



Short-term effect of 915-MHz microwave treatments on soil physicochemical and biological properties

Géraldine Maynaud, Ezkiel Baudoin, J. Bourillon, Robin Duponnois, Jean Claude Cleyet-Marel, Brigitte Brunel

► To cite this version:

Géraldine Maynaud, Ezkiel Baudoin, J. Bourillon, Robin Duponnois, Jean Claude Cleyet-Marel, et al.. Short-term effect of 915-MHz microwave treatments on soil physicochemical and biological properties. European Journal of Soil Science, 2019, 70 (3), pp.443-453. 10.1111/ejss.12769 . hal-02628426

HAL Id: hal-02628426

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02628426>

Submitted on 30 Jun 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 *Short title: Effect of microwaves on soil properties*

2
3 **Short-term effect of 915-MHz microwave treatments on soil physicochemical and**
4 **biological properties**

5 G. MAYNAUD^a, E. BAUDOIN^a, J. BOURILLON^a, R. DUPONNOIS^a, J-C. CLEYET-MAREL^a
6 & B. BRUNEL^a

7
8 *LSTM, Univ Montpellier, CIRAD, INRA, IRD, Montpellier SupAgro, Montpellier, France.*

9
10 **Correspondence:** B. Brunel. E-mail: brigitte.brunel@supagro.fr

Summary

To control the soil seed bank of invasive plants with microwaves represents an alternative method to chemical treatments, but it could alter soil quality. Microwave effects were investigated on 17 soil physicochemical and biological properties. Four 915-MHz microwave treatments combining power and duration of exposure were applied on alluvial soil from a grassland using *Festuca* seeds as an internal standard to evaluate the efficiency of sterilization. Two treatments, 2 kW-8 and 4 kW-4 minutes, completely inhibited *Festuca* seed germination and caused the soil temperature to reach at least 80°C. Conversely, the other treatments, 2 kW-4 and 4 kW-2 minutes, failed to affect seed germination while raising the temperature to 50–60°C. Microbial biomass, the density of culturable heterotrophic bacteria and fluorescent Pseudomonads as well as fluorescein di-acetate hydrolysis significantly decreased by 2.7-, 1.1-, 1.4- and 5.1-fold compared with the control, respectively, following the 2 kW-8 and 4 kW-4 minutes treatments. These treatments also increased dissolved organic carbon and inorganic phosphorus contents by 1.6- and 1.2-fold, respectively. In contrast, nitrate increased only (about 140%) in the soils under the 2 kW-4 and 4 kW-2 minutes conditions. Furthermore, the growth of *Medicago truncatula* Gaertn. seedlings transplanted in microwave-treated soils under the 2 kW-8 and 4 kW-4 minutes conditions was not impaired despite total nitrogen and microbial population reduction and lower numbers of nodules. These results suggest that soil seed bank sterilization by microwaves that is 100% effective alters soil microbial indicators more strongly than chemical treatments without compromising the early growth stages of transplanted *Medicago* plants.

Keywords: Invasive plant species, soil seed bank, microbial indicators, *in situ* catabolic potential, microwave sterilization, soil quality

Highlights

- Effects of microwave seed sterilization on soil physicochemical and biological properties.
- Microbial properties were more sensitive than chemical ones.
- Microbial biomass, bacterial density, hydrolase activity and root nodulation were reduced.
- Soluble carbon, nitrate and phosphorus increased depending on treatment effectiveness.

Introduction

Invasive plants can colonize certain areas strongly and cause serious damage to the environment and human health. Controlling their spread and reducing their effects are stated objectives of European policy and legislation (EU Regulation 1143/2014 on invasive alien species). Traditional methods such as fire, flaming or herbicide treatments are applied to control and reduce established invasive species (Heap, 1997; Vitousek *et al.*, 1997; Vitelli & Madigan, 2004). The effectiveness of these methods is limited because only vegetative plants are affected, whereas seed survival is not. Microwave-based treatment of infested soils represents an alternative method to help control plant invasiveness (Bebawi *et al.*, 2007; Brodie *et al.*, 2012).

Microwaves cause dielectric heating of water in soils and organism cells, and rapidly increase temperatures to 60–90°C; the range needed to decrease seed viability (Barker & Craker, 1991; Brodie *et al.*, 2007; Sahin, 2014). They have a non-poisoning environmental effect compared to chemical methods under which transfer of chemical residues to adjacent ecosystems or induced herbicide resistance can occur (Heap, 1997). However, specific drawbacks of microwave methods have been identified, e.g. the large amount of energy

required to sterilize seeds effectively (Nelson, 1996), which also depends on soil physicochemical properties such as moisture content or texture, including the presence of stones (Brodie *et al.*, 2007; Sahin, 2014).

In addition to the energy cost and the need to adjust the microwave method to the local soil environment, the temperature increase induced by microwaves could also trigger side effects on soil biological and chemical properties (Zagal, 1989; Cooper & Brodie, 2009; Shamis *et al.*, 2012; Brodie *et al.*, 2015). Several investigations have revealed various susceptibilities among microbial populations to microwaves (Woo *et al.*, 2000; Tahir *et al.*, 2009). In particular, 2.45-GHz microwave treatment caused bacterial densities to decline in the top layers of soil, whereas fungal, ciliate, amoeba and flagellate densities were not affected. *Escherichia coli* populations declined by 10^5 in the top layer of soil treated with 500 J cm^{-2} (i.e. 500 W) of microwave energy (Brodie *et al.*, 2015). Microwave treatment (625 W heating power, 2.45 GHz for 150 s) eliminated specific populations of fungi such as *Pythium* and *Fusarium* together with non-cyst-forming nematodes, whereas *Rhizoctonia*, cysts of *Heterodera glycines* and mycorrhizal fungi survived better (Ferriss 1984). Cooper & Brodie (2009) investigated the effects of the length of exposure to microwaves (750 W heating power, 2.45 GHz for 2–16 minutes) in relation to soil depth (down to 40 cm) on plant nutrients and bacterial populations. They revealed small effects on nitrate, potassium and sulphate availability whatever the duration and the depth, whereas significant reductions of nitrite contents and bacterial populations (by 78%) were observed only in the topsoil as a function of treatment duration. Conversely, microwave treatment (600 W heating power, 2.45 GHz for 120 s) increased soil total organic carbon and nitrogen mineralisation (Zagal 1989).

