001, more than 50 cm of snow covered the two sites, breaking a significant proportion of living branches since Aleppo pine architecture

is not adapted to reduce snow accumulation on its branches or to bear the additional burden (Nykänen et al., 1997). Long-lasting effects of architectural decline were observed at Siou Blanc and mitigated effects were seen at Font Blanche. However, as architectural development was at low levels for four years, we can assume that a large proportion of the photosynthetic organs were damaged during the 1998, 1999, and 2001 winters. From summer 2001 to 2003, trees had to reconstruct most of their foliage. The surviving branches may have been weaker axes that survived under the shelter of stronger branches which subsequently died from snow break, and sampling may therefore be an underestimate of primary growth. Moreover, climate data for Siou Blanc suggests that heavy early year snowfall may not be a rare occurrence and may consequently pose a semi-permanent limit to primary growth, particularly on the scale of 10 to 20 years.

In addition to catastrophic snow break, 2001 was the only year that stood out during the period 1995 – 2003 at all three sites as revealed by the PCA. This year was also the only drought year during the 1995 – 2003 interval at all three sites and was characterized by normal precipitation in spring and severe summer drought that continued into the fall at Siou Blanc. This drought had no discernable effect at Saint-Mitre and only Aleppo pine shoot length was significantly reduced from previous years at Font Blanche. Although the summer drought and moderate fall drought may have limited shoot elongation in 2001, no effect of low precipitation during the previous year was observed in 2002. This suggests that Aleppo pines at Saint-Mitre and Font Blanche were not negatively impacted by the occurrence of an isolated short and moderate drought, even when preceded by snow break during the same year. In the previous years, pines at both sites had strong primary growth and would have been healthy enough to endure one year's drought with negligible consequences. In contrast, Siou Blanc typically has one dry month per year. In 1998 – 2000, the summer drought lasted two to three months. The lower temperatures at Siou Blanc mean that trees start the growing season later and finish sooner. Therefore, a two to three month reduction of the growing season during these years may have negatively affected primary growth, and the strong drought in 2001 compounded the delayed effects of snow break. Ultimately, it was not possible to separate the effects of snow break and drought on the architectural development of Aleppo pine during these years.

Recent studies showed the impacts of the climate of 2003 in Europe and in the French Mediterranean and the negative effects of extreme heat and drought on tree health, tree architecture, and forest productivity (Ciais et al., 2005; Vennetier et al., 2005b; Girard et al. 2010b). In opposition with tree-ring studies (Nicault et al., 2001), our results suggest a strong delayed impact on Aleppo pine architecture following 2003 that lasted more than four years at Saint-Mitre and Font Blanche. Primary growth was generally high in 2003 due to constant and abundant precipitation from 2002 to spring 2003 combined with high temperatures in early-2003 resulting in an extended growing season. In late spring, temperatures rose 2 to 6°C above average and rainfall ceased from May through September. Evidence exists describing Aleppo pine that had not been able to develop mature needles before the acute drought, and had lost all but the current year's needles (Vennetier et al. 2007b). When precipitation returned, many trees at Saint-Mitre and Font Blanche produced another fully-foliated growth unit to compensate, which exhausted reserves. Abrupt onset of drought damaged the rooting system and caused irreversible xylem embolism (Granier et al., 2007), aggravating the precipitous decline in primary growth.

At Siou Blanc, a peak of increased growth was also present in 2003, but no decline was observed the following year. This is despite the fact that trees at Siou Blanc witnessed only one year of favorable climate after the snow breaks of 1998, 1999 and 2001 and the 2001 drought. This response was opposed to that of trees at Saint-Mitre and Font Blanche which would have entered this period with stronger primary growth. Furthermore, the amount and distribution of precipitation was similar in 2002, 2003, and 2004 to Saint-Mitre and Font Blanche, thereby excluding precipitation as a cause for the different responses. Temperature on the other hand was 2 to nearly 4°C cooler at Siou Blanc from March to October 2003. In so far as temperature alone is sufficient to induce water stress (Allard et al., 2009), lower temperatures may have buffered Aleppo pine at Siou Blanc against the serious consequences seen at the lower elevation sites.

