Skeletal muscle wasting occurs in adult rats under chronic treatment with paracetamol when glutathione-dependent detoxification is highly activated

Carole Mast, Charlotte Joly, Isabelle Savary-Auzeloux, Didier Remond, Dominique Dardevet, Isabelle Papet

To cite this version:


HAL Id: hal-02629918
https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02629918

Submitted on 27 May 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L’archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire HAL, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d’enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.
INTRODUCTION

The function of skeletal muscle is to ensure posture and locomotion, and this tissue is the main reservoir of body proteins. This reservoir is mobilized in case of disease, providing amino acids to tissues involved in the physiological defense necessary for recovery (1, 2). Muscle wasting is known to occur in a wide range of conditions like chronic and inflammatory diseases, renal failure, cachexia or cancer as well as physical inactivity or old age. When advanced, muscle loss potentiates morbidity and increases mortality (3-6). Consequently, preserving and maintaining muscle mass is an important challenge, especially when ensuring optimal defense in case of disease.

Diseases are often associated with acute or chronic pain and fever and the first-line treatment recommended for pain management is paracetamol (acetaminophen, APAP) (7, 8). APAP is therefore the analgesic and antipyretic drug the most commonly used in Europe/USA (9-11) and is considered as safe (7). APAP metabolism in the liver consists mainly in phase II detoxification (up to 90%) by direct sulfate or glucuronide conjugation of APAP. In phase I metabolism (up to 10%) APAP is converted by cytochrome P450 in the highly reactive compound N-acetyl-p-benzoquinone imine (NAPQI) which is readily detoxified by conjugation with glutathione (GSH) then converted to cysteine (Cys) and mercapturate conjugates (12, 13). Cys loss is therefore expected to increase with APAP consumption as its metabolism is associated with irreversible utilization of Cys. Muscle protein synthesis could have been reduced due to a shortage in Cys and/or an increase in protein degradation in response to intra-muscular oxidative stress. Hence, without dietary sulfur amino acid increase, peripheral bioavailability of Cys and muscle GSH are potential players in the control of muscle mass under chronic treatment with APAP, an analgesic medication of widespread use, especially in the elderly.
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SKELETAL MUSCLE WASTING OCCURS IN ADULT RATS UNDER CHRONIC TREATMENT WITH PARACETAMOL WHEN GLUTATHIONE-DEPENDENT DETOXIFICATION IS HIGHLY ACTIVATED

The use of glutathione (GSH) and sulfate for the detoxification of paracetamol (acetaminophen, APAP) could occur at the expense of the physiological uses of cysteine (Cys). Indeed GSH and sulfate both originate from Cys. Significant APAP-induced Cys loss could generate alterations in GSH and protein metabolisms leading to muscle wasting. The study aimed to investigate the effects of chronic treatment with APAP on whole-body and tissue homeostasis (mass, GSH, proteins, and nitrogen balance) in relation to sulfur losses through APAP-detoxification pathways. Adult male Wistar rats were fed 0% APAP, 0.5% APAP or 1% APAP diets for 17 days. APAP doses were respectively around and largely above the threshold of sulfation saturation for rats. During the last days, the rats were placed in metabolic cages in order to quantify N balance and urinary APAP metabolites. Gastrocnemius muscle mass, protein and GSH contents, N balance and plasma free cyst(e)ine were 8% (P=0.02), 7% (P=0.03), 26% (P=0.01), 37% (P=0.01), and 33% (=0.003) lower in the 1% APAP group than in the 0% APAP group, respectively. There was no significant difference in these parameters between the 0.5% APAP group and the 0% APAP group. Muscle wasting occurred when the detoxification of APAP through the GSH-dependent pathway was highly activated. Muscle protein synthesis could have been reduced due to a shortage in Cys and/or an increase in protein degradation in response to intra-muscular oxidative stress. Hence, without dietary sulfur amino acid increase, peripheral bioavailability of Cys and muscle GSH are potential players in the control of muscle mass under chronic treatment with APAP, an analgesic medication of widespread use, especially in the elderly.
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The function of skeletal muscle is to ensure posture and locomotion, and this tissue is the main reservoir of body proteins. This reservoir is mobilized in case of disease, providing amino acids to tissues involved in the physiological defense necessary for recovery (1, 2). Muscle wasting is known to occur in a wide range of conditions like chronic and inflammatory diseases, renal failure, cachexia or cancer as well as physical inactivity or old age. When advanced, muscle loss potentiates morbidity and increases mortality (3-6). Consequently, preserving and maintaining muscle mass is an important challenge, especially when ensuring optimal defense in case of disease.

