



HAL
open science

Tick-borne diseases in the Union of the Comoros are a hindrance to livestock development: circulation and associated risk factors

F. Boucher, Y. Moutroifi, B. Peba, M. Ali, Y. Moindjie, A-S Ruget, S. Abdouroihamane, A. Madi Kassim, M. Soulé, O. Charafouddine, et al.

► To cite this version:

F. Boucher, Y. Moutroifi, B. Peba, M. Ali, Y. Moindjie, et al.. Tick-borne diseases in the Union of the Comoros are a hindrance to livestock development: circulation and associated risk factors. *Ticks and Tick-borne Diseases*, 2020, 11 (1), 9 p. 10.1016/j.ttbdis.2019.101283 . hal-02621985

HAL Id: hal-02621985

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02621985v1>

Submitted on 21 Dec 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1 Tick-borne diseases in the Union of the Comoros are a hindrance to livestock development: circulation
2 and associated risk factors

3

4 Boucher F.^{a,b,c}, Moutroifi Y.^c, Peba B.^d, Ali M.^c, Moindjie Y.^c, Ruget A-S.^c, Abdouroihmane S.^c,
5 Madi Kassim A.^c, Soulé M.^{c,§} Charafouddine O.^c, Cêtre-Sossah C.^{a,b,#}, Cardinale E.^{a,b,#*}

6

7 a. CIRAD, UMR ASTRE, Sainte Clotilde, La Réunion, France

8 b. ASTRE, Univ Montpellier (I-MUSE), CIRAD, INRA, Montpellier, France

9 c. Direction nationale de l'élevage, Direction nationale des stratégies agricoles et de l'élevage,
10 Vice-présidence en charge du ministère de l'agriculture, de la pêche, de l'environnement de
11 l'aménagement du territoire et de l'urbanisme, Mdé, Bambao, Union of the Comoros

12 d. Onderstepoort Veterinary institute, 100 Old Soutpan Road, Private Bag X5, 0110
13 Onderstepoort, South Africa

14

15 § Deceased

16 # These 2 authors co-supervised this work

17 *Corresponding author: CIRAD, UMR ASTRE, 2 rue Maxime Rivière, F-97490 Sainte Clotilde,
18 eric.cardinale@cirad.fr (E. Cardinale)

19

20 Running head: Tick-borne diseases in the Union of the Comoros

21 Keywords: seroprevalence, anaplasmosis, babesiosis, heartwater, East Coast fever, risk factors, Union
22 of the Comoros

23

24 **Abstract**

25 Tick-borne diseases (TBD) occur in many temperate countries and are economically important in most
26 tropical and subtropical areas, affecting dairy and beef cattle, as well as small ruminants. Four major

27 tick-borne diseases have been detected in eastern and southern Africa: East Coast fever (ECF) caused
28 by *Theileria parva*, Theiler 1904, anaplasmosis caused by either *Anaplasma marginale*, Theiler 1910,
29 *Anaplasma centrale*, Theiler 1911, and *Anaplasma ovis*, Bevan 1912, babesiosis caused by *Babesia*
30 *bovis*, Babes 1988 and *Babesia bigemina*, Smith & Kilborne 1893, and heartwater caused by *Ehrlichia*
31 *ruminantium* Cowdry 1925. A cross-sectional survey was undertaken to determine the antibody
32 prevalence of these TBDs and to identify the risk factors for TBD infections in the Union of the
33 Comoros. In 2016 and 2017, 903 individual animal serum samples were collected from 429 separate
34 farms, where the farmers answered individual questionnaires. The antibody prevalence of
35 anaplasmosis, babesiosis (*B. bigemina*) and heartwater was determined by enzyme-linked
36 immunosorbent assays (ELISA) and the antibody prevalence of ECF was assessed using an
37 immunofluorescence antibody test (IFAT). The relationship between TBD seropositivity and
38 livestock-related variables was assessed by multivariate analyses with standard logistic regression
39 models. The results showed that these four TBDs were present in the Union of the Comoros with a
40 global antibody prevalence of 15% (95% CI [12.7%; 17.3%]) for anaplasmosis, 9.2% (95% CI [6.5%,
41 11.9%]) for *B. bigemina* babesiosis, 5.3% (95% CI [3.2%, 7.4%]) for ECF and 4.6% (95% CI [3.2%,
42 6%]) for heartwater. We compared these findings with the abundance and distribution of several tick
43 species known to be TBD vectors and we found a significant correlation between *Rhipicephalus*
44 *appendiculatus* and ECF, and between *Amblyomma variegatum* and heartwater. We also found that
45 two major variables were significantly correlated with *B. bigemina* antibody prevalence (“island” and
46 “breeding area”), four variables were significantly correlated with anaplasmosis antibody
47 seroprevalence (“island”, “number of cattle per farmer”, “number of farmers per village” and
48 “breeding area”), two were significantly correlated with ECF antibody prevalence (“number of farmers
49 in village” and “presence of ticks”), and three were significantly correlated with heartwater (“island”,
50 “number of cattle per farmer” and “number of farmers in the village”). Our findings confirmed
51 livestock exposure to the four targeted TBDs of major concern for livestock development.
52 Consequently, raising farmers' awareness and setting up a period of quarantine should be considered a
53 priority.

