



**HAL**  
open science

## **Plant Roots Increase Bacterivorous Nematode Dispersion through Nonuniform Glass-bead Media**

Jean Trap, Laetitia Bernard, Alain Brauman Brauman, Anne-Laure Pablo,  
Claude C. Plassard, Mahafaka Ranoarisoa, Eric Blanchart

► **To cite this version:**

Jean Trap, Laetitia Bernard, Alain Brauman Brauman, Anne-Laure Pablo, Claude C. Plassard, et al.. Plant Roots Increase Bacterivorous Nematode Dispersion through Nonuniform Glass-bead Media. Journal of Nematology, 2015, 47 (4), pp.296-301. hal-02629489

**HAL Id: hal-02629489**

**<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02629489v1>**

Submitted on 27 May 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 **Corresponding author.** Jean Trap. Institut de Recherche pour le Développement, UMR  
2 Eco&Sols, 2 Place Viala, 34060 Montpellier, France. Tél : +33 (0)4.99.61.21.03. Fax : +33  
3 (0)4.99.61.19.21. Email: [jean.trap@ird.fr](mailto:jean.trap@ird.fr)  
4

## 5 Title

6 PLANT ROOTS INCREASE BACTERIVOROUS NEMATODE DISPERSION THROUGH  
7 NON-UNIFORM GLASS-BEAD MEDIA

## 8 Authors

9 Jean Trap<sup>1</sup>, Laetitia Bernard<sup>1</sup>, Alain Brauman<sup>2</sup>, Anne-Laure Pablo<sup>2</sup>, Claude Plassard<sup>3</sup>,  
10 Mahafaka Patricia Ranoarisoa<sup>1</sup>, Eric Blanchart<sup>1</sup>  
11

12 Received for publication  
13

## 14 Affiliations

15 <sup>1</sup>Institut de Recherche pour le Développement, UMR Eco&Sols, Laboratoire des RadioIsotopes (LRI),  
16 Ampandrianomby, Antananarivo 101, Madagascar

17 <sup>2</sup>Institut de Recherche pour le Développement, UMR Eco&Sols, 2 Place Viala, 34060, Montpellier,  
18 France

19 <sup>3</sup>Institut National de Recherche Agronomique - UMR Eco&Sols, 2 Place Viala, 34060, Montpellier,  
20 France  
21

## 22 Acknowledgements

23 This study was funded by the Eco&Sols Unit (IRD, INRA, CIRAD, SupAgro). We want to  
24 thank A. Jimenez (Elisol Environnement) for her assistance during nematode breeding and  
25 We thank Claire Marsden for improving the English of the present article and providing  
26 helpful comments.  
27

28 Email: jean.trap@ird.fr

29

30 This paper was edited by Erik J. Ragsdale.

31

32 Roots increase dispersion through glass beads: *Trap* et al.

33

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

*Abstract:* Dispersion of bacterivorous nematodes in soil is a crucial ecological process that permits settlement and exploitation of new bacterial-rich patches. Although plant roots, by modifying soil structure, are likely to influence this process, they have so far been neglected. In this study, using an original three-compartment microcosm experimental design and PVC bars to mimic plant roots, we tested the ability of roots to improve the dispersion of bacterivorous nematode populations through two wet, non-uniform granular (glass bead) media imitating contrasting soil textures. We showed that artificial roots increased migration time of bacterivorous nematode populations in the small bead medium, suggesting that plant roots may play an important role in nematode dispersion in fine-textured soils or when soil compaction is high.

*Keywords:* dispersion; ecology; glass-bead media; migration time; colonization time; plant roots.

48 Bacterivorous nematodes are widely distributed soil organisms involved in key  
49 terrestrial ecosystem functions such as soil fertility and plant productivity (Djigal et al., 2004,  
50 Irshad et al., 2011, Blanc et al., 2006, Anderson et al., 1978, Ferris et al., 1998, Bonkowski et  
51 al., 2009). By releasing nutrients (nitrogen and phosphorus) immobilized in bacterial biomass  
52 in the vicinity of plant roots, they largely contribute to soil nutrient availability (Ferris et al.,  
53 1998, Anderson et al., 1983) and plant nutrition and growth (Irshad et al., 2012, Bonkowski  
54 and Clarholm, 2012, Trap et al., 2015).

