A complex invasion story underlies the fast spread of the invasive box tree moth (Cydalima perspectalis) across Europe

Audrey Bras, Dimitrios N. Avtzis, Marc Kenis, Hongmei Li, Gabor Vetek, Alexis Bernard, Claudine Courtin, Jérôme Rousselet, Alain Roques, Marie-Anne Auger-Rozenberg

1 A complex invasion story underlies the fast spread of the invasive box tree moth (*Cydalima*
2 *perspectalis*) across Europe

3

4 [1]Audrey Bras, [2]Dimitrios N. Avtzis, [3]Marc Kenis, [4]Hongmei Li, [5]Gábor Vétek, [1]Alexis Bernard, [1]Claudine
5 Courtin, [1]Jérôme Rousselet, [1]Alain Roques, [1]Marie-Anne Auger-Rozenberg

6

7 [1]INRA, UR633 Unité de Recherche de Zoologie Forestière, 2163 Avenue de la Pomme de Pin, CS 40001
8 ARDON 45075 ORLEANS Cedex 2, France

9 [2]Forest Research Institute, Hellenic Agricultural Organization Demeter, Vassilika, Thessaloniki, Greece

10 [3]CABI, Delémont, Switzerland

11 [4]MoA-CABI Joint Laboratory for Biosafety, Institute of Plant Protection, Chinese Academy of
12 Agriculture Sciences, Beijing, China

13 [5]Department of Entomology, Faculty of Horticultural Science, Szent István University, Villányi út 29–
14 43, H-1118 Budapest, Hungary

15 Corresponding author: Audrey Bras, tel: +33 2 38 41 80 21, audreyb061992@gmail.com

16

17 Abstract

18 Many recently established non-native insect species appear to be spreading across Europe significantly
19 faster than before. The box tree moth (*Cydalima perspectalis*), a native to Asia, is illustrative of this
20 trend. First recorded in 2007 in Germany, the moth has then colonized in less than 10 years more than
21 30 countries in Europe and Asia Minor, causing significant damage to wild and ornamental *Buxus* trees.
22 It has been hypothesized that the trade of ornamental box trees between China and Europe was
23 responsible for the moth introduction while plant trade among European countries may have caused
24 its rapid spread. To clarify the pest invasion history, we analyzed the genetic diversity and structure of
25 its populations in the native and invaded ranges, using a 1495-bp fragment of the mitochondrial
26 cytochrome oxidase I and II genes. Moth genetic diversity in Asia compared to the one observed in the
27 invaded Europe and Asia Minor suggested that the invasive populations probably originated from
28 eastern China. Furthermore, the high genetic diversity coupled with the spatial genetic structure in the
29 invaded range suggested the occurrence of several introduction events, probably directly from China.
30 Moreover, the spatial genetic structure in Europe and Asia Minor may also reflect secondary invasions
31 within invaded range because of ornamental plant trade among European countries.

32 Keywords: *Cydalima perspectalis*, *Buxus*, invasion, insect, ornamental plant trade, multiple
33 introductions

34

35   Key Message:

36   The invasive moth, *Cydalima perspectalis*, has spread rapidly across Europe and Asia Minor, causing

37   significant damage to both wild and ornamental *Buxus* trees.

38   Genetic analyses suggested China, and mainly eastern China, as the source of the populations invasive

39   in Europe but also that multiple introduction events likely occurred.

40   The fast spread of the moth across Europe may result from a combination between these multiple

41   introductions and human-mediated long-distance transportations of infested *Buxus* trees with

42   ornamental plant trade among European countries.

43

44   Author Contribution Statement

45   ABr, JR, AR, MAAR conceived the research. ABr, DA, MK, HM, GV, ABe, AR performed sampling. ABr,

46   DA, CC performed experiments. ABr, DA analyzed data. ABr, DA, GV, JR, AR, MAAR wrote the paper.

47   All authors approved the paper.

48   Acknowledgments

66

67

Introduction

Biological invasions are continuing to occur worldwide despite an increasing awareness of how globalization is resulting in human-mediated introductions of species with major ecological, economic, and sociological effects (Roques 2010a; Simberloff et al. 2013; Meurisse et al. 2018). Moreover, the arrival of new non-native species is not showing any signs of plateauing (Seebens et al. 2017). In Europe, most of the insect species that have arrived during the recent decades are first-time invaders, which have never been categorized as invasive elsewhere (Seebens et al. 2018). Most of newly insect invaders are originating from Asia (Roques 2010a), with an ever-increasing proportion of phytophagous species (Roques et al. 2016). The ornamental plant trade is considered as the major pathway by which these phytophagous insects have been accidentally introduced into Europe (Kenis et al. 2007; Roques 2010a; Eschen et al. 2017), in parallel with a significant increase in the importation of live plants to the continent since 1995 (Van Kleunen et al. 2018). Quite simultaneously, faster rates of spread following establishment were observed in many of these recently-introduced insects (Roques et al. 2016). Unregulated trade, especially of ornamental plants, as well as the progressive liberalization of trade and travel during the 1990s may have facilitated such rapid expansions of non-native species across Europe (Roques et al. 2016).

The box tree moth, *Cydalima perspectalis* (Walker, 1859) (Lepidoptera: Crambidae)*,* a native to Asia, is representative of the non-native species having spread rapidly across Europe. Its native range includes China, Korea, and Japan (Maruyama and Shinkaji 1987; Xiao et al. 2011; Kim and Park 2013), where the insect is known to develop on several *Buxus* species (Buxaceae) (Wan et al. 2014). In Europe, the moth larvae only feed on leaves and shoots of *Buxus* species (Leuthardt and Baur 2013; Matošević et al. 2017), eventually causing plant death (Kenis et al. 2013; Wan et al. 2014). *C. perspectalis* was first observed on ornamental box trees in urban areas but it has now spread to natural forests in some countries, causing severe defoliation in native box stands, such as for *B. sempervirens* and *B. colchica* (Kenis et al. 2013; John and Schumacher 2013; Gninenko et al. 2014; Mitchell et al. 2018). *C. perspectalis* was first recorded in Europe in early 2007, at two different sites in Germany (Krüger 2008). Later that same year, it was observed in Switzerland and the Netherlands (Leuthardt et al. 2010; Van der Straten and Muus 2010) (Figure 1). Then, over a period of less than 10 years, the insect spread across the whole of Europe and into Asia Minor, and it is at present observed in more than 30 countries, ranging from the United Kingdom (Salisbury et al. 2012) to Iran (Mitchell et al. 2018).

It has been hypothesized that the moth was accidently introduced primarily via the trade of ornamental box trees between China and Europe (Leuthardt et al. 2010; Casteels et al. 2011; Nacambo et al. 2014), and that subsequent trade among European countries led to its fast spread (EPPO 2012; Kenis et al. 2013; Matošević 2013). Indeed, China shipped large quantities of *Buxus* trees to several European countries between 2006 and 2010 (EPPO 2012). As a result, the moth could have been introduced several times in different countries after its initial appearance in 2007 in Germany. However, few interception records are available from the European plant health and quarantine services (EPPO 2012) because the moth was included on the EPPO alert list only from 2007 to 2011 (Strachinis et al. 2015). During this period, *C. perspectalis* was intercepted once in 2008 in the Netherlands (EPPO 2012), a country that has been the largest importer of ornamental plants to Europe

108 over the recent years (Eschen et al. 2017). It is also noticeable that in the Netherlands, Belgium, and
109 England, the moth was first recorded from nurseries (Van der Straten and Muus 2010; Casteels et al.
110 2011; Salisbury et al. 2012) whilst the first mention in Russia was on box trees imported for the Winter
111 Olympics in Sochi (Gninenko et al. 2014). Actually, box trees, especially *Buxus sempervirens*, are very
112 popular ornamental plants (Matošević 2013; Mitchell et al. 2018) and drive significant commercial
113 trade within Europe and adjacent countries (EPPO 2012; Dehnen-Schmutz et al. 2010).

114   Human-mediated introductions often involve complex invasion pathways (Garnas et al. 2016;
115 Meurisse et al. 2018), for which historical information may be missing or misleading. Hence, molecular
116 data can often supplement what is known about a species' invasion history, helping to clarify the likely
117 invasive pathways by revealing the presence of e.g. genetic bottlenecks, multiple introduction events,
118 or admixture (Estoup and Guillemaud 2010; Lawson Handley et al. 2011; Estoup et al., 2016; Fraimout
119 et al. 2017). The sequencing of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) is an efficient first step for disentangling
120 the pathways followed by non-native species. It can be used to identify source populations, founding
121 events, and the occurrence of multiple introductions (Muirhead et al. 2008; Estoup and Guillemaud
122 2010; Cristescu 2015). Thus, this approach has been employed in a number of non-native species (e.g.,
123 Auger-Rozenberg et al. 2012; Gariepy et al. 2014; Javal et al. 2017; Lesieur et al. 2018). Identifying
124 source populations and clarifying invasive pathways help to complement management strategies and
125 increases our understanding of how non-native species spread (Muirhead et al. 2008; Lawson Handley
126 et al. 2011).

