

How the use of nitrogen fertiliser may switch plant suitability for aphids: the case of Miscanthus, a promising biomass crop, and the aphid pest Rhopalosiphum maidis

Florent Bogaert, Quentin Chesnais, Manuella Catterou, Caroline Rambaud, Géraldine Doury, Arnaud Ameline

▶ To cite this version:

Florent Bogaert, Quentin Chesnais, Manuella Catterou, Caroline Rambaud, Géraldine Doury, et al.. How the use of nitrogen fertiliser may switch plant suitability for aphids: the case of Miscanthus, a promising biomass crop, and the aphid pest Rhopalosiphum maidis. Pest Management Science, 2017, 73 (8), pp.1648-1654. 10.1002/ps.4505. hal-02624070

HAL Id: hal-02624070 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02624070v1

Submitted on 25 Oct 2023 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - ShareAlike 4.0 International License

1	How the use of nitrogen fertiliser may switch plant suitability for aphids: the case of								
2	Miscanthus, a promising biomass crop and the aphid pest Rhopalosiphum maidis.								
3	Running title: Nitrogen fertilizer and Miscanthus-aphid complex								
4	Bogaert F. ¹ , Chesnais Q. ¹ , Catterou M. ¹ , Rambaud C. ² , Doury G. ¹ and Ameline A. ¹								
1	Ecologie et Dynamique des Systèmes Anthropisés FRE 3498, CNRS-UPJV, 1 rue des								
	Louvels, 80037 Amiens Cedex 1, France								
2	UMR INRA 1281, Stress abiotiques et différenciation des végétaux cultivés, Université Lille								
	Nord de France, Lille 1, Bâtiment SN2, F-59650 Villeneuve d'Ascq Cedex, France.								
5	* Corresponding author: Ameline Arnaud (Assistant Professor)								
6	Phone: (+33) 3 22 82 75 56								
7	Fax: (+33) 3 22 82 75 47								
8	E-mail: arnaud.ameline@u-picardie.fr								
9									

10 Abstract

BACKGROUND: The use of nitrogen fertiliser in agrosystems can alter plant nitrogen and
 consequently improve nutrient availability for herbivores, potentially leading to better
 performance for herbivores and higher pest pressure in the field.

14 RESULTS: We compared, in laboratory conditions, the effects of nitrogen fertilisation on a 15 promising biomass crop, *Miscanthus* x *giganteus*, and its parents *Miscanthus sinensis* and 16 *Miscanthus sacchariflorus*. The plant-mediated effects were compared on the second trophic 17 level, the green corn leaf aphid *Rhopalosiphum maidis*.

Results showed that the biomass and leaf C:N ratio of *M. sinensis* plants treated with nitrogen
fertiliser were significantly greater than those of non-treated plants. Concerning *M.* x giganteus and *M. sacchariflorus*, the only reported change was a significantly smaller
leaf C:N ratio for treated *M. sacchariflorus* compared to non-treated plants.

Surprisingly, nitrogen fertilisation had opposite consequences on plant-herbivores
interactions. Following N treatments, *M. sinensis* was less suitable in terms of intrinsic rate of
increase for *R. maidis*, whose feeding behavior was negatively affected, while *M. sacchariflorus* and *M. x giganteus* exhibited greater suitability in terms of aphid weight.

CONCLUSION: Nitrogen fertilisation had contrasting effects on the three species of Miscanthus plants. These effects cascaded up to the second trophic level, *R. maidis* aphid pests, either through a modification of their weight or demographic parameters. The implications of these results were discussed in the context of agricultural sustainability and intensive production practices.

31 Keywords: Aphididae, Aphid performance, Electropenetrography, Leaf C:N ratio,
32 Miscanthus species, Nitrogen input, Pest management

33 1. Introduction

34

Nitrogen (N) is a key element for plants. Its availability in agrosystems can be improved with 35 36 the use of fertilisers. Plants are capable of plastic responses following fertilising, as evidenced by the profound reprogramming of their N and carbon (C) metabolism.¹ Such metabolic 37 changes can impact plant quality and ultimately influence trophic-level interactions, thus 38 affecting the performance of herbivores.² Plant response following the use of fertiliser may 39 vary between different species but also between cultivars as shown by various studies on 40 maize or oilseed rape.^{3,4} Nitrogen inputs have usually been linked with decreased C:N ratio in 41 plants, correlated to an improvement of plant quality for herbivores.⁵ A higher plant N content 42 has been shown to positively impact their feeding behaviour⁶ or life history traits^{5,7,8}, and thus 43 pest pressure in the field. However, excessive nutrient intake by herbivores can negatively 44 affect their fitness and population dynamics.⁹ Altogether the N content may influence plant 45 46 resistance to higher trophic levels, mostly through the alteration of primary and secondary metabolites production in plants.^{1,10} According to the Carbon-Nutrient-Balance hypothesis,¹¹ 47 a plant subjected to an abundant amount of nitrogen should allocate relatively more to 48 nitrogen-containing defence metabolites and reduce secondary carbon-based substances. 49

50 Nitrogen requirement is a particularly significant issue, since both the manufacturing process 51 of nitrogen fertilisers and losses following application can have local and global 52 environmental impacts as well as significant implications to greenhouse-gas balances.¹² Smith 53 *et al.*¹³ reported that biomass crops have great potential to mitigate carbon emissions and are 54 likely to be major contributors to the renewable energy mix in the future. 55 *Miscanthus* x *giganteus*, a vigorous sterile hybrid between *M. sinensis* and *M. sacchariflorus*, 56 is a promising crop dedicated to biomass and biofuel production. The potential of Miscanthus is attributed to high productivity and long term perenniality, together with nutrient
requirements that are generally considered low, although the exact needs of this crop are not
yet defined.¹⁴