55 **1. Introduction**

56 Among the tick-borne diseases (TBD) reported in the South-West Indian Ocean, including eastern and
57 southern Africa, East Coast fever (ECF) (caused by *Theileria parva*, Theiler 1904), anaplasmosis
58 (caused by *Anaplasma marginale*, Theiler 1910, *A. centrale*, Theiler 1911 or *A. ovis*, Bevan 1912),
59 bovine babesiosis (caused by *Babesia bovis*, Babes 1988 and *B. bigemina*, Smith & Kilborne 1893)
60 and heartwater (caused by *Ehrlichia ruminantium*, Cowdry 1925) are economically important diseases
61 affecting dairy and beef cattle, as well as goats and sheep, and they are directly linked to tick
62 abundance (Adjou Moumouni et al., 2015; Bram, 1975; Hove et al., 2018; Jongejan and Uilenberg,
63 2004; Kerario et al., 2017; Ringo et al., 2018; Worthington and Bigalke, 2001). The sovereign state of
64 the Union of the Comoros comprises three islands, Anjouan, Moheli and Grande Comore, located in
65 the South-West Indian Ocean at the northern end of the Mozambique Channel and lying north-west of
66 Madagascar. The country relies mostly on ruminant livestock production, which is the main source of
67 income for the state. In 2004, the livestock population was estimated at 64,000 cattle, 96,000 goats and
68 16,000 sheep (Saido, 2005). Each year, many live zebus are imported from Tanzania, mainly for the
69 traditional “*Grand Mariage*” celebrations (De Deken et al., 2007). The animals are imported with no
70 thorough quarantine and with limited veterinary controls. Transboundary and vector-borne diseases
71 are known to have a major impact on livestock production. For example, in Tanzania where these four
72 tick-borne diseases occur, economic losses were estimated at 364 million US dollars (Kivaria, 2006).
73 In 1989, Du Plessis et al. reported the isolation of *E. ruminantium* from *Amblyomma variegatum* ticks
74 collected on the islands of the Union of the Comoros. In 2002, there was a huge outbreak of ECF,
75 leading to a 10% loss of livestock. Its origin was legal cattle imports from Tanzania (De Deken et al.,
76 2007; Norval et al., 1992). Although the national epidemiological surveillance network set up by the
77 national veterinary services suspected tick-borne diseases, there was no laboratory diagnosis of
78 heartwater, bovine babesiosis, or anaplasmosis, the only investigation being a molecular biology
79 diagnosis for ECF in 2003 (De Deken et al., 2007). Clinical signs common to these four tick-borne
80 diseases are regularly observed: fever, inappetence and mortality, with a specific pattern of nervous
81 signs for heartwater, hemoglobinuria and anemia for bovine babesiosis, and enlarged lymph nodes for
82 ECF. Three species of ticks have been reported in the Union of the Comoros, namely *A. variegatum*

83 known to be a biological vector of heartwater, along with *Rhipicephalus microplus* associated with
84 bovine babesiosis and anaplasmosis, and *Rhipicephalus appendiculatus* associated with ECF
85 (Worthington and Bigalke, 2001; Yssouf et al., 2011).

86 To clarify the TBD epidemiological situation in the Union of the Comoros, a cross-sectional study was
87 conducted on the indigenous domesticated ruminant population, focusing on the acquisition of specific
88 antibodies and on tick distribution, combined with an analysis of risk factors to identify variables that
89 might be linked to TBD infections.

90 **2. Materials and methods**

91 *2.1. Livestock cross-sectional study, design and sampling*

92 The study was conducted from April 2016 to July 2017 on the three islands, Grande Comore, Anjouan
93 and Moheli. The sampling size was calculated using an expected prevalence of 20% and a relative
94 precision of 20%. The inflation coefficient and intra-class coefficient were used applying the method
95 developed by Toma and collaborators (2001). The total number of samples was distributed across the
96 three islands, taking into account the number of animals per island based on the 2004 census (Saïdo,
97 2005). The study was designed as follows: three animals per farm with an overall objective of 903
98 samples (n=458 cattle, n=420 goats, n=25 sheep) (Fig. 1). The difference between the expected
99 number of samples and the actual number of samples was due to field constraints (Table 1). Five ml of
100 whole blood was collected from the jugular vein of the animals in Vacutainer tubes (Becton
101 Dickinson, USA). Samples were left to clot at 15°C and the serum was separated from whole blood by
102 centrifugation, then stored at -20°C. The research protocol was implemented with the approval of the
103 Vice-Presidency of Agriculture, Fisheries and Environment of the Union of the Comoros. Farmers in
104 each village gave their verbal consent to being included in the study. No personal data were collected,
105 only information concerning livestock practices was requested.

106 2.2. Risk factor analysis

107 A questionnaire was completed during an interview with the farmers (n=429). The data collected
108 concerned farm characteristics, locations, breeding practices, the existence of a water point nearby,
109 purchasing and selling practices, knowledge of the different biological vectors (flies, ticks,
110 mosquitoes) present on their animals in 2016-2017, clinical signs specific to the four TBDs (ECF,
111 heartwater, babesiosis, anaplasmosis), TBD incidence and mortality, the use and frequency of
112 treatments against ectoparasites (frequency, type of molecule and treatment) and insects. The
113 questionnaire was pre-tested on five breeders and distributed in the local language by a team of two
114 people trained for the purpose. The final questionnaire had 46 questions, of which 78% were closed.

115 2.3. Serological assays for the detection of specific TBD antibodies

116 Only bovine samples (n= 457) were used to test for antibodies against bovine-specific diseases, *B.*
117 *bigemina* babesiosis and ECF. All the ruminant samples were tested for anaplasmosis and heartwater
118 (n=902). *Anaplasma* spp-specific antibodies were tested using the commercial *Anaplasma* antibody
119 test kit, cELISA v2 (VMRD, Pullman, Washington, USA) based on the major surface protein 5 (MSP
120 5) with a sensitivity of 96% and a specificity of 95% (Torioni de Echaide et al., 1998). The percentage
121 of inhibition was calculated for each sample as follows: Value (%) = $100 \times [1 - (\text{sample OD} / \text{negative}$
122 control OD)] according to the manufacturer's recommendations. Test samples with < 30% inhibition
123 were considered negative and $\geq 30\%$ were considered positive. Specific anti-*B. bigemina* antibodies
124 were tested in serum samples using the commercial SVANOVIR® *B. bigemina*-Ab ELISA kit
125 (Biosellal, Lyon, France), with a sensitivity of 96% and a specificity 97.5%, (Tebele, 1996). Positivity
126 (percentage) was calculated for each sample as follows: Value (%) = $(\text{sample OD} / \text{positive control OD})$
127 $\times 100$. Test samples with < 25% inhibition were considered negative, 26-39% doubtful and $\geq 40\%$
128 were considered positive. *Babesia bovis* antibodies could not be tested due to the lack of a specific and
129 reliable commercial kit.

130 Specific anti-*T. parva* antibodies were tested in serum samples using an indirect fluorescent antibody
131 test (IFAT) based on *T. parva* piroplasm prepared by ARC, Onderstepoort Veterinary Institute (OVI),

132 South Africa, using positive and negative control sera. A titer > 1/80 was considered positive
133 (Burrige and Kimber, 1972). The sensitivity and specificity of the test were 95.24% and 99%,
134 respectively (ARC-OVI, 2018). Specific anti-*E. ruminantium* antibodies were tested in serum samples
135 using an indirect ELISA based on the MAP-1B antigen, with a sensitivity varying between 91.6% to
136 95.4% and a specificity of 99.4% (Mondry et al., 1998; van Vliet et al., 1995). The amount of serum
137 available was not enough for some of the animals and therefore restricted the number of pathogens
138 tests. *Anaplasma* spp., *B. bigemina*, and *T. parva* tests were run as a priority, which explains the
139 difference in the total number of samples analyzed for each of the pathogens.

