



HAL
open science

Airborne laser scanning for forest applications - state of the art

J.M. Monnet

► **To cite this version:**

J.M. Monnet. Airborne laser scanning for forest applications - state of the art. [Research Report] irstea. 2012, pp.24. hal-02601299

HAL Id: hal-02601299

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02601299>

Submitted on 16 May 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



www.newfor.fr



Airborne Laser Scanning for Forest Applications

State-of-the-Art

Jean-Matthieu Monnet
UR EMGR, Irstea
May, 15th 2012

1 A fast developing technique

1.1 History

Airborne laser scanning (ALS) is a remote sensing technique based on the measure of the flight time of laser pulses emitted from an aircraft and reflected by objects located on the ground. Its fast development during the two past decades has been made possible by the technological advances of global positioning system, inertial navigation, and lasers. At the beginning, LiDAR (Light Detection And Ranging) sensors boarded in aircrafts or satellites only operated on one-dimensional (1D) profiles along the platform path. Sensors are now equipped with orientating devices and are able to scan large swaths along the platform trajectory. By the end of the 1990's, the pulse repetition frequency of small footprint, commercial sensors was around 10 kHz [1], and service providers were only emerging. Now, leading sensors achieve a pulse repetition frequency of 300 kHz with the multipulse technology. Some countries have undergone a complete LiDAR coverage (Switzerland, Denmark) and wall-to-wall mapping is under way in some others (Finland, Sweden).

1.2 Principles

The main components boarded in the aircraft are:

- global positioning system (GPS),
- inertial measurement unit (IMU),
- laser emitter-receiver scanner,
- storage device.

A laser pulse is fired toward the Earth in a direction given by the orienting device (oscillating mirror, rotating prism). For vegetation and topographic mapping purposes, the laser wavelength is usually around 1000 nm, which assures correct reflectance values for a broad range of materials. Whenever the laser pulse is intercepted by an object, part of the energy is reflected toward the receiver and recorded. When the object is not solid or too dense (e.g. tree branches), a sufficient part of the laser beam may also continue its trajectory and be reflected by lower elements, eventually the ground surface (figure 1). This characteristic of laser scanning is of high importance as it allows to characterize the underlying 3D structure of the vegetation and to accurately detect the soil surface even in dense canopy areas.

The range to reflecting objects is computed as $R = c \times \frac{t}{2}$ where t is the fly-around time and c the pulse speed in the atmosphere, assumed to be equal to the speed of light. On analog scanners, t is determined in real-time by detecting the time when the leading edge of the reflected signal reaches a given threshold [2]. Such systems are able to store one to several echoes for each emitted pulse. They are called multi-echo laser scanners. In recent scanners, storage capacity has been greatly increased so that the full waveform of the reflected pulse is digitized. The post-processing of the data allows a finer detection (number and coordinates) and characterization of objects located within the footprint of the laser pulse [3,4]. The footprint, which is the surface illuminated by the laser beam, depends on the divergence of the laser and on the range. Laser scanner with footprint size smaller than one meter are considered "small-footprint". Their range accuracy, which mainly depends on the width of the emitted pulse, is usually around 15 cm. The orienting device makes laser pulses sweep across the aircraft trajectory. Swath width is determined by the flying altitude and the scanning angle. LiDAR data are usually acquired in multiple, overlapping flying strips. A comprehensive description of relations and formulas for airborne laser scanning is available in [2].

Back in the office, objects coordinates are computed with the information from the on-board and ground-based components:




Figure 1: Principle of range measurement by airborne laser scanning.

- range and pulse angle (laser emitter-receiver),
- plane trajectory (on-board GPS and ground reference stations),
- plane attitude: yaw, pitch and roll (IMU).

Further processing includes laser strip adjustment to correct for hardware calibration errors, and detection of erroneous points: high points due to atmospheric noise and low points due to multiple reflections. For a small-footprint laser scanner, accuracy of the final 3D point cloud is typically 25 cm (planimetric) and 15 cm (altimetric). Point density varies greatly depending on acquisition parameters and desired applications, from one to more than one hundred points per square meter. For small footprint lasers, the 3D point cloud contains precise geometric information related to the objects which constitute the canopy cover. For large footprint lasers, the coarser planimetric resolution is generally compensated by the full waveform digitization (e.g. LVIS [5], SLICER [6,7] and spaceborne GLAS/ICESAT).

2 Airborne laser scanning in forestry

2.1 First steps

The ability of LiDAR sensors to detect ground surface even in forested areas was first used for topographic purposes, mainly to derive accurate digital terrain models (DTM). However, the accuracy of the geometric information about the vegetation structure quickly sparked interest among the foresters. Following the development pace of the technology, forest stand parameters were first estimated with LiDAR profiling altimeters [8], and then with laser scanners [9]. Height variables [8–11] and stand volume [9,12] were the first forest parameters investigated but laser data also proved efficient for other stand parameters, such as leaf area index, diameter and number of stems [6] or basal area [13].

At this time, large footprint LiDARs were mainly operated by NASA for research purposes, but commercial small-footprint were becoming widely available thanks to topographic acquisitions [14]. These first works showed the great potential for forestry applications, from stand parameters estimation to land cover classification, wildlife management and habitat mapping [15]. Soon, pre-operational studies for large-scale stand attributes mapping were undertaken [16,17].

2.2 Fast development

In the early 2000's, research on forest applications of airborne LiDAR developed considerably. The existing methods, that had been principally tested on coniferous forests, were validated in other contexts: with SLICER data over broadleaved forest [7], temperate deciduous, temperate coniferous and boreal forest [18], with LVIS data on tropical rain forest [19,20], with small footprint LiDAR on young forests [21] or northern hardwood forests [22].

The area-based method, which consists in the calibration of models linking quantiles of the point cloud vertical distribution to forest variables, proved very convenient for the retrieval of stand parameters such as height, mean diameter, stem density, basal area and volume [23,24], or above ground biomass [25]. The method turned out to be efficient in various forest contexts [21] and quite robust to the laser point density [26]. It was quickly implemented at operational scale [24]. It was also successful in estimating tree properties e.g. total and crown height [27], and also more complex stand patterns such as the Weibull parameters of the diameter distribution [28].

Even though this pragmatic approach adopted to predict forest parameters from laser-derived quantiles proved efficient, further investigation of the waveform signal and its interaction with the canopy was undertaken:

- simulation of LiDAR waveforms reflected by the canopy [29],
- decomposition of waveforms as sums of Gaussian components [3],
- modelling of waveforms with a time-dependent stochastic radiative transfer model [30].

Meanwhile, technological improvements in pulse repetition frequency soon allowed point cloud densities sufficient for single tree delineation from small footprint LiDARs [31]. Most of the studies relied on image processing techniques applied to canopy height models (CHM) [32–36] but some also tested point cloud processing [37,38] (figure 2). The methods were rapidly improved in order to extract as much information as possible from the geometric features of the delineated trees, e.g. crown diameter [39], length or volume. Researchers soon faced the problem that suppressed trees were not easily detectable, hence the impossibility to predict height or diameter distributions with this sole method. As a result, deriving stand-level attributes from the aggregation of tree-level information remained problematic [40].




Figure 2: Single tree reconstruction from Bayesian object recognition in the point cloud, image from [37].

Whatever the approach, single-tree or area-based, concerns arise about the sensitivity of the algorithms to both the LiDAR acquisition parameters and the forest structure. Indeed

the increasing number of different scanners and the various possibilities for planning a LiDAR acquisition, altogether with the cost of LiDAR data, raised the issue of the optimization of surveys. Some studies relied on real data to assess the influence of acquisition parameters on estimated forest attributes, e.g. with LVIS data in tropical environments [41], or with small footprint LiDAR (effect of sampling on height underestimation [42], effect of flying altitude [43]). Simulations were also used as a convenient way to test several parameter combinations [44, 45] which would have been unaffordable in real-size experiments.

While some studies focused on the potential of LiDAR data alone for the retrieval of various forest parameters, e.g. geometric information [46, 47] or intensity information [22, 48] for species classification, others tried to take advantage of the synergy with optical sensors. Indeed the spectral information is more relevant than ALS data for tree species identification [17, 31, 49]. Besides, the large-scale availability of optical spaceborne data is useful for wall-to-wall mapping of forest attributes such as canopy height [17, 50, 51]. Indeed, LiDAR can be used as a calibration or validation tool for the structural analysis of vegetated ecosystems with spaceborne optical sensors [52]. Moreover, the combination of LiDAR and spectral data proved to be superior to the use of any of them alone, e.g. for tree crown delineation [53].

The potential of LiDAR data for a broad range of ecological applications was quickly identified [54], including its use in carbon content [55, 56] or gross primary production [57] studies.