Diseases are often associated with acute or chronic pain and fever and the first-line treatment recommended for pain management is paracetamol (acetaminophen, APAP) (7, 8). APAP is therefore the analgesic and antipyretic drug the most commonly used in Europe/USA (9-11) and is considered as safe (7). APAP metabolism in the liver consists mainly in phase II detoxification (up to 90%) by direct sulfate or glucuronide conjugation of APAP. In phase I metabolism (up to 10%) APAP is converted by cytochrome P450 in the highly reactive compound N-acetyl-p-benzoquinone imine (NAPQI) which is readily detoxified by conjugation with glutathione (GSH) then converted to cysteine (Cys) and mercapturate conjugates (12, 13). Cys loss is therefore expected to increase with APAP consumption as its metabolism is associated with irreversible utilization of Cys. Cys is a sulfur amino acid (SAA) which can be synthesized from methionine and serine. Cys is mainly used for proteins and GSH synthesis and is also the precursor of taurine and sulfate (14). Up to 40% of APAP intake can be metabolized as sulfur containing compounds at therapeutic dosage (13).

Physiological disruptions due to APAP have been shown in growing rats or mice (15-17). In the liver, where APAP detoxification mainly occurs, reductions of hepatic protein synthesis and GSH concentration have been observed in growing mice fed a 0.6% APAP diet (w/w)(16). These data support the idea that competition occurs in the liver between the use of Cys for detoxification of chronic administration of APAP and the other uses of Cys. Splanchnic or gastrointestinal first-pass extractions of dietary Cys represent 40–60% of the dietary intake (18, 19). Hepatic Cys use for APAP detoxification would increase the already important splanchnic first-pass extraction
and lead to a decrease in peripheral Cys availability for muscle protein synthesis. Liver GSH is known to be exported and is considered as a significant supplier of GSH and Cys to other tissues (20-22). Therefore, indirectly, APAP-induced depletion of hepatic GSH would worsen the decrease in peripheral Cys availability.

Effects of chronic treatment with APAP at whole body level have been shown in growing rats or mice fed APAP-containing diets for 2–3 weeks. Studies using diets supplemented with 0.8%, or 1% of APAP showed that rodents failed to grow (15, 16). Furthermore, a decrease in the nutritional utilization of dietary proteins has been reported in growing rats submitted to long-term APAP treatment (17). It was hypothesized that in the presence of APAP, Cys became conditionally indispensable in young growing rats for which the Cys requirement for tissue growth was elevated.

However, it is questionable as to whether such negative effects of chronic treatment with APAP would also occur in adults who exhibit milder needs for protein metabolism than growing rodents. The aim of the study was to provide an integrative view of the relation between the quantitative irreversible loss of sulfur for APAP detoxification and APAP-induced alterations regarding protein and GSH contents in various organs and tissues in adults. We hypothesized that increasing APAP in the diet of adult rats would decrease Cys availability in peripheral tissues inducing muscle loss. In this study, in addition to muscle mass, GSH and protein contents were quantified in skeletal muscle, liver and small intestine being quantitatively important tissues in terms of GSH and protein metabolisms. In order to analyze how these expected alterations could be related to the irreversible loss of sulfur, urinary APAP metabolites were quantified. Arterial plasma Cys concentration was measured as an index of peripheral Cys bioavailability. Finally, dietary intake along with feces and urine collections allowed for N balance, a complementary assessment of protein homeostasis, to be calculated.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals and experimental design

This study was conducted in 2010 in accordance with the Directive 2010/63/EU of the European Parliament and the Council on the Protection of Animals used for Scientific Purposes. The experimental protocol conformed to the 3R (replacement, reduction, refinement) rule.