55 The positive effects of bacterivorous nematodes on soil and plant functions are  
56 conditioned by their ability to move within heterogeneous soils (Griffiths and Caul, 1993).  
57 Dispersion of nematodes from one bacterial site to new resource patches is a crucial  
58 ecological process facilitating ecosystem functions (Horiuchi et al., 2005, Hassink et al.,  
59 1993, Savin et al., 2001, Hassink et al., 1993, Rodger et al., 2004). It is strongly determined  
60 by soil conditions such as bulk density (Hunt et al., 2001, Portillo-Aguilar et al., 1999), soil  
61 water content (Young et al., 1998) or temperature (Hunt et al., 2001), soil texture and hence  
62 porosity (Young et al., 1998, Portillo-Aguilar et al., 1999, Georgis and Poinar, 1983, Prot and  
63 Van Gundy, 1981), bacterial species (Rodger et al., 2004, Young et al., 1998), salt gradients  
64 (Le Saux and Queneherve, 2002), or soil water run-off (Chabrier et al., 2009).

65 In most experiments, bacteria-nematode effects on soil nutrient availability have been  
66 studied in bulk soils and root exudates were mimicked by providing carbon as an energy  
67 source for bacteria, usually as glucose (Anderson et al., 1983, Cole et al., 1978, Coleman et  
68 al., 1978, Ferris et al., 1997, Ferris et al., 1998). Possible physical influences of roots on  
69 nematode dispersal, and the subsequent effects on soil nutrient availability, have thus not been  
70 represented. Moreover, in experiments with plants (Bjornlund et al., 2012, Djigal et al., 2004),  
71 shifts in both energy supply and porosity induced by roots are confounded, limiting our ability  
72 to decipher mechanisms by which roots impact nematode-driven ecological functions. In this

73 study, using an original three-compartment microcosm experimental design, we tested the  
74 ability of roots to improve the dispersion of nematodes and their associated bacteria through  
75 two wet granular media made from glass beads of different sizes in order to mimic two  
76 contrasting soil textures.

77

## 78 MATERIALS AND METHODS

79

80 The study was conducted in sterile three-compartment 90-mm Petri dishes  
81 (compartments labeled A-C). We designed six treatments (Figure 1). The first two treatments  
82 corresponded to negative (NC) and positive (PC) controls, respectively. In NC, compartments  
83 were not connected (compartments were independent) while in PC and for the four other  
84 treatments, short gates (~5 mm width) were opened between compartments A and B and  
85 between compartments B and C by melting the plastic walls separating compartments (Figure  
86 1). In all treatments, compartments A and C were filled with 10 ml TSB-A (3 g L<sup>-1</sup> Tryptic  
87 Soy Broth Fluka 22092 and 1% agar w/v supplemented with cholesterol 5 mg L<sup>-1</sup>). The  
88 compartment B was filled with 10 ml TSB-A in NC and PC treatments, whereas in the other  
89 four treatments, it was filled with 15 g of non-uniform (polydisperse) glass beads (Abralis,  
90 France), either of small size (SB: mean diameter 130 µm, min-max diameters 60-260 µm,  
91 porosity 40%), or large size (LB: mean diameter 600 µm, min-max diameters 300-1100 µm,  
92 porosity 32%). Bead size was measured using a laser granulometer (Mastersizer APA2000,  
93 Malvern Instruments Ltd., United Kingdom) while the distribution of pore size was  
94 approximated using the Finney Model (Frost, 1978, Finney, 1970) for uniformly sized  
95 (monodisperse) granular media with the average size of beads as the most representative bead  
96 size for each medium.

