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Strategies for diets in chronic spontaneous urticaria (CSU) are controversial. This systematic review assessed the interest in diet for managing CSU. We searched for original reports in MEDLINE, EMBASE, CENTRAL and LILACS. Among the 278 reports screened, 20 were included, involving 1,734 patients. Reports described 3 types of systematic diet: pseudoallergen-free diet (n = 1,555 patients), low-histamine diet (n = 223) and diet without fish products (n = 47), which induced complete remission in 4.8%, 11.7% and 10.6% of patients, respectively, and partial remission in 37.0%, 43.9% and 4.3%. Eight reports described personalized exclusion diets (66 patients) adapted to symptoms/allergological test results and led to complete remission in 74.6% of patients, although the diagnosis of CSU was doubtful. No comparative randomized studies of diets were available. The only randomized studies were based on oral provocation tests with the suspected responsible diet. Population and outcomes were heterogeneous. In conclusion, there is evidence for the benefit of diets in CSU only in individual patients with clinical symptoms. However, the level of evidence is low for the benefit of systematic diets in CSU because systematic double-blind controlled trials of diet are lacking.
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to food colouring, artificial flavouring or preservatives. Non-artificial natural ingredients in food might also cause pseudoallergic reactions. Such reactions have been associated with well-demonstrated changes in gastric permeability (3). CSU is usually considered due to intolerance when clinical manifestations have improved after 3 weeks of strict adherence to a low-pseudoallergen diet, or if provocative testing with food additives aggravates symptoms (4).

CSU treatment frequently includes H1-antihistamine drugs as first-line treatment. According to guidelines, the second-line treatment is increasing the dose of H1-antihistamine by up to 4-fold, then introducing an anti-IgE antibody (omalizumab) or immunosuppressive drugs (1, 5–8). Many other treatments have been reported anecdotally. The European (1), British (9), US (10), Australian and World Allergy Organization guidelines (11) do not recommend diet for managing CSU, but Asian guidelines (12–14) recommend an exclusion diet in specific cases.

This systematic review was performed to assess the value of diets in managing CSU.

METHODS

We systematically searched for original articles referring to diet in CSU. The PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) guidelines were followed for reporting.

Eligibility criteria

We included all original reports (randomized control trials (RCTs), observational reports, case series, case reports and items of correspondence) describing the effect of diet in CSU with or without angioedema in children and adults. Reports of acute urticaria, inducible urticaria and urticaria vasculitis were excluded.

Information sources and search

In November 2016, an information specialist searched the electronic databases MEDLINE via PubMed (Appendix S1), EMBASE, CENTRAL and LILACS for articles published in common European languages (English, French, Spanish and German) from 1995 to November 2016.

Study selection

According to the pre-defined criteria, 2 authors (HC, AM) independently selected reports on the basis of the title, then the abstract. Then they examined the full texts of the selected reports. Duplicate publications were identified by several criteria, such as authors, location, title and intervention characteristics. Any discrepancies were resolved by consensus.

Data collection process and data items

For each selected report, 2 authors (HC, AM) independently extracted information on the first author, publication year, journal, country/site, study design, characteristics of patients, type of urticaria, severity and duration of urticaria and comorbidities. Data collected on diet included tests performed before the diet, type and duration of the diet, the efficacy and side-effects, co-interventions and follow-up. We classified diets as systematic when the food elimination was not based on allergological tests and as personalized when the food elimination was based on gastrointestinal symptoms or was specific to positive results of allergological tests before the diet. To evaluate the quality of any RCT reports found, the Risk of Bias tool from the Cochrane Collaboration would be used. Any disagreements were resolved by consensus.

Statistical analysis

We planned to perform a meta-analysis if combining results of RCTs was possible; otherwise, the analysis was descriptive, reporting data as frequency (%).

RESULTS

Among 278 publications screened, 20 full-text articles (1,734 patients) were included (15–34) (Fig. 1; Appendix S2 for reasons for excluding full texts). Seven of the 20 articles were case reports and 13 were prospective studies. We found no RCT. Characteristics of included publications are given in Table I.

