

# Insect pollination is an ecological process involved in the assembly of the seed microbiota

Alberto Prado Farias, Brice Marolleau, Bernard Vaissière, Matthieu Barret,

Gloria Torres Cortes

## ▶ To cite this version:

Alberto Prado Farias, Brice Marolleau, Bernard Vaissière, Matthieu Barret, Gloria Torres Cortes. Insect pollination is an ecological process involved in the assembly of the seed microbiota. BioRxiv, 2019, 10.1101/626895 . hal-02624711

# HAL Id: hal-02624711 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02624711v1

Submitted on 26 May 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

#### Title page

- Insect pollination is an ecological process involved in the assembly of the seed
- microbiota
- Running title: Insect pollination affects seed microbiota
- Authors and affiliations: Alberto Prado<sup>1\*</sup>, Brice Marolleau<sup>2</sup>, Bernard E. Vaissière<sup>1</sup>,
- Matthieu Barret<sup>2</sup>, Gloria Torres-Cortes<sup>2\*</sup>
- \*These authors contributed equally to the work
- \*Corresponding authors
- <sup>1</sup>INRA, UR 406 Abeilles et Environnement, F 84914, Avignon, France
- <sup>2</sup>IRHS, Agrocampus-Ouest, INRA, Université d'Angers, SFR4207 QuaSaV, 49071,
- Beaucouzé, France

Comment citer ce document : Prado Farias, A., Marolleau, B., Vaissière, B., Barret, M., Torres Cortes, G. (2019). Insect pollination is an ecological process involved in the assembly of the seed microbiota. BioRxiv, preprint. , DOI : 10.1101/626895

#### 26 ABSTRACT

The assembly of the seed microbiota involves some early microbial seed 27 colonizers that are transmitted from the maternal plant through the vascular system, 28 while other microbes enter through the stigma. Thus, the seed microbiota consists of 29 microbes not only recruited from the vascular tissues of the plant, but also from the 30 flower. Flowers are known to be a hub for microbial transmission between plants and 31 insects. This floral-insect exchange opens the possibility for insect-transmitted bacteria 32 to colonize the ovule and subsequently the seed, and to pass then into the next plant 33 generation. In this study, we evaluated the contribution of insect pollination to the seed 34 microbiota through high-throughput sequencing. Oilseed rape (OSR) Brassica napus 35 flowers were exposed to visits and pollination by honey bees (Apis mellifera) or red 36 mason bees (Osmia bicornis), hand pollination, or autonomous self-pollination (ASP). 37 Sequence analyses revealed that honey bee visitation reduced the bacterial richness 38 and diversity, increased the variability in the seed microbial structure, and introduced 39 bee-associated taxa. In contrast, mason bee pollination had minor effects on the seed 40 microbiota. We highlight the need to consider insect pollination as an ecological 41 process involved in the transmission of bacteria from flower to seeds. 42

43

#### 44 **IMPORTANCE**

2

Insect pollinators and flowering plants have a very old mutualistic relationship in which animal mobility is used for the dispersal of pollen. The pollination services provided by insects are extremely important to many natural plant populations as well as agricultural crops. Here we show that while visiting flowers, insect pollinators can disperse bacteria that are able to colonize the developing seed via the flower. Hence, insect pollination participates in the assembly of the seed microbiota, the inoculum for the next plant generation. This novel insight has important implications in terms of reassessing pollinator services by including microbe transfer.

53

#### 54 **KEYWORDS**

55 Bee pollination, bacterial transmission, entomovectors, seed-associated microbes

56

#### 57 INTRODUCTION

3

In nature, plants live in close association with a wide diversity of micro- and 58 macro-organisms, both inside and outside their tissues. Microbes play essential roles 59 in plant growth and development, affecting plant biomass or disease resistance 60 (Berendsen et al. 2012; Santhanam et al. 2015; Sugiyama et al. 2013). Although 61 numerous studies have been focusing on the analysis of microbial assemblages 62 associated to different plant organs (Bulgarelli et al. 2013, Junker et al. 2011), little is 63 known about tripartite interactions between plants, their microbiomes and other 64 multicellular organisms, like pollinators. Insect visitors acquire and deposit 65 microorganisms onto flower surfaces during nectar and pollen collection (Adler et al. 66 2018; Aizenberg-Gershtein et al. 2013; de Vega et al. 2013; Ushio et al. 2015), thus 67 shaping the flower microbiota (Aleklett et al. 2014; Manirajan et al. 2016; McFrederick 68 et al. 2017). Since the flower microbiota serves as one of several inocula for the plant 69 ovule, and hence for the seed (Truvens et al. 2015), it is possible that by affecting the 70 microbial community of the flower (including pollen), pollinators could modify the seed 71 microbiota. 72

The role of insect vectors in the dispersal of bacteria and fungi to roots, stems, leaves, flowers, and fruits is well documented (Perilla-Henao et al. 2016; Shikano et al. 2017), while their role in the microbial assembly of the seed has yet to be described. During the seed-to-seed development cycle, some early microbial seed colonizers are

transmitted from the mother plant to the ovule through the vascular system (internal 77 transmission) while others colonize the ovule through the stigma (floral transmission). 78 Other microbes are subsequently incorporated to the seed via external transmission, 79 due to the contact with microorganisms present on fruits, flowers or threshing residues 80 (Maude, 1996). Thus, the assembly of the seed microbiota is a complex process, 81 including microbes recruited not only from the vascular tissue of the plant, but also 82 from the floral microbiota. By affecting floral traits, microorganisms inhabiting the flower 83 can have beneficial or detrimental consequences for the reproductive success of the 84 plant (Aleklett et al. 2014; Canto et al. 2008; Herrera et al. 2013). However, it is 85 unknown whether microbes inhabiting the flower can be incorporated via the floral 86 87 pathway and affect seed traits. It is therefore important to understand the drivers in the assembly of seed microbiota. 88

Previous community-profiling approaches performed on the seed microbiota of 89 various plant species have identified a range of bacterial taxa that could be potentially 90 insect-transmitted (Adam et al., 2018; Bergna et al., 2019; Barret et al. 2015, Klaedtke 91 et al., 2016; Leff et al., 2017, Links et al., 2014, Rezki et al., 2016; Rybakova et al., 92 2017, Rodrigues et al., 2018; Truyens et al. 2015). For instance, bacterial taxa affiliated 93 to the Enterobacteriaceae, such as the ubiquitous Pantoea agglomerans, are found 94 both in flowers, seeds and insect visitors such as the honey bee Apis mellifera 95 (Loncaric et al. 2009; Rezki et al. 2018). In addition, another Enterobacteriaceae 96 species, Rosenbergiella nectarea, isolated from the nectar of different plant species 97 (Halpern et al. 2013), has also been detected in seeds (Torres-Cortes et al. 2018), 98 indicating a possible bacteria transmission to the seed through the floral pathway by 99 insect pollinators. However, it is currently unknown if insect pollinators participate in 100 shaping the structure of the seed microbiota. 101

