

# Combining Landsat observations with hydrological modelling for improved surface water monitoring of small lakes

A. Ogilvie, Gilles Belaud, Sylvain Massuel, M. Mulligan, P. Le Goulven, Pierre-Olivier Malaterre, R. Calvez

# ▶ To cite this version:

A. Ogilvie, Gilles Belaud, Sylvain Massuel, M. Mulligan, P. Le Goulven, et al.. Combining Landsat observations with hydrological modelling for improved surface water monitoring of small lakes. Journal of Hydrology, 2018, 566, pp.109-121. 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2018.08.076 . hal-02608067

# HAL Id: hal-02608067 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02608067v1

Submitted on 16 May 2020  $\,$ 

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Combining Landsat observations with hydrological modelling for improved surface water monitoring of small lakes

Andrew Ogilvie<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Gilles Belaud<sup>a</sup>, Sylvain Massuel<sup>a</sup>, Mark Mulligan<sup>b</sup>, Patrick Le Goulven<sup>a</sup>, Pierre-Olivier Malaterre<sup>a</sup>, Roger Calvez<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>G-EAU, AgroParisTech, Cirad, IRD, IRSTEA, Montpellier SupAgro, Univ Montpellier, Montpellier, France <sup>b</sup>Department of Geography, King's College London, WC2R 2LS London, UK

## Abstract

Small reservoirs represent a critical water supply to millions of farmers 1 across semi-arid regions, but their hydrological modelling suffers from data 2 scarcity and highly variable and localised rainfall intensities. Increased availability of satellite imagery provide substantial opportunities but the moni-4 toring of surface water resources is constrained by the small size and rapid 5 flood declines in small reservoirs. To overcome remote sensing and hydro-6 logical modelling difficulties, the benefits of combining field data, numerical 7 modelling and satellite observations to monitor small reservoirs were inves-8 tigated. Building on substantial field data, coupled daily rainfall-runoff and 9 water balance models were developed for 7 small reservoirs (1-10 ha) in semi 10 arid Tunisia over 1999-2014. Surface water observations from MNDWI clas-11

Email addresses: andrew.ogilvie@ird.fr (Andrew Ogilvie),

Preprint submitted to Journal of Hydrology

 $<sup>^{*}\</sup>mathrm{Corresponding}$  author

gilles.belaud@supagro.fr (Gilles Belaud), sylvain.massuel@ird.fr (Sylvain Massuel), mark.mulligan@kcl.ac.uk (Mark Mulligan), patrick.legoulven@ird.fr (Patrick Le Goulven), pierre-olivier.malaterre@irstea.fr (Pierre-Olivier Malaterre), roger.calvez@ird.fr (Roger Calvez)

sifications on 546 Landsat TM, ETM+ and OLI sensors were used to update 12 model outputs through an Ensemble (n=100) Kalman Filter over the 15 13 year period. The Ensemble Kalman Filter, providing near-real time cor-14 rections, reduced runoff errors by modulating incorrectly modelled rainfall 15 events, while compensating for Landsat's limited temporal resolution and 16 correcting classification outliers. Validated against long term hydrometric 17 field data, daily volume root mean square errors (RMSE) decreased by 54%18 to 31 200  $m^3$  across 7 lakes compared to the initial model forecast. The 19 method reproduced the amplitude and timing of major floods and their de-20 cline phases, providing a valuable approach to improve hydrological moni-21 toring (NSE increase from 0.64 up to 0.94) of flood dynamics in small water 22 bodies. In the smallest and data-scarce lakes, higher temporal and spatial 23 resolution time series are essential to improve monitoring accuracy. 24 Keywords: Remote sensing, Water balance, Rainfall-runoff model, Data

assimilation, Ensemble Kalman Filter, Water harvesting

# 25 1. Introduction

# 26 1.1. Hydrology of small water bodies

Small reservoirs have developed across semi-arid areas to reduce transport of eroded soil and mobilise water resources for local users. Their reduced costs favoured significant bottom-up development, resulting in several million small reservoirs worldwide (Lehner et al., 2011). Due to their modest size and large numbers, field monitoring of small water bodies remains rare except for scientific purposes (Albergel and Rejeb, 1997), limiting their hydrological understanding.

Local studies in Sub-Saharan Africa (Desconnets et al., 1997; Martin-34 Rosales and Leduc, 2003), Brazil (Molle, 1991), Mexico (Avalos, 2004), India 35 (Massuel et al., 2014b) and Tunisia (Grunberger et al., 2004; Zammouri and 36 Feki, 2005) performed water balance modelling to quantify available resources 37 and hydrological processes illustrated in figure 1. These exploit field measure-38 ments of rainfall, reservoir stage and pan evaporation but difficulties occur 39 due to the uncertainties in estimating inflow, infiltration and groundwater 40 inflow, withdrawals and lake evaporation (Li and Gowing, 2005), which must 41 be modelled, extrapolated and/or neglected based on reasonable assump-42 tions. Inflow due to diffuse runoff is often assessed indirectly by closing the 43 water balance or through rainfall-runoff modelling. The latter notably suffer 44 from the spatial variability of semi-arid rainfall regimes, leading to model 45 performance of NSE=0.5 or less, even with site specific field data (Lacombe 46 et al., 2008; Neppel et al., 1998; Ogilvie, 2015). Difficulties increase when 47 seeking to upscale site specific data and model water resources in ungauged 48 small reservoirs (Cudennec et al., 2007; Hrachowitz et al., 2013). 40

As a result, limited information exists on their water resources, prevent-50 ing the optimisation of farming practices and local stakeholder investments 51 (Wisser et al., 2010). Capturing runoff and favouring evaporation and in-52 filtration, these reservoirs also modify the spatio-temporal distribution of 53 resources. Hydrological studies have shown these can reduce downstream 54 flows by up to 80 % in small catchments and highlighted their cumulative in-55 fluence in larger catchments (Ma et al., 2010; Nyssen et al., 2010). Studies in 56 China (Gao et al., 2011; He et al., 2003) and Tunisia (Kingumbi et al., 2007; 57 Lacombe et al., 2008; Ogilvie et al., 2016b) on catchments over 1000  $km^2$ 



Fig. 1. Water balance fluxes in small reservoirs

<sup>59</sup> identified reductions ranging between 1 and 50% over the same periods and
<sup>60</sup> catchments, highlighting the uncertainties resulting in part from hydrological
<sup>61</sup> data scarcity on small reservoirs.

### <sup>62</sup> 1.2. Remote sensing and data assimilation of small water bodies

Satellite imagery is increasingly exploited to provide input data or to 63 calibrate hydrological models, with remotely sensed values of evaporation, 64 rainfall and soil moisture (Soti et al., 2010; Zribi et al., 2011) or assessments 65 of surface water areas (Leauthaud et al., 2013; Ogilvie et al., 2015; Swenson 66 and Wahr, 2009), lake and river stages (da Silva et al., 2014), and lake water 67 volumes (Baup et al., 2014; Crétaux et al., 2015; Frappart et al., 2018). Used 68 extensively across large wetlands, lakes or rivers, and at continental or global 69 scales, remote sensing has also been applied to provide insights across smaller 70 water bodies. 71

Studies using Landsat 30 m or pansharpened 14.5 m (Feng et al., 2016)
 notably enabled mapping numerous water bodies and their storage capacities

(Liebe et al., 2005; Sawunyama et al., 2006). Long term Landsat time series 74 have also recently been used to monitor surface water variations over time. 75 Pekel et al. (2016) developed a publicly available global data set of surface 76 water at a monthly scale over 1984-2015. Ogilvie et al. (2018) showed the 77 benefits of a specific approach to monitor small reservoirs (< 10 ha) and 78 account for the greater presence of flooded vegetation (Mueller et al., 2016; 79 Yamazaki and Trigg, 2016) and difficulties resulting from limited spatial (30 80 m) and temporal resolution (up to 8 day from the combination of Landsat 8 81 and Landsat 7 satellites). These succeeded in reducing mean surface water 82 RMSE to 9 300 m<sup>2</sup> (NRMSE = 24%) but the presence of clouds reduced 83 image availability reducing the method's ability to detect rapid floods and 84 reproduce coherent flood declines. 85

Data assimilation seeks to combine external sources of data or obser-86 vations to beneficially correct or calibrate in real time (i.e. as observations 87 become available) model outputs. Widely relied on in meteorology, it has be-88 come increasingly used in other scientific fields, including hydrology (Beven 80 and Freer, 2001; Boulet et al., 2002; Clark et al., 2008; Emery et al., 2017; 90 Moradkhani et al., 2005; Xie and Zhang, 2010) notably to combine the bene-91 fits of increasingly available and valuable (precise, accurate, higher temporal 92 and spatial resolution) remote sensing data. 93

To overcome the difficulties in monitoring surface water variations in small reservoirs through hydrological modelling and satellite imagery, the benefits of combining field data, numerical modelling and remote sensing were investigated here. A daily hydrological model to simulate volumetric changes in small reservoirs combined with an Ensemble Kalman filter to reevaluate in



Fig. 2. Location of Merguellil upper catchment and of neighbouring hydrometeorological data used in the paper. In bold, the 7 modelled reservoirs.

real time model outputs based on Landsat observations was developed here. The benefits of this combined model on daily values and mean annual availability were assessed against field data on 7 gauged reservoirs and compared with results obtained using only hydrological modelling or Landsat observations. Finally, the sensitivity of the approach to downgrading the confidence in input values and moving towards conditions found on ungauged reservoirs was investigated.

