Long term evolution of a reservoir dam subjected to regular flushing events: case of Génissiat reservoir on the Upper Rhône river, France

L. Guertault, K. Boussafeur, B. Camenen, C. Peteuil, André Paquier

Long term evolution of a reservoir dam subjected to regular flushing events case of Génissiat reservoir on the Upper Rhône river, France

Lucie Guertault[1], Kamelia Boussafeur[2], Benoît Camenen[1], Christophe Peteuil[2], André Paquier[1]
lucie.guertault@irstea.fr
[1]*Irstea Lyon, Hydrology-Hydraulics research unit, 5 rue de la Doua CS 70077, 69626 Villeurbanne Cedex, FRANCE*
[2]*Compagnie Nationale du Rhone, 2 rue Andre Bonin, 69316 Lyon Cedex 04, FRANCE*

Introduction

Geographical context

- Rhône river flows through Switzerland and France to the Mediterannean sea (catchment area: 95500 km²) (Figure1)
- 2 km downstream of Lake Geneva: confluence with Arve river (main sediment tributary: between 1-3 MT/year) (Figure 2)



Figure 1 : Location of the study site

Figure 2 : Confluence between Rhône (left) and Arve (right) rivers (© SIG)

Hydropower development of the Upper Rhône

- Switzerland: Verbois and Chancy Pougny dams
- France: 70 m high Génissiat dam and 4 additionnal run-of-river developments operated by Compagnie Nationale du Rhône (CNR)

Génissiat reservoir

- Volume: 56 million m³ , length: 24 km

Significant geometrical variations: the upstream part is a large river (Figure 3a) with islands and the 15 km downstream are narrow gorges (Figure 3b)

- Longitudinal sorting in the reservoir: from gravel and coarse sand upstream to fine silt and clay close to the dam



(a) (b)

Figure 3 : Etournel site (a) and downstream part (b) (© CNR)

Reservoirs management

- Verbois reservoir: flushing operations must be regularly carried out to prevent over-flooding due to bed aggradation in some Geneva districts
- Downstream Genissiat reservoir: Environmental friendly flushing are carried out by CNR to release suspended sediment concentrations (SSC) bearable by the fluvial environment [Fruchart, 2008], [Peteuil, 2013]
- French-Swiss agreement to coordinate flushing operations (1967)

Bathymetric balance of the reservoir since 1984

- Volumetric budget estimated using bathymetric differences from 110 cross-sections collected before and after flushing events



Figure 4 :Sediment volume budget of Génissiat reservoir since 1984



Figure 5 :Spatially cumulated sediment volume budget

- Flushing events: major contribution to the volume balance
- Interflush periods: mainly deposition with variability in volumes
- Deposition rate decreasing since 1997 (optimization of flushing operations)
- Huge deposition during 2012 flushing event: 9 year long period without flushing operations combined with unusual conditions in the reservoir management

- From Chancy-pougny weir to end of Etournel site: small deposition during flushing events and small erosion during interflush periods
- Défilé de l'Ecluse: equilibrium state
- Léaz and Grésin gorges: deposition during interflush periods and highly variable dynamics during flushing operations
- Last 9 km of the reservoir: deposition (more intense during flushing events, higher close to the dam)

Fluxes balance during flushing events since 1984

- SSC measurement and integration with discharge to get the mass of fine sediment transported throughout the even



Figure 6 : Suspended load upstream and downstream of Génissiat reservoir [updated from Thareau, 2006]

- Input mass generally higher than output mass (Figure 6)
- Since 1997 input and output masses are more or less in balance (improvement of the flushing scenario)
- Significant input during the 2012 flushing event (9 year break without flushing plus unexpected excess regarding volume of sediment released from Swiss dams)
- Bedload sampling and estimation in 2012: ratio bedload over suspended load in 2012: 0.6 % at the upstream part of the reservoir and 3,6 % downstream Genissiat dam (estimated using measurements)

Discussion

- Conversion of volumic bathymetric budget into massic budget with a longitudinally variable bed sediment density estimated using granulometry and nature of sediments



Figure 7 : Comparison between budgets for flushing events

- Significant cumulated uncertainties on bathymetric budget due to propagation of volume and density uncertainties (Figure 7)
- A part of the difference between budgets could be imputed to sand

- Deposition/erosion system typical of bedload transport at the upstream part
- Very small mass of coarse sediments transported compared to the mass of fine sediments
- Significant role of sand in the dynamics of upstream subreaches of the reservoir

Conclusion

- Study of the long term evolution of Génissiat reservoir considering both flushing and interflush periods with a comparison between bathymetric and fluxes budgets
- Further investigations on coarser sediments contribution and reservoir dynamics variability

Acknowledgements

Authors want to thank Françoise Abadie, CNR and Irstea Lyon for collecting and providing data and CNR, Irstea and Agence de l'Eau Rhône Méditérranée Corse for the financial support of the project.

References

Boussafeur, K.,(2012). *Contribution to the analysis of hydro-sedimentary processes of Génissiat dam reservoir*, ENSE ³ Engineering school report (in French)

Fruchart, F., (2008). *Why and How to flush a reservoir without environmental impacts*, Regional Workshop on Discharge and Sediment Monitoring and Geomorphological Tools for the Lower-Mekong Basin Vientiane, Lao PDR, 21-22 October 2008 at MRC.

Peteuil, C. et al., (2013). *Sustainable management of sediment fluxes in reservoir by environmental friendly flushing: the case study of the Genissiat dam on the upper Rhone River* (France), ISRS Kyoto 2013

Thareau, L. (2006). *Sedimentary management of Swiss Rhône river : consequences on Génissiat dam reservoir*, Congress on Rhone river « From Lake Geneva to Fort l'Ecluse, what management for the future? » (in French).