

# Gut Microbiota Interacts with Markers of Adipose Tissue Browning, Insulin Action and Plasma Acetate in Morbid Obesity

José Maria Moreno-Navarrete, Matteo Sérino, Vincent Blasco-Baque, Vincent Azalbert, Richard H. Barton, Marina Cardellini, Jèssica Latorre, Francisco Ortega, Mònica Sabater-Masdeu, Remy Burcelin, et al.

# ► To cite this version:

José Maria Moreno-Navarrete, Matteo Sérino, Vincent Blasco-Baque, Vincent Azalbert, Richard H. Barton, et al.. Gut Microbiota Interacts with Markers of Adipose Tissue Browning, Insulin Action and Plasma Acetate in Morbid Obesity. Molecular Nutrition and Food Research, 2018, 62 (3), 10.1002/mnfr.201700721. hal-02627928

# HAL Id: hal-02627928 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02627928v1

Submitted on 1 Apr 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



## Gut microbiota interacts with markers of adipose tissue browning, insulin action and plasma acetate in morbid obesity

Journal:	Molecular Nutrition and Food Research
Manuscript ID	mnfr.201700721
Wiley - Manuscript type:	Research Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	23-Aug-2017
Complete List of Authors:	Moreno-Navarrete, José María Serino, Matteo Blasco-Baque, Vincent Azalbert, Vincent Barton, Richard Cardellini, Marina Latorre, Jessica Ortega, Francisco; Institut d'Investigació Biomèdica de Girona (IdIBGi), Service of Diabetes, Endocrinology and Nutrition (UDEN); José Ortega, Sabater-Masdeu, Mònica Burcelin, R Dumas, ME Ricart, Wifredo Federici, Massimo Fernández-Real, José Manuel
Keywords:	Adipose tissue, Insulin sensitivity, microbiota, obesity, metabolome
	×



## Gut microbiota interacts with markers of adipose tissue browning,

## insulin action and plasma acetate in morbid obesity

José María Moreno-Navarrete<sup>1\*</sup>, Matteo Serino<sup>2</sup>, Vincent Blasco-Baque<sup>2</sup>, Vincent Azalbert<sup>2</sup>, Richard Barton<sup>3</sup>, Marina Cardellini<sup>4</sup>, Jèssica Latorre<sup>1</sup>, Francisco Ortega<sup>1</sup>, Mònica Sabater-Masdeu<sup>1</sup>, Rémy Burcelin<sup>2</sup>, Marc Dumas<sup>3</sup>, Wifredo Ricart<sup>1</sup>, Massimo Federici<sup>4</sup>, José Manuel Fernández-Real<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Diabetes, Endocrinology and Nutrition, Institut d'Investigació Biomèdica de Girona (IdIBGi), CIBEROBN (CB06/03/010) and Instituto de Salud Carlos III (ISCIII), Girona, Spain.

<sup>2</sup>Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (Inserm), U1048, I2MC, Toulouse, France

<sup>3</sup>Faculty of Medicine, Department of Surgery and Cancer, Division of Computational and Systems Medicine, Imperial College London, Sir Alexander Fleming Building, Exhibition Road, South Kensington, London SW7 2AZ, UK.

<sup>4</sup>Department of Systems Medicine and Center for Atherosclerosis, University of Rome "Tor Vergata", Rome, Italy.

Short title:: Ruminococcaceae and adipose tissue browning

Corresponding author and person to whom reprint requests should be addressed:

J.M. Moreno-Navarrete, PhD e-mail: jmoreno@idibgi.org J.M. Fernández-Real, M.D. Ph.D e-mail: jmfreal@idibgi.org Section of Diabetes, Endocrinology and Nutrition Hospital of Girona "Dr Josep Trueta" Carretera de França s/n, 17007, Girona, SPAIN. Phone: 34-972-94 02 00 Fax: 34-972-94 02 70

**Abbreviations:** HOMA-IR, homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance; M, insulin action value measured by the euglycemic hyperinsulinemic clamp; RA, relative abundance; RU, relative units of gene expression; SAT, subcutaneous adipose tissue; VAT, visceral adipose tissue.

Keywords: adipose tissue, insulin sensitivity, metabolome, microbiota, obesity.

## Abstract

**Scope:** To examine the potential relationship among gene expression markers of adipose tissue browning, gut microbiota and insulin sensitivity in humans.

Methods and results: Gut microbiota composition and gene markers of browning were analysed in subcutaneous (SAT) and visceral (VAT) adipose tissue from morbidly obese subjects (n=34). Plasma acetate was measured through <sup>1</sup>H NMR and insulin sensitivity using euglycemic hyperinsulinemic clamp. Subjects with insulin resistance showed an increase in the relative abundance (RA) of the phyla Bacteroidetes and Proteobacteria while RA of Firmicutes was decreased. In all subjects, Firmicutes RA was negatively correlated with HbA<sub>1c</sub> and fasting triglycerides, whereas Proteobacteria RA was negatively correlated with insulin sensitivity. Firmicutes RA was positively associated with markers of brown adipocytes (PRDM16, UCP1 and DIO2) in SAT, but not in VAT. Multivariate regression analysis indicated that Firmicutes RA contributed significantly to SAT PRDM16, UCP1 and DIO2 mRNA variance after controlling for age, BMI, HbA<sub>1c</sub> or insulin sensitivity. Interestingly, Firmicutes RA, specifically those bacteria belonging to Ruminococcaceae family, was positively associated with plasma acetate levels, which were also linked to SAT *PRDM16* mRNA and insulin sensitivity. Conclusion: Gut microbiota composition is linked to adipose tissue browning and insulin action in humans, possibly through circulating acetate.

# Introduction

The human gut microbiota is composed of 100 trillion microbes that exist in a close symbiotic relationship with human cells, and contain at least 150 times more genes than the whole human genome [1,2]. The metabolic state of the host, diet and medication exert significant effects on the abundance and the composition of the gut microbiota. Experiments in rodents demonstrated that the microbiota can modulate both energy balance (weight gain and loss) and energy stores (fat mass) through the production or the secretion of specific molecules (such as short-chain fatty acids) [3,4].

The dominant phyla in the gut are Firmicutes (~60–65%), Bacteroidetes (~20–25%), Proteobacteria (~5–10%), and Actinobacteria (~3%), which together constitute over 97% of the gut microbe population [4]. In rodents, obesity is associated with an increase in the relative size of the Firmicutes versus Bacteroidetes populations in the gut [5], and a decrease in the diversity of the microbiota that is due to both weight and diet composition. There is much greater variability in human studies. Some studies report a similar increase in the ratio of Firmicutes / Bacteroides, as well as a decrease in the gut biodiversity in obese humans, but there are also numerous studies reporting contradictory findings in obese vs. lean humans [6,7]. A recent study demonstrated that cold exposure led to dramatic changes of the microbiota composition, increasing Firmicutes vs. Bacteroidetes relative abundance [8]. Interestingly, this shift in microbiota composition is associated to a phenotype of increased capacity of energy extraction, characterized by increased intestinal absorptive surface area and browning of the white adipose tissue [8]. In mice, browning of white adipose tissue prevents obesityassociated metabolic disturbances and insulin resistance [9,10]. In humans, expression

of markers of browning in subcutaneous adipose tissue has been associated to insulin sensitivity, a relatively healthy obese phenotype and adipose tissue function [11-13].

