

## Planted discharge areas: analysis of their implementation in France. First results

Catherine Boutin, S. Prost Boucle

► **To cite this version:**

Catherine Boutin, S. Prost Boucle. Planted discharge areas: analysis of their implementation in France. First results. 13eme International Conference Wetland Systems for Water Pollution Control, Nov 2012, Perth, Australia. pp.1, 2012. hal-02598092

**HAL Id: hal-02598092**

**<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02598092>**

Submitted on 1 Jul 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# PLANTED DISCHARGE AREAS: ANALYSIS OF THEIR IMPLEMENTATION IN FRANCE - FIRST RESULTS

In France, the number of PDA quickly increases in order to better protect receiving surface water bodies. Setting up design rules and maintenance guidelines is now urgent.

## What is a Planted Discharge Area?

Planted Discharge Areas (PDA) are placed between the outlet of the Waste Water Treatment Plant (WWTP) and the receiving water body. Today, the French Authorities don't assign any efficiency requirements to PDAs.

The expected objectives of such landscape layout are various:


- reduction of the volumes discharged into the river,
  - qualitative improvement of some parameters (N, P, micro-pollutants, fecal contamination),
  - buffer zone in case of WWTP failure,
  - production of biomass,
  - landscape integration and environmental benefits, ...
- It explains the large variety of design.

## Elements issued from a national survey led in 2011

There are approximately 400 PDAs built in France. The survey underlines the diversity of the situations (areas, hydraulic loads, types of PDA,...). However there is no clear correlation between the various parameters.

PDA area by types in m<sup>2</sup>/PE

	all PDA	land	basin	trench	other	association
mean	4,1	6,4	3,3	5,0	2,8	5,0
median	2,0	1,6	2,1	2,0	1,0	3,1
min	0,004	0,167	0,056	0,004	0,010	0,04
max	51	51	22	30	15	17
nb values	307	22	120	103	47	20




PDA area by types and WWTP capacities

## First results

NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, SS and daily flow are continuously monitored on a small trench PDA. Removal efficiencies are negative for SS and about 20% for NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. PDA tends to smooth outflow peaks.

However, we also observed visual water quality degradation and the erosion of some banks.



Removal of a small trench PDA, measures during May-June 2011



Example of water quality degradation because of PDA

## Conclusion

Today, it is not possible to assert that PDAs always have a beneficial effect regarding the protection of the receiving surface water body. A new research program, based on balance in the 3 compartments will be engaged in the 2013-2017 period.

**CLASSIFICATION of PDA**  
This classification only focuses on the constitutive element of PDAs whatever the vegetation.

There are 4 types of PDA :  
■ 3 types with existing soil


- « **land** » without excavation
- « **basin** » with excavation, length and width are similar
- « **trench** » with excavation, length is much larger than width

■ 1 type with new filter media named « **miscellaneous** »



Exemple of a « miscellaneous » PDA

Their number of PDA explodes with an increase of about 50 units/y over the last 5 years.



Evolution of PDA implantation in France

## MAJORS MECANISMS

They concern 3 compartments:

- free surface water,
- soil and
- vegetation.

The "soil" compartment is the most important and needs to be studied (pedological, geological and hydrogeological properties).