2.3 Diversification

At this stage a great part of exploratory analysis of LiDAR potential for forest applications was done and basic methods had been tested on numerous datasets. Then LiDAR research expanded to a wide range of applications and tackled large-scale related issues.

Investigations on waveform modelling [58–61], calibration [4, 62, 63] and on the forest/signal interaction [64–66] increased as more and more full waveform small footprint scanners were commercially available. These methods allow a finer detection of echoes and the extraction of calibrated attributes. Such information proved useful for species classification [67, 68]. Reversely, pseudo-waveforms can be reconstructed from multi-echo sensors data [69].

The issue of tree species classification indeed turned out to be an important pre-requisite for stand classification or species-specific attributes estimations. Some interesting results were obtained with LiDAR data alone [47, 70–75], by taking advantage of points attributes, such as intensity [76, 77], backscatter coefficient [67, 68] or directly from the full waveform data [78, 79]. Species classification was also facilitated by the synergy with spectral data. The issue of deriving species-specific estimates received considerable attention [80], e.g. for volume [81, 82], stem number and diameter [83], or diameter distribution [84].

Synergies with other remote sensing data were also investigated. LiDAR was compared [85–87] or used with radar data [88–91]. Comparison were also made with multispectral data [53, 92, 93] and combined used of the data was tested [91, 94, 95], even at the single tree level [36, 96, 97], or with the underlying idea to combine LiDAR accuracy with optical wall-to-wall cover [98–101].

Indeed, the methods were increasingly used at operational scale, e.g. in Scandinavia [102, 103], England [104], Alaska [105], Denmark [106]. This raised the issue of the validity of models developed at smaller scales [107–112]. Several studies proposed or compared various prediction methods [83, 113, 114]. At operational scale, the problem of the aggregation of stand parameters from single tree attributes remained unsolved. The estimation of tree distribution [115] or the calibration of tree lists [116] have been tested as possible solutions, and alternative methods were proposed for the imputation of single tree attributes [117]. Some of them may be seen as a convergence between the single-tree and the area-based approaches, the considered areas being previously delineated single tree segments [118, 119].

2.4 Applications

The range of LiDAR applications kept broadening, applying to several scientific fields and different scale levels. Regarding land cover classification [120, 121], LiDAR proved efficient for stand delineation and forest classification [122, 123] (figure 3a). Some studies focused on particular ecosystems, such as riparian forests [124], or cottonwood [125–127]. LiDAR is generally a well-suited tool to qualify the structure of forest stands [128–138], but also of the understory [139–141] or ground vegetation, e.g. with herb-rich forests [142]. Particular or general features of the forest can be detected or assessed with laser data, e.g. canopy gaps [143–146], canopy fractional cover [147–149], forest maturity [150].




Figure 3: Examples of operational applications of LiDAR remote sensing

Such information is then useful for ecological applications such as dead standing biomass assessment [152], habitat mapping [153–157], particularly related to avian species [158–160], or ecosystem studies (light interception [161], leaf area index [162–167], forest pigment mapping [168], pest control [169, 170]).

Georeferenced data is of great interest for forest management. This includes risk management, e.g. with forest fire modelling [171], and estimation of fuelwood [172–176] and past damages [177–179]. Predicted stand parameters are also useful to quantify the forest protection effect against rockfalls [180, 181]. Silvicultors are of course interested in all stand parameters introduced in the previous paragraphs (height, diameter, basal area, stem number, volume...). Economical analyses showed that the investment in LiDAR-based inventory procedures are justified by better resource management [182–184]. At single tree level, the geometric information (e.g. crown base [185, 186], 3D surface reconstruction [187], stem volume [188]) allows a better allocation by the forest practitioner, and can be used as input for virtual training environments [189]. LiDAR data is also useful for short and long-term forest change detection. Growth or harvesting operations [190–192], tree migration [193], pest infestation [170], disturbance dynamics [194, 195] can be precisely monitored. Studies also showed the possibility of retrospective analysis of optical data [196–198].

Today's context of global warming and all its implications in global carbon cycle and stocks assessment have also oriented LiDAR investigations. Several studies have addressed the issue of biomass mapping [199–204].

2.5 Main achievements in mountainous or complex environments

After the first experiments on coniferous forests, a broader range of forest conditions was investigated. Single tree methods were tested on temperate deciduous trees, including coppice individuals [35], heterogeneous mixed stands in boreal forest [205], uneven-aged broadleaved stands [97] or mixed stands [206]. Area-based methods were also tested in various conditions, e.g. broadleaved [207], mixed [208,209], mixed multilayered forest [210]. In complex stands, such as mixed deciduous ones, the forest structure was more difficult to characterize [211]. Additional LiDAR-derived attributes were proposed to address this issue in multilayered forests [212].

Alpine environments were also investigated. The effect of footprint size and sampling density [213], topographic factors [214] on individual tree delineation were evaluated. Major works include the retrieval of canopy structure from LVIS data in montane forests [154], estimation of tree heights in sugi (*Cryptomeria japonica*) plantations [215]. Single tree [206] and area-based [209] methods were tested on forests stands located in Germany. Studies also tried to define simple indices able to predict stand parameters at operational scale [151,204,216–218] (figure 3b).

References

- [1] E. P. Baltsavias, “Airborne laser scanning: existing systems and firms and other resources,” *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, vol. 54, no. 2-3, pp. 164–198, Jul. 1999.
- [2] ———, “Airborne laser scanning: basic relations and formulas,” *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, vol. 54, no. 2-3, pp. 199–214, Jul. 1999.
- [3] M. Hofton, J. Minster, and J. Blair, “Decomposition of laser altimeter waveforms,” *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, vol. 38, no. 4, pp. 1989–1996, 2000.
- [4] W. Wagner, A. Ullrich, V. Ducic, T. Melzer, and N. Studnicka, “Gaussian decomposition and calibration of a novel small-footprint full-waveform digitising airborne laser scanner,” *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, vol. 60, no. 2, pp. 100–112, 2006.
- [5] J. B. Blair, D. L. Rabine, and M. A. Hofton, “The Laser Vegetation Imaging Sensor (LVIS): A medium-altitude, digitization-only, airborne laser altimeter for mapping vegetation and topography,” *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, vol. 54, pp. 115–122, 1999.
- [6] M. A. Lefsky, W. B. Cohen, S. A. Acker, G. G. Parker, T. A. Spies, and D. Harding, “Lidar remote sensing of the canopy structure and biophysical properties of douglas-fir western hemlock forests,” *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 70, no. 3, pp. 339–361, 1999.
- [7] D. J. Harding, M. A. Lefsky, G. G. Parker, and J. B. Blair, “Laser altimeter canopy height profiles: methods and validation for closed-canopy, broadleaf forests,” *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 76, no. 3, pp. 283–297, Jun. 2001.
- [8] R. Nelson, W. Krabill, and G. MacLean, “Determining forest canopy characteristics using airborne laser data,” *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 201–212, Jun. 1984.
- [9] M. Nilsson, “Estimation of tree heights and stand volume using an airborne lidar system,” *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 56, no. 1, pp. 1–7, 1996.
- [10] E. Næsset, “Determination of mean tree height of forest stands using airborne laser scanner data,” *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, vol. 52, no. 2, pp. 49–56, 1997.
- [11] S. Magnussen and P. Boudewyn, “Derivations of stand heights from airborne laser scanner data with canopy-based quantile estimators,” *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*, vol. 28, no. 7, pp. 1016–1031, 1998.
- [12] E. Næsset, “Estimating timber volume of forest stands using airborne laser scanner data,” *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 61, no. 2, pp. 246–253, 1997.
- [13] M. A. Lefsky, D. Harding, W. B. Cohen, G. Parker, and H. H. Shugart, “Surface lidar remote sensing of basal area and biomass in deciduous forests of eastern Maryland, USA,” *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 67, no. 1, pp. 83–98, 1999.
- [14] J. E. Means, S. A. Acker, D. J. Harding, J. B. Blair, M. A. Lefsky, W. B. Cohen, M. E. Harmon, and W. A. McKee, “Use of large-footprint scanning airborne lidar to estimate forest stand characteristics in the western cascades of Oregon,” *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 67, no. 3, pp. 298–308, 1999.