To the best of our knowledge, the interaction between microbiota and adipose tissue physiology has not been previously investigated in humans. Given the potential importance of adipose tissue inter-relationships with microbiota and insulin action in human obesity, here we aimed to examine the associations among adipose tissue markers of browning (PRDM16, UCP1 and DIO2), insulin sensitivity (SLC2A4 and IRS1) and gut metagenomic microbiota composition in morbidly obese participants. We also studied adipose tissue markers of adipogenesis (ADIPOQ, PPARG) and chronic proinflammatory activity inflammation (TNF and IL6).

# **Materials and Methods**

### Recruitment of patients and processing of samples.

The human subject cohort comprised 34 morbidly obese women (n=28) and men (n=6) at the Endocrinology Service of the Hospital Universitari de Girona Dr Josep Trueta (Girona, Spain) included in the FLORINASH project (www.florinash.org). 11 subjects received diabetes, 18 and 9 morbidly obese participants receives hypertension and dyslipemia therapy, respectively. 13 morbidly obese patients have type 2 diabetes, of who 11 received therapy. Pre-established inclusion criteria: all subjects were of Caucasian origin and reported a stable body weight at least three months preceding the study, were free of any infections and antibiotic treatment for one month before inclusion and had no systemic disease. Pre-established exclusion criteria: subjects with

#### **Molecular Nutrition and Food Research**

liver disease, specifically HCV infection and tumor disease, and subjects with thyroid dysfunction were excluded by biochemical workup.

Subcutaneous (SAT) and visceral adipose tissue (VAT) samples were obtained from all subjects during elective gastric bypass surgery and were immediately transported to the laboratory (5-10 min). The handling of tissue was carried out under strictly aseptic conditions. Adipose tissue samples were washed in PBS, cut off with forceps and scalpel into small pieces (100 mg), and immediately flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen before being stored at -80 °C.

### Euglycemic hyperinsulinemic clamp

Insulin action was determined by the euglycemic hyperinsulinemic clamp one week before surgery [14]. After an overnight fast, two catheters were inserted into an antecubital vein, one for each arm, used to administer constant infusions of glucose and insulin, and to obtain arterialized venous blood samples. A 2-h euglycemic hyperinsulinemic clamp was initiated by a two step primed infusion of insulin (80 mU/m2/min for 5 min, 60 mU/m2/min for 5 min) immediately followed by a continuous infusion of insulin at a rate of 40 mU/m2/min (regular insulin; Actrapid, Novo Nordisk, NJ). Glucose infusion began at minute 4 at an initial perfusion rate of 2 mg/kg/min, then was adjusted to maintain plasma glucose concentration at 4.9–5.5 mmol/L. Blood samples were collected every 5 min for determination of plasma glucose and insulin. Insulin sensitivity was assessed as the mean glucose infusion rate during the last 40 min. In the stationary equilibrium, the amount of glucose administered (M) equals the glucose taken by the body tissues and is a measure of overall insulin sensitivity.

Subjects in the upper tertile of glucose infusion rate during the clamp were considered as insulin sensitive.

All subjects gave written informed consent, validated and approved by the Ethical Committee of the Hospital of Girona "Dr Josep Trueta", after the purpose of the study was explained to them.

#### Gut microbiota composition

Total DNA was extracted as previously described [15-19]. Briefly, the whole 16S bacterial DNA V2 region was targeted by the 28F-519R primers and analysed by pyrosequencing by the 454 FLX Roche technologies at Research&Testing Laboratory (http://www.researchandtesting.com/, Texas, USA). An average of 5000 sequences was generated per sample. A full description of bioinformatic filters is available at http://www.rtlgenomics.com/docs/Data\_Analysis\_Methodology.pdf.

#### Metabolomic analyses

All <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were acquired using Bruker DRX600 spectrometers (Rheinstetten, Germany) running under TopSpin, with either a 5 mm TXI probe operating at 600.13 MHz or a 5 mm BBI probe operating at 419 600.44 MHz. All runs were carried out using Bruker BACS60 sample handling automation; prior to each run the 90° pulse length was determined and set for the run. The field frequency was locked on D2O as solvent. In all experiments, water suppression was carried out by noise irradiation during the 2 s recycle delay (RD). For all experiments, 128 scans were recorded into 32 K data points with a spectral width of 20 ppm, and an exponential function was applied to the FID prior to the Fourier transformation, which resulted in a line broadening of 0.3 Hz. All plasma NMR spectra were automatically phased, baseline-corrected and referenced to the center of the  $\alpha$ -glucose anomeric doublet ( $\delta$  5.23) for plasma, using an in-house MatLab (The MathWorks, Natick, Massachusetts) scripts. Baseline and peak alignment quality control was done by individual verification for each spectrum and occasionally a spectrum was manually adjusted.

### **RNA** expression

RNA purification, gene expression procedures and analyses were carried out, as previously described [13,14]. Briefly, RNA purification was performed using the RNeasy Lipid Tissue Mini Kit (QIAgen, Izasa SA, Barcelona, Spain) and the integrity was checked by the Agilent Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA). Gene expression was assessed by real-time PCR using a LightCycler<sup>®</sup> 480 Real-Time PCR System (Roche Diagnostics SL, Barcelona, Spain), using TaqMan<sup>®</sup> technology suitable for relative genetic expression quantification. The commercially available and pre-validated TaqMan<sup>®</sup> primer/probe sets used were detailed elsewhere [13,14].

#### Analytical methods

Serum glucose, insulin, HOMA-IR, HDL-cholesterol, fasting triglycerides and C-reactive protein concentration measurement were performed as previously described [13,14].

### Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 12.0 software. Unless otherwise stated, descriptive results of continuous variables are expressed as mean and SD for Gaussian variables or median and interquartile range. Parameters that did not fulfil normal distribution criteria were Log transformed to improve symmetry for subsequent analyses. The relation between variables was analyzed by simple correlation (Pearson's test and Spearman's test) and multiple regression analyses in a stepwise manner. Levels of statistical significance were set at p<0.05.

## Results

Anthropometrical and metabolic parameters are described in Table 1. In obese subjects with insulin resistance, gut Firmicutes relative abundance (RA) was decreased, whereas Bacteroidetes and Proteobacteria RA were increased compared with insulin sensitive subjects (Table 1). These observations were in parallel to reduced SAT *SLC2A4*, (GLUT4), a tendency towards reduced VAT IRS-1 (*P*=0.06) and reduced SAT *PRDM16* mRNA among insulin resistant subjects (Table 1).