140 *2.4. Tick sampling, identification, distribution*

141 Ticks were collected from the three islands and identified in 2010 (Yssouf et al. (2011)). Figure 1
142 shows tick sampling sites using QGIS © 2.6 software (Sherman et al., 2017).

143 *2.5. Statistical analysis*

144 Statistical analyses were performed with R studio (R studio team, 2015). A Spearman test was used to
145 estimate the correlation between antibody prevalence and tick abundance. $P < 0.05$ was considered
146 statistically significant. The 95% confidence interval was also calculated.

147 A risk factor analysis, based on the individual questionnaires, was undertaken in two steps. First, a
148 univariate analysis was carried out between the presence of TBD in livestock (the outcome variable)
149 and the explanatory variables. Variables that were significantly associated with the presence of TBD
150 (χ^2 test; $p < 0.25$) were kept to be tested for inter-correlation; if a strong correlation between variables
151 was observed ($p < 0.05$), only the most explanatory variable related to the outcome variable was kept.
152 The second stage involved a logistic multiple-regression model. The contribution of each factor to the
153 model was tested with a likelihood-ratio χ^2 using a backward stepwise procedure. At the same time,
154 the best parsimonious models were compared to the full model using the Akaike information criterion
155 (Akaike, 1974). The validity and goodness-of-fit of the final model were assessed using Pearson's χ^2

156 test and measurement of residual deviance (pseudo-R²). The odds ratio (OR) and the 95% confidence
157 interval (CI) were calculated.

158 3. Results

159 3.1. *Anaplasma* spp., *B. bigemina*, *T. parva* and *E. ruminantium*, antibody prevalence

160 In all, 903 sera (458 bovine sera and 445 goat and sheep sera) were tested to determine the overall
161 anaplasmosis antibody prevalence in the Union of the Comoros, which was estimated at 15% (95% CI
162 [12.7%; 17.3%]) all species combined, at 15.5% (95% CI [12.2%; 18.8%]) for cattle, and at 13%
163 (95%CI [9.9%; 16.1%]) for goats and sheep. Specific anti-*B. bigemina* antibody prevalence was
164 estimated at 9.21% (95% CI [6.5%; 11.7%]). Both infections are present on all three islands, although
165 Grande Comore and Anjouan appear to be more infected by *Anaplasma* spp. than Moheli, and Grande
166 Comore and Moheli are more infected by *B. bigemina* than Anjouan (Table 2). Both infections are
167 transmitted by the same tick species, *R. microplus*, but anaplasmosis can affect cattle, sheep and goats
168 while babesiosis, caused by *B. bigemina*, affects cattle only. *R. microplus* was collected from 16 of the
169 17 study sites (Fig. 2).

170 A generally low heartwater antibody prevalence of 4.6% (95% CI [3.2%; 6%]) was detected in the
171 Union of the Comoros, with the highest antibody prevalence of 7.4% (95% CI [4.9%; 9.9%]) in goats
172 and sheep versus 1.9% (95% CI [0.6%; 3.2%]) for cattle (Table 2). The tick species *A. variegatum* was
173 broadly distributed in 15 of the 17 sites sampled, except on Anjouan, for which the lower level of *A.*
174 *variegatum* abundance was correlated with the lowest antibody level, 1.35% (Table 2, Fig. 2).

175 ECF antibody prevalence, at 5.3% (95% CI [3.2%; 7.4%]), was only detected on the island of Grande
176 Comore (Table 2). The tick species *R. appendiculatus* was very abundant and was found at seven of
177 the nine sites on Grande Comore (Fig. 2).

178 The abundance of *R. appendiculatus* and *A. variegatum* was positively correlated with the prevalence
179 of ECF (p=0.01) and heartwater (p=0.04) antibodies. The abundance of *R. microplus* was not

180 significantly correlated with either the prevalence of antibodies to *Anaplasma* spp. ($p=0.35$) or
181 antibodies to *B. bigemina* ($p=0.64$).

182 3.2. Analysis of risk factors

183 In all, five of the 17 variables tested in the screening analysis were significantly correlated with TBD
184 infections. Table 3 summarizes the three variables identified in association with the occurrence of *B.*
185 *bigemina* antibodies in the Union of the Comoros, two of which were significantly associated. The
186 logistic multiple-regression model indicated that the risk of *B. bigemina* babesiosis decreased when the
187 farm was located on the island of Anjouan and when animals grazed near the forest. Table 4
188 summarizes the five variables identified in association with the risk of anaplasmosis in the Union of
189 the Comoros, four of which were significantly associated. The logistic multiple-regression model
190 indicated that the risk increased when there were a large number of cattle per farmer and a large
191 number of farmers per village. The risk decreased when farms were located on Moheli and Anjouan
192 and when animals grazed near the forest. Table 5 summarizes the three variables identified in
193 association with ECF in the Union of the Comoros, two of which were significantly associated. The
194 risk of ECF infection was lower when there were a large number of farmers per village, whereas the
195 risk of ECF infection increased with an increase in the presence of ticks, and when animals were not
196 imported. Table 6 summarizes the three variables identified and significantly associated with
197 heartwater in the Union of the Comoros. The risk of heartwater infection decreased when the farmers
198 were located on Anjouan and when there were a large number of cattle per farmer. The risk increased
199 when there were a large number of farmers per village.