The two cultivated species of Miscanthus exhibit different productivities, the crops of the 60 sterile hybrid *M*. x giganteus leading to higher yields than those of the parental species 61 *M. sinensis.* However, as European crops of *M.* x giganteus consist of a single clone, *M.* 62 sinensis is still regarded as a potential alternative for Miscanthus production as certain M. 63 sinensis clones display a high biomass potential.^{15,16} Although the parental species 64 M. sacchariflorus is not a dedicated biomass crop, it is also interesting as a progenitor for 65 breeding programs, due to its low ash content making it suitable for the different bioenergy 66 conversion processes.¹⁷ Interestingly, the three species of Miscanthus differ in terms of 67 susceptibility to pests, in particular to aphids.¹⁸ The corn leaf aphid *Rhopalosiphum maidis* is 68 69 considered as the main Miscanthus pest, as R. maidis colonies can develop on Miscanthus host-plants¹⁹, to which they can also transmit the *Barley yellow dwarf virus*²⁰. Indeed, some 70 71 studies using vitro-plants have pointed out that *M. sacchariflorus* shows a greater resistance to the corn leaf aphid R. maidis than M. sinensis and M. x giganteus.^{21,22} Another study using 72 potted plants has shown that *M. sinensis* was more resistant than *M. x giganteus*, raising the 73 possibility that *M. sinensis* could represent a better alternative to *M.* x giganteus under heavy 74 aphid pressure.²⁰ These studies suggest that Miscanthus breeding programs should also take 75 into account traits that are related to resistance to insect pests.²¹ 76

77

To understand the possible consequences of fertilisers on pest pressure in bioenergy crops, we
compared the effects of nitrogen input on the interactions between three species of
Miscanthus (*M. x giganteus, M. sinensis* and *M. sacchariflorus*) and the green corn leaf aphid *Rhopalosiphum maidis.* To date, regarding the different nitrogen supply programs studied,

none has converged towards a consensual understanding of Miscanthus response to 82 fertilisation. This might be attributed to the fact that, so far, the majority of these studies were 83 solely performed in field setups and therefore submitted to variations and potential biases 84 inherent to natural conditions.¹² The aim of the present study was to compare, in controlled 85 and standardised conditions, the effects of nitrogen input on M. x giganteus and its two 86 parents, as well as the plant-mediated effects on the second trophic level, the aphid R. maidis. 87 We predicted that nitrogen inputs should positively impact (i) the plants biomass and N 88 89 contents; (ii) the feeding behaviour of aphids; (iii) the performance of aphids reared on these host-plants, including weight and demographic parameters. 90

93

94 **2.1 Insects and Plants**

95 **2.1.1 Insect cultures**

A laboratory colony of the aphid *Rhopalosiphum maidis* (Fitch) (Hemiptera: Aphididae) was
initiated from a parthenogenetic aphid population. Aphids were reared on plants of winter
barley (*Hordeum vulgare* cv. "Cervoise"). Pots (90 × 90 × 70 mm) containing each 15–20
barley plants were placed in ventilated Plastic ® cages (240 × 110 × 360 mm) and maintained
in a growth chamber under 20 ± 1°C, 60 ± 5 % RH, and a 16:8 L:D light cycle.

101 2

2.1.2 Plants material

Plantlets of the three Miscanthus species, *i.e.*, *M.* x giganteus (cv. "GigB", 2n = 3x = 57), *M. sacchariflorus* (cv. "Sac", 2n = 2x = 38) and *M. sinensis* (cv. "Goliath", 2n = 4x = 76)²³ were obtained by *in vitro* multiplication as described in previous study.²⁴ Single rooted shoots coming from clusters, rooted in perlite for eight weeks, were transplanted into plastic pots (firstly $9 \times 9 \times 10$ cm, then 13×16 cm and 15×20 cm (height x diameter)) containing potting soil (NPK 18-10-20, 0.5 kg/m³, FLORAGARD) and kept in a growth chamber under $20 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C, 60 ± 5 % Relative Humidity (RH), and a 16:8 (L:D) photoperiod for ten weeks.

109

110 **2.2 Nitrogen treatments**

After development for ten weeks in the growth chamber, the potted plants were randomly assigned to one of the two following treatments: (i) a low nitrogen input, consisting of the potting soil only (non-treated, referred to as "-N") (ii) potting soil supplemented with 0.76

gN.plants⁻¹ of ammonium nitrate (treated, referred to as "+N"). This dose of nitrogen (i.e. 114 120 kgN.ha⁻¹) was chosen in accordance with previous works.²⁵ Application of the 115 nitrogenous solution was carried out using two half-doses. The first half-dose 116 (0.38 gNH₄NO₃) was diluted in 100 mL of water and was applied over the entire soil surface 117 of each pot three weeks before use for the experiments. The second half-dose was applied one 118 week after the first one. All Miscanthus plants were placed randomly (i.e. regardless of the 119 species or nitrogen status) in a greenhouse under controlled conditions (20 ± 1 °C, 60 ± 5 % 120 RH, photophase 16h at 4 klux) for three weeks after the first N application, until they were 121 used for experiments (plant biomass measurement and introduction of aphids onto the plants), 122 *i.e.* thirteen weeks following potting. Watering was performed every 48 hours using volumes 123 (100 ml) of water that had been calibrated during preliminary tests to prevent any leachage. 124 No experimental blocking was performed. 125

126

127 **2.3 Effects of nitrogen treatments on plants aerial biomass and quality**

128

2.3.1 Plants biomass measurements

For each treatment and species, plant sprouts were individualised and weighed using an electronic balance (Mettler Toledo ML204, Max: 220 g, d = 0.1 mg), then placed in the freezer at -80 °C for a later use in carbon and nitrogen content measurements as described in previous works.²⁶ For *M. sacchariflorus*, twelve plants were weighed for the "-N" treatment and seven for the "+N" one. Eleven plants of *M. x giganteus* were weighed for the "-N" treatment and ten plants for the "+N" one. For *M. sinensis*, ten plants were weighed for each treatment ("-N" and "+N").

