



**Flash flood warning methods: how to evaluate them?  
An application in the French Southern Alps using the  
AIGA warning method and different rainfall input data.**

D. Dimitri, P. Javelle, P. Arnaud, Vazken Andréassian, E. Moreau, P. Mériaux

► **To cite this version:**

D. Dimitri, P. Javelle, P. Arnaud, Vazken Andréassian, E. Moreau, et al.. Flash flood warning methods: how to evaluate them? An application in the French Southern Alps using the AIGA warning method and different rainfall input data.. European Geosciences Union General Assembly 2011, Apr 2011, Vienna, Austria. pp.1, 2011. hal-02606048

**HAL Id: hal-02606048**

**<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02606048>**

Submitted on 16 May 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



## **Flash flood warning methods: how to evaluate them? An application in the French Southern Alps using the AIGA warning method and different rainfall input data.**

Dimitri Defrance (1), Pierre Javelle (1), Patrick Arnaud (1), Vazken Andreassian (2), Emmanuel Moreau (3), and Patrice Meriaux (4)

(1) Cemagref, RHAX, Aix-en-Provence, France, (2) Cemagref, HBAN, Antony, France, (3) NOVIMET, Guyancourt, France, (4) Cemagref, OHAX, Aix-en-Provence, France

Because it is not possible to monitor every little river tributary, flash floods occur most often on small ungauged catchments. In this case, the development and the evaluation of a flood alert system are a real challenging issue, since no data is by definition available to validate results at the scale of interest. To try to solve this problem, the use of additional information simply saying “this area were flooded or not” can be of great help. By comparing on a continuous period (and not only for pre-identified events) the alerts emitted by the tested warning procedure and the damages locally reported, it becomes possible to get a more objective picture of the usefulness of the warning method.

The application presented in this communication concerns the evaluation of the AIGA flash flood warning method (Javelle et al, 2010, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2010.03.032) carried out on a 10 000 km<sup>2</sup> mountainous area located in the South-East of France (region of Nice). Different rainfall input data (interpolated raingauges network and different radar rainfall products) are compared. The evaluation is carried out for the 2008-2010 period, using both gauged hydrological stations (15 stations) and flood damages reports made on ungauged locations by local authorities. The interest and limit of using this last data is discussed. The interest of using X-band radar data in mountainous areas for flood alert is also investigated.

This work is accomplished with the financial support of the RHYTMME project, funded by the European Union, the Provence-Alpes-Cote d’Azur Region and the French Ministry of Ecology. The X-band radar data used in the study were provided by NOVIMET in the framework of the Interreg FRAMEA and CRISTAL projects.