



HAL
open science

Environment-Related Variation in the Human Mid-Face

Yaming Cui, Sébastien Leclercq

► **To cite this version:**

Yaming Cui, Sébastien Leclercq. Environment-Related Variation in the Human Mid-Face. *The Anatomical Record: Advances in Integrative Anatomy and Evolutionary Biology*, 2017, 300 (1), pp.238-250. 10.1002/ar.23467 . hal-02628041

HAL Id: hal-02628041

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02628041>

Submitted on 26 May 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License



Environment-related variation in the human mid-face

Journal:	<i>Anatomical Record</i>
Manuscript ID	AR-SI-ZYG-16-0043.R1
Wiley - Manuscript type:	Special Issue Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Cui, Yaming; Institute of Vertebrate Paleontology and Paleoanthropology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Key Laboratory of Vertebrate Evolution and Human Origins Leclercq, Sébastien; Institute of Microbiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, State Key Laboratory of Microbial Resources
Keywords:	Zygoma, Function, Evolution, Climate, Mid-face

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Review

Environment-related variation in the human mid-face

Yaming Cui^{1*}, Sébastien Leclercq²

1. Key Laboratory of Vertebrate Evolution and Human Origins, Institute of Vertebrate Paleontology and Paleoanthropology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100044, China
2. State Key Laboratory of Microbial Resources, Institute of Microbiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100011, China

*Correspondence to: Yaming Cui

Tel: +86 88369211

Fax: +86 88365001

E-mail: cuiyaming@ivpp.ac.cn

Running title: Environment-related variation in the human mid-face

Grant sponsor: Project funded by the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (Grant number: 2015M581174).

Geography and climate relation to the mid-face

Abstract

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Previous studies that have examined mid-facial morphology in geographically dispersed and genetically diverse groups of humans have shown a strong adaptation of the nasal part to extreme cold environments, which was not observed in non-Arctic regions. However, it remains unclear whether different parts of the mid-face area show independent adaptation to non-polar climates, and if so, how this adaptation impacted the morphology. To address this question, we investigated potential associations between climatic variables and the mid-facial shape in fourteen populations, focusing on four aspects of the morphology: total shape, zygomatic, nasal and alveolar. The results show that when the genetic distance between populations is not considered, all aspects of the morphology are strongly correlated with all climatic variables. When the genetic distance is considered, significant correlations remain only for the zygomatic and nasal parts with temperature, and for the nasal part and alveolar with sunshine exposure. A strong but probably artificial correlation of the alveolar with atmospheric pressure is also observed. Additionally, partial least square (PLS) analyses indicate that tropical and sub-tropical environments are associated with smaller zygomatic and more triangular nose aperture compared to more temperate environments. These findings suggest that temperate and tropical climates have induced adaptation of zygomatic and nasal parts of the mid-face in humans, and that this adaptation was probably driven by temperature and sunlight exposure conditions.

Geography and climate relation to the mid-face

Introduction

The diversity of human facial shapes is one of the most fascinating subjects of anthropological research. In particular, the factors that influence the morphology of the mid-face, *i.e.* the zygomatic, maxillary, and sub-orbital cavity regions, often receive high attention. It is generally accepted from earlier literature that the variance in the mid-facial morphology is associated with masticatory function in humans. Indeed, the position of the masseter muscle may play a functionally significant role in masticatory efficiency and it has been proposed that the zygomatic may be influenced by chewing movements (Hannam and Wood, 1989; Pope, 1991; Witzel and Preuschoft, 2002). However, more recent studies have seriously questioned this hypothesis. For example, the study of fifteen worldwide indigenous populations using 3-D geometric morphometric methods did not reveal any correlation between the masseter muscle shape and the zygomatic morphology (Noback and Harvati, 2015). In Neanderthals, the anterior teeth wear pattern relative to the posterior teeth wear pattern is less pronounced than in anatomically modern humans, suggesting that the stronger masticatory load required for raw food consumption did not influence the Neanderthal facial morphology (Clement et al., 2012). The morphological differences between Neanderthals and recent human crania may simply result from genetic drift, rather than selection driven by masticatory efficiency (Weaver et al., 2007).

As an alternative to the mastication hypothesis, recent studies have suggested that the mid-face morphology may relate to adaptation to climatic conditions. Evidence in both general and partial crania exist suggesting that climate has a significant impact on the pattern of cranial morphology (Howells, 1973; Carey and Steegmann, 1981; Howells, 1989; Powell and Neves, 1999; Harvati and Weaver, 2006; Hubbe et al., 2009; Relethford, 2009, 2010; Evteev et al., 2014). Among craniofacial regions, structures around the nose in particular have been tied to climatic factors. This observation holds even when accounting for neutral genetic distances, suggesting climatic adaptation on this part of the face (Carey and Steegmann, 1981; Roseman, 2004; Harvati and Weaver, 2006; Hubbe et al., 2009; von Cramon-Taubadel, 2009; Noback et al., 2011; Evteev et al., 2014; Noback and Harvati, 2015).

Geography and climate relation to the mid-face

1
2
3 Temperature is the best-known climatic variable influencing cranial
4 morphology. Previous studies have revealed a clear association between extreme cold
5 and nasal structures affecting respiratory functions (Carey and Steegmann, 1981;
6 Roseman, 2004; Roseman and Weaver, 2004; Harvati and Weaver, 2006; Holton et
7 al., 2011; Noback et al., 2011; Holton et al., 2013; Evteev et al., 2014). However, this
8 association was not observed in non-Arctic regions (Harvati and Weaver, 2006).
9 Variation in nasal cavity shape follows a clinal gradient from cold-dry to hot-wet
10 environments, and associations have been observed between the bony nasal cavity and
11 temperature, and the nasopharynx and humidity (Noback et al., 2011). Other non-
12 nasal regions of the face have also been associated with temperature. For example, the
13 facial flatness and lateral expansion observed in most Asian populations have been
14 proposed to be related to extreme cold adaptation (Coon et al., 1950; Garn, 1965),
15 although this hypothesis was questioned in later studies (Steeermann, 1970; Shea,
16 1977). Pan et al. (2014) detected that the size of the zygomatic surface is significantly
17 correlated to temperature, with populations living in colder climates showing larger
18 zygomatics. Apart from temperature, other climatic features have also been connected
19 to mid-facial morphology. Evteev et al. (2014) suggested that mid-facial morphology
20 is strongly associated with winter precipitation. Noback et al. (2011) also proposed
21 association between the morphology of the nasal cavity and precipitation. Carey and
22 Steegmann (1981) proposed a significant negative correlation of nasal protrusion with
23 absolute humidity, and a positive correlation with latitude.