## 106 2. Methods

## 107 2.1. Study sites

<sup>108</sup> This research focussed on seven small reservoirs in semi-arid central Tunisia <sup>109</sup> (figure 2) benefiting from long term hydroclimatic data acquired through re-



Fig. 3. Availability of stage field data and rating curves over modelling periods of the 7 lakes

search collaboration with government agencies (Albergel and Rejeb, 1997; 110 Leduc et al., 2007; Ogilvie, 2015). Field instrumentation on each lake con-111 sisted of automatic stage pressure transducers and tipping bucket rainfall 112 gauges, supplemented by daily limnimetric (ladder) and rainfall readings by 113 local observers. Thirteen lakes in the vicinity had also been equipped with 114 evaporation pans. Complementary pressure transducers and automatic rain-115 fall gauges were installed as part of this research in 2011 on three lakes 116 (Hoshas, Morra, Guettar) to extend time series (figure 3) and tend to the 117 declining monitoring network exacerbated by the Tunisian revolution. 118

Stage and surface area were converted using site specific Height-Surface-Volume relations (figure 3) acquired and updated since the 1990s to account for silting (Albergel and Rejeb, 1997). Complementary surveying was also carried out on Hoshas in 2014. Figure 4 illustrates the shift in the rating curves from silting, which can be used to assess the level of uncertainty associated with volumes in recent years. On Gouazine, after 6 years (2001



Fig. 4. Change over time of surface area - volume rating curves for two small lakes

vs. 2007) the obsolescence of the rating curve results in a mean RMSE of 4900  $m^3$ , while on Fidh Ali it reaches 25 000  $m^3$  on volumes under 80 000  $m^3$ . On lakes where rating curves could not be updated (Guettar and Morra) for logistical reasons (cost, access to lakes and presence of water and/or vegetation on lake bed), GPS contours nevertheless highlighted that errors in the H-S rating curves only reached 11-12% after 12 and 22 years respectively (Ogilvie et al., 2018).

These are inferior to errors generated from extrapolating capacity loss 132 based on studies on 15 nearby surveyed reservoirs (figure 2), due to the 133 strong disparities in silting rates and the difficulties in erosion modelling, 134 especially over extended periods (Albergel and Rejeb, 1997; Baccari et al., 135 2008; Hentati et al., 2010; Lacombe, 2007; Ogilvie, 2015). The Gouazine 136 reservoir benefited from the longest and most reliable time series (figure 137 3) due to regular maintenance, field observations and six updates to the 138 stage-surface-volume rating curves but results on other reservoirs enabled to 139 confront the method on lakes of different capacities ranging between 50  $\mathrm{m}^3$ 140



Fig. 5. Schematic representation of methodology for assimilation of Landsat observations into hydrological model with the Ensemble Kalman Filter

<sup>141</sup> and 700 000  $\text{m}^3$  (table 1).

The field data collected were used to estimate the multiple fluxes in the water balance (WB) of small lakes and develop rainfall-runoff models for their catchments. Site specific hydrological models were developed over 1999-2014 for seven lakes, before evaluating the benefits of integrating earth observation data, as illustrated in figure 5.

## 147 2.2. Water balance modelling of 7 small water bodies

## 148 2.2.1. Rainfall inputs

Daily rainfall (P, mm/day) over the 7 lakes was interpolated over 1999-149 2014 from the 50 manual and automatic rainfall gauges situated at the lakes 150 and within and around their catchments (figure 2). Inverse Distance Weight-151 ing (IDW) interpolation was used after tests showed the marginal benefit 152 (error reduction by 1 mm) (Ogilvie, 2015) of geostatistical methods such 153 as Kriging with external drift (Hengl et al., 2007) compared to the lengthy 154 treatment times. Mean rainfall varied between 299 mm/year  $\pm$  108 mm/year 155 to 396 mm/year  $\pm$  124 mm/year (table 1). The homogeneous distribution of 156 the rainfall gauges in this catchment inherently accounts for the altitudinal 157 gradient within subcatchments (Feki et al., 2012; Ogilvie et al., 2016b; Van 158 Der Heijden and Haberlandt, 2010; Wackernagel, 2004). 159

#### 160 2.2.2. Lake evaporation

Lake evaporation rates (E, mm/day) were IDW interpolated based on 161 field observations from Colorado type sunken pans on 13 lake shores over 162 1999-2008 (figure 2). Evaporation time series were completed to 2015 based 163 on linear regressions between each lake and a reference station with contin-164 uous observations (El Haouareb), assuming homogeneous evaporation varia-165 tions across the basin ( $R^2 = 0.92$ ). Potential lake evaporation varied across 166 lakes between 1776 mm/year  $\pm$  143 mm/year to 2019 mm/year  $\pm$  198 mm/year 167 (table 1). A pan coefficient  $(C_t)$  of 0.8 based on water bodies of similar sizes 168 in semi-arid areas was used (Alazard et al., 2015; Cadier, 1996; Linacre, 1994; 169 McMahon et al., 2013; Molle, 1991; Riou, 1972). 170

### 171 2.2.3. Infiltration rules

Infiltration (I, mm/day) was modelled based on equation 1 where  $Z_{water}$ 172 is the absolute head of water (mm), a the slope, and  $i_0$  (mm/day) the inter-173 cept values provided in table A.1. These were extracted from Lacombe (2007) 174 and estimated for Guettar, Dekikira and Hoshas (figure 6) during depletion 175 phases (respectively 1262, 651 and 1546 days) when other fluxes are absent 176 (rainfall, runoff, withdrawals, releases) based on stage monitoring and esti-177 mated evaporation (Lacombe, 2007; Ogilvie, 2015). Mean daily infiltration 178 varied between 2 mm and 28 mm for a lake on gravely soil (table 1). Re-179 cent data do not indicate a noticeable change in infiltration properties from 180 silting over time, confirming past observations (Lacombe, 2007). Similarly, 181 uncertainties from silting on the absolute head of water used in infiltration 182 rules are estimated on average at 12.5% per metre, and may be lower due 183 to partial silting of the lake floor and constant infiltration rates observed 184 on four of these lakes (Ogilvie, 2015). Groundwater and subsurface inflow 185 are often neglected in water budgets (Lacombe, 2007; Li and Gowing, 2005) 186 as these are minor fluxes and their quantification requires intense monitor-187 ing and geochemical methods (Massuel et al., 2014b; Montoroi et al., 2002). 188 Accordingly, infiltration estimates provided here may in some cases corre-180 spond to the combination of infiltration, leaks and groundwater inflow. On 190 Gouazine, groundwater inflow was shown to reach 50  $m^3/day$  (Grunberger 191 et al., 2004), meaning absolute infiltration may be up to 2.5 mm/day greater 192 when the lake is 2 ha and less when surface area rises (Ogilvie, 2015). 193

$$I = i_0 + a * Z_{water} \tag{1}$$



Fig. 6. Infiltration values as a function of stage in the lake estimated during depletion periods

### 194 2.2.4. Modelling releases and overflows

Semi-structured interviews with the dam operators revealed the absence 195 of strict rules to protect the infrastructure as releases depended on further 196 storm forecasts, government advice, presence of lakes downstream, techni-197 cal problems with the valve and pressure from users to maintain maximal 198 resources for the dry season (Ogilvie, 2015). Releases  $(R, m^3/day)$  were de-199 tected on two lakes after only the most significant events (1% of all events). 200 Based on the extraordinary decline rates witnessed in instantaneous (15 min) 201 hydrometric data, releases were modelled on the basis of a 10 000  $m^3/day$ 202 release to reach 80% of  $V_{max}$  if and when the latter is exceeded (Lacombe, 203 2007; Ogilvie, 2015). This also accounts for overflows through the spillway. 204 Minor releases to flush out sediments and vegetation from the conduit were 205 increasingly rare and remain of the order of 1000-5000  $m^3/year$ . 206

Lake	Catchment size (km <sup>2</sup> )	Altitude (m)	Initial capacity $(10^3 \text{ m}^3)$	Rainfall (mm/year, 1999-2014)	Evaporation (mm/year, 1999-2014)	Infiltration (mm/day, 1999-2014)
Dekikira	3.31	406	219	396	1842	2.7
Hoshas	7.90	306	130	302	2003	28
Guettar	4.98	393	150	339	1994	10
Gouazine	16.64	397	237	387	1776	9
Fidh Ali	2.74	350	134	324	2019	3.6
Fidh Ben Nasseur	1.82	368	47	327	2016	7.8
Morra	11.69	588	705	299	1917	2

