

# A million and more trees for science

Alain Paquette, Andy Hector, Bastien Castagneyrol, Margot Vanhellemont, Julia Koricheva, Michael Scherer-Lorenzen, Kris Verheyen, Herve Jactel, .

Treedivnet

### ► To cite this version:

Alain Paquette, Andy Hector, Bastien Castagneyrol, Margot Vanhellemont, Julia Koricheva, et al.. A million and more trees for science. Nature Ecology & Evolution, 2018, 2 (5), pp.763-766. 10.1038/s41559-018-0544-0. hal-02626933

## HAL Id: hal-02626933 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02626933v1

Submitted on 8 Apr 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 A million and more trees for scier
--------------------------------------

- 2
- 3 Alain Paquette<sup>1,+</sup>, Andy Hector<sup>2,+</sup>, Bastien Castagneyrol<sup>3</sup>, Margot Vanhellemont<sup>4</sup>, Julia Koricheva<sup>5</sup>,
- 4 Michael Scherer-Lorenzen<sup>6</sup>, Kris Verheyen<sup>4,\*</sup> and TreeDivNet<sup>7</sup>
- 5 + Equal contributions; \* Corresponding author
- 6 <sup>1</sup> Université du Québec à Montréal, Centre for Forest Research, PO Box 8888, Centre-ville Station,
- 7 Montréal, Qc, H3C 3P8, Canada
- 8 <sup>2</sup> University of Oxford, Department of Plant Sciences, Oxford, OX1 3RB, UK
- 9 <sup>3</sup> INRA, UMR 1202 BIOGECO, F-33610 Cestas, France
- 10 <sup>4</sup> Ghent University, Department of Environment, 9090 Gontrode, Belgium
- <sup>5</sup> Royal Holloway University of London, School of Biological Sciences, Surrey, TW20 0EX, Egham,

12 UK

- <sup>6</sup> University of Freiburg, Faculty of Biology, Geobotany, 79104, Freiburg, Germany
- 14
- 15 TreeDivNet is the largest network of biodiversity experiments worldwide, but needs to expand.
- 16 We encourage colleagues to establish new experiments on the relation between tree species
- 17 diversity and forest ecosystem functioning, and to make use of the platform for collaborative
- 18 research.
- 19

Forests now cover approximately 30% of the Earth's land surface (FAO State of the World's Forests 2012), support high levels of biodiversity, and provide essential ecosystem services to humanity (Millennium Ecosystem Assessment 2005). About 30% of the world's forests were lost during the last 5000 years following human population growth, and forest cover is still being reduced at unpreceded rates, through deforestation and conversion to agriculture (FAO 2012). Reforestation and afforestation programs exist in many countries to compensate for the loss of forest cover, with China's Grain-for-Green Program being the largest.

27

28 It is predicted that >50% of industrial timber will come from plantations by around the middle of this century <sup>1</sup>. While planting restores tree cover, virtually all industrial plantations are single-29 30 species monocultures, most often of fast-growing cultivars or hybrids of pine, eucalypt, acacia, 31 spruce, poplar or larch. Foremost among the many reasons for this are the high yields normally 32 achieved with intensive, high-input silviculture, under a predictable and stable climate, low 33 disturbances and minimal pests and diseases. However, with increasing recognition of the 34 environmental costs of high-input systems, a changing climate, increasing exotic pests and 35 diseases, and the increasing importance given to other ecosystem services provided by forests, 36 the historical arguments in favour of monocultures is becoming less persuasive<sup>2</sup>.

37

Indeed, over the last quarter century, research demonstrated a general trend for ecosystem functioning and the provisioning of ecosystem services to increase with higher levels of diversity<sup>3</sup>.
This research was generally conducted with herbaceous plants that are more convenient experimental systems, but forests are now one of the main areas of research in this field despite the challenges they present.