- [15] R. O. Dubayah and J. B. Drake, "Lidar remote sensing for forestry," *Journal of Forestry*, vol. 98, no. 6, pp. 44–46, 2000.
- [16] J. E. Means, S. A. Acker, B. J. Fitt, M. Renslow, L. Emerson, and C. J. Hendrix, "Predicting forest stand characteristics with airborne scanning lidar," *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing*, vol. 66, no. 11, pp. 1367–1371, 2000.
- [17] C. J. Miller, "Fusion of high resolution lidar elevation data with hyperspectral data to characterize tree canopies," *Algorithms for Multispectral, Hyperspectral and Ultraspectral Imagery VII*, vol. 4381, pp. 246–252, 2001.
- [18] M. A. Lefsky, W. B. Cohen, D. J. Harding, G. G. Parker, S. A. Acker, and S. T. Gower, "Lidar remote sensing of above-ground biomass in three biomes," *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, vol. 11, no. 5, pp. 393–399, 2002.
- [19] J. F. Weishampel, J. B. Blair, R. G. Knox, R. Dubayah, and D. B. Clark, "Volumetric lidar return patterns from an old-growth tropical rainforest canopy," *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 409–415, 2000.
- [20] J. B. Drake, R. O. Dubayah, D. B. Clark, R. G. Knox, J. B. Blair, M. A. Hofton, R. L. Chazdon, J. F. Weishampel, and S. D. Prince, "Estimation of tropical forest structural characteristics using large-footprint lidar," *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 79, no. 2-3, pp. 305–319, 2002.
- [21] E. Næsset and K. O. Bjerknes, "Estimating tree heights and number of stems in young forest stands using airborne laser scanner data," *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 78, no. 3, pp. 328–340, 2001.
- [22] K. Lim, P. Treitz, K. Baldwin, I. Morrison, and J. Green, "Lidar remote sensing of biophysical properties of tolerant northern hardwood forests," *Canadian Journal of Remote Sensing*, vol. 29, no. 5, pp. 658–678, Oct. 2003.
- [23] E. Næsset, "Predicting forest stand characteristics with airborne scanning laser using a practical two-stage procedure and field data," *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 80, no. 1, pp. 88–99, Apr. 2002.
- [24] ———, "Practical large-scale forest stand inventory using a small-footprint airborne scanning laser," *Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 164–179, 2004.
- [25] K. S. Lim and P. M. Treitz, "Estimation of above ground forest biomass from airborne discrete return laser scanner data using canopy-based quantile estimators," *Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research*, vol. 19, no. 6, pp. 558–570, Dec. 2004.
- [26] J. Holmgren, "Prediction of tree height, basal area and stem volume in forest stands using airborne laser scanning," *Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research*, vol. 19, no. 6, pp. 543–553, Dec. 2004.
- [27] E. Næsset and T. Økland, "Estimating tree height and tree crown properties using airborne scanning laser in a boreal nature reserve," *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 79, no. 1, pp. 105–115, 2002.
- [28] T. Gobakken and E. Næsset, "Estimation of diameter and basal area distributions in coniferous forest by means of airborne laser scanner data," *Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research*, vol. 19, no. 6, pp. 529–542, 2004.

- [29] G. Q. Sun and K. J. Ranson, "Modeling lidar returns from forest canopies," *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, vol. 38, no. 6, pp. 2617–2626, Nov. 2000.
- [30] S. Y. Kotchenova, N. V. Shabanov, Y. Knyazikhin, A. B. Davis, R. Dubayah, and R. B. Myneni, "Modeling lidar waveforms with time-dependent stochastic radiative transfer theory for remote estimations of forest structure," *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres*, vol. 108, no. D15, 2003.
- [31] J. Hyypä, "Feasibility for estimation of single tree characteristics using laser scanner," in *Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium, 2000. Proceedings. IGARSS 2000. IEEE 2000 International*, vol. 3, 2000, pp. 981–983.
- [32] J. Hyypä, O. Kelle, M. Lehikoinen, and M. Inkinen, "A segmentation-based method to retrieve stem volume estimates from 3-D tree height models produced by laser scanners," *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, vol. 39, no. 5, pp. 969–975, 2001.
- [33] A. Persson, J. Holmgren, and U. Soderman, "Detecting and measuring individual trees using an airborne laser scanner," *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing*, vol. 68, no. 9, pp. 925–932, 2002.
- [34] S. C. Popescu, R. H. Wynne, and R. F. Nelson, "Estimating plot-level tree heights with lidar: local filtering with a canopy-height based variable window size," *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, vol. 37, no. 1-3, pp. 71–95, Dec. 2002.
- [35] T. Brandtberg, T. A. Warner, R. E. Landenberger, and J. B. McGraw, "Detection and analysis of individual leaf-off tree crowns in small footprint, high sampling density lidar data from the eastern deciduous forest in North America," *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 85, no. 3, pp. 290–303, 2003.
- [36] D. Leckie, F. Gougeon, D. Hill, R. Quinn, L. Armstrong, and R. Shreenan, "Combined high-density lidar and multispectral imagery for individual tree crown analysis," *Canadian Journal of Remote Sensing*, vol. 29, no. 5, pp. 633–649, 2003.
- [37] H.-E. Andersen, S. E. Reutebuch, and G. F. Schreuder, "Bayesian object recognition for the analysis of complex forest scenes in airborne laser scanner data," in *International Archives of Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences, Volume XXXIV, Part 3A. ISPRS Commission III, Symposium 2002 September 9 - 13, 2002, Graz, Austria*, 2002, p. 7.
- [38] F. Morsdorf, E. Meier, B. Kotz, K. I. Itten, M. Dobbertin, and B. Allgower, "Lidar-based geometric reconstruction of boreal type forest stands at single tree level for forest and wildland fire management," *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 92, no. 3, pp. 353–362, 2004.
- [39] S. C. Popescu, R. H. Wynne, and R. F. Nelson, "Measuring individual tree crown diameter with lidar and assessing its influence on estimating forest volume and biomass," *Canadian Journal of Remote Sensing*, vol. 29, no. 5, pp. 564–577, 2003.
- [40] M. Maltamo, K. Eerikainen, J. Pitkänen, J. Hyypä, and M. Vehmas, "Estimation of timber volume and stem density based on scanning laser altimetry and expected tree size distribution functions," *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 90, no. 3, pp. 319–330, 2004.
- [41] J. B. Drake, R. O. Dubayah, R. G. Knox, D. B. Clark, and J. B. Blair, "Sensitivity of large-footprint lidar to canopy structure and biomass in a neotropical rainforest," *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 81, no. 2-3, pp. 378–392, 2002.

- [42] D. L. A. Gaveau and R. A. Hill, "Quantifying canopy height underestimation by laser pulse penetration in small-footprint airborne laser scanning data," *Canadian Journal of Remote Sensing*, vol. 29, no. 5, pp. 650–657, 2003.
- [43] E. Næsset, "Effects of different flying altitudes on biophysical stand properties estimated from canopy height and density measured with a small-footprint airborne scanning laser," *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 91, no. 2, pp. 243–255, 2004.
- [44] J. Holmgren, M. Nilsson, and H. Olsson, "Simulating the effects of lidar scanning angle for estimation of mean tree height and canopy closure," *Canadian Journal of Remote Sensing*, vol. 29, no. 5, pp. 623–632, 2003.
- [45] P. Yong, G. Sun, and L. Zengyuan, "Effects of forest spatial structure on large footprint lidar waveform," in *Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium, 2004. IGARSS '04. Proceedings. 2004 IEEE International*, vol. 7, 2004, pp. 4738–4741.
- [46] M. Törmä, "Estimation of tree species proportions of forest stands using laser scanning," in *International Archives of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, vol. 33, 2000, pp. 1524–1531.
- [47] J. Holmgren and A. Persson, "Identifying species of individual trees using airborne laser scanner," *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 90, no. 4, pp. 415–423, 2004.
- [48] J. L. Lovell, D. L. B. Jupp, D. S. Culvenor, and N. C. Coops, "Using airborne and ground-based ranging lidar to measure canopy structure in Australian forests," *Canadian Journal of Remote Sensing*, vol. 29, no. 5, pp. 607–622, 2003.
- [49] J. A. Hamid, P. M. Mather, and R. A. Hill, "Mapping of conifer forest plantations using airborne hyperspectral and lidar data," *Remote Sensing in Transition*, pp. 185–190, 2004.
- [50] A. T. Hudak, M. A. Lefsky, W. B. Cohen, and M. Berterretche, "Integration of lidar and Landsat ETM plus data for estimating and mapping forest canopy height," *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 82, no. 2-3, pp. 397–416, 2002.
- [51] M. A. Wulder and D. Seemann, "Forest inventory height update through the integration of lidar data with segmented Landsat imagery," *Canadian Journal of Remote Sensing*, vol. 29, no. 5, pp. 536–543, 2003.
- [52] X. X. Chen, L. Vierling, E. Rowell, and T. DeFelice, "Using lidar and effective LAI data to evaluate IKONOS and Landsat 7 ETM+ vegetation cover estimates in a ponderosa pine forest," *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 91, no. 1, pp. 14–26, 2004.
- [53] N. C. Coops, M. A. Wulder, D. S. Culvenor, and B. St-Onge, "Comparison of forest attributes extracted from fine spatial resolution multispectral and lidar data," *Canadian Journal of Remote Sensing*, vol. 30, no. 6, pp. 855–866, 2004.
- [54] M. Lefsky, W. Cohen, G. Parker, and D. Harding, "Lidar remote sensing for ecosystem studies," *BioScience*, vol. 1, pp. 19–30, 2002.
- [55] G. C. Hurtt, R. Dubayah, J. Drake, P. R. Moorcroft, S. W. Pacala, J. B. Blair, and M. G. Fearon, "Beyond potential vegetation: combining lidar data and a height-structured model for carbon studies," *Ecological Applications*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 873–883, 2004.

