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Abstract: Unicellular eukaryotes of the Trypanosomatidae family include human and animal pathogens that belong to the Trypanosoma and Leishmania genera. Diagnosis of the diseases they cause requires the sampling of body fluids (e.g., blood, lymph, peritoneal fluid, cerebrospinal fluid) or organ biopsies (e.g., bone marrow, spleen), which are mostly obtained through invasive methods. Body fluids or appendages can be alternatives to these invasive biopsies but appropriateness remains poorly studied. To further address this question, we perform a systematic review on clues evidencing the presence of parasites, genetic material, antibodies, and antigens in body secretions, appendages, or the organs or proximal tissues that produce these materials. Paper selection was based on searches in PubMed, Web of Science, WorldWideScience, SciELO, Embase, and Google. The information of each selected article (n = 333) was classified into different sections and data were extracted from 77 papers. The presence of Trypanosomatidae parasites has been tracked in most of organs or proximal tissues that produce body secretions or appendages, in naturally or experimentally infected hosts. The meta-analysis highlights the paucity of studies on human African trypanosomiasis and an absence on animal trypanosomiasis. Among the collected data high heterogeneity in terms of the I² statistic (100%) is recorded. A high positivity is recorded for antibody and genetic material detection in urine of patients and dogs suffering leishmaniasis, and of antigens in body secretions, appendages, or the organs or proximal tissues that produce these materials. Paper selection was based on searches in PubMed, Web of Science, WorldWideScience, SciELO, Embase, and Google. The information of each selected article (n = 333) was classified into different sections and data were extracted from 77 papers. The presence of Trypanosomatidae parasites has been tracked in most of organs or proximal tissues that produce body secretions or appendages, in naturally or experimentally infected hosts. The meta-analysis highlights the paucity of studies on human African trypanosomiasis and an absence on animal trypanosomiasis. Among the collected data high heterogeneity in terms of the I² statistic (100%) is recorded. A high positivity is recorded for antibody and genetic material detection in urine of patients and dogs suffering leishmaniasis, and of antigens for leishmaniasis and Chagas disease. Data on conjunctival swabs can be analyzed with molecular methods solely for dogs suffering canine visceral leishmaniasis. Saliva and hair/bristles showed a pretty good positivity that support their potential to be used for leishmaniasis diagnosis. In conclusion, our study pinpoints significant gaps that need to be filled in order to properly address the interest of body secretion and hair or bristles for the diagnosis of infections caused by Leishmania and by other Trypanosomatidae parasites.
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1. Introduction

Unicellular eukaryotes of the Trypanosomatidae family include human and animal pathogens that belong to the Trypanosoma and Leishmania genera (including Endotrypanum) (Figure 1). Leishmania and possibly Trypanosoma are probably descended from the parasites of blood-sucking insects that survived accidental transmission to a vertebrate host during feeding [1]. They possess a complex life cycle that includes arthropod vectors belonging to the Hemiptera and Diptera orders. Two Trypanosoma subspecies of T. brucei (i.e., Trypanosoma brucei gambiense, T. brucei rhodesiense) and T. cruzi, along with 21 species of Leishmania, are pathogenic for humans. They cause human African trypanosomiasis (HAT or sleeping sickness), Chagas disease (CD), and cutaneous (CL), mucocutaneous (MCL), or visceral (VL) leishmaniases [2–5]. Occasional infections in humans with Trypanosoma spp. infections [9]. An estimated 48 million cattle are at risk of contracting animal trypanosomiasis in Africa. African animal trypanosomiasis (AAT) causes about 3 million deaths in cattle every year (http://www.fao.org/paat/the-programme/the-disease/en/).

![Classification of human and animal pathogenic trypanosomatids. Human pathogenic species are underlined, and pathogens causing systemic infection are in bold.](image-url)

Leishmaniases rank after malaria in terms of annual incidence and affect 98 countries and territories worldwide. Visceral leishmaniasis kills between 20,000 and 30,000 persons annually; 1 million cutaneous leishmaniasis cases have been reported over the past five years, and over 1 billion people live at risk of infection (http://www.who.int/leishmaniasis/en/). Leishmania spp. are obligate intracellular protozoan parasites transmitted mainly by two genera of sandflies, namely, Phlebotomus...
and Lutzomyia [4]. Sexual transmission of Leishmania species responsible for canine and human visceral leishmaniasis is documented [10–13], as well as blood transmission in dogs and transmission between drug users through contaminated needles [14,15]. Congenital transmission was first described in 1926 and is more frequently reported today [16,17]. Following Leishmania infection, metacyclic promastigotes are rapidly engulfed (macrophages and dendritic cells) and then disseminate from the skin to the spleen, liver, and bone marrow myeloid cells [18]. Leishmania causes cutaneous or visceral afflictions. Cutaneous lesions vary in their severity (e.g., lesion size), numbers, clinical appearance (e.g., dry or wet lesion) and incubation time (e.g., the time for spontaneous cure) [19]. Nevertheless, at least in an experimental model of infection, some Leishmania species responsible for cutaneous forms have the capacity to disseminate into internal organs [20]. Most of the patients infected with L. donovani and L. infantum develop only subclinical disease or chronic latent infections without any clinical manifestation [21,22]. In patients, irregular fever, splenomegaly, pancytopenia, hepatosplenomegaly, and hypergammaglobulinemia characterize visceral leishmaniasis. Atypical disseminated leishmaniasis might be observed in Leishmania–HIV coinfected persons, with parasites colonizing the gastrointestinal mucosa, the respiratory tract, and the liver [19]. In addition to human diseases, leishmaniasis affects dogs, where it provokes a deadly disease if not treated. Lymphadenomegaly, a loss of body condition, pale mucous membranes, splenomegaly, alopecia, furfur, and onychogryphosis are the most frequently observed clinical signs, but many other clinical features, alone or combined, such as polyuria/polydipsia, diarrhea, fever, arthropathy, or ocular lesions, can be present [23–25].

Chagas disease, also known as American trypanosomiasis, is caused by T. cruzi and has been reported in all Latin American countries, where it constitutes the most important parasitic infection and has emerged as a disease of importance outside of endemic areas, largely as a result of migration [26,27]. Six to seven million people are estimated to be infected with the parasite, but the disease burden may be underestimated, as evidenced at least in Mexico [28]. Trypanosoma cruzi is mainly transmitted to humans and other mammals by hematophagous insects called triatomines or kissing bugs belonging to the subfamily Triatominae [27]. Nevertheless, the parasite can also be transmitted via non-vectorial routes, such as blood transfusion, congenital transmission, organ transplantation, ingestion of food and beverages contaminated with T. cruzi (oral transmission; a typical example is the ingestion of fruit juice contaminated by triaomite feces, frequently reported in the Amazonian region [29]), or laboratory accidents. Sexual transmission was also recently documented in a murine model, but there is no evidence of such a mechanism of transmission in humans [30]. Inside its host, T. cruzi is internalized in the cells of the immune system. Once an individual acquires the parasite, the infection develops progressively. Chagas disease has two clinical phases. The first, called acute phase, is characterized by a high parasitaemia in patients’ blood. During this phase, the parasite undergoes multiplication and infects local macrophages, fibroblasts, and muscle cells. During this phase, the patients have generally no or only mild nonspecific symptoms (e.g., fever). Nevertheless, some acute cases (2–6%) can lead to death due to myocarditis and meningoencephalitis, mostly in children. Accute cases can also be observed following organ transplantation [31] or HIV infection [32]. The second phase of the infection is known as chronic phase. At the beginning of this phase, the parasites remain hidden in the body, and there are no clinical or physical signs. However, over the years, 30–40% of patients develop clinical symptoms. Chronic chagasic cardiomyopathy is the most frequent and serious clinical manifestation leading to heart failure or sudden death [33]. Other patients present digestive (megaesophagus, megacolon among others) or neurological alterations or a combination of them [33,34]. Urinary tract disorder and dysfunction is documented in patients with cardiac and digestive Chagas Disease [35]. There is evidence of functional and structural kidney abnormalities after T. cruzi infection, associated with reduction in renal blood flow, proximal tubular damage, and inflammatory interstitial infiltrate [36,37]. In immune compromised patients cutaneous lesions caused by reactivation of T. cruzi is also described [38].

Sleeping sickness is caused by trypanosomes transmitted by tsetse flies (Glossina spp.). The overall number of infected people is approximately 10,000 [39]. The disease presents two distinct forms,
chronic and acute, which are caused by two distinct trypanosome subspecies, transmitted by two distinct vector species. The chronic form, caused by *Trypanosoma brucei gambiense*, is distributed in western and central Africa and is transmitted mainly by *Glossina palpalpalis* sp., while the acute form, caused by *T. brucei rhodesiense*, is distributed in east Africa and is transmitted mainly by *Glossina morsitans* species. Bites of infected tsetse flies and the injection of salivarian trypanosomes often result in the formation of skin ulceration (chancre). During the first stage of the disease (stage 1, known as the hematolymphatic stage), the trypanosomes are present and multiply in the blood and in the lymph nodes. Stage 2, the meningo-encephalitic stage, begins after the invasion of the central nervous system (CNS) [40,41]. An early symptom of *T. b. gambiense* infection is hypertrophy of the lymph nodes, which corresponds to a multiplication of parasites within the lymphatic system. Parasites can be seen in the lymph nodes of the cervical chain after a puncture of the ganglion. During this invasive phase of the disease, lesions are observed in the liver, the spleen, the cardiovascular and endocrine system, as well as the eyes [42,43]. Heart abnormality cases are scarce, but in cases of occurrence, they can provoke acute and fatal cardiac damage by arrhythmia, mainly in *T. b. rhodesiense*-infected patients. *T. b. gambiense* infection can cause persistent and dissociated tachycardia and atrioventricular rhythm or signs in favor of early myocarditis or pericarditis [44–48]. In addition, descriptions of cutaneous symptoms associated with African trypanosomiasis and distinct “trypanid” skin lesions are documented [49–51]. The ability of *Trypanosoma brucei* to survive at the epidermis interface is reported in experimental infection models [52,53]. They have also been observed in slides of historical skin biopsies taken as a part of a diagnostic screening program for *Onchocerca microfilariae* in the trypanosomiasis-endemic region of the Democratic Republic of the Congo [52].

