

Understanding plant organ growth: a multidisciplinary field

Hilde Nelissen, Nathalie Gonzalez

▶ To cite this version:

Hilde Nelissen, Nathalie Gonzalez. Understanding plant organ growth: a multidisciplinary field. Journal of Experimental Botany, 2020, 71 (1), pp.7-10. 10.1093/jxb/erz448 . hal-02628288

HAL Id: hal-02628288 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02628288

Submitted on 26 May 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

eXtra Botany

Understanding plant organ growth: a multidisciplinary field

Plant growth and development are complex, dynamic, and multifactorial events that need multiscale and multidisciplinary approaches to identify the different players involved in their regulation. In addition, growth is not only regulated by internal signals, but is also dependent on external cues, which implies the existence of a complex and interconnected network of regulators integrating all signals to drive and/or adapt growth. This virtual issue introduces active research and technical developments that are helping to build a holistic image of the regulation of plant organ growth, which is of high interest in view of the increasing need for plant-derived products.

In plants, final organ size is attained through the precise coordination of cell division, cell growth, patterning, and differentiation. How this final size is regulated is a challenging question since growth is a dynamic, complex process that therefore needs to be studied at different levels from the cell to the tissue, the organ, and the whole organism. In addition, growth is influenced by internal (genetic) and external (light, nutrient, water, pathogens, etc.) factors requiring complex and coordinated regulatory networks. For years, the model plant Arabidopsis has been studied to identify the essential players of growth control, with the transfer of some knowledge to crop species. Increasingly, scientists now directly use crops to study growth control mechanisms, which are often species specific.

Multiscale and multidisciplinary approaches to study plant organ growth

To assess the regulation of plant organ growth, a multidisciplinary approach that integrates genetics, physiology, imaging, computational modelling and physics is needed. Novel genes involved in the regulation of organ growth (Randall *et al.*, 2015;Van Leene *et al.*, 2016; Meng *et al.*, 2019) are often identified through traditional reverse and forward genetics, but the characterization of mutants and transgenic lines with altered organ size requires diligent phenotyping. In the past decade, numerous quantitative phenotyping methods and automated, high-throughput phenotyping platforms have been described (Fraas and Lüthen, 2015; Kuijken *et al.*, 2015; York, 2019). In particular, imaging-based phenotyping has been extensively developed in recent years to allow non-destructive and highthroughput quantitation of growth-related parameters (Fraas and Lüthen, 2015). The phenotyping methods and imaging equipment are adapted to the organ studied (roots, leaves, or flowers) or the resolution (cell or whole organ) (Fraas and Lüthen, 2015; Kuijken *et al.*, 2015; Lee *et al.*, 2017; Youssef *et al.*, 2018). For example, a portable fluorescence spectroscopy imaging system allows the study of root architecture traits and distribution in the field (Wasson *et al.*, 2016). For the study of secondary growth, corresponding to the radial thickening of plant organs driven mainly by the vascular cambium, approaches relying on imaging and machine learning methods have been developed for precise quantification of growth at the cellular level and over time (Wunderling *et al.*, 2017).

Automated and high-throughput phenotyping tools and platforms can also be used for large-scale assessment of growth-related traits of variants from diverse populations, with the aim of identifying polymorphisms responsible for growth variation (Atkinson *et al.*, 2015; Kuijken *et al.*, 2015; York and Lynch, 2015; Wu *et al.*, 2019). One such example employed high-throughput micro-CT-RGB imaging to quantify tillering traits during the early stages of growth in >200 rice accessions, allowing the identification, by genome-wide association, of several interesting loci associated with the phenotypic variation observed (Wu *et al.*, 2019).


Compared with the genetic regulation of organ growth, relatively little is known about how mechanical forces regulate growth in plants. In their review, Echevin *et al.* discuss the recent advances and knowledge gaps regarding the role of biomechanics in the control of organ growth and morphogenesis (Echevin *et al.*, 2019). This manuscript is part of a collection of papers from the special issue 'Plant Biomechanics: Force, Form, and Function' that illustrates the importance of mechanics for the design of cells and tissues.