137 **2.3.2** Plants quality

The quality of plants was assessed through the calculation of the Carbon:Nitrogen (C:N) ratio 138 of their aerial organs. To perform the measurement of the C and N contents, the samples from 139 the previous experiments (stored at -80 °C) were lyophilised at 4 °C for 48 hours using a 140 lyophiliser (Alpha I-5). Samples of Miscanthus plantlets were processed individually. Each 141 sample was ground for one minute with a ball mill (Retsch MM 400), then placed in a tin 142 basket and weighed using a precision balance (Sartorius Genius ME415S). The carbon and 143 nitrogen concentrations were determined using an elemental analyser (Flash EA 1112 series 144 Thermo Electron, Bremen Germany). For M. sacchariflorus, twelve plants were analysed for 145 the "-N" treatment and ten for the "+N" one. Eleven plants of M. x giganteus were analysed 146 147 for each treatment ("-N" and "+N"). For M. sinensis, ten plants were analysed for each treatment ("-N" and "+N"). 148

149

150 **2.4 Plant-mediated nitrogen effects on** *R. maidis* feeding behaviour

The electrical penetration graph DC-system was used.²⁷ To insert one aphid and one plant into 151 an electrical circuit, a thin gold wire (20 mm diameter and 2 cm long) was tethered on the 152 insect's dorsum using conductive silver glue (EPG systems, Wageningen, The Netherlands). 153 Eight aphids were connected to the Giga-8 DC-EPG amplifier and each one was placed on the 154 leaf of an individual plant. A second electrode was inserted into the soil of each of the potted 155 plants to complete the electrical circuits. The recordings were performed continuously for six 156 157 hours during the photophase. Each aphid-plant system was placed as a whole inside a Faraday cage at 20 ± 1 °C. Acquisition and analysis of the EPG waveforms were carried out with 158 PROBE 3.5 software (EPG Systems, www.epgsystems.eu). Parameters from the recorded 159 EPG waveforms were calculated with EPG-Calc 6.1 software.²⁸ These parameters were based 160

161 on different EPG waveforms corresponding to:²⁹ (C) stylet pathways in plant tissues except 162 phloem and xylem; (pd) potential drops (intracellular stylet punctures); (E1) salivation in 163 phloem elements; (E2) passive phloem sap ingestion; (E1 + E2) activity within phloem 164 vessels, (G) active xylem sap ingestion; and (F) derailed stylet mechanics. In this study, the 165 feeding behaviour of *R. maidis* on *M. sinensis*, *M. sacchariflorus* or *M. x giganteus*, treated or 166 not, was investigated using 24–39 individuals.

167

168

2.5 Plant-mediated nitrogen effects on R. maidis aphid performance

Pools of synchronised first instar nymphs (less than 24-hours old) were obtained from 169 parthenogenetic adult females placed on leaves of their host-plant set in 1.5 % agar in Petri 170 dishes (90 mm diameter). To obtain synchronised young adults, first instar nymphs were kept 171 in the same device for a further eight days. For the nymph survival study, groups of five first 172 173 instar nymphs were gently transferred, using a small paintbrush, onto the plantlets to be tested. These groups of aphid nymphs were enclosed in plastic clip-cages (15 mm diameter, 174 10 mm height) ventilated by a grid and held by a metal clip on the lower face of a leaf at the 175 mid-height of each plantlet, and their survival was recorded every day. To study the adult 176 performance, young adults were individually transferred onto the plantlets to be tested. 177 Survival and fecundity of adult individuals were recorded every 24 hours. Each adult 178 individual was placed individually in a clip-cage in order to count the number of nymphs 179 produced. The newly larviposited individuals were counted and removed with a brush every 180 181 24 hours to estimate the daily fecundity of each individual parent. A total of 120 adult aphids were followed, with 20 aphids for each host-plant species and each treatment. Their daily 182 183 fecundity was assessed for a duration equivalent to twice the pre-reproductive period duration. The intrinsic rate of natural increase (r_m) was calculated using the DEMP 1.5.2 184

185 Software,³⁰ as $\Sigma e^{-r_m x} l_x m_x = 1$, where x was the age, l_x the age-specific survival, and m_x the 186 mean number of female offspring produced in a unit of time by a female aged x.³¹ This 187 parameter was selected to compare the ability of *R. maidis* to establish a population on each 188 of the three Miscanthus species treated or not with NH₄NO₃ addition.