The contrasting architectural responses at Saint-Mitre and Font Blanche versus Siou Blanc, resulted in the period from 2004 to 2006 being a bottleneck for primary growth at all sites. Year 2004 was a transitional year in which primary growth at the three sites equalized before becoming relatively stable at minimum levels in 2005 and 2006 mainly due to well timed rainfall during the spring and fall growing periods in 2005. In 2006,

summer drought extended four months at Saint-Mitre, three months at Font Blanche and four months at Siou Blanc. The duration of this drought had lasting impacts on primary growth, and from 2006 forward, the three sites began to show diverging primary growth responses to repeated droughts due to contrasting climatic conditions. For example, drought was particularly severe in 2006 at Saint-Mitre and Siou Blanc, but important rainfall (100 mm) in July reduced the cumulative water deficit of spring 2006 at Font Blanche, promoting tree architectural development. Triggered by the July 2006 abnormal summer rainfall, architecture variables seem to begin a recovery in 2007 at Font Blanche. The apparent recovery of Aleppo pine may have abated some of the negative impacts of extended drought that lasted from April 2007 to September 2008 at Font Blanche, but the recovery was halted at Font Blanche. No delayed impacts of this drought were evident though, as all variables showed a significant recovery in 2009. The lower than would be expected effect of such a long dry period indicates the improved status of Aleppo pine in 2007 and the ability of the trees to withstand the 2007 -2008 drought without serious deleterious effects and to resume their recovery in 2009. A certain level of plasticity in the conductive tissue can be expected in response to drought (Breda et al., 2006), and the response of Aleppo pine architecture in 2008 and 2009 could therefore also imply local adjustment after repeated drought episodes. Furthermore, similar patterns of decline and recovery were seen for *Pinus pinaster*, *P*. nigra, and P. pinea in the same region in another study at the Cemagref (Vennetier et al., 2010b; 2010c).

Trees at Saint-Mitre suffered delayed effects of repeated drought (2004-2008) that lasted longer than at Font Blanche or Siou Blanc, with little improvement and reaching an absolute minimum in 2008 after the severe drought in 2007 exhausted tree reserves. Needle length however, made large significant gains from 2007 to 2008 (all sites) and 2008 to 2009 (Saint-Mitre only). This character is neoformed and may be a response to maximize photosynthetic area despite drought stress. At Siou Blanc, primary growth neither declined nor improved. Precipitation was less limiting at Siou Blanc, yet due to phenological lags and longer summer drought trees could not take full advantage of the more favourable conditions and remained in a balance between the two counteracting influences. This phenomenon was probably complicated by the repeated drought events that arrested a recovery from the snow break damage. The large significant increase in shoot length in 2009 however indicates a likely return to normal conditions.

Phenological surveys conducted on three sites in 2009 and 2010 also point toward an improvement in 2009 branching over 2008 and a progressive increase in flowering and fruiting from 2008 to 2010. Phenological data from Font Blanche in 2010 shows that shoot length, after just the first half of the growing season, is roughly between 2008 and 2009 values, thus indicating a sustained recovery in 2010 for this variable as well.

### 4.2 Effects of drought on primary growth of holm oak, 2007–2009

Although it was beyond the scope of this study to ascertain the primary growth of holm oak prior to 2007, trees at all sites in 2007 year showed similar and presumably minimal architectural development at all sites for all measures except the number of leaves. PCA analysis (not shown) of holm oak further indicated that 2007 was the least favorable year for holm oak from 2007 to 2009. Holm oak, by remaining physiologically active during the summer, had primary growth that was undoubtedly negatively impacted by repeated droughts before 2007. The long severe drought during 2007 combined with previous years drought stress resulted in the low primary growth. The number of leaves in 2007 however was larger at Font Blanche than at Saint-Mitre. Montserrat-Martí et al. (2009) have shown that holm oak buds develop during the previous year's summer. The rare occurrence of high July precipitation then might have had a strong positive effect on preformation of leaves.

After 2007, holm oak at each site showed a different growth response in 2008–2009. Foliar architectural variables increased in 2008 and all architectural variables decreased in 2009 at Saint-Mitre. Foliar and possibly shoot variables increased in 2009 at Font Blanche. Architectural variables showed a mixed response between increases and no differences in 2008 and 2009 at Siou Blanc. However, there was no clear pattern for architectural development in relation to the balance of precipitation and temperature in years (n) and (n-1). Phenological surveys from 2008 to 2010 at Font Blanche, indicated that holm oak bud burst typically occurs around mid-April, but that the majority of shoot elongation and leaf deployment occurs during a period as brief as two weeks during early May to early June after stagnating following bud burst. This could imply that oak primary growth does not immediately occur after bud burst, but is initiated in the following days or weeks by environmental cues such as rainfall. Assuming that the same is true for oaks at Saint-Mitre, rainfall two-and-a-half to three times the average in

May 2007 and 2008 may explain the increase in leaf development and the lack of change in shoot elongation and branching. To build up reserves after drought, the production of large photosynthetic surface area is a priority for trees (Lambers et al., 2008), and this appears to have been the case at Saint-Mitre in 2008 via both number of leaves and leaf area. The significant decline from 2008 to 2009 at Saint-Mitre may be attributed to a snowfall in January 2009 (>40cm of snow on-site). At the beginning of 2009, Aleppo pine canopies were transparent and snow would have accumulated on holm oak, particularly as it had a high number of large leaves, thus damaging holm oak canopies and limiting architectural development.