In this study, we apply metabarcoding approaches to uncover the contribution 102 103 of insect pollinators to the seed microbiota and to the transmission of seed-associated microorganisms. Since bees (Apoidea: Anthophila) are one of the most important 104 pollinators and harbor bacteria that are shared with flowers (Mcfrederick et al. 2017), 105 we have examined the effect of bee pollination on the seed microbiota of oilseed rape 106 (OSR; Brassica napus) by performing pollination exclusion experiments. Our results 107 108 show that bee pollination participates in the microbial assembly of the seed by reducing the bacterial richness and diversity, increasing the variability amongst plants (beta 109 dispersion) and introducing bee-associated taxa. Collectively, these data suggest that 110 111 insect pollination is an ecological process involved in the assembly of the seed microbiota. 112

113

#### 114 **RESULTS**

The effect of bee pollination on OSR seed-associated microbial assemblages 115 was assessed during two years (2017 and 2018) on two different plant lines: i) a male 116 fertile F<sub>1</sub> hybrid (MF; cv 'Exocet') that was bagged to exclude insects and be 117 autonomously self-pollinated or left open to bee visits with two pollinator species, the 118 119 domestic honey bee (Apis mellifera) and the red mason bee (Osmia bicornis); and ii) its male sterile parent, thereafter referred to as MS line, that does not produce pollen 120 and was either hand-pollinated with pollen from many different plants of the MF line or 121 exposed to honey bee visits and pollination. In total, 198 samples corresponding to 122 bees, nectar, pollen and seeds were analyzed by 16S rRNA gene amplicon Illumina 123 sequencing (Supp. Table 1). 124

125

#### 126 Experimental design and sequence analysis

To investigate if bee pollination services has an additional effect on the microbial 127 128 assemblage of OSR seeds, bee and plant samples were collected and submitted to bacterial community profiling analyses. Pollinator exclusion experiments were carried 129 out inside two insect proof tunnels. Prior to the experiment, all inflorescences were 130 covered under gas permeable osmoflux bags to exclude any contact with insects. 131 During the experiment, half of the inflorescences on each plant were uncovered and 132 exposed to bee pollination (seeds issued from this treatment will be referred to as BP 133 seeds). The other half of the inflorescences were left covered. These un-touched 134 flowers produced seeds resulting from autonomous self-pollination, hereafter referred 135 136 to as ASP seeds. Inflorescences of the MS line were pollinated by hand using a paintbrush with pollen of many different plants (HP seeds). In this way, ASP, BP and HP 137 seeds, as well as nectar, pollen and bees were collected and their DNA was extracted. 138 Amplicon libraries of the V4 region of 16S rRNA were constructed afterwards and 139 sequenced to characterize the bacterial assemblages present in the samples. The raw 140 sequencing data was first resolved into Amplicon Sequence Variants (ASVs) using the 141 software DADA2 (Callahan et al. 2016). After quality filtering, chloroplast removing and 142 taxonomic affiliation, a total of 6.3 million reads were classified in 2,764 ASVs. 143 144 According to rarefaction curves, most samples reached an asymptote at 12,000 sequences and were rarefied at this value (Supp. Figure S2). This analysis indicated 145 that we were able to characterize most of the diversity found in the seed samples with 146 147 an average of 95.7 ASVs per seed sample in the MF line and 67.4 ASVs per sample in the MS line. 148

149

#### 150 Taxonomic composition of bee, pollen, nectar and seed microbiota

Analysis of the ASV taxonomic affiliation showed that Proteobacteria and 151 *Firmicutes* were the dominant *phyla* in honey bee samples (**Supp. Figure S3**). These 152 phyla contain the main taxa of the "core gut" microbiome in honey bee workers such 153 as Frischella, Gillamella, Snodgrassella, Lactobacillus and Buchnera spp. (Engel et al. 154 2012). Concerning OSR pollen, samples were also dominated by Firmicutes and 155 Proteobacteria in both years. However, we observed very contrasting results for nectar 156 samples between years (Supp. Figure S3). Nectar samples from 2017 were more 157 diverse than the ones from 2018, which were dominated by the genus Acinetobacter. 158 This taxa was also found in very high abundance in the seeds (see below). 159

160 In the case of microbial assemblages associated to seeds, the most common bacteria belonged to the Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes and Proteobacteria 161 (Figure 1a, 1c), which is in agreement with other microbiome studies performed on 162 163 OSR seeds (Rybakova et al. 2017). Seed samples from 2018 were dominated by the genera Acinetobacter and Pantoea (around 80% of the reads were affiliated to these 164 two genera; Figure 1c). Honey bee pollination changed significantly (logarithmic LDA 165 score higher than 2.5) the abundances of 3 ASVs in the seeds, while mason bee 166 pollination changed the abundances of 15 ASVs (Figure 1d; Supp. Table 3 and 4). 167

168 In the seed samples collected during 2017, Acinetobacter and Pantoea were not the most abundant taxa; the genera Sphingobium, Pseudomonas, Lactobacillus 169 and *Gillamella* were the most predominant instead. Interestingly, honey bee pollination 170 affected the relative abundances of 7 ASVs (Figure 1b; Supp. Table 2), 4 of which 171 are specifically associated with honey bees and a part of the bee core microbiota 172 (Figure 1a). Arsenophonus (Yañez et al. 2016), Frischella (Engel et al. 2013), 173 Spiroplasma and Lactobacillus are bee-associated taxa and were significantly more 174 abundant in seeds issued from BP as opposed to those issued from ASP. Specifically, 175

the ASV0075 showed 99% identity with the honey bee pathogen Spiroplasma apis 176 strain B31<sup>T</sup> (Ku et al. 2014) and the ASV0100 showed 100% identity with *Lactobacillus* 177 mellis strain H1HS38N, a symbiotic bacterium inhabiting the bee stomach (Olofsson et 178 al. 2014). The other two remaining taxa enriched in bee-pollinated samples belong to 179 the genus Moraxella, which is highly abundant in nectar. The acetic acid bacteria of 180 the genus Bombella, which are found in the gut of bumble bees and honey bees (Li et 181 al. 2015), were only present in the bees themselves and in the seeds issued from bee 182 pollination. However, due to its low relative abundance, the Bombella ASV did not 183 achieve the 2.5 fold change criteria. These results suggest that insect pollinators can 184 185 transfer bacteria (Arsenophonus, Frischella, Spiroplasma, Lactobacillus and Bombella) from the insect itself or from the nectar collected to the seed through the 186 floral pathway. 187

