

## Crop Residues in Wheat-Oilseed Rape Rotation System: a Pivotal, Shifting Platform for Microbial Meetings

Lydie Kerdraon, Marie-Helene Balesdent, Matthieu Barret, Valerie Laval,

Frederic Suffert

#### ► To cite this version:

Lydie Kerdraon, Marie-Helene Balesdent, Matthieu Barret, Valerie Laval, Frederic Suffert. Crop Residues in Wheat-Oilseed Rape Rotation System: a Pivotal, Shifting Platform for Microbial Meetings. Microbial ecology, 2019, 77 (4), pp.931 - 945. 10.1007/s00248-019-01340-8. hal-02620072

### HAL Id: hal-02620072 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02620072v1

Submitted on 10 Jan2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Title: Crop residues in wheat-oilseed rape rotation system: a pivotal, shifting platform for
 microbial meetings

3

4 Authors: Lydie Kerdraon<sup>1</sup>, Marie-Hélène Balesdent<sup>1</sup>, Matthieu Barret<sup>2</sup>, Valérie Laval<sup>1</sup>\*,

5 Frédéric Suffert<sup>1</sup> \*

6 \* contributed equally

7

Address: <sup>1</sup> UMR BIOGER, INRA, AgroParisTech, Université Paris-Saclay, 78850 ThivervalGrignon, France; <sup>2</sup> UMR IRHS, INRA, Agrocampus Ouest, Université d'Angers, 49071
Beaucouzé, France
\* frederic.suffert@inra.fr; tel +33 (0)1 30 8154 35; ORCID 0000-0001-6969-3878
\* valerie.laval@inra.fr; tel +33 (0)1 30 81 45 16; ORCID 0000-0002-3667-3878

13

#### 14 Abstract

Crop residues are a crucial ecological niche with a major biological impact on agricultural 15 ecosystems. In this study we used a combined diachronic and synchronic field experiment 16 17 based on wheat-oilseed rape rotations to test the hypothesis that plant is a structuring factor of microbial communities in crop residues, and that this effect decreases over time with their 18 19 likely progressive degradation and colonization by other microorganisms. We characterized an 20 entire fungal and bacterial community associated with 150 wheat and oilseed rape residue 21 samples at a plurennial scale by metabarcoding. The impact of plant species on the residue microbiota decreased over time and our data revealed turnover, with the replacement of 22 23 oligotrophs, often plant-specific genera (such as pathogens) by copiotrophs, belonging to more generalist genera. Within a single cropping season, the plant-specific genera and species 24 were gradually replaced by taxa that are likely to originate from the soil. These changes 25

occurred more rapidly for bacteria than for fungi, known to degrade complex compounds. 26 Overall, our findings suggest that crop residues constitute a key fully-fledged microbial 27 ecosystem. Taking into account this ecosystem, that has been neglected for too long, is 28 essential, not only to improve the quantitative management of residues, the presence of which 29 30 can be detrimental to crop health, but also to identify groups of beneficial micro-organisms. Our findings are of particular importance, because the wheat-oilseed rape rotation, in which 31 no-till practices are frequent, is particularly widespread in the European arable cropping 32 systems. 33

#### 34 Keywords

35 community succession, microbial diversity, oilseed rape, residue microbiota, wheat

#### 36 Background

Crop residues are an essential living element of agricultural soils. Smil [1] stressed that 37 they "should be seen not as wastes but as providers of essential environmental services, 38 assuring the perpetuation of productive agrosystems". When left in the field in the period 39 between two successive crops, rather than being buried immediately, crop residues contribute 40 to the formation of soil organic carbon, improve soil structure, prevent erosion, filter and 41 42 retain water, reduce evaporation from the soil surface, and increase the diversity and activity 43 of micro-organisms in the ground [2]. No-till practices are becoming increasingly widespread, as they take advantage of these attributes [3]. However, such practices are often considered 44 likely to increase the risk of disease epidemics [4–6]. Indeed, several leaf-, stem-, head-, and 45 fruit-infecting micro-organisms, classified as "residue-borne" or "stubble-borne" pathogens, 46 47 are dependent on host residues for survival during the period between successive crops and for the production of inoculum for their next attack [7, 8]. The epidemiological contribution 48 of residues as an effective source of inoculum is well-established but difficult to quantify [e.g. 49 50 9] and generalise, because the nature of survival structures depends on the biology of the species. The situation is rendered even more complex by the presence of several species 51 reported to act as crop pathogens in plants as endophytes, without symptom development in 52 53 the plant, and in the soil and plant residues as saprophytes. Taking into account the inoculum from stubble-borne pathogens and possible competition with other micro-organisms, it 54 appears likely that the expression of a disease is the consequence of an imbalance between a 55 potentially pathogenic species and the rest of the microbial community, rather than the 56 consequence of the mere presence of this species [10]. 57

Residues constitute a crucial ecological niche, not only for pathogenic species, but also for non-pathogenic and beneficial species. Residues can be viewed as both a fully-fledged matrix and a transient compartment, because they originate from the plant (temporal link), are

in close contact with the soil (spatial link) and degrade over the following cropping season, at 61 62 rates depending on the plant species, the cropping practices used [11], and the year (climate effect). It remains unknown whether the succession of microbial communities in residues is 63 driven primarily by plant tissue degradation or edaphic factors [12]. Many studies have 64 investigated the structure of the microbial communities present during the life cycle of the 65 plant [e.g. 13–15], but few have investigated the microbiota associated with plant residues. 66 Several ecological studies have investigated the impact of the residue compartment on the 67 structure of soil microbial communities [2, 16–19], but not the impact of the soil compartment 68 on structure of the residue communities. The detritusphere, defined as the part of the soil 69 70 attached to residues [12, 20, 21], is the most extensive and broad hotspot of microbial life in the soil [22]. The residue compartment and the detritusphere are located in close physical 71 proximity but are considered by microbiologists to be separate trophic and functional niches 72 73 [23]. A description of the residue communities and the specific changes in these communities over time might, therefore, help agronomists to understand the impact of cropping practices 74 75 on crop productivity. Fungi and bacteria play important roles in the degradation of plant tissues in debris (cellulose, hemicellulose, lignin), but the interactions between them within 76 the microbial community remain unclear, due to the lack of information about their origins 77 78 (air-borne, soil-borne or plant-borne), their individual functions and the drivers of community 79 structure in residues.

Crop rotation induces changes in the composition of the soil microbial community and usually reduces pathogen pressure [e.g. 18]. For instance, wheat yields benefit from "break crops" such as oilseed rape or other non-host crops to break the life-cycle of wheat-specific pathogens [24]. We focused here on the wheat-oilseed rape rotation, one of the most widely used cropping systems in Europe. In 2017, the areas under bread wheat and oilseed rape in France were 5.0 million ha and 1.4 million ha [25], respectively. As oilseed rape usually recurs every three years in the rotation and is used almost systematically either directly before or directly after wheat, we estimate that this classical rotation is used on almost 4.2 million ha every year. Half the area occupied by these two crops is now grown without tillage, with at least some of the residues of the preceding crop left on the soil [26]. The issue addressed here is thus directly relevant to more than 2 million ha, or about one tenth of the total arable area in France.

92 In this study, we deliberately focused on crop residues as a neglected, transient, but fully-fledged half-plant/half-soil compartment without describing the soil microbial 93 94 communities, considering that it has been already performed in several studies [e.g. 27, 28]. 95 We tested the specific hypothesis that plant is a structuring factor of bacterial and fungal communities in residues, and that this effect decreases over time, as contact with the soil 96 induce progressive colonization of residues by other microorganisms. Over the last few years, 97 high-throughput metabarcoding has become an indispensable tool for studying the ecology of 98 such complex microbial communities [29], partly due to the difficulties in isolating fungal and 99 100 bacterial species and growing them in axenic conditions. We used this approach to describe and compare changes in the microbial community of wheat and oilseed-rape residues left on 101 the soil surface of three cultivated fields during two cropping seasons. We investigated 102 103 whether the three main determinants of the diversity of fungal and bacterial communities plant species, cropping season, and cropping system (monoculture vs. rotation, focusing on 104 wheat residues) - affected the microbiota of crop residues. 105

106

107 Methods

#### 108 Experimental design

*Field plots and rotations* – An extensive field experiment based on a wheat (W)-oilseed
 rape (O) rotation cropping system was carried out during the cropping seasons of 2015-2016

and 2016-2017 at the Grignon experimental station (Yvelines, France; 48°51'N, 1°58'E). This 111 112 area is characterised by an oceanic climate (temperate, with no dry season and a warm summer). A combined diachronic and synchronic strategy [30] was used to investigate the 113 114 dynamics of the residue microbial communities both over a two-year period on the same plot and along a chronosequence substituting spatial differences (three plots) for time differences. 115 A first monoculture plot (WWW) was sown with the winter wheat cultivar Soissons. This plot 116 had been cropped with wheat since 2007 and was used in previous epidemiological studies 117 focusing on the impact of wheat debris on the development of Septoria tritici blotch [e.g. 31, 118 32, 33]. Two other plots were cropped with oilseed rape cv. Alpaga and wheat cv. Soissons in 119 120 rotation (OWO, adjacent to the WWW plot, and WOW, located 400 m away; Fig. 1). The size 121 of the three plots was identical (20 m  $\times$  100 m). The OWO and WWW plots are characterized by a silty clay loam soil and plot WOW is characterized by a silty loam soil. Soil texture of 122 the three plots is presented in Additional Table S1. The three plots were not tilled during the 123 124 two cropping seasons. The wheat and oilseed rape residues were left on the soil surface after harvest. Soil was superficially disturbed to a depth of 10 cm with a disc harrow 6 weeks later 125 (late September), leaving a large portion of residue on the surface. Crops were managed in a 126 127 conventional way following local practices (nitrogen fertilization, insecticide and herbicide treatments). No fungicide was sprayed on the leaves during the study. 128

*Residue sampling* - Wheat and oilseed rape residues from the previous crop were collected over the two cropping seasons. The changes in the microbial communities during residue degradation were described on the basis of four sampling periods each year (October, December, February, and May) Sampling dates are presented in Additional Table S2. A supplementary sample was taken in July 2016, and *a posteriori* in July 2017, to characterise the plant microbiota before the residues came into contact with the soil. For each sampling period, residues samples were collected at soil surface from five points in each plot, 20 m apart, along a linear transect (Fig. 1). Each sample was composed of twelve pieces of wheat
residue or four pieces of oilseed rape residue. The five sampling points were located at the
same place in the plots during the two years of the experiment.