In addition to human African trypanosomiasis, animal trypanosomiasis (AT; nagana, surra, dourine) affects livestock (cattle, small ruminants, and pigs), equids (horses, donkeys) and camelids (camels, dromedaries, llamas, and alpacas). They give rise to important economic losses in Africa, the Middle East, Asia, and Latin America [7,54]. In addition to their cyclical transmission by tsetse flies (*Glossina* sp.), trypanosomes infecting animals can also be transmitted mechanically by blood-sucking insects (mainly stomoxines and tabanids) and even directly via venereal transmission in the case of dourine [54–56]. In cattle and small ruminants, most cases are caused by *Trypanosoma congolense* and *T. vivax*, but infection by a variety of other trypanosomes and mixed infections are also frequent. *Trypanosoma evansi* affects horses and camels and can affect other mammals [56]. *Trypanosoma brucei* s. l. might have a minor role in the pathogenesis of cattle even if it is often isolated in the blood of infected animals. *Trypanosoma godfreyi* is rare and was first described in the midgut of tsetse flies caught in Gambia [57]. *Trypanosoma simiae* and, rarely, *T. suis* have been identified in livestock, but their precise role in the disease remains unclear [58]. *Trypanosoma equiperdum* is venereally transmitted and appears to be restricted to horses and donkeys. *Trypanosoma evansi* is the trypanosome species that presents both the widest variety of described hosts, including rodents, ruminants, equids, camelids, and humans [56], and the largest diversity in transmission modes, including cyclical via tsetse flies, mechanical via blood-sucking flies and vampire bats, possibly sexual, and via contaminated meat or carcasses [54–56]. Under experimental conditions, *T. congolense* can be mechanically transmitted by biting flies (e.g., tabanids and stomoxines) [59], the epidemiological consequence of which in nature is not clear, and currently, only *T. evansi* and *T. vivax* have adapted to mechanical transmission and spread beyond the tsetse transmission zone in livestock industries of Asia (*T. evansi*) and South America (*T. vivax, T. evansi*) [60]. Overall, African animal trypanosomiasis remains one of the most important infectious disease constraints to livestock production in sub-Saharan Africa. The hallmarks of clinical signs reminiscent of animal trypanosomiasis infection include ventral edema, emaciation, anemia, and neurological symptoms [61,62], but there is no dichotomy in the clinical evolution as for human infections, probably because trypanosomes can be found in all body fluids of animals (blood, lymph, CSF, urine, aqueous humor, synovium). Overall, animal trypanosomiases remain among the most important infectious disease constraints to livestock production in sub-Saharan Africa, as well as Latin America and Asia.
The methodologies used for typing trypanosomatidae parasites and diagnosing infections are summarized in Table 1. Microscopic examination of biopsy represents the simplest methodological approach to diagnose infection and detect pathogens. The hard identification at the species/subspecies level and its low sensitivity are limitations. Like microscopic examination, the in vitro parasite cultivation presents the advantage of being relatively simple to perform but has low sensitivity and requires sophisticated laboratory equipment. Xenodiagnosis is more complex than the other parasitological approaches but does not require biological sampling. Molecular methods involved polymerase chain reaction (PCR) or isothermal amplification of the genetic material. PCR is relatively simple to perform and to visualize. Refinements in PCR technologies included the development of nested PCR and of multiplexed PCR methodologies that have increased sensitivity and discriminative capacity of the test. Other refinements in the detection of the amplified product include PCR–ELISA (enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay). PCR–RFLP (restriction fragment length polymorphism) allows the detection of the variation between DNA fragments patterns, generated by restriction enzyme digestion caused by alternative nucleotides at the restriction sites that can be used for *Leishmania* and trypanosome species discrimination. PCR–HRM (high resolution melting) detects double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) alternatives by ascertaining changes in the fluorescence intensity, of a DNA-intercalating dye, during the dissociation process of dsDNA to single-stranded DNA (ssDNA). It was applied with success to *Leishmania* and *T. cruzi* detection and species and Discrete Typing Unit (DTU) delineation [63,64]. Oligochromatography-PCR (OC–PCR) provides a simple and rapid format for the detection of PCR or nucleic acid sequence-based amplification (NASBA) products, visualized on a dipstick by hybridization with a gold-conjugated probe. This detection format takes only 5–10 min and requires no equipment other than a water bath and a pipette [65,66]. Loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) uses the strand displacement activity of a DNA polymerase to amplify the dsDNA target with four primers designed to recognize six distinct regions. Amplification is completed in a single step at an isothermal temperature [67]. LAMP can be more sensitive than conventional PCR for the detection of *Leishmania* and *Trypanosoma* species [68,69]. The dermal diagnostic tests or Leishmanin skin test (LST)/Montenegro test is based on the delayed-type hypersensitivity (DTH) reactions raised following intradermal injection of killed *Leishmania* promastigotes into the skin forearm. It does not require biological sampling. Indirect immunofluorescence (IFAT) relies on the use parasites layered on a fluorescent glass slide that is used to test the presence of anti-parasites antibodies in the patient serum. This methodology was assayed for the serodiagnosis of Chagas disease, sleeping sickness, leishmaniasis [70–72], and animal trypanosomiasis [73]. IFAT methodology is more used for surveillance programs than for clinical diagnosis. Western blot allows us to visualize antigens targeted during antibody response. It presents the advantage of being more sensitive and specific than ELISA (see below). The direct agglutination test (DAT), further modified for detection of the agglutination activity on a card (CATT), allows the visualization of the precipitin activity. It uses whole micro-organisms as a means of looking for serum antibodies. CATT is a commonly serological test for HAT and is still in use for AAT serodiagnosis [74,75]. The agglutination methodology can also be performed with antibody-coated latex beads to trap antigen. KAtex, a commercialized latex agglutination test, is developed for the diagnosis of visceral leishmaniasis and uses a specific *Leishmania* antibody coated on latex particles [76]. Enzyme-linked immunoabsorbent assays (ELISA) can be performed to detect and quantify antibodies or antigens in samples. Alternatively, sandwich ELISA can be used to detect the circulating parasite’s antigens, which informs on the ongoing infectious process. Immunochromatography (ICT) or lateral flow test is based on a series of capillary beds that have the capacity to transport fluid spontaneously. The analyte is deposited on the dipstick and then spontaneously migrates to the first element that acts as a sponge to holds an excess of sample fluid. Once soaked, the fluid migrates to the second element in which the antibody or antigen is present in conjunction with colored particles. The analyte migrates to the third component of the test, in which antibodies are immobilized to stop the flow.
Table 1. Methodologies to diagnose Chagas disease (CD), animal trypanosomiases (AT), human African trypanosomiasis (HAT), and leishmaniosis, and/or to detect their respective causative agents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methodologies</th>
<th>Quantification</th>
<th>Culture</th>
<th>CD Detection and Identification</th>
<th>AT Detection and Identification</th>
<th>HAT Detection and Identification</th>
<th>Leishmania Detection and Identification</th>
<th>Ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DNA/RNA-based Methods</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR/qPCR/Multiplex</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes/DTU</td>
<td>yes/sp</td>
<td>yes/sp</td>
<td>yes/sp</td>
<td>[77–79]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR–OC</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>NA/NA</td>
<td>yes/sp</td>
<td>yes/sp</td>
<td>yes/sp</td>
<td>[80,81]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR–ELISA</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>NA/NA</td>
<td>yes/sp</td>
<td>yes/sp</td>
<td>yes/sp</td>
<td>[82–85]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR–HRM</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes/DTU</td>
<td>NA/NA</td>
<td>NA/NA</td>
<td>NA/NA</td>
<td>[63,86]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR–RFLP</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes/DTU</td>
<td>yes/sp</td>
<td>yes/sp</td>
<td>yes/sp</td>
<td>[87–89]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR–sequencing</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes/DTU</td>
<td>yes/sp</td>
<td>yes/sp</td>
<td>yes/sp</td>
<td>[78,88]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFGE</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no/NA</td>
<td>yes/sp</td>
<td>yes/sp</td>
<td>no/NA</td>
<td>[88,90,91]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NASBA</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>NA/NA</td>
<td>NA/NA</td>
<td>yes/sp</td>
<td>yes/sp</td>
<td>[81]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAMP</td>
<td>poss</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes/no</td>
<td>yes/DTU</td>
<td>yes/sp</td>
<td>yes/DTU</td>
<td>[69,92]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microscopic examination</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes/no</td>
<td>yes/no</td>
<td>yes/no</td>
<td>yes/no</td>
<td>[2,19,27,93]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In vitro parasite culture</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no/NA</td>
<td>yes/no</td>
<td>yes/no</td>
<td>yes/no</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isolation in experimental animals</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes/no</td>
<td>NA/NA</td>
<td>yes/no</td>
<td>yes/no</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xenodiagnosis</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes/no</td>
<td>NA/NA</td>
<td>yes/no</td>
<td>yes/no</td>
<td>[94–97]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dermal diagnostic tests</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>NA/NA</td>
<td>NA/NA</td>
<td>NA/NA</td>
<td>yes/no</td>
<td>[98]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-DNA-based Methods</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELISA Ab</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes/no</td>
<td>yes/no</td>
<td>yes/no</td>
<td>yes/no</td>
<td>[99–101]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELISA Ag</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes/no</td>
<td>yes/no</td>
<td>NA/NA</td>
<td>yes/no</td>
<td>[102]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFAT</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes/no</td>
<td>yes/no</td>
<td>yes/no</td>
<td>yes/no</td>
<td>[71–73,103,104]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICT Ag</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>NA/NA</td>
<td>NA/NA</td>
<td>NA/NA</td>
<td>yes/gen</td>
<td>[105]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICT Ab</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>NA/NA</td>
<td>NA/NA</td>
<td>yes/no</td>
<td>yes/no</td>
<td>[106,107]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAT/CATT</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes/yes</td>
<td>yes/yes</td>
<td>yes/yes</td>
<td>yes/yes</td>
<td>[74,99,100,108]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western blot</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes/no</td>
<td>NA/NA</td>
<td>NA/NA</td>
<td>yes/sp</td>
<td>[109,110]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Yes/No/NA/Sp/Gen refer to the presence or absence of detection and identification, with NA indicating not applicable.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methodologies</th>
<th>Quantification</th>
<th>Culture</th>
<th>CD Detection and Identification</th>
<th>AT Detection and Identification</th>
<th>HAT Detection and Identification</th>
<th>Leishmania Detection and Identification</th>
<th>Ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protein-based methods</td>
<td>MLEE</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no/DTU</td>
<td>no/sp</td>
<td>no/sp</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MALDI-TOF</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no/DTU</td>
<td>NA/NA</td>
<td>no/sp</td>
<td>[111,112]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hematogenous dissemination and tissue tropism are part of the infectious process of trypanosomatid pathogens. During the blood-feeding process, trypanosomatid pathogens are injected (Leishmania, salivarian trypanosomes) or deposited (stercorarian trypanosomes) on the skin of the host. Following their introduction into the bloodstream, they disseminate into specific organs or tissues and multiply. In these infections, as in a majority of other infections, pathology often correlates with the sites of accumulation of the infectious agent, but variations in disease outcomes and presentations are also related to the interaction between host and parasite [113–116]. The selection of the appropriate biopsy site for diagnoses relates to the physiopathology of the diseases, reflecting the disseminative capacity (tissue or organ tropism) of these pathogens within its host. Therefore, the diagnosis of these diseases requires the sampling of body fluids (e.g., blood, lymph, peritoneal fluid, cerebrospinal fluid) or organ biopsies (e.g., bone marrow, spleen), which are mostly obtained through invasive methods. Alternative biological samples, such as body secretions (e.g., milk, saliva, urine, semen, nasal secretion, lacrimal fluid, earwax, sweat, feces) or appendages (e.g., nail, hair, bristles) that are constantly produced might be an interesting alternative to invasive biopsies. Non-invasive biological sampling that does not require trained professionals and are easy and safe to collect would render the diagnosis more convenient. We address the interest of such biological material via a systematic review of the published literature and meta-analysis on data extracted from a defined pool of published papers.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Study Selection