Since APAP-induced metabolic alterations were expected to be dependent on dosage, two doses were chosen: 0.5% and 1% w/w of APAP in the diet. These doses were designed to be respectively around and largely above the saturation of APAP sulfation (23, 24). Therefore the contribution of the GSH-dependent detoxification pathway to total APAP detoxification was expected to be higher with the 1% APAP diet than with the 0.5% APAP diet (24, 25). This would allow to assess if APAP-induced metabolic alterations were quantitatively linked to sulfur losses for APAP detoxification purposes. Of note, as the maximum therapeutic daily dose is 4 g/d for humans and the mean dry food intake is 430 g/d in the French population (26), the maximum human dose of APAP corresponds to 0.9% of the dry food intake. Consistently, the 1% APAP diet has already been considered as corresponding to the maximum therapeutic dose for humans (15).

Before APAP treatment, male 4-month-old Wistar rats (Janvier Labs, Saint Berthevin, France), were acclimatized for 2 weeks in individual cages in standard conditions (22 ± 1°C; 12 h light/dark cycle) with free access to water and standard laboratory powder food (A04 from SAFE Scientific Animal Food and Engineering, Villemoisson-sur-Orge, France). The composition of the diet was 16% proteins, 3% fat, 60% carbohydrates, 12% water, 4% fiber, 5% vitamins and minerals. The dietary methionine content was 25.5 µmol/g and Cys 21.6 µmol/g. During the treatment period, APAP (Sigma, L’Isle d’Abeau, France) was mixed with the powdered A04 diet at 0, 0.5 and 1% on a weight basis.

Rats were randomly divided into three groups, housed in individual cages, and received 0% APAP (n = 6; body weight 465 ± 10 g), 0.5% APAP (n = 7; body weight 440 ± 8 g) or 1% APAP (n = 7; body weight 452 ± 12 g) diets. Body weights were not significantly different between groups. All groups were allowed free access to water and food during the 17-day treatment period. Similar treatment lengths had already been used in growing rats (15, 16). Body weight and food consumption were recorded 2 to 3 times a week. During the last 6 days of treatment (D12 to D17) the rats were moved into individual metabolic cages (E5MCENS906, Charles River, L’Arbresle, France) to allow for separation of feces from urine. Daily urine and feces recovered in respective collecting tubes on D15, D16 and D17 were pooled, weighed and stored at −80°C before analysis. Prior to urine collection, 5 ml of 1 M hydrochloric acid were put into the collecting tube each morning. At the end of the experiment, all animals were euthanized under pentobarbital anesthesia (50 mg/kg, intraperitoneal injection) by aortic blood sampling. An aliquot of blood was frozen in liquid nitrogen. Plasma was separated by centrifugation at 2000 × g for 10 min at 4°C and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. Liver and small intestine (SI) were immediately removed, saline washed, weighed, and frozen in liquid nitrogen. Skeletal muscles: gastrocnemius (GM), tibialis anterior (TA), soleus (SOL) and extensor digitorum longus (EDL) were carefully dissected from the left posterior leg, weighed, and then GM was frozen in liquid nitrogen. All the samples frozen in liquid nitrogen were subsequently kept at −80°C before analysis. The remains of each rat (i.e. carcass) were frozen and kept at −20°C until analysis. Frozen tissues were finely pulverized in liquid nitrogen using a ball mill (Dangoumeau, Prolabo, Paris, France) prior to analysis.

Nitrogen balance

Nitrogen (N) was quantified in thawed urine and freeze-dried feces collected from D15 to D17 and powered diets using the Kjeldahl method (Kjeldatherm block digestion system and Vapodest distillation system, Gerhardt, Les Essarts le Roi, France). N balance was calculated as: ingested N - (urinary N + fecal N) and expressed as mg per 3 days (mg/3d).