The compensation of leaf area after drought also appears to have occurred in 2008 at Siou Blanc and in 2009 at Font Blanche. At Siou Blanc, abundant May rainfall also coincided with the key holm oak developmental window in 2007 and 2008, thus explaining the increase or no change at this site between these two years. In 2009, the bulk of the architectural development at Font Blanche occurred in April, which was also a month with above-average rain (whereas May was almost completely dry). Although the results for A1 length only suggest the possibility of an increase in 2009 over 2007 and 2008, A2 length and A3 length (results not shown) both increased - indicating an overall increase in primary growth at Font Blanche in 2009. In addition to well-timed precipitation, architectural development was also promoted by several months of saturating rainfall in fall of 2008 and winter of 2009 that resulted in plant available soil water present until June of 2009. The significant improvement of most architectural variables in 2009 after nearly 15 months of drought in 2007 and 2008 suggests a weak role of preformation in holm oak architectural development. Finally, Montserrat-Martí et al. (2009) have suggested that holm oak may have an alternating year phenology (i.e. long shoot/small bud followed by short shoot/large), a feature that could result in incoherent patterns in inter-annual architectural responses. Nevertheless, three years of phenologic surveys on the same branches cast doubt on this hypothesis, but do not refute it.

The inconsistencies found in this study of holm oak are due in part to the highly variable nature of this species. This is exemplified by the branching variable. Branching was complicated to analyze for the holm oak because it produces secondary branches at three distinct locations: at the base of the primary axis, which develop from lateral buds produced at the end of the previous years growth, along the length of the same year's primary axis, and along the length of the previous year's axis (personal observations; Barthélémy and Caraglio, 2007). Much less frequently, secondary branches will develop on the primary axis from two years prior (<3% occurrence of 2009 branches produced on A1 of 2007 in this study), and probably much later as epicormic branching has been observed at the study sites. Consequently, a sample consisting of three years of growth will generally have a full complement of secondary branching from only the last two years of growth. In the end though, branching never changed at Font Blanche or Siou Blanc, and only decreased at Saint-Mitre in 2009 probably due to the snow break. The variability of branching is mostly due to its strategy as a prolific resprouter (Zavala et al., 1999).

High variability was found in most measured aspects of architecture. In spite of being managed for thousands of years, holm oak was never selected for any particular trait and has retained a high amount of genetic diversity except for sites in unfavorable climate conditions (Blondel and Aronson, 1999; Michaud et al., 1995), and most of the genetic variability of holm oak is found within populations (Michaud et al., 1992). As a result, at least some of the variability of holm oak seen in this study may be due to genetics, and the overall lower variability of architecture at Saint-Mitre may be related to the sub-optimum climate conditions for holm oak growth found at this site. Although genetic diversity was not evaluated in this study, if high diversity is present, as has been commonly found for holm oak, it could contribute to forest resilience in the face of climate change and possible distribution shifts (Noll, 2000).

## 4.3 Differential species response to drought

The strategy of Aleppo pine as a drought avoider and the importance of preformation in its architectural development may foster improved growth during regular Mediterranean annual climate and prevent mortality during severe drought episodes. It also intrinsically results in a two-year effect of drought when it occurs during the critical periods of the growing season. For example, the ability of Aleppo pine to become dormant saved it from high mortality despite severe growth declines in subsequent years of drought. Precipitation determined primary growth patterns for Aleppo pine, but temperature was a significant limiting factor to its architectural development at the lower and middle part of the elevation transect, in contrast to previous studies showing the positive effect of increased temperature (Vila et al., 2008). The architectural response of trees at Saint-Mitre and the severe drought of 2007 at Font Blanche point to this conclusion. The prolonged drought in 2007–2008 was less detrimental to growth than the 2003 severe heat, despite the fact that pines at Font Blanche would have been in worse health going into the 2007 drought having only recently recovered from multi-year drought stress. The pine architectural development at Saint-Mitre was consistently lower than at Font Blanche in spite of having relatively similar precipitation amounts during the crucial periods for tree growth. At the higher part of the elevation transect, elevated temperature did not limit architectural development, and even had positive consequences for primary growth. Notwithstanding, the lower temperatures and longer summer drought shortened the effective growing season for Aleppo pine at Siou Blanc.