24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41 Nonetheless, studies focusing on the correlation between climate and shapes in
42 the non-nasal portions of the face (such as zygomatic and alveolar parts) are still
43 sparse, and whether and how the shape of these facial parts change according to
44 climate deserves further investigation. In addition, previous studies mostly focus on
45 the facial measurements or geometric configurations of the facial landmarks, and the
46 relationship between climate and the topographic characters of the mid-face has never
47 been investigated. Finally, craniometric data has also been shown to correlate with
48 genetic relationships between populations (Roseman, 2004; Roseman and Weaver,
49 2004; Hubbe et al., 2009; Relethford, 2009; Evteev et al., 2014), consistent with an
50 isolation-by-distance model of evolutionary diversification (Hubbe et al., 2009). As
51 climate is also tightly related to geographic distance, phylogenetic relationships, when

52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

Geography and climate relation to the mid-face

1
2
3 neglected, may result in spurious correlations between facial morphology and climate
4 variables.
5
6
7

8 Here, we investigated the correlation between various climatic parameters and
9 the shape of zygomatic, nasal and alveolar parts of the mid-face using 3-D geometric
10 morphometric methods, in a sample of fourteen populations of *Homo sapiens* from
11 seven worldwide demic groups originating from different climates. As the adaptation
12 to extreme cold has already been extensively studied and may hide more subtle
13 relationships, populations from Arctic environments were deliberately excluded from
14 our analysis. On the other hand, we added climatic parameters not usually
15 investigated in previous studies, such as solar radiation and sea-level atmospheric
16 pressure, to the more typical temperature and precipitation information in order to
17 explore new putative associations between climate and morphology without any *a*
18 *priori* hypotheses. Furthermore, we also investigated the impact of the phylogenetic
19 relationship between populations on climate-shape correlations. Finally, we explored
20 how the shape of the different facial parts is modified according to the climatic
21 conditions, and discussed our results from an adaptive point of view.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Geography and climate relation to the mid-face

Sample and Methods

Sample

Populations

Crania were selected from osteological collections in China, Kenya and the USA. The total cranial dataset studied comprised of 112 surface scans from seven major demic groups, spanning fourteen worldwide indigenous populations living in different types of climate (Table 1, Figure 1A): Af (equatorial rainforest, fully humid), As (equatorial savannah with dry winter), Aw (equatorial savannah with dry summer), Cfa (warm temperate climate, fully humid, hot summer), Cfb (warm temperate climate, fully humid, warm summer), Cwa (warm temperate climate with dry winter and hot summer) and Dwa (snow climate with dry winter and hot summer). Only adult crania were included, and crania with obvious facial osteological deformation (e.g. alveolar atrophy) were excluded. We designed our sample to contain an approximately even distribution of males and females, since no evidence has been found indicating gender bias in the climatic impact on facial morphology.

The selected crania were subsequently scanned with a Konica Minolta non-contact three-dimensional (3-D) digitizer Range 7. The resolution of the surface scanner is 40 microns. All scans were reconstructed to a 3-D mesh with the software Rapidform VOX 2 ® (INUS Technology, Inc.).

Shape data

The landmarks and semilandmarks were designed to best reflect the mid-facial shape. The total mid-facial dataset was divided into three parts: (1) The zygomatic dataset (eight landmarks, fourteen curve semilandmarks, and 177 surface semilandmarks) represents the overall shape of the zygomatic bone; (2) the nasal dataset (four landmarks, 26 curve semilandmarks, and 180 surface semilandmarks) represents the shapes around the nasal aperture, the topography of infraorbital surface; (3) the alveolar dataset (three landmarks, 21 curve semilandmarks, and 96 surface semilandmarks), represents the prognathism and alveolar morphology (Figure 2). The zygomatic and nasal parts are separated based on the zygomaticomaxillary suture, reflecting the morphology of the nasal and cheek area, respectively, while the alveolar process of the maxilla is considered to be alveolar. The four datasets (total, zygomatic, nasal and alveolar) were analysed separately in all subsequent procedures.

Geography and climate relation to the mid-face

1
2
3
4
5 Landmarks were chosen on each individual so as to be homologous across all
6 specimens, as suggested by Martin (1988), and their 3-D coordinates were acquired
7 (Table 2). Sliding curve semilandmarks were digitized along the major curves of the
8 vault, including the curves of the sagittal, occipital and brow ridges, and each was
9 manually re-sampled on each individual specimen. The landmarks and curve
10 semilandmarks on all specimens were digitized by one author (Y. C.). The surface
11 semilandmarks were digitized according to the techniques described by (Freidline et
12 al., 2012a; Freidline et al., 2012b; Freidline et al., 2013; Freidline et al., 2015).

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20 First, a mesh of surface semilandmarks was digitized using one of the
21 specimens in this study as a 'template' individual. This mesh of surface-
22 semilandmarks was then warped into the vicinity of the surface of every specimen
23 with a thin-plate spline (TPS) interpolation (Bookstein, 1989; Gunz et al., 2009a;
24 Gunz et al., 2009b; Freidline et al., 2012a; Freidline et al., 2012b; Freidline et al.,
25 2013; Freidline et al., 2015). The warped points were later projected onto the surfaces
26 by picking the closest vertices from the original surface point clouds of the specimens,
27 following protocols described in previous studies (e.g. Gunz, 2005; Gunz and Harvati,
28 2007; Gunz et al., 2009a; Harvati et al., 2010; Freidline et al., 2012a; Freidline et al.,
29 2012b; Freidline et al., 2013; Freidline et al., 2015). This procedure produce the same
30 number of surface semilandmarks as the template by down-sampling the scanned
31 mesh and registering the template with the current specimen via Generalized
32 Procrustes analysis (GPA). A nearest neighbour algorithm was used to match the
33 template surface landmarks to the surface of the current specimen. This protocol
34 ensured that every specimen had exactly the same number of surface-semilandmarks,
35 and that the surface-semilandmarks of every specimen were located approximately in
36 corresponding positions, as required in geometric morphometrics. To remove the
37 effect of arbitrary positioning of the surface semilandmarks, they were slid along
38 planes tangent to the surface, minimizing the TPS bending energy between each
39 specimen and the Procrustes mean shape. These procedures were performed based on
40 protocols established in previous studies (Gunz, 2005; Gunz et al., 2009b; Freidline et
41 al., 2012a; Freidline et al., 2012b; Freidline et al., 2013; Freidline et al., 2015).