We identified reports of 3 systematic diets (including 1,668 patients) (Appendix S3 for description of diets): pseudoallergen-free diet (PFD; n = 1,555 patients) (15–22), low-histamine diet (LHD; n = 223) (15, 23, 24), and diet without fish products (n = 47) (25, 26); 157 patients were included in studies assessing PFDs and LHDs. Personalized diets involved 66 patients (27–34); 3 followed a gluten-free diet (GFD) for coeliac disease (27–29).

For PFDs (15–22), the median duration of the diet was 3 weeks (range 3–5 weeks); 74 patients (4.8%) showed complete remission (CR) and 575 (37.0%) partial remission (PR) at the end of the diet. Pseudoallergens were reintroduced in 1,171 patients, and recurrence was observed in 240 (20.5%). CR and PR were assessed by urticarial activity scores for all patients (UAS7 in 191 patients, UAS4 in 978, urticarial score in 386). For 153 patients, PR was further defined by reduced corticoste-
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Table I. Characteristics of studies related to diets in chronic spontaneous urticaria (CSU)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference (author, year)</th>
<th>Associated diseases (%)</th>
<th>Patients receiving diet N/total</th>
<th>Diet duration (weeks)</th>
<th>Positive reactions to food allergens (%)</th>
<th>Improvement after diet</th>
<th>Methodology for treatment reintroduction</th>
<th>Recurrence after treatment reintroduction N/total, (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diet without fish products</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dashner et al., 2013 (25)</td>
<td>Atopy (79)</td>
<td>38/38</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Anisakis simplex (&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;) (&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;) 16/38 (42)</td>
<td>No positive effect</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gracia-Bara et al., 2000 (26)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>9/17</td>
<td>24–64</td>
<td>Anisakis simplex (&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;) (&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;) 9/9 (100)</td>
<td>CR: 55</td>
<td>PR: 22</td>
<td>Lost to follow up: 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pseudoallergen-free diet</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siebenhaar et al., 2016 (15)</td>
<td></td>
<td>157</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>CR: 14.6</td>
<td>PR: 31.2</td>
<td>DBPC&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt; 66/157 (42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akgol, 2011 (16)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>34/68</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>CR: 17.3</td>
<td>PR: 51</td>
<td>No control, No blinding 29/31 (93.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bunsellmeyer et al., 2009 (17)</td>
<td>Asthma (5.2)</td>
<td>104/153</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>No extractable data (&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>CR: 81</td>
<td>PR: 19</td>
<td>DBPC&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt; 5/6 (84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lager et al., 2009 (18)</td>
<td></td>
<td>140/140</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>CR: 14.3</td>
<td>PR: 13.5</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Di Lorenzo et al., 2005 (19)</td>
<td></td>
<td>838/838</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0/402 (&lt;sup&gt;0&lt;/sup&gt;) (&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;) 31.5</td>
<td>DBPC&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>116/838 (13.8)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pigatto &amp; Valsecchi, 2000 (20)</td>
<td></td>
<td>202/348</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>No extractable data (&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;) 62.4</td>
<td>DBPC&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>15/75 (20)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ehlers et al., 1998 (21)</td>
<td></td>
<td>16/16</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0/16 (&lt;sup&gt;0&lt;/sup&gt;) (&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;) 75.4</td>
<td>DBPC&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5/6 (84)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zuberbier et al., 1995 (22)</td>
<td>Atopy (10)</td>
<td>64/67</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0/64 (&lt;sup&gt;0&lt;/sup&gt;) (&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;) 73.4</td>
<td>DBPC&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>9/64 (19)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Low-histamine diet</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siebenhaar et al., 2016 (15)</td>
<td></td>
<td>157/157</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>CR: 14.6</td>
<td>PR: 31.2</td>
<td>DBPC&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt; 66/157 (42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wagner et al., 2016 (23)</td>
<td></td>
<td>56/66</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>CR: 30</td>
<td>PR: 70</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guida et al., 2000 (24)</td>
<td></td>
<td>10/10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0/10 (&lt;sup&gt;0&lt;/sup&gt;) (&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;) 75</td>
<td>DBPC&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>9/64 (19)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Personalized diets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heffler et al., 2014 (30)</td>
<td>Coeliac disease</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Buckwheat flour (&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;) (&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;) 100 (after buckwheat elimination)</td>
<td>CR: 100</td>
<td>No control, No blinding 1/1 (100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asero, 2013 (31)</td>
<td>NSAID intolerance</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Birch pollen and peach (&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;) (&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;) (CR: 100 after peach elimination)</td>
<td>CR: 100</td>
<td>No control, No blinding 1/1 (100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hsu &amp; Li, 2012 (32)</td>
<td></td>
<td>59/494</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>75/341 (&lt;sup&gt;22&lt;/sup&gt;) (&lt;sup&gt;0&lt;/sup&gt;) (CR: 73)</td>
<td>CR: 73</td>
<td>No control, No blinding 9/51 (18)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozturk et al., 2005 (33)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>(–) (0) (&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;) (CR: 100 after home-made canned tomato elimination)</td>
<td>CR: 100</td>
<td>No control, No blinding 9/51 (18)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanny et al., 2000 (34)</td>
<td>Asthma</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Wheat flour (&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;) (^b) (CR: 100 after wheat flour elimination)</td>
<td>CR: 100</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candelli et al., 2004 (27)</td>
<td>Coeliac disease</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>(–) (0) (&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;) (100)</td>
<td>CR: 100</td>
<td>No control, No blinding 1/1 (100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scala et al., 1999 (28)</td>
<td>Coeliac disease, allergic rhinitis</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>(–) (0) (&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;) (100)</td>
<td>CR: 100</td>
<td>No control, No blinding 1/1 (100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NSAID: non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug, DBPC: double-blind, placebo-controlled study, CR: complete remission, PR: partial remission, (a) skin prick test, (b) specific IgE, (<sup>+</sup>) positive test, (–) negative test, (c) histamine reintroduction, (d) food-additive reintroduction, (e) normal food reintroduction.