188

8

#### 189 Seed microbial alpha diversity is modified by honey bee pollination

To further investigate how bee pollination affects the structure of seed microbial 190 assemblages, bacterial richness and diversity indexes were assessed for the seed-191 associated assemblages in all the different treatments (Figure 2). To compare the 192 193 alpha diversity in seed samples, non-parametric Wilcoxon rank-signed tests were performed on the rarefied data set. No statistically differences were found in alpha 194 diversity in the 2017 seed samples (N=11). In contrast, variations of ASV richness and 195 diversity were observed between seed samples in relation to the pollination mode in 196 2018 (N=53, Figure 2a). Bacterial richness significantly decreased in seeds issued 197 from honey bee pollination (p<0.0001; Figure 2a). However, we do not observe the 198 same trend in the seeds issued from mason bee pollination (Figure 2a). These 199 discrepancies observed in the two types of pollinators used in this study are probably 200

due to differences in the foraging intensity of these insects. Indeed, honey bees visited 201 202 the flowers more intensively (~ 1 visit per flower every 2 min) than mason bees (~ 1 visit per flower every 10 min). Moreover, while the honey bee colony used in these 203 experiments consisted of a population of ca. 5000 worker bees with a population of 204 foragers of at least 1000 individuals, only 200 female and male cocoons of mason bees 205 were introduced. It is also noteworthy that the visits to the experimental flowers during 206 the mason bee experiment was done mostly by males that were searching for nectar 207 between matings. 208

Seeds issued from hand pollination on the MS line (HP seeds), did not differ in bacterial richness from those issued from bee pollination, suggesting that the decrease in richness (**Figure 2a**) could be partly explained by the amount of pollen deposited on the stigma and not by the selection of insect-associated taxa. When checking the evenness in the samples, we observed a significant reduction due to mason bee pollination. Indeed, *Acinetobacter* is the dominant taxa in seeds issued from mason bee pollination.

To assess the impact of bee pollination on the diversity of the seed microbiota, 216 different diversity indexes were calculated. As observed with richness, there was a 217 218 significant reduction in bacterial diversity due to honey bee pollination (Shannon and Faith's PD phylogenetic diversity; p=0.003 and p<0.0001 respectively; Figure 2c-d). 219 In this case, we observed a similar trend in the diversity of the microbial assemblages 220 221 associated to the seeds issued from mason bee pollination (Figure 2c), where the diversity calculated with the Shannon index was also reduced (p=0.001). Altogether, 222 these results suggest that bee pollination decreases the diversity of the microbial 223 assemblages associated with seeds. 224

225

#### 226 Effect of bee pollination on the structure of the seed microbiota

227 Similarity in community composition between samples was then estimated through PCoA ordination of unweighted UniFrac distances (Table 1 and Figure 3 for 228 2018 data). The relative contribution of pollination was then investigated through 229 canonical analysis of principal coordinates (CAP) followed by PERMANOVA (Table 1). 230 The bacterial composition differed between plant materials (seeds, nectar and pollen: 231 Figure 3a), nectar and seeds clustering together in the ordination plot and separated 232 from pollen. The type of plant material explained 35.54 and 9% of the variation in 233 microbial composition in 2017 and 2018 respectively (Table 1; p=0.0002 and 234 235 p=0.0001). As expected, microbial assemblages associated to bees were distinct from the ones associated to plant tissues. Moreover, microbes associated with the surface 236 of the highly social honey bees were very different to the microbes living inside the bee 237 238 gut (Engel et al. 2012). However, this is not the case for the solitary mason bee, which harbored similar microbial assemblages on its surface and gut (Figure 3b). 239

Differences in microbial composition of ASP and BP seeds were not statistically 240 different in 2017 (Table 1). However, in 2018, flowers pollinated by honey bees 241 produced seeds with significant changes in microbial composition compared with those 242 243 from ASP seeds, showing that honey bee pollination can change the composition of the seed microbiota (Figure 3c). Moreover, these BP seed microbial assemblages 244 diverge from those of ASP seeds and are closer to those of pollen (Figure 3a). 245 According to CAP analyses, honey bee pollination explained 12.3% (p = 0.001) of the 246 variation in bacterial community composition in the seed samples in 2018. In the 247 experiments performed in 2017, we observed a similar trend, although the change was 248 not statistically different due to the low size of the sample (Table 1). In contrast, we did 249 not observe changes in the microbial composition of the seeds issued from flowers 250

pollinated by mason bees, probably due to the reduced visitation rates observed duringthe experiment.

During both years, the bacterial composition of the seeds produced by honey 253 bee pollination did not differ from that of HP seeds on the MS line (**Table 1**). Ordination 254 plots did not show a segregation between the seeds from the honey bee and the hand 255 pollination treatments. In agreement with these results, the constrained analysis of 256 principal coordinates revealed that the pollination mode of the sterile line had no 257 significant effect on seed-associated microbial assemblages. This fact suggests that 258 the differences observed between seeds that resulted from honey bee pollinated 259 260 flowers and seeds that came from ASP flowers could be due to the amount and the 261 varied origin of the pollen, and not necessarily to the insect associated taxa.

262

263

ersion preprint

#### Pollination by honey bees increases microbial beta dispersion among seeds

The PCoA (Figure 3c) showed that following honey bee pollination, the 264 bacterial assemblages associated to BP seeds were more variable than those of the 265 ASP seeds. Our experimental design involved having two pollination modes 266 represented within each plant, which allowed for the comparison of the variability in the 267 268 bacterial composition of seeds issued from those pollination modes. We compared the distances of each plant in the principal coordinate space (created using the unweighted 269 UniFrac distances) to the group (pollination mode) centroid (Anderson et al., 2006; 270 271 Vannette et al. 2017). In this way, we assessed the beta dispersion as the variability of the ASV composition at the plant level (inter-plant variation, n=9, n=10 & n=10 for 272 honey bee, mason bee and HP on the MS line respectively). We did not observe 273 statistically significant changes in distance to the centroid (beta dispersion) between 274 ASP and BP seed microbial assemblages in the mason bee treatment. No differences 275

in beta dispersion were detected between honey bee and hand pollinated seed microbial assemblages in the MS line. However, we did observe that the beta dispersion was significantly higher in seed communities that were exposed to honey bee pollination in contrast to those that came from flowers with ASP (Wilcoxon ranksigned test, p = 0.02; **Figure 4**).

281

#### 282 DISCUSSION

Currently, little is known about the neutral or niche-based ecological processes 283 involved in the assembly of the seed microbiota. Niche processes, like selection by the 284 285 environment, have been shown to shape the structure of seed-associated fungal assemblages (Klaedtke et al. 2015). Furthermore, host-filtering processes have been 286 shown to be involved in the assembly of oilseed rape (Rybakova et al. 2017) and 287 tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) seed microbiomes (Bergna et al. 2018). On the other 288 hand, neutral processes related to ecological drift have been described as important 289 drivers of the structure of the bacterial communities of radish (Raphanus sativus) seeds 290 (Rezki et al. 2018). Taken together, these pioneer studies suggest that seed-291 associated microbial communities consist of a few dominant taxa that are probably 292 293 niche-selected, and multiple scarce taxa whose distributions could be explained by neutral processes. The present study supports the hypothesis that insect pollination is 294 a neutral ecological process (microbial dispersal) that participates in the dispersal of 295 microbes between flowers and seeds and influences the assembly of the seed 296 microbiota. Our results show that Apis pollination can change diversity of seed-297 associated bacterial assemblages and in some cases introduce bee-associated taxa. 298