42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
Geography and climate relation to the mid-face

1
2
3 To ensure that the later analyses focused only on the shape differences
4 between specimens and not on the variation in size or on the orientation of the
5 landmark configurations, all landmark and surface-semilandmark coordinates were
6 processed using GPA. GPA superimposes multiple landmark configurations by
7 translating them to a common origin, scaling them to unify centroid sizes, and rotating
8 them into a uniform orientation according to a least-squares criterion. All data
9 processing and subsequent statistical analyses were performed using R
10 (R_Developmen_Core_Team, 2013) and the Geomorph R package (Adams and
11 Otarola-Castillo, 2013).
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

20 **Climate data**

21 The climatic data were obtained from the KNMI Climate Explorer database
22 (<http://climexp.knmi.nl>) on 23 October 2015 for the sampling locations included in
23 this study (Table 1). We used the mean temperature of the warmest and coldest month
24 (°C, Tmax and Tmin), the mean precipitation of the wettest and driest month (mm,
25 Pmax and Pmin), the highest and lowest monthly sea level pressure (mb, SPmax and
26 SPmin) and the highest and lowest monthly surface solar radiation (Smax and Smin)
27 as climatic indicators for each population. These indicators are listed in Table 1 and
28 visualized in Figure 1B. The temperature, precipitation and sea level pressure data
29 was retrieved from the monthly station data (for the exact period see Tab. S1) in the
30 KNMI dataset, and surface solar radiation data was obtained from the FRESCO
31 dataset at 0.5 °C, for the years 2002-2012.
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 To meet the requirements of the statistical package for the Mantel and partial
42 Mantel tests (see below), the variables belonging to the same categories were
43 combined into a single variable in the matrix: temperature (T, including Tmax and
44 Tmin), precipitation (P, including Pmax and Pmin), sea level pressure (SP, including
45 SPmax and SPmin) and solar radiation (S, including Smax and Smin)
46
47
48
49
50

51 **Genetic data**

52 Genetic information was collected from the 1000 Genomes Project (The 1000
53 Genomes Project et al., 2012) and the PanAsian SNP project (PanAsian SNP
54 Consortium et al., 2009). Exact matching of morphological samples with genetic
55 samples is not possible due to limitations in both data sets. Matching between
56
57
58
59

60 Geography and climate relation to the mid-face

1
2
3 geographic neighbors was therefore conducted in order to preserve a meaningful
4 number of samples (e.g. Harvati and Weaver, 2006). Genetic samples from the British
5 (GBR, 107 individuals), Kenyan (LWK, 116 individuals), Han Chinese from Beijing
6 (CHB, 108 individuals), Southern Han Chinese (CHS, 171 individuals) and Mexican
7 descendants from Los Angeles (MXL, 107 individuals) were selected in the 1000
8 Genomes Project dataset to represent German/Austrian, Kenyan, Han North, Han
9 South, and American Indian populations, respectively. Javanese (JA+JV, 53
10 individuals) and Melanesian (MEL, 5 individuals) genetic samples were selected from
11 the PanAsian SNP project to represent Indonesian and Australian populations,
12 respectively. The geographic distance between the matched genetic and
13 morphological samples was greatest in two cases: the Australian samples and the
14 American Indian samples. However, for each of these groups, the genetic and
15 morphological populations share recent population history and are from similar
16 latitudes and climatic conditions. It is important to point out that any error introduced
17 by this imperfect matching of samples will bias the results towards not finding
18 significant associations between morphology and genetic background (Roseman,
19 2004; Harvati and Weaver, 2006).

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33 The genetic information consisted of a list of SNPs (Single Nucleotide
34 Polymorphisms, i.e. a genomic location that shows nucleotide variation in a
35 population) for each chromosome. SNPs were retrieved from chromosomes 10, 21,
36 and 22, which resulted in 2821, 969 and 313 SNPs common to both databases,
37 respectively. No further information was retrieved from other chromosomes, because
38 the above three chromosomes returned exactly the same results in the Mantel and
39 partial Mantel tests (see below). The frequency of each nucleotide (A, T, G or C) at
40 each SNP was then calculated for each population and stored in a three dimensional
41 matrix with axes of SNP, Population and Nucleotide Frequency. Between-population
42 distances were computed from this dataset using the same methods applied to the
43 morphological data, by considering each SNP position as a landmark and each
44 nucleotide frequency as a coordinate.

45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

Geography and climate relation to the mid-face

Statistical Methods

Test for climate-shape correlations

To test for correlations between mid-facial shape, climate and genetic data, we used Mantel matrix correlation tests (Mantel, 1967) in ecodist (Bjornstad and Falck, 2001), an R function package. Euclidean distances were calculated between each specimen for climate and genetic data, and Procrustes distances for shape data were used as inputs in Mantel tests. Matrix permutations (10,000 iterations) were then used to assess significance, with the alpha level set at $\alpha = 0.05$.

To account for population history effects, we ran partial Mantel tests on the correlation between cranial shape and climate in which matrices of genetic distance between populations (as a proxy for population history, following Evteev et al., 2014; Noback and Harvati, 2015) were kept constant. For the partial Mantel tests, a Holm-Bonferroni correction was also applied to correct for multiple comparisons (Holm, 1979). Permutations (10,000 iterations) were then used to calculate significance, with the alpha level set at $\alpha = 0.0167$.

Visualizing climate-related cranial shape

To explore and visualize specific shape changes related to climate, we performed two block partial least squares (2B PLS) analyses (Rohlf and Corti, 2000). This is a very powerful and generalized approach for ordination and statistical hypothesis testing, and allows users to test hypotheses about the inter-relations between blocks of observations made on the same objects. The first block of data consisted of the Procrustes coordinates for mid-facial shape; the second block comprised climate variables (Table 1). The 2B PLS analysis calculates new pairs of variables, one for the shape (singular warp) and one for climate (latent variable) that best explain the co-variance between the two blocks. This analysis allows associations among morphological and climate blocks of data to be related to specific morphological and climatic variables. Loadings were summarized in bar charts to facilitate interpretation. The strength of the co-variation is represented by the RV coefficient and the shape change related to this co-variance can be visualized (Noback et al., 2011; Noback and Harvati, 2015).

Geography and climate relation to the mid-face

Results

Climate-facial shape correlations

We first explored putative correlations between the total shape of the mid-face and a set of climatic variables: temperature, sunshine exposure, precipitation level and sea-level pressure. Our Mantel tests returned very significant correlations for all the climatic variables, although the correlation coefficient was low ($r < 0.17$ and $p < 0.01$ in all cases; Table 3). However, when partial Mantel tests were used to correct for the genetic distance between populations, all the correlations became non-significant ($r \leq 0.07$, $p > 0.05$; Table 3). This suggests that the global mid-facial morphology is mainly driven by the genetic relatedness between populations rather than by climatic conditions.

Considering the zygomatic, nasal, and alveolar parts of the mid-face independently provided similar results with the Mantel test: the shape of all three subsets is very significantly correlated with all the climatic variables included in this study (Table 3). After correction however, some significant correlations remain: the zygomatic shape with temperature, the nasal shape with temperature and solar radiation, and the alveolar shape with solar radiation and sea-level pressure (Table 3). The strongest correlations exist between the zygomatic and temperature ($r = 0.113$, $p = 0.0058$), and the alveolar and sea-level pressure ($r = 0.221$, $p < 0.0001$). Different climatic conditions thus seems to impact the shape of various parts of the mid-face independently, with temperature and sunshine having a moderate general effect, and pressure having a higher and more specific effect on the alveolar section.