The strategy of holm oak as a drought resistor might reduce the influence of preformation on architecture as trees are consistently exposed to water stress during the period of bud development. Rather, it appears that holm oak architectural development is adapted to take advantage of precipitation occurring at the right moment during its early growing season. An extensive rooting close to the soil surface facilitates this process (Zavala et al., 1999), and as a secondary response a deep taproot to access groundwater helps maintain physiological processes during summer drought. Despite some inconsistency in responses, it seems as though adjustment of the number and area of leaves is the main mechanism by which holm oak architecture responds to drought and shoot elongation might have a delayed response to multiple years of favorable climate or one year with exceptionally favorable climate. Following the results of the three-year study window however, it appears that holm is capable of recovering from drought in the following year via increasing its photosynthetic surface area. This response in turn should promote increases in other architecture variables in subsequent years, but was not confirmed in this study. The recovery of holm oak canopy the year after drought has also been seen in other work in the Mediterranean (Lloret et al., 2004)

#### 4.4 Consequences of reduced primary growth for Mediterranean pine-oak forest

In this study, declines in all aspects of aboveground primary growth were seen and these reductions will have implications for Aleppo pine-holm oak forest ecosystem functioning. Trees demonstrate preferential allocation in response to resource limitation; in particular, leaves and fine roots are prioritized, followed by shoot growth, and flowering and fruiting have least priority (Lambers et al., 2008). From phenological surveys, flowering and fruiting were low in 2008, moderate in 2009, and abundant in 2010 for both study species. The inhibition of fruiting in flowering after drought stress could have important repercussions for tree reproduction, particularly for Aleppo pine, as it is an obligate seeder. Local holm oak production via resprouting will not be affected in the same way, but movement among stands via acorn dispersal may suffer.

Reduced architectural development directly translates to thinner, or more transparent, tree canopies. Decreased canopy density will increase the amount of irradiance that reaches the understory and the soil surface and also reduce rainfall interception. This could change the microclimate in the understory, which could favor different species compositions. Canopy trees are believed to ameliorate understory conditions in Mediterranean forests for seedling establishment and increased irradiance could have negative impacts on holm oak recruitment (Lookingbill and Zavala, 2000; Puerta-Pinero et al., 2007). Weak architectural development will also result in lower organic matter input from litterfall.

In a global context, perhaps the most important implication of reduced primary growth is decreased carbon sequestration. Quite simply, with lower numbers of leaves and smaller leaves, there is less photosynthetic material and carbon fixation is reduced in absolute terms compared to years without significant declines in leaf quantity and area. Furthermore, reduced shoot elongation and branching implies reduced investment of woody structural tissue, which can be a temporary to long-term source of carbon sequestration assuming branch survival. Holm oak shifts allocation to roots under drought stress, so reductions in carbon sequestration due to lower woody tissue volume may is likely more important in Aleppo pine as it maintains a lower root-to-shoot ratio (Zavala, 1999). Additionally, studies have shown that the drought prone forests have

actually shifted from net carbon sources to carbon sinks in extreme climate events, as in 2003 or 2007 (Ciais et al., 2005; Granier et al., 2007; Delpierre et al., 2009).

The findings of the present study build upon a small, but growing body of work looking at architectural analysis and its relationship with climate for Mediterranean tree species. A comparison of primary growth along an elevation transect had not previously been analyzed for Aleppo pine for the length of this study period or for holm oak. A more rigorous regression analysis could build upon the general insights garnered from this study and could shed light on to any differences in timing of precipitation or temperature at hotter/drier and cooler/wetter sites. Further work building upon this study should also include a long time-series for holm oak as well, to confirm the basic conclusions seen in this study and to provide a suitable time frame to perform regression analysis between holm oak architecture and climate. Anatomical analysis of buds also would have the potential to clarify the role of preformation in architectural development of this species. Finally, variability of holm oak architecture was a major factor for most variables. Calculations not shown here showed that variability among trees at individual sites can be a relevant concern, but variability among samples of a single tree is relatively low. Therefore, future multiple year sampling campaigns for holm oak will benefit from either following the architectural development of the same tree from year to year or from increased sample sizes to adequately encompass the full range of holm oak variability.

## **5.** Conclusion

At the hotter and drier end of the elevation transect, Aleppo pine suffered strongly from repeated drought and had the absolute lowest values for all variables and all sites in 2008. Holm oak however, had already begun to recover in 2008. Primary growth of Aleppo pine was able to recover from the substantial decline though in 2009, during the second year of abundant precipitation. The rapid recovery of both species at Saint-Mitre in the presence of favorable climate parameters may be a local adaptation fostered by the general hot and dry climate and the long history of severe drought years and extended dry summers at this site. Nevertheless, if temperature increases and precipitation decreases during the growing season and acute drought frequency

increases as projected, the primary growth of Aleppo pine at thermo-Mediterranean sites will likely face a severe long-term reduction of primary growth. Holm oak may be able to survive these periods, but will also face limited primary growth.