127   The objectives of this study were to clarify the geographical origin(s) and colonization history of
128 the populations having invaded Europe. To this end, we compared the genetic diversity and structure
129 of the populations of the box tree moth in the native Asian range and in most of the invaded countries
130 of Europe and Asia Minor, using mtDNA cytochrome oxidase I and II (COI - COII) genes.

131

Materials and methods

132

Sampling

134   Moth populations were sampled throughout their native and invaded ranges (Table 1;
135 Supplementary Materials Table S1, Figures S1 and S2).
136   In the native Asian range, we attempted to collect specimens from 2012 to 2017 in both natural
137 stands and ornamental plantations of *Buxus* trees growing in the putative distribution range of the
138 moth (Figure 2a). Pheromone traps were used for adult moths and hand sampling for larvae and pupae
139 (Suppl. Mat. Table S1). However, surveys and trappings were unsuccessful in Japan even though *C.*
140 *perspectalis* has been recorded there (Maruyama and Shinkaji 1987; Kawazu et al. 2007). In South
141 Korea, we sampled one population from an urban area in Seoul (Table 1; N20). In China, 19 populations
142 were obtained, covering most of the putative range from north-eastern China (Liaoning province),
143 northern China (Nei Mongol and Beijing provinces), and eastern China (Shandong, Anhui, Jiangsu,
144 Shanghai, Zhejiang, and Fujian provinces), to south-central China (Henan province) and south-western

China (Yunnan and Guizhou provinces). Only the southern provinces of Guangdong and Guangxi could not be sampled. However, all but one of these Chinese populations were collected in urban areas despite our efforts in natural stands of *Buxus* where moth density appeared to be very low. Only in Fuyang, Zhejiang province (Table 1; N17), larvae could be collected outside urban areas, in a sentinel plant nursery established at the boundary between a natural forest and agricultural lands (Kenis et al. 2018).

In the invaded range, samples could be collected in 23 different countries (Table 1) from regions that were colonized by the moth between 2007 and 2016 (Figures 1 and S2). Ideally, we intended to collect larvae via hand sampling. When that was not possible, adults were captured using pheromone traps. Moths were mostly collected in urban areas except in the following sites: Bzyb Valley (Table 1; I27) and Mtirala Park in Georgia (Table 1; I28); all sites in Russia (Table 1; I58-I60); Si Sangan National Park in Iran (Table 1; I41); and Roquefort-sur-Garonne (Table 1; I24) and Marcillac-Vallon in France (Table 1; I23).

Upon collection, all specimens were placed in 96% alcohol and stored at -21°C to preserve their DNA until the analyses could take place.

DNA extraction, amplification, and sequencing

The larvae and adults were dissected. DNA was extracted from the thoracic muscles of the larvae or the legs of the adults using the DNeasy® Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). We amplified a section of the mitochondrial genome that included part of the COI-COII genes. We employed a pair of primers developed for a related species, *Diaphania* (=*Glyphodes*) *pyloalis* (Zhu et al. 2013). The primers were renamed LeCyd-F2 (5' TGGAGCAGGAACAGGATGAAC 3') and Cynna-R2 (5' GAGACCANTACTTGCTTTCAG 3'). Amplification was carried out in a total PCR volume of 25 µL, which contained 1 µL of DNA, 15.8 µL of ultrapure water, 2.5 µL of 10X DreamTaq Green Buffer, 2.5 µL of dNTP (10mM), 0.5 µL of MgCl² (2.5mM), 1 µL of each primer (10µM), 0.5 µL of betaine solution (5 M), and 0.2 µL of DreamTaq DNA polymerase (5 units/µL). Thermocycling was performed using a Veriti® 96-well Fast Thermal Cycler (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) and the following procedure: an initial 5-min denaturation step took place at 95°C and was followed by 25 amplification cycles (94°C for 35 s, 60°C for 45 s, and 72°C for 3 min). PCR products were analyzed by gel electrophoresis in a 1.5% agarose gel to check for successful amplification. Those of approximately 2000 bp in length were purified using the NucleoFast® 96 PCR Clean-up Kit (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany). A fragment of around 1500 bp of purified DNA that included COI, ARNt L2, and COII was then sequenced using Cynna-R2 and the internal primer Jerry-F 5' CAACATTTATTTTGATTTTTTGG 3' because the PCR products were too long to be sequenced directly. Sequencing was carried out using the Big Dye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Kit (v. 3.0, Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) and an ABI Prism 3500 Genetic Analyzer. The two sequenced strands were then aligned and the absence of double peaks on electropherograms was manually verified using CodonCode Aligner v. 3.7.1 (CodonCode Corporation, Centerville, MA, USA).

Genetic Analyses

184

185   We successfully sequenced mtDNA from 132 and 305 individuals from the native and invaded
186 ranges, respectively. Sequence data from Matošević et al. (2017) was also included in the dataset for
187 the invaded range. Sequences were aligned using Clustal W (Thompson et al. 1994), which was
188 implemented in BioEdit v. 7.1. The final alignment was obtained without any insertions or deletions.
189 All sequences were truncated at the same length (1495 bp). The presence of stop codons were checked
190 using MEGA v. 6 (Kumar et al. 2008). We compared our sequences with sequences in GenBank and
191 BOLD using BLAST to confirm that individuals had been properly identified as *C. perspectalis* and to
192 check for possible contamination.

193   A phylogenetic analysis to investigate the relationships among mtDNA haplotypes was
194 performed by maximum-likelihood (ML) method computed using the software MEGA v. 6. Evaluation
195 of statistical confidence in nodes was based on 10,000 boostrap replicates. The distance between DNA
196 sequences were calculated based on Kimura's two-parameter method (Kimura 1980). Two related
197 species, *D. pyloalis* (Genbank Accession No. KM576860) and *Glyphodes quadrimaculalis* (Genbank
198 Accession No. KF234079), were used as outgroup taxa. A statistical parsimony network with a 95%
199 confidence level was constructed using TCS v. 1.21 (Clement et al. 2000). We determined haplotype
200 number (H), haplotype diversity (*h*), the average number of nucleotide differences (k), and nucleotide
201 diversity (*n*) using DNAsp v. 5 (Librado and Rozas 2009). Haplotype distribution and frequency were
202 projected onto maps of Asia, Europe, and Asia Minor using ArcGis v. 10.6 (ESRI, Redlands, CA, USA). To
203 characterize the moth's native range, spatial genetic structure was first assessed by testing if G_{ST} (the
204 coefficient of genetic variation over all the populations) was significantly smaller than N_{ST} (the
205 coefficient taking into account similaries among haplotypes)—10,000 permutations were
206 implemented in Permut (Pons and Petit 1996).

207   Analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) was performed to look for evidence of genetic
208 structure in the moth's native and invaded ranges using Arlequin v. 3.5 (Excoffier and Lischer 2010).
209 To carry out the analysis, we grouped the populations according to different criteria. First, we took
210 into account the populations' geographical locations. Second, we took into account information
211 related to the ornamental plant trade, such as production areas and volume of imported and/or
212 exported plants. The objective was to estimate the impacts on genetic structure in both the native and
213 invaded ranges simultaneously. In the native range, sequences were grouped into five clusters based
214 on population geographical location and known *Buxus* tree production in Chinese provinces (René
215 Eschen pers. comm.) (Figures 2a and S1): (1) north-eastern province of Liaoning grouped with South
216 Korea (N8, N20); (2) northern province of Nei Mongol and Beijing area (N2, N9); (3) eastern provinces
217 of Fujian, Henan, Shandong, and Shanghai area (N3, N4, N6, N10, N11, N12, N13, N14); (4) south-
218 eastern provinces of Anhui, Jiangsu and Zhejiang (N1, N7, N17, N18, N19); and (5) south-western
219 provinces of Guizhou and Yunnan (N5, N15, N16). In the invaded range, information on ornamentals
220 was country-specific (Dehnen-Schmutz et al. 2010; EPPO, 2012; Eschen et al. 2017), and therefore
221 sequence data were first grouped per country. Then, countries were grouped into four clusters based
222 on geographical location and the commercial value of ornamental plant imports/exports (Dehnen-
223 Schmutz et al. 2010; Eschen et al. 2017). The groups were as follows: (1) Germany, Netherlands,

224   France, Italy, Belgium; (2) Switzerland, Spain, Portugal, Luxembourg; (3) Austria, Hungary, Czech
225   Republic, Slovenia, Slovakia, Croatia, Greece, Serbia; and (4) Romania, Turkey, Russia, Bulgaria,
226   Georgia, Iran.

