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Summary
In the last 15 years, outstanding progress has been made in understanding the function of meiotic genes in the model dicot and monocot plants Arabidopsis and rice (Oryza sativa L.), respectively. This knowledge allowed to modulate meiotic recombination in Arabidopsis and, more recently, in rice. For instance, the overall frequency of crossovers (COs) has been stimulated 2.3- and 3.2-fold through the inactivation of the rice FANCM and RECQ4 DNA helicases, respectively, two genes involved in the repair of DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) as noncrossovers (NCOs) of the Class II crossover pathway. Differently, the programmed induction of DSBs and COs at desired sites is currently explored by guiding the SPO11-1 topoisomerase-like transerase, initiating meiotic recombination in all eukaryotes, to specific target regions of the rice genome. Furthermore, the inactivation of 3 meiosis-specific genes, namely PAIR1, OsREC8 and OsOSD1, in the Mitosis instead of Meiosis (MiMe) mutant turned rice meiosis into mitosis, thereby abolishing recombination and achieving the first component of apomixis, apomeiosis. The successful translation of Arabidopsis results into a crop further allowed the implementation of two breakthrough strategies that triggered parthenogenesis from the MiMe unreduced clonal egg cell and completed the second component of diplosporous apomixis. Here, we review the most recent advances in and future prospects of the manipulation of meiotic recombination in rice and potentially other major crops, all essential for global food security.

Introduction
Rice is a staple food for more than half of mankind. It is estimated that current rice production should be raised by 50% to meet the demand for the 2050 world population, which will mainly occur in rice-eating countries (Alexandratos and Bruinsma, 2012). Genetic improvement together with agricultural practices greatly contributed to the overall gain accomplished from 1960 to 2010, which doubled the average yield from 2 to 4 t/ha and saved an estimated 250 million ha of land from cultivation (Williams, 2017). However, since the early 2000s, rice and other cereal crops yields have reached a plateau (Grassini, 2017). These plateaus are partly due to enhanced climate instability and insufficient crop rotation (Bennett et al., 2015). Additionally, recombination frequency may vary up to 100-fold across regions in large plant genomes (Mézard et al., 2015), limiting access to genes of interest residing in ‘cold’ recombination regions. Thus, making meiosis amenable to manipulation for enhancing and/or targeting recombination is highly desirable.

In the coming decade, genomics and genome editing tools will likely assist in the development of new cultivars through precision engineering technologies and improved breeding schemes (Li et al., 2018a). Advances will be facilitated by knowledge of the nucleotide variation of accessions in the two cultivated species (Oryza sativa L. and Oryza glaberrima Steud.) and their wild relatives (Stein et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2014, 2018; Zhao et al., 2018) as well as of the functional characterization of their genes (Li et al., 2018b). The starting raw material for breeders is allelic variation that is naturally reshuffled upon meiotic recombination and transmitted by the gametes. This creates novel allelic combinations harnessed by breeders to create improved phenotypes. However, meiotic recombination between the homologous chromosomes is hampered by the restricted number of COs per chromosome (typically 1–3 per chromosome pair) and globally per meiotic cell (Mercier et al., 2015). Additionally, recombination frequency may vary up to 100-fold across regions in large plant genomes (Mézard et al., 2015), limiting access to genes of interest residing in ‘cold’ recombination regions. Thus, making meiosis amenable to manipulation for enhancing and/or targeting recombination is highly desirable.

The process of meiosis has a dual role: to generate the genetic diversity transmitted by the gametes but also to ensure proper segregation of the chromosomes into the gametes. Defects in recombination and meiosis are a major source of sterility. As in all eukaryotes, the reductional and equational meiotic divisions reduce the number of chromosomes transmitted by the gametes by half. Then, fertilization allows a return to the species chromosome number. However, some plant species exhibit clonal
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asexual reproduction via seeds, a mode of reproduction called apomixis (Koltunow and Grossniklaus, 2003). A major mode of apomixis in grasses is gametophytic, diplosporous apomixis, which bypasses meiosis through the formation of an embryo from the unreduced egg cell, resulting in clonal progeny identical to the maternal parent. Transferring this mode of reproduction to crops will have the considerable advantage of propagating hybrid vigour across generations via seeds. The possibility of creating apomictic hybrids in rice, a self-propagated crop, will allow heterosis in the crops of subsistence farmers. To achieve this, long-term goal requires to abolish recombination in F1 hybrids, that is turning meiosis into mitosis, and to trigger parthenogenetic development from the unreduced egg cell.

The isolation of numerous meiosis mutants and characterization of their functions in model species such as budding yeast (Keeney et al., 2014), Caenorhabditis (Hillers et al., 2017; Yu et al., 2016) and the plant Arabidopsis (Lambing et al., 2017; Mercier et al., 2015; Wang and Copenhaver, 2018) have been fruitful. Similarly, over the last 15 years, functional approaches have been intensively pursued in rice. In a 2014 survey celebrating 10 years of accomplishments, Luo et al. (2014) identified 28 functionally characterized meiotic genes in rice. These genes are involved in the entry into meiosis, sister chromatid cohesion, protection of centromeric cohesion, formation and processing of the recombination initiating DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs), strand invasion/exchange, synaptonemal complex formation and resolution of the recombination intermediates leading to NCO and CO recombinant molecules. Since, the function of more than 20 other rice meiotic genes has been uncovered, making rice a major contributor to the nearly 90 meiotic genes characterized in plants to date (Wang and Copenhaver, 2018). As a complement to Luo’s and collaborators’ review, we will focus hereafter on recent achievements in the engineering of meiotic recombination pathways in rice. Engineering aimed at either enhancing or targeting recombination or, conversely, at abolishing recombination to produce unreduced egg cells that can serve for triggering parthenogenesis and thus achieving apomixis.