Glutathione content

Total GSH (reduced plus oxidized) was quantified with a spectrophotometer using a standard enzymatic recycling procedure and 5,5'-dithio-bis-2-nitrobenzoic acid (Ellman reagent) as oxidant as previously described (27). Total GSH was expressed as µmol per organ or muscle.

Protein content

Protein concentration was quantified after NaOH digestion of powdered tissue using a bichinchoninic acid assay reagent kit, as previously described (28). Bovine serum albumin was used as standard. Total proteins were expressed as g or mg per organ or muscle. Total whole body proteins were calculated as the product of body weight and protein concentration of rat remains and expressed in g.
**Plasma biochemical analyses**

Aspartate transaminase (AST) and alanine transaminase (ALT) activities were quantified in plasma using an ABX Pentra 400 analyzer (Horiba, Montpellier, France) and test kits A11A01629 and A11A01627 (Horiba), respectively.

Total non-protein cyst(e)ine (Cys plus cystine plus Cys bound to proteins and to small molecules through disulfide bridges) was measured with a colorimeter in plasma treated with dithiothreitol before deproteinization (29, 30). Free cyst(e)ine (Cys plus cystine plus Cys bound to small molecules) was quantified with the same method however dithiothreitol treatment was performed after protein precipitation. Then the ratio of free cyst(e)ine to total non-protein cyst(e)ine was calculated and expressed in percent.

**Quantification of urinary APAP metabolites**

APAP and its metabolites were quantified in 3-day urine samples using an Agilent 1100 HPLC liquid chromatography system (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, USA) coupled to an API 2000 triple-quadrupole mass spectrometer (Applied Biosystems/MDS Sciex, Foster City, CA, USA), as previously described (31). Quantification was performed using calibration curves with external standards. APAP and its sulfate conjugate (APAP-S) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (L’Isle d’Abeau, France), APAP glucuronide (APAP-G) from Chemos GmBH (Regenstauf, Germany), N-acetylcysteine-paracetamol (APAP-NAC) and cysteine-paracetamol (APAP-Cys) from Toronto Research Chemical (Brisbane Road, Toronto, Canada). Absolute quantities of urinary APAP and its metabolites were calculated as the product of their concentrations and the 3-day urine volume for each rat. They were expressed as µmole or mmole per 3 days (µmol/3d or mmol/3d, respectively).

**Statistical analysis**

Results are presented as medians with standard errors of the median (S.E.) or as box plots. The Mann-Whitney U test was used to analyze differences between two groups. This non-parametric test was used since variances were not homogeneous for some of the variables (F-test, P>0.05) and the number of rats per group was 6 to 7. Statistical analyses were performed using the software R 3.0.2. P values <0.05 were considered significant. The Hodges Lehman estimator related to the Mann-Whitney U test (which estimates the difference between the medians of the two groups to be compared) was used to express all the percentages of increase or decrease in one group with respect to another (100 times the Hodges Lehman estimate divided by the median of the relative group (32)).

### RESULTS

#### Food and APAP intakes

There were no significant differences in daily food intakes between the 0% APAP and 0.5% APAP groups throughout the treatment (*Table 1*). On D1-D2, food intake was 33% lower in the 1% APAP group than the 0% APAP group (P=0.003). This effect was transient since there were never any significant

#### Table 1. Dose response to chronic treatment with APAP on daily food intake (g/d) in adult rats.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APAP</th>
<th>0% Median</th>
<th>S.E.</th>
<th>0.5% Median</th>
<th>S.E.</th>
<th>1% Median</th>
<th>S.E.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D1-D2</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>16.5*</td>
<td>††</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3-D4</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D5-D7</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>22.0†</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D8-D11</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D12‡-D14</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D15-D17§</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* P=0.003 vs. 0% APAP group, Mann-Whitney U test; †P<0.05, †† P=0.003 vs. 0.5% APAP group, Mann-Whitney U test; ‡ the rats were housed into metabolic cages from D12 to D17 to allow for separation of feces from urine; § period of feces and urine collections.