43

### 44 Linking tree diversity and forest ecosystem functioning

Whether biodiversity is positively related to ecosystem functioning has been a controversial idea, and particularly difficult to test for in arboreal systems for several reasons, including the large size of trees, slow growth, and long lifespans. Observational approaches compare ecosystem functioning in existing forests of different tree diversity, while statistically controlling for other drivers of productivity <sup>4</sup>.

50

51 Observational studies have provided perhaps the strongest support for a positive effect of forest 52 diversity on productivity, culminating with a recent study that included data from all forested biomes of the world <sup>5</sup>. Such studies are relatively easy to perform and have high relevance to real-53 54 world systems, but potential confounding factors (species identity, climate, soil, management 55 history) may limit their ability to isolate the effect of tree diversity. A second possibility is to remove species from established communities while monitoring impacts on functioning <sup>6</sup>. While 56 57 these removal experiments bring greater control than observational studies, the disturbance 58 incurred can confound the results.

59

60 A third approach is to experimentally manipulate tree diversity (and identity) by planting trees in well replicated designs <sup>7</sup>. In tree diversity experiments, plots of different levels of diversity are 61 62 established to monitor the impacts on ecosystem functioning and stability. Experiments have the 63 advantage of greater control of confounding factors as well as species composition and stem 64 density, but they take time to develop whereas evidence has shown that diversity effects tend to strengthen through time<sup>8</sup>. This could in part explain why so far, and contrary to expected, 65 observational studies have repeatedly shown larger diversity effects than did experiments <sup>3</sup>. In 66 67 summary, there is no perfect approach: observational (comparative) studies, removal

experiments and tree diversity experiments all have strengths and weaknesses. Some compromises (or hybrid solutions) are also possible such as improved observational studies that aim at better controlling exogenous factors through a careful plot selection process <sup>9</sup>.

71

### 72 The Tree Diversity Network

73 TreeDivNet (www.treedivnet.ugent.be) is a global network of tree diversity experiments which 74 provides a unique platform for research on the relationship between tree diversity and ecosystem functioning in all major forest types around the world <sup>7</sup>. TreeDivNet is the largest network of 75 76 biodiversity experiments worldwide - of any group of organisms - and one of the largest research 77 facilities in ecology in general. Many of the experiments are large-scale and long-term (planned to 78 run for decades), whereas others work at smaller or shorter scales. To date, more than 1,115,000 79 trees have been planted in 25 experiments, covering a total area of more than 820 ha (Figure 1). 80 Key strengths of the network include a pool of 230 tree species, the wide biogeographic gradient 81 covered, and the diversity and complementarity of the research teams involved.

82

83 Unlike traditional forestry trials, monitoring productivity between a monoculture and the same 84 species mixed with a companion species, experiments within TreeDivNet typically have longer 85 diversity gradients and investigate the effects of tree diversity (and not only the effect of mixture) 86 on multiple forest ecological functions. In addition, many experiments within TreeDivNet 87 manipulate not only tree species richness, but also other components of diversity such as species 88 identity (plots of the same diversity level but using different combinations of species), 89 intraspecific genetic diversity, functional or phylogenetic diversity, and evenness. The ultimate 90 goal is to identify the multiple and complex mechanisms through which trees, and species at 91 other trophic levels such as microbes and insects, interactively influence ecological dynamics to

92 promote coexistence, resilience, facilitation and complementary resource use <sup>10, 11, 12</sup>. In addition, 93 the network translates that knowledge into relevant guidelines to foster the use of well-designed, 94 diverse tree plantations that are more resilient and productive, while maximizing synergies with 95 other forest functions (recreational, environmental) and biodiversity conservation <sup>13</sup>.