In the primary search, 2932 documents were identified via PubMed, and additional screening with other databases allowed the selection of another 1386 documents. After duplicate removal, 1530 documents were screened for relevance according to their titles and abstracts; at this stage, 1153 documents were omitted. The full text of the 377 studies was carefully read, and the ineligible (n = 44) documents were omitted. The 333 remaining manuscripts were included in the systematic review. Data could be extracted from 77 articles. A diagram of the study plan, following the PRISMA statement, is given in Figure 2.

2.2. Systematic Review of Non-Invasive Sampling Strategies for the Diagnosis and Detection of Trypanosomatid Pathogens and Infections

2.2.1. Urine

Urine is an easy-to-collect secretion that is produced daily. Therefore, a large amount of information has been gathered on the presence of trypanosomatid parasites within this liquid.

Human and animal leishmaniases. The survival capacity of Leishmania, and T. cruzi in urine has been known since 1966 [117]. In vitro, urine can promote the growth of the Leishmania promastigote and can be used as a low-cost culture adjuvant alternative to serum [118]. The first evidence of the presence of Leishmania in the urine of patients infected by L. donovani came in the 1930s through the detection of Leishman–Donovan bodies in the urine of infected patients [119]. The presence of viable Leishmania parasites in the urine of infected individuals is documented [120–122]. The crossing of the glomerular barrier by Leishmania is thought to be a consequence of VL renal lesions and renal failure [123,124]. Tubulointerstitial involvement and glomerulonephritis are the main causative agents of the proteinuria disorder, which is common in most patients with a clinical episode of leishmaniasis [125–127]. In infected individuals, urine represents a fluid from which parasite DNA is easily extracted for detection and species identification [128], which has been probed in the urine of patients [109,121,129,130] and in animal reservoirs [131,132]. These searches were performed in VL caused by L. infantum [109,120,121,133]; in CL and VL-HIV+ patients infected by L. martiniquensis [134]; in CL due to L. major or L. tropica [109]; in South American cutaneous and mucocutaneous leishmaniasis caused by L. braziliensis, L. guyanensis, or L. peruviana [130]; and in canine visceral leishmaniasis [131,132,135]. The presence, in urine, of precipitin activities directed
against several microorganisms has been known since 1948 [136]. The nature of these activities was formerly attributed to antibodies in 1965 [137]. In 1983, the presence of anti-\textit{Leishmania} antibodies in urine was demonstrated [138,139]. Since then, the anti-\textit{Leishmania} antibody response in patient urine to diagnose VL has been further investigated. ELISA, which uses recombinant antigens or whole antigen preparations as well as the direct agglutination test (DAT), has been used to test for disease diagnosis using patient urine [105,139–147]. Immunochromatographic tests to detect rk39 antibodies are currently commercialized and have been thoroughly tested in urine [105,144,148–150]. Antibodies present in urine directed against rKP42, a kinesin-related protein and a homolog of rk39, also showed remarkable sensitivity and specificity for VL [151]. This specificity and sensitivity were comparable to those obtained with ELISA performed using acetone-treated \textit{L. donovani} promastigote antigens or DAT [139,140]. The detection of the antibody response against \textit{Leishmania} infection, due to \textit{L. major}, \textit{L. tropica}, or \textit{L. infantum}, was also investigated using Western blot [109,152]. IgA or IgG are detected in the urine of dogs suffering from leishmaniasis [153], where antibodies directed against \textit{L. infantum} are present [154–156]. Because of the persistence of antibodies after cure, these tests cannot be used to diagnose VL in people with a past history of VL. A search for \textit{Leishmania} proteins in urine has therefore been undertaken [138,152,157–159], as well as for changes in the urinary proteome of infected individuals [160]. In \textit{L. infantum}-infected patients, iron superoxide dismutase, \textit{L. infantum} tryparedoxin, and \textit{L. infantum} nuclear transport factor 2 (Li-ntf2) were identified by mass spectrometry analysis [158,159]. When used in a multiplex ELISA test, these biomarkers show a sensitivity superior to 80% for VL diagnosis caused by \textit{L. infantum} but fail to accurately diagnose VL due to \textit{L. donovani} [158,159]. In \textit{L. donovani}-infected patients, two biomarkers showing a sensitivity of approximately 82% were characterized [161]. A low-molecular-mass heat-stable leishmanial carbohydrate antigen [162] has allowed the development of a latex agglutination test (KAtex) to be commercialized [76,162] and compared to ELISA [163]. Its efficiency was thoroughly tested in various VL endemic areas [76,105,129,148,164–169]. The KAtex test in urine might be useful for the detection of VL within the clinical case definition: fever for more than two weeks, splenomegaly, and no previous history of VL [170]. As a simple field-deployable \textit{Leishmania} urine antigen test, the capacity of this test to predict initial treatment failure and relapse was investigated. Overall, this preliminary study showed that the test may be used for risk stratification of initial treatment failure and VL relapse in HIV patients [171]. A meta-analysis of these various tests has been recently performed [170,172]. Overall, these meta-analyses point out that the rk39 assay provides the highest sensitivity and that the ELISA has the highest specificity for the diagnosis of VL [172].

Human African trypanosomiasis and animal trypanosomiases. No information on the presence of DNA, antibodies, or antigens in the urine of human individuals affected by sleeping sickness was collected during the systematic review. The sole evidence on the presence of genetic material in urine comes from an experimental infection of vervet monkeys by \textit{T. brucei}. In this model, \textit{Trypanosoma} DNA could be amplified from urine, with LAMP as early as 17 days postinfection [173]. Biochemical changes associated with trypanosome infection have been published on animal models infected by various \textit{Trypanosoma} species. Rabbits infected by parasites of the \textit{T. brucei} subgroup showed a progressive increase in proteins released in the urine [174]. The presence of fibrinogen and fibrin degradation products in the urine of rabbits infected by \textit{T. brucei} is suggestive of a glomerular permeability change [175]. Mice or \textit{Microtus montanus} infected by \textit{T. b. gambiense} showed an increase in the excretion of aromatic amino acid catabolites [176–179]. In mice infected with \textit{T. evansi}, the concentration in phenylpyruvic acid, 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvic acid, and indole-3-pyruvic acid correlates with parasitemia and returns to normal following suramin treatment [180]. These metabolites were also detected in dogs and donkeys experimentally infected [180]. The high rate of aromatic amino acid catabolism by African trypanosomes was associated with the large decrease in free serum levels of aromatic amino acids and with alterations in host tyrosine and phenylalanine metabolism. These events correlate with the pathology of sleeping sickness and the depletion reported in certain amino acids (tryptophan), which would lead to the depletion of essential metabolites such as serotonin.
and the toxicity of end products such as phenylpyruvate, reviewed in [181]. Changes in the urinary proteome of patients suffering from sleeping sickness were observed, notably, in proteins related to several infectious processes. These changes can be the rationale for developing non-invasive tools aimed at tracking the disease stage [182]. In animal models, *T. brucei* parasites were observed in the kidney glomeruli of infected rats, and *T. lewisi* in the kidney capillaries [183,184]. *T. musculi*, a parasite specific to mice, resides in the blood and lacks intracellular stages. After immune clearance of the flagellates from the general circulation, mice became resistant to reinfection. However, long after parasites were no longer detected in the peripheral blood, they still persisted in the vasa recta of the kidneys in a peculiar biological stage [185], releasing molecular determinants in the urine as potential diagnostic biomarkers.