- [56] G. Patenaude, R. A. Hill, R. Milne, D. L. A. Gaveau, B. B. J. Briggs, and T. P. Dawson, "Quantifying forest above ground carbon content using lidar remote sensing," *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 93, no. 3, pp. 368–380, 2004.
- [57] S. Y. Kotchenova, X. D. Song, N. V. Shabanov, C. S. Potter, Y. Knyazikhin, and R. B. Myneni, "Lidar remote sensing for modeling gross primary production of deciduous forests," *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 92, no. 2, pp. 158–172, 2004.
- [58] B. Jutzi and U. Stilla, "Range determination with waveform recording laser systems using a Wiener filter," *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, vol. 61, no. 2, pp. 95 – 107, 2006.
- [59] A. Chauve, C. Mallet, F. Bretar, S. Durrieu, M. Pierrot-Deseilligny, and W. Puech, "Processing full-waveform lidar data: modelling raw signals," in *International Archives of Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences. Vol. 36 (Part 3/W52). Espoo, Finland, September 2007*, 2007.
- [60] C. E. Parrish, "Exploiting full-waveform lidar data and multiresolution wavelet analysis for vertical object detection and recognition," *Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium. IGARSS'07 IEEE International*, vol. 1-12, pp. 2499–2502, 2007.
- [61] A. Chauve, C. Vega, S. Durrieu, F. Bretar, T. Allouis, M. P. Deseilligny, and W. Puech, "Advanced full-waveform lidar data echo detection: Assessing quality of derived terrain and tree height models in an alpine coniferous forest," *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, vol. 30, no. 19, pp. 5211–5228, 2009.
- [62] C. Briese, B. Höfle, H. Lehner, W. Wagner, M. Pfennigbauer, and A. Ullrich, "Calibration of full-waveform airborne laser scanning data for object classification - art. no. 69500h," *Laser Radar Technology and Applications XIII*, vol. 6950, 2008.
- [63] I. Korpela, H. O. Ørka, J. Hyypä, V. Heikkinen, and T. Tokola, "Range and AGC normalization in airborne discrete-return lidar intensity data for forest canopies," *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, vol. 65, no. 4, pp. 369–379, Jul. 2010.
- [64] B. Koetz, F. Morsdorf, G. Sun, K. J. Ranson, K. Itten, and B. Allgower, "Inversion of a lidar waveform model for forest biophysical parameter estimation," *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 49–53, 2006.
- [65] L. Chasmer, C. Hopkinson, and P. Treitz, "Investigating laser pulse penetration through a conifer canopy by integrating airborne and terrestrial lidar," *Canadian Journal of Remote Sensing*, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 116–125, 2006.
- [66] G. Q. Sun, K. J. Ranson, D. W. Liu, and B. Koetz, "Simulation studies of forest structure using 3D lidar and radar models," *Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium, IGARSS'07. IEEE International*, vol. 1-12, pp. 2562–2565, 2007.
- [67] W. Wagner, M. Hollaus, C. Briese, and V. Ducic, "3D vegetation mapping using small-footprint full-waveform airborne laser scanners," *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, vol. 29, no. 5, pp. 1433–1452, 2008.
- [68] C. Alexander, K. Tansey, J. Kaduk, D. Holland, and N. J. Tate, "Backscatter coefficient as an attribute for the classification of full-waveform airborne laser scanning data in urban areas," *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, vol. 65, no. 5, pp. 423–432, Sep. 2010.

- [69] J. D. Muss, D. J. Mladenoff, and P. A. Townsend, “A pseudo-waveform technique to assess forest structure using discrete lidar data,” *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 115, no. 3, pp. 824–835, Mar. 2011.
- [70] T. Moffiet, K. Mengersen, C. Witte, R. King, and R. Denham, “Airborne laser scanning: Exploratory data analysis indicates potential variables for classification of individual trees or forest stands according to species,” *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, vol. 59, no. 5, pp. 289–309, 2005.
- [71] T. Brandtberg, “Classifying individual tree species under leaf-off and leaf-on conditions using airborne lidar,” *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, vol. 61, no. 5, pp. 325–340, 2007.
- [72] P. L. Dong, “Characterization of individual tree crowns using three-dimensional shape signatures derived from lidar data,” *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, vol. 30, no. 24, pp. 6621–6628, 2009.
- [73] J. Vauhkonen, T. Tokola, M. Maltamo, and P. Packalen, “Effects of pulse density on predicting characteristics of individual trees of Scandinavian commercial species using alpha shape metrics based on airborne laser scanning data,” *Canadian Journal of Remote Sensing*, vol. 34, pp. S441–S459, 2008.
- [74] A. Suratno, C. Seielstad, and L. Queen, “Tree species identification in mixed coniferous forest using airborne laser scanning,” *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, vol. 64, no. 6, pp. 683–693, 2009.
- [75] I. Korpela, H. O. Ørka, M. Maltamo, T. Tokola, and J. Hyyppä, “Tree species classification using airborne lidar - effects of stand and tree parameters, downsizing of training set, intensity normalization, and sensor type,” *Silva Fennica*, vol. 44, no. 2, pp. 319–339, 2010.
- [76] S. Kim, R. J. McGaughey, H.-E. Andersen, and G. Schreuder, “Tree species differentiation using intensity data derived from leaf-on and leaf-off airborne laser scanner data,” *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 113, no. 8, pp. 1575–1586, Aug. 2009.
- [77] H. O. Ørka, E. Næsset, and O. M. Bollandsås, “Classifying species of individual trees by intensity and structure features derived from airborne laser scanner data,” *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 113, no. 6, pp. 1163 – 1174, 2009.
- [78] J. Reitberger, P. Krzystek, and U. Stilla, “Analysis of full waveform lidar data for the classification of deciduous and coniferous trees,” *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, vol. 29, no. 5, pp. 1407–1431, 2008.
- [79] J. Heinzl and B. Koch, “Exploring full-waveform lidar parameters for tree species classification,” *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 152–160, Feb. 2011.
- [80] A. T. Hudak, N. L. Crookston, J. S. Evans, D. E. Hall, and M. J. Falkowski, “Nearest neighbor imputation of species-level, plot-scale forest structure attributes from lidar data,” *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 112, no. 1, pp. 2232–2245, 2008.
- [81] P. Packalén and M. Maltamo, “Predicting the plot volume by tree species using airborne laser scanning and aerial photographs,” *Forest Science*, vol. 52, no. 6, pp. 611–622, 2006.

- [82] H. Niska, J. P. Skön, P. Packalén, T. Tokola, M. Maltamo, and M. Kolehmainen, “Neural networks for the prediction of species-specific plot volumes using airborne laser scanning and aerial photographs,” *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, vol. 48, no. 3, pp. 1076–1085, Mar. 2010.
- [83] P. Packalén and M. Maltamo, “The k-MSN method for the prediction of species-specific stand attributes using airborne laser scanning and aerial photographs,” *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 109, no. 3, pp. 328–341, Aug. 2007.
- [84] J. Peuhkurinen, M. Maltamo, and J. Malinen, “Estimating species-specific diameter distributions and saw log recoveries of boreal forests from airborne laser scanning data and aerial photographs: a distribution-based approach,” *Silva Fennica*, vol. 42, no. 4, pp. 625–641, 2008.
- [85] L. W. Kenyi, R. Dubayah, M. Hofton, and M. Schardt, “Comparative analysis of SRTM-NED vegetation canopy height to lidar-derived vegetation canopy metrics,” *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, vol. 30, no. 11, pp. 2797–2811, 2009.
- [86] S. L. Huang, S. A. Hager, K. Q. Halligan, I. S. Fairweather, A. K. Swanson, and R. L. Crabtree, “A comparison of individual tree and forest plot height derived from lidar and InSAR,” *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing*, vol. 75, no. 2, pp. 159–167, 2009.
- [87] J. O. Sexton, T. Bax, P. Siqueira, J. J. Swenson, and S. Hensley, “A comparison of lidar, radar, and field measurements of canopy height in pine and hardwood forests of southeastern North America,” *Forest Ecology and Management*, vol. 257, no. 3, pp. 1136–1147, Feb. 2009.
- [88] G. Smith, A. Persson, J. Holmgren, B. Hallberg, J. E. S. Fransson, and L. M. H. Ulander, “Forest stem volume estimation using high-resolution lidar and SAR data,” in *Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium, 2002. IGARSS '02. 2002 IEEE International*, vol. 4, 2002, pp. 2084–2086.
- [89] P. Hyde, R. Nelson, D. Kimes, and E. Levine, “Exploring lidar-radar synergy - predicting aboveground biomass in a southwestern ponderosa pine forest using lidar, SAR and InSAR,” *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 106, no. 1, pp. 28–38, 2007.
- [90] R. F. Nelson, P. Hyde, P. Johnson, B. Emessiene, M. L. Imhoff, R. Campbell, and W. Edwards, “Investigating radar-lidar synergy in a North Carolina pine forest,” *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 110, no. 1, pp. 98–108, 2007.
- [91] R. M. Lucas, A. C. Lee, and P. J. Bunting, “Retrieving forest biomass through integration of CASI and lidar data,” *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, vol. 29, no. 5, pp. 1553–1577, 2008.
- [92] L. Vierling, E. Rowell, X. Chen, D. Dykstra, and K. Vierling, “Relationships among airborne scanning lidar, high resolution multispectral imagery, and ground-based inventory data in a ponderosa pine forest,” in *Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium, 2002. IGARSS '02. 2002 IEEE International*, vol. 5, 2002, pp. 2912–2914.
- [93] C. Pascual, A. Garcia-Abril, W. B. Cohen, and S. Martin-Fernandez, “Relationship between lidar-derived forest canopy height and Landsat images,” *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, vol. 31, no. 5, pp. 1261–1280, 2010.

