



HAL
open science

Does species richness influence trophic diversity? A food web study along the upstream downstream gradient of a temperate river

Nicolas Hette-Tronquart, Thierry Oberdorff, Evelyne Tales, Jérôme Belliard

► To cite this version:

Nicolas Hette-Tronquart, Thierry Oberdorff, Evelyne Tales, Jérôme Belliard. Does species richness influence trophic diversity? A food web study along the upstream downstream gradient of a temperate river. BES and SFE joint annual meeting, Dec 2014, Lille, France. pp.1, 2014. hal-02601152

HAL Id: hal-02601152

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02601152>

Submitted on 16 May 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Does species richness influence trophic diversity?


A food web study along the upstream-downstream gradient of a temperate river

Nicolas Hette-Tronquart, Thierry Oberdorff, Evelyne Tales, Jérôme Belliard


Rationale : structural vs. functional diversity – insights from stream food webs

Aim: relationship between species richness (structural) and trophic (functional) diversity


Food web ecology



Stream ecology



Method 1/2: study sites



small catchment (937 km²):

- rather homogeneous climatic conditions and geology
- same moderate anthropogenic pressures

site position along the UDG:

- upstream catchment area: 17 to 210 km²
- distance from the sources: 2.7 to 26.6 km
- mean stream width: 1.4 to 7.0 m
- mean stream depth: 0.07 to 0.44 m

PCA
1st axis
≈ UDG

evaluation of structural diversity:

- focus on fish assemblages
- species richness, estimated with single pass electrofishing

Method 2/2: Trophic diversity and stable isotopes

Definition of trophic diversity

→ in this study,

trophic diversity = { diversity of exploited resources
diversity of trophic levels

Principles of stable isotope analysis (SIA)


- "You are what you eat (plus a few per mil)" (DeNiro & Epstein, 1976)
- 2 elements C (sources of organic matter) & N (trophic levels)
- idea: variability of $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{15}N$ ≈ trophic diversity
- ! stable isotope signals are integrated over space and time !
- the signal of an individual reflects the average signal of its diet items

analyses:

- performed on fin clips
- one per individual, several individuals per species
- in addition, SIA on basal resources to control for baseline variations

a double approach of trophic diversity using SIA

at assemblage level




isotopic space area (ISA) = area of the convex hull encompassing the signals of all individuals (above, in grey)

integrates both diversity of exploited resources ($\delta^{13}C$) and trophic levels ($\delta^{15}N$)

We calculated both metrics using a bootstrap method (adapted from Jackson et al. 2012) to avoid biases due to different sample sizes among sites. We tested the effects of UDG and species richness on both metrics using path analysis and linear models.


at species level



isotopic niche overlap (INO) = niche area of one species occupied by others (above, in grey for species 3), divided by the whole niche area

measures the trophic redundancy of one species in the assemblage

Feeding strategy and trophic diversity



Outcomes in terms of the isotope signals displayed by the individuals of the assemblage

	$\delta_{P1}, \delta_{P2}, \text{ and } \delta_{P3}$ for ind. of S1, S2 and S3, respectively	δ_P for all individuals	$\delta_{P1} \text{ or } \delta_{P2} \text{ or } \delta_{P3}$ for individuals of each species
INA	large area	small area	large area
INO	low overlap	high overlap	high overlap
Ind. level	diet specialisation	opportunistic feeding	diet specialisation

after Bearhop et al. 2004. Black dots represent individuals. The yellow boxes highlight the complementarity of ISA and INO.

Results

Indirect, structural effect via species richness

- We found structural modifications along the UDG: species richness increased significantly, but assemblage composition did not change significantly (no predominant group of species in the assemblage along the whole UDG).
- Species richness significantly decreased the ISA at constant position in the UDG: more species ⇒ smaller area

Assemblage level

- No effect of species identity on the INO:
- Species richness significantly increased the INO at constant position in the UDG: more species ⇒ larger overlap

Species level

Direct, functional effect

- The UDG significantly increased the ISA at constant species richness: more downstream site ⇒ larger area

- The UDG significantly decreased the INO at constant species richness: more downstream site ⇒ lower overlap

Both analyses

an assemblage with more species tends to have more **type A-generalist** species than an assemblage with less species and located at the same position along the UDG

Interpretation:

The trend towards type A-generalist species could be related to competition for resources. Species richness could increase faster than resource availability along the UDG leading each individual to take a similar range of all available resources to satisfy their energetic needs.

Using our conceptual framework linking feeding strategy and trophic diversity, we deduced

an assemblage located at a more downstream site tends to have more **specialist** species than an assemblage located upstream and with the same species richness

Interpretation:

The trend towards specialist species could be explained by two non-exclusive reasons: along the UDG higher stability (1) and/or habitat heterogeneity (2) could allow fish to focus on preferential food items corresponding to their optimal feeding requirement.

3 "take home" messages

Stream ecology:

- the UDG seems to increase trophic diversity in temperate rivers. This result is to be confirmed considering a larger gradient

Food web ecology:

- An increase in species richness (=structural modification) leads to a decrease in trophic diversity via a change in the feeding strategy of the fish (=functional modification)
- The double approach of trophic diversity at assemblage (isotopic space area, ISA) and species (isotopic niche overlap, INO) levels was useful to elucidate the feeding strategy of the assemblage that explains the observed trophic diversity



Bearhop S, Adams C, Waldron S, Fuller R, Macleod H (2004) Determining trophic niche width: A novel approach using stable isotope analysis. J Anim Ecol 73:1007-1012

DeNiro M, Epstein S (1976) You are what you eat (plus a few per mil): the carbon isotope cycle in food chains. Geol Soc Am 8:834-35 (Abstr.)

Jackson MC, Donohue I, Jackson AL, Britton JR, Harper DM, Grey J (2012) Population-level metrics of trophic structure based on stable isotopes and their application to invasion ecology. PLoS ONE 7:e31757