Table 1: Characteristics of the 7 small reservoirs modelled and their catchments

## 207 2.2.5. Modelling withdrawals

Regular field visits and quantitative questionnaires with 48 farmers on 22 208 lakes (Ogilvie, 2015) revealed the extreme heterogeneity of pumping practices 209 across lakes and years but highlighted the absence, or reduced importance 210 of withdrawals  $(W, m^3/day)$  on most lakes. These represented less than 40 211  $m^3/day$  in the summer months, compared to the 340  $m^3/day$  from infiltration 212 (of 7 mm) and evaporation (10 mm) on a small (2 ha) surface area (Lacombe, 213 2007; Ogilvie, 2015). On Guettar and Morra lakes however, withdrawals to 214 water fruit trees were estimated to reach over  $130 \text{ m}^3/\text{day}$  over April to 215 October. No withdrawal restrictions to preserve the resource as it wanes 216 were observed and thus modelled (Ogilvie, 2015). 217

## 218 2.3. Runoff estimation through GR4J catchment modelling

Runoff  $(Q, m^3/day)$  into small reservoirs was assessed using a daily GR4J rainfall-runoff model developed for each reservoir's catchment. This lumped conceptual model is well suited to the relative scarcity of data and used across semi-arid catchments of comparable size (Perrin et al., 2003). A daily time step was used to capture the intense rainfall events and corresponds to the available rainfall and runoff data, as availability of sub-daily data is extremely limited. It relies on a simple two reservoir structure and four parameters:

- X1 production store capacity (mm)
- X2 groundwater exchange coefficient (mm/day)
- X3 routing store capacity (mm)
- X4 unit hydrograph time constant (day)

Input variables consist of catchment size delineated using 1 arc second 231 SRTM digital elevation model, rainfall (P, mm/day) IDW interpolated from 232 available observations across over 50 gauges (figure 2) and potential evapo-233 transpiration (PET, mm/day) interpolated from 180 MODIS-derived 1 km<sup>2</sup> 234 monthly tiles. These MOD16 datasets exploit global weather data sets com-235 bined with MODIS derived land cover types, leaf area index and albedo (Mu 236 et al., 2011) to provide monthly PET estimates, at a higher resolution than 237 the 0.5  $^{\circ}$  Climate Research Unit products. 238

Models were calibrated using an objective function of maximal Nash Sutcliffe Efficiency (NSE) on runoff.  $Q_{obs}$  was estimated based on stage monitoring (figure 3) and a simplified water balance equation, as diffuse sheet runoff and subsurface runoff prevent direct observations (Albergel et al., 2003; Lacombe et al., 2008). Several fluxes can be neglected (groundwater

inflow, leaks) or assumed null (e.g. withdrawals) during the violent Horto-244 nian runoff events resulting from limited vegetation, low soil water holding 245 capacities, prominent topography and high rainfall intensity characteristic 246 of Mediterranean climates (Lacombe et al., 2008). The other water balance 247 fluxes (P, E, I, releases, overflows) were assessed based on local monitoring 248 and observations as described previously. The airGR code (Coron et al., 249 2017) which allowed for integrated numerical modelling and remote sensing 250 processing in R, as well as superior results thanks to the HBAN optimisation 251 function, was used. 252

## 253 2.4. Combining remote sensing observations and hydrological modelling

#### 254 2.4.1. Landsat surface water observations

The remote sensing observations employed in the Ensemble Kalman Filter 255 were Landsat-derived surface water areas for each lake over 1999-2014. 526 256 Landsat 5-8 images available freely from USGS were corrected to surface 257 reflectance and filtered to remove acquisitions with excessive clouds, shadows 258 and inactive Scan Line Corrector (SLC-off) pixels over each lake. Flooded 259 areas were extracted using the Modified Normalised Difference Water Index 260 (Xu, 2006) calibrated against extensive field data. Full details of the approach 261 are available in Ogilvie et al. (2018) and led to a mean surface area RMSE 262 of 9 300  $m^2$ . Surface areas were converted to volumes using the available 263 rating curves and values were linearly interpolated to provide a continuous 264 time series and allow comparisons with field data  $(V_{field})$  and the Ensemble 265 Kalman Filter  $(V_{ENKF})$  outputs. Alternate interpolation approaches (Forkel 266 et al., 2013) to gap fill and smooth daily time series failed here to provide 267 significant benefit, partly due to the abrupt fluctuations observed contrasting 268

with gradual seasonal flood pulses in larger water bodies (Leauthaud et al.,
2013; Ogilvie et al., 2015).

#### 271 2.4.2. Ensemble Kalman Filter

Ensemble Kalman Filtering (ENKF, Evensen (2003)) is a stochastic data 272 assimilation method suited to smaller scale non-linear systems, including 273 where initial states are highly uncertain (Gillijns et al., 2006) as may be the 274 case due to poor rainfall-runoff modelling of intense rainfall events. It also 275 reduces the difficulties associated with developing a tangent linear model and 276 deriving its *adjoint* counterpart (Vermeulen and Heemink, 2006), required in 277 variational data assimilation (e.g. 3D-Var, 4D-Var), widely used in the mod-278 elling of large systems such as atmospheric circulation models, oceanography, 279 and more recently in hydrology and hydraulics applications (Oubanas et al., 280 2018). 281

With the Kalman filter, an initial forecast is updated using the Kalman gain when an external observation is available, based on the following equations:

$$V_{update} = V_{forecast} + K_k * [Y_{obs} - H(V_{forecast})]$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

$$Y_{obs} = H(V_{obs}) + v_k \tag{3}$$

where  $K_k$  is the Kalman gain defined as:

$$K_k = Cy * H^T * (H * Cw * H^T + Cv)^{-1}$$
(4)

 $V_{forecast}$  is here the lake volume outputted by our daily hydrological model f(V) with a random error  $w_k$ .

$$V_{forecast} = f(V) + w_k \tag{5}$$

H, called the observation operator, is the model to convert observed state variables to observations. Where observations are directly inputted, as in this case, H = Id (identity) and equations simplify as below (equations 6 and 7). The external observation is the remotely sensed lake volume based on Landsat imagery ( $V_{RS}$ ) which have an associated random error  $v_k$ .

$$K_k = Cy * (Cw + Cv)^{-1}$$
(6)

$$V_{update} = V_{forecast} + K_k * [V_{obs} + v_k - V_{forecast}]$$
<sup>(7)</sup>

which can here be rewritten as:

$$V_{ENKF} = K_k * (V_{RS} + v_k) + (1 - K_k) * V_{WB+GR4J}$$
(8)

The forecast step is repeated on a daily basis and  $V_{forecast}$  is updated when acceptable Landsat observations are available (equation 8). The updated volume ( $V_{ENKF}$ ) is then fed back into the daily hydrological model and sequentially updated over 1999-2014 with the valid remote sensing (RS) observations (figure 5).

Cv is the observation error covariance matrix, Cw is the forecast error covariance matrix and Cy is the cross covariance matrix between the state variable and the forecast. As the state variable used is the volume and not

an intermediary state variable, Cy is equivalent to Cw. Cv and Cw values 302 were estimated using the covariances of errors between stage observations 303 and remote sensing observations and between stage and model outputs re-304 spectively. Stage related volumes include their own element of error (ladder 305 readings, rating curve imprecisions and evolving flood bed topography) but 306 here these are neglected compared to the errors from remote sensing (incl. 307 radiometric corrections, detection errors) and hydrological modelling. Cw308 variance was 20 times greater than Cv variance and contributed to attribut-309 ing greater confidence to the Landsat values over the model outputs in the 310 Kalman filtering. Alternate combinations were tested but these did not lead 311 to performance improvements. Cw remained constant as recommended by 312 Clark et al. (2008), allowing the method to be used on periods and lakes with 313 non-continuous ground truth data. 314

In the Ensemble version of the Kalman filter, n values of the initial state 315 are generated and each ensemble member is run through the forecast and 316 update step. The n values of the initial state are generated based upon a 317 random synthetic error y so that values have mean value initial state and 318 predefined covariance Cy. Initial states are the same as V (equation 5) and 319 not an intermediary variable, so y was taken to be  $w_k$ , the forecast error 320 (Moradkhani et al., 2005). The n ensemble of external observations are 321 generated randomly to obtain a normal (Gaussian) distribution with error 322  $v_k$ , i.e. centred on the observation value and with predefined covariance Cv323 (Reichle et al., 2002). Here n = 100, as Gillijns et al. (2006) reveal marginal 324 benefits above 100 and greater errors for n values below 40. 325

## 226 2.5. Performance and sensitivity of the ENKF approach

The performance of the Ensemble Kalman Filter  $(V_{ENKF})$  was assessed 327 against available field data  $(V_{field})$  and compared with the performance of 328 using only hydrological model  $(V_{WB+GR4J})$  and only remote sensing  $(V_{RS})$ 329 data. NSE values were calculated but considering their sensitivity to tim-330 ing of outputs and ability to disguise certain errors (Moussa, 2010), RMSE 331 values were provided. The performance in terms of individual daily volumes 332 was investigated as well as on annual water availability, considering their 333 importance to local users. 334

