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Abstract

The objectives of this study were to: a) quantify the factors causing spatial variability, and b) design a proof of a concept for a support system that considers the impacts of temporal variability. The subdivision of a field based on six years of spatial yield data identified areas with different levels of stable and unstable zones. Overall, in the 0.0-0.30 m, the soil had between 40 to 60% across all the zones, while at depth, the clay content was about 20% and sand content 30 to 40%. The growing season rainfall for the period 1983-2018 was highly variable, ranging from 152 to 570 mm. The support system showed that there was a general trend where higher growing season rainfall (e.g. 566 mm) corresponded to higher yields, but this meant lower soil nitrogen content at harvest. However, the nitrogen leaching was not always lower and ranged between 20 to 70 kg N ha⁻¹. Results of this study will enable the development of an agronomic site-specific N management that would maximize the farm’s net income and minimize the environmental footprint.
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Introduction

Nowadays, the world is facing the biggest challenge of producing more food on the same or less land, and by reducing its environmental footprint while keeping farming profitable (Cammarano et al, 2016). Precision agriculture (PA) offers a unique opportunity to achieve such trade-off, but its adoption for agricultural management has been slower than expected due to technological and socio-economic challenges (Miao et al, 2018). The amount of any agricultural input applied (e.g. fertiliser) may be varied spatially within the field and temporally between years. In fact, for the same field, determination of the optimal amount of any agronomic input should consider site-specific soil properties, current seasonal crop growing conditions and interactions therein (Basso et al, 2011). Spatial variability can be assessed routinely with a variety of tools (e.g. remote sensing, soil sensing) and the overlay of many thematic maps, such as for soil and crop yield, to divide the field into uniform management zones (Miao et al, 2006). Several methods have been proposed to define such zones (Nawar et al, 2017).
However, an important step in taking the right agronomic decision is to quantify which factors cause the spatial and temporal variability in the field; with the latter component not receiving enough attention (McBratney et al, 2005). Crop simulation models (CSM) can be used to consider the inter-annual weather impact on the soil-plant-atmosphere interactions (Jones et al, 2003). They seek to simulate the effects and temporal interactions of water and nitrogen on crop growth as affected by weather and agronomic management (Jones et al, 2003). They have been used in a variety of cropping systems and environmental conditions; and to quantify the temporal stability of management zones (Basso et al, 2001; Koo and Rivington, 2005). Nitrate leaching from agricultural land is a consequence of over fertilization and the difficulties in matching crop demand with soil supply (Basso et al, 2011). The European Union addressed the issue by defining nitrate vulnerable zones, which are areas where pollution of groundwater with nitrates is high (EC, 2000). In Scotland, farmers comply with such regulations by capping the amount and timing of N applications (STI, 2008). The objectives of this study were to: a) quantify the factors causing spatial variability, and b) use this information to design a proof of a concept for a support system that would consider the impacts of temporal variability.

Materials and methods

Measurements were taken on a commercial field (11 ha; 56° 33' N 3° 16' W; 50 m a.s.l.) in Scotland. The soil was classified as loam according to the USDA. Spring barley (cv. Concerto) was sown on 12th of April 2018 at 350 plants m⁻². In each zone (see Fig. 1), a transect of points was set and the soil was sampled at three depths (0-0.30; 0.30-0.60; 0.60-0.90 m) one month before sowing. Samples were analysed for bulk density, water retention characteristic, texture, mineral N, P, K, cation exchange capacity (CEC), organic matter and water content. Fertilization was uniformly distributed after emergence on the 1st of May 2018. Ammonium nitrate was applied for a total of 120 kg N ha⁻¹. Soil samples (for mineral N and soil water content), crop samples (for biomass and nitrogen content) and unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) images were collected one day before sowing, three weeks after fertilization (23 May), at flowering (20 Jun) and harvest (16 Aug). UAV data collected three weeks after fertilization was the only image used in this study.

The use of six consecutive years of winter/spring wheat and barley maps allowed the delineation of zones. The yield maps were generated by a combine harvester owned by the farmer. To define the zone, the approach developed by Maestrini and Basso (2018a, b) was used. Three stable zones were defined as High Stable (HYZ), Medium Stable (MYZ) and Low Stable (LYZ), while the unstable zones were named (UYZ) Unstable (Fig. 1a).