The proximity between a floral structure and the developing seed suggests that microbes associated with the flower could eventually colonize the developing seeds

through the floral pathway. The floral pathway for the colonization of the seed tissue is 301 302 less specialized than the vascular (internal) pathway. Indeed, plant-pathogenic bacteria can colonize both host and non-host seeds via the floral pathway (Darsonval 303 et al., 2008). Thus, the mechanisms dispersing microbes into flowers (like insect 304 pollination) could have important implications for the transmission of non-specialized 305 microbes to the developing seeds. Previous studies have already shown that insect 306 pollination modifies the composition of microbial assemblages associated to flowers 307 (Ushio et al 2015), nectar (Aizenberg-Gershtein et al. 2013; de Vega et al. 2013; 308 Vannette et al. 2017) and pollen (Manirajan et al. 2016); however, virtually nothing is 309 310 known about the effect of insects pollinators on plant seed microbial communities. According to our data, the changes observed in the seed microbiota were mostly 311 associated with the honey bee (A. mellifera) and very mild effects were observed with 312 313 the red mason bee (O. bicornis). During our experiments, the bee density between the two bee species differed considerably (honey bees being an order of magnitude more 314 abundant) and we observed a strong difference in visitation rates. These differences 315 are reflected in our results on the seed microbiota, suggesting that the amount of 316 contact between the insect and the flower might be a determining factor in the effect 317 318 of the insect on the seed microbiota. Indeed, the time that a bee spends foraging on a flower is correlated with the transmission of bee pathogens (Adler et al. 2018). The 319 potential role that foraging behaviour (especially the foraging intensity and the handling 320 321 time, i.e. time spent per flower) has on the seed microbiota should be assessed in order to shed light on the role that different pollinators might have in the transmission 322 of seed-borne diseases (Aleklett et al. 2014; Truyens et al. 2015). 323

324 The microbial assemblages associated with seeds examined in this study are 325 dominated by members of the *Actinobacteria*, *Bacteroidetes*, *Firmicutes* and

Proteobacteria phyla (Figure 1). These phyla were also prevalent in other studies of 326 327 the oilseed rape seeds (Rybakova et al. 2017). The taxonomic profiles were very different between the two years. This is not so surprising since biotic factors (such as 328 the type of soil, the climatic conditions, and also the field management practices) have 329 a strong influence on the seed microbiota (Klaedtke et al. 2016). Acinetobacter was 330 the dominant genus of the seeds and the nectar in 2018. This is a widespread genus 331 in animals, plants and the environment (Doughari et al. 2011). Specifically in plants, 332 Acinetobacter spp. have been described as plant-growth-promoting bacteria (Suzuki 333 et al. 2014). In our 2018 experiments, this taxon was already present in samples of 334 335 pollen and nectar prior to the pollination exclusion experiments. It is thus possible that Acinetobacter acted as a pioneer taxon in seeds exerting a strong priority effect in the 336 final microbial composition of the seed. 337

While mason bee carries pollen in its abdominal scopa, the honey bee 338 aggregates pollen grains by adding regurgitated nectar or diluted honey to transport it 339 to its nest in the corbiculae of its hind legs. The addition of nectar rises the humidity of 340 the pollen grain, causing it to swell and expose the intine (Human & Nicolson 2008). 341 The taxonomic profiles of the 2017 seed samples showed that honey bee pollination 342 343 changed the seed microbiota by increasing the abundance of bee- or nectarassociated taxa. It is then tempting to speculate that the way the honey bee processes 344 pollen allows for the transmission of bee-associated bacteria to the seed. Indeed, 345 Spiroplasma, Lactobacillus, Arsenophonus, Frischella and Bombella are insect 346 symbiotic bacteria living in the bee gut (Corby-Harris et al. 2014; Engel et al. 2013; 347 Yanez et al. 2016; Yun et al. 2017) and were more abundant (and in some cases, only 348 present) in seeds issued from BP as opposed to ASP. These results illustrate the 349 possibility of insect-transmitted bacteria colonizing the seed. Interestingly, two of the 350

identified ASVs affiliated to *Spiroplasma* and *Arsenophonus* could act as bee
 pathogens, suggesting that plants could act as a reservoir for bee pathogens.

In our experimental design, the effect of bee pollination on the seed microbiota 353 was assessed in two different plant genotypes: a male fertile line and a male sterile 354 line that does not produce pollen. Our results on the male sterile line show that hand 355 pollination did not differ from bee pollination. This would suggest that the diverse origin 356 (many source plants) and copious amount of pollen delivered either by a bee or by a 357 paintbrush have similar effects on the seed microbiota. On the contrary, the reduced 358 amount and single origin of the pollen of ASP causes significant differences to the seed 359 360 microbiota as compared with that of the seeds resulting from bee pollination. It is known that the amount of pollen delivered to the stigma has an impact on the germination 361 rates of the pollen grains: small pollen populations germinate poorly. This population 362 363 effect is partly explained by the availability of certain growth factors such as calcium ions (Brewbaker & Kwack 1963), flavonols (Taylor & Hepler 1997) and phytosulfokine-364 alpha (Chen et al. 2000). The greater availability of nutrients presented by large pollen 365 populations might also provide nutrients for bacteria. The availability of nutrients 366 fosters competition between the microbial taxa, which could explain the observed 367 368 decrease in species richness observed in honey-bee-pollinated seeds.

Alternatively, the decrease in alpha diversity might be related to the period during which the stigma is receptive. Bacteria are generally unable to actively penetrate the plant tissue and rely on openings such as wounds, stomata, lenticels and nectarhodes (Gimenez-Ibanez et al. 2010). A possible route to enter the seed is provided by the stigma surface through which bacteria can penetrate (Compant et al. 2011; Truyens et al. 2015), possibly during the penetration of the pollen tubes. Following flower opening, oilseed rape flowers require on average ~13h of exposure

to pollinators to complete their sexual function (Bell & Cresswell 1998). The removal of pollen triggers flower senescence (Bell & Cresswell 1998), so in our experiments the flowers visited by bees were likely to have senesced faster than the flowers left to selfpollinate autonomously. The increased duration of flower longevity in the ASP treatment may have facilitated the entry of bacteria, explaining then the higher diversity of the seed microbial communities. Future experiments should aim at disentangling how insect pollination and flower longevity affect the seed microbiome.

Honey bee pollination enhanced the variation in the structure of seed bacterial 383 assemblages (Figure 4a). This result is in agreement with Vanette et al. (2017) who 384 385 reported that pollinators increase dispersal of microorganisms and ultimately enhance 386 dissimilarity between nectar microbial assemblages. These findings could be explained by a high stochasticity in the order in which bacterial species arrive (stochasticity of 387 microbial dispersal), and due to strong priority effects, the composition of the 388 assemblages can diverge (Vannette et al. 2017). Pollination by Apis would then favor 389 the arrival of new species to the flower and seed, increasing the variability of the seed-390 associated microbial communities. Our data could serve as a foundation for additional 391 experiments that target directly the effect of priority effects on beta dispersion and on 392 393 the assembly of the seed microbial communities.