Such chemical and biological modifications of soil induced by microwave treatments might exert long-lasting effects and jointly influence the germination and development of

reintroduced indigenous plant species or selected species chosen to engineer a new plant cover (Brodie *et al.*, 2015). Against this background, the objectives of this study were to evaluate the effects of four distinct 915-MHz microwave treatments on a set of 13 chemical (total nitrogen, ammonium, nitrate, inorganic phosphorus and total organic carbon contents), physical (moisture) and microbiological (microbial biomass, bacterial density and enzyme activities) properties of a grassland soil. The rates of germination of introduced *Festuca rubra* L. seeds were evaluated to control of microwave effectiveness on seeds. Moreover, seedlings of the leguminous species *Medicago truncatula* Gaertn. were grown in the five experimental soil batches (including untreated soil) to assess the influence of the modified soil properties on its development and rate of nodulation.

Materials and methods

Soil sampling

Around 50 kg of soil were collected on April 11th 2017 at Laudun-l'Ardoise (Gard, France) in a grassland field (44° 6'46.88"N / 4°41'30.28"E) located between two rivers (La Cèze and La Tave): the upper layer (0.5 cm) was discarded, and soil was taken from the 0.5-15 cm layer (bulk density = 1.37 g cm⁻³). Plant debris and stones were removed prior to sieving at 4 mm. Samples were stored at 4°C. The soil is classified as a Fluvisol (World reference base for soil resources, FAO 2015) and characterized as an alkaline (pH 8.6) sandy loam (clay 12.8%, silt 22.3%, sand 64.9%). It contained limestone (14.4%) and had a mean moisture content of 8.0%.

Microwave system

The AMW200 batch microwave system (SAIREM SAS, Neyron, France, <http://www.sairem.com>) was described previously by De Wilde *et al.* (2017). This system

was designed for experimental purposes, not for industrial use. The microwave oven was a stainless-steel 304-l chamber equipped with a rotating table (840 mm × 620 mm). It could hold a maximum volume of 154 mm × 400 mm × 250 mm and a maximum weight of 30 kg. The magnetron that produced 915 MHz consisted of two 5-kW generators with power rating between 1 to 10 kW. It was cooled by chilled water circulation.

Experimental design

Sieved soil samples (500 g) were placed in plastic bags (Milhe & Avons, France). To avoid treatment heterogeneity from oven border effects described by De Wilde *et al.* (2017), the soil bags were placed into larger plastic bags that also contained 500 g of soil to surround smaller bags with soil (Figure S1, Supporting Information). Four microwave treatments (power × duration couple) were applied with four-fold replication according to previous research on microwave treatment on the rates of germination of seeds from three invasive species (De Wilde *et al.*, 2017); two couples found to be ineffective for total seed sterilization (2 kW for 4 minutes and 4 kW for 2 minutes) and two 100% effective couples (2 kW for 8 minutes and 4 kW for 4 minutes) were chosen. During the microwave treatments, the bags remained open to prevent pressure from steam, and were sealed only after the treatments to limit contamination. The control samples, again with four-fold replication received no microwave treatment. The total load in the oven was 10 kg (10 soil units) per pass. To evaluate the efficacy of the microwaves on seed germination, one paper bag containing 30 seeds of *Festuca rubra* was introduced into each soil bag at 5-cm depth before microwave treatments. The soil temperature was measured with a mercury thermometer inserted 5-cm deep in the centre of one replicate soil bag, before and immediately after microwave radiation. The initial soil temperature was 9.5°C. Soil samples submitted to the two ineffective treatments for total seed sterilization (2 kW-4 and 4 kW-2 minutes) reached

temperatures of 53.2 and 58.3°C, respectively, while those submitted to the two effective treatments (2 kW-8 and 4 kW-4 minutes) reached temperatures of 95.9 and 83.2°C, respectively.

Germination test

The content of each *F. rubra* seed bag (30 seeds per bag) was collected and dispersed on filter paper (Whatman N°1) soaked with distilled water, and then placed into sterile Petri dishes. The rate of germination was determined after three weeks of incubation in a growth chamber (14 hours day, 10 hours night; 22, 15°C day, night; 60-70% relative moisture).

Soil physicochemical characterization

We determined the soil moisture content (mass of water/dry mass of soil) by drying subsamples in triplicate at 105°C for 48 hours. Total organic carbon (TOC) and total nitrogen (TN) were determined by the Anne method based on K₂Cr₂O₇ oxidation (AFNOR standard NF ISO 14235) and by the total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TN) method (AFNOR standard NF ISO 11261), respectively (Aubert, 1978). Inorganic phosphorus was determined by the Olsen method (OIP) (Olsen *et al.*, 1954).

To analyse the soluble nutrient contents of the samples, 20 g of sieved soil were shaken at 22°C for 2 hours in 100 ml of distilled water. Soil extracts were obtained after centrifugation at 900 g for 4 minutes and filtered with filter paper. Dissolved organic carbon (DOC), ammonium (NH₄⁺), nitrate (NO₃⁻) and water-soluble inorganic phosphorus (WIP) were measured in the soil water extracts. Dissolved organic carbon (DOC) was measured by a TOC-analyser (TOC-V CSH, Shimadzu Europa GmbH, Marne la Vallée, France) in accordance with the AFNOR standard NF ISO 1484. Ammonium (NH₄⁺) and NO₃⁻ concentrations were determined on a continuous-flow auto analyser (Skalar-40, Skalar

Analytical B.V., Breda, The Netherlands), with a colorimetric method (Keeney & Nelson, 1982) after filtration at 0.2 μm . Analyses were all done by the Celesta Lab (France; www.celesta-lab.fr), except for WIP which was determined by the malachite green method (Ohno & Zibilske, 1991) after filtration at 0.2 μm (Millipore). Results were expressed as g kg^{-1} of dried soil.

Diversity of the in situ catabolic potentials (ISCPs) of the soil microbial community

The diversity of the *in situ* catabolic potentials (ISCPs) of the soil microbial community was assessed according to Dieng *et al.* (2014). The oxidation amounts (CO_2 emissions) of 30 organic substrates were estimated, including 11 carbohydrates, six carboxylic acids and 13 amino acids, as previously described. The acidity of the carboxylate stock solutions was adjusted to pH 6.5 with NaOH to minimize chemical artefacts from carbonate-derived CO_2 (Bérard *et al.*, 2011).