96

### 97 Key findings

98 TreeDivNet has already produced some key findings on the relationships between tree diversity and several forest functions <sup>14</sup>. Tree diversity often improved the survival and growth of trees, 99 100 and the mechanisms involved variation in species traits, and included both selection and complementary effects <sup>12, 15, 16</sup>. However the effect of tree diversity on herbivory damage is still 101 102 elusive as positive, negative, and neutral responses have been observed, whereas the 103 mechanisms involved included changes in concentration, frequency, and apparency of hosts, 104 herbivore breadth, the spatial scale of interactions, and natural enemies <sup>17</sup>. This highlights the 105 importance of environmental context for biodiversity research and the need for system-specific analyses <sup>18</sup>. TreeDivNet is also playing a key role in highlighting the importance of other trophic 106 107 levels, such as microbes, in mediating diversity effects in tree communities <sup>11, 19</sup>.

108

### 109 **Real world applications**

The potential applications of the research conducted within the TreeDivNet platform are broadand varied:

(1) Industrial plantations. Almost all fast-growing forest plantations are monocultures. Few
 polycultures exist and yet the potential benefits in terms of yield, increased stability and
 decreased risk in productivity, increased social acceptance and ecosystem services to
 other users are high.

(2) Forest landscape restoration. Large areas of degraded land, especially in the tropics and
 subtropics, are in need of restoration and global political initiatives such as the Bonn
 Challenge (www.bonnchallenge.org) are under way to improve human well-being through
 multifunctional landscapes. In many situations, new forests will have to be created with
 the opportunity to use specific mixtures to optimize resilience and the provision of
 multiple ecosystem services.

(3) Agroforestry. A traditional use of trees that is gaining popularity is in agricultural fields.
 Again, most agroforestry systems use only one tree species, although the benefits of
 mixtures of arboreal species nested within a broader design including other plant growth
 forms could be high.

(4) Urban forest planning. Trees are often planted alone or in small groups along streets or in
parks, with no consideration for the possible benefits that a greater diversity of tree
species could provide, if only to reduce the risks associated with global change factors
such as introduced pests and diseases. To acknowledge that, TreeDivNet millionth tree
was planted at IDENT-*Cité* in spring 2015, in Montreal (Canada). This installation - a double
spiral with increasing diversity as the visitor moves toward the center - serves as
educational link between the science developed in TreeDivNet and the public.

133

### 134 **Outstanding questions and research priorities**

The extension of biodiversity - ecosystem functioning research into forests is improving ecologists' capacity to understand the mechanistic bases sustaining diversity effects, and presents opportunities for novel research <sup>14</sup>. Since tree experiments enable measurements at individual, neighborhood and plot levels, they allow asking some key questions such as the scale at which diversity matters, and whether relationships and mechanisms change through time. Tree

experiments also provide ample opportunities to use remote sensing and spectral approaches to study community dynamics, integrate belowground and aboveground processes, and scale-up the consequences of individual physiology and plasticity for ecosystem functioning. Fueled by mixed results from observational studies <sup>20</sup>, some of the more recent additions to the network are asking whether and how diversity may buffer against climate change driven stresses, such as increased drought through manipulating water availability.

146

### 147 Calling out to fellow scientists

148 The oldest experiments in TreeDivNet are approaching two decades, but many are planned to run 149 for much longer, and the network offers a unique infrastructure for long-term ecological research. 150 A large number of publications have already been published (175; 158 peer-reviewed papers and 151 16 PhD thesis; Figure 1), and more research is underway. However, huge potential exists for 152 further work, especially in areas outside the expertise of the current TreeDivNet teams. We 153 encourage colleagues worldwide to suggest collaborative research making use of the TreeDivNet 154 platform. Additional experiments in underrepresented forest biomes are also much needed in 155 order to foster and strengthen synthesis studies as well as enable transfer of well-founded knowledge to stakeholders worldwide <sup>5</sup>. The network needs to expand conceptually, for example 156 157 by developing designs for the next generation of experiments that may better isolate the 158 mechanisms that lead to diversity effects. We should also address the new questions and 159 challenges posed to forest ecosystems by global changes, such as an increased frequency of 160 severe droughts, floods and storms. Also, to be successful at turning the tide on the use of 161 monocultures in plantation forestry, the network needs to foster operational scale experiments to 162 demonstrate not only the benefits of diversity at scales that matter to managers, but their

- 163 feasibility as well <sup>21, 22</sup>. In short, additional (long-term) data collection in existing experiments and
- 164 the set-up of new experiments are needed to further increase the impact of the network.