394

#### 395 Conclusions

This study aimed to uncover the contribution of bee pollination to the seed microbiota. We have identified differences in richness, diversity and species composition in seeds issued from bee pollination, as compared to those from flowers with autonomous self-pollination. Our findings with two different bee species suggest that foraging behaviour (foraging rates/intensity) might mediate the insect's effect on the seed microbiota. Additionally, the amount and origin of the pollen might also have 402 an effect. These results provide novel insights about determinants involved in the 403 transmission of bacteria from flower to seeds, and have important implications in terms 404 of re-evaluating the services provided by pollinators, which could include microbe 405 transfer.

406

#### 407 MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 408 **Pollinator exclusion experiments**

Pollinator exclusion experiments were performed inside two 22 x 8 m insect 409 proof tunnels at the INRA research station at Avignon during 2017 and 2018. Inside 410 411 the tunnels, seeds of oilseed rape (Brassica napus) were sown in the soil in four 18 m long rows (20 plants per row). Two winter oilseed rape lines were sown side by side: a 412 male fertile F<sub>1</sub> hybrid line 'Exocet' and its male sterile parent which does not produce 413 pollen. Winter oilseed rape was chosen because it is a highly self-fertile plant that 414 produces nectar attractive to bees, and because a male sterile line was available. 415 Plants were watered two times a day with an automated water drip system. 416

In 2017, the pollinator exclusion experiment was only carried out using honey 417 bees on 5 plants of the male fertile line in a single tunnel. In 2018, the pollinator 418 419 exclusion experiments included 1) honey bees on the male fertile line in one tunnel 2) mason bees on the male fertile line in a second tunnel and 3) honey bees on the male 420 sterile line in the first tunnel. For each experiment, ten plants were chosen as 421 422 experimental plants based on their homogenous appearance. On each plant, six flowering panicles were marked using flower markers of two different colours (three 423 panicles each) and bagged with hydrophilic plastic bags made of osmolux film (Pantek 424 France, www.pantek-france.fr/agriculture.html). The osmolux bags are gas-425 permeable, but prevent all contact with insects, even small ones such as thrips 426

(Thysanoptera) (Perrot et al. 2018). On the day of the introduction of the bees into the 427 tunnels, three color-coded panicles were un-bagged and exposed to bee visits (bee 428 pollination treatment), while the three others remained covered (autonomous self-429 pollination treatment). Foraging bees were allowed to forage freely amongst the 430 experimental plants and those that were not selected for the experiment. On the male 431 sterile line, the panicles that remained bagged during the introduction of the bees were 432 pollinated by hand using a fine paint brush with pollen coming from many flowers 433 (>200) from several plants of the male fertile line (>10). After 48 hours, the bees were 434 removed from the tunnels and the uncovered panicles were re-bagged. All panicles 435 436 were kept bagged for an additional 48 hours to ensure that no bee was left in the 437 tunnels, at which point all bags were removed.

One tunnel was used to perform experiments using honey bees (*Apis mellifera*) where we introduced a 5-frame hive (adult population ~5000). In the other tunnel, 100 male and 100 female cocoons of the red mason bee (*Osmia bicornis*) were introduced. Male mason bees were highly active visiting flowers and mating with the females during the experiment. The female mason bees were mostly inactive after mating and due to our experimental design, they were removed from the tunnel before they started provisioning their nests.

445

#### 446 Material collection

Prior to the introduction of bees into the tunnels, pollen and nectar samples were collected from bagged flowers and kept at -20 °C until extraction. Pollen was collected by dissecting closed flower buds and separating the anthers. Anthers were dried for 4 h at room temperature in glass Petri dishes. To recover the pollen, the dried anthers were placed in a steel tea ball and vibrated using a Vibri Vario tomato vibrator. Nectar 452 was collected with 2 µl capillary tubes between the base of the anthers and then 453 transferred to 2 ml Eppendorf containers using a pipette bulb. During the experiment, 454 honey bee foragers were captured and their pollen loads removed (in the case of honey 455 bees) and frozen for further analysis. Once mature (2 months after pollination), OSR 456 fruits (siliques) were collected in large paper bags and taken into the lab. In aseptic 457 conditions, seeds were removed from the siliques and placed in small paper bags.

- 458
- 459

#### DNA extraction, amplicon library construction and sequencing

For seed sample preparation, a total of 0.5 g of oilseed rape seeds of each 460 461 sample were transferred to sterile tubes containing 2 ml of PBS supplemented with 0.05% (vol/vol) of Tween 20. Samples were incubated for 2 h and 30 min at 4°C under 462 constant agitation (150 rpm). In the case of bee samples preparation, to obtain bee 463 464 surface microbial assemblages, bees were sonicated in 1 ml of PBS buffer with Tween 20 0.05% (vol/vol). After removing the liquid, insect samples were re-suspended in 465 1 ml of PBS and crushed to recover the microbes living inside the bees. All the 466 suspensions were then centrifuged (12,000 x g, 20 min, 4°C) and pellets were stored 467 at -20°C until DNA extraction. Total DNA extraction was performed with the PowerSoil 468 469 DNA isolation kit (MoBio Laboratories) using the manufacturer's protocol.

Amplification, purification and pooling for amplicon library construction were conducted following the protocol described in Barret *et al.* 2015. Briefly, for amplicon construction two rounds of PCR were performed. The first round was designed to target the region V4 of the 16S rRNA with the PCR primers 515f/806s (Caporaso et al. 2011). All PCRs were performed with a high-fidelity polymerase (AccuPrime *Taq* DNA polymerase; Invitrogen) using the manufacturer's protocol and 10 µl of environmental DNA suspension. After amplicon purification, a second round of amplification was performed with 5 µl of purified amplicons and primers containing the Illumina adaptors
and indexes. All amplicons were purified, quantified and pooled in equimolar
concentrations. Finally, amplicons libraries were mixed with 10% PhiX control
according to Illumina's protocols. Two sequencing runs were performed in this study
with MiSeq reagent kit v2 (500 cycles) for the samples of 2017 and MiSeq reagent kit
V3 (600 cycles) for the samples of 2018.

483

#### 484 Data Analysis

MiSeq runs were analysed separately. Primers sequences of fastq files were 485 486 first cut off using Cutadapt 1.8 (Martin 2011). Files were then merged and processed with DADA2 v.1.8.0 (Callahan et al 2016) according to the recommendations of the 487 workflow "DADA2 Pipeline Tutorial". The workflow was modified in the truncLen 488 489 parameter to adjust it to the quality of the sequencing run. The 16S rRNA amplicon sequence variants (ASV) resulting from DADA2 were aligned with a naive Bayesian 490 classifier against the Ribosomal Database Project training set 16 database. Statistical 491 analyses were done with Rstudio v3.3 using the R package phyloseg v1.24.2 492 (McMurdie & Holmes 2013). The Metacoder R package v 0.3.0.1 (Foster et al. 2017) 493 494 was used to plot the distribution of ASV, associated with a taxonomic classification in heat trees. Observed taxa richness, evenness, and diversity were calculated on a 495 rarefied dataset at 12,000 reads per sample and differences were assessed by 496 Wilcoxon signed-rank tests. Variances in community composition between the different 497 samples were assessed by unweighted UniFrac distance (Lozupone & Knight 2005). 498 Principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) was used for ordination of UniFrac distances. 499 Permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA, Anderson 2017) was 500 calculated to investigate the effect of pollinators on microbial community profiles as 501

implemented by the package vegan v2.5-3 in R. Moreover, to quantify this contribution, 502 503 a canonical analysis of principal coordinates was performed with the function capscale of the vegan package. Changes in relative abundance of ASV between the different 504 seed samples were determined using linear discriminant analysis (LDA) effect size 505 al. 2011) 506 using the LefSe tool (Segata et available at http://huttenhower.sph.harvard.edu/galaxy. 507

To compare the beta dispersion amongst pollination modes, we quantified the variability in ASV composition within each pollination treatment using the betadisper function in the *vegan* package in R. Beta dispersion is measured by the distance to the centroid of each treatment group in the principal coordinate space (Anderson et al. 2006).