**Meiosis and recombination**

Meiosis is a specialized cell differentiation that leads to the formation of gametes containing half of the species chromosomal complement. This ploidy reduction is ensured by a single round of DNA replication followed by two rounds of chromosome segregation: first, the reductional division (MI) that segregates the homologous chromosomes and second (MII) the equational segregation that separate the sister chromatids (Figure 1). During anaphase I, the sister chromatid cohesion is released along the chromosome arms and the kinetochore origins are oriented towards the same pole, allowing the accurate migration and segregation of the homologous chromosomes. Then, during anaphase II, the pericentromeric cohesion is released and the kinetochore of the sister chromatids are oriented towards opposite poles, allowing the separation of the sister chromatids and the formation of four cells (tetrad). They will finally differentiate to generate the gametes. Meiotic recombination that occurs during the prophase of MI after DNA replication generates two classes of recombination products. The recombinant molecules issued from a crossover (CO) event result from a reciprocal exchange between two non-sister chromatids carried by the homologous chromosomes. Its cytological manifestation is the chiasma. In contrast, the recombinant molecules issued from a noncrossover event (NCO) locally acquire a small stretch of DNA from the homologous chromosome, without exchange of the flanking markers. When the four products of a single meiosis can be recovered in tetrads, this unidirectional transfer of information called a ‘gene conversion’. It is associated or not to an adjacent crossover. Thus, recombination between the polymorphic paternal and maternal chromosomes in hybrids leads to novel combination of alleles transmitted by the gametes and potentially encoding new traits in the progeny. The other essential role of the crossover events is to ensure a physical linkage between the homologous chromosomes, allowing their proper segregation at the reductional division (Mercier et al., 2015). In the absence of COs, the homologs segregate randomly, a source of infertility. Thus, the formation of balanced and viable gametes requires an ‘obligate CO’ per chromosome pair, although few additional COs may occur leading to 1–3 COs per chromosome pair per meiosis in most eukaryotes (Fernandes et al., 2018a).

The early studies of recombination hot spots in yeast showed that meiotic recombination is initiated by the formation of programmed DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs), occurring after DNA replication (Nicolas, et al., 1989; Sun et al., 1989). The DSBs are induced by the evolutionarily conserved, topoisomerase-like transesterase protein Spo11, orthologous of the DNA-cleaving A subunit of the archae TopoVI DNA topoisomerase (Bergerat et al., 1997; Keeney et al., 1997). Arabidopsis has two distinct Spo11 paralog proteins, namely AtSpo11-1 and AtSpo11-2, which interact with each other and with the Mtopvib protein, the ortholog of the archae TopoVI subunit (Bergerat et al., 1997; Vrielynck et al., 2016), suggesting the assembly of a heterotrameric complex (Figure 2). In Arabidopsis, the Atspo11-1, Atspo11-2 and Mtopvib mutants are sterile being defective in meiotic recombination, chromosome pairing and meiosis progression (Grelon et al., 2001; Hartung and Puchta, 2000; Stacey et al., 2006; Vrielynck et al., 2016). Consistently, rice plants deficient in OsSpo11-1 exhibit defects in homologous chromosome pairing, and their meiocytes do not display CO-related proteins (Yu et al., 2010). The inactivation of the likely rice orthologue of AtSpo11-2, OsSpo11-2, has not yet been reported but contrasted reports on the occurrence of interaction between OsSpo11-2 and OsMtopvib have been recently published (Fu et al., 2016; Xue et al., 2016). A third Spo11 paralog, AtSpo11-3, has been identified in Arabidopsis and other plants; it plays a role in somatic cells but has no role in meiotic recombination (Hartung et al., 2002). By analogy, OsSpo11-3, which shares 69% identity with AtSpo11-3, is expected to be involved in somatic function but the meiotic phenotype of Osspo11-3 remains to be determined. Ectopic expression of OsSpo11-3 confers salt and osmotic stress tolerance to Arabidopsis plants (Jain et al., 2006). A fourth Spo11 paralog, OsSpo11-4, was identified in rice but not in other plants. It was reported to exhibit DNA cleavage activity in vitro (An et al., 2011) as well as in a Drosophila assay (Shingu et al., 2012) and to interact with OsMtopvib (Fu et al., 2016). OsSpo11-4 suppression resulted in defect in male meiosis and reduced fertility (An et al., 2011). The Osspo11-1, Osspo11-2 and Osspo11-4 mutant phenotypes have been re-investigated after CRISPR-Cas9 mutagenesis (Fayos et al., submitted). Not surprisingly, the plants exhibiting detrimental lesions in OsSpo11-1 and OsSpo11-2 were fully sterile. However, a range of OsSpo11-4 mutants, targeted in distinct regions of the coding sequence (CDS), were fertile and exhibited a wild-type meiosis progression, indicating that OsSpo11-4 is not crucial for meiosis and viable gamete formation.
In yeast, the formation of SPO11-induced DSBs involves at least nine other proteins (Mre11, Rad50, Xrs2, Ski8, Rec102, Rec104, Rec114, Mei4 and Mer2), some but not all identified yet across kingdoms. In plants, seven proteins have been found required for meiotic DSB formation: AtPRD1, AtPRD2, AtPRD3/PAIR1, AtDFO, OsCRC1, OsSDS and OsP31 COMET (Ji et al., 2016; Miao et al., 2013; De Muyt et al., 2009a; De Muyt et al., 2007; Nonomura et al., 2004; Wu et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2012). AtPRD1 and AtPRD2 are the likely orthologues of mouse Mei1 and yeast and mouse Mei4, while AtPRD3 (PAIR1 in rice) and OsCRC1 might be plant-specific. PAIR1, first isolated in rice, has no identified function and no known orthologue outside the plant kingdom (Nonomura et al., 2004). Rice CRC1 (Central Region Component 1) shares 23.1% similarity with yeast Pch2, a member of the conserved AAA + ATPase protein family likely involved in remodelling chromosome structure in the vicinity of DSBs. CRC1 interacts with OsZEP1 in vitro and CRC1-deficient rice meiocytes are asynchronous with only univalents (Miao et al., 2013). Intriguingly, in Arabidopsis, the AtPch2 mutation does not alter DSB formation while it is required for meiosis (Lambing et al., 2015). Whereas mutation in OsSDS appeared to abolish DSB formation in rice (Wu et al., 2015), this meiosis-specific cyclin-like protein is required for DMC1-mediated DSB repair but not for DSB formation in Arabidopsis (De Muyt et al., 2009a). P31 COMET that interacts with CRC1 is an additional protein essential for DSB formation in rice (Ji et al., 2016).
Following the induction of DSBs in chromosomal DNA, endonucleolytic release of Spo11-oligo complexes frees the DSB ends so that the 5' strand termini can be exonucleolytically resected to yield extensive 3' single-stranded tails that will be coated by the RecA-related recombinases RAD51 and DMC1 (Figure 3). These nucleofilaments are the key intermediates that