189 To measure aphid weight, synchronisations of aphids were carried out in plastic boxes (125 x 115 x 55 mm) on each of the three species of Miscanthus, for the two treatments ("-N" and 190 "+N"). A 30 cm^2 opening covered with nylon mesh was made on each box for ventilation. A 191 192 single Miscanthus leaf was slid inside each box, on which 100 newly larviposited R. maidis nymphs were deposited. For each species of Miscanthus, eight plants were used for the 193 experiments, with four plants per treatment ("-N" and "+N"). For each plant, four plastic 194 boxes containing a leaf with 100 aphids were used. At eight days post-larviposition, 30 aphids 195 were randomly selected from each box and individually weighed using an electronic precision 196 balance (Mettler M3, class 1, Max: 3g Low: $1 \mu g$, T = -3G [dd] = $1 \mu g$). 197

198

199 **2.6 Statistical analyses**

200 As a Shapiro-Wilk test showed that data were not normally distributed, non-parametric tests 201 were used for all analyses. The impacts of nitrogen fertilisation within the three species of Miscanthus on the aboveground biomass, leaf nitrogen content and leaf C:N ratio were 202 analysed using Mann–Whitney U tests. Plant-mediated nitrogen effects on the feeding 203 204 behaviour of R. maidis were analysed using Mann-Whitney U tests. The combined effects of the Miscanthus host species and N treatment on R. maidis performance parameters and weight 205 206 were analysed using GLM with quasipoisson distribution (link: log) followed by pairwise comparisons using least-squares means (package R: "Ismeans"). All statistical analyses were 207 performed in the R software.³² 208

210

3.1 Effects of nitrogen addition on aboveground biomass and quality of the three species of Miscanthus

Following addition of NH₄NO₃ (+N) on *M. sinensis*, the biomass of plants was significantly 213 greater than that of non-treated (-N) *M. sinensis* plants (U = 19, P = 0.007) (Table 1a). For 214 M. x giganteus and M. sacchariflorus, the addition of NH₄NO₃ had no significant effect on the 215 aboveground biomass of plants (M. x giganteus: U = 45, P = 0.332; M. sacchariflorus: U =216 66, P = 0.722) (Table 1b and 1c). The C:N leaf ratio of *M. sinensis* exposed to NH₄NO₃ (+N) 217 was significantly greater than that of non-treated (-N) *M. sinensis* plants (U = 19, P = 0.007) 218 (Table 1a). For M. x giganteus, the leaf C:N ratio was not significantly affected by the 219 treatment (U = 65, P = 0.797) (Table 1b). The leaf C:N ratio of treated (+N) *M. sacchariflorus* 220 221 was significantly smaller than the leaf C:N ratio of non-treated (-N) M. sacchariflorus plants (U = 93, P = 0.035) (Table 1c). NH₄NO₃ treatment did not have any significant effect on leaf 222 nitrogen content for *M*. x giganteus (U = 59, P = 0.949) (Table 1b and 1c). Treated (+N) 223 M. sinensis and M. sacchariflorus respectively showed significantly lower and higher leaf 224 nitrogen content compared to non-treated (-N) plants (M. sinensis: U = 93, P = 0.021; 225 *M. sacchariflorus*: U = 24, P = 0.017) (Table 1a). 226

227

228 **3.2 Plant-mediated nitrogen effects on aphid feeding behaviour**

Whatever the modality (plant species or nitrogen treatment), individuals exhibited sustained phloem sap ingestion (E2). During the six hours of recording, the total duration of stylet activity in the plant lasted on average about five hours. When submitted to treated (+N) *M. sinensis* plants, *R. maidis* aphids exhibited a significantly longer duration of the pathway phase (C) (U = 370, P = 0.003) and a significantly shorter hydration phase (G) (U = 839, P = 0.0004) than aphids on non-treated (-N) *M. sinensis* plants. On treated (+N) *M. sacchariflorus* plants, aphids derailment phase of stylets (F) was significantly shorter than on non-treated (-N) *M. sacchariflorus* plants (U = 399, P = 0.024). On treated (+N) *M. x giganteus* plants, *R. maidis* salivation period (E1) was significantly shorter than on non-treated (-N) *M. x giganteus* plants (U = 261, P = 0.037) (Table 2).

239

240 **3.3.Plant-mediated nitrogen effects on aphid performance**

The average rate of natural increase r_m of *R. maidis* aphids was significantly affected by the plant species ($\chi^2 = 0.676$, df = 127, $P = 1.238 \times 10^{-14}$) and by the N treatment ($\chi^2 = 0.044$, df =126, P = 0.041). The r_m was significantly lower when aphids were reared on *M.* x *giganteus* compared to *M. sinensis* or *M. sacchariflorus*. When aphids developed on treated (+N) *M. sinensis*, the r_m was significantly smaller compared to that of aphids on non-treated (-N) *M. sinensis* plants. There was no interaction between the two factors ($\chi^2 = 0.026$, df = 124, P =0.286) (Fig. 1a.).

The weight of *R. maidis* aphids was significantly affected by the plant species ($\chi^2 = 1231.87$, 248 $df = 177, P = 2.848 \times 10^{-14}$) and by the N treatment ($\chi^2 = 2131.62, df = 176, P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$) 249 (Fig. 1b.). There was a significant interaction between the plant species and the N treatment 250 $(\chi^2 = 932.49, df = 174, P = 5.579 \times 10^{-11})$ (Fig. 1b.). The weights of aphids developing on 251 non-treated (-N) M. x giganteus were significantly smaller than those on non-treated (-N) M. 252 sinensis. The weights of aphids developing on treated (+N) plants were significantly greater 253 on M. x giganteus (2.5 times) and M. sacchariflorus (4 times) compared to those developing 254 on the respective non-treated (-N) host-plants. 255

257 4. Discussion

258

To our knowledge, this is the first study not only reporting the effects of nitrogen input on the three main species of the Miscanthus genus, but also investigating the consequences on aphid herbivores. Nitrogen fertilisation had contrasting effects on the three species of Miscanthus plants. These effects cascaded up to the second trophic level, *R. maidis* aphids, either through a modification of their weight or demographic parameters.