227

Results

229   Twelve haplotypes were identified based on the sequences of the 437 specimens collected
230   across the species' native and invaded ranges (HTA1-HTC1, Table 1; GenBank accession numbers:
231   MK611945-MK611956). These haplotypes comprised 21 single nucleotide polymorphisms. There was
232   no evidence of contamination nor of nuclear copies of mitochondrial DNA (numts). The BLAST search
233   confirmed specimen identification, based on the few samples of *C. perspectalis* present in GenBank
234   and BOLD.

235   The haplotypes formed three haplogroups (A, B, and C), which were separated by at least seven
236   mutation steps (Figure 2b). The sixteen intermediate haplotypes were not present in our sample pool.
237   The topology of the phylogenetic tree was similar to the haplotype network (Suppl. Mat. Figures S3).
238   Two haplotypes, HTA1 and HTB1, were prevalent—they were displayed by approximatively 36**%** of the
239   individuals sequenced. Two other haplotypes, HTA2 and HTB2, had prevalence of 13.5% and 8.9%,
240   respectively. These four haplotypes were found in both the native and invaded range. Overall,
241   haplotype diversity (h) was 0.709 ($\pm$ 0.012), the average number of nucleotide differences (k) was 4.10,
242   and nucleotide diversity (n) was 0.00274 ($\pm$ 0.0000).

243

Genetic diversity and structure in the native range

245   Twelve haplotypes were observed among the sequences of the 132 specimens collected in
246   China (19 sampling locations) and South Korea (1 sampling location) (Table 1, Figures 2a and 2b). The
247   average pairwise sequence difference between haplotypes was 0.004, and ranged from 0.1% to 0.7%,
248   which is consistent with intraspecific distances. The three haplogroups were represented. Haplogroups
249   A and B were observed across the range of Chinese populations, but haplogroup C was only found in
250   the Fuyang population (N17, Zhejiang province). Haplogroups A and B comprised 5 and 6 haplotypes,
251   respectively, while haplogroup C was made up of only one. In most populations (65%), haplotypes
252   belonging to haplogroups A and B co-occurred (e.g., Beijing, N2; Ordos, N9; Tai'an, N11). The values of
253   the diversity indices are provided in Table 1.

254   Only haplotype HTB1 was common to both South Korea and China (Figure 2a), where it was
255   shared by 32.6% of the individuals sequenced. HTB3 was only observed in South Korea (N20: 46%).
256   HTA1 and HTB2 were prevalent across China (30.3% and 22.0%, respectively). HTA1, HTB1, and HTB2
257   occurred in 14 populations. With the exception of HTA2 and HTA4, which were each found in two
258   populations in different provinces (HTA2: N9, Nei Mongol province, and N11, Shandong province;
259   HTA4: N12, Shandong province, and N14, Shanghai province), all the other haplotypes were observed
260   at single locations in China. Nine populations contained three haplotypes, whereas three populations
261   contained a single haplotype. Among these three populations, only in the Wendeng population (N13,

262 Shandong province) was more than one individual sampled. In native populations, haplotype number

263 (H) ranged from 1 to 4, haplotype diversity (h) ranged from 0 to 1, the average number of nucleotide

264 differences (k) ranged from 0.40 to 5.98, and nucleotide diversity (n) ranged from 0 to 0.004.

265   Pronounced genetic structure was observed: N_{ST} (0.277) was significantly higher than G_{ST}

266 (0.181; p value < 0.01). The AMOVA results also supported the existence of genetic structure as all the

267 fixation indices were significant (p value < 0.05), including F_{CT} (Table 2). Genetic differences among

268 groups and among populations within groups nevertheless accounted for a small percentage of the

269 genetic variance (8.4% and 9.4% respectively). The largest amount of genetic differentiation (82.2%)

270 was found within populations (highly significant fixation index; p value < 0.001).

271

Genetic diversity and structure in the invaded range

273   Only five haplotypes (HTA1, HTB1, HTA2, HTA4, HTB2) emerged from the sequences of the 305

274 specimens obtained from the 72 locations sampled in Europe and Asia Minor. All of these haplotypes

275 were also present in China (Table 1). The values of the diversity indices in the invaded range were lower

276 than those in the native range (h= 0.668, k= 3.79, and n= 0.0027).

277   HTA1 and HTB1 were observed across the invasive populations (Figure 3a and 3b). HTA1 was

278 found in 47 populations and 39.3% of the individuals sequenced, while HTB1 was found in 45

279 populations and 38.0**%** of the individuals sequenced. HTA2 was seen in 29 populations. HTA4 and HTB2

280 had restricted distributions—they occurred in populations associated with the first records of *C.*

281 *perspectalis* in Europe. HTA4 was only found in the Weil-am-Rhein population (I34) in Germany and in

282 the Saint Louis population (I18) in France, which is located near the border with German. HTB2 was

283 found mostly in populations in Kehl (I33) and Strasbourg (I19), two nearby locations. Twenty-eight

284 populations contained just one haplotype, whereas 34 populations contained two haplotypes, and 9

285 populations contained 3 haplotypes. In invasive populations, haplotype number (H) ranged from 1 to

286 4, haplotype diversity (h) ranged from 0 to 1, the average number of nucleotide differences (k) ranged

287 from 0.4 to 8, and nucleotide diversity (n) ranged from 0 to 0.00535. We did not observe any genetic

288 diversity in Switzerland, Austria, and Greece. Germany and France had the highest genetic diversity:

289 four and five haplotypes, respectively.

290   The AMOVA results revealed the presence of genetic structure within the invasive populations

291 (Table 2). The values of all the fixation indices were highly significant (p value < 0.01). The largest

292 amount of genetic differentiation (76.09%) was found within populations. Genetic differences among

293 populations within groups and among groups accounted for a smaller percentage of genetic variation

294 (12.30% and 11.61%, respectively).

295

Discussion

297   Based on mtDNA sequence diversity, three major findings resulted from this study. First, the

298 box tree moth displayed a complex genetic structure with a mix of deeply differentiated haplogroups

299 in its native Asian range, probably as a result of anthropogenic activities within this region. Second, the

300 comparison of the genetic diversity patterns between Asia and the invaded Europe indicated eastern

301 China as the likely source of the European populations. Finally, historical records coupled to the genetic
302 diversity and structure observed in the invaded range suggested that multiple introduction events may
303 have occurred.

Genetic structure of the box tree moth in its native range

305   *Cydalima perspectalis* displayed a weak but significant spatial genetic structure across its
306 native range. From our analyses, three main distribution regions can be delimited based on: (1) the
307 occurrence of a private haplotype (HTB3) in South Korea; (2) the co-occurrence of haplogroups A and
308 B in northern and eastern China; and (3) the high prevalence of haplogroup B in southern China. Similar
309 phylogeographic pattern was observed in some lepidopterans native to Asia. The Asiatic rice borer,
310 *Chilo suppressalis*, thus showed three genetically diverse and geographically localized clades in China
311 corresponding to north-eastern, central and southern China (Meng et al. 2008). These same major
312 lineages were also defined for a swallowtail, *Papilio bianor* (Zhu et al. 2011). Actually, these regions
313 have been pointed out as Glacial refugia for the last two species (Meng et al. 2008; Zhu et al. 2011).
314 Since *Buxus* fossils were found in southern China (Ma et al. 2015; Huang et al. 2018), this region may
315 also have served as refugia for some populations of *C. perspectalis*.

316   Only specimens collected from urban areas could be analyzed because we were unable to
317 obtain samples from natural stands of *Buxus* despite intensive efforts. Indeed, the moth's putative
318 distribution in Asia appeared to be solely based on records from urban areas (Kawazu et al. 2007; Kim
319 and Park 2013; Nacambo et al. 2014), which makes it difficult to define its natural distribution. A large
320 part of the natural stands of *Buxus* species are found in southern China (Figure 2a) (Fang et al. 2011).
321 Thus, the proximity to forests may explain the significant genetic diversity that we observed in our
322 data, even if our samplings in this region were carried out on box trees planted in towns. Similarly, the
323 proximity of the sentinel plant nursery in Fuyang (south-eastern China) to natural stands may also
324 account for the unique occurrence of haplogroup C at that site.

325   The mtDNA diversity of *C. perspectalis* populations in Asia corresponds to three distinct
326 haplogroups, two of which being widely distributed. The spatial co-occurrence of divergent
327 haplogroups suggests that this moth has a complex history. Indeed, the existence of divergent
328 haplogroups may reflect ancient phylogenetic differentiation (Avise et al. 1987), whereas their co-
329 occurrence may have resulted from secondary contact, produced by moth movements and/or by
330 human-mediated dispersal of infested plants. Haplotype co-occurrence has also been observed in the
331 native Chinese range of the Asian long-horned beetle, *Anoplophora glabripennis*, leading to suggest
332 that beetles have been moved by man to northern and eastern China with plants used for reforestation
333 (Carter et al. 2009; Javal et al. 2017). The oriental fruit moth, *Grapholita molesta*, displayed the same
334 genetic pattern, which likely reflect recent dispersal through human activities (Song et al. 2018).