**Figure 2** SPO11 initiates recombination by inducing chromosomal double-strand breaks (DSBs). (a) The current model in flowering plants combines the transesterases SPO11-1 and SPO11-2 and 2 units of the meiosis-specific topoisomerase M-TOPOVI-B into a heterotetramer recruited on the chromatin to induce DSBs (Vrielynck et al., 2016). Accessory proteins are not shown for simplification. (b) While SPO11 proteins remain covalently attached to the 5' end of the break, 3'OH resection of single-stranded DNA occurs (endonuclease activity represented in yellow), thereby releasing SPO11-associated oligonucleotides. In yeast and mammals, this is accomplished by the nucleolytic activity of the MRX-N complex (Mre11/Rad50/Xrs2(Nbs1)), which is also involved in DSB formation in yeast, together with Com1(Sae2). In Arabidopsis, AtMRE11 and AtRAD50 are involved in mitotic and meiotic DNA repair but not in DSB formation, while AtNBS1 is non-essential to meiosis. AtCOM1 (and its rice ortholog OsCOM1) has a meiotic function similar to AtMRE11 and AtRAD50 and may act together in DNA end processing. (c) The 5' ends are further resected (exonuclease activity represented in purple) to release 3' end ssDNA tails on the complementary strand. This function is ensured is by Exo1 and the Sgs1 helicase in yeast. The 3' ends are first bound by a heterotrimeric RPA (RPA 1, 2, 3) protein complex. In plants, a multigenic family encodes each RPA component. (d) The strand is then loaded by the RAD51 and DMC1 recombinases that replace RPAs to form a nucleoprotein filament ready for homology search and heteroduplex formation. Four models have been envisioned to explain how the strand exchange proteins RAD51 and DMC1 cooperate (mixed filaments, co-filaments of RAD51 and DMC1 patches, temporally separated consecutive loads, asymmetric filaments of RAD51 and DMC1 at each end of the DSB). In plants, cytological evidence of separate loading of RAD51 and DMC1 onto opposite strands at each end of the DSB has been reported (Kurzbauer et al., 2012) and is illustrated here.
mediate the repair of the DSBs by recombination using the sister chromatid or a non-sister chromatid as template. The invasion of a duplex DNA creates a D-loop (Petukhova et al., 1998), in which the 3’ end of the invading ssDNA prime DNA synthesis, using the complementary strand as a template (Figure 3). The alternative processing of these joint recombination intermediates will determine its fate as a CO or a NCO product (Szostak et al., 1983). As extensively dissected in yeast and Arabidopsis (Mercier et al., 2015) in the major ZMM (ZIP1, ZIP2, ZIP3, ZIP4, MSH4, MSH5 and MRE2) pathway, which accounts for 90% of the COs (called Class I COs) in Arabidopsis, the extension/displacement of the D-loop and DNA synthesis can trigger single-strand annealing on the other side of the DSB, a process called second-end capture. Further DNA synthesis and ligation will promote the formation of double Holliday junctions (dHJs) that can be resolved into CO recombinant molecules; differently, dHJs can be resolved by dissolution leading to NCOs. An alternative pathway, which accounts for only 10% of the overall COs in Arabidopsis (called Class II COs), relies on the resolution of the intermediates by structure-specific endonucleases that include MUS81 (Berchowitz et al. 2007). This leads to non-interfering COs. The recombination intermediates can also be resolved as NCOs upon unwinding of the extended invading DNA strand and reannealing to the complementary strand on the second end of the DSB. This mechanism of DSBR repair is called SDSA (Strand Displacement Synthesis Annealing) (Pâques and Haber, 1999).

In most eukaryotes, the number of DSBs per meiosis largely exceeds the number of COs (Muyt et al., 2009b). In Arabidopsis, for instance, the number of DMC1 foci, diagnostic of the homologous chromosome invasion step that initiates the homology-directed repair (HDR) in leptotene, reaches 100–200 (Ferdous et al., 2012; Kurzbauer et al., 2012). In contrast, at diakinesis, the number of MLH1 foci (cytological marker of Class I COs) averages only 10, that is two per chromosome pair (Muyt et al., 2009b). In maize, at least 500 DSBs are detected as RAD51 foci and their repair yields on average 20 COs per meiotic cell (Sidhu et al., 2015).

Two phenomena regulate the overall number and position of COs: the first one is CO interference, a process that reduces the probability of two COs to occur in vicinity (Berchowitz and Copenhaver, 2010). Notably, the Class I COs are interfering (Drouaud et al., 2013), whereas the Class II COs are not (Henderson, 2012). The second CO regulating phenomena is CO homeostasis, which proportionally enhance the number of COs when the total number of DSBs per meiotic cell is reduced. This homeostatic control appears robust in yeast, worm and mouse (Cole et al., 2012; Hillers and Villeneuve, 2003; Martini et al., 2006). However, it seems more limited in Arabidopsis since a significant decrease in COs was observed in Atspo11-1 hypomorphic mutants with reduced DSBs (Xue et al., 2018). The CO number per meiosis has been cytologically examined in a panel of maize inbred genotypes. CO number ranged from 11.2 to 19.4 (1.7-fold variation), maintaining a minimum of one CO per chromosome pair, that is retaining the obligate CO per bivalent. However, beyond this obligate CO, the number of COs was found to correlate with the observed number of DSBs in the panel of genotypes, suggesting that homeostasis may not tightly operate once the obligate COs are ensured (Sidhu et al., 2015).

**Global recombination landscape**

The frequency and distribution of COs among and along the chromosomes are uneven, especially in plants (Lambing et al., 2017; Mézard et al., 2015). In Arabidopsis and bread wheat, more than 80% of the recombination events occur in 25% of the genome (Choi et al., 2013; Darrier et al., 2017). A consistent higher CO frequency in sub-telomeric regions has been observed in genomes representing a range of sizes such as those of rice, tomato, maize, barley and bread wheat (Choulet et al., 2014; Demirci et al., 2017; Gore et al., 2009; Higgins et al., 2014; Wu et al., 2003). These recombination-prone regions next to telomeres are generally hypomethylated, gene- and DNA transposon-rich. Conversely, recombination is suppressed in centromeric and pericentromeric regions which suppress CO formation.