The Decision Support System for Agrotechnology Transfer (DSSAT) v.4.7 was used as the CSM (Jones et al, 2003). For this study, measured information of soil properties, initial soil water and soil N content were available. The crop coefficient for the cultivar Concerto was obtained by calibrating phenology, growth and yield on crop variety trials and on “potential yield” experiments (irrigated and fertilized experiments). The calibrated model for this cultivar was evaluated on independent datasets across 4 experimental sites and 10 farms across Scotland (results not shown). The model was run using 36 years of historical weather data. Weather data for 2018 was available from the on-farm weather station while the historical 1980-2017 data were obtained from the
NASA-Power website (power.larc.nasa.gov). Following the approach described in Basso et al. (2001; 2011), the DSSAT model was run for different yield stability zones with incremental N amounts (0N, 60N, 120N-farmers’ practice, 180N). The model simulated yield and cumulative N leaching from sowing to harvest, and total soil N at harvest. The cumulative probability function of simulated yield and cumulative N leaching was calculated following the approach of Wallach et al. (2013). The coefficient of variation (CV) was used to quantify the amount of inter-annual variability for the simulated yield and the N leaching.

Results

While the HYZ was mostly concentrated in one portion of the field, the UYZ showed a spatial pattern being present at the edges of the field and the mid-lower portion (Fig. 1a). The subsequent UAV image (NDVI), collected on the 23rd of May 2018 (22 days after N fertilization), showed how crop growth patterns corresponded with the zones defined in Fig. 1a. The coloured points on Fig. 1b indicate where samples were taken in each zone (Fig. 1b).

At 0-0.30 m, most of the points were classified as loam soils according to the USDA (Fig. 2). At 0.30-0.60 m and at 0.60-0.90 m, the different zones had texture that ranged from clay to sandy loam (Fig. 2). Overall, in the 0.0-0.30 m, the soil had between 40 to 60% of sand and less than 20% of clay across all the zones, while at depth, the clay content was about 20% and sand content 30 to 40% (Fig. 2). The LYZ and UYZ zones had the greatest variability in soil texture (Fig. 2).
Total growing season rainfall (Apr-Aug) was 170 mm with most of the precipitation occurring before sowing and towards the end of the growing season (Fig. 3). The plant available soil water content (PAWC) for the soil profile decreased for the period April-May due to 50% less rain with respect to the long-term average of 76 mm for the same period (Fig. 3a). The HYZ showed PAWC value of 173 mm, while the MYZ, LYZ and UYZ showed values of 163, 170 and 164 mm, respectively (Fig. 3a). The total soil nitrogen content (N) increased (especially in the UYZ) between sowing (April 12th) and the 22nd of May, a time in which fertiliser was added (May 1st) and no significant rainfall was recorded (Fig. 3b). The UYZ had the highest amount of soil N during the whole growing season but, by harvest, a large amount was lost and it decreased to a total of 70 kg N ha⁻¹ (Fig. 3b).
The growing season rainfall for the period 1983-2018 was highly variable, ranging from 152 to 570 mm, while growing season minimum and maximum temperatures did not show high inter-annual variability (Fig. 4a). The results of the simulations were presented as boxplots and showed that the HYZ was higher yielding than the other zones when the N fertilizer was between 0 and 120N (Fig. 4b); at 180N the differences among the zones was minimal with an overall simulated yield of about 7500 kg DM ha⁻¹. The inter-annual variability (1980-2018) of simulated yield and N leaching was also analysed in term of CV and is shown in Figure 4c. Overall, the simulated yield had a lower CV than N leaching with an overall 14% for yield and 33% for N leaching (Fig. 4c). Figure 4d shows the relationship between the marginal yield increase per unit N applied versus the simulated N leaching. There was a higher marginal yield increase when fertilizer was applied and as the amount increased, the marginal yield return diminished. On the other hand, the amount of N leached increased with applied N for each zone, but it is more evident for the LYZ and UYZ (Fig. 4d).

