

### Use of distributed water level and soil moisture data in the evaluation of the PUMMA periurban distributed hydrological model: application to the Mercier catchment, France

Isabelle Braud, M. Fuamba, F. Branger, E. Batchabani, P. Sanzana, B. Sarrazin, S. Jankowfsky

### ▶ To cite this version:

Isabelle Braud, M. Fuamba, F. Branger, E. Batchabani, P. Sanzana, et al.. Use of distributed water level and soil moisture data in the evaluation of the PUMMA periurban distributed hydrological model: application to the Mercier catchment, France. EGU General Assembly 2016, Apr 2016, Vienna, Austria. pp.1, 2016. hal-02605019

### HAL Id: hal-02605019 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02605019v1

Submitted on 16 May 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



HS2.1.3 Spatial patterns evaluation and process-physics understanding in distributed hydrologic modeling Poster A.55

# Use of distributed water level and soil moisture data in the evaluation of the PUMMA hydrological model **Application to the Mercier catchment 6.6 km<sup>2</sup>, France**

I. Braud (1), M. Fuamba (2), F. Branger (1), E. Batchabani (2), P. Sanzana (3), B. Sarrazin (4), S. Jankowfsky (5) (1) Irstea, UR HHLY, Villeurbanne, France; (2) Polytechnique Montréal, Québec, Canada; (3) Departamento de Ingeniería Hidráulica y Ambiental, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile; (4) ISARA-LYON, Lyon, France; (5) RMS, San Francisco Bay Area USA

### **1. CONTEXT AND OBJECTIVES**

### **Context:**

- Use of distributed hydrological models in a hypothesis framework (Clark et al., 2011)
- Evaluation of models using distributed data

### **Objectives:**

- Assessment of the value of distributed networks of sur moisture and water level sensors to identify proble models parameters and representations
- Application to the PUMMA (Peri-Urban Model for la Management, Jankowfsky et al., 2014) distributed mod Mercier catchment (6.6 km<sup>2</sup>, France)

## 2. STUDY AREA AND DATA

### Study catchment:

- Mercier catchment, south-east France, close to Lyon city
- Gneiss geology and soils with a low retention capacity.
- Three dominant land uses used as a basis for the PUMM mesh

### Available data (Fig. 1)

- Two rain gauges with a variable time step
- Discharge at the outlet with a variable time step
- Network of water level in streams (18 locations), 2 to 5 step, 2007-2010, (Sarrazin, 2012)
- Surface soil moisture network (7 locations), 2 min til 2010-2011 (Dehotin et al., 2015)



catchment. Location of the various sensors

### **Acknowledgements**

The second author thanks Polytechnique Montréal for providing the funding allowing its 6-month s Lyon. Most of the data used in this study were collected during the AVuPUR (Assessing the Vulnerability of PeriUrban Rivers) project funded by the French National Research Agency (ANR) under contract ANR-07-VULN-01, the IRIP project funded by Région Rhône-Alpes and Agence de l'Eau, and in the framework of OTHU (Observatoire de Terrain en Hydrologie Urbaine). We thank Judicaël Dehotin for providing the soil moisture data.

is testing	<ul> <li><b>3. THE PUMMA MODEL</b></li> <li>Model mesh made of irregular</li> <li>Dolygons corresponding to land-use</li> </ul>
J	<ul> <li>polygons conceptioning to randrasc</li> <li>patterns</li> <li>Modular structure with specific</li> </ul>
	modules according to land-use
rface soil	Main hydrological processes
ems with	accounted for:
andscape	Evapotranspiration and inflitration in soil
del in the	Saturation excess surface runoff on
	forest/agriculture surfaces
	Subsurface flow Figure 3: F
	Flow routing in the natural and coupling
	artificial hydrographic network
	4. MODEL SET UP AND EVAL
/IA model	Model set up:
	<ul> <li>Simulation with a variable time step for rainfall ar</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Deremeters specification from observations and</li> </ul>
	(2014) previous study on a neighboring catchme
min time	<ul> <li>No calibration to relate mismatch between observ</li> </ul>
	model parameters and process representations
me step,	Stepwise evaluation methodology:
	<ul> <li>Simple consistency checks (water balance and fl</li> </ul>
Figure 2:	<ul> <li>Comparison (NSE, bias) between observed/s</li> </ul>
a) soil	<ul> <li>Analysis of soil water storage dynamics using</li> </ul>
and	a normalized moisture index
(b) water	<ul> <li>Simulation of stream intermittency: 1cm water</li> </ul>
level .	observations to define the flow/no flow patterns b
sensors in the field	0.5, 0.7, 1 cm threshold for modelled values
	<ul> <li>Simulation of response and reaction times</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Reproduction of observed controls on hydrologic (approachent maisture, rainfall volume/intensity)</li> </ul>
(b)	(antecedent moisture, raintair volume/intensity)
1	
	6. CONCLUSIONS
	<ul> <li>Surface soil moisture and distributed water least</li> </ul>
	provide a diagnostic on the model dynamics,
	<ul> <li>quantity</li> <li>Quantitative information on established and soll water</li> </ul>
tav at Iretoo	al 2014) and distributed discharge would be
ay at IISIEd	an, zor i) and distributed distributed would be

model parameters

Main component to improve: soil water storage and topology



r storage (Vannier et required to improve

## Annual:

- to the other. Better results in wet

	Hourly	2007-2008	2008 (wet)	2009 (dry)
	NSE_Q	0.01	0.41	-0.33
	NSE_√Q	0.27	0.39	0.45
	PBIAS (%)	-8	9.3	1.3

6min time step	All events (20)	Wet (12)	Dry (8)
NSE_Q	-3.5	-2.4	-6.5
R <sup>2</sup>	0.6	0.7	0.4
PBIAS (%)	62.7	46.7	86.9
Peak flow lag (h)	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4
Peak flow error(-)	1.4	0.9	6.9

### **Stream intermittency** Model underestimation of no flow and continuous flow periods



References: Clark, Met al., 2011. Hydrol. Process. 25, 523-543; Dehotin, J. et al., 2015., J. Hydrol. 525, 113-129; Fuamba et al., 2016. Value of distributed water level and soil moisture data in the evaluation of a distributed hydrological model: application to the PUMMA model in the semi-rural Mercier catchment in France, J. Hydrology, submitted; ,Jankowfsky et al., 2014. J. Hydrol., 517, 1056-1071; Sarrazin, B., 2012. MNT et observations multi-locales du réseau hydrographique d'un petit bassin versant rural dans une perspective d'aide à la modélisation hydrologique. PhD thesis, Grenoble University, 269 pp (in French); Vannier et al., 2014, Hyd. Proc., 28, 6276-6291,