139 DNA extraction - Residues were cut to take off remaining roots, rinsed with water to remove the soil and air-dried in laboratory conditions. They were then cut into small pieces, 140 pooled in a 50 mL bowl and crushed with a Retsch<sup>TM</sup> Mixer Mill MM 400 for 60 seconds at 141 30 Hz in liquid nitrogen, in a zirconium oxide blender. The crushed powder was stored in 142 50 mL Falcon tubes at -80°C until DNA extraction. We transferred 40 mg of crushed residues 143 to a 2.0 mL Eppendorf tube, which was stored to -80°C. Total environmental DNA (eDNA) 144 was extracted according to the TriZol® Reagent protocol (Invitrogen, according to the 145 manufacturer's instructions). Two independent extractions were performed per sample, giving 146 a total of 300 eDNA samples. The two extractions were considered as technical replicates. 147

148

#### 149 PCR and Illumina sequencing

Fungal and bacterial community profiles were estimated by amplifying ITS1 and the 150 v4 region of the 16S rRNA gene, respectively. Amplifications were performed with the 151 ITS1F/ITS2 [34] and 515f/806r [35] primers. All PCRs were run in a reaction volume of 50 152 µL, with 1x Qiagen Type-it Multiplex PCR Master Mix (Type-it® Microsatellite PCR kit Cat 153 154 No./ID: 206243), 0.2 µM of each primer, 1x Q-solution® and 1 µL DNA (approximately 100 ng). The PCR mixture was heated at 95°C for 5 minutes and then subjected to 35 cycles of 155 amplification [95°C (1 min), 60°C (1 min 30 s), 72°C (1 min)] and a final extension step at 156 72°C (10 min). PCR products were purified with Agencourt® AMPure® XP (Agencourt 157 Bioscience Corp., Beverly, MA). A second round of amplification was performed with 5 µL of 158 purified amplicons and primers containing the Illumina adapters and indexes. PCR mixtures 159 were heated at 94°C for 1 min, and then subjected to 12 cycles of amplification [94°C (1 160

min), 55°C (1 min), 68°C (1 min)] and a final extension step at 68°C (10 min). PCR products
were purified with Agencourt® AMPure® XP and quantified with Invitrogen QuantIT<sup>™</sup>
PicoGreen®. Purified amplicons were pooled in equimolar concentrations in five independent
batches, and the final concentration of each batch was determined with the qPCR NGS library
quantification kit (Agilent). The five independent batches were sequenced in five independent
runs with MiSeq reagent kit v3 (300bp PE).

167

#### 168 Sequence processing

Fastq files were processed with DADA2 v1.6.0 [36], using the parameters described in the workflow for "Big Data: Paired-end" [37]. The only modification made relative to this protocol was a change in the truncLen argument according to the quality of the sequencing run. Each run was analysed separately. Taxonomic affiliations for amplicon sequence variants (ASV) generated with DADA2 were assigned with a naive Bayesian classifier on the RDP trainset 14 for bacteria [38] and the UNITE 7.1 database for fungi [39].

Only ASV detected in both technical replicates were conserved to ensure robustness [40] and were then added together. ASV classified as "Cyanobacteria/Chloroplast", or not classified at the phylum level, were discarded from the datasets. This resulted in suppression of 1.2% of reads for fungi (4.2% of unclassified ASV), and 1.5% of reads for bacteria (4.9% of unclassified ASV and 1.3% of ASV affiliated to Cyanobacteria/Chloroplast). The remaining ASV were normalised according to the proportion of reads within each sample [41].

182

#### 183 Microbial community analyses

184 Microbial community profiles were obtained for 100 wheat residue samples and 50 185 oilseed rape residue samples. The alpha-diversity was estimated for each sample by

calculating the Shannon index [42], completed by Faith's phylogenetic diversity (PD; [43];
[44]) for bacterial communities. The compositional dissimilarity between samples (betadiversity) was estimated by the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity index.

189 Factors taken into account in the microbial community analyses were plant (wheat; oilseed rape), cropping system (monoculture; rotation), cropping season (2015-2016; 2016-190 2017), sampling period (July; October; December; February; May), and sampling plot 191 (WWW; WOW; OWO; Fig. 1). We used a model to test these effects on each aforementioned 192 193 index in a general way, and then conducted post-hoc contrasts to characterize the differences. A complete model combining all the factors could not have been used because the 194 195 experimental design did not include an oilseed rape monoculture field plot. Of note oilseed rape monoculture is considered as an agronomic nonsense. Thus, a first model including the 196 plant effect but not the cropping system effect (plant \* cropping season \* sampling period \* 197 198 sampling plot) was applied using the data set from plots in rotation only (WOW and OWO). The effect of the cropping system (monoculture; rotation) was estimated separately using a 199 200 second model (cropping system \* cropping season \* sampling period) applied on the data set 201 from wheat residues only; the sampling plot factor was not included in this second model as it would have been confounding with the cropping system factor. 202

Shannon index was calculated for both bacterial and fungal communities with the ggpubr package in R [45] and Faith's phylogenetic diversity was calculated for bacterial communities with the picante package [46]. The effect of each factor on the Shannon index was assessed with two complementary ANOVA. A Kruskal-Wallis test also performed to assess significant differences in microbial diversity with time for each cropping season and sampling plot. Wilcoxon pairwise tests were also performed to compare the effects of sampling periods. Divergences were considered significant if p < 0.05.

The effect of each factor on the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity index was assessed with two 210 complementary PERMANOVA using the adonis2 function of the vegan R package (version 211 2.4-4 [47] with "margin" option, used to determine the effect of each term in the model, 212 including all other variables, to avoid sequential effects. They were visualized by 213 multidimensional scaling (MDS) on the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity index with the phyloseq 214 package in R (version 1.22.3 [48] and completed for bacterial communities by incorporating 215 phylogenetic distances using the UniFrac distance matrix. After the aggregation of ASV for 216 217 each sampling condition "sampling period/cropping year \* crop within a rotation", the betapart R package [49] was used to determine whether temporal changes in community 218 219 composition were due to turnover (i.e. replacement of ASV between two sampling periods) or nestedness (gain or loss of ASV between two sampling periods). The effect of the plant on the 220 microbial communities associated with residues during degradation was also assessed with 221 222 PERMANOVA on each sampling period, for each cropping season.

The genus composition of fungal and bacterial communities was analysed with a cladogram based on genus names. Only genera observed in three biological samples harvested on the same plot were incorporated into the cladogram. A cladogram representing the number of ASV for each genus, read percentage, occurrence and distribution for each sample, was constructed with the Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL [50]) online tool for phylogenetic trees.

To illustrate taxonomic changes over time, especially between plant-derived communities and communities involved later in the colonization of the residues, we focused on seasonal shifts (increase, decrease or stability) in the relative abundance of a selection of some fungal and bacterial genera and tested their statistical significance (Wilcoxon tests between sampling periods).

233

234 **Results** 

The bacterial and fungal communities associated with wheat (W) and oilseed rape (O) crop 235 236 residues were characterised on three plots: the wheat monoculture (WWW), and two oilseed rape-wheat rotation plots (WOW and OWO) (Fig. 1). We assessed the composition of these 237 238 microbial communities four times per year, during two consecutive cropping seasons (in October, December, February, and May). An additional time point (in July) was also included 239 for identification of the micro-organisms present on the plant before contact with the soil. The 240 analysis of raw sequence datasets for the 150 samples of wheat and oilseed rape residues 241 collected over the two cropping seasons resulted in the grouping of 14,287,970 bacterial and 242 9,898,487 fungal reads into 2,726 bacterial and 1,189 fungal amplicon sequence variants 243 244 (ASV). ASV not detected in both technical replicates (5.4% of bacterial reads and 1.5% of fungal reads) were removed from the datasets. Total number of reads remaining after ASV 245 246 filtering is presented in Additional Table S3.

247

#### 248 Alpha diversity of microbial communities

Diversity dynamics, assessed by calculating the Shannon index, differed between the two cropping seasons and between fungi and bacteria. The diversity was significantly impacted by most of factors, including cropping system (monoculture; rotation) for wheat residues (Table 1; Fig. 2). Oilseed rape residues supported less fungal diversity and as much bacterial diversity than wheat residues in rotation. In addition, the diversity was significantly higher in wheat grown in monoculture than in wheat grown in rotation for both bacteria and fungi.

Fungal diversity increased over time in 2015-2016, whereas the differences between the samples in 2016-2017 did not reflect a gradual increase as the minimum was reached in December. Bacterial diversity followed a quite similar trend with however a significant decreased from February to May, more pronounced for wheat residues than for oilseed rape residues during the first cropping season; this trend was also illustrated by the Faith's phylogenetic diversity (Additional Figure S1). The climatic conditions during residue degradation (Additional Table S4) or differences in initial diversity on the plant before harvest may explain the less marked trends observed between the two cropping seasons.

264

#### 265 Comparison of microbial communities associated with residues (beta diversity)

We analysed the effects of plant, cropping system, cropping season, and sampling 266 period on communities using the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity index and PERMANOVA. There 267 was remarkably little heterogeneity between the five samples collected in the same sampling 268 269 plots (Fig. 3) and the number of biological samples was, therefore, sufficient to assess differences due to the variables of interest (i.e. plant cropping season, sampling period, and 270 cropping system). This result was confirmed by the structure of bacterial communities 271 272 visualized by incorporating phylogenetic distances using the UniFrac distance matrix (Additional Fig. S2). 273

The structure of bacterial and fungal communities is influenced by plant species and cropping system – Oilseed rape and wheat residues presented different sets of ASV, for both bacterial and fungal communities (Fig. 3). Plant species was the main factor explaining differences between the communities, accounting for 38.4% for fungi and 26.6% of the variance for bacteria, as established with PERMANOVA (Table 2). For wheat, the cropping system (rotation; monoculture) accounted for 10.5% of the variance for fungal community composition and 6.6% of the variance for bacterial community composition.