335   More generally, human-mediated dispersal can indeed reshape the genetic structure of insect
336 populations and largely modify their primary natural phylogeographic pattern (Stone et al. 2007; Song
337 et al. 2018). In recent years, *Buxus* trees have increasingly been planted as ornamentals in China,
338 especially in the northern part of the country. Because no box trees grow in the wild in northern China,
339 it can be assumed that *C. perspectalis* has been introduced into the cities of this region (e.g., Beijing)
340 as a result of ornamental plantations (Nacambo et al. 2014). As our samplings were essentially carried

341   out in urban areas, the observed genetic structure is likely to represent a combination between the
342   moth phylogeographic history and its human-mediated dispersal with ornamental plant trade.
343   Additional samplings in natural stands, especially from other provinces of south-central China and
344   Japan would be required to precise the evolutionary history of *C. perspectalis* in Asia and understand
345   better its present spatial genetic structure.

346   *A Chinese origin for the populations invasive in Europe and Asia Minor*

347   Five haplotypes were observed in *C. perspectalis* invaded range. It corresponded to a
348   significant fraction (41.7%) of the genetic variability observed in the native Asian populations. This
349   pattern was commonly noticed in biological invasions (Dlugosch and Parker 2008; Estoup and
350   Guillemaud 2010; Lawson Handley et al. 2011; Cristescu 2015). For example, a high genetic diversity in
351   the invaded range was also observed for the micromoth *Phyllonorycter issikii* (Kirichenko et al. 2017),
352   and the brown marmorated stink bug, *Halyomorpha halys* (Gariepy et al. 2014, 2015), two invaders
353   that came to Europe from Asia.

354   For *C. perspectalis*, the South Korean haplotype was not observed in the invasive populations
355   whereas all the haplotypes found in the invaded range were also observed in China, including two of
356   the three most common haplotypes. This finding strongly suggested that these invasive populations
357   have a Chinese origin. It could be coherent with the data on *Buxus* tree imports (EPPO 2012), which
358   also pointed out China as the most probable source of invasion (Leuthardt et al. 2010; Casteels et al.
359   2011; Nacambo et al. 2014). Over recent decades, China has effectively emerged as a key exporter of
360   ornamental plants (Dehnen-Schmutz et al. 2010; Kenis et al. 2018). For example, between 2005 and
361   2010, the Netherlands obtained more than 80% of its imported ornamental plants (i.e., import volume)
362   from eastern Asia, and especially from China (van Valkenburg et al., 2014). Moreover, China was the
363   greatest supplier of *Buxus* trees to other European countries during that same period (EPPO 2012;
364   Kenis et al. 2018).

365   Both the spatial genetic structure of the moth populations within China, the distribution of the
366   production areas of ornamental *Buxus* in this country, and the higher economic development of coastal
367   Chinese provinces (Roques 2010b) suggested eastern China as the location of the invasive source. All
368   the haplotypes observed in Europe were also found in the Shandong province, and four were observed
369   around Shanghai. The coastal provinces in eastern China are more economically developed than are
370   other Chinese provinces, and Shanghai is one of China's key economic centers, from whence large
371   quantities of products are exported throughout the world (Roques 2010b; Lu et al. 2018). Moreover,
372   half of the areas in which *Buxus* trees are produced for export are found in eastern China, notably
373   around Shanghai (René Eschen pers. comm.). These places could potentially be the sources of invasive
374   *C. perspectalis* populations. However, correctly identifying source populations or invasion pathways is
375   not always straightforward. The native range of an invader can be too large to be exhaustively
376   characterized (e.g., Orlova-Bienkowskaja et al. 2015; Orlova-Bienkowskaja and Volkovitsh 2018). The
377   fine-scale reconstruction of an invasion history is possible when there is significant genetic structure
378   in the native range (Lombaert et al. 2011; Cristescu 2015). Here, it was challenging to define the source
379   of the invasive moth populations because there was a significant genetic diversity in the invaded range

380   coupled to a low level of genetic variation among the analyzed Chinese populations, and a lack of
381   samples from populations from other native areas in southern China and Japan.
382

383   *A complex invasion process*

384   The populations sampled where *C. perspectalis* was first recorded in 2007 in Europe (Krüger
385   2008; Van der Straten and Muus 2010; I34 and I33 in Germany and I52 and I53 in the Netherlands;)
386   differed genetically. German populations presented two haplotypes, which were missing in the
387   Netherlands, whereas the Dutch populations showed one haplotype not found in Germany. Moreover,
388   three of these four populations shared only one haplotype. This pattern could result from (i) a single
389   founder event with a significant genetic diversity introduced before 2007 in one of these localities,
390   followed by a secondary spread. In the invasion processes, it is common knowledge that insects can
391   be present before their first observations (Allendorf and Lundquist 2003; Crooks 2005). Furthermore,
392   this genetic diversity could reflect the introduction of insects belonging to populations from eastern
393   China, in which we observed often signs of admixture. Such a pattern was already observed for
394   *Ambrosia artemisiifolia*, the annual weed which recently invaded Europe (van Boheemen et al. 2017).

395   The genetic pattern of *C. perspectalis* can also be explained by (ii) multiple introductions
396   arriving directly from China to several places at the same period. *Buxus* trees were commercially
397   imported from China by different countries of the EU, at least the Netherlands and Italy, between 2006
398   and 2010 (EPPO 2012). No information is available for Germany, but Weil am Rhein where the insect
399   was first observed, regularly received large shipments of Chinese imports (Casteels et al. 2011). This
400   trade information coupled with our genetic data may suggest more than one introduction directly from
401   China. These events of multiple introductions are a common feature in biological invasions (Estoup
402   and Guillemaud 2010; Lawson Handley et al. 2011; Cristescu 2015). It has been observed for many non-
403   native insects across the world (e.g., *Diabrotica virgifera virgifera*, Ciosi et al. 2008; *Hyalopterus pruni*,
404   Lozier et al. 2009; *Cactoblastis cactorum*, Marsico et al. 2011; *Leptoglossus occidentalis,* Lesieur et al.
405   2018), and recently, for non-native species originating from China (*Harmonia axyridis*, Lombaert et al.
406   2010; *H. halys*, Gariepy et al. 2015; *A. glabripennis*, Javal et al. 2017; *Drosophila suzukii*, Fraimout et al.
407   2017).

408   In the same way, multiple introductions may increase the probability of spread of non-native
409   species inside the invaded range (Cristescu 2015). Indeed, anthropogenic activity is known to promote
410   subsequent introduction events, leading to dispersal and range expansion (Estoup and Guillemaud
411   2010). It is assumed that *C. perspectalis* has a natural dispersal rate of around 10 km per year (Van der
412   Straten and Muus 2010; Casteels et al. 2011), which is far too limited to explain its fast colonization of
413   Europe and Asia Minor. The spatial genetic structure suggested that different groups exist. This pattern
414   likely resulted from several complex introduction events, some possibly directly from China and/or
415   some within the invaded range. The speed of the invasion can be explained by those different
416   assumptions, even if the important ornamental plant trade inside Europe (Dehnen-Schmutz et al. 2010;
417   Eschen et al. 2015) may have clearly played a role in the moth dispersal. For example, in 2008, the
418   moth was intercepted in the Netherlands in a *Buxus* shipment of unknown provenance for exportation

419   inside Europe (EPPO 2012). Besides, Gninenko et al. (2014) supposed that the insect was introduced
420   from Italy in 2012 in Caucasian forests due to the import of infested box trees for the Winter Olympics
421   in Sochi. If considering Hungary, Turkey and Romania, where the pest was recorded in 2011 (Sáfián
422   and Horváth 2011; Hizal et al. 2012; Gutue et al. 2014), the geographical distances separating the
423   localities are greater than 400 km (Figure 1), which suggests simultaneous introductions but from
424   unknown origins.

425   Our study provides a new example of a pest species with a complex invasion history. We have
426   highlighted the role played by the ornamental plant trade in its introduction and dispersal, even if more
427   detailed data on *Buxus* tree imports to Europe are needed to decipher the number of introduction
428   events. However, to better pinpoint the moth's region of origin in China and to disentangle the effects
429   of anthropogenic activity on its current distribution patterns, we need to carry out broader sampling
430   efforts and acquire more information about the moth's natural distribution in its native range
431   (Muirhead et al. 2008). Additionally, more powerful tools such as microsatellite or SNP markers (Estoup
432   and Guillemaud 2010; Cristescu 2015; Estoup et al. 2016) could help flesh out *C. perspectalis* invasion
433   scenarios and clarify how the species spread across Europe and Asia Minor in less than ten years.

434

435   Compliance with Ethical Standards:

438   Conflict of interest: The authors state that there is no conflict of interest.

439   Ethical approval: All applicable international, national, and/or institutional guidelines for the care and
440   use of animals were followed. Specimens sampled did not involve endangered nor protected species.