Our dataset includes samples from an American Indian population, which are known to retain morphological traits from their Siberian ancestors despite living in a much warmer climate. To explore the putative effect of this population on our observations, we conducted a new correlation analysis with the American Indian population excluded from the dataset. The results show only minor variations compared to the study with all populations: the uncorrected correlation between zygomatic and climatic variables precipitation and pressure became non-significant, as well as the corrected correlation between alveolar and sunshine; the value of the

Geography and climate relation to the mid-face

1
2
3 corrected correlation between the nasal part and temperature also slightly increased
4 (Table S2). The absence of any difference between the two datasets suggests that the
5 American Indian population has sufficiently differentiated from their Siberian
6 ancestor such that the correlation between climate and mid-face morphology was not
7 blurred. We therefore kept the complete dataset in the following analyses, to retain
8 native populations representative of all continents.
9
10
11
12

13 14 15 **Visualizing climate-related mid-facial shape** 16

17
18 We next investigated the proportion of inter-individual morphological
19 variation explained by climatic variables using a PLS analysis. Overall, the climatic
20 parameters selected here account for 28.51% of the mid-facial shape total variance.
21 The first two PLS dimensions together explain 92.28% of the total covariance of the
22 morphological and climatic blocks (PLS1: 73.37%, PLS2: 18.91%).
23
24
25
26
27

28 The first pair of singular vectors (SV1) shows a very strong correlation
29 coefficient between shape and climate ($r=0.629$, $p < 0.0001$) and essentially reflects a
30 gradient from temperate to tropical climates, including East and West Java, Australia
31 and East Africa (Fig 3A). The loadings of the climate variables indicate that the
32 climate-shape correlation in SV1 is positively influenced by temperature, sunshine,
33 and maximal precipitation level, and negatively influenced by the minimal
34 precipitation level and maximal atmospheric pressure (Fig 3B). According to the
35 loadings of the morphological variables (Figure 4), the tropical climate is associated
36 in the zygomatic portion with a more anteriorly placed processus frontosphenoidalis;
37 more anteriorly and posteriorly positioned zygomatic arches, both of which are less
38 flared; a higher and more forward protruding inferior orbital margin; and a less robust
39 and more superiorly posited zygomatic tubercle. In the nasal portion, it is associated
40 with a shorter and narrower frontal process of maxilla; a more laterally expanding
41 maxilla; a less marked canine fossa; and narrower/wider nasal aperture upper/lower
42 regions, respectively. Finally, in the alveolar portion, it is associated only with an
43 anteriorly and inferiorly protrusive alveolar process. In summary, populations from
44 tropical climates show a less pronounced zygomatic bone and a wider but lower nasal
45 aperture than populations living in more temperate climates.
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Geography and climate relation to the mid-face

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

In the second pair of singular vectors (SV2), shape and climate are also clearly correlated ($r=0.565$, $P < 0.0001$, Fig. 3C). Contrary to the correlation in SV1, the most important explanatory climatic variable in SV2 is atmospheric pressure level. The correlation is positively influenced by the minimal atmospheric pressure level and very negatively influenced by the maximal atmospheric pressure level (Fig. 3D). In comparison, temperature and sunshine variables appear to have little effect on the climate-shape correlation in SV2. The minimum precipitation level also has a moderate positive influence on the correlation, but not to the same degree as for the maximum precipitation level. The SV2 correlation thus reflects a gradient between populations originating from regions with high annual variation in atmospheric pressure level and populations originating from regions with a more constant annual pressure level. The loadings of the shape variables in SV2 show that higher pressure variation is associated with a less laterally expanding mid-face, a less laterally and superiorly expanded processus frontosphenoidalis, a more inferiorly placed zygomatic portion, and more robust zygomatic tubercles; the inferior portion of the nasal aperture expands laterally but the superior portion is narrow, with a higher nasal aperture height; more posteriorly protruding aperture and alveolar process, alveolus is less expanded along mid-sagittal and coronal plane, alveolar height is lower.

Geography and climate relation to the mid-face

Discussion

In this study, we found that several climate parameters are significantly correlated with the shape of the mid-face. Some parameters, like temperature and sunshine, are moderately associated with different sub-regions of the mid-face, while others, like atmospheric pressure, show a more pronounced association with only a single sub-region (the alveolar part in this case). This indicates that several environmental forces may impact the morphology of the cranium independently.

According to our observations, the strongest effect is connected to a gradient from temperate to tropical climates, and mostly affects the zygomatic and nasal aperture shapes. This agrees with the suggestion from Harvati and Weaver (2006), which implied that non-nasal aspects of the face may be associated with climate, especially in the zygomatic region. Why their study fails to confirm the association while ours succeeded is possibly due to different sampling protocols. Although 3-D geometric morphometric methods were also employed by (Harvati and Weaver, 2006), they limited their analysis to osteometric landmarks, which emphasizes the geometric relationships among landmarks. Our use of surface semi-landmarks provides a more complete estimation of the topology of the mid-face surface, which may have provided sufficient data to observe a significant correlation. On the other hand, the use of a large number of surface semi-landmarks may have introduced greater variation, which may explain why our correlation coefficients are lower than in other studies exploring associations between mid-facial morphology and climate using linear measurements or osteometric landmarks (Harvati and Weaver, 2006; Noback et al., 2011). Another possibility is that only a small part of the morphological variation can be explained by climatic differences. Our results also show that the methodology is robust with regard to the inclusion of confounding populations, such as those showing remnants of ancestral morphological features linked with very different climates.

The influence of population history on human cranium morphology have long been recognized but cannot fully explain differences between populations, especially regarding the mid-facial region of the skull (Smith, 2011; Evteev and Movsesian,

Geography and climate relation to the mid-face

1
2
3 2016). In the present study, all the correlations between climatic variables and the
4 total facial morphology disappeared when genetic distance was taken into account,
5 indicating that these correlations were driven by the non-adaptive part of the shape
6 variation. Consequently, the correlations that remained for mid-face sub-parts after
7 genetic distance correction can be more confidently attributed to some kind of
8 geographical adaptation unrelated to population history. Evteev and Movsesian (2016)
9 suggested that the use of multiple neutral population distance markers (*i.e.* mtDNA,
10 SNPs, and cranial non-metrics markers) should be considered when exploring the
11 association between face morphology and climatic factors, to avoid the specific
12 drawbacks of each type of data. According to the same authors, SNPs are the
13 technically most reliable markers, but they suffer from small sample sizes and from
14 insufficient representation of populations at the local scale. However, our analysis are
15 not affected by these limitations, since our morphological samples are differentiated at
16 the global (worldwide) scale with corresponding genetic samples available in the 1000
17 Genomes Project and the PanAsian SNP databases, and since almost all the selected
18 genetic populations included more than 50 individuals.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 One important issue concerning results involving an association between
32 temperature and both zygomatic and nasal portions of the facial shape is whether the
33 observed temperature-zygomatic shape association is due to adaptation to clinal
34 temperature or is simply a side effect of the shape differences around the nasal
35 aperture. Indeed, possible morphological integration between nasal and non-nasal
36 parts of the face have been previously proposed (Ackermann, 2005). The present
37 analysis shows that the zygomatic and nasal regions are both significantly correlated
38 with temperature when tested separately in the partial Mantel tests. However, no
39 significant correlation was detected between the total mid-facial morphology and
40 temperature, suggesting that the zygomatic and nasal portions do not always change in
41 accordance. Therefore, we tentatively conclude that the association between climate
42 and the zygomatic more likely reflects an independent response of the zygomatic to
43 climate rather than integration between the nasal and zygomatic areas.
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54