The percentage of variance explained by the plant decreased over time for fungal community structure (e.g. from 75% in October 2016 to 40% in May), while for bacteria the decrease of the percentage of variance explained by the plant was less pronounced (from 65%

to 50%). The percentages of variance associated with the plant for each date are presented inAdditional Table S5.

Community structures change over time - Cropping season was the main temporal 286 factor underlying changes in community structure, accounting for 11.8% of the variance for 287 bacteria when considering only the plots in rotation (or 24.8% when considering only the 288 wheat residues without sampling plot effect), and 13.1% of the variance for fungi when 289 considering only the plots in rotation (or 29.2% when considering the wheat residues without 290 291 sampling plot effect; Table 2). Sampling period had also a significant impact on community composition, accounting for 14.9% of the variance for bacteria when considering only the 292 293 plots in rotation (or 20.4% when considering only the wheat residues without sampling plot effect), and 6.8% of the variance for fungi when considering only the plots in rotation (or 294 12.3% when considering the wheat residues without sampling plot effect). Theoretically, 295 296 changes in ASV composition result from turnover (replacement of ASV between two sampling periods) and nestedness (gain or loss of ASV between two sampling periods [49]). 297 298 We found that the dissimilarity between sampling periods was smaller for bacterial than for 299 fungal ASV structure. By decomposing the dissimilarity between sampling periods, we found that, for fungi, 94% ( $\pm$  5%) of dissimilarity was explained by turnover for oilseed rape, 89% 300  $(\pm 15\%)$  for wheat in monoculture, and 80%  $(\pm 13\%)$  for wheat in rotation. For bacteria, 69% 301  $(\pm 17\%)$  of dissimilarity was explained by turnover for oilseed rape, 61%  $(\pm 19\%)$  for wheat in 302 303 monoculture, and 80% (±16%) for wheat in rotation. Decomposition of dissimilarity between sampling periods is presented in Additional Table S6. 304

305

#### 306 Changes in communities, by genus

307 We characterised potential taxonomic differences in communities over time by 308 analysing wheat and oilseed rape residues separately. ASV were aggregated together at genus

level, resulting in 84 fungal and 184 bacterial genera for wheat, and 63 fungal and 186 309 bacterial genera for oilseed rape. For the sake of clarity, most 60 genera of fungi and bacteria 310 were presented in Fig. 4 and Fig. 5, respectively. All the detected genera and their evolution 311 312 over time were presented in Additional Fig. S3, S4, S5 and S6. For both plant species, we identified genera that disappeared or displayed a significant decrease in relative abundance 313 over time. The seasonal shifts of some genera and their significance are presented in 314 315 Additional Fig. S6. Among these genera, some are known to be associated with plants, such as Alternaria, Acremonium [14, 51, 52], Cryptococcus [53], Sarocladium [54] and 316 *Cladosporium* [13, 51–54]. 317

318 Some of the fungal species detected on wheat, such as Oculimacula yallundae (all ASV of Oculimacula genera), Zymoseptoria tritici and Pyrenophora tritici-repentis, (Fig. 4, 319 Additional Fig. S3) are known to be pathogenic. Some of the species detected on oilseed rape, 320 321 such as Verticillium spp., Leptosphaeria maculans (= Plenodomus maculans) and Leptosphaeria biglobosa (= Plenodomus biglobosa), are also known to be pathogenic. 322 323 Strikingly, L. maculans and L. biglobosa predominated over the other taxa. Verticillium longisporum, V. dahlia and V. albo-atrum were mostly detected during the second sampling 324 year (Fig. 4, Additional Fig. S4). As samples were collected in two different fields, it was not 325 possible to determine whether the occurrence of *Verticillium* spp., a soil-borne pathogen 326 327 complex causing Verticillium wilt [55], was affected more by year or by the soil contamination. Acremonium, Clonostachys and Alternaria genera, which have also been 328 described as associated with plants [56], were detected in the early sampling periods. Their 329 relative abundances decreased over time (Additional Fig. S7). Most of the genera that were 330 not present at early sampling points and with relative abundances increasing over time (e.g. 331 Coprinellus, Psathyrella, Torula, Tetracladium, and Exophiala) were common to wheat and 332

oilseed rape residues (Fig. 4). These genera can thus be considered as probably derivedprimarily from the surrounding soil.

For bacteria, the difference in the genera detected between the two plants species was 335 less marked than for fungi, as 146 genera were common to wheat and oilseed rape residues 336 (Fig. 5). These 146 genera corresponded to the 98.7% most prevalent reads for wheat and 337 97.5% most prevalent genus reads for oilseed rape. Proteobacteria was the predominant 338 339 phylum the first year. The most prevalent proteobacterial subgroup was Alphaproteobacteria, with a high prevalence of *Rhizobiales* and *Sphingomonadales*. *Rhizobium* and *Neorhizobium*, 340 two major genera from Rhizobiales, decreased in abundance between October and May in 341 342 both wheat and oilseed rape (Additional Fig. S7). Sphingomonadales genera were much more abundant on wheat than on oilseed rape, especially Sphingomonas (Fig. 5). Bacteroidetes 343 genera, including *Pedobacter* in particular, were frequently detected and their prevalences 344 345 tended to be stable for oilseed rape residues, and to decrease for wheat residues (Additional Fig. S7). In parallel, an increase in Actinobacteria, particularly Nocarioides, was observed. 346 347 Major differences between July and October were observed for oilseed rape, consistent with the beta-diversity analysis, in which the percentage dissimilarity between July and October 348 was high, due to both species extinction and turnover. Gammaproteobacteria were highly 349 abundant on oilseed rape in July. Their frequency then decreased rapidly from October to 350 351 May, due largely to the decrease in Pseudomonas (Fig. 5, Additional Fig. S7). In parallel, we observed an increase in the levels of Alphaproteobacteria, especially Rhizobium and 352 and October. 353 Sphingomonas, between July А small decrease in levels of Gammaproteobacteria was observed between July and October for wheat in rotation, whereas 354 the percentage of reads associated with this class increased between July and December for 355 356 wheat in monoculture, due largely to the decrease in Pantoea and Enterobacteria (Fig. 5,

357 Additional Fig. S7). The abundance of *Bacteroidetes*, especially *Pedobacter* and
358 *Flavobacterium*, also increased between July and October.

359

#### 360 **Discussion**

Most studies on crop residues have focused on their impact on soil microbial 361 communities [16], and the rare studies investigating the impact of soil on residue communities 362 focused exclusively on bacteria [27, 28] or fungi [57]. Most of these studies were conducted 363 on residues from a single year. Bastian et al. [12] established an extensive description of the 364 species present in the soil, detritusphere and wheat residues, using sterilised residues and soil 365 366 in a microcosm. In this study, we showed, under natural conditions, that three main factors (plant species, cropping season, rotation) simultaneously influence the composition of both 367 fungal and bacterial communities present on residues. This study is the first to investigate the 368 369 total fungal and bacterial communities associated with wheat and oilseed rape residues by a metabarcoding approach over two consecutive years. The very low variability of the 370 communities for the five replicates is remarkable and shows that our strategy would be 371 appropriate for comparing the effects of different treatments on microbial communities. 372

373

# 374 Crop residues should be viewed as a shifting platform for microbial meeting 375 strongly affected by plant species

Oilseed rape and wheat residues contained different sets of micro-organisms before soil contact and during the firsts sampling periods after harvest. Similar results were previously obtained for the bacterial communities of buried crop residues [28]. Consistent with the findings of this previous study, the divergence between wheat and oilseed rape bacterial communities was probably due to differences in the chemical compounds present in the plants. The rapid change in the community observed at early stages of residue degradation

for oilseed rape may be explained by the modification of simple compounds (sugars, starch, 382 383 etc.), whereas wheat is composed of more complex compounds (lignin) and is, therefore, broken down less quickly, resulting in a slower change in the microbial community [28]. 384 385 Overall, the change in bacterial community composition highlights turnover between copiotrophs and oligotrophs. Although copiotrophy and oligotrophy are physiological traits, 386 several attempts have been made to classify microorganisms as oligotrophs and copiotrophs 387 based on phylogeny [58]. According to this generalization, bacterial and fungal taxa whose 388 relative abundances are significantly decreased during succession belong mainly to 389 copiotroph. These taxa include for instance Alternaria, Cladosporium, Massilia and 390 391 Pseudomonas (Additional file: Fig. S5). In contrast, the relative abundances of oligotrophic taxa such as Coprinellus or Nocardiodes increased during residues degradation, which could 392 be indicative of the superior abilities of these micro-organisms to degrade complex polymers. 393

394 The initial fungal communities were structured mostly by the presence of species originating from the plant, several of which were highly specialised on the host plant. These 395 396 species were gradually replaced by more generalist species, which colonised the residues of 397 both plants. Most of these generalists, such as Exophiala, Coprinellus and Torula, are known to be soil-born [59, 60], or involved in degradation, such as Coprionopsis [61]. The host-398 399 specific fungi identified in our study included a large number of ascomycetes known to be foliar pathogens (O. yallundae, Fusarium sp. and Gibberella sp., Z. tritici, P. tritici-repentis, 400 Parastagonospora nodorum, Monographella nivalis, L. biglobosa and L. maculans). The 401 lifestyles of some pathogens are well-documented, as for Z. tritici, P. tritici-repentis and L. 402 403 maculans. The decrease with time in levels of Z. tritici and other pathogens in wheat residues 404 contrasts with the persistence of L. maculans and L. biglobosa in oilseed rape residues. These 405 three pathogens are all known to reproduce sexually on the residues of their host plant [31, 62], but the life cycle of *L. maculans* is characterised by systemic host colonisation through 406

intracellular growth in xylem vessels [63], whereas the development of Z. tritici is localised 407 408 and exclusively extracellular [64]. Oilseed rape residues thus provide L. maculans with greater protection than is provided to Z. tritici by wheat residues. This likely explains 409 410 differences in the persistence of the two pathogens and in the temporal dynamics of ascospore release: over up to two years for L. maculans [65, 66] but only a few months for Z. tritici [31, 411 412 67]. The predominance of *L. maculans* on oilseed rape residues was not surprising given that the oilseed rape cultivar Alpaga is known to be susceptible to L. maculans, but the high 413 abundance of L. biglobosa was much more remarkable. One surprising finding of our study 414 was the constant association of L. maculans with L. biglobosa on residues. Indeed, L. 415 416 biglobosa is known to be more associated with upper-stem lesions [68], and its presence in large amounts on residues has never before been reported. 417