The overall impact of drought at Siou Blanc was difficult to determine as snow break also is a limiting factor to primary growth, but the architectural development of Aleppo pine at Siou Blanc appeared to be limited by an increase in the length of the summer dry period. On the contrary, holm oak primary growth was more stable, but was potentially limited by colder temperatures. The impacts of climate change at Siou Blanc are unclear and will depend on whether temperature increase or precipitation decrease occurs faster. In the former scenario, trees in supra-Mediterranean sites may have an extended growing season and increase primary growth; in the latter, primary growth may remain at a stable low rate until precipitation becomes limiting to Aleppo pine growth. However, as long as snow remains a factor at this bioclimatic zone, repeated drought will impede recovery from snow break.

For both species, primary growth after drought showed the overall most favorable response in the middle of the transect at Font Blanche. Located in a central bioclimatic zone of both species potential ranges (Quézel and Médail, 2003), Font Blanche sees temperatures high enough to permit an early start to the growing season but with sufficient average precipitation to reduce the effect of temperature on water stress. Holm oak was able to recover the year after extended and severe drought. Aleppo pine primary growth declined less at Font Blanche and showed the capacity to recover from drought stress after two years of adequate precipitation. In the short-term, both species may have resilience to temperature increases and multi-year drought events at similar meso-Mediterranean sites.

Holm oak architecture was significantly impacted at all sites during the year of the drought. However, if rainfall occurs early in the holm oak growing season, it appears that this species can recover leaf development the year after drought regardless of whether the stand is established in the thermo-, meso-, or supra-Mediterranean bioclimatic zone. Therefore, neoformation likely plays a much greater role in holm oak architectural development than preformation.

Girard et al. (2010) have suggested that a threshold has been crossed at French Mediterranean sites where temperature is sufficiently elevated to have a negative rather than positive impact. Indeed, temperature thresholds appear to have been crossed at Saint-Mitre and Font Blanche, but trees at Siou Blanc still benefit and may continue to benefit from increased temperature. This is evident from the neutral to positive effect of 2003 climate in 2004 at Siou Blanc and the aforementioned phenological lags. The climate projections for the Mediterranean place a higher likelihood on increased temperatures during the growing season, and less certainty on the future levels of precipitation. Increasing temperature is therefore apt to play a fundamental role in Aleppo pine forest dynamics in the coming years. As bioclimatic limits shift, the combination of increased heat and drought as well as an increase in the frequency of extreme climate events of the type seen in 2003 will have catastrophic implications for Aleppo pine primary growth at xeric sites. The faster recovery period, potentially lower delayed drought effect, and ability to take advantage of incidental precipitation may permit holm oak to endure repeated and variable drought conditions. However, primary growth will also be limited at drier sites, and the later phenology of holm oak may pose a risk if summer drought extends into the early growing season.

The objective of this study was to analyze the aboveground architecture of Aleppo pine and holm oak for different sites along a thermal-hydrological gradient over several years to compare the effects of drier or wetter climate and repeated droughts on the primary growth of each species. Our objective was realized for Aleppo pine. This was the first architectural analysis of holm oak to date, and our study has provided some indications of the effects of drought on this species. However, further work utilizing a longer timeseries and anatomical analysis is needed to confirm and elaborate upon our findings for holm oak. Our first hypothesis was not confirmed as Aleppo pine was severely affected by repeated drought at both the driest and wettest sites, and the limited time-series for holm oak prevents thorough comparison between the two species' drought responses. Our second hypothesis was not disproved, but as 2007 was at the end of a four-year long sequence of drought years it was impossible to separate the effects of severe drought in 2007 from the impact of repeated drought on holm oak.