200 4. Discussion

201 This was the first study to investigate the prevalence of TBD antibodies and the risk factors associated
202 with TBD infection in the Union of the Comoros. Our findings confirmed livestock exposure to the
203 four targeted TBDs of major concern for livestock development, namely anaplasmosis, *B. bigemina*
204 babesiosis, heartwater and ECF, by assessing specific antibody prevalence. Apart from anaplasmosis,
205 babesiosis and heartwater were regularly suspected by the veterinary services, although no laboratory

206 confirmation has earlier been made. ECF had already been reported in 2002 on the island of Grande
207 Comore (De Deken et al., 2007). The four species of ticks known to be biological vectors of these
208 TBDs were reported in 2010, with *R. microplus* and *A. variegatum* present on all three islands, and *R.*
209 *appendiculatus* on the island of Grande Comore (Yssouf et al. 2011). The fact that *R. appendiculatus*
210 was only found on Grande Comore could be explained by the movements of animals between the
211 Union of the Comoros and neighboring African countries. Until 2000, the Union of the Comoros
212 imported ruminants from Madagascar to all three islands. The presence of *A. variegatum* and *R.*
213 *microplus* had been reported in Madagascar, suggesting that this import route was the most likely
214 source of introduction for the two vectors in the Union of the Comoros (Stachurski et al., 2013;
215 Uilenberg et al., 1979; Yssouf et al. 2011). A free trade bill was signed in 2000, after which the Union
216 of the Comoros stopped imports from Madagascar and started importing legally from Tanzania to
217 Grande Comore, the only island where *R. appendiculatus* is present. This last tick vector species was
218 consequently introduced into the Union of the Comoros in 2002. Indeed, the main movement of
219 animals is from the islands of Moheli and Anjouan to Grande Comore for traditional ceremonies. (De
220 Deken et al., 2007; Lynen et al., 2007; Stachurski et al., 2013).

221 A correlation was found for two diseases, heartwater and ECF, where antibody prevalence could be
222 compared to the distribution of tick species (Yssouf et al., 2011). However, given the time lapse
223 between the two studies an update is needed to confirm this assertion for the other diseases.

224 Highly specific ELISA kits showed that the level of specific antibodies in livestock mounted against
225 *Anaplasma* spp. (15 %) and *B. bigemina* (9.2%) was higher than against *E. ruminantium* (4.6%) and *T.*
226 *parva* (5.3%). Specific *B. bovis* antibodies could not be tested due to a lack of reliable commercial
227 kits. Given the high sensitivity and specificity of the *B. bigemina* ELISA used in this study, cross-
228 reactivity in the detection of *B. bovis* versus *B. bigemina* was not likely to occur. These results appear
229 consistent, since *R. microplus* is the tick species most often found on local animals in the Union of the
230 Comoros, i.e. 1311 ticks, accounting for 77% of the 2010 collection, versus 253 *A. variegatum* and
231 126 *R. appendiculatus* (Yssouf et al., 2011). *R. microplus* is known to be an invasive tick species

232 easily able to replace indigenous ticks, as reported in South Africa for another tick species,
233 *Rhipicephalus decoloratus* (Chevillon et al., 2007; Madder et al., 2011; Nyangiwe et al., 2013).

234 Although anaplasmosis and *B. bigemina* babesiosis are the most prevalent diseases in the Union of the
235 Comoros, and particularly on the island of Grande Comore, farmers consider ECF to be the disease
236 that most affects the development of their livestock (Boucher et al., 2018). Farmers have better
237 knowledge of ECF due to the considerable losses that occurred in 2004 following its introduction into
238 the country (De Deken et al., 2007). ECF antibodies were only detected in five regions of Grande
239 Comore. When these findings were compared with those of a previous TBD study taking a
240 participatory epidemiology approach, there was concordance in the five regions that tested positive for
241 specific ECF antibodies. Indeed, ECF incidence was estimated at more than 10% in the participatory
242 study. However, in other regions, some farmers reported ECF-specific clinical signs, but *T. parva*
243 seroprevalence was nil. Based on the serology findings, those regions were considered ECF-free
244 (Boucher et al., 2018). Overall, the results of the participatory TBD epidemiology studies tallied with
245 the serological data.

246 The levels of specific antibodies for the four TBDs were much lower than those observed in
247 neighboring East African countries, including Tanzania, from where most, if not all, animals were
248 imported. *A. marginale* and *B. bigemina* antibody prevalence in cattle ranged from 20% to 63% in
249 Tanzania, Kenya and Mozambique (Alfredo et al., 2005; Swai et al., 2005; Swai et al., 2007a;
250 Wesonga et al., 2017). ECF antibody prevalence ranged from 40% to 48% in Tanzania and Kenya
251 (Swai et al., 2007b; Wesonga et al., 2015), whereas heartwater seroprevalence was 50% for cattle and
252 66% for small ruminants in Tanzania (Swai et al., 2008, 2009). The diversity and the performance
253 (specificity, sensitivity) of the ELISA kits used in these studies, as well as the sampling design
254 (national versus regional in some cases), may be some of the factors explaining these differences.

255 The levels of antibodies specific to *Anaplasma* spp, *B. bigemina* and *E. ruminantium* were found to be
256 influenced by farm location. Antibody prevalence was found to be lowest on Anjouan, as was the
257 number of ticks recorded in 2010 (Yssouf et al., 2011), which may have been related to lower
258 humidity on that island. However, Grande Comore is the island most exposed to the occurrence of

259 several imports of zebus carrying their vectors from Tanzania on a yearly basis (De Deken et al., 2007;
260 DGE, 1993). Exposure to *Anaplasma* was found to be greater when farmers owned many cattle. The
261 probability of being exposed to ticks and of being infected was found to increase with an increase in
262 the number of cattle owned by a farmer. The opposite was found for heartwater, where a large number
263 of cattle appeared to result in less exposure to the pathogen *E. ruminantium*. This result might be
264 explained by the type of serological test that was used, the indirect MAP1-B-ELISA recommended by
265 OIE. Indeed, the sensitivity observed in cattle was lower than the one observed in sheep and goats, as
266 cattle could become seronegative after a 6-month period (Mahan et al., 1998; Semu et al., 2001). A
267 large number of farmers per village increased the risk of exposure to heartwater and anaplasmosis and
268 reduced the risk of exposure to ECF. Heartwater and anaplasmosis are diseases that are much less
269 familiar to farmers (Boucher et al., 2018). The risk of being infected by anaplasmosis and *B. bigemina*
270 babesiosis has been found to be lower for animals grazing near forests than for animals in agricultural
271 zones. *R. microplus* tick species are mostly present in forest areas (Estrada-Peña et al., 2006).
272 Moreover, one study showed that there is no difference in the population dynamics of ticks between
273 forests and grasslands, but a higher density of cattle in grassland areas can increase the tick-host
274 encounter rate (Nava et al., 2013). Importing cattle increased the risk of livestock being infected with
275 *T. parva* due to (i) imports from Tanzania, where ECF is still present and, (ii) imports from the islands
276 of Moheli and Anjouan, where there are naive cattle that rapidly develop the disease (De Deken et al.,
277 2007; Swai et al., 2007b; Yssouf et al., 2011). None of the risk factors is linked to control and drug
278 treatment practices, but to the fact that most Comorian farmers do not apply preventive or curative
279 treatments (Boucher et al., 2018).