The method's performance, as inputs and parameters were degraded, was 335 then tested on four lakes to study its sensitivity and identify the ability of 336 RS observations to correct for greater uncertainties. The influence of re-337 duced rainfall observation networks was considered, based on rainfall time 338 series interpolated after artificially removing gauges in the catchment. In-339 formation gathered across 15 gauged reservoirs was also used to consider 340 the applicability of the approach to nearby ungauged catchments based on 341 average infiltration rules, transposing GR4J parameters and modeling an av-342 erage surface volume power relation adapted for silting over time detailed in 343 Ogilvie et al. (2016a). 344

# 345 3. Results and discussion

### 346 3.1. Hydrological modelling of small water bodies

Figure 7 illustrates the daily volume dynamics on lake Gouazine simulated by the hydrological model. Compared to the long term field observations,

results highlight the ability of the model to reproduce coherent flood dy-349 namics and declines rates, for floods of varying amplitudes. Flood peaks 350 were however, in some cases, under and over estimated as in 2003 (-54%) or 351 2007 (+198%) according to field data on lake Gouazine. Difficulties occurred 352 due to the low performance of the GR4J rainfall-runoff model, where NSE 353 reached values around 0.5-0.6 on Gouazine and Dekikira, but nearer 0.2-0.3 354 on other lakes (table 2), notably on lakes with less extensive and reliable field 355 data (rainfall, stage and rating curves). Though low, these are comparable 356 to previous GR4J results in the basin (Lacombe, 2007) and due largely to 357 insufficient rainfall gauge densities which fail to capture the high intensities 358 of very localised rainfall events (Neppel et al., 1998). 359

### 360 3.1.1. Rainfall-runoff modelling limitations

To simulate the uncertainties from the absence of upstream rainfall gauges 361 in the catchments of small reservoirs, rainfall was interpolated for the Gouazine 362 catchment after artifically excluding its upstream gauge data. IDW interpo-363 lated rainfall was then underestimated by 20.3% on 44 out of 53 events over 20 364 mm. The performance of the GR4J model decreased marginally (NSE=0.55), 365 however for the combined WB+GR4J model NSE declined from 0.57 to 0.24, 366 due to the knock on effect of errors during the flood decline (e.g. floods 367 missed in 2012 and 2014 in figure 12). Conversely, rainfall underestimation 368 forced the model during calibration to increase runoff coefficients, leading to 360 overestimation on other events which had been accurately detected due to 370 their larger spatial extent. These results highlight the importance of reli-371 able upstream gauges to detect orographic rainfall intensities and the order 372 of magnitude of uncertainties in catchments where upstream stations are 373



Fig. 7. Comparing observed daily volumes  $(V_{field})$  for Gouazine lake, 1999-2014 with values obtained by the hydrological model  $(V_{WB+GR4J})$ , the remote sensing observations  $(V_{RS})$  and their combination through Ensemble Kalman Filtering  $(V_{ENKF})$ 

<sup>374</sup> unavailable (i.e. all here, except Gouazine).

Even with an upstream station, certain events were underestimated on 375 Gouazine (in 2003, 2005, and 2009 on figure 7) due to undetected localised 376 storm cells. At the event scale, altitude variograms (e.g. KED) were not suffi-377 cient either to correctly modulate over space the amplitude of events. Though 378 meteorological satellite observations (e.g. TRMM) do not provide reliable es-379 timates at the event scale on such small catchments ( $< 20 \ km^2$ ), these or even 380 phone signal networks (Doumounia et al., 2014; Overeem et al., 2013) may 381 help define variograms and improve geostatistical interpolation. In larger 382 catchments or where the density of observations is greater, distributed mod-383 els may also help account for space-time rainfall variability (Aouissi et al., 384 2018). 385

Errors from the limited rainfall gauge density were further exacerbated 386 by inherent measurement gaps and errors due to equipment malfunctions 387 (obstructions, low maintenance) and the absence of sub-daily time series to 388 capture the flood peak accurately. Though 93% of storms over 10 mm were 380 separated by 24 hours (Lacombe, 2007), certain large events were poorly 390 modelled as substantial rains scattered over successive days, led to very high 391 runoff on the third day only, due to saturated soils and delayed subsurface 392 flows, causing calibration difficulties. Furthermore, the volume of the first 393 storms can be overestimated due to silting, and because ladders rarely moni-394 tor the lowest stage levels, due to logistical reasons of installation and regular 395 access. 396

### 397 3.1.2. Heterogeneous catchment responses

The low GR4J performance partly translated difficulties to model the 398 catchment's response. The intensity but also land cover, antecedent soil 390 humidity or conservation works such as contour benches can significantly in-400 fluence runoff coefficients in these catchments as discussed in (Ogilvie et al., 401 2016b). Model parameter X1 notably seeks to account for the soil humidity 402 and the threshold effect, leading to greater runoff once X1 is saturated. The 403 lumped (i.e. not spatialised) nature of the GR4J model makes accounting 404 for localised changes in catchment behaviour (water conservation works, land 405 cover and cropping) difficult however. Model choice guided by limited data 406 availability precluded the selection of a more data intensive semi-distributed 407 and/or physical model capable of accounting for discrete changes over time 408 in land cover and land use. Changing model parameters over time can al-409 ternatively indirectly account for this but only at the catchment scale. On 410 Gouazine, where numerous studies discuss the possible reduction in runoff 411 from the development of contour benches on 43% of its catchment area (Nasri, 412 2007), calibrating over 1997-2003 led to a routing store capacity (X3 param-413 eter) 5 times greater than over the whole period, possibly pointing to the 414 greater retention capacity from water soil and conservation works. Model 415 performance improved (NSE rose to 0.67) but only marginally as it remained 416 affected by the other difficulties discussed above. 417

# 418 3.2. Combining remote sensing and hydrological modelling

## 419 3.2.1. Ensemble Kalman filter performance on daily volumes

Figure 7 compares the daily volume dynamics on lake Gouazine based on outputs from the hydrological model, the remote sensing observations, and their combination through the Ensemble Kalman filter. Remotely sensed volumes provided greater accuracy in the estimations of flood peaks than the hydrological model however outliers remained present (e.g. 2006 and 2013). Furthermore, the low frequency of acceptable observations (on average 1.5/month) led to poor representation of the rapid flood rises as in 2003 (Ogilvie et al., 2018).

The Ensemble Kalman filter improved the performance of the site-specific 428 hydrological models, with Landsat observations notably modulating the ini-429 tial  $V_{WB+GR4J}$  forecast and usefully correcting the flood peaks under and 430 overestimated by the model (figure 7). These errors were carried through the 431 decline phase of the hydrological models and figure 8 clearly illustrates the 432 correction from the satellite observation which draws volumes closer to the 433 1:1 line, raising the NSE value, for instance from 0.57 to 0.81 on Gouazine. 434 This effect was pronounced on larger lakes that do not dry out, as overes-435 timations in the model outputs led to a progressive drift, which the ENKF 436 usefully corrected (figure 9). 437

Accordingly, RMSE (table 2) reduced thanks to the Landsat corrections 438 on 5 of the lakes (Dekikira, Gouazine, Fidh Ali, Morra and Guettar). Mean 439 RSME reduced by 54% to  $31\ 200\ m^3$  across all lakes and  $21\ 400\ m^3$  when 440 excluding the much larger dam (Morra). Compared to the range of flood val-441 ues experienced by these lakes, NRMSE reached an acceptable 0.26. Greater 442 errors were observed due in part to reduced model performance, preponder-443 ant remote sensing uncertainties (e.g. Hoshas) and less reliable hydrometric 444 field data (HSV on Morra and Guettar). The lower NSE on the smallest 445 reservoirs (Hoshas, Fidh Ben Nasseur) were to be expected here considering 446



Fig. 8. Scatterplot between modelled and observed daily volumes on lake Gouazine, 1999-2014



Fig. 9. Scatterplot between modelled and observed daily volumes for all 7 lakes, 1999-2014

the spatial resolution (30 m) of satellite imagery used here and the mean
flooded surface area around 1000 m<sup>2</sup> (Ogilvie et al., 2018).

Remote sensing observations are capable of representing flood dynamics 449 with low RMSE but suffer from overclassifications due to undetected clouds 450 and from the reduced temporal resolution of Landsat imagery (on average 1.5 451 image/month due to clouds) (Ogilvie et al., 2018). The ENKF approach de-452 veloped here enabled remote sensing outliers to be rapidly corrected here, as 453 seen on Gouazine in 2012 (figures 7 and 8) and Morra (figure 9) for instance. 454 The combination with rainfall-runoff modelling also reduced interpolation er-455 rors resulting from insufficient observations close to the flood peak as seen on 456 figure 7. Similarly, the ENKF also helped identify additional flood peaks as 457 in 2006. Over long periods, ENKF led to a reduction of RMSE near 10% on 458 Dekikira and Guettar. Large errors in the initial forecast led to marginally 459 higher RMSE with ENKF than  $V_{RS}$  on some lakes. However, as seen in figure 460 7, the ENKF approach enabled a more coherent and accurate reproduction 461 of daily flood dynamics even on these lakes. Over a single hydrological year. 462 the reduction in RMSE from ENKF over interpolated remote sensing obser-463 vations also reached up to 46% on Gouazine. 464

### 465 3.2.2. Ensemble Kalman Filter performance on annual water availability

The method's performance in assessing annual water availability rather than fine flood dynamics (i.e. individual observations) is shown in figures 10 and 11, and summarised in table 3. The ENKF method improved on the initial  $V_{WB+GR4J}$  results (NSE=0.62), except on the smallest lakes (Hoshas and Fidh Ben Nasseur). Nevertheless, the orders of magnitude of the ENKF estimated volumes on Hoshas (figure 10) remain correct in comparison to



Fig. 10. Modelled and observed mean daily water volumes per year for all 7 lakes. Years with no field observations between 1999-2014 were excluded here.