---

**Figure 3** A simplified representation of pathways allowing the formation of meiotic crossovers (COs) and noncrossovers (NCOs). The ZMM- and MUS81-dependent pathways generate COs and NCOs at meiosis following the programmed induction of chromosomal DSBRs by the SP011 complex in the prophase of meiosis. The AAA-ATPase FIDGETIN-LIKE 1 (figl1) forms a protein complex with its partner FIDGETIN-LIKE-1 INTERACTING PROTEIN (FLIP), which counteracts RAD51 and DMC1, the recombinases catalysing the DNA exchange step of homologous recombination (Fernandes, Duhamel, et al., 2018a; Girard et al., 2015). The two DNA helicases Fanconi anaemia of complementation group M (FANCM) (Crismani et al., 2012) and the plant homolog of slow growth repressor 1 (Sgs1)/Bloom syndrome (BLM) protein, RECC4 (Séguela-Arnaud et al., 2015), antagonize COs by processing recombination intermediates in the Class II CO formation pathway (adapted from (Mercier et al., 2015)).

are generally heterochromatic, have a high long terminal repeat (LTR) retroelement content and are gene-poor (Henderson, 2012). An extreme example is illustrated by the 1-Gbp-long bread wheat chromosome 3B, in which nearly all the COs occur in the most distal 13% of the chromosome (Choulet et al., 2014). Genome annotation and transcriptome analysis revealed that fast-evolving regions distal to the centromere tend to contain genes regulated in a tissue-specific manner or in response to environmental cues, such as disease resistance gene clusters, whereas more proximal regions contained constitutively expressed and housekeeping genes (Choulet et al., 2014; Pingault et al., 2015). Furthermore, contrasted CO landscapes can be observed in plant male and female meiosis; indeed, in Arabidopsis, the total genetic map is 1.7-fold longer in male meiosis compared to female meiosis, while no chromosome distal increase in COs is observed in female meiosis (Giraut et al., 2011). In maize, coincident CO landscapes with distal increases are observed in both male and female meiosis (Kianian et al., 2018; Luo et al., 2019), although these latter studies reported or not inflation of the male meiosis map. It illustrates species specificities in sex dimorphic CO landscapes.

In cultivated rice, as in Arabidopsis, the COs are more evenly distributed along the chromosomes than in larger and more LTR TE-rich genomes but meiotic recombination remains suppressed at the centromere core and surrounding regions, representing 11% of the total chromosome length (Chen et al., 2002; Wu et al., 2003; Zhao et al., 2002). Localization of heterochromatin in pachytene chromosomes correlates with regions with low recombination activity (Cheng et al., 2001). 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI)-bright regions of compacted chromatin indeed largely occupy the short arms of chromosomes 4 (Zhao et al., 2002), 9 (Wu et al., 2003)—where rice rRNA loci reside—and 10 (Rice Chromosome 10 Sequencing Consortium, 2003) as well as the pericentromeric regions, which are all recombination-suppressed regions (Chen et al., 2002; Zhao et al., 2002). Overall, the average chromosomal recombination rate ranges from 3.9 to 4.2 centimorgans (cM) per Mb and, at the local scale (Kbp), varies from 0 to 50 cM/Mb (Si et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2003). Cold spots and hot spots of recombination occur in intergenic and genic regions, respectively (Wu et al., 2003).

Altogether, the multiple regulatory layers controlling meiotic recombination explain the distortion between the genetic and physical distances observed in all species, including the plants. In the recent years, this has been confirmed upon whole-genome sequencing and the establishment of higher-resolution genetic maps in polymorphic species, notably in rice (Si et al., 2015; Spindel et al., 2013; Wu et al., 2003). Thus, plant breeding which relies on the creation of novel allele combinations, notably for genes located in recombination-cold regions, is hampered. Further, in hybrids, recombination is reduced by the presence of polymorphism and structural heterologies (Ziolkowski and Henderson, 2017). Moreover, in rice, exploitation of hybrids between distant varieties from different genetic groups and introgression of traits of interest in elite cultivars from wild relatives is known to be affected by hybrid sterility, a major form of postzygotic reproductive isolation (Ouyang and Zhang, 2013; Shen et al., 2017). In summary, the natural features of the control of recombination rates and its non-random distribution may result in the low recovery of useful recombinants and the occurrence of linkage drag.

Local regulation of DSB and CO formation: towards targeting meiotic recombination

Which mechanisms specify the location and frequency of DSB and CO formation in plants remain to be determined. In yeast, a key regulator of DSB hot spots is the methylation of the histone H3-K4 residue, under the control of the Compass complex (Acquaviva et al., 2013; Borde et al., 2009; Sommermeyer et al., 2013). Slightly differently, in mice and humans, a key regulator of DSB hot spots is the histone methyl transferase PRDM9 that contains a series of DNA-binding zinc finger domains targeting H3K4me3 to genome sites containing a GC-rich degenerate DNA sequence motif, thereby similarly controlling chromatin accessibility and initiation of meiotic recombination (Baudat et al., 2010).

No ortholog of PRDM9 has been identified yeasts and plants (Zhang and Ma, 2012), so mechanistically the control of recombination via H3K4 methylation in plants might be more similar to yeast.

Crossover hot spots in plants, like in yeast but contrasting with fission yeast and mice, might be located principally in gene regulatory regions which are known to exhibit an open chromatin structure (Drouaud et al., 2013; He and Dooner, 2009; Yao et al., 2002; Yelina et al., 2012). The low nucleosome occupancy in gene promoter and terminator sequences favours DSB formation (Choi et al., 2013). Since in this species, COs are closely associated with DSB, this translates into CO hot spots in these regions (Choi et al., 2013). However, this association does not always hold true: in maize, while DSBs occur in all chromosomal regions, with a majority in repetitive DNA, including centromeres and rRNA loci, COs are mainly contributed by DSBs formed in genic regions (He et al., 2017).

DNA and chromatin features have been found linked to DSB localization in plants. In Arabidopsis, DSB hot spots are associated with AT-rich sequences residing in regions with low nucleosome occupancy. These DSB hot spots are correlated with CO hot spots. Three DNA motifs (A-rich, CCN and CTT) enriched in CO regions, some of which are found around peaks of nucleosome occupancy and of H3K4me3 marks, have been deduced from the analysis of 737 CO events in Arabidopsis (Shigo et al., 2015). In maize, sequencing of 104 CO sites allowed the identification of an associated 20-bp-long, GC-rich degenerated sequence motif with similarity to the DSB Maize Hotspot Sequence (MHS) (He et al., 2017). This motif exhibited similarity to the CCN motif previously identified in Arabidopsis (Shigo et al., 2015). An increasing correlation strength was found between genic DSB hot spots and CO sites ($R^2 = 0.4$) and MHS and CO sites ($R^2 = 0.7$) (He et al., 2017). The MHS DNA cytosine methylation level is thought to be a regulator of hot spot strength, which could explain the modification of the recombination landscape observed in Arabidopsis hypomethylated mutants (Mirouze et al., 2012; Yelina et al., 2012).