280 To conclude, our results demonstrated that all three islands of the Union of the Comoros were affected
281 by tick-borne infections (anaplasmosis, *B. bigemina*. babesiosis, heartwater and ECF) but Anjouan and
282 Moheli had not yet been exposed to *T. parva*, which was only detected on Grande Comore. The
283 prevalence of ECF antibodies observed on the island of Grande Comore was consistent with the
284 incidence estimated by participatory epidemiology in 2015, and with the tick species *R.*
285 *appendiculatus* only being found on Grande Comore. The type of breeding area, the island on which

286 the livestock was raised, the number of cattle per farm, the presence of ticks and the density of farmers
287 per village, appeared to have an impact on TBD occurrence. These risk factors, especially the breeding
288 area, could be used to raise livestock farmers' awareness of appropriate control measures against these
289 diseases and, lastly, our results stressed the need for setting up quarantine facilities for surveillance of
290 imported animals.

291

292 **Acknowledgements**

293 This study was funded by INTERREG FEDER TROI 2015-2017 under the DP "One health Indian
294 Ocean" (www.onehealth-oi.org). We thank all the farmers, veterinarians and veterinary technicians in
295 the Union of the Comoros, and particularly Johny Hoarau and Marie Anais Etheves for their
296 participation in the laboratory and field work.

297

298 **Conflict of Interest Statement**

299 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

300 References

- 301 Adjou Moumouni, P.F., Aboge, G.O., Terkawi, M.A., Masatani, T., Cao, S., Kamyngkird, K.,
302 Jirapattharasate, C., Zhou, M., Wang, G., Liu, M., Iguchi, A., Vudriko, P., Ybanez, A.P.,
303 Inokuma, H., Shirafuji-Umemiya, R., Suzuki, H., Xuan, X., 2015. Molecular detection and
304 characterization of *Babesia bovis*, *Babesia bigemina*, *Theileria* species and *Anaplasma*
305 *marginale* isolated from cattle in Kenya. *Parasit. Vectors* 8. [https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-015-1106-9)
306 015-1106-9.
- 307 Akaike, H., 1974. A new look at the statistical model identification. *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control* 19,
308 716–723. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TAC.1974.1100705>.
- 309 Alfredo, A., Jonsson, N., Finch, T., Neves, L., Molloy, J.B., Jorgensen, W.K., 2005. Serological
310 Survey of *Babesia bovis* and *Anaplasma marginale* in cattle in Tete Province, Mozambique.
311 *Trop. Anim. Health Prod.* 37, 121–31.
312 <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:TROP.0000048513.80797.97>.
- 313 ARC-OVI, 2018. VAL 2.8: Indirect Fluorescent Antibody Test for *Theileria parva* in Cattle in
314 :Validation report , Version : 9,pp. 8.
- 315 Boucher, F., Moutroifi, Y., Ali, M., Moindjie, Y., Soulé, M., Charafouddine, O., Cêtre-Sossah, C.,
316 Cardinale, E., 2018. Impact of East Coast fever on Grande Comore: assessment taking a
317 participatory epidemiology approach. *Trop. Anim. Health Prod.* 1–9.
318 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-018-1664-x>.
- 319 Bram, R.A., 1975. Tick-borne livestock diseases and their vectors. 1. The global problem. *World*
320 *Anim. Rev.* 6, 1–5.
- 321 Burridge, M.J., Kimber, C.D., 1972. The indirect fluorescent antibody test for experimental East Coast
322 fever (*Theileria parva* infection of cattle). Evaluation of a cell culture schizont antigen. *Res.*
323 *Vet. Sci.* 13, 451–455.
- 324 Chevillon, C., Ducornez, S., de Meeûs, T., Koffi, B.B., Huguette Gaïa, Delathière, J.-M., Barré, N.,
325 2007. Accumulation of acaricide resistance mechanisms in *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus)*
326 *microplus* (Acari: Ixodidae) populations from New Caledonia Island. *Vet. Parasitol.* 147, 276–
327 288. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2007.05.003>
- 328 De Deken, R., Martin, V., Saido, A., Madder, M., Brandt, J., Geysen, D., 2007. An outbreak of East
329 Coast Fever on the Comoros: A consequence of the import of immunised cattle from
330 Tanzania? *Vet. Parasitol.* 143, 245–253.
- 331 Direction Générale de l'Environnement (DGE) et projet PNUD/UNESCO/UICN COI/91/006 "Appui à
332 la programmation nationale en matière d'environnement", 1993. Diagnostic de l'état de
333 l'environnement aux Comores, PNUD.
- 334 Du Plessis, J.L., Van Gas, L., Olivier, J.A., Bezuidenhout, J.D., 1989. The heterogenicity of *Cowdria*
335 *ruminantium* stocks: cross-immunity and serology in sheep and pathogenicity to mice.
336 *Onderstepoort J Vet Res* 56:195–201.
- 337 Estrada-Peña, A., Bouattour, A., Camicas, J.-L., Guglielmone, A., Horak, I., Jongejan, F., Latif, A.,
338 Pegram, R., Walker, A., 2006. The Known Distribution and Ecological Preferences of the
339 Tick Subgenus *Boophilus* (Acari: Ixodidae) in Africa and Latin America. *Exp Appl Acarol.*
340 38(2-3),219-35. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10493-006-0003-5>.