Firmicutes RA was negatively correlated with  $HbA_{1c}$  (r= -0.37, p=0.03) and fasting triglycerides (r= -0.35, p=0.04), whereas Proteobacteria RA was positively correlated with HOMA-IR (r= 0.35, p=0.04) and negatively with insulin sensitivity (r=-0.38, p=0.03).

Interestingly, Firmicutes RA was positively correlated with markers of brown adipocytes [*PRDM16* (r=0.42, p=0.01), *UCP1* (r=0.45, p=0.01) and *DIO2* (r=0.38, p=0.03) (Figure 1a-b)] in SAT, but not in VAT [*PRDM16* (r=-0.05, p=0.7), *UCP1* (r=0.02, p=0.9) and *DIO2* (r=-0.04, p=0.8)]. Otherwise, Bacteroidetes RA was negatively correlated with *PRDM16* (r=-0.37, p=0.03), *UCP1* (r=-0.38, p=0.03) and *DIO2* (r=-0.35, p=0.05) mRNA levels (Figure 1c-d).

The associations were specific for browning, because no significant correlations were found between Firmicutes RA and markers of adipogenesis [ADIPOQ (r=0.15, p=0.4), PPARG (r=-0.07, p=0.6)], insulin sensitivity [IRS1 (r=0.17, p=0.3) and SLC2A4 (r=0.13, p=0.4)] or inflammation [TNF (r=-0.16, p=0.4) and IL6 (r=0.21, p=0.3)] in SAT or VAT (ADIPOQ (r=-0.16, p=0.4), PPARG (r=-0.02, p=0.9), SLC2A4 (r=0.03, p=0.8), IRS1 (r=0.24, p=0.1), TNF (r=-0.03, p=0.8) and IL6 (r=-0.18, p=0.4).

#### **Molecular Nutrition and Food Research**

*PRDM16* mRNA levels were also negatively correlated with Proteobacteria RA (r=-0.37, p=0.03), but this association did not remain significant after controlling for age, BMI or insulin sensitivity.

Multivariate regression analysis indicated that Firmicutes RA contributed significantly to SAT *PRDM16* and *UCP1* mRNA variance after controlling for age, sex, BMI, insulin sensitivity (M value), HbA<sub>1c</sub>, and diabetes, hypertension or dyslipemia therapy (Table 2). Firmicutes RA also contributed significantly to SAT *DIO2* mRNA variance after controlling for age, sex, insulin sensitivity (M value) and HbA<sub>1c</sub> (Table 2).

To explore the possible mechanism underlying the relationship between microbiota and adipose tissue browning, and considering the effects of circulating acetate on adipocyte browning and fat oxidation [20-24], its major abundance compared to other important gut microbial-derived short-chain fatty acids (propionate and butyrate) [25], and its capacity to transfer from colon into bloodstream [20], circulating acetate levels in plasma were examined. Circulating acetate levels were significantly increased in insulin sensitive obese participants (Figure 2a) in positive correlation with gut Firmicutes RA, SAT *PRDM16* mRNA and insulin sensitivity (Figure 2b-d), and negatively correlated with HOMA-IR and fasting triglycerides (Figure 2e-f).

Finally, within families from firmicutes phylum, only those bacteria from Ruminococcaceae family, which was the most abundant (Figure 2g), were positively correlated with insulin sensitivity (r=0.48, p=0.01), plasma acetate (r=0.52, p=0.005) and PRDM16 mRNA levels (r=0.43, p=0.02), and negatively correlated with fasting triglycerides (r= -0.37, p= 0.04) (Figure 3). Multivariate regression analysis indicated that plasma acetate contributed significantly to SAT *PRDM16* mRNA variance after

controlling for age, sex, BMI, insulin sensitivity (*M* value) and diabetes, hypertension or dyslipemia therapy, but not after controlling for family Ruminococcaceae RA (Table 3).

## Discussion

In the current study, we investigated gut microbial composition and markers of adipose tissue browning in morbidly obese subjects. To the best of our knowledge, no previous studies have explored these associations in humans. The main findings indicate that Firmicutes RA, specifically those bacteria from Ruminococcaceae family, was positively associated with insulin action in parallel to increased markers of browning in SAT. A previous study demonstrated that cold exposure, with its associated increased energy harvest, led to dramatic changes of the microbiota composition in mice, increasing Firmicutes *vs.* Bacteroidetes RA in association with browning of white adipose tissue. These changes resulted in improved insulin sensitivity, increased energy expenditure and fat loss [8]. In humans, this shift in microbial composition has been previously associated with significantly increased energy harvest [26]. A significant reduction in bacterial species from Firmicutes phylum and increased RA of bacterial species from Proteobacteria and Bacteroidetes phyla are known to be associated with insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes [27-33]. Markers of browning (PRDM16 and UCP1) in SAT have been also linked to insulin sensitivity [11-13].

The mechanisms to explain the relationship between Ruminococcaceae RA and markers of browning in SAT remain to be elucidated. Gut microbial-derived SCFAs have been demonstrated to improve insulin sensitivity through the enhancing of fat oxidation and energy expenditure [20,25,34]. Acetate seems to exert a crucial role in brown adipocyte differentiation through the induction of mitochondrial biogenesis [21], leading to

#### Molecular Nutrition and Food Research

increased oxygen consumption rate in white and brown adipocytes and skeletal muscle from Otsuka Long-Evans Tokushima Fatty rats [22]; and increased browning of SAT in parallel to increased insulin sensitivity in mice [23]. Acetate administration also resulted in increased expression of browning genes (PRDM16, UCP1 and DIO2) in 3T3-L1 cells and in white adipose tissue from obese diabetic KK-Ay mice in parallel to increased whole-body oxygen consumption [24], leading to enhanced fat oxidation [25,35,36].

In animal models, reduced Firmicutes RA and serum acetate and increased Bacteroidetes RA were concomitant to lower glucose infusion rate during a hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp in mice [37]. The recovery of serum acetate levels (using antibiotics or acetate administration) led to improved insulin sensitivity and attenuated adipose tissue macrophage infiltration in adipose tissue [37].

In humans, distal colonic acetate infusion resulted in increased whole-body fat oxidation [28]. Multivariate regression analysis indicated that the association between plasma acetate and SAT *PRDM16* mRNA remained significant after controlling for age, sex, BMI, insulin sensitivity (*M* value) and diabetes, hypertension or dyslipemia therapy, but not after controlling for family Ruminococcaceae RA, suggesting this bacterial family as the most important source of plasma acetate. In agreement with this suggestion, one recent study found acetate biosynthesis to be correlated with Firmicutes RA [38], being those bacteria from Ruminococcaceae family (which was the most abundant) considered as important acetate-producing bacteria in rumen studies [39]. This is the first study, to our knowledge, to describe associations among glucose infusion rate during euglycemic hyperinsulinemic clamp, gut microbiota composition and markers of browning in SAT in humans.