## 6. References

- Allard V, Ourcival J, Rambal S, Joffre R, Rocheteau A (2008) Seasonal and annual variation of carbon exchange in an evergreen Mediterranean forest in southern France. Global change biology 14: 714-725.
- Allen CD, Macalady AK, Chenchouni H, Bachelet D, Mcdowell N, et al. (2009) A global overview of drought and heat-induced tree mortality reveals emerging climate change risks for forests. Forest Ecology and Management: 1-25.
- Asensio D, Peņuelas J, Ogaya R, Llusiā J (2007) Seasonal soil and leaf CO2 exchange rates in a Mediterranean holm oak forest and their responses to drought conditions. Atmospheric environment 41: 2447-2455.
- Barthélémy D and Caraglio Y (2007) Plant architecture: a dynamic, multilevel and comprehensive approach to plant form, structure and ontogeny. Annals of Botany 99: 375.
- Bernstein L, Bosch P, Canziani O, Chen Z, Christ R, et al. (2007) Climate Change 2007: Synthesis Report. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.
- Bréda N, Huc R, Granier A, Dreyer E (2006) Temperate forest trees and stands under severe drought: a review of ecophysiological responses, adaptation processes and long-term consequences. Ann For Sci 63: 625-644.
- Blondel J and Aronson J (1999) *Biology and wildlife in the Mediterranean region*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Borghetti M, Magnani F, Fabrizio A, Saracino A (2004) Facing drought in a Mediterranean post-fire community: tissue water relations in psecies with different life traits. Acta Oecologica 25: 67-72.
- Caraglio Y, Barthélémy D, Bouchon J, de Reffye P, Barthélémy D (1997) Revue critique des termes relatifs à la croissance et à la ramification des tiges des végétaux vasculaires. In: Barthélemy D, Bouchon J, de Reffye P (eds) *Modelisation et simulation de l'architecture des vegetaux*: 11-87.
- Chaves M, Pereira J, Maroco J, Rodrigues M, Ricardo C, et al. (2002) How plants cope with water stress in the field? Photosynthesis and growth. Annals of Botany 89: 907.
- Christensen J, Hewitson B, Busuioc A, Chen A, Gao X, et al. (2007) Regional climate projections. Climate change 27: 848-940.
- Ciais P, Reichstein M, Viovy N, Granier A, Ogee J, et al. (2005) Europe-wide reduction in primary productivity caused by the heat and drought in 2003. Nature 437: 529-533.
- Cowling R, Rundel P, Lamont B, Kalin Arroyo M, Arianoutsou M (1996) Plant diversity in Mediterranean-climate regions. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 11: 362-366.
- Delpierre N, Soudani K, François C, Köstner B, Pontailler J-Y, et al. (2009) Exceptional carbon uptake in European forests during the warm spring of 2007: a data-model analysis. Global Change Biology 15: 1455-1474.

- Déqué M (2007) Frequency of precipitation and temperature extremes over France in an anthropogenic scenario: model results and statistical correction according to observed values. Global and Planetary Change 57: 16-26.
- DuMerle P and Mazet R (1983) Phenological stages and infestation by *Tortrix viridana* L (Lep, Totricidae) of the buds of 2 Oaks (*Quercus pubescens* and *Q. ilex*). Acta Oecologica 4: 47-53.
- Inventaire National Forestier (2008) La forêt en chiffres et cartes.
- Gaucherel C, Guiot J, Misson L (2008) Changes of the potential distribution area of French Mediterranean forests under global warming. Biogeosciences 5: 1493-1504.
- Gea-Izquierdo G, Martín-Benito D, Cherubini P, Isabel C (2009) Climate-growth variability in Quercus ilex L. west Iberian open woodlands of different stand density. Annals of Forest Science 66.
- Gibelin A and Déqué M (2003) Anthropogenic climate change over the Mediterranean region simulated by a global variable resolution model. Climate Dynamics 20: 327-339.
- Girard F, Vennetier M, Ouarmim S, Caraglio Y, Misson L (2010a) Polycyclism, a fundamental tree growth process, may suffer from climate change: The example of Pinus halepensis Mill. in South-eastern France. Trees-Structure and Function, *submitted*.
- Girard F, Vennetier M, Guibal F, Corona C, Ouarmim S, et al. (2010b) How Pinus halepensis Mill. architectural development suffers from climate accidents in southern France. European Journal of Forestry, *submitted*.
- Granier A, Reichstein M, Bréda N, Janssens I, Falge E, et al. (2007) Evidence for soil water control on carbon and water dynamics in European forests during the extremely dry year: 2003. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 143: 123-145.
- Jiménez P, de Heredia U, Collada C, Lorenzo Z, Gil L (2004) High variability of chloroplast DNA in three Mediterranean evergreen oaks indicates complex evolutionary history. Heredity 93: 510-515.
- Kramer K, Leinonen I, Loustau D (2000) The importance of phenology for the evaluation of impact of climate change on growth of boreal, temperate and Mediterranean forests ecosystems: an overview. International Journal of Biometeorology 44: 67-75.
- Kyriakopoulos E and Richter H (1991) Desiccation tolerance and osmotic parameters in detached leaves of *Quercus ilex* L. Acta Oecologica 12: 357-367.
- Lambers H, Chapin III FS, Pons TL (2008) *Plant Physiological Ecology second edition*. New York, NY: Springer.
- Le Houerou HN (2005) *The Isoclimatic Mediterranean Biomes: Bioclimatology, Diversity and Phytogeography* Vol 1 and 2, Copymania Publication, Montpellier.