Our findings are consistent with current epidemiological knowledge of emblematic 418 419 wheat and oilseed rape diseases, but they highlight our lack of knowledge concerning the lifestyles of many other fungal pathogens present on residues. A key point to be taken into 420 421 account is that the trophic status of many species known to be principally pathogenic or non-422 pathogenic is not definitive [69]. For instance, Alternaria infectoria is sometimes described as a pathogen of wheat [13, 70], sometimes as an endophyte [71], and has even been tested as a 423 potential biocontrol agent against Fusarium pseudograminearum on wheat [72]. Crop 424 residues, half-plant/half-soil, should be the focus of future studies aiming to disentangle the 425 426 succession of microbial species with different lifestyles and to characterise their relative impacts on the development of currently minor, but potentially threatening diseases. 427

428

<sup>429</sup> The residue microbiota should be analysed in a dynamic manner, both within and
430 between years

The results of our study highlight the importance of conducting multi-year studies 431 focusing on ecological dynamics both within and between years in natural conditions. Year 432 had a strong effect on both bacterial and fungal communities. Fluctuations of climatic 433 434 conditions (temperature, rainfall, wind) have a major impact on pathogenesis (disease triangle concept [73]) and on the saprophytic survival of plant pathogens during interepidemic periods 435 [74]. The two years of our study were marked by similar means of 10-day mean temperatures, 436 but large differences in rainfall: mean 10-day cumulative rainfall in the first year was almost 437 twice that in the second (Additional Table S5). The colonisation of residues by late colonisers 438 may be affected by such climatic differences: in wheat, most prevalent degrading fungi (like 439 440 Coprinellus, Psathyrella, Coprinopsis) were almost absent in the second year of the study. There was also considerable dissimilarity between the bacterial communities associated with 441 each of the two years. For example, genus *Enterobacter*, which was highly abundant in the 442 second year, was barely detectable in the first year. 443

444

#### 445 Crop rotation has little impact on residue microbial communities

446 Oilseed rape is never grown in monoculture, so the effect of crop rotation was assessed 447 only for wheat. The effect of rotation on residue microbial communities was much smaller than the effect of year (cropping season). It was more marked for fungi, for which diversity 448 449 was greater in monoculture than in rotation. The use of a rotation may prevent the most strongly specialised species, in this case fungi, from becoming established, regardless of their 450 pathogenicity. This finding is consistent with the greater development of some diseases in 451 monoculture conditions, which promote the maintenance of pathogens through the local 452 presence of primary inoculum. For instance, the presence of P. tritici-repentis, agent of tan 453 spot disease, in the wheat monoculture plot and its absence from wheat-oilseed rape plots is 454

455 consistent with epidemiological knowledge indicating that this disease can be controlled by456 leaving a sufficient interval between consecutive wheat crops in the same field [75].

457

# 458 Lesson to be learned from the residue microbial communities for the sustainable 459 management of debris-borne diseases: a delicate balance between pathogenic and 460 beneficial micro-organisms

The maintenance of crop residues at the surface of the cultivated soil increases the 461 microbial diversity of the soil and, in some ways, helps to maintain good functional 462 homeostasis [76]. However, conservation practices tend to increase the risk of foliar diseases 463 [4-6]. Most disease management strategies focus on epidemic periods, during which the 464 pathogen and its host are in direct contact. Interepidemic periods are also crucial for pathogen 465 development, although during these periods the primary inoculum is not directly in contact 466 with the new crop whilst not present in the field. Indeed, by carrying the sexual reproduction 467 of several fungal pathogens, residues contribute to the generation and transmission of new 468 virulent isolates potentially overcoming resistance genes, during monocyclic epidemics, as 469 described for oilseed rape canker caused by L. maculans [77], but also polycyclic epidemics, 470 471 as described for Septoria tritici blotch caused by Z. tritici [78].

However, the results of our study suggest that residues should not only be considered as 472 473 a substrate for pathogens and a potential source of inoculum. Indeed, we detected several fungi identified as beneficial or even biocontrol agents in previous studies, such as 474 Clonostachys rosea, Aureobasidium pullulans, Chaetomium globosum and Cryptococcus spp. 475 C. rosea, which was detected in both oilseed rape and wheat residues, has been reported to 476 limit the sexual and asexual reproduction of *Didymella rabiei* on chickpea residues by 477 mycoparasitism [79]. It has also been reported to be effective against Fusarium culmorum on 478 479 wheat plants, through antibiosis during the epidemic period [80], and on wheat residues,

through antagonism during the interepidemic period [81]. *Cladosporium* sp., which were 480 481 abundant in our study, have also been reported to inhibit the development of *P. tritici-repentis* on wheat plants [82] and of Fusarium sp. on wheat residues [81]. The presence of these 482 fungal species on wheat and oilseed rape residues is of potential interest for future analyses of 483 interactions. Due to the use of a low-resolution marker for bacterial characterisation, we were 484 485 unable to identify similarly the bacteria potentially interacting with pathogenic fungi. For instance, the presence of *Pseudomonas* spp. suggests possible interactions both with other 486 microbial species and with the host plant [83], but the nature of the potential interactions is 487 indeterminate: species of the Pseudomonas fluorescens group are known to be beneficial to 488 489 plants, whereas Pseudomonas syringae and Pseudomonas aeruginosa are known to be pathogens of plants and even humans. 490

Although our study reveals the presence of genera or species reported in the literature as 491 492 biocontrol agents, it has not yet shown any interaction between them and the pathogens. This 493 experimental study (sampling effort, residue treatments, etc.) was not designed to characterize 494 such interactions. A strategy involving the inference of microbial interaction networks from metabarcoding datasets might help to identify the species beneficial against pathogens, 495 through competition, antagonism or parasitism. This however requires a more analytical, 496 comparative experimental approach, that goes beyond the only description of shifts in natural 497 498 communities composition: for example, using different "treatments" in a broad sense (e.g. artificial inoculation with a species or a group of species, change of biotic or abiotic 499 environmental conditions, etc.) in order to modify interaction networks and so highlight the 500 501 impact of some groups of micro-organisms on the whole community or a given species.

502

#### 503 Conclusion

This study shows that crop residues, which can be seen as half-plant/half-soil transient 504 505 compartment, constitute a pivotal fully-fledged microbial ecosystem that has received much less attention than the phyllosphere and rhizosphere to date. This study therefore fills a gap in 506 507 knowledge of the communities present on crop residues under natural conditions. It confirms that the microbiote of crop residues should be taken into account in the management of 508 509 residue-borne diseases. Taking into account this ecosystem is essential, not only to improve 510 the quantitative management of crop residues, but also to identify groups of beneficial microorganisms naturally present. The beneficial elements of the microbial community should be 511 preserved, or even selected, characterised and used as biological control agents against the 512 513 pathogens that complete their life cycle on the residues. These results are particularly important in that wheat-oilseed rape rotations are among the most widespread arable cropping 514 systems in France and Europe. 515

516

#### 517 Acknowledgements

518 This study was performed in collaboration with the GeT core facility, Toulouse, France (http://get.genotoul.fr) and was supported by France Génomique National Infrastructure, 519 funded as part of "Investissement d'avenir" program managed by Agence Nationale pour la 520 521 Recherche (contract ANR-10-INBS-09). We thank Martial Briand (INRA, UMR IRHS) and Dr. Gautier Richard (INRA, UMR IGEPP) for assistance with bioinformatic analyses, and Dr. 522 523 Thierry Rouxel (INRA, UMR BIOGER) for improving and clarifying this manuscript. We 524 thank Julie Sappa for her help correcting our English. We finally thank the reviewers for their 525 insightful comments on the paper, as these comments led us to improve the correctness of 526 several analyses.

527

#### 528 Funding

529	This study was supported by a grant from the European Union Horizon Framework 2020
530	Program (EMPHASIS Project, Grant Agreement no. 634179) covering the 2015-2019 period.
531	

#### 532 Availability of data and materials

The raw sequencing data is available from the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) under the
study accession PRJEB27255 (Sample SAMEA4723701 to SAMEA4724326). We provide

the command-line script for data analysis and all necessary input files as Additional File 2.

536

537	Authors'	contributions
-----	----------	---------------

538 LK, FS, VL, MHB, MB conceived the study, participated in its design, and wrote the 539 manuscript. LK conducted the experiments and analysed the data. FS and VL supervised the 540 project. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

541

#### 542 Ethics approval and consent to participate

543 Not applicable

544

- 545 **Consent for publication**
- 546 Not applicable

547

#### 548 **Competing interests**

549 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

550

#### 551 **References**

- 552 1. Smil, V. (1999). Crop residues: agriculture's largest harvest crop residues incorporate
- 553 more than half of the world's agricultural phytomass. BioScience *49*, 299–308.

554	2.	Govaerts, B., Mezzalama, M., Unno, Y., Sayre, K.D., Luna-Guido, M., Vanherck, K.,
555		Dendooven, L., and Deckers, J. (2007). Influence of tillage, residue management, and
556		crop rotation on soil microbial biomass and catabolic diversity. Appl. Soil Ecol. 37, 18-
557		30.