To unravel the contribution of the numerous processes involved in organ growth regulation and fully comprehend the complexity of the dynamics of growth, mathematical models are indispensable. Different types of models may be employed, such as purely genetic models probing relationships between molecular players, or models integrating molecular, physiological, and growth-related parameters at different scales. For example, to identify the key processes controlling grape berry growth, a functional–structural plant model was developed to simultaneously simulate berry growth and whole-plant carbon and water status (Zhu *et al.*, 2019). In addition, several mathematical models consider mechanics as a major driving force for growth and were developed to explain pollen tube growth or



[©] The Author(s) 2019. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for Experimental Biology.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com



the development of pavement cells and the shoot apical meristem (Smithers *et al.*, 2019).

Complex and organ-specific growth regulation at the molecular level

Many of the key players for the regulation of growth, identified through classical forward or reverse genetic approaches, influence growth at different stages of the plant life cycle or in different organs. Shoot and root meristems are made of pluripotent cells, are responsible for the production of lateral organs (primary root, leaves, and flowers), and are essential to model plant growth and architecture. Any perturbation in the formation and maintenance of meristems will therefore impact plant development. For example, in *Medicago truncatula*, disruption of HEADLESS, a homologue of Arabidopsis WUSCHEL, leads to disorganization and arrest of the shoot apical meristem and the formation of shorter leaves with altered shape (Meng *et al.*, 2019).

Studies mainly performed in Arabidopsis have identified numerous leaf growth regulators involved in various processes determining growth (González and Inzé, 2015), including cell division and the cell cycle (Blomme *et al.*, 2014), cell expansion, and also organelle development (Van Dingenen *et al.*, 2016). In Arabidopsis, GROWTH-REGULATING FACTOR (GRF) and GRF-INTERACTING FACTOR are key transcriptional regulators involved in leaf growth regulation, mainly acting to control cell proliferation (Kim and Tsukaya, 2015). Leaf growth regulators have also been described in crops, such as in the *bigger organs (bio)* and *elephant-ear-like leaf 1 (ele)* pea mutants, which produce enlarged leaves (Li *et al.*, 2019).

Flower development involves the conversion of the vegetative shoot apical meristem into an inflorescence meristem that then initiates floral meristems forming the floral organs, sepals, petals, stamen, and carpel. Initially, the proper development of floral organs requires the correct establishment of floral organ identity that involves different combinations of MADS-domain transcription factors (Sablowski, 2015; Callens et al., 2018). Other transcription factors, such as the three closely related AP2 transcription factors, DORNRÖSCHEN, DORNRÖSCHEN-LIKE, and PUCHI, redundantly contribute to floral organ initiation and specification by controlling meristem identity (Chandler and Werr, 2017). After floral organ initiation, final size is determined by the number and size of cells forming the organ. In Arabidopsis, two genes involved in cell division regulation, AINTEGUMENTA and CYCD3;1, independently contribute to petal size control (Randall et al., 2015). After pollination and ovule fertilization, fruit and seed development is initiated. In tomato, a model species for fleshy fruits, several genes regulating both cell division and cell expansion, and therefore influencing fruit growth and final size, have been described (Azzi et al., 2015). MADS-box proteins also play a role in seed growth. In tomato, alteration of SIMP3, encoding an AGAMOUS MADS-box protein, leads to the modulation of seed size (Zhang et al., 2019). In rice, an ERF domain protein, FRIZZY PANICLE, plays an important role in the regulation of grain size since mutation of this gene causes the formation of smaller grains resulting from a reduction in cell number and cell size in the hulls (Ren *et al.*, 2018).

Phytohormones play major roles in plant growth, and the perturbation of hormone levels can result in changes to the growth and development of all organs. For example, gibberellins promote leaf growth, seed germination, and floral transitions, and mutants affected in gibberellin biosynthesis or signalling present growth alterations (Achard and Genschik, 2009). In sugarcane, alteration of ScGAI, an orthologue of DELLA protein genes that are well known growth repressors negatively regulated by gibberellin, affects culm and leaf growth (Garcia Tavares et al., 2018). In roots, hormones, and especially auxin, play an essential role by controlling growth rate, branching, and lateral root formation (Pacifici et al., 2015; Satbhai et al., 2015; Abu-Abied et al., 2018; Du and Scheres, 2018; Motte and Beeckman, 2019). Ethylene also affects primary root growth by regulating cell division and expansion, and is also a positive regulator of root hair development. Hu et al. (2018) have shown that CSLD3, a gene of the cellulose synthase-like D family, acts downstream of the ethylene signalling pathway for the control of root and root hair elongation.