166	6 <b>References</b>		
167			
168 169 170	1.	Carle J, Holmgren P. Wood from Planted Forests: A Global Outlook 2005-2030. <i>Forest Products Journal</i> <b>58</b> , 6-18 (2008).	
171 172 173 174	2.	Bauhus J, Forrester DI, Pretzsch H. Mixed-Species Forests: The Development of a Forst Management Paradigm. In: <i>Mixed-Species Forests - Ecology and Management</i> (eds Pretzsch H, Forrester DI, Bauhus J). Springer Verlag Germany, Heidelberg (2017).	
175 176 177	3.	Duffy JE, Godwin CM, Cardinale BJ. Biodiversity effects in the wild are common and as strong as key drivers of productivity. <i>Nature</i> <b>10.1038/nature23886</b> , (2017).	
178 179 180	4.	Paquette A, Messier C. The effect of biodiversity on tree productivity: from temperate to boreal forests. <i>Global Ecology &amp; Biogeography</i> <b>20</b> , 170-180 (2011).	
181 182 183	5.	Liang J, et al. Positive biodiversity-productivity relationship predominant in global forests. <i>Science</i> <b>354</b> , aaf8957 (2016).	
184 185 186	6.	Dıaz S, Symstad AJ, Chapin FS, Wardle DA, Huenneke LF. Functional diversity revealed by removal experiments. <i>TREE</i> <b>18</b> , 140-146 (2003).	
187 188 189	7.	Verheyen K, <i>et al.</i> Contributions of a global network of tree diversity experiments to sustainable forest plantations. <i>AMBIO</i> <b>45</b> , 29-41 (2016).	
190 191 192	8.	Meyer ST, <i>et al.</i> Effects of biodiversity strengthen over time as ecosystem functioning declines at low and increases at high biodiversity. <i>Ecosphere</i> <b>7</b> , e01619 (2016).	
193 194 195 196	9.	Baeten L, <i>et al.</i> A novel comparative research platform designed to determine the functional significance of tree species diversity in European forests. <i>Perspect Plant Ecol Evol Syst</i> <b>15</b> , 281-291 (2013).	
197 198 199 200	10.	Fichtner A, Härdtle W, Li Y, Bruelheide H, Kunz M, von Oheimb G. From competition to facilitation: how tree species respond to neighbourhood diversity. <i>Ecol Lett</i> <b>20</b> , 892-900 (2017).	
201 202 203 204	11.	Laforest-Lapointe I, Paquette A, Messier C, Kembel SW. Leaf bacterial diversity mediates plant diversity – ecosystem function relationships. <i>Nature</i> <b>doi:10.1038/nature22399</b> , (2017).	
205 206 207 208	12.	Williams LJ, Paquette A, Cavender-Bares J, Messier C, Reich PB. Spatial complementarity in tree crowns drives overyielding in species mixtures. <i>Nature Ecology &amp; Evolution</i> <b>1</b> , 0063 (2017).	
208 209 210 211	13.	Barrette M, <i>et al.</i> Issues and solutions for intensive plantation silviculture in a context of ecosystem management <i>For Chron</i> <b>90</b> , 748-762 (2014).	