- 558 3. Derpsch, R., Friedrich, T., Kassam, A., and Hongwen, L. (2010). Current status of 559 adoption of no-till farming in the world and some of its main benefits. Biol Eng *3*, 25.
- Bailey, K.L. (1996). Diseases under conservation tillage systems. Can. J. Plant Sci. 76,
   635–639.
- 562 5. Bailey, K.., and Lazarovits, G. (2003). Suppressing soil-borne diseases with residue
  563 management and organic amendments. Soil Tillage Res. 72, 169–180.
- 564 6. Bockus, W.W., and Shroyer, J.P. (1998). The impact of reduced tillage on soilborne
  565 plant pathogens. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. *36*, 485–500.
- 566 7. Bockus, W.W. (1998). Control strategies for stubble-borne pathogens of wheat. Can. J.
  567 Plant Pathol. 20, 371–375.
- Solution Science Scie
- Morais, D., Sache, I., Suffert, F., and Laval, V. (2016). Is the onset of Septoria tritici
  blotch epidemics related to the local pool of ascospores? Plant Pathol. *65*, 250–260.
- 572 10. Vayssier-Taussat, M., Albina, E., Citti, C., Cosson, J.-F., Jacques, M.-A., Lebrun, M.-
- 573 H., Le Loir, Y., Ogliastro, M., Petit, M.-A., Roumagnac, P., et al. (2014). Shifting the
- paradigm from pathogens to pathobiome: new concepts in the light of meta-omics.
  Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. *4*.
- Hadas, A., Kautsky, L., Goek, M., and Erman Kara, E. (2004). Rates of decomposition
  of plant residues and available nitrogen in soil, related to residue composition through
  simulation of carbon and nitrogen turnover. Soil Biol. Biochem. *36*, 255–266.

- Bastian, F., Bouziri, L., Nicolardot, B., and Ranjard, L. (2009). Impact of wheat straw
  decomposition on successional patterns of soil microbial community structure. Soil
  Biol. Biochem. 41, 262–275.
- Hertz, M., Jensen, I.R., Jensen, L.Ø., Thomsen, S.N., Winde, J., Dueholm, M.S.,
  Sørensen, L.H., Wollenberg, R.D., Sørensen, H.O., Sondergaard, T.E., et al. (2016). The
  fungal community changes over time in developing wheat heads. Int. J. Food Microbiol.

585 *222*, 30–39.

- Qin, Y., Fu, Y., Dong, C., Jia, N., and Liu, H. (2016). Shifts of microbial communities
  of wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*) cultivation in a closed artificial ecosystem. Appl.
  Microbiol. Biotechnol. *100*, 4085–4095.
- Vacher, C., Hampe, A., Porté, A.J., Sauer, U., Compant, S., and Morris, C.E. (2016).
  The phyllosphere: microbial jungle at the plant–climate interface. Annu. Rev. Ecol.
  Evol. Syst. 47, 1–24.
- Bending, G.D., Turner, M.K., and Jones, J.E. (2002). Interactions between crop residue
  and soil organic matter quality and the functional diversity of soil microbial
  communities. Soil Biol. Biochem. *34*, 1073–1082.
- 595 17. Degrune, F., Theodorakopoulos, N., Dufrêne, M., Colinet, G., Bodson, B., Hiel, M.-P.,
  596 Taminiau, B., Nezer, C., Daube, G., and Vandenbol, M. (2016). No favorable effect of
  597 reduced tillage on microbial community diversity in a silty loam soil (Belgium). Agric.
  598 Ecosyst. Environ. 224, 12–21.
- Sommermann, L., Geistlinger, J., Wibberg, D., Deubel, A., Zwanzig, J., Babin, D.,
  Schlüter, A., and Schellenberg, I. (2018). Fungal community profiles in agricultural
  soils of a long-term field trial under different tillage, fertilization and crop rotation
  conditions analyzed by high-throughput ITS-amplicon sequencing. PLoS ONE *13*,
  e0195345.

- Even 2014 19. Zelenev, V.V., van Bruggen, A.H.C., and Semenov, A.M. (2005). Short-term wavelike
  dynamics of bacterial populations in response to nutrient input from fresh plant
  residues. Microb. Ecol. 49, 83–93.
- Poll, C., Brune, T., Begerow, D., and Kandeler, E. (2010). Small-scale diversity and
  succession of fungi in the detritusphere of rye residues. Microb. Ecol. *59*, 130–140.
- Poll, C., Marhan, S., Ingwersen, J., and Kandeler, E. (2008). Dynamics of litter carbon
  turnover and microbial abundance in a rye detritusphere. Soil Biol. Biochem. 40, 1306–
- 611 1321.
- 612 22. Kuzyakov, Y., and Blagodatskaya, E. (2015). Microbial hotspots and hot moments in
  613 soil: Concept & review. Soil Biol. Biochem. *83*, 184–199.
- Nicolardot, B., Bouziri, L., Bastian, F., and Ranjard, L. (2007). A microcosm
  experiment to evaluate the influence of location and quality of plant residues on residue
  decomposition and genetic structure of soil microbial communities. Soil Biol. Biochem. *39*, 1631–1644.
- 618 24. Hilton, S., Bennett, A.J., Chandler, D., Mills, P., and Bending, G.D. (2018). Preceding
  619 crop and seasonal effects influence fungal, bacterial and nematode diversity in wheat
  620 and oilseed rape rhizosphere and soil. Appl. Soil Ecol.
- 62125.AGRESTE, 2018. Données de production Grandes Cultures (national + régions) au62201/02/2018.Excelfile,
- 623 http://agreste.agriculture.gouv.fr/IMG/xls/2018\_017donneesdeptgrandescultures.xls
- AGRESTE, 2008. Un tiers des grandes cultures semées en 2006 sans retournement des
  sols. Dans le sillon du non-labour. Agreste Primeur, n°207,
  http://agreste.agriculture.gouv.fr/IMG/pdf/primeur207.pdf

26

- 627 27. Pascault, N., Nicolardot, B., Bastian, F., Thiébeau, P., Ranjard, L., and Maron, P.-A.
- 628 (2010a). *In situ* dynamics and spatial heterogeneity of soil bacterial communities under
  629 different crop residue management. Microb. Ecol. *60*, 291–303.
- Pascault, N., Cécillon, L., Mathieu, O., Hénault, C., Sarr, A., Lévêque, J., Farcy, P.,
  Ranjard, L., and Maron, P.-A. (2010b). *In situ* dynamics of microbial communities
  during decomposition of wheat, rape, and alfalfa residues. Microb. Ecol. *60*, 816–828.
- 633 29. Hanson, B.M., and Weinstock, G.M. (2016). The importance of the microbiome in
  634 epidemiologic research. Ann. Epidemiol. 26, 301–305.
- 635 30. Costa Junior, C., Corbeels, M., Bernoux, M., Píccolo, M.C., Siqueira Neto, M., Feigl,
- B.J., Cerri, C.E.P., Cerri, C.C., Scopel, E., and Lal, R. (2013). Assessing soil carbon
  storage rates under no-tillage: Comparing the synchronic and diachronic approaches.
  Soil Tillage Res. *134*, 207–212.
- Suffert, F., and Sache, I. (2011). Relative importance of different types of inoculum to
  the establishment of *Mycosphaerella graminicola* in wheat crops in north-west Europe:
- 641 Wheat debris as local source of *M. graminicola* inoculum. Plant Pathol. *60*, 878–889.
- 642 32. Morais, D., Laval, V., Sache, I., and Suffert, F. (2015). Comparative pathogenicity of
- sexual and asexual spores of *Zymoseptoria tritici* (Septoria tritici blotch) on wheat
  leaves. Plant Pathol. *64*, 1429–1439.
- 645 33. Suffert, F., Goyeau, H., Sache, I., Carpentier, F., Gélisse, S., Morais, D., Delestre G.
- 646 (2017) Epidemiological trade-off between intra- and interannual scales in the evolution
  647 of aggressiveness in a local plant pathogen population. Evol. Appl. *11*, 768–780.
- Buée, M., Reich, M., Murat, C., Morin, E., Nilsson, R.H., Uroz, S., and Martin, F.
  (2009). 454 Pyrosequencing analyses of forest soils reveal an unexpectedly high fungal
  diversity: Research. New Phytol. *184*, 449–456.

651	35.	Caporaso, J.G., Lauber, C.L., Walters, W.A., Berg-Lyons, D., Lozupone, C.A.,
652		Turnbaugh, P.J., Fierer, N., and Knight, R. (2011). Global patterns of 16S rRNA
653		diversity at a depth of millions of sequences per sample. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA
654		108, 4516–4522.

- 655 36. Callahan, B.J., McMurdie, P.J., Rosen, M.J., Han, A.W., Johnson, A.J.A., and Holmes,
- S.P. (2016). DADA2: High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data.
  Nat. Methods *13*, 581–583.
- 65837.DADA2PipelineTutorial(1.8).Availableat:659https://benjjneb.github.io/dada2/tutorial.html. (Accessed: 26th June 2018)
- 38. Cole, J.R., Wang, Q., Cardenas, E., Fish, J., Chai, B., Farris, R.J., Kulam-SyedMohideen, A.S., McGarrell, D.M., Marsh, T., Garrity, G.M., et al. (2009). The
  Ribosomal Database Project: improved alignments and new tools for rRNA analysis.
  Nucleic Acids Res. *37*, D141–D145.
- Abarenkov, K., Nilsson, R.H., Larsson, K.-H., Alexander, I.J., Eberhardt, U., Erland, S.,
  Høiland, K., Kjøller, R., Larsson, E., Pennanen, T., et al. (2010). The UNITE database
  for molecular identification of fungi recent updates and future perspectives. New
  Phytol. *186*, 281–285.
- 668 40. Ficetola, G.F., Pansu, J., Bonin, A., Coissac, E., Giguet-Covex, C., De Barba, M.,
  669 Gielly, L., Lopes, C.M., Boyer, F., Pompanon, F., et al. (2015). Replication levels, false
- presences and the estimation of the presence/absence from eDNA metabarcoding data.
- 671 Mol. Ecol. Resour. *15*, 543–556.
- 41. McMurdie, P.J., and Holmes, S. (2014). Waste not, want not: why rarefying
  microbiome data is inadmissible. PLoS Comput. Biol. *10*, e1003531.