Growth and environment

In contrast to animals, plants are sessile organisms, being anchored in the soil by their root system. Plants have therefore developed mechanisms allowing them to adapt their growth in response to environmental changes, such as fluctuations in temperature, light, water, or nutrient availability. Auxin is an essential integrator of these environmental cues, thereby regulating developmental growth (Mroue *et al.*, 2018).

Individual environmental stimuli have also been shown to affect organ growth in specific ways. In the leaf, persistent canopy shade leads to exit from cell proliferation that depends at least in part on the action of the HD-ZipII transcription factors ATHB12 and ATHB4 (Carabelli et al., 2018). Root growth is influenced by external signals such as mineral nutrition and, in leaf cuttings of Petunia hybrida, it has been shown that iron and ammonium stimulate adventitious root formation (Hilo et al., 2017). Water deficit also influences plant organ growth and development. During flowering, the abortion of reproductive organs in maize occurs as a result of mild water deficit due to interference with the hydraulic control of expansive growth (Turc and Tardieu, 2018). In rice, a reduction in seed yield and quality is caused by different mechanisms in high day or night temperatures, a phenomenon that depends on whether the variety is susceptible or tolerant to heat (Shi et al., 2017).

Plant organ growth and crop yields

Organ growth regulation plays an important role in the future challenges that face us to meet the increasing demand for food, feed, and biofuels, for which agricultural yields must rise. Various strategies exist for improving crop yield, ranging from translating findings from model organisms to crops, to studies directly performed in the target crop and often in agronomic field conditions. Simkin and collaborators review a number of studies that aim to improve photosynthetic carbon fixation through genetic engineering, thereby increasing the carbon source strength and providing increased carbohydrates for growth, and potentially increasing yield (Simkin et al., 2019). An alternative approach is to improve the stress tolerance of crop species. For example, in transgenic wheat lines expressing a mutated version of the sunflower transcription factor HaHB4, increased yield and water use efficiency were observed, especially under conditions of water deficit (Araus et al., 2019; González et al., 2019). The modification of plant architecture is also a viable approach to improve crop yields. Wang and colleagues review the potential role of auxin biosynthesis, transport, and signalling proteins in altering root morphology, tiller and panicle architecture, and flower development to boost the yield of rice (Wang et al., 2018).

We hope that this 'live' virtual issue on plant organ growth will further boost world-wide interest and research on the challenging biological problem of the regulation of growth. Understanding how organ size is controlled is of academic interest, but a better comprehension of this process can also contribute to the improvement of crop yield through genetic modification, gene editing, and advance breeding.

Hilde Nelissen^{1,2}, and Nathalie Gonzalez^{3,*}

 ¹ Department of Plant Biotechnology and Bioinformatics, Ghent University, Technologiepark 927, 9052 Gent, Belgium
² Center for Plant Systems Biology, VIB, Technologiepark 71, 9052 Gent, Belgium

³ INRA, UMR1332 Biologie du fruit et Pathologie, INRA Bordeaux Aquitaine, CS20032, F-33882, Villenave d'Ornon cedex, France * Correspondence: nathalie.gonzalez@inra.fr

References

Abu-Abied M, Belausov E, Hagay S, Peremyslov V, Dolja V, Sadot E. 2018. Myosin XI-K is involved in root organogenesis, polar auxin transport, and cell division. Journal of Experimental Botany **69**, 2869–2881.

Achard P, Genschik P. 2009. Releasing the brakes of plant growth: how GAs shutdown DELLA proteins. Journal of Experimental Botany 60, 1085–1092.

Araus JL, Serret MD, Lopes MS. 2019. Transgenic solutions to increase yield and stability in wheat: shining hope or flash in the pan? Journal of Experimental Botany **70**, 1419–1424.

Atkinson JA, Wingen LU, Griffiths M, et al. 2015. Phenotyping pipeline reveals major seedling root growth QTL in hexaploid wheat. Journal of Experimental Botany **66**, 2283–2292.

Azzi L, Deluche C, Gévaudant F, Frangne N, Delmas F, Hernould M, Chevalier C. 2015. Fruit growth-related genes in tomato. Journal of Experimental Botany 66, 1075–1086.