---

**Figure 2.** PRISMA flowchart of the systematic review and meta-analysis.

---


For more information, visit www.prisma-statement.org.
Chagas Disease. Evidence on the capacity of *T. cruzi* to survive in urine came along with those on *Leishmania* [117], and *T. cruzi* amastigotes have been occasionally detected in the kidney [186]. Parasite DNA was detected in the urine of experimentally infected pigs (*Sus scrofa*) or mice [187–189]. The crossing of *T. cruzi* to urine in experimentally infected mice is apparently independent of renal injuries [189]. The presence of DNA in urine is associated with the presence of parasite DNA in blood and heart and with a high level of parasite DNA in blood, but not with the presence of parasites in kidney or kidney injury [189–191]. The detection of antigens within the urine of patients suffering from acute [192] or chronic CD [193] has opened up some new innovative approaches for diagnosis. A number of *T. cruzi* urinary antigens can be identified and classified according to their molecular weight, such as the 80 kDa iron-binding protein or the 150–160 kDa antigen. These antigens were detected by the use of antibodies raised against an immunodominant epitope of *T. cruzi*. In addition, parasite tubulin was also detected in urine as well as a set of immunoreactive antigens [192,194–196]. In mice, cruzipain, a major cysteine protease of *T. cruzi*, was detected in urine [187]. To develop a diagnostic test based on a capture ELISA system, a panel of polyclonal antibodies was produced against membrane antigens or trypomastigote excreted/secreted antigens. The test performed on urine from patients positive for ELISA capture against sera demonstrated a 100% positivity [197]. Antigens are present in urine at low concentrations and are susceptible to degradation after collection. These characteristics limit the sensitivity and reliability of all urinary-based antigen detection. The use of nanoporous hydrogel particles produced with poly(N-isopropylacrylamide) (poly(NIPAm)) and N,N9-methylenebisacrylamide (BAAm) coupled to chemical baits via amidation reaction has the potential to concentrate and preserve the antigens [198] for its application using urine [199]. The test, called Chunap (Chagas urine nanoparticle test), has been further developed and evaluated for congenital transmission of *T. cruzi* [200]. In this condition, it showed more than 90% sensitivity and more than 95% specificity [200]. It also demonstrated good sensitivity in HIV–*T. cruzi* coinfected cases [201].

### 2.2.2. Feces

**Human and Animal Leishmaniases.** Most of the references that document the findings of *Leishmania* in human feces were published during the 1920s and 1930s [202,203]. Anecdotally, descriptions of the presence of *L. tarentolae* in feces of the *Tarentola mauritanica* lizard were published approximately at the same time [204]. The detection of *Leishmania* amastigotes and its DNA in the feces of a dog infected by *L. infantum* was documented [205]. More recently, a screening of wild gorilla fecal samples revealed the presence of promastigotes and amastigotes of *L. major* within these samples [206]. Nevertheless, this finding has been a matter of debate [207,208]. More recently, a large diversity of trypanosomatid parasites in the feces of great apes, but no *Leishmania* DNA, was evidenced [209]. Since the 1920s, at the time Donovan bodies were detected in human feces, no additional information on the detection of parasites or the DNA of *Leishmania* in human feces has been published. The only other clues on the presence of *Leishmania* DNA in the human gut come from studies performed on pre-Columbian mummies using next-generation sequencing. These analyses highlight the presence of DNA related to *Leishmania* and *T. cruzi*, without being able to firmly identify *Leishmania* at the species level [210–212].

**Human African Trypanosomiasis and Animal Trypanosomiases.** The ITS1 region of *T. b. brucei*, *T. b. gambiense*, *T. b. rhodesiense*, and *T. b. evansi* was successfully amplified from DNA isolated from fecal samples of experimentally infected mice [213] and *T. b. rhodesiense* and/or *T. b. gambiense* DNA was detected in the feces of wild gorillas [209,213].

**Chagas Disease.** Megacolon is a pathological affliction that occurs in chagasic patients [34]. Evidence of the presence of *T. cruzi* DNA in the gut of pre-Columbian mummies is documented, depicting that the disease has a long evolutionary history with humans in South America [210–212,214,215]. The tissue tropism of various *T. cruzi* isolates was investigated in a mouse model of infection. In these experiments, parasite DNA was detected in the small intestine and rectum of the animals [216,217]. In infected mice, the gut is the primary site of parasite persistence in the BALB/c
model of chronic Chagas disease and is associated with a perturbation in the gut microbiome [218,219]. In opossums (Didelphis marsupialis), one of the multiple wild reservoirs of T. cruzi, the developmental cycle that usually occurs in the intestine of the triatomine vector can take place in the anal odoriferous glands [220]. In human feces, to our knowledge, no information is currently published.

2.2.3. Saliva/Oral Swab/Sputum

Oral swab, saliva, and sputum are the easiest and least-invasive sampling methodology for the detection of infectious pathogens. Although bronchoalveolar lavage is not considered a nonintrusive method to collect biological samples, it does not cause damage to tissues.

Human and animal leishmaniases. The presence of viable Leishmania parasites in the saliva of infected patients was demonstrated in 1934 by Forkner [221]. More recently, L. braziliensis was recovered from the saliva of a person suffering from cutaneous leishmaniasis [222]. A large number of studies describe the successful detection and identification of Leishmania DNA in saliva or oral swabs, with PCR or other methodologies of DNA amplification (LAMP). The DNA was amplified in L. martiniquensis-HIV positive and negative patients [134,223–226] but also in kala-azar patients infected by L. donovani [227,228] and in dogs suffering from CVL [229,230]. In 1994, a report discussed the presence of agglutinating anti-leishmania activity, an antibody, in the saliva of kala-azar patients [231]. The capacity of anti-leishmania antibodies present in the saliva to be used to diagnose CVL and VL was investigated more recently. For CVL, the detection of IgG2 and IgA antibodies targeting specific recombinant K39 protein (rK39) in saliva demonstrated the usefulness of this test to diagnose CVL and to differentiate between seropositive and seronegative dogs [232]. In humans, a preliminary experiment involving the detection of rK39 antibodies demonstrated 99.2% sensitivity and 100% specificity for Leishmania diagnosis using patient sputum [149]. Interestingly, KAteX showed a higher sensitivity to diagnose Mediterranean visceral leishmaniasis with oral fluid than with urine, even though this test was originally conceived to be used with urine [129]. In addition to saliva, Leishmania has been occasionally detected in the bronchoalveolar lavages of patients suffering from VL [233,234].

Human African Trypanosomiasis and Animal Trypanosomiases. Trypanosome-specific IgG can be detected in the saliva of T. b. gambiense-infected HAT patients using ELISA. Nevertheless, the antibody concentration is at least 250-fold lower in saliva than in serum [235]. The ELISA performed on the saliva of a cohort of 208 individuals, including 78 parasitologically confirmed patients, demonstrated a robust sensitivity and specificity (>90%) comparable with CATT performed on sera [236]. Since then, no additional experiments have been performed.

Chagas Disease. The first evidence on the presence of T. cruzi in the saliva of experimentally infected dogs dates from 1966 [117,237]. More recently, an ELISA that detected and quantified the IgG response to T. cruzi was developed using saliva from infected patients. The methodology was tested with success on saliva from patients with chronic infection, which is characterized by the absence of blood circulating parasites [238,239]. The oral swab was also tested to detect fragments of Trypanosoma DNA (Trypanosoma dionisia, T. rangeli, and T. cruzi) to evaluate the potential reservoirs for T. cruzi in gallery forest bats [240].

2.2.4. Conjunctival Swab/Lacrimal Fluid/Ocular

A swab is a small piece of soft material used for taking a small amount of substance from a body. The conjunctival or corneal swab, a routine practice to perform biological sampling to diagnose eye infection, has been applied to detect trypanosomatid pathogens.

Human and animal leishmaniases. In humans, ocular lesions are usually associated with systemic signs [241–243]. Ocular pathologies are documented in patients suffering from cutaneous [244–249], diffuse cutaneous [244,250] or post-kala-azar leishmaniasis [241], and in VL [251,252]. In dogs, keratoconjunctivitis and kerato-uveitis are described as the most usual symptoms, occurring in 16–80% of affected dogs [253,254]; keratoconjunctivitis is also observed in feline leishmaniasis [255]. Leishmania has been isolated from the aqueous humor of a patient suffering from leishmaniasis [242].
In addition, eyelid leishmaniasis is frequently described [247–249,256]. In naturally infected dogs, anti-\textit{Leishmania} IgG was detected in the aqueous humor, although at a level not related to the serum level of IgG [257,258]. In dogs, histopathological investigations depicted the presence of plasmatic cells and macrophages bearing amastigote forms of \textit{Leishmania}, in the ciliary body, sclerocorneal limbus, iris, and lacrimal duct, but also in smooth and striated muscles [257,259–261]. \textit{Leishmania} were observed in squamous carcinoma cells from conjunctival swab samples from a HIV+ patient [262]. \textit{Leishmania} DNA can be detected and quantified by qPCR in the lacrimal glands of symptomatic dogs [263]. All these clues have prompted testing the efficiency of the conjunctival swab for CVL diagnosis [264–267] and tracking asymptomatic dog infections [268] but also for diagnosing feline leishmaniasis [267,269,270]. In addition, the detection of \textit{Leishmania} DNA in conjunctival swabs has also been applied to track \textit{L. infantum} wild reservoirs [271,272].

Human African Trypanosomiasis and Animal Trypanosomiases. In humans, eye pathologies associated with trypanosome infections remain unusual [273], and an investigation for the presence of parasites, DNA, or antibodies within conjunctival swabs has not been performed. In dogs infected by \textit{T. b. brucei}, the eyes are one of the most severely affected organs, and infection by \textit{T. evansi} can provoke blindness [274,275]. Experimental infections of cats with \textit{T. brucei} and of cats and goats with \textit{T. evansi} highlight their disseminative capacity in the eye, with their presence being detected in the aqueous humor [277,278].

Chagas Disease. In 1935, Romana first described the “unilateral schyzotrypanosomic conjunctivitis” associated with acute \textit{T. cruzi} infection, later known as Romana’s sign [279]. The invasion of the human host by \textit{T. cruzi} occurs in various ways but mainly via skin lesions or the conjunctival way [280,281]. \textit{T. cruzi} parasites deposited on the conjunctiva, via the manipulation of contaminated bug feces, are drained with tears into the nasolacrimal duct and nasal cavity. Then, \textit{T. cruzi} infects the most proximal tissues lined with cuboidal and columnar epithelial cells [281,282]. Surprisingly, reports on eye pathology in CD patients are very scarce. Recently, the first case of \textit{Trypanosoma cruzi}-associated retinitis was diagnosed [283]. The presence of \textit{T. cruzi} amastigotes in the conjunctiva, corneal stroma, the adjacent ocular muscle, and the interstitial macrophages of \textit{Thrichomys apereoides} (Rodentia, Echimyidae) experimentally infected with \textit{T. cruzi} has been documented [284].