97 Before use, glass beads were acid-washed using HCl 1M, rinsed with sterile deionized  
98 water and saturated at 100% of their holding capacity. In two of the glass-bead treatments (5  
99 and 6), a flexible PVC bar (2 mm diameter, 4 cm length), previously sterilized in bleach and  
100 washed with sterilized deionized water, was used to mimic roots and placed in compartment B  
101 (Figure 1). The ends of the PVC bar were inserted through the gates, thus linking  
102 compartment A with C (Figure 1). In compartment B, the PVC bar was placed inside the bead  
103 medium. This PVC bar was used to test physical effects of roots on nematode dispersion  
104 without interfering with carbon supply by rhizodeposition. Each treatment was replicated 5  
105 times (30 microcosms).

106 For all microcosms, compartment A was inoculated with 100 µl of fresh gram-positive  
107 *Bacillus subtilis* (strain 111b) culture and 15 adult bacterial-feeding nematodes belonging to  
108 *Rhabditis* sp., together as a spot dropped from the corner of the compartment at the center of  
109 the Petri dish (Figure 1). Bacteria and nematodes for experiments were isolated from an  
110 ectomycorrhizal root tip and the soil collected in a maritime pine forest, respectively (Irshad  
111 et al., 2011). Nematodes were maintained in our laboratory by transferring individuals onto  
112 new TSB-A plates containing *B. subtilis* (Irshad et al., 2011). Nematodes multiplied in the  
113 dark at 20 °C. Nematodes used in the inoculation experiments were prepared by removing  
114 them from the breeding TSB-A plates by washing the surface with a sterile NaCl solution  
115 (1%). They were washed from most *B. subtilis* by centrifugation (1000 rpm, 5 min) and re-  
116 suspended in sterile deionized water.

117 Every morning for three weeks, microcosms were carefully inspected using a  
118 binocular microscope and the number of individuals in compartment C was counted. We  
119 defined the “migration time” as the number of days required to observe one individual  
120 (juvenile or adult) in compartment C. We also assessed the “colonization time” as the number  
121 of days required for nematodes to exploit the whole compartment C and reach the maximal

122 carrying capacity set at 400 individuals (corresponding to a homogeneous distribution of  
123 nematodes in compartment). Means and standard deviation were calculated for each treatment  
124 and significant differences were tested using one-way ANOVA and Tukey HSD tests.  
125 Normality of residuals was checked using Shapiro test. All tests were computed with the R  
126 freeware (R, 2008) and statistical significance was set at  $P < 0.05$ .

## 128 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

129  
130 After three weeks of incubation, no nematode was observed in compartment C in the  
131 negative control (Figure 2.A), confirming that nematodes were not able to cross the walls  
132 separating compartments. In the positive control (PC), around 8 days were required to observe  
133 individuals in C while 10 days were required in both large- and small-bead treatments. Our  
134 findings are in agreement with those obtained by Wallace (1958) that showed that the pore  
135 size in a saturated 75- to 150- $\mu\text{m}$  soil fraction (similar to our small-bead medium) approaches  
136 that through which *Heterodera schachtii* larvae are unable to pass. In his study, a maximum  
137 of 10% of the nematodes migrated farther than 5 cm from the inoculation site for this soil  
138 fraction while ~35% of the population migrated farther than 5 cm in the 150-200  $\mu\text{m}$  fraction.

139 When an artificial root was added across compartment B, the mean migration time  
140 decreased to 9 days and 8 days for large and small beads, respectively. The effect of the  
141 artificial root on nematode migration time was thus observed for both bead sizes, but the  
142 effect was significant for small beads only. By creating macropores (Angers and Caron,  
143 1998), roots increased soil porosity for these free organisms and their dispersal rate.  
144 Nematodes can also move in the water film formed around the root as a “highway” towards a  
145 new site. Here, we did not provide glucose to mimic root exudates because our aim was to  
146 discriminate energy supply from physical effects of roots on nematodes. In natural



147 rhizospheres, the presence of root exudates is known to improve soil structure and increase  
148 aggregation, especially in clay soils (Angers and Caron, 1998, Bertin et al., 2003). It is  
149 possible that in natural conditions, the improving effect of roots on nematode dispersion could  
150 be modified by rhizodeposition rate and soil clay content (Hassink et al., 1993).