- 341 Hove, P., Khumalo, Z.T.H., Chaisi, M.E., Oosthuizen, M.C., Brayton, K.A., Collins, N.E., 2018.
342 Detection and Characterisation of *Anaplasma marginale* and *A. centrale* in South Africa. *Vet.*
343 *Sci.* 5. <https://doi.org/10.3390/vetsci5010026>.
- 344 Jongejan, F., Uilenberg, G., 2004. The global importance of ticks. *Parasitology* 129 Suppl, S3-14.
- 345 Kerario, I.I., Simuunza, M.C., Chenyambuga, S.W., Koski, M., Hwang, S.-G., Muleya, W., 2017.
346 Prevalence and risk factors associated with *Theileria parva* infection in cattle in three regions
347 of Tanzania. *Trop. Anim. Health Prod.* 49, 1613–1621. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-017-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-017-1367-8)
348 1367-8
- 349 Kivaria, F.M., 2006. Estimated direct economic costs associated with tick-borne diseases on cattle in
350 Tanzania. *Trop. Anim. Health Prod.* 38, 291–299.
- 351 Lynen, G., Zeman, P., Bakuname, C., Di Giulio, G., Mtui, P., Sanka, P., Jongejan, F., 2007. Cattle
352 ticks of the genera *Rhipicephalus* and *Amblyomma* of economic importance in Tanzania:
353 distribution assessed with GIS based on an extensive field survey. *Exp. Appl. Acarol.* 43,
354 303–319. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10493-007-9123-9>.
- 355 Madder, M., Thys, E., Achi, L., Touré, A., Deken, R.D., 2011. *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus*: a
356 most successful invasive tick species in West-Africa. *Exp. Appl. Acarol.* 53, 139–145.
357 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10493-010-9390-8>.
- 358 Mahan, S.M., Semu, S.M., Peter, T.F., Jongejan F., 1998. Evaluation of the MAP1-B ELISA for
359 cowdriosis with field sera from livestock in Zimbabwe. *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.*, 849, 259–261.
- 360 Marcelino, I., Holzmüller, P., Stachurski, F., Rodrigues, V., Vachiéry, N., 2016. *Ehrlichia*
361 *ruminantium*: The Causal Agent of Heartwater, in: Thomas, S. (Ed.), *Rickettsiales: Biology,*
362 *Molecular Biology, Epidemiology, and Vaccine Development.* Springer International
363 Publishing, Cham, pp. 241–280. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-46859-4_13.
- 364 Mondry, R., Martinez, D., Camus, E., Liebisch, A., Katz, J.B., Dewald, R., van Vliet, A.H., Jongejan,
365 F., 1998. Validation and comparison of three enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays for the
366 detection of antibodies to *Cowdria ruminantium* infection. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 849, 262–
367 272.
- 368 Nava, S., Mastropaolo, M., Guglielmo, A., Mangold, A., 2013. Effect of deforestation and
369 introduction of exotic grasses as livestock forage on the population dynamics of the cattle tick
370 *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus* (Acari: Ixodidae) in northern Argentina. *Res Vet*
371 *Sci.* 95(3),1046-54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rvsc.2013.09.013>.
- 372 Norval, R.A.I., Perry, B.D., Young, A.S., 1992. The epidemiology of theileriosis in Africa. Academic
373 Pr, London.
- 374 Nyangiwe, N., Harrison, A., Horak, I.G., 2013. Displacement of *Rhipicephalus decoloratus* by
375 *Rhipicephalus microplus* (Acari: Ixodidae) in the Eastern Cape Province, South Africa. *Exp.*
376 *Appl. Acarol.* 61, 371–382. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10493-013-9705-7>.
- 377 RStudio Team (2015). RStudio: Integrated Development for R. RStudio, Inc., Boston, MA
378 URL <http://www.rstudio.com/>
- 379 Ringo, A.E., Adjou Moumouni, P.F., Lee, S.-H., Liu, M., Khamis, Y.H., Gao, Y., Guo, H., Zheng, W.,
380 Efstratiou, A., Galon, E.M., Li, J., Tiwananthagorn, S., Inoue, N., Suzuki, H., Thekiso, O.,
381 Xuan, X., 2018. Molecular detection and characterization of tick-borne protozoan and
382 rickettsial pathogens isolated from cattle on Pemba Island, Tanzania. *Ticks Tick-Borne Dis.* 9,
383 1437–1445. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ttbdis.2018.06.014>.

- 384 Saido, 2005. Rapport d'analyse des résultats du recensement agricoles des Comores 2004. Bureau
385 d'étude ACTIV, Comores.
- 386 Semu, S.M., Peter, T.F., Mukwedeya, D., Barbet, A.F., Jongejan, F. & Mahan, S.M., 2001. Antibody
387 responses to Map 1B and other *Cowdria ruminantium* antigens are down regulated in cattle
388 challenged with tick-transmitted heartwater. Clin. Diag. Immunol. Lab., 8, 388–396.
- 389 Sherman, G., Sutton, T., Blazek, R., Holl, S., Dassau, O., Mitchell, T., Dobias, M., 2017. Quantum
390 GIS, Open Source Geospatial Foundation Project. <https://www.qgis.org/>
- 391 Stachurski, F., Tortosa, P., Rahajarison, P., Jacquet, S., Yssouf, A., Huber, K., 2013. New data
392 regarding distribution of cattle ticks in the south-western Indian Ocean islands. Vet Res. 9, 44-
393 79. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1297-9716-44-79>.
- 394 Swai, E.S., Karimuribo, E.D., Ogden, N.H., French, N.P., Fitzpatrick, J.L., Bryant, M.J., Kambarage,
395 D.M., 2005. Seroprevalence Estimation and Risk Factors for *A. marginale* on Smallholder
396 Dairy Farms in Tanzania. Trop. Anim. Health Prod. 37, 599–610.
397 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-005-4307-y>.
- 398 Swai, E.S., Karimuribo, E.D., French, N.P., Fitzpatrick, J.L., Bryant, M.J., Kambarage, D.M., Ogden,
399 N.H., 2007a. Seroprevalence of *Babesia bigemina* smallholder dairy cattle in Tanzania and
400 associated risk factors. J. S. Afr. Vet. Assoc. 78, 15–20.
- 401 Swai, Karimuribo, E.D., Kambarage, D.M., Moshly, W.E., Mbise, A.N., 2007b. A comparison of
402 seroprevalence and risk factors for *Theileria parva* and *T. mutans* in smallholder dairy cattle in
403 the Tanga and Iringa regions of Tanzania. Vet. J. 174, 390–396.
- 404 Swai, E.S., Mtui, P.F., Chang'a, A.K., Machange, G.E., 2008. The prevalence of serum antibodies to
405 *Ehrlichia ruminantium* infection in ranch cattle in Tanzania : a cross-sectional study. J. S. Afr.
406 Vet. Assoc. 79, 71–75.
- 407 Swai, E.S., Moshly, W., Mtui, P.F., Bwanga, S., Machange, G., Sanka, P., 2009. Serological survey of
408 antibodies to *Ehrlichia ruminantium* in small ruminants in Tanzania. Trop. Anim. Health
409 Prod. 41, 959–967. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-008-9285-4>.
- 410 Tebele, N., 1996. Characterisation of the cDNA encoding a 200 kDa polypeptide of *Babesia*
411 *bigemina* and generation of a recombinant antigen for the detection of antibodies in an
412 enzyme linked immunosorbent assay. Ph.D. thesis. Brunel University, Uxbridge, United
413 Kingdom.
- 414 Toma, B., Dufour, B., Sanaa, M., Bénet, J.J., Shaw, A., Moutou, F., Louza, A., 2001. Epidémiologie
415 appliquée à la lutte collective contre les maladies animales transmissibles majeurs, 3eme ed.
416 AEEMA, Maison alfort.
- 417 Torioni de Echaide, S., et al., 1998. Detection of cattle naturally infected with *Anaplasma*
418 *marginale* in a region of endemicity by nested PCR and a competitive enzyme-linked
419 immunosorbent assay using recombinant major surface protein 5. J. Clin.
420 Microbiol. 36(3):777-782.
- 421 Uilenberg, G., Hoogstraal, H., Klein, J.-M., 1979. Les Tiques (Ixodoidea) De Madagascar Et Leur
422 Role Vecteur (Ticks of Madagascar in Their Roles as Vectors). Arch Inst Pasteur Madag. 154.
- 423 van Vliet, A.H., van der Zeijst, B.A., Camus, E., Mahan, S.M., Martinez, D., Jongejan, F., 1995. Use
424 of a specific immunogenic region on the *Cowdria ruminantium* MAP1 protein in a serological
425 assay. J. Clin. Microbiol. 33, 2405–2410.