#### Table 2. Dose responses to chronic treatment with APAP on body weight, organ, and skeletal muscle masses (g) in adult rats.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APAP</th>
<th>0% Median</th>
<th>S.E.</th>
<th>0.5% Median</th>
<th>S.E.</th>
<th>1% Median</th>
<th>S.E.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Body weight</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>456*</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>419*</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI‡</td>
<td>8.29</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>7.37</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>7.40**</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>2.70*</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA</td>
<td>0.833</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.785</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.790</td>
<td>0.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDL</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.209</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.203*</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOL</td>
<td>0.217</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Σ Muscles</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>3.88*</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* EDL, extensor digitorum longus muscle; GM, gastrocnemius muscle; SI, small intestine; SOL, soleus muscle; Σ muscles, sum of GM, TA, EDL and SOL masses.

**P<0.05, ** P<0.005 vs. 0% APAP group, Mann-Whitney U test.
differences in food intakes between the 0% APAP and the 1% APAP groups from D3 to D17. During the N balance period (d15-d17), there were no significant differences in food intakes between the 3 groups. Total ingested APAP during the 17-day treatment was 0, 2.0 ± 0.1 and 3.7 ± 0.3 g in the 0% APAP, 0.5% APAP and 1% APAP groups, respectively.

Body weight, organs and skeletal muscles masses

Final body weights of the 0.5% APAP and 1% APAP groups were respectively 6% (P = 0.04) and 12% (P=0.01) lower than the 0% APAP group (Table 2). There was no significant difference in any of the organs and skeletal muscles studied between the 0% APAP and 0.5% APAP groups, neither between the 0.5% APAP and 1% APAP groups (Table 2). SI, GM, EDL masses and the sum of the four muscles studied were 13% (P=0.004), 8% (P=0.02), 13% (P=0.02), and 8% (P<0.05) lower in the 1% APAP group than the 0% APAP group.

There was no significant difference in the blood concentration of total GSH between the 0% APAP group (711 ± 69 µM) and the 0.5% APAP group (747 ± 75 µM). Blood GSH reached 1169 ± 95 µM in the 1% APAP group, being 71% (P=0.003) and 59% (P=0.004) higher than in the 0% APAP and 0.5% APAP groups, respectively. There was no significant difference in the total GSH and protein contents in liver, SI and GM between the 0% APAP and 0.5% APAP groups (Fig. 2). Liver, SI and GM GSH contents were 63% (P=0.003), 19% (P=0.003) and 26% (P=0.010) lower in the 1% APAP group than the 0% APAP group, respectively. They were also 65% (P=0.002), 12% (P=0.01) and 12% (P=0.02) lower in the 1% APAP group than the 0.5% APAP group, respectively. There was no significant difference in the liver, SI and GM proteins between the 0% APAP and 0.5% APAP groups (Fig. 2). SI and GM protein contents were 7% (P=0.05 and P=0.03, respectively) lower in the 1% APAP group than the 0% APAP group. Liver protein content was 13% (P<0.01) lower in the 1% APAP group than the 0.5% APAP group.

Urinary APAP metabolites

As expected neither APAP nor APAP metabolites were detected in the urine from the 0% APAP group. There was no significant difference in the urinary APAP-S amount between the 0.5% APAP and 1% APAP groups (Fig. 3). Urinary unmodified APAP, APAP-G, APAP-NAC and APAP-Cys were 158, 4404, 351 and 136% higher in the 1% APAP group than the 0.5% APAP group (P=0.002). Total urinary recovery of APAP was 2.27 ± 0.13 and 4.38 ± 0.45 mmol/3d in the 0.5% APAP and 1% APAP groups, respectively. These recoveries represented 98 ± 7% and 92 ± 2% of the amount ingested in the 0.5% APAP and 1% APAP groups (see above), respectively. Percentages of APAP excreted as unmodified APAP, APAP-G, APAP-S and through the GSH-dependent pathway were 3, 2, 91 and 5 in the 0.5% APAP group and 6, 41, 43 and 11% in the 1% APAP group, respectively. There was no significant difference in the total urinary sulfur-containing APAP metabolites between the two groups (2.05 ± 0.20 and 2.31 ± 0.21 mmol/3d in the 0.5% APAP and 1% APAP groups, respectively). The contribution of the GSH-dependent APAP metabolites (APAP-NAC plus APAP-Cys) to the total sulfur-containing APAP metabolites increased from 5 ± 1 in the 0.5% APAP group to 21 ± 1 in the 1% APAP group.