roid intake and improved quality of life. For 872 patients, H1-antihistamines were allowed if included, in addition to diet, and for 244, H1-antihistamines and steroids were allowed. For 237 patients, diet was used as monotherapy, and co-interventions were not mentioned for 202.

For LHDS (15, 23, 24), the median duration of the diet was 3 weeks and led to CR in 26 patients (11.7%) and PR in 98 (43.9%). Remission was also defined by reduced urticarial activity score (UAS7 in 157 patients, UAS4 in 56, urticarial score in 10). Histamine triggers were reintroduced in 157 patients independent of the response to the diet, and CSU recurred in 66 (42.0%). H1-antihistamines were permitted in 273 patients, and 10 patients exclusively followed a diet.

Overall, 47 patients had a diet without fish products (25, 26), 25 with sensitization to *Anisakis simplex* after an allergological test, without clinical history of food allergy. The duration of the diet ranged from 3 to 16 months and led to CR in 5 patients (10.6%) and PR in 2 (4.3%). Fish products were reintroduced in 6 patients and induced CSU recurrence in one patient.

For systematic diets, 82/1,671 patients (4.9%) showed CR after the diet and 626 showed PR (37.5%). Overall, 16 publications gave no data on observance of diets (15, 16, 18–22, 24, 25, 27–31, 33, 34). In 4 publications, the number lost to follow-up was 103 of 241 patients.

