



HAL
open science

On the use of a Nash cascade to improve the lag parameter transferability at different time-step

Léonard Santos, Guillaume Thirel, Charles Perrin

► To cite this version:

Léonard Santos, Guillaume Thirel, Charles Perrin. On the use of a Nash cascade to improve the lag parameter transferability at different time-step. EGU General Assembly 2018, Apr 2018, Vienna, Austria. pp.1, 2018. <hal-02608246>

HAL Id: hal-02608246

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02608246v1>

Submitted on 16 May 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire HAL, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



HAL Authorization

On the use of a Nash cascade to improve the lag parameter transferability at different time-step

EGU2018-14396



Léonard Santos, Guillaume Thirel and Charles Perrin
Irstea, HYDRO research group (HYCAR), Antony, France

Contact:
✓ PhD student: Léonard Santos
✉ leonard.santos@irstea.fr
☎ +331-40-96-61-97
🌐 https://webgr.irstea.fr/en

Objectives

Context

Conceptual bucket-type hydrological models often use lag functions. The lag parameters that govern these functions are dependent on the modelling time-step and are difficult to transpose between them. It is an issue because daily flow data are more easily available than hourly data.

Objectives

- ✗ To avoid that the lag parameter depends on the time-step
- ✗ To more easily transpose parameters from daily to hourly time-step

Method

- ✗ Substitution of the lag function with a “Nash cascade” (Nash, 1957)
- ✗ Use of a near-continuous resolution to solve the model equations

1. Structural modifications




Fig. 1: Substitution of the unit hydrograph of the original GR4 model (left) by a “Nash cascade” to form a state-space model (right)

Model used: GR4 (Perrin et al., 2003)

- ✗ Lag function replaced by stores to obtain a strict state-space representation

2. Parametrisation of the Nash cascade

Two parameters in the Nash cascade: number of stores and outflow coefficient

- ✗ Number of stores fixed at $nres = 11$
- ✗ Outflow coefficient linked to the x_4 parameter of GR4 with the relation: $k = \frac{nres-1}{x_4}$

Nash cascade and GR4 unit hydrograph responses have the same timing




Fig. 2: Shapes of the unit hydrograph and Nash cascade responses

3. Robust numerical temporal integration

Initial integration technique

- ✗ Inputs added at the beginning of the time-step
- ✗ Water balance equations solved using a sequential technique

Modified integration technique

- ✗ Inputs considered as uniform over the time-step
- ✗ Implementation of an Euler-implicit method using adaptive sub-step (approaches a continuous time model)

4. Evaluation methodology

- ✗ 240 French catchments to get general conclusions
- ✗ Calibration of the models using the KGE' (Kling et al., 2012) with square root transformed flows at daily and hourly time-steps
- ✗ Comparison of performances and parameter values between daily and hourly time-steps




Fig. 3: Locations of the 240 test catchments in France

5. Results

Impact of the substitution with a Nash cascade

- ✗ Performances are similar to the reference GR4 at daily and hourly time steps
- ✗ No improvement of parameter temporal stability




Fig. 4: Performances distribution of the different models, in validation, on the 240 catchments

References

Kling, H., Fuchs, M., and Paulin, M.: Runoff conditions in the upper Danube basin under ensemble of climate change scenarios, *Journal of Hydrology*, 424425, 264–277, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.01.011, 2012.
Nash, J. E.: The form of the instantaneous unit hydrograph, *Int. Assoc. Sci. Hydrol. Publ.*, 45, 114–121, 1957.
Perrin, C., Michel, C., and Andréassian, V.: Improvement of a parsimonious model for streamflow simulation, *Journal of Hydrology*, 279, 275289, doi:10.1016/s0022-1694(03)00225-7, 2003.
Santos, L., Thirel, G., and Perrin, C.: State-space representation of a bucket-type rainfall-runoff model: a case study with State-Space GR4 (version 1.0), *Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss.*, doi:10.5194/gmd-2017-264, in Press, 2018.

Impact of the integration technique modification

- ✗ Performances also remains similar
- ✗ Increase of the lag parameter temporal stability




Fig. 5: Lag parameter values obtained at hourly time-step compared to those obtained at daily time-step

Example: River Sauldre flood, June 2016



River Sauldre at Romorantin (© La nouvelle République)

- ✗ Calibration at daily time-step
- ✗ Test on the hourly flood hydrograph
- ✗ Better timing for the continuous integration




Fig. 7: Daily hydrograph during the flood




Fig. 8: Hourly hydrograph during the flood

Conclusion

- ✗ Sequentially integrated model leads to different lag parameters at different time-steps
- ✗ Continuity in integration technique improves the stability of the lag parameter
- ✗ More information in Santos et al. (2018)

Application

- ✗ For catchments with only daily flow measurements available
- ✗ To set-up an adaptive time-step model