- [94] J. W. McCombs, S. D. Roberts, and D. L. Evans, "Influence of fusing lidar and multispectral imagery on remotely sensed estimates of stand density and mean tree height in a managed loblolly pine plantation," *Forest Science*, vol. 49, no. 3, pp. 457–466, 2003.
- [95] S. C. Popescu, R. H. Wynne, and J. A. Scrivani, "Fusion of small-footprint lidar and multispectral data to estimate plot-level volume and biomass in deciduous and pine forests in Virginia, USA," *Forest Science*, vol. 50, no. 4, pp. 551–565, 2004.
- [96] J. C. Suarez, C. Ontiveros, S. Smith, and S. Snape, "Use of airborne lidar and aerial photography in the estimation of individual tree heights in forestry," *Computers & Geosciences*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 253–262, 2005.
- [97] S. Koukoulas and G. A. Blackburn, "Mapping individual tree location, height and species in broadleaved deciduous forest using airborne lidar and multi-spectral remotely sensed data," *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 431–455, 2005.
- [98] J. Wallerman and J. Holmgren, "Estimating field-plot data of forest stands using airborne laser scanning and SPOT HRG data," *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 110, no. 4, pp. 501–508, 2007.
- [99] T. Hilker, M. A. Wulder, and N. C. Coops, "Update of forest inventory data with lidar and high spatial resolution satellite imagery," *Canadian Journal of Remote Sensing*, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 5–12, 2008.
- [100] T. Takahashi, Y. Awaya, Y. Hirata, N. Furuya, T. Sakai, and A. Sakai, "Stand volume estimation by combining low laser-sampling density lidar data with QuickBird panchromatic imagery in closed-canopy Japanese cedar (*cryptomeria japonica*) plantations," *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, vol. 31, no. 5, pp. 1281–1301, 2010.
- [101] D. O. McInerney, J. Suarez-Minguez, R. Valbuena, and M. Nieuwenhuis, "Forest canopy height retrieval using lidar data, medium-resolution satellite imagery and kNN estimation in Aberfoyle, Scotland," *Forestry*, vol. 83, no. 2, pp. 195–206, Apr. 2010.
- [102] E. Næsset, "Accuracy of forest inventory using airborne laser scanning: Evaluating the first nordic full-scale operational project," *Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research*, vol. 19, no. 6, pp. 554–557, 2004.
- [103] ———, "Airborne laser scanning as a method in operational forest inventory: Status of accuracy assessments accomplished in Scandinavia," *Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research*, vol. 22, pp. 433–442, 2007.
- [104] E. D. Wallington and J. C. Suarez, "Evaluation of commercial airborne lidar and SAR products to estimate top height and associated parameters in production forests in Britain," *Sustainable forestry: from monitoring and modelling to knowledge management and policy science*, pp. 298–313, 2007.
- [105] H. E. Andersen, "Using airborne light detection and ranging (lidar) to characterize forest stand condition on the Kenai peninsula of Alaska," *Western Journal Of Applied Forestry*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 95–102, Apr. 2009.
- [106] T. Nord-Larsen and T. Riis-Nielsen, "Developing an airborne laser scanning dominant height model from a countrywide scanning survey and national forest inventory data," *Scand. J. For. Res.*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 262–272, 2010.

- [107] M. A. Lefsky, A. T. Hudak, W. B. Cohen, and S. A. Acker, “Geographic variability in lidar predictions of forest stand structure in the Pacific Northwest,” *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 95, no. 4, pp. 532–548, 2005.
- [108] V. Thomas, P. Treitz, J. H. McCaughey, and I. Morrison, “Mapping stand-level forest biophysical variables for a mixedwood boreal forest using lidar: an examination of scanning density,” *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 34–47, 2006.
- [109] T. J. Hawbaker, N. S. Keuler, A. A. Lesak, T. Gobakken, K. Contrucci, and V. C. Radeloff, “Improved estimates of forest vegetation structure and biomass with a lidar-optimized sampling design,” *Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences*, vol. 114, p. G00E04, Sep. 2009.
- [110] S. Magnussen, E. Næsset, and T. Gobakken, “Reliability of lidar derived predictors of forest inventory attributes: A case study with Norway spruce,” *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 114, no. 4, pp. 700 – 712, 2010.
- [111] T. G. Gregoire, G. Stahl, E. Naesset, T. Gobakken, R. Nelson, and S. Holm, “Model-assisted estimation of biomass in a lidar sample survey in Hedmark county, Norway,” *Canadian Journal of Forest Research-revue Canadienne De Recherche Forestiere*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 83–95, Jan. 2011.
- [112] G. Stahl, S. Holm, T. G. Gregoire, T. Gobakken, E. Naesset, and R. Nelson, “Model-based inference for biomass estimation in a lidar sample survey in Hedmark county, Norway,” *Canadian Journal of Forest Research-revue Canadienne De Recherche Forestiere*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 96–107, Jan. 2011.
- [113] M. J. Falkowski, A. T. Hudak, N. L. Crookston, P. E. Gessler, E. H. Uebler, and A. M. S. Smith, “Landscape-scale parameterization of a tree-level forest growth model: a k-nearest neighbor imputation approach incorporating lidar data,” *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 184–199, Feb. 2010.
- [114] M. Maltamo, O. Bollandsås, J. Vauhkonen, J. Breidenbach, T. Gobakken, and E. Næsset, “Comparing different methods for prediction of mean crown height in Norway spruce stands using airborne laser scanner data,” *Forestry*, vol. 83, no. 3, pp. 257–268, 2010.
- [115] M. Maltamo, K. Eerikainen, P. Packalen, and J. Hyypä, “Estimation of stem volume using laser scanning-based canopy height metrics,” *Forestry*, vol. 79, no. 2, pp. 217–229, 2006.
- [116] E. Lindberg, J. Holmgren, K. Olofsson, J. Wallerman, and H. Olsson, “Estimation of tree lists from airborne laser scanning by combining single-tree and area-based methods,” *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, vol. 31, no. 5, pp. 1175–1192, 2010.
- [117] J. Vauhkonen, I. Korpela, M. Maltamo, and T. Tokola, “Imputation of single-tree attributes using airborne laser scanning-based height, intensity, and alpha shape metrics,” *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 114, no. 6, pp. 1263–1276, Jun. 2010.
- [118] J. Breidenbach, E. Naesset, V. Lien, T. Gobakken, and S. Solberg, “Prediction of species specific forest inventory attributes using a nonparametric semi-individual tree crown approach based on fused airborne laser scanning and multispectral data,” *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 114, no. 4, pp. 911–924, Apr. 2010.