441

References

443   Allendorf FW, Lundquist LL (2003) Introduction: population biology, evolution, and control of invasive
444   species. Conserv Biol 17:24–30

445   Auger-Rozenberg M-A, Boivin T, Magnoux E, et al (2012) Inferences on population history of a seed
446   chalcid wasp: invasion success despite a severe founder effect from an unexpected source
447   population. Mol Ecol 21:6086–6103.

448   Avise JC, Arnold J, Ball RM, et al (1987) Intraspecific phylogeography: the mitochondrial DNA bridge
449   between population genetics and systematics. Annu Rev Ecol Syst 489–522

450   Bella S (2013) The box tree moth *Cydalima perspectalis* (Walker, 1859) continues to spread in southern
451   Europe: new records for Italy (Lepidoptera Pyraloidea Crambidae). Redia 96:51–55

452   Bengtsson BÅ. (2017) Remarkable records of Microlepidoptera in Sweden during 2016. Entomol Tidskr
453   138:1–24

454   Beshkov S, Abadjiev S, Dimitrov D (2015) *Cydalima perspectalis* (Walker, 1859) (Lepidoptera:
455   Pyraloidea: Crambidae: Spilomelinae) – New invasive pest moth in Bulgaria. Entomol Rec J Var
456   127:18–22

457   Blaik T, Hebda G, Masłowski J (2016) *Cydalima perspectalis* (Walker, 1859) – inwazyjny gatunek motyla
458   w faunie Polski (Lepidoptera: Crambidae). Przyr Sudet 19:121–124

459   Carter ME, Smith MT, Harrison RG (2009) Patterns of genetic variation among populations of the Asian
460   longhorned beetle (Coleoptera: Cerambycidae) in China and Korea. Ann Entomol Soc Am
461   102:895–905.

462   Casteels H, Witters J, Vandierendonck S, et al (2011) First report of *Cydalima perspectalis* (Lepidoptera:
463   Crambidae) in Belgium. In: Proceedings of the 63rd International Symposium on Crop
464   Protection. Ghent, pp 151–155

465   Ciosi M, Miller NJ, Kim KS, et al (2008) Invasion of Europe by the western corn rootworm, *Diabrotica*
466   *virgifera virgifera* : multiple transatlantic introductions with various reductions of genetic
467   diversity. Mol Ecol 17:3614–3627.

468   Clement M, Posada D, Crandall KA (2000) TCS: a computer program to estimate gene genealogies. Mol
469   Ecol 9:1657–1659

470   Cristescu ME (2015) Genetic reconstructions of invasion history. Mol Ecol 24:2212–2225.

471   Crooks JA (2005) Lag times and exotic species: The ecology and management of biological invasions in
472   slow-motion. Écoscience 12:316–329. doi: 10.2980/i1195-6860-12-3-316.1

473   Dehnen-Schmutz K, Holdenrieder O, Jeger MJ, Pautasso M (2010) Structural change in the international
474   horticultural industry: Some implications for plant health. Sci Hortic 125:1–15.

475   Dlugosch KM, Parker IM (2008) Founding events in species invasions: genetic variation, adaptive
476   evolution, and the role of multiple introductions. Mol Ecol 17:431–449.

477   EPPO (2012). EPPO Technical Document No. 1061, EPPO Study on the Risk of Imports of Plants for
478   Planting EPPO Paris.

479   Eschen R, Douma JC, Grégoire J-C, et al (2017) A risk categorisation and analysis of the geographic and
480   temporal dynamics of the European import of plants for planting. Biol Invasions 19:3243–3257.

481   Eschen R, Grégoire J-C, Hengeveld GM, et al (2015) Trade patterns of the tree nursery industry in
482   Europe and changes following findings of citrus longhorn beetle, *Anoplophora chinensis*
483   Forster. NeoBiota 26:1–20.

484   Estoup A, Guillemaud T (2010) Reconstructing routes of invasion using genetic data: why, how and so
485   what? Mol Ecol 19:4113–4130.

486   Estoup A, Ravigné V, Hufbauer R, et al (2016) Is there a genetic paradox of biological invasion? Annu
487   Rev Ecol Evol Syst 47:51–72.

488   Excoffier L, Lischer HEL (2010) Arlequin suite ver 3.5: a new series of programs to perform population
489   genetics analyses under Linux and Windows. Mol Ecol Resour 10:564–567.

13

490   Fang J, Wang Z, Tang Z (eds) (2011) Atlas of woody plants in China: distribution and climate. Springer ;
491   Higher Education Press, Heidelberg ; New York : Beijing

492   Feldtrauer J-F, Feltrauer J-J, Brua C (2009) Premiers signalements en France de la Pyrale du Buis
493   *Diaphania perspectalis* (Walker, 1859), espèce exotique envahissante s'attaquant aux Buis.
494   Bull Soc ent Mulhouse 65:55–58

495   Fraimout A, Debat V, Fellous S, et al (2017) Deciphering the routes of invasion of *Drosophila suzukii* by
496   means of ABC random forest. Mol Biol Evol 34:980 – 996.

497   Gariepy TD, Bruin A, Haye T, et al (2015) Occurrence and genetic diversity of new populations of
498   *Halyomorpha halys* in Europe. J Pest Sci 88:451–460.

499   Gariepy TD, Haye T, Fraser H, Zhang J (2014) Occurrence, genetic diversity, and potential pathways of
500   entry of *Halyomorpha halys* in newly invaded areas of Canada and Switzerland. J Pest Sci
501   87:17–28.

502   Garnas JR, Auger-Rozenberg M-A, Roques A, et al (2016) Complex patterns of global spread in invasive
503   insects: eco-evolutionary and management consequences. Biol Invasions 18:935–952.

504   Gninenko YI, Shiryaeva NV, Shurov VI (2014) The box tree moth - a new invasive pest in the Caucasian
505   Forests. Plant Health - Res Pract 1:32–39

506   Gutue C, Gutue M, Rosca I (2014) *Crambidae* associated with parks and ornamental gardens of
507   Bucharest. Horticulture LVIII:323–326

508   Hizal E, Kose M, Yesil C, Kaynar D (2012) The new pest *Cydalima perspectalis* (Walker, 1859)
509   (Lepidoptera : Crambidae) in Turkey. J Anim Vet Adv 11:400–403

510   Hrnčić S, Radonjić S, Perović T (2017) The impact of alien horticultural pests on urban landscape in the
511   southern part of Montenegro. Acta Zool Bulg 9:191–202

512   Huang H-S, Su T, Zhou Z-K (2018) Fossil leaves of *Buxus* (Buxaceae) from the Upper Pliocene of Yunnan,
513   SW China. Palaeoworld 27:271–281.

514   Javal M, Roques A, Haran J, et al (2017) Complex invasion history of the Asian long-horned beetle:
515   fifteen years after first detection in Europe. J Pest Sci. doi: 10.1007/s10340-017-0917-1

516   John R, Schumacher J (2013) Der Buchsbaum-Zünsler (*Cydalima perspectalis*) im Grenzach-Wyhlener
517   Buchswald – Invasionschronik und Monitoringergebnisse. Gesunde Pflanz 65:1–6.

518   Kawazu K, Honda H, Nakamura S, Adati T (2007) Identification of Sex Pheromone Components of the
519   Box Tree Pyralid, *Glyphodes perspectalis*. J Chem Ecol 33:1978–1985.

520   Kenis M, Li H, Fan J, et al (2018) Sentinel nurseries to assess the phytosanitary risks from insect pests
521   on importations of live plants. Sci Rep 8:11217.

522   Kenis M, Nacambo S, Leuthardt F, et al (2013) The box tree moth *Cydalima perspectalis*, in Europe :
523   horticultural pest or environmental disaster ? Aliens 33 38–41

524   Kenis M, Rabitsch W, Auger-Rozenberg M-A, Roques A (2007) How can alien species inventories and
525   interception data help us prevent insect invasions? Bull Entomol Res 97:489–502.

526   Kim J, Park I-K (2013) Female sex pheromone components of the box tree pyralid, *Glyphodes*
527   *perspectalis*, in Korea: Field test and development of film-type lure. J Asia-Pac Entomol 16:473–
528   477.

529   Kimura M (1980) A simple method for estimating evolutionary rate of base substitutions through
530   comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. J Mol Evol 16:111–120

531   Kirichenko N, Triberti P, Ohshima I, et al (2017) From east to west across the Palearctic:
532   Phylogeography of the invasive lime leaf miner *Phyllonorycter issikii* (Lepidoptera:
533   Gracillariidae) and discovery of a putative new cryptic species in East Asia. PLOS ONE
534   12:e0171104.

535   Koren T, Crne M (2012) The first record of the box tree moth, *Cydalima perspectalis* (Walker,
536   1859)(Lepidoptera, Crambidae) in Croatia. Nat Croat 21:507

537   Krüger EO (2008) *Glyphodes perspectalis* (Walker, 1859) - new for the European fauna (Lepidoptera:
538   Crambidae). Entomol Z Mit Insekten-Börse 118:81–83

539   Kumar S, Nei M, Dudley J, Tamura K (2008) MEGA: A biologist-centric software for evolutionary analysis
540   of DNA and protein sequences. Brief Bioinform 9:299–306.