Lakes (modelled Initial		NSE			$RMSE (m^3)$			NRMSE
period)	capacity $(10^3 m^3)$	V <sub>WB+GR4J</sub>	$V_{RS}$	$V_{ENKF}$	V <sub>WB+GR4J</sub>	$V_{RS}$	$V_{ENKF}$	V <sub>ENKF</sub>
Gouazine (1999-2014)	237	0.57	0.84	0.81	45200	25300	25900	0.09
Dekikira (1999-2008)	219	0.69	0.73	0.78	44000	25800	23700	0.13
Fidh Ali (1999-2005)	134	0.17	0.70	0.55	39200	20900	20900	0.24
Fidh Ben Nasseur (1999-2001)	47	0.45	0.11	0.44	6500	1500	6600	0.21
Morra (1999-2014)	705	0.12	0.62	0.46	274300	76400	90000	0.30
Hoshas (2001-2014)	130	0.48	0.02	0.02	3000	23400	23100	0.56
Guettar (2003-2014)	150	0.18	0.50	0.49	62500	31800	28300	0.25

Table 2: Ensemble Kalman Filter performance on daily volumes

much larger volumes on nearby lakes. Again, by modelling the decline be-472 tween two Landsat observation and reducing certain outliers, the ENKF also 473 improved upon  $V_{RS}$  on certain lakes (e.g. Gouazine, Dekikira) but on others, 474 the poor initial forecast degraded the ENKF performance (e.g. Guettar and 475 Morra). Overall, ENKF displayed superior results than on individual values 476 due to the annual smoothing of observations, leading to very high levels of 477 NSE (0.99 across all lakes) and a mean RMSE (excluding the larger Morra 478 dam) reduced here to  $10500 \text{ m}^3$ . 479

On Hoshas,  $V_{WB+GR4J}$  continued to perform better than  $V_{ENKF}$  despite underestimating all events, due to the small and short floods experienced which lead to a drastic, incorrect increase in water availability from single remote sensing outliers. These were removed here through cloud and shadow filtering and capping volume outputs to the known maximum capacities, however residual outliers due to undetected cirrus clouds or shadows remain.



Fig. 11. Scatterplot between modelled and observed mean daily volumes per year for 7 lakes, 1999-2014

Improvements in the way clouds (especially cirrus clouds) are detected as well 486 as increased temporal and spatial accuracy will help reduce remote sensing 487 errors. Higher spatial resolution will increase precision, while more frequent 488 images will allow outliers to be corrected faster, reducing water availability 489 errors which depend on the lag between subsequent correct observations. 490 Improvements in the method may also be gained by defining specific Kalman 491 gain values for each RS observation to reflect for the presence of clouds at the 492 image level and the associated greater uncertainty over specific observations. 493 Interestingly, remote sensing uncertainties affected the smaller lakes (e.g. 494 Hoshas) where errors are proportionally more important but also lakes with 495 limited variation in surface area. On Morra for instance, the variations are 496 contained within the % error of surface area estimates from our MNDWI 497 method. Accordingly, on Morra ENKF outputs for individual observations 498 were heavily affected (NSE=0.46), but mean annual availability performed 490 well (NSE=0.89). 500

### <sup>501</sup> 3.3. Ensemble Kalman filter performance as data uncertainties rise

Figure 12 and table 4 illustrate the difficulties in modelling daily flood 502 dynamics as uncertainties in the data inputs rise. In the absence of upstream 503 rainfall gauges, the performance of the hydrological model degraded (cf. sec-504 tion 3.1.1) and RMSE rose by 28%. The ENKF however continues to improve 505 performance and correct for these errors, with NSE on daily volumes reduc-506 ing marginally from 0.81 to 0.75. RMSE values for the daily observations 507 increase by 21% but remain 46 % lower than the initial WB+GR4J forecast 508 thanks to the remote sensing corrections. Using an average locally derived 509 infiltration rule based on 13 small reservoirs (Ogilvie, 2015) prevented the 510

Lakes (modelled	Mean	NSE			RMSE $(m^3)$		
period)	daily volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	V <sub>WB+GR4J</sub>	$V_{RS}$	$V_{ENKF}$	V <sub>WB+GR4J</sub>	$V_{RS}$	$V_{ENKF}$
Gouazine (1999-2014)	42800	0.38	0.87	0.89	36700	13500	11500
Dekikira (1999-2008)	59000	0.65	0.71	0.89	41600	20500	14500
Fidh Ali (1999-2005)	32200	0.09	0.52	0.60	35300	13500	12400
Fidh Ben Nasseur (1999-2001)	1000	0.66	0.67	NA	4300	2400	4400
Morra (1999-2014)	448900	0.40	0.89	0.89	304500	31700	55700
Hoshas (2001-2014)	800	0.37	0.11	0.09	2400	8700	9500
Guettar (2003-2014)	29000	0.09	0.88	0.74	56500	7100	10700

Table 3: Ensemble Kalman Filter performance on mean annual water availability



Fig. 12. Modelled daily volumes on Gouazine lake when degrading inputs. Top: with no rainfall gauge in the catchment. Bottom: with the average infiltration value from 15 reservoirs

model on Gouazine to reproduce the emptying of the lakes between succes-511 sive events. This lead to a rising drift in volumes and RMSE values of the 512  $V_{WB+GR4J}$  initial forecast rising drastically to 97 000 m<sup>3</sup>. Again, the Kalman 513 filter using Landsat observations provided valuable corrections and RMSE 514 values on individual observations remained close (+15%) to those with the 515 site specific model. As the confidence in the model inputs & parameters (on 516 I, P, j degrades or significant additional fluxes can not be modelled reliably 517 (releases, withdrawals), the benefit of assimilation with remote sensing ob-518 servations as expected increases. However the benefit of  $V_{ENKF}$  over simply 519 exploiting interpolated  $V_{RS}$  values also declines, due to the initial forecast 520 becoming so uncertain. RMSE on  $V_{RS}$  remains on average 18% lower than 521  $V_{ENKF}$  in these four examples (table 4). 522

When considering ungauged catchments with no locally calibrated GR4J 523 parameters and no site specific HSV relation, the Kalman gain continues to 524 valuably correct the hydrological model's initial forecast, reducing RMSE by 525 30% (table 4). The increase in RMSE for  $V_{ENKF}$  is however amplified by 526 the uncertainties in  $V_{RS}$ , due to the surface-volume power relations used. A 527 locally derived power relation was shown to increase errors to near 40% on 528 Dekikira due to the difficulty in accounting for local lake morphologies and 529 the abrupt changes from silting (Ogilvie et al., 2016a). New techniques based 530 on high spatial resolution sensors open up increased possibilities to acquire at 531 lower costs (time, equipment) sufficient topographic detail to render surface 532 volumes rating curves (Avisse et al., 2017; Baup et al., 2014; van Bemmelen 533 et al., 2016; Massuel et al., 2014a) of multiple reservoirs of different geo-534 morphology. Similarly, data assimilation with Landsat observations could be 535

Lake	Degraded inputs	RMSE $(m^3/day)$			RMSE increase (%)		
		$V_{WB+GR4J}$	$V_{RS}$	$V_{ENKF}$	$V_{WB+GR4J}$	$V_{RS}$	$V_{ENKF}$
Gouazine	Rainfall data	57800	25300	31400	+28%	+0%	+21%
Gouazine	Infiltration data	97000	25300	29800	+115%	+0%	+15%
Dekikira	GR4J parameters and HSV	52800	35800	38500	+20%	+39%	+63%
Fidh Ali	GR4J parameters and HSV	43000	20100	29000	+10%	-3%	+39%

Table 4: Kalman Filter performance on daily volumes when degrading model inputs and parameters

<sup>536</sup> used to calibrate over time the GR4J parameters, notably X1, based on the <sup>537</sup> estimated runoff. This approach was not explored here due to the rainfall <sup>538</sup> uncertainties observed at this sub basin scale and the temporal resolution of <sup>539</sup> Landsat imagery, which would lead to incorrect quantification of daily runoff <sup>540</sup> and thus calibration of the parameters.