Fluorescein di-acetate (FDA) hydrolysis

Fluorescein di-acetate (FDA) hydrolysis was determined according to Schnurer & Rosswall (1982). One g of soil was suspended in 10 ml of sodium phosphate buffer (60 mM, pH 7.6) and further incubated with 50 μl of FDA substrate solution (stock solution at 2 mg ml^{-1} in acetone) for 1 hour at 24°C . After incubation, 10 ml of acetone were added to stop the reaction. Each soil suspension was centrifuged (8000 g for 5 minutes) and the supernatant was transferred to a colorimeter tube to measure its absorbance at 490 nm. Measurements were made in duplicate for each soil sample. The amounts of fluorescein released in the supernatant were calculated from a standard curve drawn from standard solutions containing 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 μg of FDA ml^{-1} . Standard solutions were prepared in sodium phosphate

buffer and boiled for 30 minutes to perform FDA hydrolysis. Values were expressed as μg of fluorescein released per g of dried soil.

In vitro enumeration of total culturable heterotrophic bacteria and fluorescent Pseudomonads

Soil (4 g) was shaken at 150 revolutions per minute (rpm) in 36 ml of NaCl 0.9% for 30 minutes at 28°C. Serial decimal dilutions were prepared in NaCl 0.9%, and 100- μl aliquots were spread-plated in four replicates on to R2A and King's B agar media to enumerate total bacteria and fluorescent Pseudomonad populations, respectively. Petri dishes were incubated at 28°C, and colonies were counted for one week. Fluorescent colonies on King's B medium were identified under UV light (366 nm). Results were expressed as \log_{10} of colony-forming units (CFU) g^{-1} of dried soil.

Microbial biomass

Microbial biomass was assessed by the chloroform fumigation–extraction method (Brookes *et al.*, 1985) in accordance with the AFNOR standard NF ISO 14240-2. Measurements were performed by the Celesta Laboratory. Results were expressed as mg of organic carbon (OC) kg^{-1} dried soil.

Medicago truncatula growth response

Medicago truncatula seeds were pre-germinated, and 10 seedlings were transplanted per pot containing 100 g of microwave-treated or control soil (one pot per experimental soil bag), and then grown for 7 weeks under controlled conditions (14 hours day, 10 hours night, 22,15°C day, night). Soil moisture was adjusted with sterile distilled water. At harvest, root nodules were counted and chlorophyll content was evaluated with a SPAD52 (Minolta Soil-

Plant Analyses Development, Roissy, France). Fresh and dried (65°C for 2 weeks) shoot and root (nodules included) biomass values were evaluated.

Statistical analyses

We used the Rcmdr package in R Software (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna) for our statistical analyses of variances and XLSTAT (version 2018.1.49150) for multivariate analyses and graphs. The effects of microwave and control treatments were tested on soil physicochemical and biological characteristics first by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). The normality of the residuals and homoscedasticity of residual variances were checked by graphical analyses of the standardized residuals considering histograms and the quantile–quantile (Q–Q) plots. The significance of the difference between means was evaluated for ANOVA by Fisher’s least significant difference (LSD), with $P < 0.05$ as the criterion of significance. When the residuals of a variable did not satisfy the assumptions of ANOVA, diverse data transformations were tested until the normality of new residuals was reached. When the assumptions of ANOVA could not be met, the non-parametric Kruskal–Wallis (KW) test was used.

The ISCP profiles were compared by principal component analyses (PCA): as no groupings congruent with some microwave treatments could be visualized (data not shown), ISCPs were not considered in the further statistical analyses below.

Effects of microwave treatments on properties of soil (except ISCP) and *Medicago* plants growing in the soils were then analysed separately by PCA (Pearson’s correlation matrix) to (1) explore the sample relationships and possible groupings that could be related to some microwave treatments and (2) to identify major soil or plant variables contributing to distribution variability along the principal components of the PCAs.

Lastly, to identify and measure the associations among the two types of variables (soil or plant) analysed by PCA separately, correlations between the soil and plant datasets were investigated by CCA (canonical correlation analysis, Pearson's correlation matrix). This could figure out how the two datasets were related to one another and to identify the most strongly correlated properties. This approach may help to hypothesize that some soil properties impacted by microwave treatments may have further influenced development of *Medicago* plants.

Results

Effects of microwaves on Festuca rubra seed germination

The rate of germination was completely inhibited by the 2 kW-8 and 4 kW-4 minutes treatments that both caused the soil temperature to reach 80°C or more (Figure S2, Supporting Information). The 2 kW-4 and 4 kW-2 minutes treatments did not significantly affect the rate of germination. Consequently, the 2 kW-8 and 4 kW-4 minute combinations were designated as effective treatments, and the 2 kW-4 and 4 kW-2 minutes combinations were designated as ineffective treatments.

Effects of microwaves on soil physicochemical properties

The mean initial soil moisture content was 8.01% before microwave treatment. The final moisture contents were statistically identical among microwave-treated soil samples (7.07 to 8.02%); only the 2 kW-8 minutes-treated soil was significantly drier (–12%) than the control (Table 1, Table S1, Supporting Information).

Soil total organic carbon (TOC) and NH₄⁺ contents were not significantly affected by the microwave treatments, whereas the total nitrogen (TN) content decreased somewhat following the 2 kW-8 and 4 kW-4 minutes treatments (Table 1, Table S1, Supporting

Information). Inorganic phosphorus measured by Olsen's method (OIP) and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) values increased significantly after the two effective treatments (+18, +30% for OIP and +88, +38% for DOC values, respectively for the two treatments, Table 1). Intriguingly, these two effective treatments did not alter NO_3^- and water-soluble inorganic phosphorus (WIP), whereas the other microwave treatments did. The NO_3^- content was greatly and significantly increased by the two ineffective treatments (+154 and +127%), whereas the WIP content increased slightly following the 2 kW-4 minutes treatment (+14%).

Effects of microwaves on soil microbial properties

All soil microbial properties were negatively affected by microwave radiation to different extents (Table 2), except the diversity of the ISCP of the soil microbial community (data not shown). The two effective microwave treatments systematically triggered similar significant and major declines in all properties, whereas the two ineffective treatments had no such effect. A decrease of more than 60% in microbial biomass was induced by the two effective treatments. In addition, smaller but significant reductions of microbial biomass were also observed following the ineffective treatments (−21 and −35%). The greatest reductions in the soil microbial biomass were mirrored by significant decreases in the culturable densities of heterotrophic bacteria by almost 10 times of CFU. For fluorescent *Pseudomonads*, the corresponding density losses even exceeded 100 times of CFU. The decrease in bacterial densities was correlated to the increase in soil temperature measured immediately after microwave radiation (Pearson' coefficient $r = -0.52$ (total bacteria) and -0.48 (*Pseudomonads*), Table S2 ($P < 0.05$), Supporting Information) to the increase in the soil temperature.