- 42. Bo-Ra, K., Shin, J., Guevarra, R.B., Lee, J.H., Kim, D.W., Seol, K.H., Lee, J.H., Kim,
- H.B., and Isaacson, R.E. (2017). Deciphering diversity indices for a better
  understanding of microbial communities. J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 27, 2089–2093.
- 43. Tucker, C.M., Cadotte, M.W., Carvalho, S.B., Davies, T.J., Ferrier, S., Fritz, S.A.,
  Grenyer, E., Helmus, M.R., Jin, L.S., Mooers, A.O., et al. (2017) A guide to
  phylogenetic metrics for conservation, community ecology and macroecology. Biol.
  Rev. 92, 698–715.
- 44. Faith, D.P. (1992) Conservation evaluation and phylogenetic diversity. Biol.
  Conserv. 61, 1–10.
- 45. Kassambara, A. (2017). ggpubr: "ggplot2" Based Publication Ready Plots. R package
  version 0.1.5.999.
- Kembel, S.W, Cowan, P.D., Helmus, M.R., Cornwell, W.K., Morlon, H., Ackerly,
  D.D., and Webb, C.O. (2010) Picante: R tools for integrating phylogenies and ecology.
  Bioinformatics 26, 1463–1464.
- 688 47. Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F.G., Friendly, M., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., McGlinn, D.,
- 689 Minchin, P.R., O'Hara, R.B., Simpson, G.L., Solymos, P., et al. (2017). vegan:
- 690 Community Ecology Package. R package version 2.4-4. <u>https://CRAN.R-</u>
   691 project.org/package=vegan.
- McMurdie, P.J., and Holmes, S. (2013). phyloseq: An R package for reproducible
  interactive analysis and graphics of microbiome census data. PLoS ONE *8*, e61217.
- 694 49. Baselga, A., and Orme, C.D.L. (2012). betapart: an R package for the study of beta
  695 diversity. Methods Ecol. Evol. *3*, 808–812.
- 50. Letunic, I., and Bork, P. (2016). Interactive tree of life (iTOL) v3: an online tool for the
  display and annotation of phylogenetic and other trees. Nucleic Acids Res. 44, W242–
  W245.

- 51. Bateman, G.L., and Kwaśna, H. (1999). Effects of number of winter wheat crops grown
  successively on fungal communities on wheat roots. Appl. Soil Ecol. *13*, 271–282.
- 52. Vujanovic, V., Mavragani, D., and Hamel, C. (2012). Fungal communities associated
  with durum wheat production system: A characterization by growth stage, plant organ
  and preceding crop. Crop Prot. *37*, 26–34.
- 53. Larran, S., Perelló, A., Simón, M.R., and Moreno, V. (2002). Isolation and analysis of
  endophytic microorganisms in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) leaves. World J. Microbiol.
  Biotechnol. 18, 683–686.
- 54. Grudzinska-Sterno, M., Yuen, J., Stenlid, J., and Djurle, A. (2016). Fungal communities
  in organically grown winter wheat affected by plant organ and development stage. Eur.
- 709J. Plant Pathol. 146, 401–417.
- 710 55. Zhou, L., Hu, Q., Johansson, A., and Dixelius, C. (2006). *Verticillium longisporum* and
  711 *V. dahliae*: infection and disease in *Brassica napus*. Plant Pathol. 55, 137–144.
- 56. Zhang, Q., Zhang, J., Yang, L., Zhang, L., Jiang, D., Chen, W., and Li, G. (2014).
  Diversity and biocontrol potential of endophytic fungi in *Brassica napus*. Biol. Control 72, 98–108.
- 57. Naseri, B., Davidson, J.A., and Scott, E.S. (2008). Survival of *Leptosphaeria maculans*and associated mycobiota on oilseed rape stubble buried in soil. Plant Pathol. *57*, 280–
  289.
- 58. Ho, A., Di Lonardo, D.P., and Bodelier, P.L.E. (2017). Revisiting life strategy concepts
  in environmental microbial ecology. FEMS Microbiol Ecol. *93*,
  doi:10.1093/femsec/fix006.
- 59. Gorfer, M., Blumhoff, M., Klaubauf, S., Urban, A., Inselsbacher, E., Bandian, D.,
  Mitter, B., Sessitsch, A., Wanek, W., and Strauss, J. (2011). Community profiling and

gene expression of fungal assimilatory nitrate reductases in agricultural soil. ISME J. 5,

724 1771–1783.

- 60. Swer, H., Dkhar, M.S., and Kayang, H. (2011). Fungal population and diversity in
  organically amended agricultural soils of Meghalaya, India. J. Org. Syst. *6*, 3–12.
- 727 61. Turbe-Doan, A., Arfi, Y., Record, E., Estrada-Alvarado, I., and Levasseur, A. (2013).
- Heterologous production of cellobiose dehydrogenases from the basidiomycete *Coprinopsis cinerea* and the ascomycete *Podospora anserina* and their effect on saccharification of wheat straw. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. *97*, 4873–4885.
- Rouxel, T., and Balesdent, M.H. (2005). The stem canker (blackleg) fungus, *Leptosphaeria maculans*, enters the genomic era. Mol. Plant Pathol. *6*, 225–241.
- Hammond, K.E., Lewis, B.G., and Musa, T.M. (1985). A systemic pathway in the
  infection of oilseed rape plants by *Leptosphaeria maculans*. Plant Pathol. *34*, 557–565.
- Ponomarenko A., S.B. Goodwin, and G.H.J. Kema. 2011. Septoria tritici blotch (STB)
  of wheat. *Plant Health Instructor*. DOI:10.1094/PHI-I-2011-0407-01
- 737 65. Hershman, D.E. (1995). Etiology of canola blackleg in Kentucky and seasonal
  738 discharge patterns of *Leptosphaeria maculans* ascospores from infested canola stubble.
- 739 Plant Dis. v. 79, 1225–1229.
- Petrie, G.A. (Agriculture and A.-F.C. (1995). Patterns of ascospore discharge by *Leptosphaeria maculans* (blackleg) from 9- to 13-month-old naturally-infected
  rapeseed/canola stubble from 1977 to 1993 in Saskatchewan. Can. Plant Dis. Surv. Can.
- 67. Eyal, Z., Scharen, A.L., Prescott, J.M., and Ginkel, M.V. (1987). The septoria diseases
  of wheat : concepts and methods of disease management (CIMMYT).
- Fitt, B.D.L., Brun, H., Barbetti, M.J., and Rimmer, S.R. (2006). World-wide importance
  of phoma stem canker (*Leptosphaeria maculans* and *L. biglobosa*) on oilseed rape
  (*Brassica napus*). Eur. J. Plant Pathol. *114*, 3–15.

748	69.	Fesel,	Р.Н.,	and	Zuccaro,	A.	(2016).	Dissecting	endophytic	lifestyle	along	the
749		parasit	ism/mu	ıtuali	sm continu	ıum	in Arabic	lopsis. Curr.	Opin. Micro	biol. 32, 1	03–112	2.

- 750 70. Nicolaisen, M., Justesen, A.F., Knorr, K., Wang, J., and Pinnschmidt, H.O. (2014).
- Fungal communities in wheat grain show significant co-existence patterns among
  species. Fungal Ecol. 11, 145–153.
- 753 71. Larran, S., Perelló, A., Simón, M.R., and Moreno, V. (2007). The endophytic fungi
  754 from wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 23, 565–572.
- 755 72. Lakhesar, D.P.S., Backhouse, D., and Kristiansen, P. (2010). Nutritional constraints on
- displacement of *Fusarium pseudograminearum* from cereal straw by antagonists. Biol.
  Control *55*, 241–247.
- 758 73. Brader, G., Compant, S., Vescio, K., Mitter, B., Trognitz, F., Ma, L.-J., and Sessitsch,
  759 A. (2017). Ecology and genomic insights into plant-pathogenic and plant-nonpathogenic
  760 endophytes. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. *55*, 61–83.
- 761 74. Leplat, J., Friberg, H., Abid, M., and Steinberg, C. (2013). Survival of *Fusarium*762 *graminearum*, the causal agent of *Fusarium* head blight. A review. Agron. Sustain. Dev.
  763 33, 97–111.
- 764 75. Bockus, W.W., and Claassen, M.M. (1992). Effects of crop rotation and residue
  765 management practices on severity of tan spot of winter wheat. Plant Dis. *76*, 633–636.
- 766 76. Van Bruggen, A.H.C., and Semenov, A.M. (2000). In search of biological indicators for
  767 soil health and disease suppression. Appl. Soil Ecol. *15*, 13–24.
- 768 77. Daverdin, G., Rouxel, T., Gout, L., Aubertot, J.-N., Fudal, I., Meyer, M., Parlange, F.,
  769 Carpezat, J., and Balesdent, M.-H. (2012). Genome structure and reproductive
  770 behaviour influence the evolutionary potential of a fungal phytopathogen. PLoS Pathog.
  771 8, e1003020.

112	/8.	Kema, G.H.J., Mirzadi Gohari, A., Aouini, L., Gibriel, H.A.Y., Ware, S.B., van den
773		Bosch, F., Manning-Smith, R., Alonso-Chavez, V., Helps, J., Ben M'Barek, S., et al.
774		(2018). Stress and sexual reproduction affect the dynamics of the wheat pathogen
775		effector AvrStb6 and strobilurin resistance. Nat. Genet. 50, 375–380.
776	79.	Dugan, F.M., Lupien, S.L., Hernandez-Bello, M., Peever, T.L., and Chen, W. (2005).
777		Fungi resident in chickpea debris and their suppression of growth and reproduction of
778		Didymella rabiei under laboratory conditions. J. Phytopathol. 153, 431-439.
779	80.	Hue, A.G., Voldeng, H.D., Savard, M.E., Fedak, G., Tian, X., and Hsiang, T. (2009).
780		Biological control of fusarium head blight of wheat with Clonostachys rosea strain
781		ACM941. Can. J. Plant Pathol. 31, 169–179.
782	81.	Luongo, L., Galli, M., Corazza, L., Meekes, E., Haas, L.D., Van Der Plas, C.L., and
783		Köhl, J. (2005). Potential of fungal antagonists for biocontrol of Fusarium spp. in wheat
784		and maize through competition in crop debris. Biocontrol Sci. Technol. 15, 229–242.
785	82.	Li, B.C., and Sutton, J.C. (1995). Evaluation of leaf-associated microorganisms for
786		biocontrol of tan spot in wheat foliage. Fitopatol. Bras. 20, 545-552.
787	83.	Silby, M.W., Winstanley, C., Godfrey, S.A.C., Levy, S.B., and Jackson, R.W. (2011).
788		Pseudomonas genomes: diverse and adaptable. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 35, 652-680.
789		
790	Fig	ure and table captions
791		

**Figure 1** - Experimental layout of the experiment. (**A**) Plots (WWW, WOW and OWO) used during the two cropping seasons of the experiment at the INRA Grignon experimental station (Yvelines, France). WWW: plot cropped with winter wheat since 2007. WOW and OWO: plots cropped with a wheat-oilseed rape rotation since 2014. Wheat straw and oilseed rape debris were chopped at harvest and a large portion of residue was then left on the surface. The

dashed line indicates the sampling transect. (B) Oilseed rape residues in a plot cropped with
wheat (OWO or WOW). (C) Wheat residues in a plot cropped with oilseed rape (WOW or
OWO). (D) Wheat residues in the wheat monoculture crop (WWW).