# 541 4. Conclusions

Landsat surface water estimates coupled with an Ensemble Kalman Filter 542 showed their potential to improve hydrological modelling of small reservoirs. 543 Remote sensing observations provided vital corrections to the flood ampli-544 tudes incorrectly estimated by the GR4J model which suffered notably from 545 rainfall detection issues. Conversely, site specific rules on depletion fluxes 546 (infiltration, withdrawals, etc.) led to an accurate modelling of the flood de-547 cline, improving over interpolated Landsat observations, limited by reduced 548 temporal resolution. Overall performance reached high skill levels (NSE rose 540 from 0.64 to 0.94 on daily values) and RMSE reduced by two thirds down to 550  $10\ 500\ \mathrm{m}^3$  when considering annual water availability. 551

Uncertainties from limited data availability (rainfall, infiltration, stage 552 data to calibrate P-Q models) were seen to increase the benefit of the ENKF 553 approach, but can also degrade the hydrological model to a point where it 554 becomes preferable to rely exclusively on interpolated Landsat surface area 555 observations. These performed well except on the smallest lakes, coherent 556 with the medium resolution imagery used here, and due to certain outliers 557 whose interpolation can reduce skill values over short periods. Time series 558 from the new generation of high temporal and spatial resolution satellite 559 imagery (e.g. Sentinel-2) are expected to further improve the accuracy of 560 remote sensing and associated data assimilation approaches on these smaller 561 reservoirs. 562

The Kalman filter approach may also be varied to seek to correct not 563 individual observations, but rather to estimate model inputs (e.g. rainfall) or 564 model parameters. This could notably be developed to improve hydrological 565 models on ungauged lakes, but would require frequent satellite observations, 566 close to flood peaks to provide sufficient accuracy in estimating daily runoff. 567 Similarly, over decline phases, where sufficient confidence in infiltration and 568 evaporation exists, the remote sensing observations could be used to identify 569 withdrawal rates. The ENKF method may also be enhanced by fine tuning 570 (Moradkhani et al., 2005) the covariances to compose with both sources of 571 uncertainty and provide greater confidence to remote sensing observations 572 over field data based on additional criteria (lake size, cloud presence across 573 image, etc.). 574

<sup>575</sup> By drastically improving the performance of hydrological modelling in <sup>576</sup> data scarce semi-arid catchments, the Ensemble Kalman filter may improve local water availability assessments (Wisser et al., 2010) but also provides
much needed data on the runoff captured by multiple reservoirs. These may
then serve as multiple runoff gauges to be integrated into larger scale models
(Gal et al., 2016; Liebe et al., 2009) and feed into the growing discussions
over their influence for downstream water users and uses.

## 582 Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the collaboration from the Direction Générale 583 de l'Aménagement et de la Conservation des Terres Agricoles (DG ACTA) 584 and Direction Générale des Ressources en Eau (DGRE) in Tunis and lo-585 cal representatives at the Kairouan and Siliana Commissariat Régional au 586 Développement Agricole (CRDA). These works were partly financed by the 587 ANR AMETHYST and SICMED DYSHYME projects. Finally, we thank 588 the associate editor and two anonymous reviewers for their comments which 589 helped strengthen the manuscript. 590

# <sup>591</sup> Appendix A. Supplementary materials

Table A.1: Infiltration values (mm/day) for small reservoirs in and around the Merguellil upper catchment. Values for Fidh Ali, Fidh Ben Nasseur and Morra were adapted from Lacombe (2007)

Lake	Mean infiltration	Infiltration for $Z_{min}$ $(i_0)$	Infiltration for $Z_{max}$	Infiltration rise per m $(a\ast 1000)$
Dekikira	2.7	2.70	2.7	0
Hoshas	28	3.62	77.1	24.50
Guettar	10	10.00	10.0	0
Gouazine	9	13.00	7.5	-1.38
Fidh Ali	3.6	3.60	3.6	0
Fidh Ben Nasseur	7.8	3.06	12.5	3.14
Morra	2	1.48	2.5	0.53

# 592 References

- <sup>593</sup> Alazard, M., Leduc, C., Travi, Y., Boulet, G., Ben Salem, A., 2015. Estimat<sup>594</sup> ing evaporation in semi-arid areas facing data scarcity: Example of the El
  <sup>595</sup> Haouareb dam (Merguellil catchment, Central Tunisia). J. Hydrol. Reg.
  <sup>596</sup> Stud. 3, 265–284. doi:10.1016/j.ejrh.2014.11.007.
- <sup>597</sup> Albergel, J., Moussa, R., Chahinian, N., 2003. Les processus hortoniens et
  <sup>598</sup> leur importance dans la genèse et le développement des crues en zones
  <sup>599</sup> semi-arides. La Houille Blanche, 65–73.
- Albergel, J., Rejeb, N., 1997. Les lacs collinaires en Tunisie : Enjeux, Contraintes et Perspectives. C. R. Acad. Agric. Fr. 83, 77–88.
- Aouissi, J., Benabdallah, S., Lili Chabaâne, Z., Cudennec, C., 2018. Valu ing scarce observation of rainfall variability with flexible semi-distributed

<sup>604</sup> hydrological modelling Mountainous Mediterranean context. Sci. Total
<sup>605</sup> Envir. 643, 346–356. doi:10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2018.06.086.

Avalos, J.E., 2004. Modélisation hydrologique globale conceptuelle appliquée
aux petits bassins versants en zone semi-aride du nord-Mexique. Rev. Sci.
Eau 17, 195–212. doi:10.7202/705530ar.

Avisse, N., Tilmant, A., Müller, M.F., Zhang, H., 2017. Monitoring small
reservoirs' storage with satellite remote sensing in inaccessible areas. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. 21, 6445–6459. doi:10.5194/hess-21-6445-2017.

<sup>612</sup> Baccari, N., Boussema, M., Lamachere, J., Nasri, S., 2008. Efficiency of contour benches, filling-in and silting-up of a hillside reservoir in a semi-arid climate in Tunisia. C. R. Geosci. 340, 38–48.
<sup>615</sup> doi:10.1016/j.crte.2007.09.020.

Baup, F., Frappart, F., Maubant, J., 2014. Combining high-resolution satellite images and altimetry to estimate the volume of small lakes. Hydrol.
Earth Syst. Sci. 18, 2007–2020. doi:10.5194/hess-18-2007-2014.

van Bemmelen, C.W.T., Mann, M., de Ridder, M.P., Rutten, M.M., van de
Giesen, N.C., 2016. Determining water reservoir characteristics with global
elevation data. Geophys. Res. Lett. 43. doi:10.1002/2016GL069816.

Beven, K., Freer, J., 2001. Equifinality, data assimilation, and uncertainty
estimation in mechanistic modelling of complex environmental systems
using the GLUE methodology. J. Hydrol. 249, 11–29. doi:10.1016/S00221694(01)00421-8.

Boulet, G., Kerr, Y., Chehbouni, A., Kalma, J.D., 2002. Deriving
catchment-scale water and energy balance parameters using data assimilation based on extended Kalman filtering. Hydrol. Sci. J. 47, 449–467.
doi:10.1080/02626660209492946.

Cadier, E., 1996. Hydrologie des petits bassins du Nordeste Brésilien
semi-aride: typologie des bassins et transposition écoulements annuels
Small watershed hydrology in semi-arid north-eastern Brazil: basin typology and transposition of annual runoff data. J. Hydrol. 182, 117–141.
doi:10.1016/0022-1694(95)02933-8.

- Clark, M.P., Rupp, D.E., Woods, R.A., Zheng, X., Ibbitt, R.P., Slater, A.G.,
  Schmidt, J., Uddstrom, M.J., 2008. Hydrological data assimilation with
  the ensemble Kalman filter: Use of streamflow observations to update
  states in a distributed hydrological model. Adv. Water Resour. 31, 1309–
  1324. doi:10.1016/j.advwatres.2008.06.005.
- <sup>640</sup> Coron, L., Thirel, G., Delaigue, O., Perrin, C., Andréassian, V., 2017. The
  <sup>641</sup> suite of lumped GR hydrological models in an R package. Environ. Model.
  <sup>642</sup> Soft. 94, 166–171. doi:10.1016/J.ENVSOFT.2017.05.002.
- Crétaux, J.F., Biancamaria, S., Arsen, A., Bergé-Nguyen, M., Becker, M.,
  2015. Global surveys of reservoirs and lakes from satellites and regional
  application to the Syrdarya river basin. Environ. Res. Lett. 10, 015002.
  doi:10.1088/1748-9326/10/1/015002.
- 647 Cudennec, C., Leduc, C., Koutsoyiannis, D., 2007. Dryland hydrology