55 Morphologically speaking, our results show that the zygomatic tubercle is less
56 robust, that the zygomatic arches are less flared, and that the positions of the
57 zygomatic body and zygomatic arch are more angular in temperate climate
58 Geography and climate relation to the mid-face
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

populations than in tropical climate populations. Overall, these differences lead to a wider zygomatic eminence in populations living in more temperate climates. These observations are in agreement with a previous study reporting a negative correlation between zygomatic surface and annual temperature average (Pan et al. 2014). Although the authors of this study suggested that such correlation was driven by non-adaptative forces (genetic drift, by-product of the braincase shape), a biological explanation can be put forward. The zygomatic bony structure is the fixation point for the zygomatic ligament, which itself supports the cheek's fat compartments (Rohrich and Pessa, 2007; Rossell-Perry, 2013). Body fat plays a critical role in temperature regulation, and the extra fat induced by larger zygomatic arches may substantially reduce heat loss in climates with cooler temperatures. This hypothesis is supported by the observation that ~25% of total body heat loss come from the head and face (Beall et al., 2012). Since the face, especially the cheeks region, is the only body part usually not protected by hair or clothes, zygomatic shape adaptation allowing better temperature regulation may be expected.

It has been suggested that the nasal aperture becomes narrower relative to its height in Arctic populations compared to temperate environment inhabitants, likely due to an increase in air mixing and a decrease in the airstream velocity (Evteev et al., 2014). We also observed these features related to cold adaptation in populations from temperate climates. We show that the width of the nasal aperture in different populations follows a gradient pattern from temperate to tropical climates, with a wider aperture in tropical regions and a narrower aperture in temperate regions. In addition, our study reveals that the positive association between aperture width and climate is only present in the inferior portion of the aperture, while the superior portion shows a negative association. The entire aperture shape thus resembles a triangular shape in tropical regions and a rectangular shape in temperate regions. The enlargement of the inferior portion of the aperture also reverberates on the alveolar bone in the vicinity of the nasal part, leading to a wider alveolar in populations from tropical climates. Strikingly, the morphology of these two mid-face regions (nasal part and alveolar) is correlated with sunshine exposure variation, suggesting that the triangular shape for the nose is linked to this climatic factor. The nose is the region the most exposed to sunlight radiation in the human face, and is subject to high incidence of basal cell carcinoma (Airey et al., 1995; Downs and Parisi, 2009). In tropical Geography and climate relation to the mid-face

1
2
3 regions, where the sunshine exposure is high, the triangular nose aperture shape may
4 thus lead to a less prominent nose, reducing the probability of cancer development.
5 Flattened nose individuals may also be less subject to sunburns, providing an
6 additional selective advantage for this kind of nose shape in tropical climates
7 compared to temperate climates.
8
9
10

11
12
13 We also detected previously not described aspects of mid-facial morphology
14 that are associated with climate. For instance, the canine fossa is less marked and the
15 frontal process of the maxilla is more anteriorly placed in populations from tropical
16 regions; the orientation of the processus frontosphenoidalis is more forward facing.
17 Finally, our study reveals a strong but specific relationship between atmospheric
18 pressure and the shape of the alveolar part of the mid-face. According to the loading
19 information, the primary factor affecting the correlation is not the maximum or
20 minimum average pressure, but the annual range of variation between maximum and
21 minimum values. Atmospheric pressure variation is usually measured on a short time-
22 scale (to predict wind directions in weather-forecasting), from days to hours, while in
23 our study we selected minimum and maximum pressure levels at each geographic
24 location from monthly averages. Very little information is available about monthly
25 averages of atmospheric pressure and it is not a common parameter used to define
26 climates; we were thus not able to connect this factor to any known, well-defined
27 environment. However, these results should be taken with caution, for several reasons.
28 First, the alveolar is a morphologically unstable part of the mid-face, and it is known
29 to be affected by age and teeth conditions, among other confounding variables (Small
30 et al., 2016). Second, the correlation is mainly driven by the North and South Chinese,
31 who are genetically close and the only two groups enduring a wide sea-level pressure
32 level annual variation (Figure 1). When the analysis is re-run without these two
33 populations, the correlation disappears in the Mantel test and SV2 of the PLS is not
34 specifically impacted by the sea-level pressure loadings any more (data not shown),
35 suggesting that the observed association could be a by-product of a morphological
36 specificity of the Chinese group not related to atmospheric pressure. This point is also
37 supported by the lack of obvious biological link between this climatic variable and
38 alveolar shape. Clearly, further studies specifically focusing on populations
39 originating from locations with a great diversity of atmospheric pressures will be
40 needed to confirm or reject this correlation.
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Geography and climate relation to the mid-face

Conclusion

Bony surfaces provide new information on the variation in skeletal morphology. This study focuses for the first time on the association between surface morphology of the mid-face and variable climatic parameters. Furthermore, genetic data were included to correct for spurious associations caused by phylogenetic relationships between populations rather than climatic factors. Our findings confirm the previously reported association of climatic variables with facial shape, especially the nasal aperture (Coon et al., 1950; Carey and Steegmann, 1981; Roseman, 2004; Roseman and Weaver, 2004; Nicholson and Harvati, 2006), and reveal new trends, with the zygomatic becoming more prominent and the nasal aperture becoming more rectangular in populations living in temperate climates compared to populations from tropical climates. The adaptation to colder temperatures in temperate climates and to high sunshine exposure in tropical climates may have played a role in the observed differences. We also observed a surprising impact of sea-level pressure on the alveolar shape, with populations enduring larger annual variation of atmospheric pressure having deeper alveolar. Whether this correlation reflects a real biological adaptation or is a statistical artefact will deserve further investigation. Climate is one of the most important factors shaping the biodiversity on Earth, and this study has enriched our understanding on its role with regard to human diversity.

Acknowledgements

We are indebted to Dr. Xiujie Wu (IVPP) who kindly shared her skeletal scans with us. We are grateful to curators and collection managers at the IVPP (Beijing), the Evidence Identification Centre of Public Security Bureau of China's Ministry of Public Security (Beijing), the Kenya National Museum (Nairobi) and the American Museum of Natural History (New York) for providing access to their specimens. The authors also thank Dr. Melinda Yang and two anonymous reviewers who provided valuable comments to improve this paper. The project is funded by the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (Grant No. 2015M581174).