The global hydrolytic activity revealed by the FDA enzyme assay also showed a marked loss following the two effective treatments (−86 and −75%, Table 2). The two ineffective

treatments also triggered significant reductions in this enzyme activity (–37 and –49%). The decrease in FDA hydrolysis was also correlated ($r = -0.52$, Table S2) to the increase of the soil temperature.

Development of Medicago truncatula in microwave-treated soils

Surprisingly, the mean plant survival rate following plantlet transplantation to experimental soils (Table 3) was less in the control soil (29 out of 40 plants) than in all microwave-treated soils (34 to 38 out of 40 plants). Dried shoot and root biomass values were not directly affected by microwave treatments, except the 2 kW-8 minutes treatment that went together with significantly more root biomass (+71%, Table 3, Table S1, Supporting Information). Nodule numbers were significantly affected only in the soil submitted to the effective 2 kW-8 minutes treatment. This last treatment shows the largest effect (–76%), and did not significantly differed from the result obtained with the 4 kW-4 minutes treatment (–39% of reduction compared to the control). In contrast, leaf chlorophyll (SPAD) contents decreased significantly only with the soils submitted to the two ineffective treatments (–17 and –11%).

Multivariate statistical analysis

The PCA with the soil variables (fluorescein di-acetate hydrolysis, microbial biomass, \log_{10} of soil density of culturable fluorescent Pseudomonads, \log_{10} of soil density of culturable bacteria, total nitrogen, total organic carbon; inorganic phosphorus measured by Olsen's method, dissolved organic carbon, water-soluble inorganic phosphorus) revealed a particular distribution pattern of the 20 soil samples in the plane of the two leading components that accounted for more than 63% of total inertia (Figure 1a). Three groups could be delineated mainly along the first principal component F1 referring to the three main experimental conditions, namely group I (effective microwaves), group II (ineffective microwaves) and

group III (untreated soil) (Figure 1a). The last two groups were further resolved along the second principal component F2. On the first axis, group II is closed to group III containing the soil samples of the control which is also ineffective. The 4 kW-2 and 2 kW-4 minutes treatments were not fully resolved within group II, whereas the 2 kW-8 and 4 kW-4 minutes treatments were fully resolved within group I. All microbial variables (microbial biomass, bacterial densities and hydrolase activity) were strongly correlated with one another according to the Pearson correlations (Table S2, Supporting information). The eigenvectors show that these microbial variables together with the total N, OIP and DOC variables contribute strongly to the definition of the first component in the correlation circle (F1=45.3%, Figure 1b). Thus, soil replicates in Group I could be distinguished from those of Group II and III, mostly on the basis of that subset of variables (e.g. effective treatments caused soil OIP and DOC contents to increase while diminishing the total N content and microbial properties, in particular the microbial biomass, Pseudomonads density and enzyme FDA hydrolysis (see also Tables 1 and 2)). Soil NO_3^- , TOC and NH_4^+ variables were preponderant in the definition of the second principal component (Figure 1b). Yet, only NO_3^- was useful to distinguish soil replicates from Groups II and III as confirmed in Table 1.

In contrast, the PCA ordination of *Medicago* seedling properties (Figure 2) did not show a similar pattern congruent with the treatment groups previously defined with soil properties in Figure 1. Indeed, soil replicates of the 2 kW-4 treatment were the only ones that could be unambiguously distinguished from the other soil samples and treatments along the first principal plan (Figure 2a). All *Medicago* plant properties, except the nodule number, contributed strongly to the definition of the first principal component (F1=53.9% Figure 2b). Thus the relative distribution of the 2 kW-4 minutes treatment in this plane (Figure 2a) seemed to be explained mainly by diminished shoot and root biomasses, and lower SPAD unit values (Figure 2b) compared with all the other microwave treatments and the control.

Correlations between soil and *Medicago* plant properties were further analysed by CCA (Figure 3, Table 4 and Table S3 Supporting Information). The first two canonical correlations calculated between the two sets of variables were almost maximal (0.96 and 0.91, Table 4) revealing that soil and plant properties datasets were highly correlated according to the first two canonical components and legitimating the interpretation of their relationships in this plane. This analysis essentially revealed that plant properties (except nodules) were mostly positively correlated with soil DOC and to a lesser extent with soil OIP (see also Table S2, Supporting Information). By contrast, plant SPAD, shoot and root biomass were negatively correlated with nodule number and most of the other soil variables, especially microbial densities and enzyme FDA hydrolysis.

Discussion

The effects of 915-MHz microwave treatment of a soil to eradicate the seed bank of invasive species were investigated under four radiation conditions that combined power level and exposure time. The effectiveness of the four conditions chosen according to De Wilde *et al.* (2017), related to the rates of seed germination of three invasive plant species, was confirmed here on *Festuca rubra* seed germination. More precisely, the 2 kW-8 and 4 kW-4 minute conditions caused soil temperature to reach at least 80°C leading to complete inhibition of *Festuca* seed germination and were designated effective treatments. By contrast, the 2 kW-4 and 4 kW-2 minute treatments failed to affect the germination rate of *Festuca* seeds significantly (50–60°C soil temperature) and were considered ineffective treatments. The effectiveness of our microwave-based seed sterilization thus appeared to be positively related to the final soil temperature, which has been reported previously by several authors (Sartorato *et al.*, 2006; Brodie *et al.*, 2007; Sahin, 2014). In addition to temperature, we measured chemical (total nitrogen, NH_4^+ , NO_3^- , inorganic phosphorus and organic carbon

contents), physical (moisture) and microbiological (ISCP signatures, microbial biomass, bacterial density and hydrolytic enzyme activity) features to delineate the effects of effective and ineffective microwave treatments on soil quality.