- in Mediterranean regions a review. Hydrol. Sci. J. 52, 1077–1087.
   doi:10.1623/hysj.52.6.1077.
- Desconnets, J., Taupin, J., Lebel, T., Leduc, C., 1997. Hydrology
  of the HAPEX-Sahel Central Super-Site: surface water drainage and
  aquifer recharge through the pool systems. J. Hydrol. 188-189, 155–178.
  doi:10.1016/S0022-1694(96)03158-7.
- <sup>654</sup> Doumounia, A., Gosset, M., Cazenave, F., Kacou, M., Zougmore, F., 2014.
  <sup>655</sup> Rainfall monitoring based on microwave links from cellular telecommuni<sup>656</sup> cation networks: First results from a West African test bed. Geophys. Res.
  <sup>657</sup> Lett. 41, 6016–6022. doi:10.1002/2014GL060724.
- Emery, C.M., Paris, A., Biancamaria, S., Boone, A., Calmant, S., Garambois,
  P.A., da Silva, J.S., 2017. Large scale hydrological model river storage
  and discharge correction using satellite altimetry-based discharge product.
  Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 1–54. doi:10.5194/hess-2017-516.
- Evensen, G., 2003. The Ensemble Kalman Filter: Theoretical formulation and practical implementation. Ocean Dyn. 53, 343–367.
  doi:10.1007/s10236-003-0036-9.
- Feki, H., Slimani, M., Cudennec, C., 2012. Incorporating elevation in rainfall
  interpolation in Tunisia using geostatistical methods. Hydrol. Sci. J. 57,
  1294–1314. doi:10.1080/02626667.2012.710334.
- Feng, M., Sexton, J.O., Channan, S., Townshend, J.R., 2016. A global,
  high-resolution (30-m) inland water body dataset for 2000: first results

- of a topographic-spectral classification algorithm. Int. J. Digit. Earth 9,
  113–133. doi:10.1080/17538947.2015.1026420.
- Forkel, M., Carvalhais, N., Verbesselt, J., Mahecha, M., Neigh, C., Reichstein, M., 2013. Trend Change Detection in NDVI Time Series: Effects of Inter-Annual Variability and Methodology. Remote Sens. 5, 2113–2144. doi:10.3390/rs5052113.
- Frappart, F., Biancamaria, S., Normandin, C., Blarel, F., Bourrel, L.,
  Aumont, M., Azemar, P., Vu, P.L., Le Toan, T., Lubac, B., Darrozes, J., 2018. Influence of recent climatic events on the surface water storage of the Tonle Sap Lake. Sci. Total Environ. 636, 1520–1533.
  doi:10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2018.04.326.
- Gal, L., Grippa, M., Hiernaux, P., Peugeot, C., Mougin, E., Kergoat, L.,
  2016. Changes in lakes water volume and runoff over ungauged Sahelian
  watersheds. J. Hydrol. 540, 1176–1188. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2016.07.035.
- Gao, P., Mu, X.M., Wang, F., Li, R., 2011. Changes in streamflow and sediment discharge and the response to human activities in the middle reaches
  of the Yellow River. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. 15, 1–10. doi:10.5194/hess15-1-2011.
- Gillijns, S., Mendoza, O., Chandrasekar, J., De Moor, B., Bernstein, D.,
  Ridley, A., 2006. What is the ensemble Kalman filter and how well
  does it work?, in: American Control Conference 2006, IEEE. p. 6.
  doi:10.1109/ACC.2006.1657419.

- Grunberger, O., Montoroi, J., Nasri, S., 2004. Quantification of water exchange between a hill reservoir and groundwater using hydrological and
  isotopic modelling (El Gouazine, Tunisia). C. R. Geosci. 336, 1453–1462.
  doi:10.1016/j.crte.2004.08.006.
- He, X., Li, Z., Hao, M., Tang, K., Zheng, F., 2003. Down-scale analysis for
  water scarcity in response to soil -water conservation on Loess Plateau
  of China. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 94, 355–361. doi:10.1016/S01678809(02)00039-7.
- Hengl, T., Heuvelink, G.B., Rossiter, D.G., 2007. About regressionkriging: From equations to case studies. Comput. Geosci. 33, 1301–1315.
  doi:10.1016/j.cageo.2007.05.001.
- Hentati, A., Kawamura, A., Amaguchi, H., Iseri, Y., 2010. Evaluation of
  sedimentation vulnerability at small hillside reservoirs in the semi-arid
  region of Tunisia using the Self-Organizing Map. Geomorphology 122,
  56–64. doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2010.05.013.
- Hrachowitz, M., Savenije, H., Blöschl, G., McDonnell, J., Sivapalan, M.,
  Pomeroy, J., Arheimer, B., Blume, T., Clark, M., Ehret, U., Fenicia, F.,
  Freer, J., Gelfan, A., Gupta, H., Hughes, D., Hut, R., Montanari, A.,
  Pande, S., Tetzlaff, D., Troch, P., Uhlenbrook, S., Wagener, T., Winsemius, H., Woods, R., Zehe, E., Cudennec, C., 2013. A decade of Predictions in Ungauged Basins (PUB)a review. Hydrol. Sci. J. 58, 1198–1255.
  doi:10.1080/02626667.2013.803183.
- 714 Kingumbi, A., Bargaoui, Z., Ledoux, E., Besbes, M., Hubert, P., 2007.

- <sup>715</sup> Modélisation hydrologique stochastique d'un bassin affecté par des change-
- ments d'occupation: cas du Merguellil en Tunisie centrale. Hydrol. Sci. J.
- <sup>717</sup> 52, 1232–1252. doi:10.1623/hysj.52.6.1232.
- Lacombe, G., 2007. Evolution et usages de la ressource en eau dans un
  bassin versant amenagé semi-aride. Le cas du Merguellil en Tunisie Centrale. Ph.D. thesis. Université Montpellier II.
- Lacombe, G., Cappelaere, B., Leduc, C., 2008. Hydrological impact of water
  and soil conservation works in the Merguellil catchment of central Tunisia.
  J. Hydrol. 359, 210–224. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2008.07.001.
- Leauthaud, C., Belaud, G., Duvail, S., Moussa, R., Grünberger, O., Albergel,
  J., 2013. Characterizing floods in the poorly gauged wetlands of the Tana
  River Delta, Kenya, using a water balance model and satellite data. Hydrol.
  Earth Syst. Sci. 17, 3059–3075. doi:10.5194/hess-17-3059-2013.
- Leduc, C., Ben Ammar, S., Favreau, G., Beji, R., Virrion, R., Lacombe,
  G., Tarhouni, J., Aouadi, C., Zenati Chelli, B., Jebnoun, N., Oi, M.,
  Michelot, J., Zouari, K., 2007. Impacts of hydrological changes in the
  Mediterranean zone: environmental modifications and rural development
  in the Merguellil catchment, central Tunisia. Hydrol. Sci. J. 52, 1162–1178.
  doi:10.1623/hysj.52.6.1162.
- <sup>734</sup> Lehner, B., Liermann, C.R., Revenga, C., Vörösmarty, C., Fekete, B.,
- <sup>735</sup> Crouzet, P., Döll, P., Endejan, M., Frenken, K., Magome, J., Nilsson, C.,
- Robertson, J.C., Rödel, R., Sindorf, N., Wisser, D., 2011. High-resolution

- mapping of the world's reservoirs and dams for sustainable river-flow management. Front. Ecol. Environ. 9, 494–502. doi:10.1890/100125.
- Li, Q., Gowing, J., 2005. A Daily Water Balance Modelling Approach for
  Simulating Performance of Tank-Based Irrigation Systems. Water Resour.
  Manag. 19, 211–231. doi:10.1007/s11269-005-2702-9.
- Liebe, J., van de Giesen, N., Andreini, M., 2005. Estimation of small reservoir
  storage capacities in a semi-arid environment. Phys. Chem. Earth, Parts
  A/B/C 30, 448–454. doi:10.1016/j.pce.2005.06.011.
- Liebe, J.R., van de Giesen, N., Andreini, M., Walter, M.T., Steenhuis, T.S.,
  2009. Determining watershed response in data poor environments with
  remotely sensed small reservoirs as runoff gauges. Water Resour. Res. 45,
  W07410. doi:10.1029/2008WR007369.
- Linacre, E., 1994. Estimating U.S. Class A Pan Evaporation from Few Cli mate Data. Water Int. 19, 5–14. doi:10.1080/02508069408686189.
- Ma, H., Yang, D., Tan, S.K., Gao, B., Hu, Q., 2010. Impact of climate variability and human activity on streamflow decrease in the Miyun Reservoir
  catchment. J. Hydrol. 389, 317–324. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2010.06.010.
- Martin-Rosales, W., Leduc, C., 2003. Dynamiques de vidange d'une mare
  temporaire au Sahel : l'exemple de Banizoumbou (Sud-Ouest du Niger).
  C. R. Geosci. 335, 461–468. doi:10.1016/S1631-0713(03)00059-2.
- <sup>757</sup> Massuel, S., Feurer, D., Ogilvie, A., Calvez, R., Rochette, R., 2014a.
  <sup>758</sup> Vers l'amélioration du bilan hydrologique des retenues collinaires par la

- prise de vue aéroportée légère, in: Drones et moyens légers aéroportés
  d'observation, Montpellier, France. p. 1.
- Massuel, S., Perrin, J., Mascre, C., Mohamed, W., Boisson, A., Ahmed,
  S., 2014b. Managed aquifer recharge in South India: What to expect
  from small percolation tanks in hard rock? J. Hydrol. 512, 157–167.
  doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.02.062.
- McMahon, T.A., Peel, M.C., Lowe, L., Srikanthan, R., McVicar, T.R., 2013.
  Estimating actual, potential, reference crop and pan evaporation using
  standard meteorological data: a pragmatic synthesis. Hydrol. Earth Syst.
  Sci. 17, 1331–1363. doi:10.5194/hess-17-1331-2013.
- Molle, F., 1991. Caractéristiques et potentialités des Açudes du nord-est
  brésilien. Ph.D. thesis. Université de Montpellier 2, France.
- Montoroi, J.P., Grunberger, O., Nasri, S., 2002. Groundwater geochemistry
  of a small reservoir catchment in Central Tunisia. Appl. Geochem. 17,
  1047–1060. doi:10.1016/S0883-2927(02)00076-8.
- Moradkhani, H., Sorooshian, S., Gupta, H.V., Houser, P.R., 2005.
  Dual state parameter estimation of hydrological models using ensemble Kalman filter. Adv. Water. Resour. 28, 135–147.
  doi:10.1016/j.advwatres.2004.09.002.
- Moussa, R., 2010. When monstrosity can be beautiful while normality can
  be ugly: assessing the performance of event-based flood models. Hydrol.
  Sci. J. 55, 1074–1084. doi:10.1080/02626667.2010.505893.