541   Lawson Handley L-J, Estoup A, Evans DM, et al (2011) Ecological genetics of invasive alien species.
542   BioControl 56:409–428.

543   Lesieur V, Lombaert E, Guillemaud T, et al (2018) The rapid spread of *Leptoglossus occidentalis* in
544   Europe: a bridgehead invasion. J Pest Sci 1–12

545   Leuthardt F, Billen W, Baur B (2010) Ausbreitung des Buchsbaumzünslers *Diaphania perspectalis*
546   (Lepidoptera, Pyralidae) in der Region Basel–eine für die Schweiz neue Schädlingsart. Entomo
547   Helvetica 3:51–57

548   Leuthardt FLG, Baur B (2013) Oviposition preference and larval development of the invasive moth
549   *Cydalima perspectalis* on five European box-tree varieties. J Appl Entomol 137:437–444.

550   Librado P, Rozas J (2009) DnaSP v5: a software for comprehensive analysis of DNA polymorphism data.
551   Bioinformatics 25:1451–1452.

552   Lombaert E, Guillemaud T, Cornuet J-M, et al (2010) Bridgehead effect in the worldwide invasion of
553   the biocontrol harlequin ladybird. PLoS ONE 5:e9743.

554   Lombaert E, Guillemaud T, Thomas CE, et al (2011) Inferring the origin of populations introduced from
555   a genetically structured native range by approximate Bayesian computation: case study of the
556   invasive ladybird *Harmonia axyridis*. Mol Ecol 20:4654–4670. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-
557   294X.2011.05322.x

558   Lozier JD, Roderick GK, Mills NJ (2009) Tracing the invasion history of mealy plum aphid, *Hyalopterus*
559   *pruni* (Hemiptera: Aphididae), in North America: a population genetics approach. Biol
560   Invasions 11:299–314.

561   Lu J, Li S, Wu Y, Jiang L (2018) Are Hong Kong and Taiwan stepping-stones for invasive species to the
562   mainland of China? Ecol Evol.

15

563   Ma F, Wang Q, Dong J, et al (2015) *Buxus* Leaves from the Oligocene of Guangxi, China and Their
564   Biogeographical Significance. Acta Geol Sin - Engl Ed 89:1453–1469.

565   Marsico TD, Wallace LE, Ervin GN, et al (2011) Geographic patterns of genetic diversity from the native
566   range of *Cactoblastis cactorum* (Berg) support the documented history of invasion and
567   multiple introductions for invasive populations. Biol Invasions 13:857–868.

568   Maruyama T, Shinkaji N (1987) Studies on the life cycle of the box-tree pyralid, *Glyphodes perspectalis*
569   (Walker) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). I. Seasonal adult emergence and developmental velocity.
570   Jpn J Appl Entomol Zool 31:226–232

571   Matošević D (2013) Box tree moth (*Cydalima perspectalis*, Lepidoptera; Crambidae), new invasive
572   insect pest in Croatia. South-East Eur For 4:89–94

573   Matošević D, Lukić I, Bras A, et al (2017) Spatial distribution, genetic diversity and food choice of box
574   tree moth (*Cydalima perspectalis*) in Croatia. South-East Eur For 8:41–46.

575   Matsiakh I, Kramarets V, Mamadashvili G (2018) Box tree moth *Cydalima perspectalis* as a threat to
576   the native populations of *Buxus colchica* in Republic of Georgia. J Entomol Res Soc 20:29–42

577   Meng X-F, Shi M, Chen X-X (2008) Population genetic structure of *Chilo suppressalis* (Walker)
578   (Lepidoptera: Crambidae): strong subdivision in China inferred from microsatellite markers
579   and mtDNA gene sequences. Mol Ecol 17:2880–2897.

580   Meurisse N, Rassati D, Hurley BP, et al (2018) Common pathways by which non-native forest insects
581   move internationally and domestically. J Pest Sci.

582   Mitchell R, Chitanava S, Dbar R, et al (2018) Identifying the ecological and societal consequences of a
583   decline in *Buxus* forests in Europe and the Caucasus. Biol Invasions.

584   Muirhead JR, Gray DK, Kelly DW, et al (2008) Identifying the source of species invasions: sampling
585   intensity vs. genetic diversity. Mol Ecol 17:1020–1035.

586   Nacambo S, Leuthardt FLG, Wan H, et al (2014) Development characteristics of the box-tree moth
587   *Cydalima perspectalis* and its potential distribution in Europe. J Appl Entomol 138:14–26.

588   Načeski S, Papazova–Anakieva I, Ivanov B, et al (2018) Occurrence of the new invasive insect *Cydalima*
589   *perspectalis* Walker on box tree in the Republic of Macedonia. Contrib Sect Nat Math Biotech
590   Sci 39:133.

591   Nagy A, Szarukán I, Csabai J, et al (2017) Distribution of the box tree moth (*Cydalima perspectalis*
592   Walker 1859) in the north-eastern part of the Carpathian Basin with a new Ukrainian record
593   and Hungarian data. Eppo Bull 47:279–282

594   Orlova-Bienkowskaja MJ, Ukrainsky AS, Brown PMJ (2015) *Harmonia axyridis* (Coleoptera:
595   Coccinellidae) in Asia: a re-examination of the native range and invasion to southeastern
596   Kazakhstan and Kyrgyzstan. Biol Invasions 17:1941–1948.

597   Orlova-Bienkowskaja MJ, Volkovitsh MG (2018) Are native ranges of the most destructive invasive
598   pests well known? A case study of the native range of the emerald ash borer, *Agrilus*
599   *planipennis* (Coleoptera: Buprestidae). Biol Invasions 20:1275–1286.

600   Ostojić I, Zovko M, Petrović D, Elez D (2015) New records of box tree moth *Cydalima perspectalis*
601   (Walker, 1859) in Bosnia and Herzegovina. Works Fac Agric Food Sci Univ Sarajevo 60:139–143

602   Pérez-Otero R, Mansilla JP, Vidal M (2015) *Cydalima perspectalis* Walker, 1859 (Lepidoptera,
603   Crambidae): una nueva amenaza para *Buxus* spp. en la Península Ibérica. Arq Entomoloxicos
604   10:225–228

605   Pons O, Petit RJ (1996) Measwring and testing genetic differentiation with ordered versus unordered
606   alleles. Genetics 144:1237–1245

607   Ries C, Arendt A, Braunet C, et al (2017) Environmental impact assessment and black, watch and alert
608   list classification after the ISEIA Protocol of invertebrates in Luxembourg. Bull Société Nat
609   Luxemb 119:63–70

610   Roques A (2010a) Taxonomy, time and geographic patterns. Chapter 2. BioRisk 4:11–26.

611   Roques A (2010b) Alien forest insects in a warmer world and a globalised economy: impacts of changes
612   in trade, tourism and climate on forest biosecurity. N Z J For Sci 77–94

613   Roques A, Auger-Rozenberg M-A, Blackburn TM, et al (2016) Temporal and interspecific variation in
614   rates of spread for insect species invading Europe during the last 200 years. Biol Invasions
615   18:907–920.

616   Sáfián S, Horváth B (2011) Box tree moth–*Cydalima perspectalis* (Walker, 1859), new member in the
617   Lepidoptera fauna of Hungary (Lepidoptera: Crambidae). Nat Somogyiensis 19:245–246

618   Salisbury A, Korycinska A, Halstead AJ (2012) The first occurrence of larvae of the box tree moth,
619   *Cydalima perspectalis* (Lepidoptera: Crambidae) in private gardens in the UK. Br J Entomol Nat
620   Hist 25:1

621   Seebens H, Blackburn TM, Dyer EE, et al (2017) No saturation in the accumulation of alien species
622   worldwide. Nat Commun 8:14435.

623   Seebens H, Blackburn TM, Dyer EE, et al (2018) Global rise in emerging alien species results from
624   increased accessibility of new source pools. Proc Natl Acad Sci 115:E2264–E2273.

625   Seljak G (2012) Six new alien phytophagous insect species recorded in Slovenia in 2011. Acta Entomol
626   Slov 20:31–44

627   Simberloff D, Martin J-L, Genovesi P, et al (2013) Impacts of biological invasions: what's what and the
628   way forward. Trends Ecol Evol 28:58–66

629   Song W, Cao L-J, Li B-Y, et al (2018) Multiple refugia from penultimate glaciations in East Asia
630   demonstrated by phylogeography and ecological modelling of an insect pest. BMC Evol Biol
631   18.:

632   Stone GN, Challis RJ, Atkinson RJ, et al (2007) The phylogeographical clade trade: tracing the impact of
633   human-mediated dispersal on the colonization of northern Europe by the oak gallwasp
634   Andricus kollari. Mol Ecol 16:2768–2781.