Blomme J, Inzé D, Gonzalez N. 2014. The cell-cycle interactome: a source of growth regulators? Journal of Experimental Botany **65**, 2715–2730.

Callens C, Tucker MR, Zhang D, Wilson ZA. 2018. Dissecting the role of MADS-box genes in monocot floral development and diversity. Journal of Experimental Botany **69**, 2435–2459.

Carabelli M, Possenti M, Sessa G, Ruzza V, Morelli G, Ruberti I. 2018. Arabidopsis HD-Zip II proteins regulate the exit from proliferation during leaf development in canopy shade. Journal of Experimental Botany **69**, 5419–5431. **Chandler JW, Werr W.** 2017. DORNRÖSCHEN, DORNRÖSCHEN-LIKE, and PUCHI redundantly control floral meristem identity and organ initiation in Arabidopsis. Journal of Experimental Botany **68**, 3457–3472.

Du Y, Scheres B. 2018. Lateral root formation and the multiple roles of auxin. Journal of Experimental Botany **69**, 155–167.

Echevin E, Le Gloanec C, Skowrońska N, Routier-Kierzkowska A-L, Burian A, Kierzkowski D. 2019. Growth and biomechanics of shoot organs. Journal of Experimental Botany **70**, 3573–3585.

Fraas S, Lüthen H. 2015. Novel imaging-based phenotyping strategies for dissecting crosstalk in plant development. Journal of Experimental Botany 66, 4947–4955.

Garcia Tavares R, Lakshmanan P, Peiter E, O'Connell A, Caldana C, Vicentini R, Soares JS, Menossi M. 2018. ScGAI is a key regulator of culm development in sugarcane. Journal of Experimental Botany **69**, 3823–3837.

González FG, Capella M, Ribichich KF, Curín F, Giacomelli JI, Ayala F, Watson G, Otegui ME, Chan RL. 2019. Field-grown transgenic wheat expressing the sunflower gene *HaHB4* significantly outyields the wild type. Journal of Experimental Botany **70**, 1669–1681.

González N, Inzé D. 2015. Molecular systems governing leaf growth: from genes to networks. Journal of Experimental Botany 66, 1045–1054.

Hilo A, Shahinnia F, Druege U, Franken P, Melzer M, Rutten T, von Wirén N, Hajirezaei M-R. 2017. A specific role of iron in promoting meristematic cell division during adventitious root formation. Journal of Experimental Botany 68, 4233–4247.

Hu H, Zhang R, Dong S, et al. 2018. AtCSLD3 and GhCSLD3 mediate root growth and cell elongation downstream of the ethylene response pathway in Arabidopsis. Journal of Experimental Botany **69**, 1065–1080.

Kim JH, Tsukaya H. 2015. Regulation of plant growth and development by the GROWTH-REGULATING FACTOR and GRF-INTERACTING FACTOR duo. Journal of Experimental Botany **66**, 6093–6107.

Kuijken RCP, van Eeuwijk FA, Marcelis LFM, Bouwmeester HJ. 2015. Root phenotyping: from component trait in the lab to breeding. Journal of Experimental Botany **66**, 5389–5401.

Lee KJI, Calder GM, Hindle CR, Newman JL, Robinson SN, Avondo JJHY, Coen ES. 2017. Macro optical projection tomography for large scale 3D imaging of plant structures and gene activity. Journal of Experimental Botany 68, 527–538.

Li X, Liu W, Zhuang L, et al. 2019. BIGGER ORGANS and ELEPHANT EAR-LIKE LEAF1 control organ size and floral organ internal asymmetry in pea. Journal of Experimental Botany **70**, 179–191.

Meng Y, Liu H, Wang H, et al. 2019. HEADLESS, a WUSCHEL homolog, uncovers novel aspects of shoot meristem regulation and leaf blade development in *Medicago truncatula*. Journal of Experimental Botany **70**, 149–163.

Motte H, Beeckman T. 2019. The evolution of root branching: increasing the level of plasticity. Journal of Experimental Botany **70**, 785–793.

Mroue S, Simeunovic A, Robert HS. 2018. Auxin production as an integrator of environmental cues for developmental growth. Journal of Experimental Botany **69**, 201–212.

Pacifici E, Polverari L, Sabatini S. 2015. Plant hormone cross-talk: the pivot of root growth. Journal of Experimental Botany **66**, 1113–1121.