Plasma transaminase activities and cyst(e)ine concentration

There was no significant difference in the AST and ALT activities between the three groups, expect that ALT was slightly higher in the 0.5% APAP group than the 0% APAP group (+31%; P=0.02, Table 3). Total cyst(e)ine and free cyst(e)ine were 16% (P=0.01) higher in the 0.5% APAP group than the 0% APAP group as the
Fig. 2. Dose response to chronic treatment with APAP on total GSH and protein contents in liver (A), SI (B) and GM (C) from adult rats. SI, small intestine; GM, gastrocnemius muscle.

* P<0.05, ** P<0.01, *** P<0.003 vs. 0% APAP group, Mann-Whitney U test.
† P<0.02, †† P<0.002 vs. 0.5% APAP group, Mann-Whitney U test.
percentage of free cyst(e)ine was unchanged (Table 3). Absolute and percent of free cyst(e)ine were respectively 33% (P=0.003) and 27% (P=0.02) lower in the 1% APAP than the 0% APAP group. Total cyst(e)ine, absolute and percent of free cyst(e)ine were 21% (P=0.007), 37% (P=0.003) and 25% (P=0.03) lower in the 1% APAP group than the 0.5% APAP group, respectively.

**DISCUSSION**

This study provides new data regarding the effect of APAP treatment on peripheral tissues, especially skeletal muscle. Alterations in tissue protein and GSH contents were analyzed in regards to the quantitative irreversible loss of Cys induced by chronic treatment with APAP in adult rats. The main result is that the highest studied dose, i.e. 1% APAP in the diet, which is indeed above the saturation of APAP sulfation (see below), induced muscle wasting. To our knowledge, no such effect has been previously quantified in any physiological state. In adult rats, muscle wasting was ascertained by decreases in skeletal muscle mass and protein content, and N balance in the 1% APAP group compared to the 0% APAP group. Muscle wasting occurred in the absence of hepatotoxicity as AST and ALT are definitively below the threshold levels usually considered for hepatotoxicity, i.e. 3 × control values of AST and ALT (33).

**Table 3.** Dose response to chronic treatment with APAP on transaminase activities and cyst(e)ine concentrations in plasma of adult rats.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>0.5%</th>
<th>1%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>S.E.</td>
<td>Median</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT (IU/L)</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>59*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST (IU/L)</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cyst(e)ine (µM)</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>182*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free cyst(e)ine (µM)</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>113*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free cyst(e)ine (%)</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; IU, international unit; 
* P<0.03, ** P<0.003 vs. 0% APAP group, Mann-Whitney U test; 
† P<0.04, †† P<0.01 vs. 0.5% APAP group, Mann-Whitney U test.

Fig. 3. Dose response to chronic treatment with APAP on 3-day urinary recovery of APAP and its metabolites in adult rats (□ 0.5% APAP, ■ 1% APAP). APAP, APAP-S, APAP-G and APAP-NAC are expressed in mmol/3d (left axis). APAP-Cys is expressed in µmol/3d (right axis). APAP-G, paracetamol-glucuronide; APAP-S, paracetamol-sulfate; APAP-NAC, paracetamol-N-acetyl-cysteine APAP-Cys, paracetamol-cysteine.