635   Strachinis I, Kazilas C, Karamaouna F, et al (2015) First record of *Cydalima perspectalis* (Walker, 1859)
636   (Lepidoptera: Crambidae) in Greece. Hell Plant Prot J 8:66–72.

637 Thompson JD, Higgins DG, Gibson TJ (1994) CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive
638   multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and
639   weight matrix choice. Nucleic Acids Res 22:4673–4680

640 Vajgand D (2016) Contribution to the study of Lepidoptera of Čelarevo (Vojvodina, Serbia). Acta
641   Entomol Serbica 21:49–92

642 van Boheemen LA, Lombaert E, Nurkowski KA, et al (2017) Multiple introductions, admixture and
643   bridgehead invasion characterize the introduction history of *Ambrosia artemisiifolia* in Europe
644   and Australia. Mol Ecol 26:5421–5434

645 Van der Straten MJ, Muus TS (2010) The box tree pyralid, *Glyphodes perspectalis* (Lepidoptera:
646   Crambidae), an invasive alien moth ruining box trees. Proc Neth Entomol Soc Meet 21:107–
647   111

648 Van Kleunen M, Essl F, Pergl J, et al (2018) The changing role of ornamental horticulture in alien plant
649   invasions. Biol Rev 93:1421–1437

650 van Valkenburg J, Brunel S, Brundu G, et al (2014) Is terrestrial plant import from East Asia into
651   countries in the EPPO region a potential pathway for new emerging invasive alien plants? EPPO
652   Bull 44:195–204.

653 Wan H, Haye T, Kenis M, et al (2014) Biology and natural enemies of *Cydalima perspectalis* in Asia: Is
654   there biological control potential in Europe? J Appl Entomol 138:14–26.

655 Xiao H-J, Xin H-Q, Zhu X-F, Xue F-S (2011) Photoperiod and temperature of diapause induction in
656   *Diaphania perspectalis* (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). Chin J Appl Entomol 48:116–120

657 Zhu B-J, Liu Q-N, Dai L-S, et al (2013) Characterization of the complete mitochondrial genome of
658   *Diaphania   pyloalis*   (Lepidoptera: Pyralididae).   Gene   527:283–291.   doi:
659   10.1016/j.gene.2013.06.035

660 Zhu L, Wu X, Wu C (2011) Phylogeographic history of the swallowtail *Papilio bianor* Cramer
661   (Lepidoptera: Papilionidae) from China. Orient Insects 45:93–102.

662

663

664 Legends

665

666 Table 1. Genetic diversity statistics of native and invasive populations of *Cydalima perspectalis* based
667 on the current study and Matošević et al. (2017).

668

N°	Country	Populations	N	HTA1	HTA2	HTA3	HTA4	HTA5	HTB1	HTB2	HTB3	HTB4	HTB5	HTB6	HTC1	H	h (±SD)	k	n (±SD)
Native Range			132	40	3	1	2	1	43	29	6	1	1	1	4	12	0.755 (0.018)	4.25	0.0028 (0.0001)
N1	China	Huaibei	5						4	1						2	0.400 (0.237)	0.40	0.0003 (0.0002)
N2		Beijing	7	5					1	1						3	0.524 (0.209)	3.62	0.0024 (0.0008)
N3		Fuzhou	1	1												1	-	-	-
N4		Youxi	5	1					3	1						3	0.700 (0.218)	3.20	0.0021 (0.0011)
N5		Guiyang	8	1					4	3						3	0.679 (0.122)	2.29	0.0015 (0.0008)
N6		Xinyang	1						1							1	-	-	-
N7		Nanjing	5	3					1	1						3	0.700 (0.218)	4.60	0.0031 (0.0009)
N8		Shenyang	7	2					4	1						3	0.667 (0.160)	3.62	0.0024 (0.0008)
N9		Ordos	5	2	2								1			3	0.800 (0.164)	3.80	0.0025 (0.0013)
N10		Jinan	5	2		1			2							3	0.800 (0.164)	4.60	0.0031 (0.0008)
N11		Tai'an	8	2	1				3	2						4	0.821 (0.101)	4.43	0.0030 (0.0006)
N12		Dongying	3	1			1		1							3	1 (0.272)	5.33	0.0036 (0.0015)
N13		Wendeng	2							2						1	0	-	0
N14		Shanghai	14	5			1	1		7						4	0.659 (0.090)	4.59	0.0031 (0.0003)
N15		Kunming	8						4	2	1	1				4	0.750 (0.139)	0.93	0.0006 (0.0002)
N16		Lijiang	9						4	5						2	0.556 (0.090)	0.56	0.0004 (0.0001)
N17		Fuyang	12	4					3	1					4	4	0.773 (0.069)	5.98	0.0040 (0.0003)
N18		Hangzhou	8	6					1	1						3	0.464 (0.200)	3.25	0.0022 (0.0009)
N19		Lishui	6	5					1							2	0.333 (0.215)	2.67	0.0018 (0.0012)
N20	South Korea	Seoul	13						7		6					2	0.538 (0.060)	1.08	0.0007 (0.0001)
Invaded range			305	120	56		3		116	10						5	0.668 (0.012)	3.79	0.0027 (0.0001)
I1	Austria	Vienna	6						6							1	0	-	0
I2		Rankweil	2						2							1	0	-	0
I3	Belgium	Ghent	5	5												1	0	-	0
I4		Mechlin	1						1							1	-	-	-
I5		Vremde	3	1					2							2	0.667 (0.314)	4.67	0.0031 (0.0015)
I6	Bulgaria	Plovdiv	4	1	3											2	0.500 (0.265)	0.50	0.0003 (0.0002)
I7		Sofia	5	1					4							2	0.400 (0.237)	2.80	0.0019 (0.0011)
I8	Croatia	Vinica	6		1				5							2	0.333 (0.215)	2.67	0.0018 (0.0012)
I9		Osor	1						1							1	-	-	-
I10		Artatore	1	1												1	-	-	-
I11		Zagreb	5	3					2							2	0.600 (0.175)	4.20	0.0028 (0.0008)
I12		Višnjevac	5						5							1	0	-	0

N°	Country	Populations	N	HTA1	HTA2	HTA3	HTA4	HTA5	HTB1	HTB2	HTB3	HTB4	HTB5	HTB6	HTC1	H	h (±SD)	k	n (±SD)
I13	Czech Rep.	Brno	5	2	2				1							3	0.800 (0.164)	3.40	0.0023 (0.0011)
I14	France	Grenoble	3	3												1	0	-	0
I15		Orléans	5	2					3							2	0.600 (0.175)	4.20	0.0028 (0.0008)
I16		Tours	7	4	1				2							3	0.667 (0.160)	3.62	0.0024 (0.0008)
I17		Bastia	4	2	2											2	0.667 (0.204)	0.67	0.0005 (0.0001)
I18		Saint Louis	9	6			1			2						3	0.556 (0.165)	3.33	0.0022 (0.0009)
I19		Strasbourg	4							4						1	0	-	0
I20		Paris	5	5												1	0	-	0
I21		Bordeaux	4		2				2							2	0.667 (0.204)	5.33	0.0036 (0.0011)
I22		La Rochelle	5	1	4											2	0.400 (0.237)	0.40	0.0003 (0.0002)
I23		Marcillac Vallon	2		1				1							2	1 (0.500)	8.00	0.0054 (0.0027)
I24		Roquefort-sur-Garonne	4	2	1				1							3	0.833 (0.222)	4.00	0.0027 (0.0012)
I25		Nantes	5	3	1				1							3	0.700 (0.218)	3.20	0.0021 (0.0011)
I26		Lagnes	11	7	2				1	1						4	0.600 (0.154)	2.80	0.0019 (0.0008)
I27	Georgia	Bzyb Valley	5	3	1				1							3	0.700 (0.218)	3.20	0.0021 (0.0011)
I28		Mtirala National Park	5	2	3											2	0.600 (0.175)	0.60	0.0004 (0.0001)
I29		Zugdidi	4		3				1							2	0.500 (0.265)	4.00	0.0027 (0.0014)
I30		Tbilisi	5		2				3							2	0.600 (0.175)	4.80	0.0032 (0.0009)
I31	Germany	Lorsch	5	3					2							2	0.600 (0.175)	4.20	0.0028 (0.0008)
I32		Kandern	4	4												1	0	-	0
I33		Kehl	3							3						1	0	-	0
I34		Weil Am Rhein	4	2			2									2	0.667 (0.204)	0.67	0.0005 (0.0001)
I35	Greece	Filiria	2						2							1	0	-	0
I36		Katerini	7						7							1	0	-	0
I37	Hungary	Harkány	5						5							1	0	-	0
I38		Hódmezővásárhely	5	3					2							2	0.600 (0.175)	4.20	0.0028 (0.0008)
I39		Budaörs	5	3					2							2	0.600 (0.175)	4.20	0.0028 (0.0008)
I40		Kőszeg	5	1					4							2	0.400 (0.237)	2.80	0.0019 (0.0011)
I41	Iran	Si Sangan National Park	3	1					2							2	0.667 (0.314)	4.67	0.0031 (0.0015)
I42	Italy	Bologna	3		3											1	0	-	0
I43		Ruta	3	1	1				1							3	1 (0.272)	5.33	0.0036 (0.0015)
I44		Legnaro	5						5							1	0	-	0
I45		Tregnago	4						4							1	0	-	0
I46		Cesa	2	1	1											2	1 (0.500)	1.00	0.0007 (0.0003)