Soil biological properties were the most responsive to microwave treatments. Microbial biomass, has been used traditionally as a bioindicator of soil quality (Andrews *et al.*, 2004). In this study it was affected considerably by microwaves, especially under the effective treatments (–60%). The high temperatures recorded (80°C) probably weakened biological membranes, e.g. by denaturing their proteins, leading to their poration and disruption. Local temperatures in some soil micro-niches might even have reached >100°C leading to boiling of the cellular contents. Banning & Murphy, 2008) reported that the direct heating of field soil microcosms in an oven at 120°C for 20 minutes resulted in a similar loss of microbial biomass (–50%). Our soil density of total heterotrophic culturable bacteria and especially fluorescent Pseudomonads were also affected by effective treatments (about 10-fold and 100-fold less CFU, respectively). It can be speculated that Pseudomonads could be more easily lysed because they are Gram-negative bacteria and that Gram-negative bacteria show lower resistance towards microwaves than Gram-positive bacteria, as previously published (Woo *et al.*, 2000, Gedikli *et al.*, 2008, Tahir *et al.*, 2009). Moreover, certain Gram-positive bacteria can tolerate heat shocks better because of their sporulation ability, which could have contributed to the smaller decrease we observed in our total bacteria counts. In addition to loss in cell vitality, microwaves might also have decreased bacterial culturability and our subsequent CFU counts. Against this background, it would be interesting to refine the analysis by monitoring the proportion of culturable sporulating bacteria and evaluating the density of viable but non-culturable bacteria from microscopic counts combined with vital staining.

Similar to microbial biomass, FDA hydrolysis largely decreased following microwave radiation, especially following the effective treatments that sustained the highest temperature increases. As FDA hydrolysis is catalysed by a cocktail of hydrolytic enzymes, the significant loss of activity probably resulted from the thermal denaturation of a fraction of the enzyme pool. The soil's FDA hydrolysis activity had already been described as sensitive to elevated temperature: soil samples dried at 40°C for 4 days were associated with a loss of FDA hydrolysis of nearly 90% (Daou *et al.*, 2016). Several studies also demonstrated a negative effect of microwave radiation on the activity of bacterial enzymes like protease and urease. Total protease activity decreased in *Aeromonas hydrophila* (–33%) and urease activity ceased completely in *Staphylococcus aureus* after 2 minutes of microwave treatment (90 W heating power, 2.45 GHz) (Dholiya *et al.* 2012). Unlike the FDA assay, the variation in our ISCP signatures between replicates appeared larger than the treatments effects (data shown), therefore, ISCP could not be used as a variable to separate between treatments. The ISCP catabolic activities also rely on enzyme activities, therefore, we could deduce that there was no preferential thermal denaturation of enzymes related to the oxidation of specific ISCP substrates, whatever the treatment. All enzyme types were probably equally affected by the increase in temperature. Nevertheless, the ISCP and FDA assays were done under different conditions: microplates of remoistened soil were preincubated three days prior to their amendment with ISCP substrates. This could have facilitated rapid recovery of enzyme pools and partly sheds light on the opposite indications from FDA and ISCP. Overall, deleterious effects of microwave radiation have been recorded for major features of the soil microbial component. However, they were not completely destructive and probably enabled resilience mechanisms to be triggered. Mid- or long-term monitoring should be implemented to assess the legacy of the initial microwave disturbance on fundamental microbial functions and diversity.

The microwave treatments we applied, had less effect on soil chemical properties than microbiological traits, as already observed by Cooper & Brodie (2009). These authors reported that microwave treatment (750 W heating power, 2.45 GHz) had no effect on soil pH or nitrate, phosphorus, potassium and sulphate availability: they found that only the size of the bacterial community was reduced by 78% in the top layer of the soil. Nevertheless in our experiment, soil DOC and OIP contents increased significantly following the two effective treatments. The increase in DOC we recorded (+38-88%) might have been fueled largely by the decrease in microbial biomass ($r = -0.82$, Table S2) probably resulting from disruption of the plasma membrane and leading to the release of cytosolic soluble organic compounds into the soil. Furthermore, some soil organic compounds might have undergone abiotic hydrolysis with increased temperatures and thus might have taken part in the increase in DOC. The release or accumulation of DOC had also been reported before with the temperature increase induced by microwave treatment (625 W heating power, 2.45 GHz) (Ferriss 1984). The dissolved organic carbon DOC is traditionally described as a tool for monitoring adverse and rapid effects of management on soil quality (Silveira, 2005) and is therefore useful for assessing unusual soil management techniques such as microwave treatments. In our assay, the soil OIP content evolved in the same way as DOC in response to the increased soil temperature. Again, this extra supply of OIP might have originated from microbial biomass lysis and from hydrolysis of insoluble phosphorus forms. Applying microwave treatment (1000 W heating power, 2.45 GHz for 5 minutes) has already been shown to induce the release of soluble phosphorus into sewage sludge solution (+76% under all temperature settings from 60 to 110°C) (Liao *et al.*, 2005). While our soil DOC and OIP contents were solely reactive to effective treatments, there was a significant increase in the NO_3^- content under the two ineffective treatments only. Both ammonium and nitrate are commonly used by microbial communities, especially nitrifiers that sequentially oxidize

ammonia into nitrate. The first step of nitrification is carried out by ammonia-oxidizing-archaea (AOA) and ammonia-oxidizing-bacteria (AOB). Surprisingly, Li *et al.*, 2015 showed that the AOA activity increased when temperature rose from 50 to 70°C, whereas above 80°C the expression of ammonia monooxygenase (*amoA*), a key nitrification enzyme, was inactivated. Our study possibly suggests that the increase of NO₃⁻ in our two ineffective treatments (50–70°C) could be linked to an increase in nitrification activity that was, however, inhibited by the two effective ones (up to 80°C).

