



**HAL**  
open science

## Rainfall-runoff model to estimate low-flow indices

F. Garcia, N. Folton, Ludovic Oudin

► **To cite this version:**

F. Garcia, N. Folton, Ludovic Oudin. Rainfall-runoff model to estimate low-flow indices. EGU General Assembly 2016, Apr 2016, Vienna, Austria. Geophysical Research Abstracts, 18, pp.1, 2016. <hal-02605674>

**HAL Id: hal-02605674**

**<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02605674v1>**

Submitted on 16 May 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



HAL Authorization

**Objectives:** To develop a daily rainfall-runoff model, with few parameters to simulate low-flow indices at gauged and ungauged sites and under different climate conditions.


## 1. Introduction

- Low flows are characterized by different indices that are needed to manage water resources at gauged and ungauged sites.
- Low-flow indices are statistics derived from measured or simulated discharges.
- A monthly regionalized rainfall-runoff model (LoiEauM) was developed by Irstea to estimate monthly indices at ungauged sites.
- Needs to adapt the model structure and regionalize its parameters to estimate daily indices at ungauged sites.

## 2. Dataset and methods


### Dataset

- Daily meteorological data come from the SAFRAN reanalysis of Météo-France and daily streamflow data from the French database HYDRO.
- Set of 691 catchments throughout France, natural or with small human influences, with various hydro-meteorological behaviors.




### Low-flow indices

- MAR: mean annual runoff.
- QMM: seasonality (mean monthly runoff).
- MAM3(5) and MAM10(5): mean annual 3-d and 10-d minimum flow at the recurrence interval of 5 years.
- QMNA(5): annual mean monthly flow at the recurrence interval of 5 years.
- Q95 and Q75: discharge equaled or exceeded 95% and 75% of the time, come from the flow duration curve.



### Methods


- Daily model structure (LoiEauJ) derived from the monthly model LoiEauM, which has 2 parameters.
- 2 structures: one with 3 parameters and one with 2 parameters (parameter A regionalized).
- Split sample test procedure, cross-validation on 2 subperiods: 1970 – 1981 and 1982 – 2013.
- Objective function:  $\frac{1}{2}KGE(Q) + \frac{1}{2}KGE\left(\frac{1}{Q}\right)$ .
- Evaluation of the low-flow index simulations.
- Evaluation of the robustness of the model.
- Comparison with the low-flow indices simulated with the daily models GR4J (4 parameters) and GR3J (3 parameters) and the monthly model LoiEauM.



## 3. Results

### Comparison for one catchment


- Better simulations of MAM3 and QA (annual runoff), in validation with the model LoiEauJ with 2 parameters for the Loir River at Durtal.



### Legends of the models


- LoiEauJ (2 parameters)
- GR3J (3 parameters)
- LoiEauM (2 parameters)
- LoiEauJ (3 parameters)
- GR4J (4 parameters)

### Comparison of the models for the catchment set




- Good simulations of the low-flow indices with the two LoiEauJ models.
- Better simulations of high flows, C2M(Q), and intermediary flows, C2M(sqrt(Q)), with the model LoiEauJ with 3 parameters. ( $C2M = NSE / (2 - NSE)$ ).
- No differences between the two LoiEauJ for the low-flow simulations, C2M(1/Q).
- The performances of the two LoiEauJ are as good as the ones with GR3J and GR4J.

## Robustness of the models



- Calibration on the 22 driest years and validation on the 22 wettest ones, then the periods are exchanged.
- The LoiEauJ model with 2 parameters is more robust for the low-flow index simulations.
- The LoiEauJ models are more robust for the high-flow and intermediary-flow simulations.
- No differences are observed for the low-flow simulations.

### Comparison with the monthly model



- The QMNA(5) and the monthly hydrographs are better simulated with the daily models, the 2 LoiEauJ, GR4J and GR3J, than with the monthly model, LoiEauM.

## 4. Conclusions, Perspectives

- The low-flow index simulations with the two LoiEauJ models (with 2 and 3 parameters) are as good as the ones with the two GR models (GR4J and GR3J) at gauged sites.
- The model with 2 parameters is more robust than the others.
- The monthly indices are better simulated with the daily models than with the monthly one, at gauged sites.
- The parameters of these models will be regionalized, with spatial proximity interpolations or regressions, and the simulations will be compared at ungauged sites.

### References

- Folton, N. and Lavabre, J. 2006. Regionalization of a monthly rainfall-runoff model for the southern half of France based on a sample of 880 gauged catchments. *IAHS Publication n°307*, pp. 264-277.
- Perrin, C., Michel, C. and Andréassian, V. 2003. Improvement of a parsimonious model for streamflow simulation. *Journal of Hydrology*, 279(1-4), 275-289.
- Folton, N. and Arnaud, P. 2012. Cartographie des débits de référence d'étiage sur le territoire national – La méthode LOIEAU. Rapport, Irstea – ONEMA ; 16 pp.