



HAL
open science

A state-space representation of the GR4J rainfall-runoff model

Léonard Santos, Guillaume Thirel, Charles Perrin

► **To cite this version:**

Léonard Santos, Guillaume Thirel, Charles Perrin. A state-space representation of the GR4J rainfall-runoff model. EGU General Assembly 2017, Apr 2017, Vienna, Austria. pp.1, 2017. hal-02606378

HAL Id: hal-02606378

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02606378v1>

Submitted on 16 May 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Objectives

- ✗ Harmonize the mathematical equations of the GR4J model (Perrin *et al.*, 2003) within a state-space representation
- ✗ Replace the sequential resolution of equations by a global resolution with adaptative time-stepping
- ✗ Represent the unit hydrographs as a state variables model

Constraints

- ✗ Keep similar performances
- ✗ Keep a lumped model with four free parameters

1. Structural modifications

The two unit hydrographs cannot be written as state space variable model. The following options were chosen:

- ✗ Substitute the two unit hydrographs by a “Nash Cascade” (Nash, 1957)
- ✗ Place it before the split of flow components




Fig. 1: Substitution of the unit hydrographs of the original GR4J model (left) by a “Nash cascade” for the state-space model (right)

2. Mathematical modifications

- ✗ All the state variables are expressed as $\dot{v} = f(v, u)$ (v is the states vector and u the inputs) and merged in one system
- ✗ The “Nash cascade” is formulated with eleven stores and an outflow coefficient dependent on the GR4J time base (x_4) parameter (to easily compare it with UH_2)

The state-space formulation can be written as:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{S} \\ \dot{S}_{h1} \\ \dot{S}_{h2} \\ \vdots \\ \dot{S}_{hn} \\ \dot{R} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{x_1^{1-\beta}}{U_i(\beta-1)} \nu^{\beta-1} S(t)^\beta + (E_n - P_n) \left(\frac{S(t)}{x_1}\right)^\alpha - 2E_n \frac{S(t)}{x_1} + P_n \\ P_n \left(\frac{S(t)}{x_1}\right)^\alpha + \frac{x_1^{1-\beta}}{(\beta-1)U_i} \nu^{\beta-1} S(t)^\beta - \frac{n-1}{x_4} S_{h,1}(t) \\ \frac{n-1}{x_4} S_{h,1}(t) - \frac{n-1}{x_4} S_{h,2}(t) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{n-1}{x_4} S_{h,n-1}(t) - \frac{n-1}{x_4} S_{h,n}(t) \\ \Phi \frac{n-1}{x_4} S_{h,n}(t) - \frac{x_3^{1-\gamma}}{(\gamma-1)U_i} R(t)^\gamma + \frac{x_2}{x_3} R(t)^\omega \end{pmatrix}$$

Greek letters are fixed parameters, latin letters are model states and inputs, x_n are free parameters

3. Evaluation methodology

- ✗ 650 French catchments to get general conclusions
- ✗ Calibration of the models using the KGE' as an objective function
- ✗ Comparison of performances, output hydrographs, parameter values and internal fluxes
- ✗ Tests at daily and hourly time steps




Fig. 2: Locations of the 650 test catchments

4. Daily models results




Fig. 3: KGE' and parameter values of the state-space model compared to the original GR4J

- ✗ Very similar performances and parameter values (except x_4)
- ✗ On all the catchments hydrographs, the peak flows are lower for the state-space representation
- ✗ Discrepancies in the internal fluxes (see figure 6)




Fig. 4: Simulated hydrographs of the River Dives in winter and spring 2002

References

- Ficchi, Andrea, Perrin, Charles, & Andréassian, Vazken. 2016. Impact of temporal resolution of inputs on hydrological model performance: An analysis based on 2400 flood events. *Journal of Hydrology*, 538(Jul), 454-470.
- Nash, J. E. 1957. The form of the instantaneous unit hydrograph. *Int. Assoc. Sci. Hydrol. Publ.*, 45(3), 114-121.
- Perrin, Charles, Michel, Claude, & Andréassian, Vazken. 2003. Improvement of a parsimonious model for streamflow simulation. *Journal of Hydrology*, 279(1-4), 275-289.

5. Temporal consistency

- ✗ For the original GR4J model, time step changes are made possible by the changes in parameters values (Ficchi *et al.*, 2016)
- ✗ State space model parameter values are stable, time step changes are managed by the integration of the differential equations




Fig. 5: Scatter plots of the four daily and hourly parameters of the original GR4J (left) and the state-space representation (right)

6. Discrepancies in internal fluxes

- ✗ High exchange values occur sooner after rainfall in the state-space model
- ✗ Calibrated x_4 parameters create faster and higher response from the “Nash cascade” than with the unit hydrograph in the original GR4J
- ✗ These two patterns seem related
- ✗ No real consequences on performances




Fig. 6: Simulated water exchanges of the River Dives in winter and spring 2002




Fig. 7: Comparison of the impulse responses of UH_2 and a 11-stores “Nash cascade”

Conclusion

- ✗ Mathematically more uniform and continuous version of the GR4J model
- ✗ This version should not substitute the original GR4J model, as it does not outperform the original model and have a higher computational time
- ✗ It could be useful for specific applications like time variable modelling, data assimilation or multimodel approaches (see poster EGU2017-4093 Friday, 28 Apr, 17:30-19:00)