The absence of association between Firmicutes RA and markers of browning in VAT might be explained by the lower capacity of this fat depot to develop browning [9,40]. The current study extends to humans previous observations in mice regarding gut microbiota composition and adipose tissue browning [8], and suggests a possible mechanism to explain them through increased plasma acetate levels. Further intervention studies exploring the possible role of acetate in adipose tissue browning and insulin sensitivity are required to confirm current findings.

## Author contributions

JMM-N researched data, wrote and edited the manuscript; MS, RB, MD, MF researched data, contributed to discussion and reviewed manuscript; VB-B, VA, RBa, MC, JL, FO, MS-M researched data; WR contributed to discussion; JMF-R researched data and wrote and reviewed the manuscript.



#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are indebted to the IDIBGI Biobank, integrated in the Spanish National Biobank Network, for the sample and data procurement. We acknowledge the technical assistance of E. Loshuertos and O. Rovira (both from Endocrinology, IdIBGi, Spain). This work was partially supported by research grant PI15/01934 from the Instituto de Salud Carlos III from Spain and was also supported by FEDER funds. CIBEROBN Fisiopatología de la Obesidad y Nutrición is an initiative from the Instituto de Salud Carlos III from Spain. The authors declared no conflict of interest.

Wiley-VCH

## References

[1] Hamady, M., Knight, R., Microbial community profiling for human microbiome projects: Tools, techniques, and challenges. *Genome Res.* 2009, *19*, 1141–1152.

[2] Ursell, L.K., Haiser, H.J., Van Treuren, W., Garg, N., Reddivari, L., Vanamala, J., Dorrestein, P.C., Turnbaugh, P.J., Knight, R., The intestinal metabolome: an intersection between microbiota and host. *Gastroenterology* 2014, *146*, 1470–1476.

[3] Tagliabue, A., Eli, M., The role of gut microbiota in human obesity: recent findings and future perspectives. *Nutr. Metab. Cardiovasc. Dis.* 2013, *23*, 160–168.

[4] Rosenbaum, M., Knight, R., Leibel, R.L., The gut microbiota in human energy homeostasis and obesity. *Trends Endocrinol. Metab.* 2015, *26*, 493-501.

[5] Ravussin, Y., Koren, O., Spor, A., LeDuc, C., Gutman, R., Stombaugh, J., Knight, R., Ley, R.E., Leibel, R.L., Response of gut microbiota to diet composition and weight loss in lean and obese mice. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 2012, *20*, 736–747.

[6] Palleja, A., Kashani, A., Allin, K.H., Nielsen, T., Zhang, C., Li, Y., Brach, T., Liang, S., Feng, Q., Jørgensen, N.B., Bojsen-Møller, K.N., Dirksen, C., Burgdorf, K.S., Holst, J.J., Madsbad, S., Wang, J., Pedersen, O., Hansen, T., Arumugam, M., Roux-en-Y gastric bypass surgery of morbidly obese patients induces swift and persistent changes of the individual gut microbiota. *Genome Med.* 2016, *8*, 67.

[7] Walters, W.A., Xu, Z., Knight, R., Meta-analyses of human gut microbes associated with obesity and IBD. *FEBS Lett.* 2014, *588*, 4223–4233.

[8] Chevalier, C., Stojanović, O., Colin, D.J., Suarez-Zamorano, N., Tarallo, V., Veyrat-Durebex, C., Rigo, D., Fabbiano, S., Stevanović, A., Hagemann, S., Montet, X., Seimbille, Y., Zamboni, N., Hapfelmeier, S., Trajkovski, M., Gut Microbiota Orchestrates Energy Homeostasis during Cold. *Cell* 2015, *163*, 1360-1374.

[9] Cohen, P., Levy, J.D., Zhang, Y., Frontini, A., Kolodin, D.P., Svensson, K.J., Lo, J.C., Zeng, X., Ye, L., Khandekar, M.J., Wu, J., Gunawardana, S.C., Banks, A.S., Camporez, J.P., Jurczak, M.J., Kajimura, S., Piston, D.W., Mathis, D., Cinti, S., Shulman, G.I., Seale, P., Spiegelman, BM., Ablation of PRDM16 and beige adipose causes metabolic dysfunction and a subcutaneous to visceral fat switch. *Cell* 2014, *156*, 304-316.

[10] Kumari, M., Wang, X., Lantier, L, Lyubetskaya A, Eguchi J, Kang S, Tenen D, Roh HC, Kong X, Kazak L, Ahmad R, Rosen ED. IRF3 promotes adipose inflammation and insulin resistance and represses browning. *J. Clin. Invest.* 2016, *126*, 2839-2854.

[11] Stanford, K.I., Middelbeek, R.J., Goodyear, L.J., Exercise Effects on White Adipose Tissue: Beiging and Metabolic Adaptations. *Diabetes* 2015, *64*, 2361-2368.

[12] Sidossis, L., Kajimura, S., Brown and beige fat in humans: thermogenic adipocytes that control energy and glucose homeostasis. *J. Clin. Invest.* 2015, *125*, 478-486.

[13] Moreno-Navarrete, J.M., Ortega, F., Moreno, M., Xifra, G., Ricart, W., Fernández-Real, J.M., PRDM16 sustains white fat gene expression profile in human adipocytes in direct relation with insulin action. *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.* 2015, *405*, 84-93.

[14] Moreno-Navarrete, J.M., Novelle, M.G., Catalán, V., Ortega, F., Moreno, M., Gomez-Ambrosi, J., Xifra, G., Serrano, M., Guerra, E., Ricart, W., Frühbeck, G., Diéguez, C., Fernández-Real, J.M., Insulin resistance modulates iron-related proteins in adipose tissue. *Diabetes Care* 2014, *37*, 1092-1100.

[15] Serino, M., Luche, E., Gres, S., Baylac, A., Bergé, M., Cenac, C., Waget, A., Klopp, P., Iacovoni, J., Klopp, C., Mariette, J., Bouchez, O., Lluch, J., Ouarné, F., Monsan, P., Valet, P., Roques, C., Amar, J., Bouloumié, A., Théodorou, V., Burcelin, R., Metabolic adaptation to a high-fat diet is associated with a change in the gut microbiota. *Gut* 2012, *61*, 543-553.

[16] Schmieder, R., Edwards, R., Quality control and preprocessing of metagenomic datasets. *Bioinformatics* 2011, *27*, 863–864.

[17] Aronesty, E., Command-line tools for processing biological sequencing data. eautils: FASTQ processing utilities. URL: http://code.google.com/p/ea-utils. 2011 (Accessed 2016).

[18] Langmead, B., Salzberg, S.L., Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat. Methods* 2012, *9*, 357–359.