151 Interestingly, the colonization time of nematode populations growing in C varied  
152 according to treatments (Figure 2.B). The lowest values were observed for PC and for small  
153 beads with an artificial root (mean ~2.5 days of colonization time) while the highest values  
154 were observed for small beads without an artificial root (mean ~4.2 days). Intermediate values  
155 were found in large beads, with or without artificial roots. In PC and beads with an artificial  
156 root, adults moved easily from A to C. In contrast, for the treatment with small beads and  
157 without AR, the first individuals observed in C were juveniles. This pattern can be explained  
158 by the diameter of adult nematodes after 14 days of growth oscillating around 35  $\mu\text{m}$  ( $n = 30$ ).  
159 Individuals with a diameter superior to ~30  $\mu\text{m}$  were highly constrained by the beads (Figure  
160 3). In consequence, in treatments with small beads, only juveniles could move easily from A  
161 to C. Several hours and days were thus needed for juveniles to grow in C before becoming  
162 adults and reproducing, explaining why colonization was slower.

163 It is important to note that we did not inoculate compartments B and C with *Bacillus*  
164 *subtilis* cells. The colonization time of nematode populations was thus based on their ability  
165 to transport bacteria (or spores) from compartment A to C. Several studies observed phoretic  
166 transport of bacteria by nematodes (Hallmann et al., 1998, Knox et al., 2004, Knox et al.,  
167 2003) or defecation of living bacterial cells or spores after their passage through the nematode  
168 gut (Laaberki and Dworkin, 2008, Rae et al., 2012). For instance, Laaberki and Dworkin  
169 (2008) showed that ingested *B. subtilis* spores were resistant to *Caenorhabditis elegans*  
170 digestion. Some studies showed that nematodes can act as vectors of rhizobium (Jatala et al.,  
171 1974, Sitaramaiah and Singh, 1975, Horiuchi et al., 2005) or plant pathogenic bacteria

172 (Kroupitski et al., 2015). Once in C, living *B. subtilis* cells or spores attached on nematode  
173 cuticles or excreted by nematodes can proliferate rapidly on TSB-A before nematode  
174 population growth.

175 In conclusion, this microcosm experiment showed that the presence of small beads  
176 severely constrained adult but not juvenile dispersion. An artificial root increased  
177 bacterivorous nematode populations and associated-bacterial food dispersion in wet  
178 polydisperse media, especially in small-bead media. These results suggested that plant roots  
179 can play an important role in assisting nematode dispersion in fine-textured soils or when  
180 roots penetrate in compacted soils (Queneherve and Chotte, 1996, Iijima et al., 1991).  
181 Nematode effects on nutrient cycling are known to vary according to soil texture (Hassink et  
182 al., 1993), but our study suggests that the presence of roots may alleviate the effect of small  
183 soil pore size, enhancing local population connection and probably soil nutrient cycling  
184 (Clarholm, 1985). Our results also suggested that besides root exudates and active attraction,  
185 differences in root architecture among plant species can also explain why nematode  
186 population abundance or biomass in plant rhizospheres vary according to plant species  
187 (Griffiths, 1990, Horiuchi et al., 2005). Further studies using similar designs could be used to  
188 disentangle physical and nutritional impacts of roots on nematode-driven transport of  
189 nutrients or organic compounds such as enzymes or pollutants.

190

191 **Literature cited**

192

193 Anderson, R., Gould, W., Woods, L., Cambardella, C., Ingham, R., and Coleman, D.

194 1983. Organic and inorganic nitrogenous losses by microbivorous nematodes in soil. *Oikos*  
195 40:75-80.

196 Anderson, R. V., Elliott, E. T., McClellan, J. F., Coleman, D. C., Cole, C. V., and Hunt,

197 H. W. 1978. Trophic interactions in soils as they affect energy and nutrient dynamics. 3.

198 Biotic interactions of bacteria, amoebae, and nematodes. *Microbial Ecology* 4:361-371.

199 Angers, D. A., and Caron, J. 1998. Plant-induced changes in soil structure: Processes and  
200 feedbacks. *Biogeochemistry* 42:55-72.