The relationship between the growing season rainfall, N leaching, total N in the soil at harvest, and grain yield is shown in Figure 5. Overall, there was a general trend where higher growing season rainfall (e.g. 566 mm) corresponded to higher yields, but this meant lower soil N content at harvest. However, the N leaching was not always lower and ranged between 20 to 70 kg N ha⁻¹ (Fig. 5).
At lower growing season rainfall (268 mm), the simulated yield was 3964, 3872, 3645, and 3786 kg DM ha\(^{-1}\) for the HYZ, MYZ, LYZ and UYZ, respectively (Fig. 5). In this case, the N leaching was similar across the different zones (a mean of 25 kg N ha\(^{-1}\)) but the residual N at harvest was different, with 72 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) for the HYZ, 58 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) for the MYZ, 63 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) for the LYZ and 66 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) for the UYZ (Fig. 5). When the growing season rainfall was 500 mm, the HYZ showed a simulated yield of 8184 kg DM ha\(^{-1}\), while the MYZ, LYZ and UYZ were 7976, 7961 and 8110 kg DM ha\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 5). The N leaching was 38, 37, 42 and 43 kg N ha\(^{-1}\), for the HYZ, MYZ, LYZ and UYZ, respectively (Fig. 5), but the residual soil N was about 13 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) for all zones (Fig. 5).

![Figure 5. relationship between simulated N leaching, growing season rainfall, soil N content at harvest, and grain yield for the HYZ (blue dots), the MYZ (green dots), LYZ (yellow dots) and UYZ (red dots).](image)

**Discussion**

There was a high degree of spatial variability within the field. However, the overlay of six years of yield maps allowed for discriminating stable and unstable yield zones in the field. The spatial variability of crop growing in the field was also confirmed by a UAV image taken 21 days after fertilization. The HYZ showed better growing conditions than other zones (Fig. 1). Soil texture at depth below 0.30 m had an impact with respect to the water and N distribution, and bulk density results confirmed that below 0.40 m, the LYZ had very compact layers (with values of 1.8 g cm\(^{-3}\); data not shown) while other zones had a lower bulk density (below 1.6 g cm\(^{-3}\)). This spatial pattern influenced the soil mineral N and soil water distribution and plant uptake where the UYZ tends to accumulate more N in the whole soil profile than other zones. The use of long-term weather data with a CSM demonstrated how the inter-annual variability affects crop growth and the environmental footprint of fertilization management. While 2018 was a very “dry” season for Scottish growing conditions, other years have shown similar rainfall patterns with very “dry” growing seasons (e.g. 1982 or 1994, Fig. 4a). Results of this study regarding the impacts of growing season rainfall agree with the findings of...
Basso et al. (2012) conducted in a different environment. This indicates that even in such northern latitudes, growing season rainfall is an important determinant of grain yield for spring barley. The zones tended to respond differently to the inter-annual variability. However, the long-term simulations showed that there was a consistency between the map of the zones and the simulated yield. The HYZ was always higher yielding, even in drier years, while the UYZ was not stable high or low but tended to “flip” between years. The HYZ was very responsive to rainfall and showed lower N leaching levels and low soil total N at harvest at higher rainfall amounts with respect to the other zones (Fig. 5). It is interesting to notice that the simulated soil N at harvest for the dry years in each zone is in line with the observed data collected in 2018. For all the low rainfall growing seasons, there was low N leaching amount, and a high soil total N at harvest. This has important implications in managing N fertilization because, in the UYZ and LYZ, extra care should be taken in assigning a given amount of site-specific N. In fact, Figure 4d showed that these zones, fertilized with 120 kg N ha$^{-1}$ like the whole field, are very prone to N leaching and, with respect to the EC regulations on Nitrate Vulnerable Zones, 60 to 90 kg N ha$^{-1}$ would be the amount to apply (Fig. 4d). Basso et al. (2011) defined tactical and strategic fertilization management amounts in each zone of a field using a CSM as decision support system. An integration of modelling results and on-farm experimentation will be planned for the next growing season (2019) with the aim of improving the efficacy of site-specific N fertilization.

Conclusions

The subdivision of the field into zones based on historic yields showed zones with different levels of yield potential and stability. This included an unstable zone where the historical yield tended to change from high to low values between years. The classification of yield into yield stability zones was confirmed by a subsequent UAV image, taken 20 days after N fertilization, and a crop simulation model run with long-term historical weather data. These results indicated the robustness of a yield-based zoning approach. Growing season rainfall, soil texture below 0.30 m and soil bulk density impacted the distribution of soil water and N and the crop growing conditions in each zone. By considering the spatial and temporal variability of each zone, an agronomic site-specific N management could be better tailored for each zone in order to maximize the farm’s net income and minimize the environmental footprint. Results of this study showed that at 120 kg N ha$^{-1}$ the simulated yield was higher. However, the marginal yield return per N applied tended to be lower and accompanied by a higher N leaching.
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