[19] Caporaso, J.G., Kuczynski, J., Stombaugh, J., Bittinger, K., Bushman, F.D., Costello, E.K., Fierer, N., Peña, A.G., Goodrich, J.K., Gordon, J.I., Huttley, G.A., Kelley, S.T., Knights, D., Koenig, J.E., Ley, R.E., Lozupone, C.A., McDonald, D., Muegge, B.D., Pirrung, M., Reeder, J., Sevinsky, J.R., Turnbaugh, P.J., Walters, W.A., Widmann, J., Yatsunenko, T., Zaneveld, J., Knight, R., QIIME allows analysis of highthroughput community sequencing data. *Nat Methods* 2010, *7*, 335–336.

[20] van der Beek, C.M., Canfora, E.E., Lenaerts, K., Troost, F.J., Olde Damink, S.W.M., Holst, J.J., Masclee, A.A., Dejong, C.H., Blaak, E.E., Distal, not proximal, colonic acetate infusions promote fat oxidation and improve metabolic markers in overweight/obese men. *Clin Sci (Lond)* 2016, *130*, 2073-2082.

[21] Hu, J., Kyrou, I., Tan, B.K., Dimitriadis, G.K., Ramanjaneya, M., Tripathi, G., Patel, V., James, S., Kawan, M., Chen, J., Randeva, H.S., Short-Chain Fatty Acid Acetate Stimulates Adipogenesis and Mitochondrial Biogenesis via GPR43 in Brown Adipocytes. *Endocrinology* 2016, *157*, 1881-1894.

[22] Yamashita, H., Maruta, H., Jozuka, M., Kimura, R., Iwabuchi, H., Yamato, M., Saito, T., Fujisawa, K., Takahashi, Y., Kimoto, M., Hiemori, M., Tsuji, H., Effects of acetate on lipid metabolism in muscles and adipose tissues of type 2 diabetic Otsuka Long-Evans Tokushima Fatty (OLETF) rats. *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.* 2009, *73*, 570-576.

[23] Sahuri-Arisoylu, M., Brody, L.P., Parkinson, J.R., Parkes, H., Navaratnam, N., Miller, A.D., Thomas, E.L., Frost, G., Bell, J.D., Reprogramming of hepatic fat accumulation and 'browning' of adipose tissue by the short-chain fatty acid acetate. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2016, 40, 955-963.

 [24] Hanatani, S., Motoshima, H., Takaki, Y., Kawasaki, S., Igata, M., Matsumura, T., Kondo, T., Senokuchi, T., Ishii, N., Kawashima, J., Kukidome, D., Shimoda, S., Nishikawa, T., Araki, E., Acetate alters expression of genes involved in beige adipogenesis in 3T3-L1 cells and obese KK-Ay mice. *J. Clin. Biochem. Nutr.* 2016, *59*, 207-214.

[25] Canfora, E.E., Jocken, J.W., Blaak, E.E., Short-chain fatty acids in control of body weight and insulin sensitivity. *Nat. Rev. Endocrinol.* 2015, *11*, 577-591.

[26] Jumpertz, R., Le, D.S., Turnbaugh, P.J., Trinidad, C., Bogardus, C., Gordon, J.I., Krakoff, J., Energy-balance studies reveal associations between gut microbes, caloric load, and nutrient absorption in humans. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2011, *94*, 58-65.

[27] Pedersen, H.K., Gudmundsdottir, V., Nielsen, H.B., Hyotylainen, T., Nielsen, T., Jensen, B.A., Forslund, K., Hildebrand, F., Prifti, E., Falony, G., Le Chatelier, E., Levenez, F., Doré, J., Mattila, I., Plichta, D.R., Pöhö, P., Hellgren, L.I., Arumugam, M., Sunagawa, S., Vieira-Silva, S., Jørgensen, T., Holm, J.B., Trošt, K.; MetaHIT Consortium, Kristiansen, K., Brix, S., Raes, J., Wang, J., Hansen, T., Bork, P., Brunak, S., Oresic, M., Ehrlich, S.D., Pedersen, O., Human gut microbes impact host serum metabolome and insulin sensitivity. *Nature* 2016, *21*, 535:376-381.

[28] Haro, C., Montes-Borrego, M., Rangel-Zúñiga, O.A., Alcalá-Díaz, J.F., Gómez-Delgado, F., Pérez-Martínez, P., Delgado-Lista, J., Quintana-Navarro, G.M., Tinahones, F.J., Landa, B.B., López-Miranda, J., Camargo, A., Pérez-Jiménez, F., Two Healthy Diets Modulate Gut Microbial Community Improving Insulin Sensitivity in a Human Obese Population. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* 2016, *101*, 233-242.

[29] Fugmann, M., Breier, M., Rottenkolber, M., Banning, F., Ferrari, U., Sacco, V., Grallert, H., Parhofer, K.G., Seissler, J., Clavel, T., Lechner, A., The stool microbiota of insulin resistant women with recent gestational diabetes, a high risk group for type 2 diabetes. *Sci. Rep.* 2015, *5*, 13212.

[30] Korem, T., Zeevi, D., Suez, J., Weinberger, A., Avnit-Sagi, T., Pompan-Lotan, M., Matot, E., Jona, G., Harmelin, A., Cohen, N., Sirota-Madi, A., Thaiss, C.A., PevsnerFischer, M., Sorek, R., Xavier, R.J., Elinav, E., Segal, E., Growth dynamics of gut microbiota in health and disease inferred from single metagenomic samples. *Science* 2015, *349*, 1101-1106.

[31] Delzenne, N.M., Cani, P.D., Everard, A., Neyrinck, A.M., Bindels, L.B., Gut microorganisms as promising targets for the management of type 2 diabetes. *Diabetologia* 2015, *58*, 2206-2217.

[32] Karlsson, F.H., Tremaroli, V., Nookaew, I., Bergström, G., Behre, C.J., Fagerberg,B., Nielsen, J., Bäckhed, F., Gut metagenome in European women with normal,impaired and diabetic glucose control. *Nature* 2013, *498*, 99-103.

[33] Qin, J., Li, Y., Cai, Z., Li, S., Zhu, J., Zhang, F., Liang, S., Zhang, W., Guan, Y., Shen, D., Peng, Y., Zhang, D., Jie, Z., Wu, W., Qin, Y., Xue, W., Li, J., Han, L., Lu, D., Wu, P., Dai, Y., Sun, X., Li, Z., Tang, A., Zhong, S., Li, X., Chen, W., Xu, R., Wang, M., Feng, Q., Gong, M., Yu, J., Zhang, Y., Zhang, M., Hansen, T., Sanchez, G., Raes, J., Falony, G., Okuda, S., Almeida, M., LeChatelier, E., Renault, P., Pons, N., Batto, J.M., Zhang, Z., Chen, H., Yang, R., Zheng, W., Li, S., Yang, H., Wang, J., Ehrlich, S.D., Nielsen, R., Pedersen, O., Kristiansen, K., Wang, J., A metagenome-wide association study of gut microbiota in type 2 diabetes. *Nature* 2012, *490*, 55-60.