We could postulate, for some treatment conditions at least, that the increased availability of some nutrients (NO₃⁻ or phosphorus, or both) stimulated plant growth in the treated soils concomitantly with weaker competition for nutrients because of the decrease in microbial biomass. Khan *et al.* (2016) hypothesized improved nutrient availability after reporting that microwave treatment of soil (1100 W heating power, 2.45 GHz for 120 s) enhanced the growth and yield of wheat (+175% in dry biomass and +96% in grain yield). This hypothetical phytostimulatory scenario could also be strengthened by a potential reduction of phytoparasites (e.g. phytophagous nematodes) or of phytopathogen populations. The larger survival rates of our *Medicago* plantlets following their transfer into the treated soils could be explained in relation to the phytopathogenic–parasitic hypothesis. However, the initial positive effect we observed did not lead to larger biomass yields, except for root biomass under the 2 kW-8 minutes treatment. In addition, the chlorophyll contents known to be related to N supply were significantly and slightly less following the ineffective treatments, although soil NO₃⁻ contents were the largest of all. Consequently, increased availability of certain nutrients and weaker microbial competition in treated soils were not especially beneficial to *Medicago* plant growth. Alternatively, as plant biomass was not limited, microwave radiation probably did not generate phytotoxic compounds. Against this background, the number of nodules was significantly reduced under the two effective

treatments only without affecting plant growth, suggesting efficient rates of nitrogen (N) fixation and mineral N uptake. The higher soil temperatures generated by the effective treatments might have greatly affected the soil density of the *Medicago* symbiont *Ensifer meliloti* and consequently the rate of nodulation. Furthermore, the increased soil NO_3^- concentrations recorded under the two ineffective treatments were still below the concentration threshold that inhibits root nodulation because the number of nodules per plant was similar in the control. Because the effects of microwave radiation on soil NO_3^- and nodulation patterns were strong, the effect of this seed-sterilizing procedure on rhizobial symbiosis deserves further investigation. The diversity of nodulating bacteria should be assessed in parallel with their N-fixing efficiency to determine whether their functional diversity could be modified and alter the growth and biomass yield of legumes. Mycorrhizal symbiosis, an almost universal plant–fungus symbiosis, should also be investigated.

Conclusion

Microwave radiation of soil belongs to the ‘tool box’ used to eradicate seed banks of invasive species. However, such physical treatments can induce side effects on soil physicochemical and biological properties that need to be anticipated to prevent ecological risks and enable soil regeneration. Among the 16 properties we analysed to delineate the effects of microwaves on soil, eleven were significantly affected by at least one of the effective microwave treatments (microbial biomass, abundance of culturable bacteria – total bacteria and fluorescent Pseudomonads, FDA hydrolysis, *Medicago* root nodules and root biomass, DOC, TN and OIP contents, moisture). In addition, several effects revealed by the effective treatments were correlated to the induced temperature increase (up to 83–95°C), as indicated by correlation analysis. The deleterious effects on the soil microbial component were strong but still far from complete soil sterilization, suggesting that the resilience of bacteria was

483 unaltered. However, the smaller nodulation of *M. truncatula* raises concern about the future
484 establishment of plant–microbe symbioses and calls for a thorough interpretation before
485 engineering new plant covers in such microwave-treated soils.

Accepted version

Supporting Information

The following supporting information is available in the online version of this article:

Figure S1 Diagram showing the experimental device used before the microwave treatment of soil samples.

Figure S2 Means of germination rate of *Festuca rubra* seeds ($n = 4$) inserted into untreated soil (control) and into microwave-treated soils before microwave radiation.

Table S1 Effect of five treatments (four microwave conditions and a control) on properties of soil and plants grown in the soil, tested using ANOVA from data presented in Tables 1 (physico-chemical soil data) and 3 (plant data).

Table S2 Correlation coefficients (r) of Pearson between all soil and plant properties (except ISCP data).

Table S3 Canonical correlation coefficients between the soil variables, the plant variables and the four principal components (PCs named from F1 to F4) after canonical correlation analysis (CCA).

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1 Ordination by principal component analysis (PCA) of soil properties (except ISCP data) in relation to microwave treatments. (a) Ordination in the plane of the two leading components of the control and microwave treatments; square, circle and triangle symbols stand for the mean coordinates ($n = 4$) with associated standard deviation (b) Correlation circle of the soil properties. FDA, fluorescein di-acetate hydrolysis; MB, microbial biomass; CFU-Pseudo, \log_{10} of soil density of culturable fluorescent Pseudomonads; CFU-Tot. bact, \log_{10} of soil density of culturable bacteria; TN, total nitrogen; TOC, total organic carbon; OIP, inorganic phosphorus measured by Olsen's method; DOC, dissolved organic carbon; WIP, water-soluble inorganic phosphorus

Figure 2 Ordination by principal component analysis (PCA) of *Medicago* seedlings properties in relation to their development in control and microwave-treated soils. (a) Ordination in the principal plan of the control and microwave treatments; square, circle and triangle symbols stand for the mean coordinates ($n=4$) with associated standard deviation (b) Correlation circle of the *Medicago* seedlings properties; SPAD, chlorophyll content; nodules, nodule number per plant.

Figure 3 Results of the canonical correlation analysis (CCA) of all soil and plant properties (except ISCP) displaying the strength of the correlations between *Medicago* growth parameters (in gray) and soil properties (in black). Canonical correlation analysis (CCA) was performed on soil and plant data (except ISCP). DOC, dissolved organic carbon; TN, total nitrogen; WIP, water-soluble inorganic phosphorus; MB, microbial biomass; FDA, fluorescein di-acetate hydrolysis activity; CFU-Pseudo, \log_{10} of soil density of culturable fluorescent Pseudomonads; CFU-Tot. bact, \log_{10} of soil density of culturable bacteria; SPAD, chlorophyll content; OIP, inorganic phosphorus measured by Olsen's method.

Acknowledgements

This work is part of an FUI (Single Interministerial Fund) research and development project, co-financed by BPI France, the Provence Alpes Côte d'Azur and Occitanie region and the Ain local authority. In addition to the authors, the participants to this project also include scientists from Electricité de France (EDF), Génie ECOlogique Ingénierie et Travaux, the Institut Méditerranéen de Biodiversité et d'Ecologie (IMBE), the Institut de Recherche de la Tour de Valat, Nouvelles Générations d'Entrepreneurs (NGE), and the Société pour l'Application Industrielle de la Recherche en Electronique et Micro-ondes (SAIREM). We thank Charles Deroi (GECO) for assistance in soil treatments and Dr. Philippe Delporte (CIRAD) for helpful discussions on statistics. We declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- Andrews, S.S., Karlen, D.L. & Cambardella, C.A. 2004. The soil management assessment framework: a quantitative soil quality evaluation. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, **68**, 1945–1962.
- Aubert, G. 1978. *Méthodes d'Analyses des Sols*, Second Edition, Centre régional de Documentation Pédagogique (CRDP), Marseille.
- Banning, N.C. & Murphy, D. V. 2008. Effect of heat-induced disturbance on microbial biomass and activity in forest soil and the relationship between disturbance effects and microbial community structure. *Applied Soil Ecology*, **40**, 109–119.
- Barker, A.V. & Craker, L.E. 1991. Inhibition of weed seed-germination by microwaves. *Agronomy Journal*, **83**, 302–305.
- Bebawi, F.F., Cooper, A.P., Brodie, G.I., Madigan, B.A., Vitelli, J.S., Worsley, K.J. & Davis, K.M. 2007. Effect of microwave radiation on seed mortality of rubber vine (*Cryptostegia grandiflora* R.Br.), parthenium (*Parthenium hysterophorous* L.) and bellyache bush

552 (*Jatropha gossypifolia* L.). *Plant Protection Quarterly*, **22**, 136–142.