† P=0.002 vs. 0.5% APAP group, Mann-Whitney U test.
It is clear that muscle wasting induced by 1% APAP
treatment did not result from an increase in total urinary
excretion of sulfur-containing APAP metabolites, but was
concomitant with the activation of the GSH-dependent
detoxification pathway in the liver. It is important to stress that
the origin of the urinary sulfur loss differed between the two
APAP groups. APAP sulfation, which is a high affinity low-
capacity reaction (34) known to be maximum at the dose of 1–2
mmol of APAP/kg of body weight (23, 24), was already the
maximum in the 0.5% APAP group. Indeed, APAP-S did not
differ between the two groups as the daily intake was 1.7 and 3.4
mmol of APAP/kg of body weight in the 0.5% APAP and 1% APAP
groups, respectively. In the 1% APAP group, sulfation
saturation induced a large increase, not only in APAP-G but also
in APAP-NAC and APAP-Cys, the two endproducts of the GSH-
dependent detoxification pathway. A therapeutic dose of APAP
has been shown to stimulate the turnover of hepatic GSH in vivo
(35). Along the same lines, the activity of γ-glutamyl-cysteine
synthetase, the limiting step in the GSH synthesis pathway,
increased in liver-derived cells exposed to APAP (36). In the 1%
APAP group, the use of Cy for GSH synthesis (then irreversibly
lost in urine) probably increased and occurred at the expense of the
synthesis of liver proteins. Such an effect has already been
reported in the liver of APAP-treated mice (16). Therefore, a
decrease in liver protein synthesis was probably the major
mechanism by which the liver protein content decreased in the
1% APAP group. The decrease in the liver GSH content, a well-
known effect of APAP (12), resulted from the fact that liver
synthesis of GSH, in spite of its probable increase, was not
sufficient to compensate for its total uses.
Liver GSH plays an important role in the inter-organ
homeostasis of GSH and cyst(e)ine (21). About half of the SAA
taken up by the liver is used for synthesis of GSH for export into
plasma in rats (37). This continuous release of hepatic GSH into
plasma and its extrahepatic hydrolysis (interorgan
γ-glutamyl cycle) is an important mechanism for maintaining steady Cys
availability in peripheral tissues (21, 38, 39). The large decrease
in liver GSH observed in the 1% APAP group would have
compromised its export and therefore the Cys availability for
peripheral tissues. Concomitantly, the decrease in plasma free
cyst(e)ine concentration, consecutive to its enhanced utilization
in the liver from detoxification purposes, would have worsened
peripheral Cys availability. Peripheral shortage of Cys would have limited both GSH and protein synthesis leading to the low
muscle GSH and protein contents observed in muscle from the
1% APAP group.
Erythrocytes exhibit a much higher GSH concentration than
plasma and seem to lack any cystine and GSH transport
mechanism taking up only Cys (reduced form) for their needs
(21). The mechanism by which blood GSH increased in the 1%
APAP group could be an activation of either Cys (reduced form)
uptake or intracellular GSH synthesis. Whatever the mechanism,
a similar increase in blood GSH, along with a decrease in liver
GSH, have been reported in rats fed a SAA-deficient diet (40).
Blood GSH increase would therefore reinforce SAA deficiency
for peripheral tissues.
At whole body level Cys is a conditional indispensable
amino acid, i.e. it has to be present in the diet when its
endogenous synthesis from methionine and serine cannot cover
the metabolic demand. Cys may be indispensable for tissues
exhibiting low or null activities for enzymes required for the
synthesis of Cys from homocysteine, namely cystathionine
synthase and cystathionase (41). To date mRNA of these
enzymes were not detected in rat or human skeletal muscle (42-
44) and the activity of cystathionase appeared dramatically low
in rat muscle (44). Endogenous synthesis of Cys would be null or
negligible in muscle. In this study, where SAA intake was not
significantly modified during APAP treatment, the increased
utilization of Cys for APAP detoxification in the liver decreased
the availability of Cys for peripheral tissues, as discussed above.
Considering simultaneous availabilities of all the amino acids
needed for protein synthesis, Cys deficiency may have limited
protein synthesis in muscle, leading to an increase in the