- [119] J. Breidenbach, A. Nothdurft, and G. Kändler, “Comparison of nearest neighbour approaches for small area estimation of tree species-specific forest inventory attributes in central Europe using airborne laser scanner data,” *European Journal of Forest Research*, vol. 129, no. 5, pp. 833–846, 2010.
- [120] R. Brennan and T. L. Webster, “Object-oriented land cover classification of lidar-derived surfaces,” *Canadian Journal of Remote Sensing*, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 162–172, 2006.
- [121] F. Bretar, A. Chauve, J. S. Bailly, C. Mallet, and A. Jacome, “Terrain surfaces and 3-D landcover classification from small footprint full-waveform lidar data: application to badlands,” *Hydrology And Earth System Sciences*, vol. 13, no. 8, pp. 1531–1544, 2009.
- [122] O. Diederhagen, B. Koch, and H. Weinacker, “Automatic segmentation and characterisation of forest stand parameters using airborne lidar data, multispectral and fogis data,” in *International Archives of Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences, Volume XXXVI, Part 8/W2. Proceedings of the international Conference. Laser-Scanners for Forest and Landscape Assessment - Instruments, Processing Methods and Applications. Freiburg im Breisgau. Germany.*, 2004.
- [123] M. Dalponte, L. Bruzzone, and D. Gianelle, “Fusion of hyperspectral and lidar remote sensing data for classification of complex forest areas,” *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, vol. 46, no. 5, pp. 1416–1427, May 2008.
- [124] A. S. Antonarakis, K. S. Richards, and J. Brasington, “Object-based land cover classification using airborne lidar,” *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 112, no. 6, pp. 2988–2998, 2008.
- [125] A. Farid, D. Rautenkranz, D. C. Goodrich, S. E. Marsh, and S. Sorooshian, “Riparian vegetation classification from airborne laser scanning data with an emphasis on cottonwood trees,” *Canadian Journal of Remote Sensing*, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 15–18, 2006.
- [126] A. Farid, D. C. Goodrich, and S. Sorooshian, “Using airborne lidar to discern age classes of cottonwood trees in a riparian area,” *Western Journal of Applied Forestry*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 149–158, 2006.
- [127] A. Farid, D. C. Goodrich, R. Bryant, and S. Sorooshian, “Using airborne lidar to predict leaf area index in cottonwood trees and refine riparian water-use estimates,” *Journal of Arid Environments*, vol. 72, pp. 1–15, 2008.
- [128] D. A. Zimble, D. L. Evans, G. C. Carlson, R. C. Parker, S. C. Grado, and P. D. Gerard, “Characterizing vertical forest structure using small-footprint airborne lidar,” *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 87, no. 2-3, pp. 171–182, 2003.
- [129] S. A. Hall, I. C. Burke, D. O. Box, M. R. Kaufmann, and J. M. Stoker, “Estimating stand structure using discrete-return lidar: an example from low density, fire prone ponderosa pine forests,” *Forest Ecology and Management*, vol. 208, no. 1-3, pp. 189–209, 2005.
- [130] J. Langford, O. Niemann, G. W. Frazer, M. A. Wulder, and T. Nelson, “Exploring small footprint lidar intensity data in a forested environment,” *2006 IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium*, vol. 1-8, pp. 2416–2419, 2006.
- [131] N. R. Goodwin, N. C. Coops, and D. S. Culvenor, “Assessment of forest structure with airborne lidar and the effects of platform altitude,” *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 103, no. 2, pp. 140–152, 2006.

- [132] P. A. Houle, K. Q. Zhang, M. S. Ross, and M. Simard, "Use of airborne lidar for the assessment of landscape structure in the pine forests of Everglades national park," *2006 IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium*, vol. 1-8, pp. 1960–1963, 2006.
- [133] N. C. Coops, T. Hilker, M. A. Wulder, B. St-Onge, G. Newnham, A. Siggins, and J. A. Trofymow, "Estimating canopy structure of douglas-fir forest stands from discrete-return lidar," *Trees-Structure and Function*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 295–310, 2007.
- [134] T. A. Kennaway, E. H. Helmer, M. A. Lefsky, T. A. Brandeis, and K. R. Sherrill, "Mapping land cover and estimating forest structure using satellite imagery and coarse resolution lidar in the Virgin islands," *Journal of Applied Remote Sensing*, vol. 2, 2008.
- [135] C. Pascual, A. Garcia-Abril, L. G. Garcia-Montero, S. Martin-Fernandez, and W. B. Cohen, "Object-based semi-automatic approach for forest structure characterization using lidar data in heterogeneous pinus sylvestris stands," *Forest Ecology and Management*, vol. 255, no. 11, pp. 3677–3685, 2008.
- [136] V. R. Kane, J. D. Bakker, R. J. McGaughey, J. A. Lutz, R. F. Gersonde, and J. F. Franklin, "Examining conifer canopy structural complexity across forest ages and elevations with lidar data," *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 774–787, Apr. 2010.
- [137] N. Miura and S. Jones, "Characterizing forest ecological structure using pulse types and heights of airborne laser scanning," *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 114, no. 5, pp. 1069–1076, 2010.
- [138] F. Morsdorf, A. Mårell, B. Koetz, N. Cassagne, F. Pimont, E. Rigolot, and B. Allgöwer, "Discrimination of vegetation strata in a multi-layered mediterranean forest ecosystem using height and intensity information derived from airborne laser scanning," *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 114, no. 7, pp. 1403–1415, 2010.
- [139] Y. Hirata, K. Sato, A. Sakai, S. Kuramoto, and Y. Akiyama, "The extraction of canopy-understory vegetation-topography structure using helicopter-borne lidar measurement between a plantation and a broad-leaved forest," in *Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium, 2003. IGARSS '03. Proceedings. 2003 IEEE International*, vol. 5, 2003, pp. 3222–3224.
- [140] M. Maltamo, P. Packalen, X. Yu, K. Eerikainen, J. Hyypä, and J. Pitkänen, "Identifying and quantifying structural characteristics of heterogeneous boreal forests using laser scanner data," *Forest Ecology and Management*, vol. 216, no. 1-3, pp. 41–50, 2005.
- [141] D. Jaskierniak, P. N. J. Lane, A. Robinson, and A. Lucieer, "Extracting lidar indices to characterise multilayered forest structure using mixture distribution functions," *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 115, no. 2, pp. 573–585, Feb. 2011.
- [142] M. Vehmas, K. Eerikainen, J. Peuhkurinen, P. Packalen, and M. Maltamo, "Identification of boreal forest stands with high herbaceous plant diversity using airborne laser scanning," *Forest Ecology and Management*, vol. 257, no. 1, pp. 46–53, 2009.
- [143] S. Koukoulas and G. A. Blackburn, "Quantifying the spatial properties of forest canopy gaps using lidar imagery and GIS," *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, vol. 25, no. 15, pp. 3049–3071, 2004.

- [144] K. Q. Zhang, "Identification of gaps in mangrove forests with airborne lidar," *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 112, no. 5, pp. 2309–2325, 2008.
- [145] A. Barbati, G. Chirici, P. Corona, A. Montagni, and D. Travaglini, "Area-based assessment of forest standing volume by field measurements and airborne laser scanner data," *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, vol. 30, no. 19, pp. 5177–5194, 2009.
- [146] R. Gaulton and T. J. Malthus, "Lidar mapping of canopy gaps in continuous cover forests: A comparison of canopy height model and point cloud based techniques," *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, vol. 31, no. 5, pp. 1193–1211, 2010.
- [147] C. Hopkinson and L. Chasmer, "Testing lidar models of fractional cover across multiple forest ecozones," *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 113, no. 1, pp. 275–288, 2009.
- [148] A. M. S. Smith, M. J. Falkowski, A. T. Hudak, J. S. Evans, A. P. Robinson, and C. M. Steele, "A cross-comparison of field, spectral, and lidar estimates of forest canopy cover," *Canadian Journal of Remote Sensing*, vol. 35, no. 5, pp. 447–459, Oct. 2009.
- [149] A. J. McLane, G. J. McDermid, and M. A. Wulder, "Processing discrete-return profiling lidar data to estimate canopy closure for large-area forest mapping and management," *Canadian Journal of Remote Sensing*, vol. 35, no. 3, pp. 217–229, Jun. 2009.
- [150] T. C. Weber and D. E. Boss, "Use of lidar and supplemental data to estimate forest maturity in Charles county, MD, USA," *Forest Ecology and Management*, vol. 258, no. 9, pp. 2068–2075, Oct. 2009.
- [151] M. Hollaus, W. Dorigo, W. Wagner, K. Schadauer, B. Höfle, and B. Maier, "Operational wide-area stem volume estimation based on airborne laser scanning and national forest inventory data," *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, vol. 30, no. 19, pp. 5159–5175, 2009.
- [152] Y. Kim, Z. Q. Yang, W. B. Cohen, D. Pflugmacher, C. L. Lauver, and J. L. Vankat, "Distinguishing between live and dead standing tree biomass on the north rim of grand canyon national park, USA using small-footprint lidar data," *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 113, no. 11, pp. 2499–2510, Nov. 2009.
- [153] R. A. Hill, S. A. Hinsley, and D. L. A. Gaveau, "Mapping forest pattern and structure at a landscape scale using airborne laser scanning technology," *Avian Landscape Ecology: Pure and Applied Issues in the Large-Scale Ecology of Birds*, pp. 60–67, 2002.
- [154] P. Hyde, R. Dubayah, B. Peterson, J. B. Blair, M. Hofton, C. Hunsaker, R. Knox, and W. Walker, "Mapping forest structure for wildlife habitat analysis using waveform lidar: Validation of montane ecosystems," *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 96, no. 3-4, pp. 427–437, 2005.
- [155] J. Müller and R. Brandl, "Assessing biodiversity by remote sensing in mountainous terrain: the potential of lidar to predict forest beetle assemblages," *Journal of Applied Ecology*, vol. 46, no. 4, pp. 897–905, 2009.
- [156] K. M. Bergen, S. J. Goetz, R. O. Dubayah, G. M. Henebry, C. T. Hunsaker, M. L. Imhoff, R. F. Nelson, G. G. Parker, and V. C. Radeloff, "Remote sensing of vegetation 3-D structure for biodiversity and habitat: Review and implications for lidar and radar spaceborne missions," *Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences*, vol. 114, p. G00E06, Dec. 2009.