Randall RS, Sornay E, Dewitte W, Murray JAH. 2015. AINTEGUMENTA and the D-type cyclin CYCD3;1 independently contribute to petal size control in Arabidopsis: evidence for organ size compensation being an emergent rather than a determined property. Journal of Experimental Botany **66**, 3991–4000.

Ren D, Hu J, Xu Q, et al. 2018. FZP determines grain size and sterile lemma fate in rice. Journal of Experimental Botany 69, 4853–4866.

Sablowski R. 2015. Control of patterning, growth, and differentiation by floral organ identity genes. Journal of Experimental Botany 66, 1065–1073.

Satbhai SB, Ristova D, Busch W. 2015. Underground tuning: quantitative regulation of root growth. Journal of Experimental Botany **66**, 1099–1112.

Shi W, Yin X, Struik PC, Solis C, Xie F, Schmidt RC, Huang M, Zou Y, Ye C, Jagadish SVK. 2017. High day- and night-time temperatures affect grain growth dynamics in contrasting rice genotypes. Journal of Experimental Botany 68, 5233–5245.

Simkin AJ, López-Calcagno PE, Raines CA. 2019. Feeding the world: improving photosynthetic efficiency for sustainable crop production. Journal of Experimental Botany **70**, 1119–1140.

Smithers ET, Luo J, Dyson RJ. 2019. Mathematical principles and models of plant growth mechanics: from cell wall dynamics to tissue morphogenesis. Journal of Experimental Botany **70**, 3587–3600.

Turc O, Tardieu F. 2018. Drought affects abortion of reproductive organs by exacerbating developmentally driven processes via expansive growth and hydraulics. Journal of Experimental Botany **69**, 3245–3254.

Van Dingenen J, Blomme J, Gonzalez N, Inzé D. 2016. Plants grow with a little help from their organelle friends. Journal of Experimental Botany 67, 6267–6281.

Van Leene J, Blomme J, Kulkarni SR, et al. 2016. Functional characterization of the Arabidopsis transcription factor bZIP29 reveals its role in leaf and root development. Journal of Experimental Botany **67**, 5825–5840.

Wang Y, Zhang T, Wang R, Zhao Y. 2018. Recent advances in auxin research in rice and their implications for crop improvement. Journal of Experimental Botany 69, 255–263.

Wasson A, Bischof L, Zwart A, Watt M. 2016. A portable fluorescence spectroscopy imaging system for automated root phenotyping in soil cores in the field. Journal of Experimental Botany **67**, 1033–1043.

Wu D, Guo Z, Ye J, et al. 2019. Combining high-throughput micro-CT-RGB phenotyping and genome-wide association study to dissect the genetic architecture of tiller growth in rice. Journal of Experimental Botany **70**, 545–561.

Wunderling A, Ben Targem M, Barbier de Reuille P, Ragni L. 2017. Novel tools for quantifying secondary growth. Journal of Experimental Botany **68**, 89–95.

York LM. 2019. Functional phenomics: an emerging field integrating high-throughput phenotyping, physiology, and bioinformatics. Journal of Experimental Botany **70**, 379–386.

York LM, Lynch JP. 2015. Intensive field phenotyping of maize (*Zea mays* L.) root crowns identifies phenes and phene integration associated with plant growth and nitrogen acquisition. Journal of Experimental Botany **66**, 5493–5505.

Youssef C, Bizet F, Bastien R, Legland D, Bogeat-Triboulot M-B, Hummel I. 2018. Quantitative dissection of variations in root growth rate: a matter of cell proliferation or of cell expansion? Journal of Experimental Botany **69**, 5157–5168.

Zhang J, Wang Y, Naeem M, Zhu M, Li J, Yu X, Hu Z, Chen G. 2019. An AGAMOUS MADS-box protein, SIMBP3, regulates the speed of placenta liquefaction and controls seed formation in tomato. Journal of Experimental Botany **70**, 909–924.

Zhu J, Génard M, Poni S, Gambetta GA, Vivin P, Vercambre G, Trought MCT, Ollat N, Delrot S, Dai Z. 2019. Modelling grape growth in relation to whole-plant carbon and water fluxes. Journal of Experimental Botany **70**, 2505–2521.