- 426 Wesonga, F.D., Gachohi, J.M., Kitala, P.M., Gathuma, J.M., Njenga, M.J., 2015. *Theileria parva*
427 infection seroprevalence and associated risk factors in cattle in Machakos County, Kenya.
428 Trop. Anim. Health Prod. 47, 93–101.
- 429 Wesonga, F.D., Gachohi, J.M., Kitala, P.M., Gathuma, J.M., Njenga, M.J., 2017. Seroprevalence of
430 *Anaplasma marginale* and *Babesia bigemina* infections and associated risk factors in
431 Machakos County, Kenya. Trop. Anim. Health Prod. 49, 265–272.
432 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-016-1187-2>.
- 433 Worthington, R.W., Bigalke, R.D., 2001. A review of the infectious diseases of African wild
434 ruminants. Onderstepoort J. Vet. Res. 68, 291–323.
- 435 Yssouf, A., Lagadec, E., Bakari, A., Foray, C., Stachurski, F., Cardinale, E., Plantard, O., Tortosa, P.,
436 2011. Colonization of Grande Comore Island by a lineage of *Rhipicephalus appendiculatus*
437 ticks. Parasit. Vectors 4, 38.

Figure legends

Fig. 1: Spatial distribution of ruminant samples collected during the livestock cross-sectional study in 2016-2017, and spatial distribution of ticks in 2010.

Fig. 2: Spatial distribution of antibodies (prevalence) to *Anaplasma* spp, *B. bigemina*, *T. Parva* and *E. ruminantium*, Union of the Comoros in 2016/2017, and distribution of their biological vectors in 2010 (A: *Anaplasma* spp, B: *B. bigemina* , C: *T. parva*, D: *E. ruminantium*).

Table 1 : Distribution of the samples. Planned sampling (actual sampling).

| | Number of regions | Number of villages | Number of farms | Number of samples | Cattle /goats and sheep |
|---------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------------|
| Grande Comore | 12 (12) | 24 (37) | 120 (178) | 360 (367) | 180/180 (187/180) |
| Anjouan | 5 (5) | 30 (33) | 150 (172) | 450 (446) | 225/225 (226/220) |
| Moheli | 3 (3) | 6 (5) | 30 (33) | 90 (90) | 45/45 (45/45) |

Table 2: Observed *Anaplasma* spp., *E. ruminantium*, *B. bigemina* and *T. parva* seroprevalence. Confidence intervals (CI) were calculated using a normal approximation binomial distribution. All bovine samples (n= 457) and goat and sheep samples (n=445) were tested for *Anaplasma* spp and *E. ruminantium*. Only bovine samples were tested for the bovine specific pathogens *B. bigemina* and *T. parva* . The amount of serum available was not enough for some of the animals and therefore restricted the number of pathogens tests. *Anaplasma* spp., *B. bigemina* and *T. parva* tests were run as a priority.

| | <i>Anaplasma</i> spp | | | | | | <i>E. ruminantium</i> | | | | | | <i>B. bigemina</i> | | <i>T. parva</i> | |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | Total | | Cattle | | Goats and sheep | | Total | | Cattle | Goats and sheep | | Cattle | | Cattle | | |
| | Number positive/ Total number | Seroprevalence % [95%CI] | Number positive/ Total number | Seroprevalence % [95%CI] | Number positive/ Total number | Seroprevalence % [95%CI] | Number positive/ Total number | Seroprevalence % [95%CI] | Number positive/ Total number | Seroprevalence % [95%CI] | Number positive/ Total number | Seroprevalence % [95%CI] | Number positive/ Total number | Seroprevalence % [95%CI] | Number positive/ Total number | Seroprevalence % [95%CI] |
| Grande Comore | 83/367 | 22.6% [18.3%; 26.9%] | 31/186 | 19.9% [14.2%; 25.6%] | 46/180 | 25.6% [19.2%; 32%] | 28/328 | 8.5% [5.5%; 11.5%] | 4/159 | 2.5% [0.1%; 4.9%] | 24/169 | 14.2% [8.9%; 19.5%] | 26/185 | 14.1% [9.1%; 19.1%] | 24/185 | 13% [8.2%; 17.8%] |
| Anjouan | 49/446 | 11% [8.1%; 14%] | 39/226 | 17.3% [12.4%; 22.2%] | 10/220 | 4.6% [1.8%; 7.4%] | 6/446 | 1.4% [0.3%; 1.5%] | 1/226 | 0.4% [0%; 1.2%] | 5/220 | 2.3% [0.3%; 4.3%] | 10/226 | 4.4% [1.7%; 7.1%] | 0/226 | 0% |
| Moheli | 3/90 | 3% [0%; 7%] | 1/45 | 2% [0%; 6%] | 2/45 | 4% [0%; 10%] | 6/90 | 7% [2%; 12.3%] | 3/45 | 7% [0%; 15%] | 3/45 | 7% [0%; 15%] | 6/45 | 13% [3%; 23%] | 0/45 | 0% |
| Total | 135/902 | 15% [12.7%; 17.3%] | 71/457 | 15.5% [12.2%; 18.8%] | 58/445 | 13% [9.9%; 16.1%] | 40/804 | 4.6% [3.2%; 6%] | 8/430 | 1.9% [0.6%; 3.2%] | 32/434 | 7.4% [4.9%; 9.9%] | 42/456 | 9.2% [6.5%; 11.9%] | 24/456 | 5.3% [3.2%; 7.4%] |