513

#### 514 Data availability

21

515 The raw sequencing data is available at the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) 516 under the study accession PRJEB31847. Tables and scripts used in this work are 517 publicly available in GitHub.

Comment citer ce document : Prado Farias, A., Marolleau, B., Vaissière, B., Barret, M., Torres Cortes, G. (2019). Insect pollination is an ecological process involved in the assembly of the seed microbiota. BioRxiv, preprint., DOI : 10.1101/626895

#### 518 **References**

- Adam, E., Bernhart, M., Müller, H., Winkler, J. & Berg, G. The *Cucurbita pepo* seed
   microbiome: genotype-specific composition and implications for breeding.
   *Plant and soil* **422**, 35-49 (2018).
- 522 Adler, L. S. *et al.* Disease where you dine: Plant species and floral traits associated 523 with pathogen transmission in bumble bees. *Ecology* (2018).
- Aizenberg-Gershtein, Y., Izhaki, I. & Halpern, M. Do honeybees shape the bacterial community composition in floral nectar? *PLoS One* **8**, e67556 (2013).
- Aleklett, K., Hart, M. & Shade, A. The microbial ecology of flowers: an emerging frontier
   in phyllosphere research. *Botany* 92, 253-266 (2014).
- 528 Anderson, M. J. Permutational Multivariate Analysis of Variance (PERMANOVA) in 529 *Wiley StatsRef: Statistics Reference Online* (2017).
- Anderson, M. J., Ellingsen, K. E. & McArdle, B. H. Multivariate dispersion as a measure
   of beta diversity. *Ecol. Lett.* 9, 683-693 (2006).
- Barret, M. *et al.* Emergence shapes the structure of the seed microbiota. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 81, 1257-1266 (2015).
- Bell, S. A. & Cresswell, J. E. The phenology of gender in homogamous flowers:
  temporal change in the residual sex function of flowers of oil-seed rape
  (*Brassica napus*). *Funct. Ecol.* **12**, 298-306 (1998).
- Berendsen, R. L., Pieterse, C. M. J. & Bakker, P. A. H. M. The rhizosphere microbiome
  and plant health. *Trends in plant science* **17**, 478-486 (2012).
- Bergna, A. *et al.* Tomato seeds preferably transmit plant beneficial endophytes. *Phytobiomes* 2, 183-193 (2019).
- 541 Brewbaker, J. L. & Kwack, B. H. The essential role of calcium ion in pollen germination 542 and pollen tube growth. *Am. J. Bot.* **50**, 859-865 (1963).
- Bulgarelli, D., Schlaeppi, K., Spaepen, S., van Themaat, E. V. L. & Schulze-Lefert, P.
  Structure and functions of the bacterial microbiota of plants. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 64, 807-838 (2013).
- Callahan, B. J. *et al.* DADA2: high-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon
  data. *Nature methods* 13, 581 (2016).
- Canto, A., Herrera, C. M., Medrano, M., Pérez, R. & García, I. M. Pollinator foraging
  modifies nectar sugar composition in *Helleborus foetidus* (Ranunculaceae): an
  experimental test. *Am. J. Bot.* **95**, 315-320 (2008).

551 Caporaso, J. G. *et al.* Global patterns of 16S rRNA diversity at a depth of millions of 552 sequences per sample. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **108**, 4516-4522 (2011).

- Chen, Y.-F., Matsubayashi, Y. & Sakagami, Y. Peptide growth factor phytosulfokine-α
   contributes to the pollen population effect. *Planta* 211, 752-755 (2000).
- Compant, S., Mitter, B., Colli-Mull, J. G., Gangl, H. & Sessitsch, A. Endophytes of
   grapevine flowers, berries, and seeds: identification of cultivable bacteria,
   comparison with other plant parts, and visualization of niches of colonization.
   *Microb. Ecol.* 62, 188-197 (2011).
- 559 Corby-Harris, V., Maes, P. & Anderson, K. E. The bacterial communities associated 560 with honey bee (*Apis mellifera*) foragers. *PLoS One* **9**, e95056 (2014).
- 561 Darsonval, A. *et al.* The type III secretion system of *Xanthomonas fuscans* subsp. 562 *fuscans* is involved in the phyllosphere colonization process and in

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/626895. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not peer-reviewed) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

- transmission to seeds of susceptible beans. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 74, 26692678 (2008).
- de Vega, C. & Herrera, C. M. Microorganisms transported by ants induce changes in
  floral nectar composition of an ant-pollinated plant. *Am. J. Bot.* 100, 792-800
  (2013).
- Doughari, H. J., Ndakidemi, P. A., Human, I. S. & Benade, S. The Ecology, Biology
  and Pathogenesis of *Acinetobacter* spp.: An Overview. *Microbes Environ.* 26,
  101-112 (2011).
- Engel, P., Kwong, W. K. & Moran, N. A. *Frischella perrara* gen. nov., sp. nov., a
  gammaproteobacterium isolated from the gut of the honeybee, *Apis mellifera*. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 63, 3646-3651 (2013).
- Engel, P., Martinson, V. G. & Moran, N. A. Functional diversity within the simple gut
  microbiota of the honey bee. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 109, 11002-11007
  (2012).
- Foster, Z. S. L., Sharpton, T. J. & Grünwald, N. J. Metacoder: An R package for
  visualization and manipulation of community taxonomic diversity data. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 13, e1005404 (2017).
- Gimenez-Ibanez, S. & Rathjen, J. P. The case for the defense: plants versus
   *Pseudomonas syringae. Microbes and Infection* 12, 428-437,
   doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micinf.2010.03.002 (2010).
- Halpern, M., Fridman, S., Atamna-Ismaeel, N. & Izhaki, I. *Rosenbergiella nectarea*gen. nov., sp. nov., in the family Enterobacteriaceae, isolated from floral
  nectar. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 63, 4259-4265 (2013).
- Herrera, C. M., Pozo, M. I. & Medrano, M. Yeasts in nectar of an early-blooming herb:
  sought by bumble bees, detrimental to plant fecundity. *Ecology* 94, 273-279
  (2013).
- Human, H. & Nicolson, S. W. Nutritional content of fresh, bee-collected and stored
  pollen of *Aloe greatheadii* var. *davyana* (Asphodelaceae). *Phytochemistry* 67,
  1486-1492 (2006).
- Junker, R. R. *et al.* Composition of epiphytic bacterial communities differs on petals
  and leaves. *Plant Biol.* **13**, 918-924 (2011).
- Kevan, P. & Eisikowitch, D. The effects of insect pollination on canola (*Brassica napus*L. cv. OAC Triton) seed germination. *Euphytica* 45, 39-41 (1990).