- [157] C. Bassler, J. Stadler, J. Muller, B. Forster, A. Gottlein, and R. Brandl, "Lidar as a rapid tool to predict forest habitat types in Natura 2000 networks," *Biodiversity and Conservation*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 465–481, Mar. 2011.
- [158] S. A. Hinsley, R. A. Hill, P. E. Bellamy, and H. Balzter, "The application of lidar in woodland bird ecology: Climate, canopy structure, and habitat quality," *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing*, vol. 72, no. 12, pp. 1399–1406, 2006.
- [159] R. Clawges, K. Vierling, L. Vierling, and E. Rowell, "The use of airborne lidar to assess avian species diversity, density, and occurrence in a pine/aspens forest," *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 112, no. 5, pp. 2064–2073, 2008.
- [160] R. F. Graf, L. Mathys, and K. Bollmann, "Habitat assessment for forest dwelling species using lidar remote sensing: Capercaillie in the Alps," *Forest Ecology and Management*, vol. 257, no. 1, pp. 160–167, 2009.
- [161] H. Lee, K. C. Slatton, B. E. Roth, and W. P. Cropper, "Prediction of forest canopy light interception using three-dimensional airborne lidar data," *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 189–207, 2009.
- [162] S. D. Roberts, T. J. Dean, D. L. Evans, J. W. McCombs, R. L. Harrington, and P. A. Glass, "Estimating individual tree leaf area in loblolly pine plantations using lidar-derived measurements of height and crown dimensions," *Forest Ecology and Management*, vol. 213, no. 1-3, pp. 54–70, 2005.
- [163] J. L. R. Jensen, K. S. Humes, L. A. Vierling, and A. T. Hudak, "Discrete return lidar-based prediction of leaf area index in two conifer forests," *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 112, no. 10, pp. 3947–3957, 2008.
- [164] T. Sasaki, J. Imanishi, K. Ioki, Y. Morimoto, and K. Kitada, "Estimation of leaf area index and canopy openness in broadleaved forest using an airborne laser scanner in comparison with high-resolution near-infrared digital photography," *Landscape and Ecological Engineering*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 47–55, 2008.
- [165] S. Solberg, A. Brunner, K. H. Hanssen, H. Lange, E. Næsset, M. Rautiainen, and P. Stenberg, "Mapping LAI in a Norway spruce forest using airborne laser scanning," *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 113, no. 11, pp. 2317–2327, Nov. 2009.
- [166] K. G. Zhao and S. Popescu, "Lidar-based mapping of leaf area index and its use for validating GLOBCARBON satellite LAI product in a temperate forest of the southern USA," *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 113, no. 8, pp. 1628–1645, Aug. 2009.
- [167] R. M. N. Cerrillo, M. S. de la Orden, J. G. Bonilla, A. Garcia-Ferrer, R. H. Clemente, and S. Lanjari, "Lidar-based estimation of leaf area index on holm oak [*Quercus ilex* L. subsp. *ballota* (Desf.) Samp.] trees," *Forest Systems*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 61–69, Apr. 2010.
- [168] G. A. Blackburn, "Remote sensing of forest pigments using airborne imaging spectrometer and lidar imagery," *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 82, no. 2-3, pp. 311–321, 2002.
- [169] J. J. Riggins, J. A. Tullis, and F. M. Stephen, "Per-segment aboveground forest biomass estimation using lidar-derived height percentile statistics," *GIScience & Remote Sensing*, vol. 46, no. 2, pp. 232–248, Apr. 2009.

- [170] N. C. Coops, A. Varhola, C. W. Bater, P. Teti, S. Boon, N. Goodwin, and M. Weiler, "Assessing differences in tree and stand structure following beetle infestation using lidar data," *Canadian Journal of Remote Sensing*, vol. 35, no. 6, pp. 497–508, Dec. 2009.
- [171] D. Riano, E. Meier, B. Allgower, E. Chuvieco, and S. L. Ustin, "Modeling airborne laser scanning data for the spatial generation of critical forest parameters in fire behavior modeling," *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 86, no. 2, pp. 177–186, 2003.
- [172] D. Riano, E. Chuvieco, S. Condes, J. Gonzalez-Matesanz, and S. L. Ustin, "Generation of crown bulk density for pinus sylvestris l. from lidar," *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 92, no. 3, pp. 345–352, 2004.
- [173] H. E. Andersen, R. J. McGaughey, and S. E. Reutebuch, "Estimating forest canopy fuel parameters using lidar data," *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 94, no. 4, pp. 441–449, 2005.
- [174] R. Loos and O. Niemann, "Identification of individual trees and canopy shapes using lidar data for fire management," *2006 IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium*, vol. 1-8, pp. 3755–3757, 2006.
- [175] T. L. Erdody and L. M. Moskal, "Fusion of lidar and imagery for estimating forest canopy fuels," *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 114, no. 4, pp. 725 – 737, 2010.
- [176] N. S. Skowronski, K. L. Clark, M. Duveneck, and J. Hom, "Three-dimensional canopy fuel loading predicted using upward and downward sensing lidar systems," *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 115, no. 2, pp. 703–714, Feb. 2011.
- [177] M. A. Wulder, J. C. White, F. Alvarez, T. Han, J. Rogan, and B. Hawkes, "Characterizing boreal forest wildfire with multi-temporal Landsat and lidar data," *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 113, no. 7, pp. 1540–1555, Jul. 2009.
- [178] D. A. Kwak, J. Chung, W. K. Lee, M. Kafatos, S. Y. Lee, H. K. Cho, and S. H. Lee, "Evaluation for damaged degree of vegetation by forest fire using lidar and a digital aerial photograph," *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing*, vol. 76, no. 3, pp. 277–287, Mar. 2010.
- [179] M. G. Wing, A. Eklund, and J. Sessions, "Applying lidar technology for tree measurements in burned landscapes," *International Journal of Wildland Fire*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 104–114, 2010.
- [180] J.-M. Monnet, E. Mermin, J. Chanussot, and F. Berger, "Using airborne laser scanning to assess forest protection function against rockfall," in *Proceedings of the Interpraevent International Symposium in Pacific Rim, Taipei, TWN, 26/04/2010*, 2010, pp. 586–594.
- [181] J.-M. Monnet, N. Clouet, F. Bourrier, and F. Berger, "Using geomatics and airborne laser scanning for rockfall risk zoning: a case study in the French Alps," in *Proceedings of the 2010 Canadian Geomatics Conference and Symposium of Commission I (ISPRS), Calgary Alberta, CAN, 15/06/2010*, 2010, p. 5.
- [182] T. Eid, T. Gobakken, and E. Næsset, "Comparing stand inventories for large areas based on photo-interpretation and laser scanning by means of cost-plus-loss analyses," *Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research*, vol. 19, no. 6, pp. 512–523, 2004.