- Mu, Q., Zhao, M., Running, S.W., 2011. Improvements to a MODIS global
  terrestrial evapotranspiration algorithm. Remote Sens. Environ. 115, 1781–
  1800. doi:10.1016/j.rse.2011.02.019.
- Mueller, N., Lewis, A., Roberts, D., Ring, S., Melrose, R., Sixsmith, J.,
  Lymburner, L., McIntyre, A., Tan, P., Curnow, S., Ip, A., 2016. Water observations from space: Mapping surface water from 25 years of
  Landsat imagery across Australia. Remote Sens. Environ. 174, 341–352.
  doi:10.1016/j.rse.2015.11.003.
- Nasri, S., 2007. Caracteristiques et impacts hydrologiques de banquettes en
  cascade sur un versant semi-aride en Tunisie centrale. Hydrol. Sci. J. 52,
  1134–1145. doi:10.1623/hysj.52.6.1134.
- Neppel, L., Desbordes, M., Masson, J.M., 1998. Influence de l'évolution
  dans l'espace et le temps d'un réseau de pluviomètres sur l'observation
  des surfaces de pluie en fonction de leur aire. Rev. Sci. Eau 11, 43–60.
  doi:10.7202/705296ar.
- Nyssen, J., Clymans, W., Descheemaeker, K., Poesen, J., Vandecasteele, I.,
  Vanmaercke, M., Zenebe, A., Van Camp, M., Haile, M., Haregeweyn, N.,
  Moeyersons, J., Martens, K., Gebreyohannes, T., Deckers, J., Walraevens,
  K., 2010. Impact of soil and water conservation measures on catchment
  hydrological response-a case in north Ethiopia. Hydrol. Process. 24, 1880–
  1895. doi:10.1002/hyp.7628.
- Ogilvie, A., 2015. Upscaling water availability and water use assessments in
   hydro-sociosystems: the small reservoirs of the Merguellil catchment (Cen-

tral Tunisia). Ph.D. thesis. Université de Montpellier and King's College
London.

- Ogilvie, A., Belaud, G., Delenne, C., Bailly, J.S., Bader, J.C., Oleksiak,
  A., Ferry, L., Martin, D., 2015. Decadal monitoring of the Niger Inner
  Delta flood dynamics using MODIS optical data. J. Hydrol. 523, 368–383.
  doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.01.036.
- Ogilvie, A., Belaud, G., Massuel, S., Mulligan, M., Le Goulven, P.,
  Calvez, R., 2016a. Assessing Floods and Droughts in Ungauged
  Small Reservoirs with Long-Term Landsat Imagery. Geosciences 6, 42.
  doi:10.3390/GEOSCIENCES6040042.
- Ogilvie, A., Le Goulven, P., Leduc, C., Calvez, R., Mulligan, M.,
  2016b. Réponse hydrologique d'un bassin semi-aride aux événements pluviométriques et aménagements de versant (bassin du Merguellil, Tunisie
  centrale). Hydrol. Sci. J. 61, 441–453. doi:10.1080/02626667.2014.934249.
- Ogilvie, A., Belaud, G., Massuel, S., Mulligan, M., Le Goulven, P., Calvez,
  R., 2018. Surface water monitoring in small water bodies: potential and
  limits of multi-sensor Landsat time series. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss.
  1-35. doi:10.5194/hess-2018-19.
- Oubanas, H., Gejadze, I., Malaterre, P.O., Mercier, F., 2018. River discharge estimation from synthetic SWOT-type observations using variational data assimilation and the full Saint-Venant hydraulic model. J. Hydrol. doi:10.1016/J.JHYDROL.2018.02.004.

- Overeem, A., Leijnse, H., Uijlenhoet, R., 2013. Country-wide rainfall maps
  from cellular communication networks. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 110,
  2741–5. doi:10.1073/pnas.1217961110.
- Pekel, J.F., Cottam, A., Gorelick, N., Belward, A.S., 2016. High-resolution
  mapping of global surface water and its long-term changes. Nature 540,
  418–422. doi:10.1038/nature20584.
- Perrin, C., Michel, C., Andréassian, V., 2003. Improvement of a parsimonious model for streamflow simulation. J. Hydrol. 279, 275–289.
  doi:10.1016/S0022-1694(03)00225-7.
- Reichle, R.H., McLaughlin, D.B., Entekhabi, D., 2002. Hydrologic Data
  Assimilation with the Ensemble Kalman Filter. Mon. Weather Rev. 130,
  103–114. doi:10.1175/1520-0493(2002)130<0103:HDAWTE>2.0.CO;2.
- Riou, C., 1972. Etude de l'évaporation en Afrique centrale. Cahiers Orstom,
  Série Hydrologie, 39–52.
- Sawunyama, T., Senzanje, A., Mhizha, A., 2006. Estimation of small
  reservoir storage capacities in Limpopo River Basin using geographical
  information systems (GIS) and remotely sensed surface areas: Case of
  Mzingwane catchment. Phys. Chem. Earth, Parts A/B/C 31, 935–943.
  doi:10.1016/j.pce.2006.08.008.
- da Silva, J.S., Calmant, S., Seyler, F., Moreira, D.M., Oliveira, D., Monteiro,
  A., 2014. Radar Altimetry Aids Managing Gauge Networks. Water Resour.
  Manag. 28, 587–603. doi:10.1007/s11269-013-0484-z.

- Soti, V., Puech, C., Lo Seen, D., Bertran, A., Vignolles, C., Mondet, B.,
  Dessay, N., Tran, A., 2010. The potential for remote sensing and hydrologic
  modelling to assess the spatio-temporal dynamics of ponds in the Ferlo Region (Senegal). Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. 14, 1449–1464. doi:10.5194/hess14-1449-2010.
- Swenson, S., Wahr, J., 2009. Monitoring the water balance of
  Lake Victoria, East Africa, from space. J. Hydrol. 370, 163–176.
  doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2009.03.008.
- Van Der Heijden, S., Haberlandt, U., 2010. Influence of spatial interpolation
  methods for climate variables on the simulation of discharge and nitrate
  fate with SWAT. Adv. Geosci. 27, 91–98. doi:10.5194/adgeo-27-91-2010.
- Vermeulen, P.T.M., Heemink, A.W., 2006. Model-Reduced Variational Data Assimilation. Mon. Weather Rev. 134, 2888–2899.
  doi:10.1175/MWR3209.1.
- Wackernagel, H., 2004. Géostatistique et assimilation séquentielle de
  données. H.D.R. Université Pierre et Marie Curie, France.
- Wisser, D., Frolking, S., Douglas, E.M., Fekete, B.M., Schumann, A.H.,
  Vörösmarty, C.J., 2010. The significance of local water resources captured
  in small reservoirs for crop production A global-scale analysis. J. Hydrol.
  384, 264–275. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2009.07.032.
- Xie, X., Zhang, D., 2010. Data assimilation for distributed hydrological
  catchment modeling via ensemble Kalman filter. Adv. Water. Resour. 33,
  678–690. doi:10.1016/j.advwatres.2010.03.012.

- Xu, H., 2006. Modification of normalised difference water index (NDWI) to
  enhance open water features in remotely sensed imagery. Int. J. Remote
  Sens. 27, 3025–3033. doi:10.1080/01431160600589179.
- Yamazaki, D., Trigg, M.A., 2016. Hydrology: The dynamics of Earth's
  surface water. Nature 540, 348–349. doi:10.1038/nature21100.
- Zammouri, M., Feki, H., 2005. Managing releases from small upland reservoirs for downstream recharge in semi-arid basins (Northeast of Tunisia).
  J. Hydrol. 314, 125–138. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2005.03.011.
- Zribi, M., Chahbi, A., Shabou, M., Lili-Chabaane, Z., Duchemin, B., Baghdadi, N., Amri, R., Chehbouni, A., 2011. Soil surface moisture estimation
  over a semi-arid region using ENVISAT ASAR radar data for soil evaporation evaluation. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. 15, 345–358. doi:10.5194/hess15-345-2011.