In Arabidopsis and maize, COs tend to co-localize with H3K4me3 sites (Choi et al., 2013; Kianian et al., 2018) but CO frequency does not strongly correlate with the H3K4me3 site density (Choi et al., 2018; He et al., 2017). In Arabidopsis, a link has been established between recombination and the presence of the histone variant H2A.Z which is frequent in promoter regions and responsible for nucleosome mobility (Choi et al., 2013). A reduced frequency of cytosine methylation at CG and CHG sites in a chromosomal region is positively correlated with occurrence of recombination, but this does not hold true at a more local
scale. Global loss of cytosine methylation in Atmet1 increases DSB and CO formation in euchromatic and centromeric regions but decreases them in pericentromeric regions. Furthermore, inactivation of SPO11 is not compulsory to obtain a stimulatory form DSBs at natural sites in the yeast genome. Therefore, it is likely that this strategy will be useful to produce a large number of recombinants for map-based cloning or breaking linkage drag between antagonist genes located in repulsion. As an example of application, the breeding of semidwarf (sd) green revolution cultivars unwittingly dragged a drought-susceptibility allele in the 500 kb qDTY1.1 quantitative trait locus (QTL) region together with the favourable allele at the sd1 locus (Vikram et al., 2015). As a 100 Kb distance on chromosome 1 separates sd1 from the QTL region targeting recombination in this interval will allow the dissociation of this undesirable linkage.

**Enhancing meiotic recombination**

The tight regulation of the overall CO number per meiosis mentioned above prompted research efforts to decipher the molecular control of CO and NCO formation, notably in the model plant Arabidopsis. Ethyl methanesulfonate (EMS) mutant screens for restoration of fertility in a 2MM-deficient genetic background allowed the identification and characterization of several genes that limit COs in these pathways (De Muyl et al., 2009b). The first identified genes were the DNA helicases FANCM and RECQ4a/b (Crismani et al., 2012; Séguëla-Arnaud et al., 2015). They function to unwind the post-invasion recombination intermediates that result in the formation of NCOs through the synthesis-dependent strand annealing (SDSA) mode of repair. These factors and the associated proteins TOP3a and RMI1 participate in the FANCM and RECQ4a/b pathways, respectively (Crismani et al., 2012; Séguëla-Arnaud et al., 2015) (Figure 3).

As FANCM and RECQ4 are associated with the Class II CO pathway (not interference-sensitive), the impact of these mutations and their combination on the CO rate have been extensively investigated in Arabidopsis (Crismani et al., 2012; Séguëla-Arnaud et al., 2015). Mutation of FANCM resulted in a nearly threefold increase in recombination frequency in an inbred context, but this effect vanished in a hybrid context (Fernandes, Séguëla-Arnaud, et al., 2018b). Mutations of RECQ4a and RECQ4b led to a 5.9-fold increase in CO frequency, maintained in a hybrid context. The frequency of COs in the double recq4a/recq4b and triple fancm/recq4a/b mutants was similar in a hybrid context but cumulative (8.8-fold increase) in a pure line context (Fernandes, Séguëla-Arnaud, et al., 2018b).

A third gene identified in the same screen, the AAA-ATPase FIDGETIN-like 1 (FIGL1), was found to control the dynamics of RAD51 and DMC1 in counteracting interhomolog strand invasion, thereby regulating CO formation early and negatively (Girard et al., 2015). The figl1 mutation modestly enhances CO frequency in both pure line (1.25×) and hybrid (1.8×) contexts (Girard et al., 2015). However, the addition of a figl1 mutation in a recq4a/b double mutant context significantly increased the genome-wide CO frequency from a 4.4-fold level to 7.8 fold 4.4-fold to 7.8-fold (Fernandes, Séguëla-Arnaud, et al., 2018b). Remarkably, the triple mutant exhibits 60.7 ± 2.3 COs per meiosis, compared to 7.8 ± 0.4 COs in the wild type (Fernandes, Séguëla-Arnaud, et al., 2018b). These results prompted to investigate their extension in crops. Similar to most lineages, rice has a single OsRECQ4 gene, while the duplication observed in Arabidopsis appears to be specific to the Brassicaceae and a few other lineages. Two T-DNA insertion lines carrying allelic mutations of OsRECQ4, residing in a Dongjin and Nipponbare temperate japonica background, were crossed at a heterozygous state to obtain ¼ null segregant and ¼ biallelic F1 plants (Figure 4). Osrecq4 F1s exhibited normal fertility and meiosis.
progression. Genome-wide genotyping of the two F2 populations at the same diagnostic single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) markers yielded two genetic maps that could be compared. The Recq4 mutation increased the recombination frequency 3.2-fold and the genetic map length from 1759 to 5700 cM. Similar strategies allowed the identification of allelic T-DNA insertions in the Dongjin and Nipponbare temperate japonica backgrounds at the OsFANC/M locus. The genetic map was enhanced 2.3-fold in the Osfancm mutant (Mieulet et al., 2018). Similar to the pattern observed in Arabidopsis, both Osfancm- and Osrecq4-mediated recombination enhancements were high in regions distal to the centromere but did not operate in centromeric regions, indicating that other mechanisms limiting COs remain to be discovered. Similar stimulating effects of fancm and recq4 mutations were observed in turnip mustard and rapeseed (Blary et al., 2018) and tomato and pea (Mieulet et al., 2018), respectively. Therefore, this approach might be applicable to a larger range of crop plants.