[34] Shirouchi, B., Nagao, K., Umegatani, M., Shiraishi, A., Morita, Y., Kai, S., Yanagita, T., Ogawa, A., Kadooka, Y., Sato, M., Probiotic Lactobacillus gasseri SBT2055 improves glucose tolerance and reduces body weight gain in rats by stimulating energy expenditure. *Br. J. Nutr.* 2016, *116*, 451-458.

[35] Yamaguchi, Y., Adachi, K., Sugiyama, T., Shimozato, A., Ebi, M., Ogasawara, N., Funaki, Y., Goto, C., Sasaki, M., Kasugai, K., Association of Intestinal Microbiota with Metabolic Markers and Dietary Habits in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes. *Digestion* 2016, *94*, 66-72.

[36] Lu, Y., Fan, C., Li, P., Lu, Y., Chang, X., Qi, K., Short Chain Fatty Acids Prevent High-fat-diet-induced Obesity in Mice by Regulating G Protein-coupled Receptors and Gut Microbiota. *Sci. Rep.* 2016, *6*, 37589.

[37] Carvalho, B.M., Guadagnini, D., Tsukumo, D.M.L., Schenka, A.A., Latuf-Filho,
P., Vassallo, J., Dias, J.C., Kubota, L.T., Carvalheira, J.B.C., Saad, M.J.A., Modulation of gut microbiota by antibiotics improves insulin signalling in high-fat fed mice. *Diabetologia* 2012, *55*, 2823-2834.

[38] Goffredo, M., Mass, K., Parks, E.J., Wagner, D.A., McClure, E.A., Graf, J., Savoye, M., Pierpont, B., Cline, G., Santoro, N., Role of Gut Microbiota and Short Chain Fatty Acids in Modulating Energy Harvest and Fat Partitioning in Youth. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* 2016, *101*, 4367-4376.

[39] Gagen, E.J., Padmanabha, J., Denman, S.E., McSweeney, C.S., Hydrogenotrophic culture enrichment reveals rumen Lachnospiraceae and Ruminococcaceae acetogens and hydrogen-responsive Bacteroidetes from pasture-fed cattle. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 2015, *362*, 14.

[40] Gavaldà-Navarro, A., Moreno-Navarrete, J.M., Quesada-López, T., Cairó, M., Giralt, M., Fernández-Real, J.M., Villarroya, F., Lipopolysaccharide-binding protein is a negative regulator of adipose tissue browning in mice and humans. *Diabetologia* 2016, *59*, 2208-2218.



Table 1. Anthropometric, clinical parameters, microbial abundance and SAT gene

expressions according to insulin resistance.

	Insulin sensitive	Insulin resistant	Р
Ν	14	20	
Age (years)	$48.7 \pm 11.1$	$47.4 \pm 7.6$	0.7
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	$42.8 \pm 7.1$	$45.4 \pm 5.6$	0.2
Waist circumference (cm)	$123.9 \pm 10.7$	$129.1 \pm 11.1$	0.2
Fasting glucose (mg/dl)	95.5 (87-100.2)	102 (92.5-129.5)	0.02
HbA <sub>1c</sub> (%)	$5.5 \pm 0.5$	$6.4 \pm 1.6$	0.02
HbA <sub>1c</sub> (mmol/mol)	$37 \pm 3.2$	$46 \pm 9.5$	0.02
HDL-cholesterol (mg/dl)	$48.0 \pm 10.1$	$46.6 \pm 14.8$	0.7
Fasting triglycerides (mg/dl)	88.5 (66-147)	134 (99.5-165)	0.1
HOMA-IR	1.6 (1.3-2.1)	4.8 (4.1-8.3)	0.03
M (mg/kg/min)	$5.8 \pm 2.7$	$2.7 \pm 1.3$	< 0.0001
Firmicutes (%)	64.9 (60.4-78.7)	47.3 (42.1-64.5)	0.001
Bacteroidetes (%)	29.3 (18.8-36.8)	36.7 (27.1-49.7)	0.02
Proteobacteria (%)	1.27 (0.85-2.98)	2.32 (1.99-5.89)	0.03
Actinobacteria (%)	0.45 (0.19-0.76)	0.28 (0.14-0.61)	0.2
Fusobacteria (%)	0.47 (0.11-1.43)	0.67 (0.24-3.21)	0.4
Shannon index	$3.34 \pm 0.17$	$3.23 \pm 0.33$	0.2
SAT ADIPOQ (RU)	$2.42 \pm 0.7$	$2.37 \pm 0.7$	0.8
SAT PPARG (RU)	$0.036 \pm 0.01$	$0.040 \pm 0.02$	0.6
SAT SLC2A4 (RU)	$0.053 \pm 0.02$	$0.036 \pm 0.01$	0.04
SAT IRS1 (RU)	$0.0087 \pm 0.005$	$0.0078 \pm 0.003$	0.4
SAT TNF (RU)	$0.0039 \pm 0.001$	$0.0046 \pm 0.003$	0.4
SAT <i>IL6</i> (RU) 10 <sup>-4</sup>	5 (3-20)	13 (5-44)	0.2
SAT PRDM16 (RU)	$0.0037 \pm 0.001$	$0.0027 \pm 0.001$	0.03
SAT UCP1 (RU) * 10 <sup>-5</sup>	2.5 (2-3)	2 (1-3)	0.4
SAT <i>DIO2</i> (RU) * 10 <sup>-4</sup>	6.4 (4-7)	6.9 (3-11.1)	0.4
VAT ADIPOQ (RU)	$1.53 \pm 0.47$	$1.36 \pm 0.5$	0.3
VAT PPARG (RU)	$0.021 \pm 0.008$	$0.020 \pm 0.013$	0.8
VAT SLC2A4 (RU)	$0.032 \pm 0.02$	$0.023 \pm 0.01$	0.1
VAT IRSI (RU)	$0.0087 \pm 0.003$	$0.0069 \pm 0.002$	0.06
VAT TNF (RU)	$0.0052 \pm 0.002$	$0.0078 \pm 0.005$	0.1
VAT <i>IL6</i> (RU) 10 <sup>-4</sup>	9.9 (7.7-20.8)	10.2 (6.8-39.5)	0.8
VAT PRDM16 (RU)	$0.0043 \pm 0.002$	$0.0034 \pm 0.001$	0.09
VAT UCP1 (RU) * 10 <sup>-5</sup>	8.5 (4.2-25.2)	5 (3-8.5)	0.08
VAT <i>DIO2</i> (RU) * 10 <sup>-4</sup>	2.6 (1.9-4.6)	2.2 (1.6-3.8)	0.8

## Table 2. Multivariate regression analyses to predict SAT PRDM16, UCP1 and DIO2

mRNA levels.