2.2.5. Genital Organs: Semen/Vulvular Secretion

Some trypanosomatid infections impact male and female reproductive organs, causing infertility [285]. \textit{Leishmania} infection provokes a decrease in sperm quality, genital lesions, testicular amyloidosis, chronic prostatitis, and epididymal inflammation [285]. Chagas disease is associated with male hormonal changes and a loss in sperm quality due to parasitic load. In females, the invasion of the placenta and hormonal changes are associated with the overproduction of inflammatory cytokines in the oviduct and uterus. In sleeping sickness, an impairment in the spermatogenic cycle due to damage in the pituitary gland as well as damage to the reproductive organs is reported. In females, impairment in the estrus cycle due to pituitary gland damage is noticed [285].

Human and animal leishmaniases. Leishmaniasis does not belong to the broad list of potential sexually transmitted infections (STIs). Nevertheless, some evidence suggests that venereal transmission of leishmaniasis does occur in dogs and humans [10,286–288]. In humans, lesions in the male genitalia are well documented [289–291], with the presence of parasites [292,293]. In dogs, genital lesions associated with visceral leishmaniasis and the shedding of \textit{Leishmania} sp. in the semen of naturally or experimentally infected dogs has been described and can lead to infertility [122,294,295]. In the prepuce and glans of male symptomatic dogs, heavy parasite burden has been detected and is associated with inflammation, testicular degeneration, atrophy, an absence of spermatogenesis, and necrosis [296]. In these dogs, immunohistochromatography showed that 75% of symptomatic dogs and 35% of asymptomatic dogs were positive for \textit{Leishmania} in the testis. These percentages rose to 95% and 60% for symptomatic and asymptomatic leishmaniasis, respectively, in the epididymal duct. The detection of \textit{Leishmania} parasites in semen has been evidenced through parasite culture [108],
microscopic observation or immunohistology [294,296], and polymerase chain reaction [294,295,297]. A CVL experimental infection of 8 female dogs pinpoints that vulvar swab is at least as sensitive as an oral swab for the detection and quantification of Leishmania kDNA, and this methodology is proposed to confirm Leishmania infection in seropositive dogs [298]. The presence of L. infantum amastigotes in the genital tract of naturally infected bitches has been documented [299].

Human African Trypanosomiasis and Animal Trypanosomiases. In humans suffering from sleeping sickness, sterility or infertility, menstrual disorder, a loss of libido, impotence, and amenorrhea have been reported [300]. Testicular damage and clinical manifestation have been described [301], and sexual transmission has been very occasionally observed [302]. Trypanosoma equiperdum, responsible for dourine, is a sexually transmitted disease of Equidae [303–305]. A loss of fertility is observed in infected animals and is associated with the detection of parasites in semen [306,307]. For Trypanosoma vivax, in addition to tsetse flies, transmission routes include transplacental and sexual routes, and parasites were detected in the semen of infected animals [302,308]. In naturally acquired or experimentally induced animal trypanosomiasis caused by T. brucei or T. congolense, a decrease in semen production associated with an alteration in spermatogenesis has been recorded [309–313]. Histological lesions characterized by testicular degeneration, epididymitis, and epididymal epithelial hyperplasia were detected in the same animals and suggest the participation of the parasite in the etiopathogenic mechanism of reproductive damage, frequently reported in infected animals [308,310,314]. In experimentally infected mice, bioluminescent imaging confirmed the localization of viable trypanosomes in infected mice [315] with an accumulation in the epididymal adipose tissue and in the epididymis [316].

Chagas Disease. The main transmission route of T. cruzi is via reduvids, but sexual and transplacental transmission have been described and have epidemiological relevance [317,318]. In 1911, Vianna described testis lesions in experimentally T. cruzi-infected guinea pigs [319]. Human orchitis was described in 1916 [320]. The first evidence on the infection of the testis by T. cruzi during the acute phase of the disease dates from 1982 [321]. Since then, experimental infection has further shed light on the disseminative capability of this organism during the acute phase of the disease into the male and female genital organs. In a mouse model of infection, T. cruzi was detected in the preputial glands and skin, penis, testicular albuginea, epididymis, vas deferens, seminal vesicles, prostate, and urethral glands [322,323]. In females, T. cruzi invades cells of the vagina, uterus, oviduct, ovary, and clitoris [313,315]. In addition, T. cruzi DNA has been detected in the semen of patients suffering from Chagas disease [317,324]. Limited data exist for humans, but the presence of T. cruzi was reported in seminiferous tubules and ovarian cells of children who succumbed to Chagas disease and in menstrual blood of infected patients [30,325].

2.2.6. Milk

The presence of parasites of the Trypanosomatidae family in milk has been probed in view of a maternal transmission risk.

Human and animal leishmaniases. Attempts to test the capacity of Leishmania to survive and proliferate in milk were undertaken as early as the 1930s; some evidence on the adequacy of this medium to support Leishmania survival was published [326]. Histopathological investigation of female dogs suffering from CVL probed the presence of Leishmania amastigotes in the mammary glands [296]. Nevertheless, the presence of Leishmania in milk has not yet been reported in patients suffering from leishmaniasis.

Human African Trypanosomiasis and Animal Trypanosomiases. The investigation of trypanosomes in milk has a long history of research and had first focused on the risk of the transmission of pathogens, mainly the risk of transmission of T. evansi. Evidence on the presence of T. evansi in the milk of lactating cows comes from the work of Zwick and Fisher and was described by Henry and Guilhon in 1944 [327]. During the 1910–1930 period, a set of experimental procedures was employed to detect the presence of various species of Trypanosoma species in the milk of experimentally or
naturally infected animals [328]. Nathan-Larrier reported that mice and rats experimentally infected by *T. equiperdum* show trypanosomes in their milk [328].

Chagas Disease. Because *T. cruzi*, originally named *Schyzotrypanum cruzi*, possesses the capacity to cross the epithelium and to infect via the oral route [29,327,329], the presence of this pathogen in the milk has been searched for. *T. cruzi* was found in the milk of experimentally infected mice [328,330,331], and several reports describe the presence of this pathogen in the milk from pregnant women [332–335], as reviewed by Norman and Lopez-Vélez [336]. In most cases, the presence of *T. cruzi* in the milk of pregnant women has been attributed to contamination by infected blood due to nipple bleeding [336]. Therefore, the capacity of *T. cruzi* to invade the mammary gland of infected females has been undertaken. These histological investigations on mice demonstrate the presence of *T. cruzi* amastigotes in the mammary gland alveoli, excretory ducts, the connective tissue envelope of the ducts, inter- and intralobular connective tissue, histiocytes, adipose tissue, the sebaceous glands of the nipple, striated muscle fibers beneath the nipple, and inside the duct lumen [337]. Such proximity of *T. cruzi* parasites with colostrum or milk argues for the inactivation of *T. cruzi* by pasteurization or microwave treatment [338,339].

2.2.7. Nasal Secretion

Human and animal leishmaniases. The presence of *L. donovani* parasites has been detected in the nasal secretions of patients as early as 1936 and reconfirmed sixty years later [119,221]. Parasite DNA can efficiently be detected in this secretion [230]. Parasite DNA has also been detected in the clinically unaffected nasal mucosa of patients infected by *L. braziliensis* [340]. Among the clinical presentation of human leishmaniasis, mucocutaneous alterations are described. They are mainly present in South America and are caused by a restricted number of *Leishmania* species [4]. Nevertheless, this uncommon presentation is also reported to be caused by some Old World species [341], suggesting that nasal secretion deserves further investigation to be confirmed as a positive fluid for *Leishmania* detection.

Human African trypanosomiasis and animal trypanosomiases. No information gathered during the study.

Chagas disease. No information gathered during the study.

2.2.8. Ear Swab/Cerumen

Human and animal leishmaniases. *Leishmania* DNA has been detected and quantified in the cerumen of infected dogs [342]. A recent publication demonstrates that cerumen–qPCR expresses the highest sensitivity (87.5%) to detect genetic materials, followed by hair (lesions: 78.57%, healthy skin: 62.5%), and blood (68.75%) [343]. The ear skin of infected dogs bears a high parasite load compared to other corporal zones and tends to be more infective to sand flies than that of the abdomen [344]. The usefulness of ear swab was investigated in CVL-positive dogs, and positivity of 43% was recorded [230]. In addition, ear lesions caused by *L. mexicana* (Chiclero’s ulcer) are known, but the lesions at this site can be caused by other *Leishmania* species [345].

Human African trypanosomiasis and animal trypanosomiases. No information gathered during the study.

Chagas disease. No information gathered during the study.

2.2.9. Hair/Bristles

Appendages such as hair, bristles, and nails are not referenced as target tissues for trypanosomatid survival and proliferation. Therefore, only a few studies were performed using these materials for the investigation of trypanosomatid infection.
Human and animal leishmaniases. The first series of analyses were performed on dog hair by searching for markers of infection via the analysis of volatile organic compounds. This approach is based on the hypothesis that illnesses can modify odors exhaled by individuals [346] and that canine *Leishmania* infection involves the liberation of some volatile compounds specific to the infection [347,348]. Therefore, with this methodology, it is not the infectious agent that is detected, nor the immunologic response, nor the set of volatile compounds exhaled by the dogs. Although hair is not known as a target tissue for *Leishmania*, an investigation of *Leishmania* DNA was undertaken on CVL in a mouse experimental model of infection with *L. major* but also in the hair of wild mammals or Leporidae [342,349,350]. In mice, the DNA of *L. major* is detected near the inoculation site but also in hair collected in body areas far from the infection site [349]. The first evidence on the usefulness of PCR performed on hair to act as a biomarker of infectiousness of the host came from CVL [351].

The rationale for such an accumulation of parasite DNA into the hair of the infected animal is not entirely understood. The hypothesis of a transdermal elimination process has been raised. This process, observed as a secondary component of primary skin diseases, includes the elimination of endogenous substances but also exogenous infectious organisms, such as *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* or HIV [352]. It requires the direct incorporation of the parasite DNA among skin and hair keratinocytes at the site of inoculation. The intracellular infection of keratinocytes with *Leishmania* was not detected following the infection of C57Black/6j mice [353], but the presence of *Leishmania* amastigotes has been observed in the hair follicles of patients with cutaneous leishmaniasis [352].