In contrast to Arabidopsis, the stimulation of fancm-mediated recombination was observed in a hybrid rice context, with the restriction that Dongjin and Nipponbare are two temperate japonica cultivars exhibiting a rather low level of interparental polymorphism (estimated to be 1 SNP/11 kb) compared to the Columbia and Landsberg erecta accessions of Arabidopsis (1 SNP/200 bp) (Fernandes, Séguêla-Arnaud, et al., 2018; Mieulet et al., 2018). Therefore, investigating the individual and cumulated effects of Osfancm and Osrecq4 mutations in a range of hybrids from closely to distantly related parents will be important. Since the genetic backgrounds in the existing rice mutant libraries are limited, this influence could be tested by generating biallelic mutations at these loci through the introduction of CRISPR-Cas9 T-DNA constructs in regenerable tissues of F1 hybrids. Analysis of the stimulation at a more regional scale, notably in chromosomal regions exhibiting increasing genetic polymorphism, presence-absence variation (PAV) or other structural heterologies, or comparison of their epigenetic status (DNA methylation, histone modifications, etc.) also remains to be performed. To note, a representative panel of maize inbred genotypes has indeed been found to exhibit large variation in both DSB (218–608, established from RAD51 foci) and CO numbers (from 11.2 to 19.4, established from observed chiasmata) (Sidhu et al., 2015); although the existence of such variation remains to be established in rice lines and hybrids, the incidence of mutation of anti-CO genes in a range of genotypes exhibiting such a spectrum of COs must be investigated.

As FANC/M and RECQ4 have an established function in DNA stability in somatic tissues and, notably, in meristem maintenance (Kwon et al., 2013), the short- and long-term influence of these mutations on the phenotype should be precisely examined. If a detrimental effect on the phenotype is observed, these mutations should not persist in breeding materials and will need be segregated out. An alternative would be to transiently suppress anti-CO genes using meiosis-specific expression of a CRISPR interference T-DNA construct targeting promoters of anti-CO genes in F1 meiocytes, which can be segregated out in later generations of breeding.

As said, since the association of figl1 and recq4a/b provided the highest stimulatory effect on genome-wide recombination in Arabidopsis, it was tempting to explore the same combination of mutations in rice. However, a report indicated that the rice figl1 mutant exhibits a complete sterility phenotype that precludes its further utilization for recombination enhancement (Zhang et al., 2017). A similar result was observed in pea (Mieulet et al., 2018). These observations indicating species-to-species differences in mutant viability and downstream effects on fertility temper the direct extension of the Arabidopsis results in every plant but a solution might be to identify hypomorphic mutants. Similarly, partial inactivation of the transverse filament protein of the synaptonemal complex, ZEP1 (Wang et al., 2010), which is orthologous to Arabidopsis ZYP1, allowed a 1.8-fold increase in Class I CO frequency but partially altered fertility in rice (Wang et al., 2015).

Alternative cumulating strategies could be used. For instance, ectopic expression of the pro-crossover E3 ligation protein of the ZMM pathway HEI10 (Ziolkowski et al., 2017) was recently found to act additively with recq4a/b to enhance the frequency of recombination in Arabidopsis from 7.5 to 31 COs per F2 individual (Serra et al., 2018). As the HEI10 ortholog has been identified and characterized in rice (Wang et al., 2012), extension into rice can be attempted.

A remaining challenge is to enhance CO frequency in regions close to centromeres in order to gain access to the underlying genes of interest. A promising avenue is to alter repressive epigenetic marks, including DNA methylation, of H3K9me2, H3K27me1 and H2A.W, which may have differentiated roles in the control of recombination in these regions. Epigenetic activation of meiotic DSBs in proximity to centromeres occurs in a DNA Methyl Transferase 1 (MET1) Arabidopsis homozygous mutant (Choi et al., 2018) and parallels reduced nucleosome occupancy, a gain of transcription and the occurrence of recombination-favourable H3K4me3 marks in the stimulated regions. Extensive remodelling of CO frequency with elevated COs in regions proximal to the centromere coinciding with pericentromeric decreases and distal increases is observed in hypomethylated plants, while the total number of COs remains unaltered (Yelina et al., 2012). In Arabidopsis, mutation of the H3K9 methyltransferase genes KYP/SUVH4-5-6 or the CHG DNA methyltransferase CMT3 increases meiotic recombination in proximity to centromeres and pericentromeres in both inbred and hybrid contexts, likely involving the contribution of Class I and Class II CO repair pathways (Underwood et al., 2018). Conversely, it is possible to impose, via the RNA-directed DNA methylation (RdDM) pathway, DNA methylation of endogenous Arabidopsis meiotic CO hot spots located in euchromatin, which is associated with the gain of H3K9me2 and increased nucleosome occupancy (Yelina et al., 2015a, 2015b).

**Abolishing meiotic recombination to engineer apomixis**

Whereas most plant species reproduce by seeds through meiosis and double fertilization, species of more than 120 angiosperm genera reproduce asexually by seeds in a clonal manner, a mechanism called apomixis. The most achieved apomictic pathway is gametophytic diplospory, which bypasses meiosis and triggers parthenogenetic development from an unreduced megaspore, thereby producing embryos harbouring the full maternal chromosome complement (Hand and Koltunow, 2014). Although this mode of reproduction has its own advantages, it also abolishes the genetic variation resulting from recombination, which is a major source of diversity and adaptation. Nevertheless, most natural apomictic plant species are actually facultative and can accomplish normal sexual reproduction (Hand and Koltunow, 2014).

A highly desirable goal in crops is to obtain clonal reproduction through seeds as it will allow the release of immortalized
hybrids with fixed hybrid vigour (heterosis) (Khush et al., 1998). However, despite an initial belief in the simple genetic structure of apomixis, the transfer of this mode of reproduction to crops remains unachieved (Ronceret and Vielle-Calzada, 2015). Due to an autogamous mode of reproduction, rice varieties are mainly pure lines, but the existence of 20% yield heterosis has prompted the development of F1 hybrids, which represent 20% of the global rice acreage and up to 60% of the rice acreage in China (Cheng et al., 2007). The generation of F1 seeds relies on thermosensitive and photoperiod-sensitive male sterility and genocytoplasmic male sterility and complex, labour-intensive hybridization schemes (Fan and Zhang, 2018; Tang et al., 2017). For these reasons, hybrid seeds have an extra cost that subsistence farmers cannot afford. Engineering apomixis in rice would allow the immortalization of F1 hybrids that could clonally propagate via seeds. However, despite intensive efforts in the 1980s, no source of apomixis has been discovered in either rice or its wild relatives (Khush et al., 1998).

