

## Flash flood warning in mountainaious areas: using damages reports to evaluate the method at small ungauged catchments

Dimitri Defrance, P. Javelle, Stéphane Ecrepont, Vazken Andréassian

## ▶ To cite this version:

Dimitri Defrance, P. Javelle, Stéphane Ecrepont, Vazken Andréassian. Flash flood warning in mountainaious areas: using damages reports to evaluate the method at small ungauged catchments. European Geosciences Union General Assembly 2013, Apr 2013, Vienna, Austria. pp.1, 2013. hal-02606043

## HAL Id: hal-02606043 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02606043

Submitted on 16 May 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



## Flash flood warning in mountainaious areas: using damages reports to evaluate the method at small ungauged catchments

Dimitri Defrance (1), Pierre Javelle (1), Stéphane Ecrepont (1), and Vazken Andreassian (2) (1) IRSTEA OHAX, CS 40061 13182 Aix-en-Provence Cedex 5, France, (2) IRSTEA HBAN, CS 10030 92761 Antony Cedex, France

In Europe, flash floods mainly occur in the Mediterranean area on small catchments with a short concentration time. Anticipating this kind of events is a major issue in order to reduce the resulting damages. But for many of the impacted catchments, no data are available to calibrate and evaluate hydrological models.

In this context, the aims of this study is to develop and evaluate a warning method for the Southern French Alps. This area is of particular interest, because it regroups different hydrological regimes, from purely Mediterranean to purely Alpine influences.

Two main issues should be addressed:

How to define the hydrological model and its parameterization for an application in an ungauged context?How to evaluate the final results on 'real' ungauged catchments?

The first issue is a classic one. Using a 'observed' data set (154 streamflow stations with catchment areas ranging from 5 to 1000  $\rm km^2$  and distributed rainfall available on the 1997-2006 period), we developed a regional model specifically for the studied area. For this purpose, the AIGA method, initially developed for Mediterranean catchments was adapted, in order to take into account snowmelt and to produce baseflows. Then, different parameterizations were tested, derived from different simple regionalisation techniques:

- the same parameters set for the whole area defined as the median of the local calibrated parameters;

- the same technique as the previous case, but by considering different sub-areas, defined as "hydro-climatically" homogeneous by previous studies;

- and finally the neighbour's method.

The second issue is more original. Indeed, in most studies the final evaluation is done using gauged stations as they were 'ungauged', ie keeping the at-site discharge data only for validation ant not for calibration. The main disadvantage of this approach is that the evaluation is made at the scale of the gauged catchments, which are in general greater than the catchments impacted by flash floods. Furthermore, many events are missed, since flash floods can occur very locally. In this study, we try to evaluate the results on observations collected by witnesses on 'real' ungauged catchments. The proposed method consists to use an historical data-base of flood damages reports. These data have been collected by local authorities (RTM). Finally, 139 ungauged locations were considered, where we simulated discharges for the entire 1997-2006 period. The comparison of these modelled discharge threshold above it most of the damages are observed. The pertinence of this threshold (and consequently of the model used for the simulation) is assessed by considering classical contingency statistics: probability of detection (POD), false alarm rate (FAR) and critical success index (CSI). The main advantage of this historical approach is the availability of many events in the database on very small catchments (50% less than 20 km<sup>2</sup>).

The preliminary results show that on gauged basins, the base flow and the snowmelt added modules improve the performance of the AIGA method when locally calibrated. But when results are applied on real ungauged catchments, improvements become less obvious, with a small advantage for neighbour's method. These results shows the difficulty arising with ungauged catchments, specially when target catchments are smaller than the gauged 'parents'. It also illustrates the interest of the damages database used as 'proxy' data to investigate the model performances at smaller scales.

This work has been done in the framework of the RHYTMME project, with the financial support of the European Union, the Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur Region and the French Ministry in charge of Ecology.