In the 8 publications (66 patients) evaluating personalized diets (27–34), 50 (75.7%) patients showed CR after the diet: 54 had reintroduction of specific allergens, which led to CSU recurrence in 12 (22.2%). In 16 publications (17, 19–22, 24–34), allergological tests were performed before the diet. These were always performed for personalized diets and were inconsistently performed for systematic diets. Allergological tests consisted of skin prick tests (SPTs), specific IgE testing, or both, and diets were introduced in patients with...
positive allergological test results in 8 publications (25, 26, 29, 30–34), patients with negative test results in 6 publications (19, 21, 22, 24, 27, 28) and all patients in 2 publications (17, 20). In patients with PFDs and negative allergological test results, 74.6% showed CR at the end of the diet and 39.4% showed PR; 20.7% experienced recurrence after reintroduction. Data were not extractable for patients with positive allergological test results. For LHDs, in one publication (33), 10 patients had a negative SPT result, with no knowledge of clinical history of food allergy: 3 patients showed CR and 7 PR at the end of the LHD. For specific cases of GFD, no history of food allergy was reported in the 3 publications (27–29), but all 3 patients had coeliac disease: 2 patients with negative SPT results to food allergens showed CR at the end of the GFD, with no reintroduction. One patient with a positive SPT result to gliadin showed CR after its elimination, but recurrence of urticarial symptoms after the reintroduction of gluten. For other personalized diets, 32.3% of patients had a history of food allergy; 17.9% had a positive allergological test result. CR was achieved in 100% with a negative test result and 75% with a positive SPT result, with 33% recurrence after reintroduction. The data are shown in Table II.

**DISCUSSION**

This systematic review of 20 publications related to treating CSU with diet found that, among the 1,734 patients with CSU, 1,668 had a systematic diet and 66 a personalized diet. Systematic diets led to CR in 4.9% of patients and PR in 37.5%. Personalized diets led to a CR rate of 74.6%. Systematic diets consisted of 3 types: PFD (4.8% CR and 37.0% PR), LHD (11.7% CR, 43.9% PR), and diet without fish products (10.6% CR, 4.3% PR). In most studies, the diet could be combined with other drugs, and no study compared the diet with a control arm.

Regarding pseudoallergens, hypersensitivity, a suggested cause of CSU (4, 22), is a non-immunological reaction. The clinical history is not sufficient to diagnose hypersensitivity to pseudoallergens, and these cases account for only 1–3% of cases of CSU (19). As a diagnostic help, a therapeutic test by a pseudoallergen-free diet for 3 weeks can be followed by patients with daily or almost daily CSU. In our review, the rate of CR after 3 weeks was low overall (4.8%), but criteria to define CR or PR were not standardized. Moreover, inclusion criteria were limited to non-inducible CSU, without distinction of severity or duration of symptoms, which are important known negative prognostic factors. In CSU, natural remission is estimated to occur in 32% of patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table II. Data on allergological tests and reintroduction of allergens in included studies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference (author, year)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet without fishery products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dashner et al., 2013 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gracia-Bara et al., 2000 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudoallergen-free diet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bunselmyer et al., 2009 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Di Lorenzo et al., 2005 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pigatto &amp; Valsecchi, 2000 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ehlers et al., 2000 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zuberbier et al., 1995 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histamine low diet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guida et al., 2000 (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personalized diets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heffler et al., 2013 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asero, 2013 (31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hsu &amp; Li, 2012 (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozturk et al., 2005 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanny et al., 2000 (34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candelli et al., 2004 (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scala et al., 1999 (28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mingomatja et al., 2011 (29)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CR: Complete remission PR: Partial remission, (a) skin prick test, (b) specific IgE, (+) positive test, (-) negative test.
at 3 years, 34% at 5 years and 49% at 10 years (35, 36).
Remission rate of patients receiving H1-antihistamines
in studies included in the meta-analysis from the Co-
chrane Collaboration ranged from approximately 10% to
85% depending on the drug used, posology and time
when remission was assessed (4). With no control arm
reported for the studies and with missing data on CSU
duration and severity, it is difficult to analyse the remis-
sion rate linked to the diet. Because of the absence of a
regular measurement of outcome during and after the diet
period, as well as the lack of follow-up in most reports,
we cannot evaluate an optimal diet duration and its ef-
effectiveness in the short- and long-term. In addition, the
use of antihistamines, if needed, was permitted in some
studies and not in others and obviously interferes with
regime efficiency data.