We showed that nitrogen input had no significant impact on the aboveground biomass of the hybrid *M*. x *giganteus* and of the parental species *M. sacchariflorus*. To our knowledge, this is the first study of nitrogen input consequences on *M. sacchariflorus*. Our results are in line with those obtained in field conditions,^{33,12} according to which nitrogen fertilisation had no impact on the aboveground biomass of *M.* x *giganteus* crops during the first years after rhizome transplanting. On the contrary, the aboveground biomass of the second parental species, *M. sinensis*, was significantly greater for treated (+N) plants.

In our study, nitrogen input differently impacted the C:N ratio of each Miscanthus species: 271 M. x giganteus hybrid leaf C:N ratio was not affected by nitrogen input contrary to those of 272 273 parental species. The leaf C:N ratio of treated (+N) plants was significantly smaller for *M. sacchariflorus* but significantly greater for *M. sinensis*. The results obtained on *M. sinensis* 274 could be explained by the nitrogen dilution when the biomass increased.³⁴ Most studies show 275 that decreased plant C:N ratios are generally linked to an increased biomass following 276 nitrogen fertlisation.²⁵ The differences observed between the C:N ratio of the three species of 277 Miscanthus could be due to their different use of nitrogen in our experimental conditions. 278

Previously published papers highlight the relationships between a high nitrogen content in the
host-plant and a high performance in terms of population growth rate,⁵ weight increase,⁷ or

both for the phytophagous.⁸ Regarding the nitrogen leaf content of treated (+N) Miscanthus, we could have expected the performance (in terms of r_m and/or weight) of the *R. maidis* aphid to be (i) worse on *M. sinensis*, (ii) better on *M. sacchariflorus* and (iii) not different on *M. x giganteus* in comparison with the non-treated (-N) respective host-plants.

285

Consistent with our assumption about *M. sinensis*, aphids r_m was significantly smaller on 286 treated (+N) plants (although their weight was not different), whose leaf C:N ratio was 287 significantly higher. This seemed consistent with the study,³⁵ where a decrease of aphid 288 performance was imputed to a high C:N in plant tissues. The significantly smaller R. maidis 289 r_m measured on treated (+N) plants can be explained by the negatively altered feeding 290 behaviour revealed by a significantly longer duration of stylet pathways (C) and shorter 291 duration of xylem (G) phases. Ameline *et al.*³⁶ showed that an increase of this hydration phase 292 293 induced better aphid demographic performance. Therefore, the alteration of R. maidis performance could be due to a decrease of xylem sap ingestion. However, the phloem sap 294 295 intake duration was unchanged although phloem quality had possibly been lower, as indicated by the greater leaf C:N ratio. This could explain why R. maidis weight was not impacted and 296 also, why its r_m was negatively affected. 297

Consistent with our assumption about M. sacchariflorus, R. maidis aphids weight was 298 significantly greater in treated (+N) plants and their feeding behaviour seemed to be 299 positively affected. Indeed, we recorded a significantly shorter duration of stylet derailment 300 (F), a phase generally considered as an indicator of plant resistance.²⁶ The phloem sap intake 301 duration was similar for both treatments although phloem quality was probably better in 302 treated plants, as indicated by their smaller leaf C:N ratio. This could explain why R. maidis 303 weight was so remarkably greater. However, despite this smaller leaf C:N ratio, aphid r_m was 304 similar to that on non-treated plants. 305

On the treated (+N) hybrid *M*. x *giganteus*, aphids r_m was also similar to that on non-treated plants but their weight was greater. Nitrogen treatment did not affect the *M*. x *giganteus* leaf C:N ratio, contrary to both treated (+N) parents in which opposite effects were recorded (smaller C:N for *M. sacchariflorus* and greater C:N for *M. sinensis*). This could be the consequence of the hybrid status of *M.* x *giganteus*. Accordingly, the consequences of fertiliser use on *M.* x *giganteus* were less important on aphids than those on its parents. The changes of aphid feeding behaviour following N fertilising were minor.

313

The present study shows that aphids r_m and weight can be differently affected by the quality 314 of their host-plants, as described in the theoretical model.³⁷ Indeed, according to this model, 315 when the nutritional quality of the host is low, the herbivore will allocate or invest a greater 316 317 amount of energy to its body weight than to the production of offspring, thus suggesting the 318 existence of trade-offs. Indeed, in our study, the possible trade-offs between the parameters taken into account for r_m calculation and aphid growth depended on the host-plant: (1) on 319 320 treated M. x giganteus and M. sacchariflorus, the host-plant quality was better, aphids weights were greater but their r_m unchanged; (2) on treated *M. sinensis*, the host-plant quality 321 was lower, aphid r_m was smaller but the weight was unchanged. 322

323 In our study, we showed that nitrogen treatment could influence Miscanthus suitability for *R*. maidis aphids. When host-plants were not treated (-N), R. maidis aphids reared on the hybrid 324 *M.* x giganteus showed smaller larval weights compared to those developing on *M. sinensis*, 325 and smaller r_m compared to aphids on both parental species. These results appeared similar to 326 the previous study,¹⁸ demonstrating that M. x giganteus exhibited a stronger resistance to R. 327 328 padi than M. sinensis, and that M. sacchariflorus was the most sensitive host-plant. Hence, both parents exhibited the best suitability for R. maidis regarding aphid weight and r_m . 329 Following nitrogen input, M. sacchariflorus remained the most suitable host-plant. The other 330

parent, M. sinensis was less suitable for R. maidis compared to (i) M. sacchariflorus when 331 considering aphids r_m , and (ii) both *M. sacchariflorus* and the cultivated hybrid 332 M. x giganteus regarding aphid weight. This switch in suitability could be attributed to the 333 difference in C:N ratio between M. x giganteus and M. sinensis, and thus in their nutritional 334 quality for aphids in terms of primary and/or secondary metabolites.^{1,10} Other explanatory 335 factors, such as physical features, can be ruled out considering the absence of significant 336 337 interspecific differences between non-treated (-N) and treated (N) host-plants in the following EPG parameters: time to first probe, time to first phloem phase, duration of pathways phase 338 (data not shown). 339