800 Figure 2 - Fungal (A) and bacterial (B) diversity in plants (July) and residues (October; December; February; May), as assessed with the Shannon index, according to sampling 801 period, the crop within a rotation (oilseed rape in WOW and OWO; wheat in WWW; wheat in 802 WOW and OWO) and the cropping season (2015-2016; 2016-2017). Each box represents the 803 distribution of Shannon index for five sampling points. Kruskal-Wallis tests were performed 804 for each "crop within a rotation \* cropping season" combination (*p*-values are given under 805 806 each graph). Wilcoxon tests between sampling periods were performed when the Kruskal-Wallis test revealed significant differences. Samples not sharing letters are significantly 807 different. 808

**Figure 3** - Structure of the fungal (**A**) and bacterial (**B**) communities present in oilseed rape and wheat residues, according to compositional dissimilarity (Bray-Curtis dissimilarity index), after multidimensional scaling (MDS). The two MDS were performed on the overall dataset and faceted according to the sampling period. Each point represents one sample corresponding to a cropping season (shape: 2015-2016; 2016-2017; 2017-2018) and crop within a rotation (colour: oilseed rape in rotation, i.e. in WOW and OWO; wheat monoculture, i.e. in WWW; wheat in rotation, i.e. in WOW and OWO).

Figure 4 – Distribution of the 60 most prevalent fungal genera detected in wheat residues in
the five samples for each sampling date. Unclassified genera were removed from the
visualisation.

Figure 5 – Distribution of the 60 most prevalent bacterial genera detected in wheat residues in
the five samples for each sampling date. Unclassified genera were removed from the
visualisation.

Table 1 - Results of the ANOVA performed to assess the effects of plant (wheat; oilseed rape),
cropping season (2015-2016; 2016-2017), sampling period (July, October; December;
February; May) and sampling plot on the Shannon index of the fungal and bacterial
communities present in oilseed rape and wheat residues from the plots in rotation (OWO;
WOW). The effect of the cropping system (monoculture; rotation) was estimated separately
with a second ANOVA performed on the wheat residue samples dataset only (wheat in
monoculture, i.e. in WWW; wheat in rotation, i.e. in WOW and in OWO).

Table 2 - Results of the PERMANOVA performed to assess the effects of plant (wheat: 829 830 oilseed rape), cropping season (2015-2016; 2016-2017), sampling period (July; October; December; February; May) and sampling plot on the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity index of the 831 832 fungal and bacterial communities present in oilseed rape and wheat residues from the plots in 833 rotation (OWO; WOW). The effect of the cropping system (monoculture; rotation) was estimated separately with a second PERMANOVA performed on the wheat residue samples 834 data set only (wheat in monoculture, i.e. in WWW; wheat in rotation, i.e. in WOW and in 835 836 OWO). PERMANOVAs were performed using the adonis2 function with "margin" option.

## 837 Additional files

838

## 839 List of supplementary figures:


- 840 Figure S1 Bacterial diversity in plants (July) and residues (October, December, February,
- 841 May), as assessed with the Faith's Phylogenetic Diversity index (PD).
- **Figure S2** Structure of the bacterial communities present in oilseed rape and wheat residues
- visualized by incorporating phylogenetic distances using the UniFrac distance matrix.
- **Figure S3** Distribution of the most prevalent fungal genera detected in wheat residues.
- **Figure S4** Distribution of the most prevalent fungal genera detected in oilseed rape residues.
- **Figure S5** Distribution of the most prevalent bacterial genera detected in wheat residues.
- Figure S6 Distribution of the most prevalent bacterial genera detected in oilseed rape
  residues.
- Figure S7 Seasonal shift in the relative abundance of a selection of fungal and bacterialgenera present on wheat and oilseed rape residues.


851

## 852 List of supplementary tables:

- **Table S1** Soil texture of the three plots.
- 854 Table S2 Sampling dates of wheat and oilseed rape plants (July) and residues (October,
- 855 December, February and May) for each cropping season.
- **Table S3** Total number of reads and percentage (in brackets) remaining after ASV filtering.
- Table S4 Summary of meteorological data (temperature, rainfall) for the INRA Grignon
  experimental station (Yvelines, France).
- **Table S5** Plant effect (wheat vs. oilseed rape) on community dispersion.
- **Table S6** Decomposition of dissimilarity due to temporal changes in fungal (F) and bacterial
- 861 (B) community.

					wow	
A					Crop residues	Сгор
				2015-2016	<u>W</u> heat	Oilseed Rape (Cv. Alpaga)
				2016-2017	<u>O</u> ilseed Rape	Wheat (Cv. Soissons)
				July 2017	<u>W</u> heat	
A CONTRACT OF		=				
	www			Y		
	Crop residues	Crop			owo	
2015-2016	<u>W</u> heat	Wheat (Cv. Soissons) Wheat		Crop residues	<b>Crop</b> Wheat	
2016-2017	<u>W</u> heat	Wheat (Cv. Soissons)		2015-2016	<u>O</u> ilseed Rape	(Cv. Soissons)
July 2017	<u>W</u> heat		1 1 2 12	2016-2017	<u>W</u> heat	Oilseed Rape (Cv. Alpaga)
				July 2017	<u>O</u> ilseed Rape	
21/10/2007 - 4	5 Google earth / I 48°51'N, 1°58'E	DigitalGlobe / Ae	lata International Surveys			
B				D		






				Whe	eat (r	non				nde		<u>,-D</u>	<u>r - INC</u>				atior			ense			Oi	lsee	d ra	pe (r	otat	ion)		
	2	015	-201	6		20	16-	2017		2018	2	015·	-201	6		20	)16-2	2017		2018	2	015-	-201	6		20	16-1	2017		2018
	0	D	F	м			r –	F	М				F	м	-	0		F	М	J	0	D	F	м		0		F	М	
Alternaria ·			F	IVI	J	0	D	F	IVI	J	0		F	IVI	J	0	D	Г	IVI	J			F	IVI	J	0	D		IVI	J
Articulospora -																								11						
Calyptella Chaetomium								П								h														
· Cistella · Cladorrhinum		h	١.	١.							щ				4															
Cladosporium ·																													. L	
Clonostachys · Coprinellus ·																									h					
Coprinopsis · Cryptococcus ·																								1	L.					
Cystofilobasidium ·																								Т						
Dactylella · Dendryphion ·		h	Р																											
Efibulobasidium				Ľ										1																
Filobasidium · Fusarium ·																	1	r.												
· Gibberella · Hydropisphaera									ų.									-									ч			
Lachnella			U.	Ľ.										00		IJ				I 1	_			Ľ.						
Leptosphaeria · Monographella ·																														
Monosporascus · Neosetophoma ·																														
Nigrospora																														
Oculimacula · Paramicrothyrium ·																														
Paraphaeosphaeria - Parastagonospora -				Ι.																										
Periconia ·			Ľ,									Г		(D	П			П	П											
· Peziza · Phaeosphaeria																					н									
Phialophora				þI																										
Plenodomus · Psathyrella ·		Ľ												1.1																
Pseudocoleophoma · Pseudorobillarda ·			H.	Н										P.		Ľ														
Psilocybe ·		I.	D	þ.									Ι.																	
Pterula · Pyrenochaetopsis ·			Ľ.				Ľ							t i																
Pyrenopeziza · Rodentomyces ·																														
Sarocladium ·															U.							Ľ.		Ľ,			Π			1
Schizothecium · Scytalidium ·			Ŀ																								П			
Septoriella · Sigarispora ·																														
Stachybotrys			Γ.																٦,										T	
Stagonospora - Subulicystidium -																								h						
Symmetrospora -																									Ш					
Tetracladium Thanatephorus												Ľ																		
• Thelebolus • Torula			١.																											
Trichopeziza ·			L											1.																
Trichopezizella Vagicola																								1						
· Verticillium - Zymoseptoria																														
														<u> </u>					L			IL				J	L	I		
	Re	ads n	iumbe	er					J:, O:	July Octol	ber	F	: Feh	ruary																
		540	01	02	13107	70					mber		: Mav																	

512 8192 131072

D : December

M : May



**Table 1** - Results of the ANOVA performed to assess the effects of plant (wheat; oilseed rape), cropping season (2015-2016; 2016-2017), sampling period (July, October; December; February; May) and sampling plot on the Shannon index of the fungal and bacterial communities present in oilseed rape and wheat residues from the plots in rotation (OWO; WOW). The effect of the cropping system (monoculture; rotation) was estimated separately with a second ANOVA performed on the wheat residue samples dataset only (wheat in monoculture, i.e. in WWW; wheat in rotation, i.e. in WOW and in OWO).

		Fu	ngi	Bac	cteria
	Tested factors	F value	<i>p</i> -value	F value	<i>p</i> -value
Plots in rotation	Plant	22.42	< 0.001	0.33	0.567
	Cropping season	14.19	< 0.001	35.28	< 0.001
	Sampling period	14.25	< 0.001	37.73	< 0.001
	Sampling plot	31.06	< 0.001	61.57	< 0.001
	Cropping system	46.42	< 0.001	23.66	< 0.001
Wheat residues	Cropping season	51.43	< 0.001	33.34	< 0.001
	Sampling period	6.72	< 0.001	13.89	< 0.001

**Table 2** - Results of the PERMANOVA performed to assess the effects of plant (wheat; oilseed rape), cropping season (2015-2016; 2016-2017), sampling period (July; October; December; February; May) and sampling plot on the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity index of the fungal and bacterial communities present in oilseed rape and wheat residues from the plots in rotation (OWO; WOW). The effect of the cropping system (monoculture; rotation) was estimated separately with a second PERMANOVA performed on the wheat residue samples data set only (wheat in monoculture, i.e. in WWW; wheat in rotation, i.e. in WOW and in OWO). PERMANOVAs were performed using the adonis2 function with "margin" option.