The various forms of apomixis include 3 common developmental components: (i) a bypass of meiosis during embryo sac formation; (ii) development of an embryo in a fertilization-independent manner, and (iii) formation of viable endosperm in a fertilization-dependent or fertilization-independent manner (Hand and Koltunow, 2014). In the gametophytic category of apomixis, the embryo sac is formed through mitosis from a diploid cell of the ovule (apomeiosis), thereby bypassing meiosis, and the diploid cell develops into an embryo without fertilization. There are two types of apomeiotic development based on the

Figure 4 A large increase in recombination is associated with the recq4 mutation in rice. (a) Generation of a recq4 biallelic homozygous mutant and its azygous counterpart in the Dongjin (DJ)/Nipponbare (NB) F1 hybrid context. (b) Comparative linkage maps constructed by genotyping 90 loci of F2 populations derived from homozygous biallelic mutant F1s and wild-type F1s. An average 3.2-fold inflation of the genetic map is observed in the recq4 context (Mieulet et al., 2018).
origin of the diploid cell that gives rise to the embryo sac: diplospory and apospory. In diplosporous apomeiosis, the pre-
cursor is the megaspore mother cell (MMC) (the mode found, for
instance, in Tripsacum), whereas in aposporous apomeiosis, the
cursor is a diploid somatic cell adjacent to the megaspore
mother cell (the mode found, for instance, in Pennisetum).
Formation of the endosperm may necessitate the fertilization of
the central cells of the embryo sac by a sperm nucleus (Hand and
Koltunow, 2014).

In diplosporous apomeiosis, which appears to be the most
dealable first component for engineering synthetic apomixis in
crops, megaspore meiosis is turned into mitosis. There are 3
essential differences between meiosis and mitosis: (i) induction of
chromosomal DSBs in prophase of meiosis I that result in
homologous chromosome pairing and recombination; (ii) migra-
tion of the homologous chromosomes to opposite poles in
meiosis I; and (iii) occurrence of a second division that separates
sister chromatids. Several meiotic mutants that develop an
embryo sac without meiosis II have been characterized in plants.
The maize mutant elongate 1 (el1) controls a single equational
division and the arrest of further progression after meiosis I,
forming unreduced but recombined diploid gametes, with one
dyad directly initiating embryo sac development (Barrell and
Grossniklaus, 2005). However, el1 actually forms both diploid and
haploid functional embryo sacs. In maize, the production of viable
unreduced gametes without meiosis in a diplospory-like devel-
oment pathway has been observed in a mutant of the
AGO104 gene. AGO104 modifies chromatin through DNA
methylation that would repress somatic fate in germ cells (Singh
et al., 2011). The Arabidopsis dyad mutant allele of SWITCH1, a
nuclear coiled protein essential for meiotic entry, leads to the
formation of functional apomictic female gametes at a low
frequency but considerably reduces female fertility (Ravi et al.,
2008) and is therefore not amenable to building synthetic
apomictic crops.

An alternative strategy implemented in Arabidopsis
targets each of the three key elements discriminating meiosis
from mitosis via the construction of the Mitosis instead of Meiosis
(MiMe) mutant genotype (d’Erferth et al., 2009). MiMe is
produced through a combination of mutations in three meiosis-
specific genes. First, inactivation of the topoisomerase-like
AtSPO11-1 transesterase prevents programmed DSB formation
and abolishes subsequent homologous chromosome pairing and
meiotic recombination (Grelon et al., 2001). Second, the muta-
tion of the cohesin REC8 causes the separation of the sister
chromatids during the first meiotic division (Chelysheva et al.,
2005). Lastly, mutation in omission of second division 1 (OSD1),
a plant-specific protein promoting meiotic progression through
anaphase-promoting complex/cyclosome (APC/C) inhibition,
causes the second meiotic division to be skipped (Cromer et al.,
2012). Meiosis in MiMe occurs without recombination and
distributes sister chromatids during a single division, mimick-
ing the process in mitosis. MiMe therefore produces clonal male
and female diploid gametes, doubling the chromosome comple-
ment in each selfing generation (up to octoploid progeny). It was
subsequently shown that alternative mutations in other genes
essential for the initiation of recombination through DSB forma-
tion (e.g. PRD1, PRD2 or PRD3/PAIR1) can be used instead of
SPO11-1 in combination with REC8 and OSD1 to generate the
MiMe phenotype (Mieulet et al., 2016). Similarly, mutation of
TARDY ASYNCHRONOUS MEIOSIS (TAM), an A-type cyclin
(CYCA1;2) essential for preventing meiosis termination at the
end of the first division, as well as a dominant mutation in THREE
DIVISION MUTANT 1 (TDM1), also leads to a premature exit from
meiosis after the first division and can be used instead of osd1
(Cifuentes et al., 2016; d’Erfurth et al., 2010).

The MiMe genotype has been reproduced in rice by crossing
insertion lines harbouring heterozygous mutations in either
PAIR1, OsREC8 or OsOSD1 (Mieulet et al., 2016). At the initiation
of the work, while the meiotic functions of PAIR1 (Nomomura
et al., 2004) and OsREC8 (Shao et al., 2011) were clearly
established, there was a need to discriminate OsOSD1 from a
putative paralog. Arabidopsis indeed harbours an OSD1 paralog,
UV4, which has a distinct function in regulating the somatic cell
cycle. In rice, both groups of genes exist, making distinction by
sequence homology difficult, but a single mutation in
Os2g37850 was found to be sufficient for observing the meiotic
defects of Arabidopsis osd1. A single gene orthologous to
OsOSD1 was readily identified in the barley and Brachypodium
genomes, whereas the maize, sorghum and Setaria (Andro-
pogoneae) genomes harboured a tandem duplication of OSD1
(Lloyd et al., 2014). This finding indicates that the MiMe
phenotype can be generated in other cereals.

In the rice MiMe triple mutant, meiosis was converted into a
mitotic-like division with balanced segregation of sister chro-
matids in a single division. Similar to Arabidopsis, rice MiMe
plants produced diploid male and female gametes genetically
identical to their parent at an estimated 100% and 85% frequen-
cy, respectively, with no major impact on fertility. MiMe
progeny seeds harbour both unreduced and unrecombined
chromosome complement (Figure 5a). Diploid MiMe egg cell is
therefore an ideal material for introducing parthenogenesis,
the second component of apomixis, which is the initiation of embryo
formation from an unreduced egg cell without its fertilization.
However, no connection has been established between unre-
duced gamete formation and the triggering of parthenogenetic
development from the egg cell (Ronceer and Vielle-Calzada,
2015). One possible method for triggering parthenogenesis is to
cross with a line in which the genome is eliminated following
fertilization. The centromere-specific histone CENH3 null mutant
coexpressing altered CENH3-GFP variant constructs, called GEM
(for Genome Elimination induced by a Mix of CENH3 variants),
was used first (Ravi and Chan, 2010). In Arabidopsis, crossing
either dyad or MiMe as a female parent with a GEM line allowed
the generation of clonal diploid plants at a 13% and 34%
frequency, respectively (Marimuthu et al., 2011). This report
represents the first breakthrough in synthetic apomixis. However,
the number of viable seeds per fruit remained low, notably in
dyad (0.9 seeds per pod) (14 seeds per pod in MiMe), and the
system still necessitates crossing and cannot be autonomously
reproduced by self-propagation.