201 Bertin, C., Yang, X. H., and Weston, L. A. 2003. The role of root exudates and

202 allelochemicals in the rhizosphere. *Plant and Soil* 256:67-83.

203 Bjornlund, L., Liu, M. Q., Rønn, R., Christensen, S., and Ekelund, F. 2012. Nematodes

204 and protozoa affect plants differently, depending on soil nutrient status. *European Journal of*  
205 *Soil Biology* 50:28-31.

206 Blanc, C., Sy, M., Djigal, D., Brauman, A., Normand, P., and Villenave, C. 2006.

207 Nutrition on bacteria by bacterial-feeding nematodes and consequences on the structure of  
208 soil bacterial community. *European Journal of Soil Biology* 42:S70-S78.

209 Bonkowski, M., and Clarholm, M. 2012. Stimulation of plant growth through interactions  
210 of bacteria and protozoa: Testing the auxiliary microbial loop hypothesis. *Acta*  
211 *Protozoologica* 51:237-247.

212 Bonkowski, M., Villenave, C., and Griffiths, B. 2009. Rhizosphere fauna: the functional  
213 and structural diversity of intimate interactions of soil fauna with plant roots. *Plant and Soil*  
214 321:213-233.

- 215 Chabrier, C., Carles, C., Desrosiers, C., Queneherve, P., and Cabidoche, Y.-M. 2009.  
1  
2 216 Nematode dispersion by runoff water: Case study of *Radopholus similis* (Cobb) Thorne on  
3  
4 217 nitisol under humid tropical conditions. *Applied Soil Ecology* 41:148-156.  
5  
6  
7 218 Clarholm, M. 1985. Interactions of bacteria, protozoa and plants leading to mineralization  
8  
9 219 of soil nitrogen. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 17:181-187.  
10  
11  
12 220 Cole, C. V., Elliott, E. T., Hunt, H. W., and Coleman, D. C. 1978. Trophic interactions in  
13  
14 221 soils as they affect energy and nutrient dynamics. Phosphorus transformations. *Microbial*  
15  
16 222 *Ecology* 4:381-387.  
17  
18  
19 223 Coleman, D. C., Anderson, R. V., Cole, C. V., Elliott, E. T., Woods, L., and Campion, M.  
20  
21 224 K. 1978. Trophic interactions in soils as they affect energy and nutrient dynamics. Flows of  
22  
23 225 metabolic and biomass carbon. *Microbial Ecology* 4:373-380.  
24  
25  
26 226 Djigal, D., Brauman, A., Diop, T. A., Chotte, J. L., and Villenave, C. 2004. Influence of  
27  
28 227 bacterial-feeding nematodes (Cephalobidae) on soil microbial communities during maize  
29  
30 228 growth. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 36:323-331.  
31  
32  
33  
34 229 Ferris, H., Venette, R. C., and Lau, S. S. 1997. Population energetics of bacterial-feeding  
35  
36 230 nematodes: Carbon and nitrogen budgets. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 29:1183-1194.  
37  
38  
39 231 Ferris, H., Venette, R. C., van der Meulen, H. R., and Lau, S. S. 1998. Nitrogen  
40  
41 232 mineralization by bacterial-feeding nematodes: verification and measurement. *Plant and Soil*  
42  
43 233 203:159-171.  
44  
45  
46 234 Finney, J. 1970. Random packings and the structure of simple liquids. I. The geometry of  
47  
48 235 random close packing. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London A: Mathematical,*  
49  
50  
51 236 *Physical and Engineering Sciences* 319:479-493.  
52  
53  
54 237 Frost, H. 1978. Hole statistics in dense random packing. DTIC Document.  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