SAT <i>PRDM16</i> (RU)	β	р	β	р	β	р	β	р			
Firmicutes RA (%)	0.45	0.01	0.45	0.01	0.43	0.01	0.43	0.01			
Age (years)	-0.01	0.9	-	-	-	-	-	-			
BMI $(kg/m^2)$	-	-	-0.09	0.5	-	-	-	-			
M (mg/kg/min)	-	-	-	-	0.26	0.1	-	-			
$HbA_{1c}$ (%)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-0.06	0.7			
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.149 (	(14.9%)	0.15	8 (15.8%)	0.189	(18.9%)	0.153	(15.3%)			
<i>P</i> value	0.	03		0.03	(	0.02	0	.03			
SAT <i>PRDM16</i> (RU)	β	р	β	р	β	р	β	р			
Firmicutes RA (%)	0.43	0.01	0.41	0.02	0.43	0.01	0.46	0.008			
Sex	0.27	0.1	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Diabetes therapy	-	-	-0.14	0.3	-	-	-	-			
Hypertension therapy	-	-	-	-	-0.12	0.4	-	-			
Dyslipemia therapy	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.04	0.8			
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.230	(23%)	0.172	2 (17.2%)	0.167	(16.7%)	0.154	(15.4%)			
<i>P</i> value	0.0	009		0.02	(	0.02	0.03				
SAT <i>UCP1</i> (RU)	β	р	β	р	β	р	β	р			
Firmicutes RA (%)	0.45	0.01	0.37	0.03	0.41	0.03	0.43	0.02			
Age (years)	0.05	0.7	-	-	-	-	-	-			
BMI $(kg/m^2)$	-	-	-0.39	0.03	-	-	-	-			
M (mg/kg/min)	-	-	-	-	-0.11	0.6	-	-			
$HbA_{1c}$ (%)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-0.06	0.7			
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.146 (	(14.6%)	0.29	5 (29.5%)	0.119	(11.9%)	0.147 (14.7%)				
<i>P</i> value	0.	05	(	0.005	(	).08	0.04				
SAT <i>UCP1</i> (RU)	β	р	β	р	β	р	β	р			
Firmicutes RA (%)	0.45	0.01	0.49	0.01	0.46	0.01	0.49	0.01			
Sex	0.11	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Diabetes therapy	-	-	0.15	0.4	-	-	-	-			
Hypertension therapy	-	-	-	-	0.08	0.6	-	-			
Dyslipemia therapy	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.15	0.4			
Adjusted $R^2$	0.145 (	(14.5%)	0.15	5 (15.5%)	0.139	(13.9%)	0.156 (15.6%)				
P value	0.	05		0.04	(	).05	0	.04			
SAT <i>DIO2</i> (RU)	β	р	β	р	β	р	β	Р			
Firmicutes RA (%)	0.39	0.03	0.35	0.06	0.51	0.01	0.40	0.03			

HbA <sub>1c</sub> (%)       -       -       -       -       -       0.14       0.         Adjusted R <sup>2</sup> 0.201 (20.1%)       0.081 (8.1)       0.222 (22.2%)       0.083 (8.3%)         P value       0.02       0.16       0.03       0.11         SAT DIO2 (RU) $\beta$ p $\beta$ <	HbA <sub>1c</sub> (%)       -       -       -       -       -       -       0.14       0.0         Adjusted R <sup>2</sup> 0.01 (20.1%)       0.081 (8.1)       0.222 (22.2%)       0.083 (8.3%)         P value       0.02       0.16       0.03       0.11         SAT DIO2 (RU) $\beta$ p $\beta$ $\rho$ <th< th=""><th>M (mg/kg/min)</th><th>-</th><th>-</th><th>-</th><th>-</th><th>-0.31</th><th>0.1</th><th>-</th><th>-</th></th<>	M (mg/kg/min)	-	-	-	-	-0.31	0.1	-	-
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup> 0.201 (20.1%)       0.081 (8.1)       0.222 (22.2%)       0.083 (8.3%         P value       0.02       0.16       0.03       0.11         SAT DIO2 (RU) $\beta$ p $\beta$ <td>Adjusted R*         0.201 (20.1%)         0.081 (8.1)         0.222 (22.2%)         0.083 (8.3%)           P value         0.02         0.16         0.03         0.11           SAT DIO2 (RU)         β         p         β         β         β         <th< td=""><td>HbA<sub>1c</sub> (%)</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>0.14</td><td>0.4</td></th<></td>	Adjusted R*         0.201 (20.1%)         0.081 (8.1)         0.222 (22.2%)         0.083 (8.3%)           P value         0.02         0.16         0.03         0.11           SAT DIO2 (RU)         β         p         β         β         β <th< td=""><td>HbA<sub>1c</sub> (%)</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>0.14</td><td>0.4</td></th<>	HbA <sub>1c</sub> (%)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.14	0.4
P value         0.02         0.16         0.03         0.11           SAT DIO2 (RU)         β         p         β         β         β         β         β         β         β         β         <	P value         0.02         0.16         0.03         0.11           SAT DIO2 (RU)         β         p         β         <	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.201 (	20.1%)	0.0	81 (8.1)	0.222	(22.2%)	0.083	6 (8.3%
SAT DIO2 (RU)         β         p         β         β         β         β         β         β         β         β         β         β         β         β         β         β         β	SAT DIO2 (RU)         β         p         β         p         β         p         β         p           Firmicutes RA (%)         0.38         0.04         0.30         0.1         0.27         0.1         0.28         0.           Sex         -0.26         0.1         -         0.01         -         -         -         0.0276         (27.6%)         0.166 (16.6%         P         P         value         0.04         -         -         0.04         -         -         -         -         -         0.04         -	<i>P</i> value	0.	02		0.16	(	0.03	0	0.11
Firmicutes RA (%) $0.38$ $0.04$ $0.30$ $0.1$ $0.27$ $0.1$ $0.28$ $0.$ Sex $-0.26$ $0.1$ $  -$ <t< th=""><th>Firmicutes RA (%)       0.38       0.04       0.30       0.1       0.27       0.1       0.28       0.         Sex       -0.26       0.1       -       0.032       0.0       0.04       0.05       0.1       0.008       0.04</th><th>SAT DIO2 (RU)</th><th>β</th><th>р</th><th>β</th><th>р</th><th>β</th><th>р</th><th>β</th><th>p</th></t<>	Firmicutes RA (%)       0.38       0.04       0.30       0.1       0.27       0.1       0.28       0.         Sex       -0.26       0.1       -       0.032       0.0       0.04       0.05       0.1       0.008       0.04	SAT DIO2 (RU)	β	р	β	р	β	р	β	p
Sex         -0.26         0.1         -         0.01         0.01         0.01         0.01         0.02         0.01         0.04         0.04         0.04         0.04         0.04         0.04         0.04         0.04         0.04         0.04         0.04         0.04         0.04         0.04 </td <td>Sex         -0.26         0.1         -         0.01         0.01         0.01         0.04         0.04         0.04         0.04         0.04         0.04         0.04         0.04         0.04         0.04         0.04         0.04         0.04         0.04         0.04         0.04         0.04         <!--</td--><td>Firmicutes RA (%)</td><td>0.38</td><td>0.04</td><td>0.30</td><td>0.1</td><td>0.27</td><td>0.1</td><td>0.28</td><td>0.</td></td>	Sex         -0.26         0.1         -         0.01         0.01         0.01         0.04         0.04         0.04         0.04         0.04         0.04         0.04         0.04         0.04         0.04         0.04         0.04         0.04         0.04         0.04         0.04         0.04 </td <td>Firmicutes RA (%)</td> <td>0.38</td> <td>0.04</td> <td>0.30</td> <td>0.1</td> <td>0.27</td> <td>0.1</td> <td>0.28</td> <td>0.</td>	Firmicutes RA (%)	0.38	0.04	0.30	0.1	0.27	0.1	0.28	0.
Diabetes therapy         -         1         0	Diabetes therapy         -         -         -0.18         0.3         -	Sex	-0.26	0.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hypertension therapy         -         -         -         -0.45         0.01         -         0.03         0.04	Hypertension therapy         -         -         -         -0.45         0.01         -         0.02         0.01         0.01         0.02         0.04	Diabetes therapy	-	-	-0.18	0.3	-	-	-	-
Dyslipemia therapy       -       -       -       -       -0.32       0.0         Adjusted R <sup>2</sup> 0.142 (14.2%)       0.097 (9.7%)       0.276 (27.6%)       0.166 (16.6%)         P value       0.05       0.1       0.008       0.04	Dyslipemia therapy 0.32 0.0 Adjusted R <sup>2</sup> 0.142 (14.2%) 0.097 (9.7%) 0.276 (27.6%) 0.166 (16.6% P value 0.05 0.1 0.008 0.04	Hypertension therapy	-	-	-	-	-0.45	0.01	-	-
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup> 0.142 (14.2%)       0.097 (9.7%)       0.276 (27.6%)       0.166 (16.6%)         P value       0.05       0.1       0.008       0.04	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup> 0.142 (14.2%) 0.097 (9.7%) 0.276 (27.6%) 0.166 (16.6% <i>P</i> value 0.05 0.1 0.008 0.04	Dyslipemia therapy	-	-	-	-	-	-	-0.32	0.0
P value 0.05 0.1 0.008 0.04	P value 0.05 0.1 0.008 0.04	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.142 (	14.2%)	0.09	97 (9.7%)	0.276	(27.6%)	0.166	(16.6%
		P value	0.	05		0.1	0	.008	0	.04