Recently, the causative gene responsible for the ability of
some maize lines to trigger haploid induction by gynogenesis at
an 8%–10% frequency was independently isolated and char-
acterized by three research teams (Gilles et al., 2017; Kellihier
et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2017). The gene, a patatin-like
phospholipase A called NOT LIKE DAD (NLD), MATRILINEAL
or ZmPLA1, has activity restricted to the pollen tube, and the
inducer allele contains a frameshift mutation, the phenotype of
which can be reproduced by CRISPR-Cas9 (Gilles et al., 2017;
Kellihier et al., 2017). It has been shown that the mutation is
associated with sperm chromosome fragmentation, which may
result in, among other events, paternal genome elimination in
the fertilized egg cell (Li et al., 2017).
The rice ortholog of NLD, OsMATL, has been characterized and its mutation allowed the development of haploid-induced lines in indica rice (Yao et al., 2018). This finding is of great interest because indica rice varieties have poor amenability to doubled-haploid generation through anther and pollen culture (Silva, 2010). Furthermore, cumulating MiMe and OsMATL mutations by simultaneously targeting the four genes should be possible since the rice genome is highly amenable to both multiplexed Cas9 and Cpf1 mutagenesis, with biallelic lesions induced at a high frequency in primary transformants (Lowder et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2017) (Figure 5b). For a proof of concept of transmission of heterozygosity and heterosis through synthetic apomixis, an experiment would have to be implemented in an F1 hybrid context. This strategy has been recently exemplified in an elite intersubspecific hybrid rice line called Chunyou84 (CY84) (Wang et al., 2019). This achievement is an obvious breakthrough. The panicle fertility of the quadruple mutant was, however, reduced to 4.5% by the Osmatl mutation. In Osmatl, chromosome elimination in the sperm nucleus which fuse with the central nuclei may indeed affect endosperm development in part of the fertilization events. Among the 145 collected seeds, 9 proved to be diploid, heterozygous and apomictic, while the remaining seeds were tetraploids and nonapomictic. This MiMe/matl quadruple mutation strategy has been also been implemented using Osspo11-1 rather than pair1 for abolishing homologous chromosome pairing and recombination, thereby reproducing the original Arabidopsis MiMe mutant composition (Xie et al., 2019). Unless enhanced phenotypic penetrance from the current 5%–6% haploid seed induction frequency is obtained by further manipulation of OsMATL, it is unlikely that this strategy will allow the 100% apomictic seed production that is needed for clonal reproduction of F1 hybrids.

An alternative strategy is therefore to engineer parthenogenesis in MiMe (Figure 5b). Candidates include the genes able to induce embryogenesis from somatic tissues that have been identified in Arabidopsis in the last 15 years. These include transcription factors involved in cell differentiation, notably the AP2/ERF gene BABY-BOOM (BBM) (Boutilier et al., 2002; Horstman et al., 2017),

Figure 5 Sexual reproduction and synthetic apomixis in rice. (a) In the triple homozygous mutant pair1/rec8/osd1 (MiMe), the Megaspore Mother Cell (MMC) undergoes a mitosis instead of a meiosis generally leading to the formation of unreduced and unrecombined diploid (2n) male and female gametes. A tetraploid (4n) clonal embryo is formed through the fusion of the 2n egg cell nucleus and a 2n pollen sperm cell nucleus and is generally surrounded by a haploid (6n) endosperm. The resulting seeds are viable and form 4n clonal plants (Mieulet et al., 2016). (b) Using MiMe as a common background, two strategies have been implemented to achieve synthetic apomixis: (i) in the first strategy, Osmatl is combined with MiMe. A homozygous mutation in the OsMATL gene, orthologous to the maize MATRILINEAL/NLD/ZmPLA1 gene, provokes chromosome elimination in one or both sperm nuclei participating in the double fertilization. This conducts to the formation of ca. 6% haploid embryos in self-pollinated Osmatl rice plants (Yao et al., 2018). In the MiMe/Osmatl quadruple mutant, a 2n clonal embryo is formed, representing 5%–9% of the viable seeds (Wang et al., 2019). (ii) In the second strategy, transgenic accumulation of rice BABY BOOM1 in an egg cell-specific manner and in the MiMe context triggers a parthenogenetic development of the 2n egg cell into a clonal embryo with a 9%–29% frequency (Khanday et al., 2019).
Mutations of anti-CO genes are a powerful tool to increase the CO frequency in rice. The combination of mutations in anti-CO genes and examination of the local influence of parental genomic polymorphisms and structural variations on recombination enhancement remain to be investigated. Additionally, the putative long-term detrimental effect of these mutations must be precisely examined. Integration of these mutations into breeding populations followed by field evaluation will allow us to determine to what extent recombination enhancement is translated into the capture of new allelic combinations and an extended range of and added value in agronomic trait variation. In distant intergroup or interspecific crosses of rice, the enhancement of meiotic recombination mediated by mutation of anti-CO genes should be combined with manipulation of genes controlling postzygotic reproductive isolation to achieve their full potential (Ouyang et al., 2010; Ouyang and Zhang, 2013; Shen et al., 2017). Targeting recombination by directing SPO11 to specific regions of the rice genome should also become a reality in the near future.

Engineering synthetic apomixis in rice is becoming increasingly likely, as demonstrated by the recent proofs of concept of generation of an autonomous system for producing synthetic apomictic seeds (Khanday et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2019). These breakthroughs pave the way for further improvements and application to other crops. Implementation of recombination enhancement and targeting as well as synthetic apomixis in actual breeding materials will be made possible and greatly facilitated by the CRISPR-Cas9 technology that has been applied in rice in the last six years (Mishra et al., 2018). It will be important to evaluate the field performance of and transmission of heterosis in apomictic hybrid rice. Further enhancement of the apomictic seed recovery frequency, notably through a deeper understanding of the genetic and epigenetic factors controlling early zygote development, should be achievable in the near future.
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