Human African trypanosomiasis and animal trypanosomiases. No information gathered during the study.

Chagas disease. No information gathered during the study.

2.3. Meta-Analysis of Non-Invasive Sampling Methodologies for Trypanosomatid Infection Diagnosis: Overview and Limitations

Meta-analyses on value for the diagnosis of leishmaniasis, of rapid diagnosis tests (RDTs) of VL, and on urine as a biological sample have recently been published [170,172]. The results from these analyses disclose the interest of 2 tests, an antibody detection test (rK39), and an antigen detection test (KAtex) for VL confirmatory diagnosis. Here, we performed a meta-analysis on the positivity of various non-invasive methodologies for diagnosing human and animal infections with parasites belonging to the Trypanosomatidae family, to delineate their potential as an alternative to invasive biopsies for disease diagnosis and pathogen tracking. It is, therefore, a comparative study. Data were extracted from selected papers according to the following criteria: (1) diagnosis performed on the basis of clinical symptoms and confirmed by PCR, ELISA, IFI, or parasitology; and (2) data available for individual subjects or grounded according to clinical symptoms and diagnosis confirmation (see Section 4 for the methodology).

Overview and limitation of the collected data for meta-analysis. A large majority of studies focus on urine as a biological sample, primarily in *Leishmania* infection and CD. Strikingly, in urine, *Leishmania* parasites, antibodies, antigens, and genetic material have been tracked. A test based on the detection of *Leishmania* antigen in urine is commercialized. Although the detection of antigens in urine has been exclusively performed on human VL, a large majority of studies have focused on the detection of DNA in human patients. For Chagas disease, the main area of investigation has been the detection of *T. cruzi* antigen in urine, and the search for *T. cruzi* DNA is reported in only one publication, but this discusses the detection of DNA in an experimental model of infection [179]. Surprisingly, we did not find any information on the detection of HAT in the urine of human patients or on AAT. Saliva is the second body secretion used to track *Leishmania* infection. In saliva, the search for the presence of antibodies directed against *T. cruzi* is reported for CD. Saliva is also the sole body secretion investigated for HAT diagnosis [235,236]. The third most investigated secretion is the lacrimal fluid that is collected at the same time as conjunctival cells by the use of conjunctival swabs. All these studies have been performed on dogs or cats or other mammals using PCR, qPCR, or PCR hybridization.
(kDNA). Finally, partial information on the presence of antibodies, antigens, DNA, or parasites is currently published for other materials (e.g., feces, hair/bristle, milk, cerumen, nasal secretion). For all these reasons, an exhaustive meta-analysis of all the alternative non-invasive biological samples for diagnosis of diseases caused by Trypanosomatidae cannot be performed. Genetic material detection has been tested in urine, saliva, nasal, cerumen, and conjunctival swab. Nevertheless, for conjunctival swabs, data are available only for canine visceral leishmaniasis. Other limitations are the wild diversity of methodological approaches used to diagnose the disease or to detect pathogens that have been employed. Immunological approaches included antigen and antibody detection. Within these, the agglutination test, ELISA, immunochromatography, or Western blot were used. For molecular methods, PCR, qPCR, and LAMP were employed. For parasitological methods, in vitro culture or biopsy staining were used. Lastly, some studies included HIV positive and negative patients. In this meta-analysis, we cannot perform subgroup analysis by technical approaches or HIV status because of the lack of data to perform it.

Overall data were extracted from 77 papers (see Table 2). A majority of papers (88%) focused on *Leishmania* infection, 9.6% on Chagas disease, and 2.4% on HAT. Strikingly, we did not find studies on AAT diagnosis with non-invasive biological sampling that can be included in this meta-analysis (Table S3).

Within papers dealing with leishmanioses, more than 50% focused on VL due to *L. infantum* (syn *L. chagasi*) to diagnose CVL. For CD, the majority of the studies discussed the use of urine to diagnose the disease with immunological approaches, mainly antigen capture. Only two papers investigated alternative biological sampling for sleeping sickness diagnosis and tested antibody-based detection in the saliva.

Random effect meta-analyses were carried out using the total sample size and the number of positive samples (effect size, standard error of effect size) to estimate the positivity of the non-invasive sampling methods of each methodological approach. We therefore analyzed pooled estimates by random effects analysis. The results of the heterogeneity test (Table 3) depict high heterogeneity in terms of the $I^2$ statistic (100%).

### 2.3.1. Urine

Urine is by far the most studied body secretion investigated for the presence of antibody, antigen, parasite, or genetic material, mainly in Leishmaniasis and Chagas disease, for antigen detection.