553 Bérard, A., Bouchet, T., Sévenier, G., Pablo, A.L. & Gros, R. 2011. Resilience of soil microbial
 554 communities impacted by severe drought and high temperature in the context of
 555 Mediterranean heat waves. *European Journal of Soil Biology*, **47**, 333–342.

556 Brodie, G., Grixti, M., Hollins, E., Cooper, A., Li, T. & Cole, M. 2015. Assessing the impact
 557 of microwave treatment on soil microbial populations. *Global Journal of Agricultural
 558 Innovation, Research & Development*, **2**, 25–32.

559 Brodie, G., Ryan, C. & Lancaster, C. 2012. Microwave technologies as part of an integrated
 560 weed management strategy: a review. *International Journal of Agronomy*, **2012**.
 561 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2012/636905>

562 Brodie, G., Botta, C. & Woodworth, J. 2007. Preliminary investigation into microwave soil
 563 pasteurization using wheat as a test species. *Plant Protection Quarterly*, **22**, 72–75.

564 Brookes, P.C., Landman, A., Pruden, G. & Jenkinson, D.S. 1985. Chloroform fumigation and
 565 the release of soil nitrogen: a rapid direct extraction method to measure microbial biomass
 566 nitrogen in soil. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*, **17**, 837–842.

567 Cooper, A. & Brodie, G. 2009. The effect of microwaves radiation and soil depth on soil pH,
 568 N, P, K, SO₄ and bacterial colonies. *Plant Protection Quarterly*, **24**, 67–70.

569 Daou, L., Périsol, C., Luglia, M., Calvert, V. & Criquet, S. 2016. Effects of drying-rewetting
 570 or freezing-thawing cycles on enzymatic activities of different Mediterranean soils. *Soil
 571 Biology & Biochemistry*, **93**, 142–149.

572 Dholiya, K., Patel, D. & Kothari, V. 2012. Effect of low power microwave on microbial growth,
 573 enzyme activity, and aflatoxin production. *Research in Biotechnology*, **3**, 28–34.

574 Dieng, A., Ndoye, I., Duponnois R. & Baudoin E. 2014. Effects of *Jatropha curca* L. plantation
 575 on soil bacterial and fungal communities. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*, **72**, 105–115.

576 FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations) 2015. *World Reference Base*
 577 *for Soil Resources 2014. International Soil Classification System for Naming Soils and*
 578 *Creating Legends for Soil Maps*. World Soil Resources Reports 106. FAO, Rome.

579 Ferriss, R.S. 1984. Effects of microwave-oven treatment on microorganisms in soil.
 580 *Phytopathology*, **74**, 121–126.

581 Gedikli, S., Tabak, Ö, Tomsuk, Ö. & Cabuk, A. 2008. Effect of microwaves on some Gram
 582 negative and Gram positive bacteria. *Journal of Applied Biological Science*, **1**, 67–71.

583 Heap, I.M. 1997. The occurrence of herbicide-resistant weeds. *Worldwide Pesticide Science*,
 584 **51**, 235–243.

585 Khan, M.J., Brodie, G. & Gupta, D. 2016. Effect of microwave (2.45 GHz) treatment of soil on
 586 yield components of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Journal of Microwave Power and*
 587 *Electromagnetic Energy*, **50**, 191–200.

588 Liao, P.H., Wong, W. & Lo, K. 2005. Release of phosphorus from sewage sludge using
 589 microwave technology. *Journal of Environmental Engineering and Science*, **4**, 77–81.

590 Li, H., Yang, Q., Li, J., Gao, H., Li, P. & Zhou, H. 2015. The impact of temperature on microbial
 591 diversity and AOA activity in the Tengchong Geothermal Field, China. *Scientific Reports*,
 592 **5**, 17056.

593 Nelson, S.O. 1996. A review and assessment of microwave energy for soil treatment to control
 594 pests. *Transactions of the American Society of Agricultural Engineers*, **39**, 281–289.

595 Ohno, T. & Zibilske, L. 1991. Determination of low concentrations of phosphorus in soil
 596 extracts using malachite green. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, **55**, 892–895.

597 Olsen, S.R., Cole, C.V., Watanabe, F.S. & Dean, L.A. 1954. *Estimation of Available*
 598 *Phosphorus in Soils by Extraction with Sodium Bicarbonate*. Circular **939**. U.S.
 599 Department of Agriculture, Washington DC.

600 Sahin, H. 2014. Effects of microwaves on the germination of weed seeds. *Journal of Biosystems*

601 *Engineering*, **39**, 304–309.

602 Sartorato, I., Zanin, G., Baldoin, C. & De Zanche, C. 2006. Observations on the potential of
603 microwaves for weed control. *Weed Research*, **46**, 1–9.

604 Schnurer, J. & Rosswall, T. 1982. Fluorescein diacetate hydrolysis as a measure of total
605 microbial activity in soil and litter. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **43**, 1256–
606 1261.

607 Shamis, Y., Croft, R., Taube, A., Crawford, R.J. & Ivanova, E.P. 2012. Review of the specific
608 effects of microwave radiation on bacterial cells. *Applied Microbiology and*
609 *Biotechnology*, **96**, 319–325.

610 Silveira, M.L.A. 2005. Dissolved organic carbon and bioavailability of N and P as indicators
611 of soil quality. *Scientia Agricola*, **62**, 502–508.

612 Tahir, A., Mateen, B., Univerdi, S., Karagoban, O. & Zengin, M. 2009. Simple method to study
613 the mechanism of thermal and non thermal bactericidal action of microwave radiations on
614 different bacterial species. *Journal of Bacteriology Research*, **1**, 58–63.

615 Vitelli, J.S. & Madigan, B.A. 2004. Evaluation of a hand-held burner for the control of woody
616 weeds by flaming. *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture*, **44**, 75–81.