Geography and climate relation to the mid-face

References

- Ackermann, RR, 2005. Ontogenetic integration of the hominoid face. *J Hum Evol* 48(2): 175-97.
- Adams, DC and Otarola-Castillo, E, 2013. Geomorph: an r package for the collection and analysis of geometric morphometric shape data. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 4(4): 393-399.
- Airey, DK, Wong, JCF and Fleming, RA, 1995. A comparison of human- and headform-based measurements of solar ultraviolet B dose. *Photodermatology, Photoimmunology & Photomedicine* 11(4): 155-158.
- Beall, CM, Jablonski, NG and Steegmann, AT, 2012. Human Adaptation to Climate: Temperature, Ultraviolet Radiation, and Altitude In: Stinson, S, Bogin, B and O'Rourke, D (Eds), *Human Biology: An Evolutionary and Biocultural Perspective*, Second Edition. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. p 175-250.
- Bjornstad, ON and Falck, W, 2001. Nonparametric spatial covariance functions: Estimation and testing. *Environmental and Ecological Statistics* 8(1): 53-70.
- Bookstein, FL, 1989. Principal Warps - Thin-Plate Splines and the Decomposition of Deformations. *Ieee Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence* 11(6): 567-585.
- Carey, JW and Steegmann, AT, Jr., 1981. Human nasal protrusion, latitude, and climate. *Am J Phys Anthropol* 56(3): 313-9.
- Clement, AF, Hillson, SW and Aiello, LC, 2012. Tooth wear, Neanderthal facial morphology and the anterior dental loading hypothesis. *J Hum Evol* 62(3): 367-76.
- Consortium, HP-AS, Abdulla, MA, Ahmed, I, Assawamakin, A, Bhak, J, Brahmachari, SK, Calacal, GC, Chaurasia, A, Chen, CH, Chen, J, Chen, YT, Chu, J, Cutiongco-de la Paz, EM, De Ungria, MC, Delfin, FC, Edo, J, Fuchareon, S, Ghang, H, Gojobori, T, Han, J, Ho, SF, Hoh, BP, Huang, W, Inoko, H, Jha, P, Jinam, TA, Jin, L, Jung, J, Kangwanpong, D, Kampuansai, J, Kennedy, GC, Khurana, P, Kim, HL, Kim, K, Kim, S, Kim, WY, Kimm, K, Kimura, R, Koike, T, Kulawonganuchai, S, Kumar, V, Lai, PS, Lee, JY, Lee, S, Liu, ET, Majumder, PP, Mandapati, KK, Marzuki, S, Mitchell, W, Mukerji, M, Naritomi, K, Ngamphiw, C, Niikawa, N, Nishida, N, Oh, B, Oh, S, Ohashi, J, Oka, A, Ong, R, Padilla, CD, Palittapongarnpim, P, Perdigon, HB, Phipps, ME, Png, E, Sakaki, Y, Salvador, JM, Sandraling, Y, Scaria, V, Seielstad, M, Sidek, MR, Sinha, A, Srikummool, M, Sudoyo, H, Sugano, S, Suryadi, H, Suzuki, Y, Tabbada, KA, Tan, A, Tokunaga, K, Tongsima, S, Villamor, LP, Wang, E, Wang, Y, Wang, H, Wu, JY, Xiao, H, Xu, S, Yang, JO, Shugart, YY, Yoo, HS, Yuan, W, Zhao, G, Zilfalil, BA and Indian Genome Variation, C, 2009. Mapping human genetic diversity in Asia. *Science* 326(5959): 1541-5.
- Coon, CS, Garn, SM and Birdsell, JB, 1950. *Races: a study of the problems of race formation in man*. Springfield: Charles C. Thomas.
- Downs, N and Parisi, A, 2009. Measurements of the anatomical distribution of erythema ultraviolet: a study comparing exposure distribution to the site incidence of solar keratoses, basal cell carcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma. *Photochem Photobiol Sci* 8(8): 1195-201.
- Evteev, A, Cardini, AL, Morozova, I and O'Higgins, P, 2014. Extreme Climate, Rather Than Population History, Explains Mid-Facial Morphology of Northern Asians. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 153(3): 449-462.
- Evteev, AA and Movsesian, AA, 2016. Testing the association between human mid-facial morphology and climate using autosomal, mitochondrial, Y chromosomal polymorphisms and cranial non-metrics. *Am J Phys Anthropol* 159(3): 517-22.

Geography and climate relation to the mid-face

- 1
2
3 Freidline, SE, Gunz, P, Harvati, K and Hublin, JJ, 2012a. Middle Pleistocene human
4 facial morphology in an evolutionary and developmental context. *J Hum Evol* 63(5):
5 723-40.
- 6 Freidline, SE, Gunz, P, Harvati, K and Hublin, JJ, 2013. Evaluating developmental
7 shape changes in Homo antecessor subadult facial morphology. *J Hum Evol* 65(4):
8 404-23.
- 9 Freidline, SE, Gunz, P and Hublin, JJ, 2015. Ontogenetic and static allometry in the
10 human face: Contrasting Khoisan and Inuit. *Am J Phys Anthropol* 158(1): 116-31.
- 11 Freidline, SE, Gunz, P, Jankovic, I, Harvati, K and Hublin, JJ, 2012b. A
12 comprehensive morphometric analysis of the frontal and zygomatic bone of the
13 Zuttiyeh fossil from Israel. *J Hum Evol* 62(2): 225-41.
- 14 Garn, SM, 1965. *Human races* (2nd edition). Springfield: Thomas.
- 15 Genomes Project, C, Abecasis, GR, Auton, A, Brooks, LD, DePristo, MA, Durbin,
16 RM, Handsaker, RE, Kang, HM, Marth, GT and McVean, GA, 2012. An integrated
17 map of genetic variation from 1,092 human genomes. *Nature* 491(7422): 56-65.
- 18 Gunz, P, 2005. *Statistical and geometric reconstruction of hominid crania,*
19 *reconstructing australopithecine ontogeny*, University of Vienna.
- 20 Gunz, P, Bookstein, FL, Mitteroecker, P, Stadlmayr, A, Seidler, H and Weber, GW,
21 2009a. Early modern human diversity suggests subdivided population structure and a
22 complex out-of-Africa scenario. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 106(15): 6094-8.
- 23 Gunz, P and Harvati, K, 2007. The Neanderthal "chignon": variation, integration, and
24 homology. *J Hum Evol* 52(3): 262-74.
- 25 Gunz, P, Mitteroecker, P, Neubauer, S, Weber, GW and Bookstein, FL, 2009b.
26 Principles for the virtual reconstruction of hominin crania. *J Hum Evol* 57(1): 48-62.
- 27 Hannam, AG and Wood, WW, 1989. Relationships between the Size and Spatial
28 Morphology of Human Masseter and Medial Pterygoid Muscles, the Craniofacial
29 Skeleton, and Jaw Biomechanics. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 80(4):
30 429-445.
- 31 Harvati, K, Hublin, JJ and Gunz, P, 2010. Evolution of middle-late Pleistocene human
32 cranio-facial form: a 3-D approach. *J Hum Evol* 59(5): 445-64.
- 33 Harvati, K and Weaver, TD, 2006. Human cranial anatomy and the differential
34 preservation of population history and climate signatures. *Anat Rec A Discov Mol*
35 *Cell Evol Biol* 288(12): 1225-33.
- 36 Holm, S, 1979. A Simple Sequentially Rejective Multiple Test Procedure.
37 *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics* 6(2): 65-70.
- 38 Holton, N, Yokley, T and Butaric, L, 2013. The Morphological Interaction Between
39 the Nasal Cavity and Maxillary Sinuses in Living Humans. *Anatomical Record-*
40 *Advances in Integrative Anatomy and Evolutionary Biology* 296(3): 414-426.
- 41 Holton, NE, Yokley, TR and Franciscus, RG, 2011. Climatic adaptation and
42 Neandertal facial evolution: a comment on Rae et al. (2011). *J Hum Evol* 61(5): 624-
43 7; author reply 628-9.
- 44 Howells, WW, 1973. *Cranial Variation in Man: A study by multivariate analysis of*
45 *patterns of difference among recent populations*. Cambridge: Harvard University
46 Press.
- 47 Howells, WW, 1989. *Skull Shapes and the Map*. Peabody Mus. Arch. Ethnol: Camb.
48 Mass.: Harvard University Press.
- 49 Hubbe, M, Hanihara, T and Harvati, K, 2009. Climate signatures in the morphological
50 differentiation of worldwide modern human populations. *Anat Rec (Hoboken)*
51 292(11): 1720-33.
- 52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Geography and climate relation to the mid-face