- Lebourgeois F, Granier A, Breda N (2001) An analysis of regional climate change in France between 1956 and 1997. Annals of Forest Science 58: 733-754.
- Lloret F, Siscart D, Dalmases C (2004) Canopy recovery after drought dieback in holm-oak Mediterranean forests of Catalonia (NE Spain). Global Change Biology 10: 2092-2099.
- Lookingbill TR and Zavala MA (2000) Spatial pattern of *Quercus ilex* and *Quercus pubescens* recruitment in *Pinus halepensis* dominated woodlands. Journal of Vegetation Science 11: 607-612.
- López-Serrano FR, García-Morote A, Andrés-Abellán M, Tendero A, del Cerro, A (2005) Site and weather effects in allometries: A simple approach to climate change effect on pines. Forest Ecology and Management 215: 251-270.
- Luterbacher J, Dietrich D, Xoplaki E, Grosjean M, Wanner H (2004) European seasonal and annual temperature variability, trends, and extremes since 1500. Science 303: 1499.
- Nykänen M, Peltola H, Quine C, Kellomäki S, Broadgate M (1997) Factors affecting snow damage of trees with particular reference to European conditions. Silva Fennica 31: 193-213.
- MétéoFrance (2010) Meteorological data from the stations of Aubagne, Cuges-les-pins, Géménos, Istres, La Roquebrussane, Le Beausset, and Le Castellet.
- Michaud H, Toumi L, Lumaret R, Li X, Romane F, et al. (1995) Effect of geographical discontinuity on genetic variation in *Quercus ilex* L. (holm oak). Evidence from enzyme polymorphism. Heredity 74: 590-606.
- Michaud H, Lumaret R, Romane F (1992) Variation in the genetic structure and reproductive biology of holm oak populations. Plant Ecology 99-100: 107-113.
- Moisselin JM, Schneider M, Canellas C, Mestre O (2002) Les changements climatiques en France au XXe siècle. Etude des longues séries homogénéisées de données de température et de précipitations. Météorologie: 45-56.
- Myers N, Mittermeier R, Mittermeier C, da Fonseca G, Kent J (2000) Biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities. Nature 403: 853-858.
- Nakicenovic N and Swart R (2000) Special report on emissions scenarios. ISBN 0521804930. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Nemenyi PB (1963) Distribution-free multiple comparisons. vol. PhD, Princeton University.
- Nicault A, Rathgeber C, Tessier L, Thoma A (2001) Observations sur la mise en place du cerne chez le pin d'Alep (*Pinus halepensis* Mill.): confrontation entre les mesures de croissane radiale, de densité et les facteurs climatiques. Annals of Forest Science 58: 769-784.
- Noss R (2001) Beyond Kyoto: forest management in a time of rapid climate change. Conservation Biology 15: 578-590.

- Ogaya R and Penuelas J (2007) Tree growth, mortality, and above-ground biomass accumulation in a holm oak forest under a five-year experimental field drought. Plant Ecology 189: 291-299.
- Ogaya R, Peņuelas J, Martínez-Vilalta J, Mangirón M (2003) Effect of drought on diameter increment of Quercus ilex, Phillyrea latifolia, and Arbutus unedo in a holm oak forest of NE Spain. Forest Ecology and Management 180: 175-184.
- Ouarmim S (2009) Impact des sécheresses extrêmes sur la croissance du pin d'Alep *Pinus halepensis* Mill. Marseille: Université Paul Cézanne Aix-Marseille III. 1-30 p.
- Pardos M, Climent J, Gil L, Pardos JA (2003) Shoot growth components and flowering phenology in grafted *Pinus halepensis* Mill. Trees-Structure and Function 17: 442-450.
- Parmesan C (2006) Ecological and evolutionary responses to recent climate change. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics.
- Peñuelas J and Boada M (2003) A global change-induced biome shift in the Montseny mountains (NE Spain). Global change biology 9: 131-140.
- Puerta-Pinero C, Gómez JM, Valladares F (2007) Irradiance and oak seedling survival and growth in a hetergeneous environment. Forest Ecology and Management 242: 462-469.
- Quezel P (1986) Les pins du groupe "Halepensis" Ecologie, Végétation, Ecophysiologie. Options Méditerranéennes 1: 11-23.
- Quézel P and Médail F (2003) Ecologie et Biogéographie des Forêts du Bassin Méditerranéen. Paris, France: Elsevier.
- R Development Core Team (2010) R: A language and computing environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. ISBN 3-900051-07-0, URL: http://www.R-project.org.
- Rambal S, Ourcival J, Joffre R, Mouillot F, Nouvellon Y, et al. (2003) Drought controls over conductance and assimilation of a Mediterranean evergreen ecosystem: scaling from leaf to canopy. Global Change Biology 9: 1813-1824.
- Rathgeber C, Misson L, Nicault A, Guiot J (2005) Bioclimatic model of tree radial growth: application to the French Mediterranean Aleppo pine forests. Trees-Structure and Function 19: 162-176.
- Rathgeber C, Nicault A, Guiot J, Keller T, Guibal F, et al. (2000) Simulated responses of *Pinus halepensis* forest productivity to climate change and CO<sub>2</sub> increase using a statistical model. Global Planetary Change 26:405-421.
- Rebetez M, Mayer H, Dupont O, Schindler D, Gartner K, et al. (2006) Heat and drought 2003 in Europe: a climate synthesis. Synthesis 63.