- Klaedtke, S. *et al.* Terroir is a key driver of seed-associated microbial assemblages.
   *Environ. Microbiol.* 18, 1792-1804 (2016).
- Ku, C., Lo, W.-S., Chen, L.-L. & Kuo, C.-H. Complete genome sequence of *Spiroplasma apis* B31T (ATCC 33834), a bacterium associated with May
  disease of honeybees (*Apis mellifera*). *Genome Announc.* 2, e01151-01113
  (2014).
- Leff, J. W., Lynch, R. C., Kane, N. C. & Fierer, N. Plant domestication and the assembly
   of bacterial and fungal communities associated with strains of the common
   sunflower, *Helianthus annuus*. *New Phytologist* **214**, 412-423 (2016).
- Li, L. *et al. Bombella intestini* gen. nov., sp. nov., an acetic acid bacterium isolated from
  bumble bee crop. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 65, 267-273 (2015).
- Links, M. G. *et al.* Simultaneous profiling of seed-associated bacteria and fungi reveals
   antagonistic interactions between microorganisms within a shared epiphytic
   microbiome on *Triticum* and *Brassica* seeds. *New Phytologist* 202, 542-553
   (2014).
- Loncaric, I. *et al.* Typing of *Pantoea agglomerans* isolated from colonies of honey bees
   (*Apis mellifera*) and culturability of selected strains from honey. *Apidologie* 40,
   40-54 (2009).
- Lozupone, C. & Knight, R. UniFrac: a new phylogenetic method for comparing
   microbial communities. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **71**, 8228-8235 (2005).
- Manirajan, B. A. *et al.* Bacterial microbiota associated with flower pollen is influenced
   by pollination type, and shows a high degree of diversity and species specificity. *Environ. Microbiol.* **18**, 5161-5174 (2016).
- Martin, M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing
   reads. *EMBnet. journal* **17**, 10-12 (2011).
- McFrederick, Q. S. *et al.* Flowers and Wild Megachilid Bees Share Microbes. *Microb. Ecol.* **73**, 188-200 (2017).
- McMurdie, P. J. & Holmes, S. phyloseq: an R package for reproducible interactive analysis and graphics of microbiome census data. *PLoS One* **8**, e61217 (2013).
- Olofsson, T. C., Alsterfjord, M., Nilson, B., Butler, È. & Vásquez, A. *Lactobacillus apinorum* sp. nov., *Lactobacillus mellifer* sp. nov., *Lactobacillus mellis* sp. nov.,
   *Lactobacillus melliventris* sp. nov., *Lactobacillus kimbladii* sp. nov.,

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/626895. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not peer-reviewed) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

629Lactobacillus helsingborgensis sp. nov. and Lactobacillus kullabergensis sp.630nov., isolated from the honey stomach of the honeybee Apis mellifera. Int. J.

631 Syst. Evol. Microbiol. **64**, 3109 (2014).

- Perilla-Henao, L. M. & Casteel, C. L. Vector-borne bacterial plant pathogens:
  interactions with hemipteran insects and plants. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7, 1163
  (2016).
- Perrot, T., Gaba, S., Roncoroni, M., Gautier, J.-L. & Bretagnolle, V. Bees increase
  oilseed rape yield under real field conditions. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 266, 3948 (2018).
- Rezki, S. *et al.* Assembly of seed-associated microbial communities within and across
   successive plant generations. *Plant and Soil* **422**, 67-79 (2018).
- Rodríguez, C. E., Mitter, B., Barret, M., Sessitsch, A. & Compant, S. Commentary:
  seed bacterial inhabitants and their routes of colonization. *Plant and Soil* 422,
  129-134 (2018).
- Rybakova, D. *et al.* The structure of the *Brassica napus* seed microbiome is cultivardependent and affects the interactions of symbionts and pathogens. *Microbiome* 5, 104 (2017).
- Santhanam, R. *et al.* Native root-associated bacteria rescue a plant from a sudden-wilt
   disease that emerged during continuous cropping. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 112, E5013-E5020 (2015).
- Segata, N. *et al.* Metagenomic biomarker discovery and explanation. *Genome Biol.* 12,
   R60 (2011).
- Shikano, I., Rosa, C., Tan, C.-W. & Felton, G. W. Tritrophic interactions: microbemediated plant effects on insect herbivores. *Annual review of Phytopathology*55, 313-331 (2017).
- Sugiyama, A., Bakker, M. G., Badri, D. V., Manter, D. K. & Vivanco, J. M. Relationships
   between *Arabidopsis* genotype-specific biomass accumulation and associated
   soil microbial communities. *Botany* 91, 123-126 (2012).
- 657 Suzuki, W., Sugawara, M., Miwa, K. & Morikawa, M. Plant growth-promoting bacterium 658 *Acinetobacter calcoaceticus* P23 increases the chlorophyll content of the

659	monocot Lemna minor (duckweed) and the dicot Lactuca sativa (lettuce).
660	Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering <b>118</b> , 41-44 (2014).
661	Taylor, L. P. & Hepler, P. K. Pollen germination and tube growth. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.
662	<b>48</b> , 461-491 (1997).
663	Torres-Cortés, G. et al. Functional Microbial Features Driving Community Assembly
664	During Seed Germination and Emergence. Front. Plant Sci. 9, 902 (2018).
665	Truyens, S., Weyens, N., Cuypers, A. & Vangronsveld, J. Bacterial seed endophytes:
666	genera, vertical transmission and interaction with plants. Environ. Microbiol.
667	<i>Rep.</i> <b>7</b> , 40-50 (2015).
668	Ushio, M. et al. Microbial communities on flower surfaces act as signatures of pollinator
669	visitation. <i>Sci. Rep.</i> <b>5</b> , 8695 (2015).
670	Vannette, R. L. & Fukami, T. Dispersal enhances beta diversity in nectar microbes.
671	<i>Ecol. Lett.</i> <b>20</b> , 901-910 (2017).
672	Yañez, O., Gauthier, L., Chantawannakul, P. & Neumann, P. Endosymbiotic bacteria
673	in honey bees: Arsenophonus spp. are not transmitted transovarially. FEMS
674	<i>Microbiol. Lett.</i> <b>363</b> , fnw147 (2016).
675	Yun, JH., Lee, JY., Hyun, DW., Jung, MJ. & Bae, JW. Bombella apis sp. nov.,
676	an acetic acid bacterium isolated from the midgut of a honey bee. Int. J. Syst.
677	Evol. Microbiol. 67, 2184-2188 (2017).
678	
679	
680	
681	
682	Acknowledgements
683	We thank Cédric Alaux, Stan Chabert, Cindy Morris, Ignasi Bartomeus for their input
684	in the experimental design and interpretation of the results. Marianne Cousin for her
685	help in the lab and Martial Briand for his help with DADA2 and other bioinformatic
686	analyses. We also want to apologize to any authors whose relevant work was not cited
687	in this article due to space constraints.
688	

Comment citer ce document : Prado Farias, A., Marolleau, B., Vaissière, B., Barret, M., Torres Cortes, G. (2019). Insect pollination is an ecological process involved in the assembly of the seed microbiota. BioRxiv, preprint. , DOI : 10.1101/626895 bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/626895. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not peer-reviewed) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

#### 689 Authors' contributions

AP, BV, MB and GTC designed the study and made substantial contributions to the
analysis and interpretation of the results. AP and GTC carried out the data analysis.
AP and BV performed the tunnel experiments. GTC and BM constructed the amplicon
libraries. AP and GTC wrote the manuscript with input from the other authors. All
authors read and approved the final manuscript.