- 1
2
3 Mantel, N, 1967. The detection of disease clustering and a generalized regression
4 approach. *Cancer Res* 27(2): 209-20.
- 5 Martin, R, 1988. *Anthropologie, Handbuch der vergleichenden Biologie des*
6 *Menschen*. Stuttgart: Gustav Fisher Verlag.
- 7 Nicholson, E and Harvati, K, 2006. Quantitative analysis of human mandibular shape
8 using three-dimensional geometric morphometrics. *Am J Phys Anthropol* 131(3):
9 368-83.
- 10 Noback, ML and Harvati, K, 2015. The contribution of subsistence to global human
11 cranial variation. *J Hum Evol* 80: 34-50.
- 12 Noback, ML, Harvati, K and Spoor, F, 2011. Climate-Related Variation of the Human
13 Nasal Cavity. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 145(4): 599-614.
- 14 Pan, L, Wei, D and Wu, XJ, 2014. Latitudinal and climatic distributions of 3D
15 craniofacial features among Holocene populations. *Science China-Earth Sciences*
16 *57(7): 1692-1700.*
- 17 Pope, GG, 1991. Evolution of the Zygomaticomaxillary Region in the Genus Homo
18 and Its Relevance to the Origin of Modern Humans. *Journal of Human Evolution*
19 *21(3): 189-213.*
- 20 Powell, JF and Neves, WA, 1999. Craniofacial morphology of the first Americans:
21 Pattern and process in the peopling of the new world. *Yearbook of Physical*
22 *Anthropology* 1999, Vol 42 42: 153-188.
- 23 R_Developmen_Core_Team, 2013. R, a language and environment for statistical
24 computing. Vienna.
- 25 Relethford, JH, 2009. Race and global patterns of phenotypic variation. *Am J Phys*
26 *Anthropol* 139(1): 16-22.
- 27 Relethford, JH, 2010. Population-specific deviations of global human craniometric
28 variation from a neutral mode. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 142.
- 29 Rohlf, FJ and Corti, M, 2000. Use of two-block partial least-squares to study
30 covariation in shape. *Syst Biol* 49(4): 740-53.
- 31 Rohrich, RJ and Pessa, JE, 2007. The fat compartments of the face: anatomy and
32 clinical implications for cosmetic surgery. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 119(7): 2219-27;
33 discussion 2228-31.
- 34 Roseman, CC, 2004. Detecting interregionally diversifying natural selection on
35 modern human cranial form by using matched molecular and morphometric data.
36 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*
37 *101(35): 12824-12829.*
- 38 Roseman, CC and Weaver, TD, 2004. Multivariate apportionment of global human
39 craniometric diversity. *Am J Phys Anthropol* 125(3): 257-63.
- 40 Rossell-Perry, P, 2013. The zygomatic ligament of the face: a critical review. *OA*
41 *Anatomy* 1(1): 1-3.
- 42 Shea, BT, 1977. Eskimo craniofacial morphology, cold stress and the maxillary sinus.
43 *Am J Phys Anthropol* 47(2): 289-300.
- 44 Small, C, Brits, D and Hemingway, J, 2016. Assessing the effects of tooth loss in
45 adult crania using geometric morphometrics. *Int J Legal Med* 130(1): 233-43.
- 46 Smith, HF, 2011. The role of genetic drift in shaping modern human cranial
47 evolution: a test using microevolutionary modeling. *Int J Evol Biol* 2011: 145262.
- 48 Steegmann, AT, Jr., 1970. Cold adaptation and the human face. *Am J Phys Anthropol*
49 *32(2): 243-50.*
- 50 von Cramon-Taubadel, N, 2009. Revisiting the homoiology hypothesis: the impact of
51 phenotypic plasticity on the reconstruction of human population history from
52 craniometric data. *J Hum Evol* 57(2): 179-90.

53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
Geography and climate relation to the mid-face

1
2
3 Weaver, TD, Roseman, CC and Stringer, CB, 2007. Were neandertal and modern
4 human cranial differences produced by natural selection or genetic drift? Journal of
5 Human Evolution 53(2): 135-145.

6 Witzel, U and Preuschoft, H, 2002. Function-dependent shape characteristics of the
7 human skull. Anthropol Anz 60(2): 113-35.
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Geography and climate relation to the mid-face

Table. 1 Overview of morphological sample, including the number of individuals per population, the matched genetic populations, the longitudes and latitudes, and the values of the climatic variables.

Location	Province	N	Genetic samples	Latitude	Longitude	Tmax	Tmin	Smax	Smin	Pmax	Pmin	Smax	Smin
Chinese North	Jilin	6	CHB	43.86847	125.3147	11	-0.36	714.72	238.78	181.505	4.80256	1027.96	1004.94
	Shandong	5	CHB	36.66853	117.0204	19.81	9.87	761.61	343.43	187.138	5.97297	1027.95	1005.08
	Hebei	8	CHB	38.03706	114.4687	19.09	7.77	745.7	361.03	160.366	3.35	1031.2	1003.8
Chinese South	Jiangxi	8	CHS	28.71376	115.8529	21.86	14.74	628.85	268.4	259.414	50.1645	1026.22	1004.01
	Yunnan	7	CHS	24.95324	102.7376	21.01	10.6	716.95	449.86	207.337	11.9202	1016.88	998.477
	Guizhou	8	CHS	26.64766	106.6302	19.96	11.96	520.88	189.56	215.03	23.6357	1026.79	1003.49
	Guangxi	6	CHS	25.27357	110.2902	23.21	15.7	576.69	222.82	341.742	46.3055	1021.56	1003.66
Southeast Asian	West Java	8	JAV	-6.20876	106.8456	32.02	24.12	795.94	555.93	345.124	50.106	1010.47	1009.53
	East Java	6	JAV	-7.077	113.2822	32.12	24.23	839.17	660.17	334.159	49.881	1011.39	1009.07
Australian	Darwin	13	MEL	-12.4628	130.8418	32.02	23.19	844.78	647.04	403.689	0.66	1013.08	1006.28

aboriginal													
European	Germany	13	GBR	52.52001	13.40495	14.12	6.15	582.97	124.71	66.8187	34.0635	1016.67	1014.07
	Austria	12	GBR	47.51623	14.55007	13.99	6.36	533.22	187.11	140.92	55.0556	1020.92	1013.96
American Indians	Florida	16	MEL	28.26393	-80.7214	25.85	19.43	778.18	441.68	189.395	39.7048	1020.53	1015.84
East African	Kenya	18	LWK	-1.29207	36.82195	23.46	20.2	766.01	470.65	233.244	17.873	1014.24	1008.74