Assessing the efficiency of an LHD is difficult because of
too few patients and because some followed both a
LHD and PFD. Histamine intolerance has been suggested
as a cause of CSU, with some patients reporting exacer-
bation with histamine-rich foods. Siebenhaar et al. (15)
showed that patients with CSU may or may not benefit
from avoiding histamine in their diet, but this benefit
cannot be predicted from patients’ previous experience
of tolerating or not histamine-rich foods. In most patients
with response, determining whether the response is due
to the absence of histamine in these diets, the natural
evolution of CSU or avoidance of pseudoallergens is
difficult. Currently, we have few studies of one group
following an LHD and another a PFD. The updated
guidelines on urticaria of the EAACI/GA²LEN/EDF/
WAO do not recommend a PFD or LHD because such
diets are controversial and are as yet untested in well-
designed double-blind placebo-controlled studies (1).
They recommend more research on the effect of natural
and artificial ingredients of food in causing urticaria.

Although no data on observance were reported, PFD
and LHD seem to be complicated to follow in everyday
life because many common foods are excluded. In the
long-term, the diets would be unhealthy, with a risk of
malnutrition, but data on safety with a long follow-up
are lacking. Moreover, we do not know whether a PFD
or LHD improves global quality of life even with disease
remission.

Conflicting data exist on the association of sensitiza-
tion to the fish parasite *A. simplex* and CSU (37–40).
Two studies of 47 patients with CSU who followed a diet
without fish products yielded contradictory results. This
diet is simple to follow, but excludes the dietary benefits
of fish, particularly for patients with hyperlipidaemia.

The personalized diets are simpler to follow than
broad-spectrum diets, such as an LHD or PFD, but the
diet is recommended case by case. This research can be
guided by interrogation during the clinical examination.
If the clinical story is in favour of the allergies, allergo-
logical tests, such as SPT, serum-specific IgE testing or
oral food challenge, can be performed (41). However,
these chronic urticarias should not be classified as CSU.
Other personalized diets apply to only specific cases. A
GFD is mandatory for coeliac disease. It was effective for
treating CSU associated with coeliac disease in the 3 case
reports we found. An increased permeability of intestinal
mucosa may facilitate the absorption of antigens, which
may induce urticarial symptoms via the formation of
circulating immune complexes. Pathophysiological data
are controversial (27, 42–44).

**Study limitations**
The main limitation of this study is that we found no
RCT of diets and no study comparing diets. Hence,
the level of evidence is low. Secondly, because of the
heterogeneity of durations of diets, mode of outcomes
and comorbidities, comparison of included populations
was difficult. We also found high heterogeneity regard-
ing allergological tests. Moreover, in most studies,
H1-antihistamines were allowed in patients on a diet,
which interferes with diet efficiency data. Thirdly, diets
do not take into account the dietary habits and regional
differences in food, which may affect the success rate.
Fourthly, data for long-term efficacy are missing because
of lack of long-term follow-up or missing data. Fifthly,
the review included only reports of studies published
since 1995; previous articles were not included. Finally,
it is questionable whether patients undergoing personalized
diets had genuine CSU.

**Conclusion**
There is evidence for the benefit of diets in CSU only in
individual patients with clinical symptoms, but the level
of evidence is low for the benefit of systematic diets in
CSU, because systematic double-blind controlled trials
diet are missing. In our daily practice, we recommend
asking patients if they can identify specific food allergens
in their diet. If so, we recommend a personalized diet
omitting these identified food allergens. If not, we do
not recommend any diet. Further research in terms of
RCTs of systematic diets is needed, taking into account
biases linked to drug co-interventions and assessing
observance to the diet.
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