Table 3: Final multivariate logistic regression model for risk factors associated with *Babesia bigemina* babesiosis (n= 247 cattle herds), Union of the Comoros, 2016-2017.

| Variables | | Number of positive herds/Total number of herds (herd antibody prevalence %) | AOR (95% CI) | p-value |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|---------|
| Island | Grande Comore | 20/95 (21) | Ref | 0.008 |
| | Moheli | 6/22 (27) | 1.3 (0.4,4.24) | 0.661 |
| | Anjouan | 9/119 (8) | 0.29 (0.11,0.75) | 0.01* |
| Breeding area | Agricultural | 15/94 (16) | Ref | 0.01 |
| | Village | 19/112 (17) | 1.35 (0.59,3.06) | 0.479 |
| | Forest | 1/30 (3) | 0.12 (0.01,0.96) | 0.046* |
| Number of cattle per farmer | Small (below the median) | 8/97 (8) | Ref | 0.119 |
| | Large (above the median) | 27/139 (19.4) | 2.04 (0.81,5.13) | 0.129 |

AOR=Adjusted odds ratio, CI=Confidence interval, Ref.= reference category cell

Intercept=-1.7524; Model deviance=175.99; AIC= 187.99, Model Df=7(P < 0.001). * p< 0.05.

Table 4: Final multivariate logistic regression model for risk factors associated with anaplasmosis (n=406 cattle, goats, sheep herds, Union of the Comoros, 2016-2017).

| Variables | | Number of positive herds/Total number of herds (herd antibody prevalence %) | AOR (95% CI) | p-value |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|---------|
| Island | Grande Comore | 59/186 (31.7) | Ref | <0.001 |
| | Moheli | 2/25 (8) | 0.13 (0.03,0.58) | 0.008* |
| | Anjouan | 25/136 (18.4) | 0.53 (0.31,0.9) | 0.012* |
| Number of cattle per farmer | Small (below the median) | 53/226 (23.5) | Ref | <0.001 |
| | Large (above the median) | 25/121 (20.7) | 2.74 (1.59,4.7) | <0.001* |
| Number of farmers per village | Small (below the median) | 33/176 (18.8) | Ref | 0.021 |
| | Large (above the median) | 53/171 (31) | 1.85 (1.09,3.12) | 0.022* |
| Livestock raising method | Fixed wooden stake and enclosure | 24/82 (29) | Ref | 0.058 |
| | Moveable wooden stake and free movement | 62/265 (23.4) | 0.55 (0.3,1.02) | 0.056 |
| Breeding area | Agricultural | 47/142 (33.1) | Ref | 0.043 |
| | Village | 30/162 (18.5) | 0.59 (0.33,1.05) | 0.075 |
| | Forest | 9/43 (21) | 0.39 (0.16,0.93) | 0.034* |

AOR=Adjusted odds ratio, CI=Confidence interval, Ref.= reference category cell

Intercept=-0.6782; Model deviance= 347.22; AIC=363.22, Model Df= 11 (P < 0.001). * p< 0.05.

Table 5: Final multivariate logistic regression model for risk factors associated with East Coast fever (n=105 cattle herds), island of Grande Comore, Union of the Comoros, 2016-2017.

| Risk factor | | Number of positive herds/Total number of herds (herd antibody prevalence %) | AOR (95% CI) | P-value |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|---------|
| Provenance | Local | 9/81 (11) | Ref | 0.081 |
| | Imported | 8/23 (35) | 3.43 (0.84,13.96) | 0.085 |
| Number of farmers in the village | Small (below the median) | 12/39 (31) | Ref | 0.001 |
| | Large (above the median) | 5/65 (8) | 0.12 (0.03,0.48) | 0.003* |
| Presence of ticks | No | 2/62 (3) | Ref | <0.001 |
| | Yes | 15/42 (36) | 16.49 (3.15,86.39) | <0.001* |

AOR=Adjusted odds ratio, CI=Confidence interval, Ref.= reference category cell



Intercept=-2.7320; Model deviance=59.474; AIC=67.47, Model Df=5(P < 0.001). * p< 0.05

Table 6: Final multivariate logistic regression model for risk factors associated with heartwater, n=398 cattle, goats and sheep herds, Union of the Comoros, 2016-2017.




| Risk factor | | Number of positive herds/Total number of herds (herd antibody prevalence %) | AOR (95% CI) | p-value |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|---------|
| Island | Grande Comore | 22/196 (11.2) | Ref | 0.01 |
| | Moheli | 5/25 (20) | 1.99 (0.65,6.07) | 0.228 |
| | Anjouan | 6/136 (4.4) | 0.33 (0.13,0.84) | 0.021* |
| Number of cattle per farmer | Small (below the median) | 26/220 (11.8) | Ref | 0.006 |
| | Large (above the median) | 7/137 (5.1) | 0.32 (0.13,0.77) | 0.011* |
| Number of farmers in village | Small (below the median) | 10/181 (5.5) | Ref | 0.02 |
| | Large (above the median) | 23/176 (13.1) | 2.47 (1.12,5.44) | 0.025* |

AOR=Adjusted odds ratio, CI=Confidence interval, Ref.= reference category cell




Intercept=-2.2199; Model deviance=199.38; AIC=209.38, Model Df=6 (P < 0.001). * p< 0.05





- Ruminant serum sampling sites
- ▲ Tick sampling sites
- ◆ Ruminant serum and tick sampling sites





A








B



C



D

*R. microplus**R. appendiculatus**A. variegatum*

Ruminant antibody prevalence, %