340

Most studies have shown that nitrogen fertilisation improves crop yields and also leads to 341 enhanced pest pressure^{2,8}. However, the results of our study showed this was not the case for 342 the cultivated species of Miscanthus. Indeed, in the case of M. x giganteus and in line with the 343 sustainable agriculture practices, we confirmed the previous fields results¹² as nitrogen 344 fertilisation did not impact plant productivity in terms of aboveground biomass. Our work 345 also suggested that the suitability of M. x giganteus for R. maidis pests was not affected by 346 nitrogen fertiliser. In the case of *M. sinensis* and in line with the intensive production 347 practices, nitrogen fertilisation did improve plant productivity, but *M. sinensis* suitability for 348 pests was unexpectedly lower. 349

Finally, as Miscanthus crops can act as host reservoirs for the *Barley yellow dwarf virus*,^{20,38} our results underline the importance to consider both the selected host-plant species and the agricultural practices in terms of fertility programs as they can modulate the population dynamics of aphids vectors.

354 Acknowledgments

356	We would like to acknowledge the financial support from the Picardie region (research
357	project MISCPIC). We thank F. Dubois and A. Couty for their scientific support and A. Roots
358	for his critical proof-readings of the manuscript especially concerning the English language.
359	We are also grateful to M. Courty for providing free access to the electronic precision balance
360	of the Laboratoire de Réactivité et Chimie des Solides (UPJV, France).

362 **References**

363 364 365 366	1.	Lou Y and Baldwin IT. Nitrogen Supply Influences Herbivore-Induced Direct and Indirect Defenses and Transcriptional Responses in <i>Nicotiana attenuata</i> . <i>Plant physiol</i> 135 , 496–506 (2004).
367 368 369	2.	Mattson WJ. Herbivory in relation to plant nitrogen content. <i>Annu Rev Ecol Syst</i> 11: 119–161 (1980).
370 371 372	3.	Sinclair TR and Horie, T, Leaf Nitrogen, Photosynthesis, and Crop Radiation Use Efficiency: A Review. <i>Crop Sci.</i> 29, 90 (1989).
373 374 375	4.	Wiesler F, Behrens T and Horst WJ. The Role of Nitrogen-Efficient Cultivars in SustainableSciWorldJ1:61–69.(2001)
376 377 378 379	5.	Couture JJ, Servi JS and Lindroth, RL. Increased nitrogen availability influences predator-prey interactions by altering host-plant quality. Chemoecology 20 , 277–284. (2010).
380 381 382 383 384 385	6.	Ponder KL, Pritchard J, Harrington R and Bale JS. Difficulties in location and acceptance of phloem sap combined with reduced concentration of phloem amino acids explain lowered performance of the aphid <i>Rhopalosiphum padi</i> on nitrogen deficient barley (<i>Hordeum vulgare</i>) seedlings. <i>Entomol. Exp. Appl</i> 97: 203–210. (2000).
386 387 388	7.	Winter TR and Rostas. Nitrogen deficiency affects bottom-up cascade without disrupting indirect plant defense. <i>J. Chem. Ecol.</i> 36 : 642–51. (2010).
389 390 391 392	8.	Aqueel MA and Leather SR. Effect of nitrogen fertilizer on the growth and survival of <i>Rhopalosiphum padi</i> (L.) and <i>Sitobion avenae</i> (F.) (Homoptera: Aphididae) on different wheat cultivars. <i>Crop Prot.</i> 30 : 216–221. (2011).
393 394 395 396	9.	Zehnder CB and Hunter MD. More is not necessarily better: the impact of limiting and excessive nutrients on herbivore population growth rates. <i>Ecol. Entomol.</i> 34 : 535–543. (2009).
397 398 399 400	10	Chen Y, Ruberson JR and Olson DM. Nitrogen fertilization rate affects feeding, larval performance, and oviposition preference of the beet armyworm, <i>Spodoptera exigua</i> , on cotton. <i>Entomol. Exp. Appl.</i> 126 : 244–255. (2008).
401 402 403	11	Bryant JP, Chapin FS and Klein DR. Carbon/Nutrient Balance of Boreal Plants in Relation to Vertebrate Herbivory. <i>Oikos</i> 40: 357. (1983).
404 405 406 407	12	Cadoux S, Riche AB, Yates NE and Machet J-M. Nutrient requirements of Miscanthus x giganteus: Conclusions from a review of published studies. <i>Biomass and Bioenergy</i> 38: 14–22. (2012).
408 409 410	13	Smith P, Powlson DS, Smith JU, Falloon P and Coleman K. Meeting Europe's climate change commitments: quantitative estimates of the potential for carbon mitigation by agriculture. <i>Glob. Chang. Biol.</i> 6 : 525–539. (2000)