617 Vitousek, P.M., Mooney, H.A., Lubchenco, J. & Melillo, J.M. 1997. Human domination of
618 Earth's ecosystems. *Science*, **277**, 494–499.

619 De Wilde, M., Buisson, E., Yavercovski, N., Willm, L., Bieder, L. & Mesléard, F. 2017. Using
620 microwave soil heating to inhibit invasive species seed germination. *Invasive Plant*
621 *Science and Management*, **10**, 262–270.

622 Woo, I.S., Rhee, I.K. & Park, H.D. 2000. Differential damage in bacterial cells by microwave
623 radiation on the basis of cell wall structure. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **66**,
624 2243–7.

625 Zagal, E. 1989. Effects of microwave radiation on carbon and nitrogen mineralization in soil.

626 *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*, **21**, 603–605.

627

Accepted version

628 **TABLES**629 **Table 1** Means values of soil physicochemical properties in relation to microwave treatments and variance analysis (ANOVA) results

Microwave treatments	TN	TOC	OIP	N-NH ₄ ⁺	N-NO ₃ ⁻	DOC	WIP	Moisture content
	/g kg ⁻¹ DS	/g kg ⁻¹ DS	/μg ⁻¹ g DS	/μg g ⁻¹ DS	/μg g ⁻¹ DS	/mg g ⁻¹ DS	/μg g ⁻¹ DS	/% DS
2 kW-4 minutes	0.84	10.14	9.33	1.75	3.61	0.08	0.91	8.02
4 kW-2 min	0.85	9.95±	9.52	1.97	3.23	0.08	0.85	7.90
2 kW-8 min	0.78	9.74	10.53	1.72	1.44	0.15	0.85	7.07
4 kW-4 min	0.81	9.97	11.58	1.84	1.51	0.11	0.76	8.00
Control	0.90	9.74	8.9	1.39	1.42	0.08	0.80	8.01
<i>P</i>	0.047	0.618	0.008	0.731	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.026	0.015
SE	0.014	0.088	0.33	0.13	0.24	0.006	0.016	0.11
LSD	0.078	ns	1.44	ns	0.75	0.017	0.087	0.59

630 The values shown in bold face are significantly different from the control ($P \leq 0.05$). SE, standard error; LSD, least significant differences. The

631 value of LSD was not listed if differences were not significant. DS, dried soil; min, minutes; TN, total nitrogen; TOC, total organic carbon; OIP,

632 inorganic phosphorus measured by Olsen's method; DOC, dissolved organic carbon; WIP, water-soluble inorganic phosphorus.

633

Table 2 Mean values of soil microbial properties in relation to microwave treatments and variance analysis (ANOVA) results.

Microwave treatments	Microbial biomass /mg OC kg ⁻¹ DS	Density of total heterotrophic bacteria /log ₁₀ CFU g ⁻¹ DS	Density of fluorescent Pseudomonads /log ₁₀ CFU g ⁻¹ DS	FDA hydrolysis /μg g ⁻¹ DS
2 kW-4 min	223.76	7.0	6.5	14.37
4 kW-2 min	269.69	7.1	6.6	11.57
2 kW-8 min	114.21	6.7	4.4	3.24
4 kW-4 min	135.29	6.6	4.7	5.63
Control	342.73	7.3	6.5	22.67

Properties	Source	Mean square MS	<i>F</i>	<i>P</i>	SE	LSD
Microbial biomass	Between treatments	35871.9	103.20	<0.0001	19.8	28.1
	Within treatments	347.6				
log ₁₀ (CFU of total bacteria)	Between treatments	0.301	5.28	0.007	0.074	0.36
	Within treatments	0.057				
log ₁₀ (CFU of Pseudomads)	Between treatments	4.937	13.87	<0.0001	0.26	1.61
	Within treatments	0.356				
FDA	Between treatments	235.56	74.66	<0.0001	0.90	2.68
	Within treatments	3.155				

The values shown in bold face are significantly different from the control ($P \leq 0.05$). DS, dried soil; min, minutes; OC, organic carbon; FDA, fluorescein di-acetate hydrolysis. SE, standard error; LSD, least significant differences. Degrees of liberty (df) = 4 and 15 for Between treatments, and Within treatments, respectively.

Table 3 *Medicago truncatula* development in microwave-treated soils and variance analysis (ANOVA) results.

Microwave treatments	Number of surviving plants on 40 plants tested	Dried shoot biomass /mg plant ⁻¹	Dried root biomass /mg plant ⁻¹	Number of nodules per plant	Chlorophyll contents /SPAD units)
2 kW-4 min	38	8.14	1.37	6.48	21.0
4 kW-2 min	34	10.37	1.90	6.33	22.5
2 kW-8 min	36	11.29	2.50	1.85	24.2
4 kW-4 min	37	9.87	2.14	4.51	25.9
Control	29	10.03	1.46	7.00	25.30
<i>P</i>	NA	0.077	0.035	0.025	0.002
SE	NA	0.37	0.14	0.61	0.51
LSD	NA	ns	0.77	3.26	2.22

The values shown in bold face are significantly different from the control ($P \leq 0.05$). SE, standard error; LSD, least significant differences. The value of LSD was not listed if differences were not significant. NA, not applied.

Table 4 Eigenvalue, variance accounted for and cumulative variance from principal component analysis (PCA) or canonical correlation analysis (CCA).

Data source and multivariate analysis	PC number	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6
Soil properties, PCA (Figure 1)	Eigenvalue	5.4	2.2	1.4	0.7	0.6	0.6
	Explained variance / %	45.3	18.0	12.0	6.1	5.0	4.6
	Cumulative variance / %	45.3	63.3	75.3	81.4	86.4	91.1
Plant properties, PCA (Figure 2)	Eigenvalue	2.2	0.9	0.6	0.3	NA	NA
	Explained variance / %	53.9	22.4	15.4	8.3	NA	NA
	Cumulative variance / %	53.9	76.2	91.6	100.0	NA	NA
Plant and soil properties, CCA (Figure 3)	Eigenvalue	0.92	0.82	0.56	0.55	NA	NA
	Explained variance / %	32.3	28.8	19.6	19.3	NA	NA
	Cumulative variance / %	32.3	61.1	80.7	100.0	NA	NA
	Canonical correlation	0.96	0.91	0.77	0.70	NA	NA

PC, principal component; the first three principal components explain more than 75% of the variation in the data. NA: not applicable.

Fig. 1





Fig. 2

a



b




Fig. 3