Table 3. Multivariate regression analyses to investigate the contribution of circulating

acetate on SAT *PRDM16* mRNA levels.

	β	р	β	р	β	р	β	р	
Plasma acetate (ppm)	0.33	0.07	0.42	0.02	0.42	0.02	0.38	0.04	
Ruminococcaceae RA	0.30	0.08	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Age (years)	-	-	-0.01	0.9	-	-	-	-	
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	-	-	-	-	-0.02	0.8			
M (mg/kg/min)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.15	0.5	
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.230	(23%)	0.124	(12.4%)	0.124	(12.4%)	0.176	(17.6%)	
P value	0.	01	0	0.05		0.05	0	.04	
SAT <i>PRDM16</i> (RU)	β	р	β	р	β	р	β	р	
Plasma acetate (ppm)	0.39	0.02	0.37	0.04	0.43	0.01	0.42	0.02	
Sex	0.26	0.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Diabetes therapy	-	-	-0.13	0.4	-	-	-	-	
Hypertension therapy	-	-	-	-	-0.23	0.1	-	-	
Dyslipemia therapy	-	-	-	-	-	-	-0.03	0.8	
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.195 (	19.5%)	0.152	(15.2%)	0.183	8 (18.3%)	0.125 (12.5%)		
P value	0.	01	0	0.04		0.02	0	.05	

## Figure legends

**Figure 1.** a-d) Bivariate correlations among *PRDM16* and *UCP1* mRNA levels and firmicutes (a-b) and bacteroidetes (c-d) relative abundance.

**Figure 2.** a) Circulating acetate levels according to insulin resistance. b-f) Bivariate correlations between circulating acetate and firmicutes relative abundance (b), SAT *PRDM16* mRNA levels (c), insulin sensitivity (d), HOMA-IR (e) and fasting triglycerides (f). g) Relative abundance of families belonging to Firmicutes phylum.

Figure 3. HeatMap showing bivariate correlations among the relative abundance of families belonging to Firmicutes phylum and metabolic parameters. p<0.05 and \*\*p<0.01.



Figure 2

**Molecular Nutrition and Food Research** 

Page 26 of 29



Page 27 of 29





#### 2 3 Color Key 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 -1 -0.5 0.5 0 12 Value 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29

1



**Molecular Nutrition and Food Research** 

Ruminococcaceae RA (%) Clostridiaceae RA (%) Veillonaceae RA (%) Eubacteriaceae RA (%) Lactobacillaceae RA (%) Enterococcaceae RA (%) Streptococcaceae RA (%) Lachnospiraceae RA (%) Erysipelotrichaceae RA (%) Clostridiales RA (%) Peptococcacea RA (%) Bacillaceae RA (%) Leuconostaceae RA (%) BMI WAIST HOMA IR HbA1c HDL chol glucose Triglyc. CRP M (insulin action) Acetate SAT PRDM16 SAT PPARG SAT ADIPOQ

	Ruminococcaceae.RA	Clostridiaceae.RA	Veillonaceae.RA	Eubacteriaceae.RA	Lactobacillaceae.RA	Enterococcaceae.RA	Streptococcaceae.RA	Lachnospiraceae.RA	Erysipelotrichaceae.RA	Clostridiales.RA	Peptococcacea.RA	Bacillaceae.RA	Leuconostaceae.RA	BM	WAIS'	HOMA.IF	HbA1	HDL.cho	glucos	Triglyo	CRF	Minsulin.action	Acetate	SAT.PRDM10	SAT.PPARC	SAT.ADIPOC	
--	--------------------	-------------------	-----------------	-------------------	---------------------	--------------------	---------------------	--------------------	------------------------	------------------	------------------	----------------	-------------------	----	-------	---------	------	---------	--------	---------	-----	-----------------	---------	------------	-----------	------------	--

### **Graphical abstract-text**

This figure indicates the association among *Ruminococcaceae* family, plasma acetate levels, SAT expression of browning-related genes and insulin sensitivity, suggesting that increased Ruminococcaceae-enhanced acetate biosynthesis might promote SAT browning and systemic insulin sensitivity in morbidily obese subjects.

 Molecular Nutrition and Food Research Graphical Abstract

