

Effect of in situ light x soil N resource interaction on Quercus petraea seedlings mixed-grown with Molinia caerulea

Antoine Vernay, Philippe Malagoli, M. Fernandez, Thomas Perot, Thierry Ameglio, Philippe Balandier

▶ To cite this version:

Antoine Vernay, Philippe Malagoli, M. Fernandez, Thomas Perot, Thierry Ameglio, et al.. Effect of in situ light x soil N resource interaction on Quercus petraea seedlings mixed-grown with Molinia caerulea. 3rd Restoring Forests: Regeneration and Ecosystem Function for the Future, Sep 2017, Lund, Sweden. pp.1, 2017. hal-02606845

HAL Id: hal-02606845 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02606845v1

Submitted on 16 May 2020 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.





Effect of *in situ* light x soil N resource interaction on *Quercus petraea* seedlings mixed-grown with *Molinia caerulea*

VERNAY Antoine¹, MALAGOLI Philippe¹, FERNANDEZ Marine¹, PEROT Thomas², AMEGLIO Thierry¹, BALANDIER Philippe²

antoine.vernay@inra.fr

¹PIAF, INRA, Univ. Clermont-Auvergne, 63100 Clermont-Ferrand, France
²Irstea. Research Unit on Forest Ecosystems (EFNO). Domaine des Barres, F-45290 Nogent-sur-Vernisson, France

Introduction :

Coexistence of forest species results from habitat filtering and niche stabilizing processes acting concomitantly. Understanding these processes will help to design efficient techniques of seedling establishment in forest restoration. This may bring rethought silvicultural practices

Objectives:

- Determine how early oak / M. caerulea responses are affected by nitrogen (N) along light gradient.
- Assess importance and intensity of the interactions.
- Highlight which mechanisms are affected by different resource availabilities along the gradient

Materials & Methods (Fig 1 and 2)

- Light gradient: from under tree canopy (17% PAR) to the middle of a gap (80% PAR) (Fig1)
- Nitrogen (N): no N supply (N₀) or 91 kg.ha⁻¹ (N₉₁)
- > Biotic interaction: sole oak seedling (Qp_s) vs mixed oak seedling with M. caerulea (Qp_M) (Fig 2)
 - A

Fig 2: sole-grown (A) and mixed-grown (B) oaks in the light gradient



<u>Fig3</u>: Relationship between intensity (I_{int}) and importance (I_{imp}) of interaction by *M. caerulea* on oak for each considered organ, among different light classes



<u>Fig 4:</u> carbon allocation to coarse roots vs N content (g per oak) . Small window <u>Fig 5:</u> shows N content of $Qp_S vs Qp_M$

Fig 1: experimental design

Results:

- <u>Fig 3:</u> Negative indices showed a global competition in terms of intensity and importance of interaction for mixed-grown oak. Facilitation was observed (positive indices) for oak coarse roots and for fine roots under high and low light levels, respectively.
- <u>Fig 4:</u> There was a positive relationship between N content (g per oak) and coarse roots carbon allocation to coarse root, independently of competition treatment (Qp_s , $R^2 = 0.79$ and Qp_M , $R^2 = 0.61$). Foliar N content was higher in Qp_s than Qp_M treatment.
- <u>Fig 5:</u> Shoot/root ratio was positively related to light gradient in Qp_S treatment (R²= 0.25) whereas it was relatively constant over the gradient for Qp_M (R²= 0.05).



Fig 5: Shoot/root ratio variation along light gradient

Conclusion:

- Facilitation surprisingly occurred for root system along light gradient in mixed grown oak.
- M. caerulea favorised oak coarse root biomass under high light, probably because larger leaf N accumulation resulted in larger
 C gain that in turn favoured C allocation to oak coarse root → resource conservative strategy.
- Conversely in low light fine root biomass is favoured, probably due to a decrease of *M. caerulea* biomass and cover, resulting in better foraging potentialities.

→ Low light and weak competition would allow oak seedling to develop fine roots to take up soil resources. However, higher competitive root abilities is not sufficient, higher light will be necessary to support growth