- 212 14. Grossman JJ, *et al.* Synthesis and future research directions linking tree diversity to
  213 growth, survival, and damage in a global network of tree diversity experiments.
  214 Environmental and Experimental Botany in press, (2017).
- 216 15. Van de Peer T, Verheyen K, Ponette Q, Setiawan NN, Muys B. Overyielding in young
  217 tree plantations is driven by local complementarity and selection effects related to
  218 shade tolerance. *J Ecol*, n/a-n/a.
- 16. Tobner CM, Paquette A, Gravel D, Reich PB, Williams LJ, Messier C. Functional identity
  is the main driver of diversity effects in young tree communities. *Ecol Lett* 19, 638–
  647 (2016).
- 17. Castagneyrol B, Giffard B, Péré C, Jactel H. Plant apparency, an overlooked driver of
  associational resistance to insect herbivory. *J Ecol* **101**, 418-429 (2013).
- Ratcliffe S, *et al.* Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning relations in European forests
  depend on environmental context. *Ecol Lett* 20, 1414-1426 (2017).
- 19. Nguyen NH, *et al.* Ectomycorrhizal and saprotrophic fungal diversity are linked to
  different tree community attributes in a field-based tree experiment. *Molecular Ecology* 25 4032-4046 (2016).
- 234 20. Paquette A, Vayreda J, Coll L, Messier C, Retana J. Climate change could negate positive
  235 biodiversity effects on forest productivity: A study across five climate types in Spain
  236 and Canada. *Ecosystems* 10.1007/s10021-017-0196-y, (2017).
- 238 21. Puettmann KJ, *et al.* Silvicultural alternatives to conventional even-aged forest
  239 management what limits global adoption? *Forest Ecosystems* 2, 8 (2015).
- 241 22. Paquette A, Messier C. Chapter 13 Managing Tree Plantations as Complex Adaptive
  242 Systems. In: *Managing forests as complex adaptive systems: Building Resilience to the*243 *Challenge of Global Change* (eds Messier C, Puettmann K, J., Coates KD). EarthScan
  244 (2013).
- 245

237

240

215

219

246

### 247 Author Contributions

- 248 The concept was developed at the plenary TreeDivNet meeting in Bordeaux, February 2017. The
- first draft was written by AH and AP, with later input from BC, MV, KV, JK, MSL, and all TreeDivNet
- 250 members<sup>7</sup>.
- 251
- 252 Declaration of Financial Competing Interests

- 253 The authors declare no competing financial interest.
- 254

### 255 <sup>7</sup> Members of the TreeDivNet are:


256 Abdala-Roberts, Luis; Auge, Harald; Barsoum, Nadia; Bauhus, Jürgen; Baum, Christel; Bruelheide, 257 Helge; Castagneyrol, Bastien; Cavender-Bares, Jeannine; Eisenhauer, Nico; Ferlian, Olga; Ganade, 258 Gislene; Godbold, Douglas; Göransson, Hans; Gravel, Dominique; Hall, Jefferson; Hector, Andy; 259 Hobbs, Richard; Hoelscher, Dirk; Hulvey, Kristin B.; Huxham, Mark; Jactel, Hervé; Koricheva, Julia; 260 Kreft, Holger; Liang, Jingjing; Mereu, Simone; Messier, Christian; Montgomery, Rebecca; Muys, 261 Bart; Nock, Charles; Paquette, Alain; Parker, Bill; Parker, John; Parra-Tabla, Victor; Perring, Mike; 262 Ponette, Quentin; Potvin, Catherine; Reich, Peter; Rewald, Boris; Scherer-Lorenzen, Michael; 263 Smith, Andy; Standish, Rachel; Vanhellemont, Margot; Verheyen, Kris; Weih, Martin; Wollni, 264 Meik; Zemp, Clara 265

- 266
- 267 Figure 1. TreeDivNet in winter 2018.





Initiated in 999 Now covering 821 hectares



3 diversity gradients genetic, functional, species 488 experimental plots


Tree species for the species f

Forest biodiversity and ecosystem functioning

# Tree Diversity Network • www.treedivnet.ugent.be

# 25 experiments ● 45 sites ● 6 continents









175 publications 158 papers, I book chapter 16 PhD theses