		Fungi		Bacteria	1
Data set	Factors	R²	<i>p</i> -value	R²	<i>p</i> -value
	Plant	0.384	< 0.001	0.266	< 0.001
Distain notation	Cropping season	0.131	< 0.001	0.118	< 0.001
Plots in rotation	Sampling period	0.068	< 0.001	0.149	< 0.001
	Sampling plot	0.100	< 0.001	0.053	< 0.001
	Cropping system	0.105	< 0.001	0.066	< 0.001
Wheat residues	Cropping season	0.292	< 0.001	0.248	< 0.001
	Sampling period	0.123	< 0.001	0.204	< 0.001

Figure S1 - Bacterial diversity in plants (July) and residues (October, December, February, May), as assessed with the Faith's Phylogenetic Diversity index (PD), according to sampling period, the crop within a rotation (oilseed rape in OWO or WOW, wheat in WWW, wheat in WOW or OWO) and the cropping season (2015-2016, 2016-2017). Each box represents the distribution of PD for five sampling points. Kruskal-Wallis tests were performed for each "crop within a rotation \* cropping season" combination (p-values are given under each graph). Wilcoxon tests between sampling periods were performed when the Kruskal-Wallis test revealed significant differences. Samples not sharing letters are significantly different.




Figure S2 - Structure of the bacterial communities present in oilseed rape and wheat residues visualized by incorporating phylogenetic distances using the UniFrac distance matrix. MDS were performed on the overall dataset and faceted according to the sampling period. Each point represents one sample corresponding to a cropping season (shape: 2015-2016; 2016-2017; 2017-2018) and crop within a rotation (colour: oilseed rape in rotation, i.e. in WOW and OWO; wheat monoculture, i.e. in WWW; wheat in rotation, i.e. in WOW and OWO).







Figure S3 - Distribution of the most prevalent fungal genera detected in wheat residues. (A) Cladogram of the most prevalent genera. Genera were filtered according to their occurrence (at least three times in the five sampling points for each "crop within a rotation \* cropping season \* sampling period" combination). Unclassified genera were removed from the tree. (B) Number of ASV of each genus. (C) Occurrence of each ASV in the 100 samples of wheat residues. (D) Percentage of reads for each genus. (E) Distribution of each genus in the five samples per date (increasing number of reads shown on a scale running from yellow to red).



**Figure S4** - Distribution of the most prevalent fungal genera detected in oilseed rape residues. (A) Cladogram of the most prevalent genera. Genera were filtered according to their occurrence (at least three times in the five sampling points for each "crop within a rotation \* cropping season \* sampling period") combination. Unclassified genera were removed from the tree. (B) Number of ASV for each genus. (C) Occurrence of each ASV in the 50 samples of oilseed rape residues. (D) Percentage of reads for each genus. (E) Distribution of each genus in the five samples per date (increasing number of reads shown on a scale from yellow to red).




**Figure S5** - Distribution of the most prevalent bacterial genera detected in wheat residues. (A) Cladogram of the most prevalent genera. Genera were filtered according to their occurrence (at least three times in five sampling points for each "crop within rotation \* cropping season \* sampling period" combination). Unclassified genera were removed from the tree. (B) Number of ASV for each genus. (C) Occurrence of each ASV in the 100 samples of wheat residues. (D) Number of reads for each genus. (E) Distribution of each genus in the five samples per date (increasing numbers of reads on a scale running from yellow to red). Due to the number of genera, the plot is separated in [1] proteo- and [2] other bacteria.




1

348166



**Figure S6** - Distribution of the most prevalent bacterial genera detected in oilseed rape residues. (A) Cladogram of most prevalent genera. Genera were filtered according to their occurrence (at least three times in five sampling points for each "crop within rotation \* cropping season \* sampling period" combination). Unclassified genera were removed from the tree. (B) Number of ASV for each genus. (C) Occurrence of each ASV in the 49 samples of oilseed rape residues. (D) Number of reads for each genus. (E) Distribution of each genus in the five samples per date (increasing number of reads shown on a scale running from yellow to red). Due to the number of genera, the plot is separated in [1] proteo- and [2] other bacteria.










Figure S7 - Seasonal shift in the relative abundance of a selection of fungal (A) and bacterial (B) genera present on wheat and oilseed rape residues according to the system (wheat monoculture [1], wheat in rotation [2], oilseed rape in rotation [3]) and the cropping season (2015-2016, 2016-2017). Due to the plant impact (wheat and oilseed rape) in the fungal community, the fungal genera used here as examples are different for the two plants, unlike the case of the bacterial community. Each box represents the distribution of genera relative abundance for the five sampling points. Samples not sharing letters are significantly different (Wilcoxon tests between sampling periods).











	WWW, OWO	WOW	
Clay (%)	27.4	18.2	
Silt (%)	53.2	61.2	
Sand (%)	18.8	20.4	

Table S1 - Soil texture of the three plots (WWW, OWO and WOW).

Cropping season	July	October	December	February	May
2015-2016	/	23.10.2015	04.12.2015	26.02.2016	19.05.2016
2016-2017	11.07.2016	17.10.2016	05.12.2016	06.02.2016	15.05.2017
2017-2018	07.07.2017	/	/	/	/

**Table S2** - Sampling dates of wheat and oilseed rape plants (July) and residues (October, December, February, and May) for each cropping season.

	After DADA2	After replicate suppression	After taxon suppression
Bacterial reads	14,287,970	13,509,461 (94.6%)	13,228,976 (92.6%)
Fungal reads	9,898,487	9,753,628 (98.5%)	9,628,995 (97.3%)
Bacterial haplotypes	19,235	2,905	2,726
Fungal haplotypes	3,587	1,241	1,189

Table S3 - Total number of reads and percentage (in brackets) remaining after ASV filtering.

**Table S4** - Summary of meteorological data (temperature, rainfall) for the INRA Grignon experimental station (Yvelines, France), obtained from the CLIMATIK INRA database (<u>https://intranet.inra.fr/climatik\_v2/</u>) from July 1<sup>st</sup> to May 31<sup>st</sup> of the following year, for the cropping seasons 2015-2016 and 2016-2017.

	10-day mean ter	mperature (°C)	10-day cumulat	10-day cumulative rainfall (mm)		
	2015-2016	2016-2017	2015-2016	2016-2017		
Mean	11.2	10.8	22.6	12.3		
Minimum	2.0	0.9	0	0		
Maximum	21.8	21.4	131	55		

	Fungi				Bacteria			
	All	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017	All	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017
July	0.372	/	0.611	0.755	0.423	/	0.540	0.696
October	0.495	0.612	0.755	/	0.367	0.520	0.659	/
December	0.486	0.688	0.691	/	0.370	0.573	0.641	/
February	0.429	0.541	0.651	/	0.409	0.643	0.611	/
May	0.273	0.337	0.401	/	0.315	0.435	0.508	/

**Table S5** - Plant effect (wheat vs. oilseed rape) on community dispersion. This effect was tested by applying the adonis function of the vegan R package to the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity index (PERMANOVA). *P*-values (not shown) were all < 0.02.

Crop within a rotation	Season	Sampling period	Total diss	imilarity	Turnover		Nestedness		
		compared	F	В	F	В	F	В	
Oilseed rape	2015-2016	Oct Dec.	0.622	0.318	0.618	0.219	0.005	0.099	
Oilseed rape	2015-2016	Dec Feb.	0.650	0.321	0.577	0.290	0.073	0.031	
Oilseed rape	2015-2016	Feb May	0.591	0.390	0.565	0.202	0.027	0.188	
Oilseed rape	2016-2017	Jul Oct.	0.652	0.554	0.648	0.250	0.004	0.304	
Oilseed rape	2016-2017	Oct Dec.	0.620	0.353	0.549	0.217	0.071	0.136	
Oilseed rape	2016-2017	Dec Feb.	0.585	0.353	0.516	0.276	0.068	0.077	
Oilseed rape	2016-2017	Feb May	0.529	0.384	0.529	0.342	0.000	0.042	
Wheat in monoculture	2015-2016	Oct Dec.	0.427	0.330	0.425	0.142	0.002	0.188	
Wheat in monoculture	2015-2016	Dec Feb.	0.444	0.294	0.416	0.190	0.028	0.104	
Wheat in monoculture	2015-2016	Feb May	0.444	0.458	0.424	0.255	0.020	0.203	
Wheat in monoculture	2016-2017	Jul Oct.	0.438	0.346	0.424	0.300	0.014	0.046	
Wheat in monoculture	2016-2017	Oct Dec.	0.463	0.330	0.257	0.113	0.207	0.217	
Wheat in monoculture	2016-2017	Dec Feb.	0.386	0.248	0.311	0.200	0.075	0.048	
Wheat in monoculture	2016-2017	Feb May	0.344	0.332	0.341	0.213	0.004	0.120	
Wheat in rotation	2015-2016	Oct Dec.	0.425	0.317	0.409	0.157	0.016	0.160	
Wheat in rotation	2015-2016	Dec Feb.	0.472	0.266	0.370	0.185	0.102	0.081	
Wheat in rotation	2015-2016	Feb May	0.505	0.347	0.432	0.311	0.073	0.035	
Wheat in rotation	2016-2017	Jul Oct.	0.498	0.313	0.427	0.272	0.071	0.041	
Wheat in rotation	2016-2017	Oct Dec.	0.541	0.287	0.292	0.214	0.249	0.073	
Wheat in rotation	2016-2017	Dec Feb.	0.350	0.284	0.292	0.284	0.059	0.000	
Wheat in rotation	2016-2017	Feb May	0.424	0.334	0.329	0.287	0.095	0.047	
Mean			0.496	0.341	0.436	0.234	0.060	0.107	

**Table S6** - Decomposition of dissimilarity due to temporal changes in fungal (F) and bacterial (B) community composition. Total dissimilarity is broken down into turnover (replacement of ASV) and nestedness (gain or loss of ASV).