- 411 14. Lewandowski I, Scurlock JMO, Lindvall E and Christou M. The development and 412 current status of perennial rhizomatous grasses as energy crops in the US and Europe.
 413 *Biomass and Bioenergy* 25: 335–361. (2003).
- 415 15. Zapater M, Catterou M, Ollier M, Fingar L, Mignot E, Ferchaud F, Strullu L, Dubois
 416 F and Brancourt-Hulmel M. A Single and Robust Critical Nitrogen Dilution Curve for
 417 *Miscanthus × giganteus* and *Miscanthus sinensis*. *BioEnergy Res*. 1–14. (2016).
- 419 16. Zub HW, Arnoult S and Brancourt-Hulmel M. Key traits for biomass production
 420 identified in different Miscanthus species at two harvest dates. *Biomass and*421 *Bioenergy* 35: 637–651. (2011).
 - 17. Arnoult S and Brancourt-Hulmel M. A Review on Miscanthus Biomass Production and Composition for Bioenergy Use: Genotypic and Environmental Variability and Implications for Breeding. *BioEnergy Res.* 8: 502–526. (2015).
 - 18. Ameline A, Kerdellant E, Rombaut A, Chesnais Q, Dubois F, Lasue P, Coulette Q, Rambaud C and Couty A. Status of the bioenergy crop miscanthus as a potential reservoir for aphid pests. *Ind. Crops Prod.* **74**: 103–110. (2015).
 - 19. Bradshaw JD, Prasifka JR, Steffey KL and Gray ME. First Report of Field Populations of Two Potential Aphid Pests of the Bioenergy Crop *Miscanthus × Giganteus*. *Florida Entomol.* 93: 135–137. (2010).
 - 20. Huggett DAJ, Leather SR and Walters KFA. Suitability of the biomass crop *Miscanthus sinensis* as a host for the aphids *Rhopalosiphum padi* (L.) and *Rhopalosiphum maidis* (F.), and its susceptibility to the plant luteovirus *Barley Yellow Dwarf Virus*. *Agric. For. Entomol.* 1: 143–149. (1999).
 - 21. Pointeau S, Jaguenet E, Couty A, Dubois F, Rambaud C and Ameline A. Differential performance and behavior of the corn leaf aphid, *Rhopalosiphum maidis*, on three species of the biomass crop miscanthus. *Ind. Crops Prod.* **54**: 135–141. (2014).
 - 22. Doury G, Pottier J, Ameline A, Mennerat A, Dubois F, Rambaud C and Couty A. Bioenergy Crops and Natural Enemies: Host Plant-Mediated Effects of Miscanthus on the Aphid Parasitoid *Lysiphlebus testaceipes*. *BioEnergy Res*. 8: 1275–1283 (2015).
- 23. Zub HW, Rambaud C, Béthencourt L and Brancourt-Hulmel M. Late Emergence and Rapid Growth Maximize the Plant Development of Miscanthus Clones. *BioEnergy Res.* 5: 841–854. (2012).
- 452 24. Rambaud C, Arnoult S, Bluteau A, Mansard MC, Blassiau C and Brancourt-Hulmel
 453 M. Shoot organogenesis in three Miscanthus species and evaluation for genetic
 454 uniformity using AFLP analysis. Plant Cell. Tissue Organ Cult. 113: 437–448.
 455 (2013).
- 457 25. Wang D, Maughan MW, Sun J, Feng X, Miguez F, Lee D and Dietze M. Impact of
 458 nitrogen allocation on growth and photosynthesis of Miscanthus (*Miscanthus* x
 459 giganteus). GCB Bioenergy 4: 688–697. (2012).

461 26 462 463	Chesnais Q, Couty A, Catterou M and Ameline A Cascading effects of N input on tritrophic (plant-aphid-parasitoid) interactions. <i>Ecol. Evol.</i> 6: 7882–7891. (2016).
463 464 27 465 466	Tjallingii WF. Electrical nature of recorded signals during stylet penetration by aphids. <i>Entomol. Exp. Appl.</i> 38 : 177–186. (1985).
467 28 468 469	Giordanengo P. EPG-Calc: A PHP-based script to calculate electrical penetration graph (EPG) parameters. <i>Arthropod. Plant. Interact.</i> 8: 163–169. (2014).
470 29 471 472	Tjallingii WF and Hogen Esch T. Fine structure of aphid stylet routes in plant tissues in correlation with EPG signals. <i>Physiol. Entomol.</i> 18 : 317–328. (1993).
473 30 474 475 476	Giordanengo P. DEMP 1.5.4: Progamme php pour calculer les paramètres démographiques. [Internet] (2012). Available: http://www2.sophia.inra.fr/ID/SOFTS/demp/demp.php
477 31 478 479	Birch LC. The Intrinsic Rate of Natural Increase of an Insect Population. J. Anim. Ecol. 17: 15–26. (1948).
480 32 481 482 483	R Core Team (2015). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-project.org/.
484 33 485 486 487	Danalatos NG, Archontoulis SV and Mitsios IPotential growth and biomass productivity of <i>Miscanthus</i> x <i>giganteus</i> as affected by plant density and N-fertilization in central Greece. <i>Biomass and Bioenergy</i> 31 : 145–152. (2007).
488 34 489 490 491 492	Lemaire G, Salette J, Sigogne M and Terrasson J. Relation entre dynamique de croissance et dynamique de prélèvement d'azote pour un peuplement de graminées fourragères. II Etude de la variabilité entre génotypes. <i>Agron. EDP Sci.</i> 4 : 431.436. (1984).
493 35 494 495	Karley AJ, Douglas AE and Parker WE. Amino acid composition and nutritional quality of potato leaf phloem sap for aphids. <i>J. Exp. Biol.</i> 205 : 3009–3018. (2002).
496 36 497 498 499	Ameline A, Couty A, Martoub M, Sourice S and Giordanengo P Modification of <i>Macrosiphum euphorbiae</i> colonisation behaviour and reproduction on potato plants treated by mineral oil. <i>Entomol. Exp. Appl.</i> 135 : 77–84. (2010).
500 37 501 502	van Noordwijk AJ and de Jong G. Acquisition and Allocation of Resources: Their Influence on Variation in Life History Traits. <i>Am. Nat.</i> 128 : 137–142. (1986).
503 38 504 505 506 506	Christian DG, Lamptey JNL, Forde SMD and Plumb RT. First report of <i>Barley Yellow Dwarf</i> luteovirus on Miscanthus in the United Kingdom. Eur. <i>J. Plant Pathol.</i> 100: 167–170. (1994).