- 238 Georgis, R., and Poinar, G. O. 1983 Effect of soil texture on the distribution and  
239 infectivity of *Neoplectana glaseri* (Nematoda, Steinernematidae). Journal of Nematology  
240 15:329-332.
- 241 Griffiths, B. S. 1990. A comparison of microbial-feeding nematodes and protozoa in the  
242 rhizosphere of different plants. Biology and Fertility of Soils 9:83-88.
- 243 Griffiths, B. S., and Caul, S. 1993. Migration of bacterial-feeding nematodes, but not  
244 protozoa, to decomposing grass residues. Biology and Fertility of Soils 15:201-207.
- 245 Hallmann, J., Quadt-Hallmann, A., Rodríguez-Kábana, R., and Kloepper, J. 1998.  
246 Interactions between *Meloidogyne incognita* and endophytic bacteria in cotton and cucumber.  
247 Soil Biology and Biochemistry 30:925-937.
- 248 Hassink, J., Bouwman, L. A., Zwart, K. B., Bloem, J., and Brussaard, L. 1993.  
249 Relationships between soil texture, physical protection of organic matter, soil biota, and C and  
250 N mineralization in grassland soils. Geoderma 57:105-128.
- 251 Hassink, J., Bouwman, L. A., Zwart, K. B., and Brussaard, L. 1993. Relationships  
252 between habitable pore-space, soil biota and mineralization rates in grassland soils. Soil  
253 Biology and Biochemistry 25:47-55.
- 254 Horiuchi, J.-I., Prithiviraj, B., Bais, H. P., Kimball, B. A., and Vivanco, J. M. 2005. Soil  
255 nematodes mediate positive interactions between legume plants and rhizobium bacteria.  
256 Planta 222:848-857.
- 257 Hunt, H. W., Wall, D. H., DeCrappeo, N. M., and Brenner, J. S. 2001. A model for  
258 nematode locomotion in soil. Nematology 3:705-716.
- 259 Iijima, M., Kono, Y., Yamauchi, A., and Pardales, J. R. 1991. Effects of soil compaction  
260 on the development of rice and maize root systems. Environmental and Experimental Botany  
261 31:333-342.

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65
- 262 Irshad, U., Brauman, A., Villenave, C., and Plassard, C. 2012. Phosphorus acquisition  
263 from phytate depends on efficient bacterial grazing, irrespective of the mycorrhizal status of  
264 *Pinus pinaster*. Plant and Soil 358:148-161.
- 265 Irshad, U., Villenave, C., Brauman, A., and Plassard, C. 2011. Grazing by nematodes on  
266 rhizosphere bacteria enhances nitrate and phosphorus availability to *Pinus pinaster* seedlings.  
267 Soil Biology and Biochemistry 43:2121-2126.
- 268 Jatala, P., Jensen, H. J., and Russell, S. A. 1974. *Pristionchus lheritieri* as a carrier of  
269 *Rhizobium japonicum*. Journal of nematology 6:130-1.
- 270 Knox, O. G. G., Killham, K., Artz, R. R. E., Mullins, C., and Wilson, M. 2004. Effect of  
271 nematodes on rhizosphere colonization by seed-applied bacteria. Applied and Environmental  
272 Microbiology 70:4666-4671.
- 273 Knox, O. G. G., Killham, K., Mullins, C. E., and Wilson, M. J. 2003. Nematode-enhanced  
274 microbial colonization of the wheat rhizosphere. Fems Microbiology Letters 225:227-233.
- 275 Kroupitski, Y., Pinto, R., Bucki, P., Belausov, E., Ruess, L., Spiegel, Y., and Sela, S.  
276 2015. *Acrobeloides buetschlii* as a potential vector for enteric pathogens. Nematology 17:447-  
277 457.
- 278 Laaberki, M.-H., and Dworkin, J. 2008. Role of spore coat proteins in the resistance of  
279 *Bacillus subtilis* spores to *Caenorhabditis elegans* predation. Journal of Bacteriology  
280 190:6197-6203.
- 281 Le Saux, R., and Queneherve, P. 2002. Differential chemotactic responses of two plant-  
282 parasitic nematodes, *Meloidogyne incognita* and *Rotylenchulus reniformis*, to some inorganic  
283 ions. Nematology 4:99-105.
- 284 Portillo-Aguilar, C., Villani, M. G., Tauber, M. J., Tauber, C. A., and Nyrop, J. P. 1999.  
285 Entomopathogenic nematode (Rhabditida : Heterorhabditidae and Steinernematidae) response  
286 to soil texture and bulk density. Environmental Entomology 28:1021-1035.

