



HAL
open science

Multifunctionality issue regarding LCA of bioenergy plants

Antoine Esnouf, Lynda Aissani, F. Laurent, C. Déchaux

► **To cite this version:**

Antoine Esnouf, Lynda Aissani, F. Laurent, C. Déchaux. Multifunctionality issue regarding LCA of bioenergy plants. 7th International Conference on Life Cycle Management, LCM 2015, Aug 2015, Bordeaux, France. 2015. hal-02605706

HAL Id: hal-02605706

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02605706>

Submitted on 16 May 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Esnouf Antoine^{1,3,4}, Aissani Lynda¹, Laurent Faustine², Déchaux Claire¹

¹ Irstea, UR GERE, 17 Avenue de Cucillé – CS 64427, F-35044 Rennes Cedex, France


² Akajoule, 18 Boulevard Paul Perrin, 44600, Saint-Nazaire, France

³ INRA, UR0050, Laboratoire de Biotechnologie de l'Environnement, Avenue des Etangs, 11100 Narbonne, France

⁴ ELSA, Research group for environmental life cycle sustainability assessment, 2 Place Pierre Viala, 34060 Montpellier, France

Introduction

In the context of energy transitions, anaerobic digestion proposes an interesting alternative to fossil fuels by producing energy, thanks to the biogas production from organic residues digestion. However, as centralized bioenergy plants generate co-products, they can be considered as multifunctional systems. **Those functions arise some methodological issues for assessing their environmental interest throughout Life Cycle Assessment (LCA).**



Objectives


The aim of this study is to **analyse tendencies of LCA results depending on the main function of a centralized bioenergy plant.**

The concrete case of a bioenergy plant establishment within a local authority located in Western France is studied. After a territorial systemic analysis, the two main functions relevant pertaining to its urban and industrial context are: **(i) the management of organic waste and (ii) the production of energy via a gaseous fuel.**

Two comparative LCAs are then carried out by considering those two functions.


Method

Whatever the choice of the main function, a centralized bioenergy plant remains a multifunctional system and fulfils **secondary functions**. In this study, secondary functions are managed by the **substitution method**:



Scenarios analysed

Function (i) : Management of organic waste



Function (ii) : Production of energy via a gaseous fuel




Fig. 3 : Centralized bioenergy system (Metha) fulfilling function (i) or (ii), reference scenarios and their respective avoided productions

Results

Table 1 : Comparison of scenarios fulfilling function (i)

Impact category CML 2013	W.Metha VS W.Reference w/o substitution	Would co-function change conclusion ?		IF results are aggregated
		Energy production co-function	Fertilizer production co-function	
Acidif.		No	No	
Eutroph.		No	No	
ADP		Yes	Yes	
GWP (w)		Yes	No	
GWP (w/o)		Yes	Yes	
POCP		Yes	Yes	

Key: Metha has lower impacts than Reference (green), No significant difference (less than 20%) (grey), Metha has higher impacts than Reference (red)

Table 2 : Comparison of scenarios fulfilling function (ii)

Impact category CML 2013	E.Metha VS E.Reference w/o substitution	Would co-function change conclusion ?		IF results are aggregated
		Waste management co-function	Fertilizer production co-function	
Acidif.		No	No	
Eutroph.		No	No	
ADP		No	No	
GWP (w)		No	No	
GWP (w/o)		Yes	Yes	
POCP		Yes	Yes	

ADP: Abiotic Depletion Potential (CML 2007); GWP (w) or (w/o): Global Warming Potential with or without accounting biogenic carbon emissions; POCP: Photochemical Ozone Creation Potential.

Conclusion

Regardless of the choice of the main function, taking avoided impacts into account does not affect results on **local impact categories** (acidification and eutrophication potential) but it leads to **different conclusions on categories like GWP and POCP**. Depending on the main function, avoided impact has different consequences on ADP.

This study shows that the environmental interest of centralized bioenergy plants relies in their inherent multifunctionality. Therefore, making the identification of the main function and the account of co-functions more robust and more relevant are real issues. Those uncertainties for such a multifunctional system were here reduced by **involving local stakeholders**.