Human and animal leishmaniasis. A positivity of 59% (95% CI; 34–82%) is recorded when human or dog urine are used to detect the genetic material in urine of human or dogs (Figure 3). The test of heterogeneity between studies depicts high a heterogeneity ($I^2 = 93.57\%$). Subgroup analysis depict a positivity rate of 61% (95% CI; 27–90%; $I^2 = 93.57\%$) for human urine, that is higher than those recorded for dogs, 51% (95% CI; 38–64%) (Figure 3).
Table 2. References and characteristics of the studies from which data can be extracted.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref</th>
<th>Author/year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Pathogen</th>
<th>Host</th>
<th>Clinic</th>
<th>HIV</th>
<th>Methodology</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Sa Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[119]</td>
<td>Mebrahtu 1993</td>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>L. donovani</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>VL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Parasitology</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[120]</td>
<td>da Costa Lima 2018</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>L. infantum</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>VL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Parasitology and genetic material</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[123]</td>
<td>Pessoa-E-Silva 2016</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>L. infantum</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>VL</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Parasitology</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[130]</td>
<td>de Mendonça 2015</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>L. infantum</td>
<td>Dog</td>
<td>CVL</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Parasitology</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[131]</td>
<td>Veland 2011</td>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>Leishmania spp.</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>CL-MCL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Genetic material</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[132]</td>
<td>Solano-Gallego 2007</td>
<td>Spain and Italy</td>
<td>L. infantum</td>
<td>Dog</td>
<td>CVL</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Genetic material</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[109]</td>
<td>Mirzaei 2018</td>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>Leishmania spp.</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>VL-CL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Genetic material-Immunology/Ab</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[168]</td>
<td>Motazedian 2008</td>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>L. infantum</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>VL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Genetic material</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[120]</td>
<td>Fisa 2008</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>L. infantum</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>VL</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Genetic material</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[135]</td>
<td>Franceschi 2007</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>Leishmania spp.</td>
<td>Dog</td>
<td>CVL</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Genetic material</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[167]</td>
<td>Sundar 2005</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>L. donovani</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>VL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Immunology/Ag</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[144]</td>
<td>Singh 2013</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>L. donovani</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>VL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Immunology/Ab-Ag</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[145]</td>
<td>Chakravarty 2011</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>L. donovani</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>VL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Immunology/Ab</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[163]</td>
<td>Vallur 2015</td>
<td>Bangladesh, Sudan, Brazil</td>
<td>Leishmania spp.</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>VL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Immunology/Ag</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[165]</td>
<td>Rijal 2004</td>
<td>Nepal</td>
<td>L. donovani</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>VL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Immunology/Ab</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[105]</td>
<td>Singh 2010</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>L. donovani</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>VL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Immunology/Ag-Ab</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[141]</td>
<td>Islam 2008</td>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>L. donovani</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>VL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Immunology/Ab</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[143]</td>
<td>Khan 2010</td>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>L. donovani</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>VL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Immunology/Ab</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[355]</td>
<td>Ghosh 2016</td>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>L. donovani</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>VL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Immunology/Ab</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[146]</td>
<td>Diro 2007</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>L. donovani</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>VL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Immunology/Ag-Ag</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[356]</td>
<td>Vogt 2018</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>L. donovani</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>VL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Immunology/Ab</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[140]</td>
<td>Islam 2004</td>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>L. donovani</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>VL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Immunology/Ab</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[156]</td>
<td>Todoli 2009</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>L. infantum</td>
<td>Dog</td>
<td>CVL</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Immunology/Ab</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[171]</td>
<td>van Griensven 2018</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>L. donovani</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>VL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Immunology/Ag</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[139]</td>
<td>Islam 2002</td>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>L. donovani</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>VL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Immunology/Ab</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[194]</td>
<td>Umezawa 1993</td>
<td>Brazil, Nepal, Yemen</td>
<td>T. cruzi</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Immunology/Ag</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[76]</td>
<td>Attar 2001</td>
<td>Brazil, Nepal, Yemen</td>
<td>Leishmania spp.</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>VL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Immunology/Ag</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[193]</td>
<td>Katzin 1989</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>T. cruzi</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Immunology/Ag</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Cont.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref</th>
<th>Author/year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Pathogen</th>
<th>Host</th>
<th>Clinic</th>
<th>HIV</th>
<th>Methodology</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Sa Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[169]</td>
<td>Riera 2004</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td><em>L. infantum</em></td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>VL</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Immunology/Ag</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[357]</td>
<td>Fernández-Roldán 2017</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td><em>L. infantum</em></td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>VL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Immunology/Ag</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[146]</td>
<td>Goswami 2012</td>
<td>India</td>
<td><em>L. donovani</em></td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>VL-PKDL</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Immunology/Ab</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[358]</td>
<td>Salam 2011</td>
<td>India</td>
<td><em>L. donovani</em></td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>VL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Immunology/Ag</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[99]</td>
<td>Sarkari 2008</td>
<td>Iran?</td>
<td><em>Leishmania</em></td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>VL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Immunology/Ag-Ab</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[129]</td>
<td>Ben-Abid 2017</td>
<td>Tunisia</td>
<td><em>L. infantum</em></td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>VL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Immunology/Ag</td>
<td>U-S</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[201]</td>
<td>Castro-Sesquen 2016</td>
<td>Bolivia, Peru</td>
<td><em>T. cruzi</em></td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Immunology/Ag</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[359]</td>
<td>Corral 1998</td>
<td>Argentina, Paraguay</td>
<td><em>T. cruzi</em></td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Immunology/Ag</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[360]</td>
<td>García-García 2006</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td><em>L. infantum</em></td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>VL</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Immunology/Ag</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[361]</td>
<td>Abeijon 2018</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td><em>L. infantum</em></td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>VL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Immunology/Ag</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[362]</td>
<td>Cruz 2006</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td><em>L. infantum</em></td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>VL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Immunology/Ag</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[200]</td>
<td>Castro-Sesquen 2014</td>
<td>Bolivia, Peru</td>
<td><em>T. cruzi</em></td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Immunology/Ag</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[153]</td>
<td>Zaragoza 2003</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td><em>L. infantum</em></td>
<td>Dog</td>
<td>CVL</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Immunology/Ag</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[363]</td>
<td>Abeijon 2013</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td><em>L. infantum</em></td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>VL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Immunology/Ag</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[138]</td>
<td>Kohanteb 1987</td>
<td>Iran</td>
<td><em>L. infantum?</em></td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>VL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Immunology/Ag-Ab</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[159]</td>
<td>Abeijon 2012</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td><em>L. infantum</em></td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>VL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Immunology/Ag</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[192]</td>
<td>Corral 1996</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td><em>T. cruzi</em></td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Immunology/Ag</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[166]</td>
<td>Vilaplana 2004</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td><em>L. infantum</em></td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>VL</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Immunology/Ag</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[152]</td>
<td>De Colmenares 1995</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td><em>L. infantum</em></td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>VL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Immunology/Ag</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[364]</td>
<td>Abeijon 2016</td>
<td>India</td>
<td><em>L. donovani</em></td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>VL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Immunology/Ag</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[197]</td>
<td>Malaga-Machaca 2017</td>
<td>Peru</td>
<td><em>T. cruzi</em></td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Immunology/Ag</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[227]</td>
<td>Vaish 2011</td>
<td>India</td>
<td><em>L. donovani</em></td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>VL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Genetic material</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[225]</td>
<td>Pandey 2018</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td><em>L. martiniquensis?</em></td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>VL</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Genetic material</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[365]</td>
<td>Boni 2017</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td><em>Leishmania</em></td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>CL-MCL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Genetic material</td>
<td>S-N</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[134]</td>
<td>Phumee 2013</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td><em>L. martiniquensis</em></td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>VL-CL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Genetic material and Parasitology</td>
<td>S-U</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[238]</td>
<td>Pinho 1999</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td><em>T. cruzi</em></td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Immunology/Ab</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[235]</td>
<td>Lejon 2003</td>
<td>DRC</td>
<td><em>T. b. brucei</em></td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>SleepS</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Immunology/Ab</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[236]</td>
<td>Lejon 2006</td>
<td>DRC, Benin</td>
<td><em>T. b. brucei</em></td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>SleepS</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Immunology/Ab</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[25]</td>
<td>Peña 2008</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td><em>L. infantum</em></td>
<td>Dog</td>
<td>CVL</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Parasitology</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[366]</td>
<td>Aschar 2016</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td><em>L. infantum</em></td>
<td>Dog</td>
<td>CVL</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Genetic material</td>
<td>O-S</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ref</td>
<td>Author/year</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Pathogen</td>
<td>Host</td>
<td>Clinic</td>
<td>HIV</td>
<td>Methodology</td>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>Sa Size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[367]</td>
<td>de Almeida Ferreira 2012</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td><em>L. infantum</em></td>
<td>Dog</td>
<td>CVL</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Genetic material</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[368]</td>
<td>Di Muccio 2012</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td><em>L. infantum</em></td>
<td>Dog</td>
<td>CVL</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Genetic material</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[369]</td>
<td>Solano-Gallego 2001</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td><em>L. infantum</em></td>
<td>Dog</td>
<td>CVL</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Genetic material</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[370]</td>
<td>Ceccarelli 2014</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td><em>L. infantum</em></td>
<td>Dog</td>
<td>CVL</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Genetic material</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[230]</td>
<td>Ferreira 2013</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td><em>L. infantum</em></td>
<td>Dog</td>
<td>CVL</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Genetic material</td>
<td>O-S-N</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[266]</td>
<td>Pereira 2016</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td><em>L. infantum</em></td>
<td>Dog</td>
<td>CVL</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Genetic material</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[371]</td>
<td>Carvalho Ferreira 2014</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td><em>L. infantum</em></td>
<td>Dog</td>
<td>CVL</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Genetic material</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[229]</td>
<td>Lombardo 2012</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td><em>L. infantum</em></td>
<td>Dog</td>
<td>CVL</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Genetic material</td>
<td>O-S</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[265]</td>
<td>Ferreira 2008</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td><em>L. infantum</em></td>
<td>Dog</td>
<td>CVL</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Genetic material</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[372]</td>
<td>Geisweid 2013</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td><em>L. infantum</em></td>
<td>Dog</td>
<td>CVL</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Genetic material</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[268]</td>
<td>Leite 2010</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td><em>L. infantum</em></td>
<td>Dog</td>
<td>CVL</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Genetic material</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[264]</td>
<td>Strauss-Ayali 2004</td>
<td>Israel</td>
<td><em>L. infantum</em></td>
<td>Dog</td>
<td>CVL</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Genetic material</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[373]</td>
<td>Pilatti 2009</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td><em>L. infantum</em></td>
<td>Dog</td>
<td>CVL</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Genetic material</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[374]</td>
<td>Gao 2015</td>
<td>China</td>
<td><em>Leishmania</em></td>
<td>Cat</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Genetic material</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[269]</td>
<td>Benassi 2017</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td><em>Leishmania</em></td>
<td>Cat</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Genetic material</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[350]</td>
<td>Ortega 2017</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td><em>Leishmania</em></td>
<td><em>Leporidae</em></td>
<td>?</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Genetic material</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[375]</td>
<td>Belinchón-Lorenzo 2016</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td><em>L. infantum</em></td>
<td>Dog</td>
<td>CVL</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Genetic material</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[376]</td>
<td>Belinchón-Lorenzo 2013</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td><em>L. infantum</em></td>
<td>Dog</td>
<td>CVL</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Genetic material</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[351]</td>
<td>de Sousa Gonçalves 2016</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td><em>L. infantum</em></td>
<td>Dog</td>
<td>CVL</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Genetic material</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Subgroup analysis and test for effect size heterogeneity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Positivity (95% CI)</th>
<th>Heterogeneity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>df</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive PCR in Urine</td>
<td>0.73(0.63–0.84)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive Ab in Urine</td>
<td>0.57(0.37–0.76)</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive Ag in Urine</td>
<td>0.59(0.47–0.72)</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive Parasite in Urine</td>
<td>0.85(0.80–0.91)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive PCR in Conjunctival Swab</td>
<td>0.56(0.30–0.81)</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive PCR in Oral Saliva</td>
<td>0.57(0.28–0.85)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive PCR in Nasal</td>
<td>0.73(0.48–0.98)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. Forest plot representation of the extracted data for urine analysis using molecular methods on leishmaniasis with subgroup analysis on dogs and humans.

Antibody detection has also been carried out using urine, mainly in humans but rarely in dogs. A high positivity of 92% (95% CI: 87–96) is recorded in humans (Figure 4, lower panel), which is lower in dogs (62%; 95% CI: 51–72; Figure 4, upper panel). Only two studies on dogs satisfy our inclusion criteria and were analyzed as contrasted to the 12 studies carried out on humans (Figure 4). The test of heterogeneity between studies on Ab detection in human urine depicts a rather high heterogeneity (I² = 86.48%). A positivity of 74% (95% CI: 64–83) is recorded for antibody detection in humans. The parasitological method represents a simple way to detect leishmania infection, which has been applied to dogs suffering from CVL (1 study), and humans (4 studies). An overall positivity of 28% is recorded (95% CI: 0–76; Figure 5). Antigen detection in urine is used for the diagnosis of visceral leishmaniasis (see Section 2.2.1). Our meta-analysis highlights a 74% (95% CI: 64–83) positivity for the detection of antibodies in urine. We have not performed subgroup analysis according to the methodology used (ELISA, other) or the infecting leishmania species that is reflected by the high heterogeneity (I² = 94.33%) (Figure 6).
**Figure 4.** Forest plot representation of the extracted data for urine analysis using antibody detection methods on leishmaniasis with subgroup analysis on dogs and humans.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>ES (95% CI)</th>
<th>Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dogs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zaragoza et al. 2003 (Spain)</td>
<td>0.68 (0.45, 0.98)</td>
<td>6.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Todesi et al. 2009 (Spain)</td>
<td>0.59 (0.46, 0.71)</td>
<td>7.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal (I² = %, p = )</td>
<td>0.62 (0.51, 0.72)</td>
<td>13.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gheish et al. 2016 (Bangladesh)</td>
<td>0.95 (0.89, 0.99)</td>
<td>7.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kohani et al. 1987 (Iran)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.84, 1.00)</td>
<td>5.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Islam et al. 2002 (Bengladesh)</td>
<td>0.94 (0.84, 0.98)</td>
<td>7.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarkari et al. 2008 (Iran)</td>
<td>0.60 (0.42, 0.78)</td>
<td>6.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mistael et al. 2018 (Iran)</td>
<td>0.84 (0.68, 0.99)</td>
<td>6.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khan et al. 2010 (Bangladesh)</td>
<td>0.95 (0.89, 0.99)</td>
<td>7.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Colmenares et al. 1995 (Spain)</td>
<td>0.93 (0.88, 1.00)</td>
<td>5.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Islam et al. 2004 (Bangladesh)</td>
<td>0.65 (0.51, 0.77)</td>
<td>7.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaewann et al. 2012 (India)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.89, 1.00)</td>
<td>7.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chauhan et al. 2011 (India)</td>
<td>0.94 (0.84, 0.99)</td>
<td>8.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singh et al. 2013 (India)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.95, 0.99)</td>
<td>8.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Islam et al. 2008 (Bangladesh)</td>
<td>0.94 (0.88, 0.99)</td>
<td>7.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal (I² = 86.48%, p = 0.00)</td>
<td>0.92 (0.87, 0.96)</td>
<td>86.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Heterogeneity between groups: p = 0.000
Overall (I² = 90.67%, p = 0.00); 0.69 (0.62, 0.95) 100.00

**Figure 5.** Forest plot representation of the extracted data for urine analysis using parasitological methods on leishmaniasis with subgroup analysis on dogs and humans.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>ES (95% CI)</th>
<th>Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dogs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>de Mendoça et al. 2015 (Brazil)</td>
<td>0.18 (0.04, 0.43)</td>
<td>20.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metebah et al. 1993 (Kenya)</td>
<td>0.05 (0.00, 0.18)</td>
<td>20.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abuljan et al. 2013 (Brazil)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.83, 1.00)</td>
<td>20.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costa Lima Junior et al. 2018 (Brazil)</td>
<td>0.03 (0.00, 0.17)</td>
<td>20.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phumee et al. 2013 (Thailand)</td>
<td>0.17 (0.00, 0.64)</td>
<td>18.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal (I² = 96.83%, p = 0.00)</td>
<td>0.31 (0.00, 0.89)</td>
<td>79.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Heterogeneity between groups: p = 0.651
Overall (I² = 95.78%, p = 0.00); 0.28 (0.00, 0.78) 100.00
Chagas Disease. Ag detection has been carried out several times on patients’ urine and 7 studies satisfying our inclusion criteria were gathered (Figure 6). We recorded a higher positivity for antigen detection in CD (84%; 95% CI: 69–95) than for Leishmaniasis (74%), see above. Our analysis further confirms that Ag detection in a patient’s urine represents an interesting fluid for CD and Leishmanioses diagnosis.