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Peer Review

Table 2 Landmarks and curve semilandmarks used in the analyses

Landmark	Abbreviation	Description
1. rhion	rhi	
2. nasospine	ns	
3. prosthion	pr	
4,5. frontomolare orbitale	fmo	
6,7. maxillofrontale	mf	
8,9. zygomaxillare	zm	
10,11. frontomolare temporale	fmt	
12,13. jugale	ju	
14,15. inferior root of zygomatic process	zri	Position of malar root of arch projected onto buccal alveolar surface, following Freidline (2012b)
Curves		Description
1. Lower orbital margins		Right and left, N=10.
2. Nasal aperture margin		N=16. From nasospine to prosthion,
3. Nasospine to prosthion		along the midsagittal plane. N=3.
4. Alveolar margin		From prosthion to inferior root

of zygomatic process, along
the alveolar margin. Right and
left, N=6.

5. Lower margin of
zygomatic body

From zygomaxillare to inferior
root of zygomatic process,
along the lower margin of
zygomatic bodies. Right and
left, N=3.

6. Posterior margin of
frontal processes of
zygomatic bones

From frontomolare temporale
to jugale, along the posterior
margin of frontal processes of
zygomatic bones. Right and
left, N=5.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Peer Review

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

Table 3. Mantel and partial Mantel test results for the association of morphological distances with climatic distances. Bold values indicate significance value (Mantel tests at P<0.05, partial Mantel tests at P<0.016).

Climatic parameters	Total				Zygomatic				Nasal				Alveolar			
	Original		Corrected		Original		Corrected		Original		Corrected		Original		Corrected	
	Corr.	p-value	Corr.	p-value	Corr.	p-value	Corr.	p-value	Corr.	p-value	Corr.	p-value	Corr.	p-value	Corr.	p-value
T	0.1697	0.0001	0.07047	0.0554	0.1904	0.0001	0.1131	0.0058	0.2312	0.0001	0.1018	0.0148	0.1283	0.0007	0.0841	0.03
S	0.0958	0.0009	0.007802	0.782	0.1062	0.0008	0.0362	0.226	0.2090	0.0007	0.0914	0.0118	0.1342	0.0003	0.0947	0.000
P	0.0956	0.0096	-0.01148	0.779	0.0967	0.0126	0.0111	0.7949	0.2070	0.0111	0.0537	0.2544	0.1578	0.0111	0.1100	0.019
SP	0.1545	0.0001	-0.01432	0.726	0.0976	0.0007	-0.0463	0.2975	0.1051	0.0007	-0.0519	0.2473	0.2531	0.0001	0.2206	0.000

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Peer Review




Fig. 1. A. Genetic and morphological modern human population samples employed in this study, the dots indicate morphological populations, the coloured geographic areas indicate genetic populations; B. Jitter plots indicates the values of the climatic variables included in this study.
1772x1587mm (96 x 96 DPI)






Fig. 2. Landmarks (red), curve-semilandmarks (purple), surface-semilandmarks (yellow for the zygomatic dataset, light green for the nasal dataset and orange for the alveolar dataset) used in the analysis.




Fig. 3. Scatter plot of mid-facial and climate variables. A, C. Plot of the first singular vectors from PLS. B. Plot of the second singular vectors from PLS. Colors indicate genetic groupings. Plots B and D shows the loadings of variables on the first and second singular vectors of the climatic variables, respectively.

Review




Fig. 4. The bar charts in figures A, C, E illustrate loadings of variables on the first singular vectors of the morphological variables along with mid-facial shape with gradient colours marking the corresponding facial portions. Figure B, D, F indicate the loading values on each landmark and semilandmark along the three orthogonal directions.

1190x1217mm (96 x 96 DPI)




Fig. 5. The bar charts in figures A, C, E illustrate loadings of variables on the second singular vectors of the morphological variables along with mid-facial shape with gradient colours marking the corresponding facial portions. Figure B, D, F indicate the loading values on each landmark and semilandmark along the three orthogonal directions.

1217x1243mm (96 x 96 DPI)

Supplementary Table 1. Time period of temperature, precipitation and sea level pressure obtained in this study.

Province	Temperature	Precipitation	Sea level pressure
Jilin	1909-2015	1909-1991	1915-1997
Shandong	1919-2015	1916-1990	1906-1944
Hebei	1955-1990	1951-2002	1971-2002
Jiangxi	1936-2015	1929-2015	1971-2002
Yunnan	1921-2015	1901-2015	1921-1990
Guizhou	1920-2015	1921-1990	1921-1990
Guangxi	1935-1990	1916-1990	1971-2002
West_Java	1866-2015	1864-2004	1842-1999
East_Java	1949-2015	1931-1975	1961-1990
Darwin	1882-2015	1964-1973	1866-2002
Germany	1701-2015	1951-2002	1951-2015
Austria	2002-2015	1820-2004	1951-2002
Florida	1950-1970	1950-1970	1984-2002
Kenya	1895-1950	1955-2001	1961-2001

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

Supplementary table 2. Mantel and partial Mantel test results for the association of morphological distances with climatic distances without the American Indian population. Bold values indicate significance value (Mantel tests at P<0.05, partial Mantel tests at P<0.016).

Climatic parameters	Total				Zygomatic				Nasal				Alveolar			
	Original		Corrected		Original		Corrected		Original		Corrected		Original		Corrected	
	Corr.	p-value	Corr.	p-value	Corr.	p-value	Corr.	p-value	Corr.	p-value	Corr.	p-value	Corr.	p-value	Corr.	p-value
T	0.1842	0.0001	0.07047	0.0284	0.1824	0.0001	0.1288	0.0032	0.2683	0.0001	0.1644	0.0001	0.0945	0.0260	0.0644	0.125
S	0.1095	0.0007	0.07802	0.0721	0.1062	0.001	0.0924	0.018	0.2260	0.0001	0.0914	0.0118	0.1009	0.0040	0.0689	0.045
P	0.0849	0.023	-0.02011	0.697	0.0755	0.0589	0.0433	0.7265	0.1547	0.0382	0.0694	0.1084	0.1678	0.0081	0.1080	0.029
SP	0.1066	0.0092	-0.01222	0.788	0.0392	0.3387	-0.0374	0.4385	0.1051	0.0007	-0.0253	0.6636	0.2745	0.0001	0.2078	0.000

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Peer Review