695

#### 696 Conflict of interest statement

697 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

698

699 Funding

This work was supported by the DynaSeedBiome Project (RFI "Objectif Végétal") and AgreenSkills+ fellowship programme, which has received funding from the EU's Seventh Framework Programme under grant agreement n° FP7-609398.

703

ersion preprint

704

705

706

### 707 Figures and figure legends

708 Figure 1. Microbial composition of oilseed rape seed samples issued from honey bee pollination or autonomous self-pollination. Heat trees show the microbial 709 composition of the seeds samples harvested in 2017 (a) and 2018 (c). The size of the 710 711 nodes refers to the number of ASVs of known identity and the color of the nodes and edges represent the ASV read abundance. Asterisks (\*) indicate the taxonomic 712 affiliation of ASVs with significant changes in relative abundance (according to Linear 713 Discriminant Analysis Effect Size; LefSe) in relation to the pollination mode. ASVs with 714 significant changes in relative abundance are displayed on the right part of the figure 715 (b, d). BP: honey bee-pollination; ASP: autonomous self-pollination. 716



717 718

29

Comment citer ce document : Prado Farias, A., Marolleau, B., Vaissière, B., Barret, M., Torres Cortes, G. (2019). Insect pollination is an ecological process involved in the assembly of the seed microbiota. BioRxiv, preprint., DOI : 10.1101/626895

Figure 2. Changes in microbial richness and alpha diversity between seed 719 samples. Observed richness (a), evenness (b) and diversity (Shannon and Faith's PD 720 phylogenetic diversity; **c**, **d**). The indexes were estimated in seed samples harvested 721 from oilseed rape male fertile plants pollinated by bees or left for autonomous self-722 pollination. Additional indexes were calculated on seed samples harvested from a male 723 724 sterile line that was hand- or insect-pollinated. Wilcoxon rank-signed tests were performed to assess the effect of pollination on richness and alpha diversity. Asterisks 725 denote statistically significant differences between conditions considered as a p-726 value<0.05 (\*), a *p*-value<0.01 (\*\*), and a *p*-value<0.001 (\*\*\*). Richness, evenness and Shannon diversity were assessed with the number of ASVs rarefied at 12,000 727 728 sequences per sample. MF: male fertile line; MS: male sterile line. 729



730 731

30

Comment citer ce document : Prado Farias, A., Marolleau, B., Vaissière, B., Barret, M., Torres Cortes, G. (2019). Insect pollination is an ecological process involved in the assembly of the seed microbiota. BioRxiv, preprint., DOI : 10.1101/626895 Figure 3. Ordination of unweighted UniFrac matrices with principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) showing variation in microbial composition. PCoA plots show the ordination of all samples from the honey bee (a), and the mason bee (b) experiment. Seed microbial assemblages of each plant (pooled samples) are represented in panel (c) for HB pollination, and in panel (d) for MB.



# Figure 4. Effect of the pollination mode on the structure of seed microbial assemblages.

743 Analysis of the multivariate homogeneity of group dispersions (variances). Boxplots represent the distance to the centroid of seed-associated microbial communities of 744 male fertile (a, b) and male sterile plants (c) submitted to different modes of pollination. 745 746 a) Distance to the centroid of seeds issued from male fertile plants subjected to autonomous self-pollination (ASP) or honey bee pollination, b) distance to the centroid 747 of seeds issued from male fertile plants subjected to ASP or mason bee pollination, c) 748 distance to the centroid of seeds issued from male sterile plants subjected to honey 749 bee pollination or hand-pollinated with pollen of different plants. Wilcoxon signed-rank 750 tests were performed to assess the effect of the pollination mode on the distance to 751 the centroid. Asterisks denote statistically significant differences between conditions 752 considered as a *p-value*<0.05 (\*). 753



755 756

**Table 1. Results of the constrained analysis of principal coordinates.** Proportion of variance explained by the indicated variable on the different data sets. The proportion of constrained inertia, F-values and *p*-values were calculated through a canonical analysis of principal coordinates followed by PERMANOVA. Seeds issued from autonomous self-pollination and bee pollination are referred to as ASP and BP seeds, respectively.

					Proportion of		
Data set	Voar	Data set	Explanatory	Samples	constrained	E-value	n-value
All samples	2017 2018	ASP and BP seeds, nectar,	Year	198	5.7	11.45	0.0001
Experiment 2017	2017	pollen, bees ASP and BP seeds, nectar, pollen	Material	16	35.54	3.584	0.0002
Experiment 2017 male fertile line	2017	ASP and BP seeds	Pollination mode	10	NA	1.19	NS
Experiment 2017 male sterile line	2017	ASP and BP seeds	Pollination mode	12	NA	1.073	NS
Experiment 2018	2018	ASP and BP seeds (honey bee and mason bee), nectar, pollen	Tunnel	134	4.5	6.22	0.0001
Honey bee experiment 2018	2018	ASP and BP seeds, nectar, pollen	Material	69	9.3	3.28	0.0001
Honey bee experiment 2018 male fertile line	2018	ASP and BP seeds	Plant ID	18	NA	0.91	NS
Honey bee experiment 2018 male fertile line	2018	ASP and BP seeds	Pollination mode	18	12.3	2.23	0.001
Honey bee experiment 2018 male sterile line	2018	ASP and BP seeds	Pollination mode	21	NA	1.29	NS
Mason bee experiment 2018 male fertile line	2018	ASP and BP seeds	Plant ID	20	NA	1.33	NS
Mason bee experiment 2018 male fertile line	2018	ASP and BP seeds	Pollination mode	20	NA	1.14	NS

Comment citer ce document : Prado Farias, A., Marolleau, B., Vaissière, B., Barret, M., Torres Cortes, G. (2019). Insect pollination is an ecological process involved in the assembly of the seed microbiota. BioRxiv, preprint., DOI: 10.1101/626895 bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/626895. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not peer-reviewed) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

764

34 Comment citer ce document : Prado Farias, A., Marolleau, B., Vaissière, B., Barret, M., Torres Cortes, G. (2019). Insect pollination is an ecological process involved in the assembly of the seed microbiota. BioRxiv, preprint., DOI : 10.1101/626895