2.3.2. Feces

No quantitative data available.

2.3.3. Saliva/Oral Swab/Sputum

Human and animal leishmaniasis. Molecular methods were used to diagnose leishmania infection using the saliva of humans and dogs. Six studies were collected and data were extracted (3 for dogs and 3 for humans) (Figure 7). From these data, we recorded an overall positivity of 56% (CI 95%: 31–79), positivity being higher in humans, 75% (CI 95%: 32–100), than in dogs where it is of 40% (CI 95%: 13–70). Additional data is required to more firmly address the interest of using molecular methods to detect leishmania parasites using saliva. Data on the detection of antigen in patients suffering leishmaniasis has been extracted from only one study with a positivity of 74% [129].
Figure 7. Forest plot representation of the extracted data for saliva analysis using molecular detection methods for human leishmaniases (A) and antibody detection methods with subgroup analysis for human African trypanosomiasis and Chagas disease (B).
Human African Trypanosomiasis and Animal Trypanosomiases. The two studies on HAT disclosed a positivity of 97% (95% CI: 92–100) for antibody detection in the saliva.

Chagas Disease. Only one study that respected our inclusion criteria was collected. In this study, a high positivity was recorded, 90%, that points to the need for additional experiments.

2.3.4. Conjunctival Swab/Lacrimal Fluid

Human and animal leishmaniases. Only data on CVL using molecular methods were gathered during the survey (Figure 8). An overall positivity of 77% was recorded (95% CI; 65–88) and a high heterogeneity was recorded ($I^2$ 91.64%). Data on the use of parasitological (histology) methods was extracted from one study performed on CVL, with a positivity of 53% (CI 95%: 40–66) [25].

![Figure 8. Forest plot representation of the extracted data for conjunctival swab/lacrimal fluid using molecular detection methods on canine visceral leishmaniasis.](image)

Human African Trypanosomiasis and Animal Trypanosomiases. Analysis cannot be performed due to a lack of quantitative data.

Chagas Disease. Analysis cannot be performed due to a lack of quantitative data.

2.3.5. Genital Organs: Semen/Vulvular Secretions

No quantitative data available to perform a meta-analysis on the positivity.

2.3.6. Milk

No quantitative data available to perform a meta-analysis on the positivity.

2.3.7. Nasal Secretion

Human and animal leishmaniases. Molecular methods applied for the detection of Leishmania genetic material was used on dogs (1 publication) and on humans (1 publication). From these...
publications, we recorded a very high overall positivity of 93% (CI 95%; 86–96) (Figure 9). Additional data are required to further explore the suitability of this fluid for DNA detection and leishmaniasis diagnosis. In addition, one study reported the isolation of leishmania parasites in nasal secretion using parasitological methods, with a positivity of 36% (95% CI: 24–49) [119].

Figure 9. Forest plot representation of the extracted data for nasal secretion using molecular detection methods on dogs and human suffering leishmaniasis.

Human African Trypanosomiasis and Animal Trypanosomiases. Analysis cannot be performed due to a lack of quantitative data.

Chagas Disease. Analysis cannot be performed due to a lack of quantitative data.

2.3.8. Hair/bristles

Human and animal leishmaniases. During the past 10 years, molecular methods has been applied to investigate the suitability of hair or bristle to detect Leishmania genetic parasite material. These experiments were performed on the domestic reservoir of Leishmania (dogs), but also on potential wild reservoir (hare). In all cases, positivity greater than 60% were recorded. Altogether, our meta-analysis points to an an overall positivity of 77% (CI 95%; 59–92) (Figure 10). To further investigate the potential of hair/bristles to be used to detect leishmania infection, additional experiments are required.

Human African Trypanosomiasis and Animal Trypanosomiases. Analysis cannot be performed due to a lack of quantitative data.

Chagas Disease. Analysis cannot be performed due to a lack of quantitative data.
3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Protocol and Registration

The current study was conducted taking into account the recommendation of the PRISMA statement [377]. The protocol was neither registered nor published. The checklist for meta-analysis is provided as Supplementary Material (Check list S1).

3.2. Information Source

The selection of studies was based on searches (performed in November 2018) in PubMed, Web of Science, WorldWideScience, SciELO, Embase, and Google, with no specific year range and language limitation.

3.3. Search


An example of the search strategy in PubMed is below:

1. “Leishmania”,
2. “Leishmaniasis”,
3. “Trypanosoma”,
4. “Trypanosomiasis”, and
5. “Chagas disease”,
combined with several key words
6. “urine”,
7. “lacrimal”,
8. “conjunctival”,
9. “ocular”,
10. “eyes”,
11. “saliva”,
12. “sputum”,
13. “oral swab”,
14. “ear swab”,
15. “buccal swab”,
16. “cerumen”,
17. “semen”,
18. “vulvular”,
19. “feces”, “fecal”,
20. “hair”,
21. “skin”,
22. “nasal swab”,
23. “nostril”,
24. “pharyngeal”,
25. “mucosal”,
26. “milk”, and
27. “sweat”.

3.4. Study Selection

We undertook the review with current recommendations reported in 2015 and reported our findings as per the PRISMA guidelines, taking into account the remarks for “biological” meta-analyses, which deal with non-human species [378]. We selected studies for inclusion in two stages. In the first stage, we screened the titles and abstracts of all citations for potentially relevant papers. In the second, we examined the full texts of these papers. Two independent reviewers (Denis SERENO and Mohammad AKHOUNDI) performed the screening and the full text and data extraction. For each record, to ensure an objective assessment of all the included records, the judgements about eligibility, bias, and applicability were entirely based on the published documents and not on unpublished background information. In case of discrepant judgement, Denis SERENO took the final decision. Studies that involved the following topics were eligible for selection:

1- Leishmaniases, Chagas disease, or trypanosomiases
2- Identification and/or diagnosis with molecular, immunological, or parasitological methods
3- Experimentally or naturally acquired infection.

Duplicates and studies that did not include trypanosomatid detection, disease diagnosis, or the detection of antibodies, antigens, DNA, RNA, or other molecules were ruled out of the systematic review.

For meta-analysis, data were extracted according to the following criteria: (1) the use of non-invasive biological sampling methods, (2) diagnosis made on the basis of clinical symptoms and confirmed by various methodologies (PCR, polymerase chain reaction; ELISA, enzyme linked immnosorvent assay; IFI, indirect mmunofluorescence; or parasitology), (3) confirmation performed using biopsy (blood, lymph node, or spleen aspirate); and (4) data available for individual subjects or grounded according to clinical symptoms and diagnosis confirmation.
3.5. Data Collection Process and Items

We developed a data collection sheet to gather data items from studies. The data collection sheets included the following: first author name, the title and article year of publication, country, methodologies used to diagnose infection, the name of the alternative biological sampling performed, the total number of samples processed, the total number of positive samples, the total number of positive samples for alternative biopsies, and the methods of detection used in the non-invasive biological sampling. The databases containing the data collection sheet are shown as Supplementary Material (Datacollection S2).

3.6. Statistical Analysis

Effect size in this study was the prevalence and percentage; the variance of the effect size was not stable because some percentages were near or equal to 100 and some were near zero; therefore, a Freeman–Tukey double arcsine transformation was used to stabilize the variances [379,380]. We checked the heterogeneity between studies by the $I^2$ statistic. The random effects model was used to combine effect sizes among studies. A meta-regression was performed to investigate the diversity of pooled effect size according to year of publication. Begg and Egger’s tests were used to assess publication bias in the included studies [381]. Subgroup analysis was performed based on biological sample origin and methodology. Data were analyzed using Stata computer software version 11.2 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA).

4. Conclusions

Literature analysis reveals striking facts and gaps in the usefulness of body fluid secretions and/or appendages for the diagnosis of infection caused by Trypanosomatidae parasites. Strikingly, we did not find data on the presence of parasites, DNA, antibodies, or antigens in the sweat of infected patients. We confirm the interest of antibody and antigen detection methods in urine to diagnose leishmaniases, and of Antigen detection in the urine of patients suffering CD. Surprisingly a high positivity was recorded for *Leishmania* detection when hair was used in combination with molecular methods. This observation, which relies on a limited number of data, has now to be thoroughly investigated. With some exceptions, we recorded a serious lack of experimental and clinical investigations on the appropriateness of alternative biological sampling methodologies for diagnostic purposes. These data are important to set up new non-invasive diagnosis protocols to track disease evolution and clinical and/or chemotherapy success. This is surprising because these alternative sampling strategies present a major advantage of being based on the collection of daily produced biological materials.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/1422-0067/21/5/1684/s1, Check list of the Meta analysis and systematic review: ChecklistS1; Data collection sheet for meta analysis: DatacollectionS2, Table of the collected data for meta analysis: TablerecapS3.
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Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HAT</td>
<td>Human African Trypanosomiasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD</td>
<td>Chagas disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT</td>
<td>Animal Trypanosomiasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAT</td>
<td>African Animal Trypanosomiasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVL</td>
<td>Canine visceral leishmaniasis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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