N°	Country	Populations	N	HTA1	HTA2	HTA3	HTA4	HTA5	HTB1	HTB2	HTB3	HTB4	HTB5	HTB6	HTC1	H	h (±SD)	k	n (±SD)
I47		Florence	4		3				1							2	0.500 (0.265)	4.00	0.0027 (0.0014)
I48		Lucca	4	1	2				1							3	0.833 (0.222)	4.17	0.0028 (0.0013)
I49		Turin	4	2	2											2	0.667 (0.204)	0.67	0.0005 (0.0001)
I50		Viterbo	4	2	1				1							3	0.833 (0.222)	4.00	0.0027 (0.0012)
I51	Luxembourg	Luxembourg	2	1					1							2	1 (0.5)	7.00	0.0047 (0.0023)
I52	Netherlands	Giessen	9	1					8							2	0.222 (0.166)	1.56	0.0010 (0.0008)
I53		Boskoop	3	3												1	0	-	0
I54		Rotterdam	5	3					2							2	0.600 (0.175)	4.20	0.0028 (0.0008)
I55		Rhederbrug	3						3							1	0	-	0
I56	Portugal	Vila Nova de Cerveira	5	2	3											2	0.600 (0.175)	0.60	0.0004 (0.0001)
I57	Romania	Timişoara	3		2				1							2	0.667 (0.314)	5.33	0.0036 (0.0017)
I58	Russia	Solokhaul	4	3	1											2	0.500 (0.265)	0.50	0.0003 (0.0002)
I59		Komsomolsk	2	2												1	0	-	0
I60		Krasnodar	5	4	1											2	0.400 (0.237)	0.40	0.0003 (0.0002)
I61	Serbia	Belgrade	5	2					3							2	0.400 (0.237)	4.20	0.0028 (0.0008)
I62	Slovakia	Zvolen	5		5											1	0	-	0
I63		Bratislava	4						4							1	0	-	0
I64	Slovenia	Dobrovnic	2						2							1	0	-	0
I65		Nova Gorica	6	2					4							2	0.533 (0.172)	3.73	0.0025 (0.0008)
I66		Sečovlje	2						2							1	0	-	0
I67	Spain	Besalú	4	3	1											2	0.500 (0.265)	0.50	0.0003 (0.0002)
I68	Switzerland	Delémont	6	6												1	0	-	0
I69		Liestal	3	3												1	0	-	0
I70		Monteggio	3	3												1	0	-	0
I71	Turkey	Istanbul	5	3					2							2	0.600 (0.175)	4.20	0.0028 (0.0008)
I72		Yalova	2	1	1											2	1 (0.5)	1.00	0.0007 (0.0003)

669

670 The information provided for each location is population number (N°); number of individuals (N); haplotype number (H); haplotype diversity (h, with
671 standard deviation SD); average number of nucleotide differences (k); and nucleotide diversity (n, with standard deviation SD).

672

673

Table 2. Results of the hierarchical AMOVA of COI-COII sequence data obtained from *Cydalima perspectalis* in its (a) native range and (b) invaded range.

Source of variation	df	Sum of squares	% of variation	Fixation index
(a) Native range				
Among groups	4	6.067	9.37	$F_{CT} = 0.093$*
Among populations within groups	15	7.725	8.43	$F_{SC} = 0.094$*
Within populations	112	35.693	82.20	$F_{ST} = 0.178$***
(b) Invaded range				
Among groups	3	11.091	11.61	$F_{CT} = 0.116$**
Among populations within groups	20	15.291	12.30	$F_{SC} = 0.139$***
Within populations	281	75.132	76.09	$F_{ST} = 0.239$***

Statistical probabilities were derived from 50,175 permutations; *p value < 0.05, **p value < 0.01, ***p value < 0.001. (a) In the native range, five groups were defined: (1) N8, N20; (2) N2, N9; (3) N3, N4, N6, N10, N11, N12, N13, N14; (4) N1, N7, N17, N18, N19; and (5) N5, N15, N16 (see Table 1 for population numbers). (b) In the invaded range, four groups were defined: (1) Germany, Netherlands, France, Italy, Belgium; (2) Switzerland, Spain, Portugal, Luxembourg; (3) Austria, Hungary, Czech Republic, Slovenia, Slovakia, Croatia, Greece, Serbia; and (4) Romania, Turkey, Russia, Bulgaria, Georgia, Iran.

Figure 1. Spread of *Cydalima perspectalis* across Europe and Asia Minor between 2007 (the year the species was first observed) and 2016. The two yellow stars indicate the first places that *C. perspectalis* was detected—in Germany (DEU) in 2007 (Krüger 2008). The gray dots represent the first observation(s) of the moth in each country, which were determined based on a literature review. Country abbreviations are as follows (listed by year of first moth observation): CHE: Switzerland (Leuthardt et al. 2010); NLD: Netherlands (Van der Straten and Muus 2010); FRA: France (Feldtrauer et al. 2009); GBR: United Kingdom (Salisbury et al. 2012); AUT: Austria; LIE: Liechtenstein; DNK: Denmark; ITA: Italy (Bella 2013); BEL: Belgium (Casteels et al. 2011); ROU: Romania (Gutue et al. 2014); TUR: Turkey (Hizal et al. 2012); HUN: Hungary (Sáfián and Horváth 2011); CZE: Czech Republic (Bella 2013); SVN: Slovenia (Seljak 2012); HRV: Croatia (Koren and Crne 2012); POL: Poland (Blaik et al. 2016); RUS: Russia (Gninenko et al. 2014); SVK: Slovakia (Bella 2013); GRC: Greece (Strachinis et al. 2015); ESP: Spain (Pérez-Otero et al. 2015); BGR: Bulgaria (Beshkov et al. 2015); SRB: Serbia (Vajgand 2016); MNE: Montenegro (Hrnčić et al. 2017); BIH: Bosnia and Herzegovina (Ostojić et al. 2015); MKD: Macedonia (Načeski et al. 2018); GEO: Georgia and Abkazhia (Matsiakh et al. 2018); UKR: Ukraine (Nagy et al. 2017); LUX: Luxembourg (Ries et al. 2017); PRT: Portugal (Maria da Conceição de Lemos Viana Boavida pers. comm.); ARM: Armenia (Shiroma Sathyapala pers. comm.); IRN: Iran (Mitchell et al. 2018); and SWE: Sweden (Bengtsson 2017).

Figure 2a. Spatial distribution of *Cydalima perspectalis* COI-COII haplotypes in the moth's native range. The color codes indicate the color used in the haplotype network (see Figure 2b). The putative natural range of *C. perspectalis* was characterized based on records in the literature and moths collected as part of this study. The distribution of *Buxus* species was estimated based on Fang et al. (2011), and *Buxus* production in Chinese provinces was estimated using unpublished data provided by René Eschen.

2b. Network of *Cydalima perspectalis* COI-COII haplotypes based on the haplotype frequencies observed in the moth's native range. Each circle represents a haplotype (HTA1 to HTC1) and is labeled using a specific color. Circle size is proportional to the number of individuals. Each line between circles corresponds to a mutational step, and the small black circles are missing intermediate haplotypes.


23

713 Figure 3a. Geographical distribution of *Cydalima perspectalis* COI-COII haplotypes in the moth's
714 invaded range based on the results of the current study and Matošević et al. (2017). Circle size is
715 proportional to the number of individuals. The color codes indicate the color used in the haplotype
716 network (see Figure 2b). Country abbreviations are as follows: DEU: Germany; CHE: Switzerland; NLD:
717 Netherlands; FRA: France; AUT: Austria; ITA: Italy; BEL: Belgium; ROU: Romania; TUR: Turkey; HUN:
718 Hungary; CZE: Czech Republic; SVN: Slovenia; HRV: Croatia; RUS: Russia; SVK: Slovakia; GRC: Greece;
719 ESP: Spain; BGR: Bulgaria; SRB: Serbia; GEO: Georgia; LUX: Luxembourg; PRT: Portugal; and IRN: Iran.

720 3b. Network of *Cydalima perspectalis* COI-COII haplotypes based on the haplotype frequencies
721 observed in the moth's invaded range. Each circle represents a haplotype. Different colors represent
722 sampled invaded countries by *Cydalima perspectalis*. Circle size is proportional to the number of
723 individuals. Each line between circles corresponds to a mutational step, and the small empty circles
724 are missing intermediate haplotypes.

726