507 Tables

Table 1 Influence of nitrogen fertiliser treatment on biomass and quality of *M. sinensis* (a),

509 *M. sacchariflorus* (b) and *M.* x *giganteus* (c) host-plants.

a)	M. sinensis				
Parameter	- N°	+N†	Statistics [‡]		
Aboveground biomass (g)	26.66 ± 3.23	48.85 ± 8.41	**		
Leaf C:N ratio	14.71 ± 0.65	17.35 ± 0.44	**		
Leaf nitrogen content (%)	3.23 ± 0.15	2.78 ± 0.06	*		

510

b) <i>M. sacchariflorus</i>					
Parameter	- N°	+ N†	Statistics [‡]		
Aboveground biomass (g)	2.32 ± 0.44	2.16 ± 0.52	NS		
Leaf C:N ratio	18.72 ± 1.55	15.43 ± 0.91	*		
Leaf nitrogen content (%)	2.46 ± 0.17	2.95 ± 0.12	*		

511

c)	M. x giga		
Parameter	- N°	+N†	Statistics [‡]
Aboveground biomass (g)	23.95 ± 5.77	30.55 ± 6.65	NS
Leaf C:N ratio	15.60 ± 0.59	15.25 ± 0.36	NS
Leaf nitrogen content (%)	3.14 ± 0.12	3.19 ± 0.05	NS

512 Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences (Mann–Whitney U tests, *P < 0.05; **

513 *P*<0.01, ***: *P*<0.001)

^o Mean values \pm SEM for plants without N solution (-N)

515 † Mean values \pm SEM for plants with N solution (120 kgN/ha) (+N)

	•	-	-		-					
		M. sinensis	M. sinensis		<i>M</i> . x giganteus	<i>M</i> . x giganteus		M. sacchariflorus	M. sacchariflorus	
		(-N)	(+N)		(-N)	(+N)		(-N)	(+N)	
Parameter		n=32	n=39		n=38	n=24		n=37	n=38	
Pr	s_Pr	305.44 ± 9.86	292.69 ± 10.16	NS	308.04 ± 6.83	308.21 ± 12.1	NS	302.53 ± 8.64	315.26 ± 7.12	NS
(min)	n	32	39		38	24		37	38	
С	s_C	122.72 ± 11.07	168.74 ± 10.57	**	140.83 ± 30.09	170.31 ± 15.94	NS	169.64 ± 10.31	177.46 ± 13.36	NS
(min)	n	32	39		38	24		37	38	
F	s_F	45.31 ± 9.86	63.89 ± 11.56	NS	85.72 ± 11.51	47.87 ± 10.84	NS	58.52 ± 12.24	35.73 ± 9.05	*
(min)	n	23	30		28	17		25	22	
G	s_G	137.79 ± 15.65	69.11 ± 8.37	***	97.63 ± 12.17	84.13 ± 17.07	NS	90.31 ± 14.9	89.15 ± 12.46	NS
(min)	n	31	36		36	20		30	35	
E1	s_E1	19.36 ± 4.5	14.69 ± 2.05	NS	13.32 ± 2.61	6.84 ± 1.41	*	5.61 ± 1.36	7.33 ± 1.39	NS
(min)	n	16	26		22	17		18	24	
E2	s_E2	64.27 ± 50.43	22.70 ± 13.71	NS	57.65 ± 41.35	87.14 ± 35.25	NS	107.33 ± 46.49	88.79 ± 28.69	NS
(min)	n	4	2		3	8		6	13	

Table 2: Feeding behaviour parameters (mean \pm standard error of the mean) of *R. maidis*, developing on *M. sinensis*, *M. x giganteus* or *M. sacchariflorus* host-plants on depleted soil (-N) or treated with a nitrogenous fertiliser 120 kg.N.ha⁻¹ (+N).

517

The asterisk * indicates a significant difference between the two treatments (-N and +N) (Mann–Whitney *U* tests, * P < 0.05; ** P < 0.01, ***: *P*<0.001). Pr: probing phase, C: stylet pathways in plant tissues except phloem and xylem, F: derailed stylet mechanics, G: active xylem sap

520 ingestion, E1: salivation in phloem elements, E2: passive phloem sap ingestion.

522 Figures caption

523

524 Fig. 1 Performance parameters of *R. maidis* developing on three species of Miscanthus without (-N) or with (+N) nitrogen input. Box-plots show median (line), 25-75 % percentiles 525 (box), 10-90 % percentiles (whisker) and outliers (dots). a) Intrinsic rate of population 526 527 increase (r_m) of *R. maidis*. b) Weight of eight day-old *R. maidis* aphids. The asterisk * indicates a significant difference between the two treatments (-N and +N) for a plant host species and 528 529 letters indicate significant differences between plant species associated with lsmeans (lowercase letters for non-treated plants, capital letters for treated plants) (* P<0.05, ** P<0.01, ***: 530 531 *P*<0.001). 532