- [183] M. Islam, M. Kurttila, L. Mehtätalo, and T. Pukkala, “Inoptimality losses in forest management decisions caused by errors in an inventory based on airborne laser scanning and aerial photographs,” *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*, vol. 40, no. 12, pp. 2427–2438, Dec. 2010.
- [184] M. Holopainen, A. Mäkinen, J. Rasinmäki, J. Hyyppä, H. Hyyppä, H. Kaartinen, R. Viitala, M. Vastaranta, and A. Kangas, “Effect of tree-level airborne laser-scanning measurement accuracy on the timing and expected value of harvest decisions,” *European Journal of Forest Research*, vol. 129, no. 5, pp. 899–907, Sept. 2010.
- [185] T. J. Dean, Q. V. Cao, S. D. Roberts, and D. L. Evans, “Measuring heights to crown base and crown median with lidar in a mature, even-aged loblolly pine stand,” *Forest Ecology and Management*, vol. 257, no. 1, pp. 126–133, 2009.
- [186] J. Vauhkonen, “Estimating crown base height for scots pine by means of the 3D geometry of airborne laser scanning data,” *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, vol. 31, no. 5, pp. 1213–1226, 2010.
- [187] A. Kato, L. M. Moskal, P. Schiess, M. E. Swanson, D. Calhoun, and W. Stuetzle, “Capturing tree crown formation through implicit surface reconstruction using airborne lidar data,” *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 113, no. 6, pp. 1148 – 1162, 2009.
- [188] M. Dalponte, N. C. Coops, L. Bruzzone, and D. Gianelle, “Analysis on the use of multiple returns lidar data for the estimation of tree stems volume,” *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 310–318, Dec. 2009.
- [189] I. Fujisaki, D. L. Evans, R. J. Moorbead, D. W. Irby, M. J. Mohammadi-Aragh, S. D. Roberts, and P. D. Gerard, “Stand assessment through lidar-based forest visualization using immersive virtual environment technology,” *Forest Science*, vol. 54, pp. 1–7, 2008.
- [190] X. W. Yu, J. Hyyppä, H. Kaartinen, and M. Maltamo, “Automatic detection of harvested trees and determination of forest growth using airborne laser scanning,” *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 90, no. 4, pp. 451–462, 2004.
- [191] E. Næsset and T. Gobakken, “Estimating forest growth using canopy metrics derived from airborne laser scanner data,” *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 96, no. 3-4, pp. 453–465, 2005.
- [192] X. W. Yu, J. Hyyppä, A. Kukko, M. Maltamo, and H. Kaartinen, “Change detection techniques for canopy height growth measurements using airborne laser scanner data,” *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing*, vol. 72, no. 12, pp. 1339–1348, 2006.
- [193] E. Næsset and R. Nelson, “Using airborne laser scanning to monitor tree migration in the boreal-alpine transition zone,” *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 110, no. 3, pp. 357–369, 2007.
- [194] U. Vepakomma, B. St-Onge, and D. Kneeshaw, “Spatially explicit characterization of boreal forest gap dynamics using multi-temporal lidar data,” *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 112, no. 5, pp. 2326–2340, 2008.
- [195] U. Vepakomma, D. Kneeshaw, and B. St-Onge, “Interactions of multiple disturbances in shaping boreal forest dynamics: a spatially explicit analysis using multi-temporal lidar data and high-resolution imagery,” *Journal of Ecology*, vol. 98, no. 3, pp. 526–539, May 2010.

- [196] B. St-Onge, J. Jumelet, M. Cobello, and C. Vega, "Measuring individual tree height using a combination of stereophotogrammetry and lidar," *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*, vol. 34, no. 10, pp. 2122–2130, 2004.
- [197] B. St-Onge, C. Vega, R. A. Fournier, and Y. Hu, "Mapping canopy height using a combination of digital stereo-photogrammetry and lidar," *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, vol. 29, no. 11, pp. 3343–3364, 2008.
- [198] C. Vega and B. St-Onge, "Height growth reconstruction of a boreal forest canopy over a period of 58 years using a combination of photogrammetric and lidar models," *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 112, no. 4, pp. 1784–1794, 2008.
- [199] R. Q. Thomas, G. C. Hurtt, R. Dubayah, and M. H. Schilz, "Using lidar data and a height-structured ecosystem model to estimate forest carbon stocks and fluxes over mountainous terrain," *Canadian Journal of Remote Sensing*, vol. 34, pp. S351–S363, 2008.
- [200] K. G. Zhao, S. Popescu, and R. Nelson, "Lidar remote sensing of forest biomass: A scale-invariant estimation approach using airborne lasers," *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 113, no. 1, pp. 182–196, 2009.
- [201] M. García, D. Riaño, E. Chuvieco, and F. M. Danson, "Estimating biomass carbon stocks for a Mediterranean forest in central Spain using lidar height and intensity data," *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 114, no. 4, pp. 816 – 830, 2010.
- [202] R. N. Treuhaft, F. G. Goncalves, J. B. Drake, B. D. Chapman, J. R. dos Santos, L. V. Dutra, P. M. L. A. Graca, and G. H. Purcell, "Biomass estimation in a tropical wet forest using Fourier transforms of profiles from lidar or interferometric SAR," *Geophysical Research Letters*, vol. 37, p. L23403, Dec. 2010.
- [203] R. O. Dubayah, S. L. Sheldon, D. B. Clark, M. A. Hofton, J. B. Blair, G. C. Hurtt, and R. L. Chazdon, "Estimation of tropical forest height and biomass dynamics using lidar remote sensing at La Selva, Costa Rica," *Journal Of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences*, vol. 115, p. G00E09, Apr. 2010.
- [204] A. Jochem, M. Hollaus, M. Rutzinger, and B. Höfle, "Estimation of aboveground biomass in alpine forests: A semi-empirical approach considering canopy transparency derived from airborne lidar data," *Sensors*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 278–295, Jan. 2011.
- [205] M. Maltamo, K. Mustonen, J. Hyypä, J. Pitkänen, and X. Yu, "The accuracy of estimating individual tree variables with airborne laser scanning in a boreal nature reserve," *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*, vol. 34, no. 9, pp. 1791–1801, 2004.
- [206] M. Heurich, "Automatic recognition and measurement of single trees based on data from airborne laser scanning over the richly structured natural forests of the Bavarian Forest National Park," *Forest Ecology and Management*, vol. 255, no. 7, pp. 2416–2433, 2008.
- [207] K. Ioki, J. Imanishi, T. Sasaki, Y. Morimoto, and K. Kitada, "Estimating stand volume in broad-leaved forest using discrete-return lidar: plot-based approach," *Landscape And Ecological Engineering*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 29–36, Jan. 2010.
- [208] E. Næsset, "Assessing sensor effects and effects of leaf-off and leaf-on canopy conditions on biophysical stand properties derived from small-footprint airborne laser data," *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 98, no. 2-3, pp. 356 – 370, 2005.

- [209] M. Heurich and F. Thoma, “Estimation of forestry stand parameters using laser scanning data in temperate, structurally rich natural European beech (*fagus sylvatica*) and Norway spruce (*picea abies*) forests,” *Forestry*, vol. 81, no. 5, pp. 645–661, Apr. 2008.
- [210] S. Tonolli, M. Dalponte, L. Vescovo, M. Rodeghiero, L. Bruzzone, and D. Gianelle, “Mapping and modeling forest tree volume using forest inventory and airborne laser scanning,” *European Journal of Forest Research*, vol. 130, no. 4, pp. 569–577, Jul. 2011.
- [211] Y. Hirata, Y. Akiyama, H. Saito, A. Miyamoto, M. Fukuda, and T. Nishizono, “Estimating forest canopy structure using helicopter-borne lidar measurement,” *Advances in Forest Inventory for Sustainable Forest Management and Biodiversity Monitoring*, vol. 76, pp. 125–134, 2003.
- [212] A. C. Lee and R. M. Lucas, “A lidar-derived canopy density model for tree stem and crown mapping in Australian forests,” *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 111, pp. 493–518, 2007.
- [213] Y. Hirata, “The effects of footprint size and sampling density in airborne laser scanning to extract individual trees in mountainous terrain,” in *International Archives of Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences XXXVI (Part 8/W2)*, 2004, pp. 102–107.
- [214] ———, “Relationship between tree height and topography in a *chamaecyparis obtusa* stand derived from airborne laser scanner data,” *Journal of the Japanese Forest Society*, vol. 87, no. 6, pp. 497–503, 2005.
- [215] T. Takahashi, K. Yamamoto, Y. Senda, and M. Tsuzuku, “Estimating individual tree heights of sugi (*cryptomeria japonica* d. don) plantations in mountainous areas using small-footprint airborne lidar,” *Journal of Forest Research*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 135–142, 2005.
- [216] M. Hollaus, W. Wagner, C. Eberhofer, and W. Karel, “Accuracy of large-scale canopy heights derived from lidar data under operational constraints in a complex alpine environment,” *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, vol. 60, no. 5, pp. 323–338, 2006.
- [217] M. Hollaus, W. Wagner, B. Maier, and K. Schadauer, “Airborne laser scanning of forest stem volume in a mountainous environment,” *Sensors*, vol. 7, no. 8, pp. 1559–1577, 2007.
- [218] K. R. Sherrill, M. A. Lefsky, J. B. Bradford, and M. G. Ryan, “Forest structure estimation and pattern exploration from discrete-return lidar in subalpine forests of the central Rockies,” *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*, vol. 38, no. 8, pp. 2081–2096, 2008.