Regent Instruments Inc. (2007) WinFOLIA 2007a. Quebec, Canada.

- Resco de Dios V, Fischer C, Colinas C (2007) Climate change effects on Mediterranean forests and preventive measures. New Forests 33: 29-40.
- Rodà F, Retana J, Gracia CA, Bellot J (1999) *Ecology of Mediterranean Evergreen Oak Forests*. Berlin, Germany: Springer Verlag.
- Sabatier S, Baradat P, Barthélémy D (2003) Intra- and interspecific variations of polycyclism in young trees of *Cedrus atlantica* (Endl.) Manetti ex. Carrière and *Cedrus libani* A. Rich (Pinaceae). Annals of Forest Science 60: 19-29.
- Sardans J and Peņuelas J (2007) Drought changes the dynamics of trace element accumulation in a Mediterranean Quercus ilex forest. Environmental Pollution 147: 567-583.
- Taiz L, Zeiger E (2006) Plant Physiology 4th edition. Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates.
- Thabeet A, Vennetier M, Gadbin-Henry C, Denelle N, Roux M, et al. (2009) Response of Pinus sylvestris L. to recent climatic events in the French Mediterranean region. Trees 23: 843-853.
- Vallejo R, Aronson J, Pausas J, Cortina J (2005) Restoration of Mediterranean woodlands. Restoration ecology The new frontier: 193-207.
- Vennetier, M., Ripert, C., Rathgeber, C (2010a) Autecology of *Pinus halepensis* Mill. A comprehensive study in France. European Journal of Forest Research, *submitted*.
- Vennetier M, Girard F, Ouarmim S, Thabeet A, Ripert C, et al. (2010b) Climate change impact on tree architecture may contribute to forest decline and dieback. XXIII IUFRO world congress. 23-28 August 2010, Seoul (South Korea).
- Vennetier M, Girard F, Ripert C (2010c). Lessons from a 10-year long premature occurrence of 2040 climate in Mediterranean France. XXIII IUFRO world congress. (Poster) 23-28 August 2010, Seoul (South Korea).
- Vennetier M and Ripert C (2009) Forest flora turnover with climate change in the Mediterranean region: A case study in Southeastern France. Forest Ecology and Management 258: S56-S63.
- Vennetier M, Ripert C, Maille E, Blanc L, Torre F, et al. (2008) A new bioclimatic model calibrated with vegetation for Mediterranean forest areas. Annals of Forest Science 65: 711-711.
- Vennetier M (2007a) Un nouveau modèle bioclimatique pour la forêt méditerranéenne: Application à l'étude de l'impact du changement climatique sur la végétation et à l'évaluation de la productivité forestière. vol PhD, Marseille: Université Paul Cézanne Aix-Marseille III. 1-284 p.
- Vennetier M, Borgniet L, Thabeet A, Gadbin-Henry C, Ripert C, et al. (2007b) Impact de la C\canicule 2003 sur les peuplements résineux de la région PACA. Aix-en-Provence: Cemagref. 1-14 p.

- Vennetier M, Vila B, Liang E-Y, Guibal F (2005a) Suivi de la réponse de la végétation au changement climatique: approche par transects. De l'observation des écosystèmes forestiers à l'information sur la forêt. February 2-3, 2005, Paris. pp. 1-14.
- Vennetier M, Vila B, Liang E-Y, Guibal F, Thabeet A, et al. (2005b) Conséquences du changement climatique et de la canicule 2003 sur la limite pin sylvestre / pin d'Alep en région méditerranéenne. De l'observation des écosystèmes forestiers à l'information sur la forêt. February 2-3, 2005, Paris. pp. 1-18.
- Vila B, Vennetier M, Ripert C, Chandioux O, Liang E, et al. (2008) Has global change induced divergent trends in radial growth of Pinus sylvestris and Pinus halepensis at their bioclimatic limit? The example of the Sainte-Baume forest (south-east France). Annals of Forest Science 65: 709-709.
- Walther G, Post E, Convey P, Menzel A, Parmesan C, et al. (2002) Ecological responses to recent climate change. Nature 416: 389-395.
- WMO (2010) WMO statement on the status of the global climate in 2009. 1-16 p.
- Zaitchik B, Macalady A, Bonneau L, Smith R (2006) Europe's 2003 heat wave: a satellite view of impacts and land-atmosphere feedbacks. International Journal of Climatology 26: 743-769.
- Zavala MA, Espelta JM, Retana J (2000) Constraints and trade-offs in Mediterranean plant communities: the case of holm oak-Aleppo pine forests. The Botanical Review 66: 119-149.