287 Prot, J. C., and Van Gundy, S. D. 1981. Effect of soil texture and the clay component on  
288 migration of *Meloidogyne incognita* 2nd stage juveniles. Journal of Nematology 13:213-217.

289 Queneherve, P., and Chotte, J. L. 1996. Distribution of nematodes in vertisol aggregates  
290 under a permanent pasture in Martinique. Applied Soil Ecology 4:193-200.

291 R. 2008. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for  
292 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

293 Rae, R., Witte, H., Rödelsperger, C., and Sommer, R. J. 2012. The importance of being  
294 regular: *Caenorhabditis elegans* and *Pristionchus pacificus* defecation mutants are  
295 hypersusceptible to bacterial pathogens. International Journal for Parasitology 42:747-753.

296 Rodger, S., Griffiths, B., McNicol, J., Wheatley, R., and Young, I. 2004. The impact of  
297 bacterial diet on the migration and navigation of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Microbial Ecology  
298 48:358-365.

299 Savin, M. C., Görres, J. H., Neher, D. A., and Amador, J. A. 2001. Uncoupling of carbon  
300 and nitrogen mineralisation : role of microbivorous nematodes. Soil Biology and  
301 Biochemistry 33:1463-1472.

302 Sitaramaiah, K., and Singh, R. S. 1975. *Mononchus* spp as carriers of *Rhizobium*  
303 *japonicum* in soybean fields. Journal of Nematology 7:330-330.

304 [Trap, J., Bonkowski, M., Plassard, C., Villenave, C., and Blanchart, E. 2015. Ecological](#)  
305 [importance of soil bacterivores for ecosystem functions. Plant and Soil. DOI;](#)  
306 [10.1007/s11104-015-2671-6](#)

307 [Wallace, H. 1958. Movement of eelworms. I. The influence of pore size and moisture](#)  
308 [content of the soil on the migration of larvae of the beet eelworm, \*Heterodera schachtii\*](#)  
309 [Schmidt. Annals of Applied Biology 46:74-85.](#)

310 Young, I. M., Griffiths, B. S., Robertson, W. M., and McNicol, J. W. 1998. Nematode  
1  
2 311 (*Caenorhabditis elegans*) movement in sand as affected by particle size, moisture and the  
3  
4 312 presence of bacteria (*Escherichia coli*). European Journal of Soil Science 49:237-241.  
5  
6  
7 313  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

314 Figure 1. Experimental setup with three-compartment Petri dishes used to assess the effect of  
315 roots and medium porosity on nematode dispersal and colonization. In all treatments but the  
316 negative control (treatment 1), compartments A and C were connected by gates opened  
317 through the wall, with or without an artificial root “AR” (2 mm diameter PVC bar) added to  
318 cross the compartment B. Compartments A and C were filled with TSB-A (see text for  
319 composition). Depending on the treatment, B was filled with TSB-A (treatments 1 and 2) or  
320 with small beads (SB) (treatments 3 and 5), or large beads (LB) (treatments 4 and 6) in sterile  
321 deionized water. Only compartment A was inoculated with *Bacillus subtilis* and 15 bacterial-  
322 feeding adult nematodes belonging to the *Rhabditis* sp., as a spot dropped from the corner of  
323 the compartment at the center of the Petri dish (closed circle).

324  
325 Figure 2. Migration time (A) and colonization time (B) in days according to treatments. NC:  
326 negative control; PC: positive control (white); LB: large beads (light grey); SB: small beads  
327 (dark grey); -AR: without artificial root (solid line); +AR: with artificial root (dotted line).  
328 Different letters (a and b) indicate significance among treatments according to one-way  
329 ANOVA and Tukey HSD post hoc tests ( $P < 0.05$ ,  $n = 5$ ).

330  
331 Figure 3. Size distribution of beads (A) and approximation of pore size distribution (B) for  
332 small (dotted line) or large (solid line) beads.  $P(k)$  is the frequency of the radius ( $k$ ) of pores.  
333 The blue solid line indicates mean nematode diameter size of adults ( $n = 30$ ).