oxidation of the other amino acids, and therefore worsening of the
N balance. The relevance of such a mechanism could be tested by
supplementing the 1% APAP diet with Cys.
Other mechanisms could also have been involved in APAP-
duced muscle wasting. Muscle homeostasis is known to depend
on the equilibrium between protein synthesis and
proteolysis and the equilibrium between regeneration and
apoptosis. These processes are highly regulated through various
signalling pathways (45, 46). Some of these pathways are sensitive
to oxidative stress (47-50). Decreases in muscle GSH, the
major intra-cellular anti-oxidant, and plasma free cyst(e)ine in
the 1% APAP group are in favour of a pro-oxidative
environment. Therefore, it is conceivable that part of the APAP-
duced muscle wasting resulted from oxidative stress-driven
alterations in protein turnover and/or muscle fibre fibre
renewal. The relevance of an oxidative stress-mediated mechanism for APAP-
duced muscle wasting could be tested with any dietary
supplementation aimed reducing oxidative stress. Indeed
polyphenols, exhibiting anti-oxidants properties, have already
been shown to decrease APAP-induced hepatotoxicity (51-53).
In addition to anti-oxidants, new hepato-protective compounds,
such as the pentadecapeptide BPC 157 (54), could also be tested.
The effect of the 1% APAP diet on body weight is consistent
with the work performed in young growing rats fed with a diet
containing 1% of APAP (15). The fact that a chronic treatment
with APAP did not affect body weight in a more recent study
(55) could be due to experimental differences with respect to age
of rats, APAP dosage and length of study. Moreover, in that study
APAP was administered by gavage, leading to absorption of
APAP only at a specific time of the day and not spread
throughout the day as in this study.
As usual, extrapolation of rat results to humans should be
carried out with caution. Firstly, the 1% APAP diet is close to
the maximum dose used daily in humans (4 g/d) and has already
been considered as corresponding to the maximum therapeutic
dose for humans (see Material and Methods). Nevertheless,
terspecies comparisons of doses should take into account body
surface area using adequate formulas (36, 57). According to
these conversion formulas, the APAP dose consumed by the 1%
APAP group was 1.5- to 1.7-fold the maximum dose used daily
in humans. Secondly, recent works evaluated the influence of
acute or chronic treatment with APAP on exercised skeletal
muscle. In the acute study, the 24 h-postexercise-induced
increase in the fractional rate of protein synthesis of exercised
muscle was blunted by APAP treatment (4 g/d) in young adults
(58). The authors suggested that APAP inhibited the anabolic
effect of exercise. The chronic study was performed in
overweight older adults subjected to resistance exercise (3 times
a week) and treated with APAP (4 g/d) for 12 weeks, a
significantly longer period than this study. Unexpectedly, APAP
treatment potentiated the exercise-induced increase in the
volume of exercised muscle (quadriceps femoris muscle) and
maintained muscle protein concentration (vastus lateralis
muscle) (59). This anabolic effect of APAP, mediated through
prostaglandin-dependent mechanisms related to protein
synthesis and protein degradation (60), was limited to exercised
muscle since non-exercised muscles (semitendinosus, semimembranosus,
and biceps femoris muscles) were unaffected by
APAP treatment (59). The occurrence of APAP-induced anabolic
effect in exercised muscle suggests that all amino acids were
available for muscle. Unfortunately the dietary intake of these
older adults was not reported. It has already been shown that older adults increased their protein intake when under chronic treatment with APAP (61). As already discussed in that paper, APAP-treated persons at high risk of muscle wasting are likely to be those exhibiting a low protein intake.

In conclusion, in adult rats, sulfur loss through urinary APAP metabolites was independent of the treatment dose, however, the contribution of the GSH-dependent detoxification pathway to this sulfur loss dramatically increased with the 1% APAP treatment (21 vs. 5%). The extra-utilization of Cys induced by chronic treatment with 1% APAP affected protein and GSH contents in the studied tissues. This dose induced muscle wasting. Several mechanisms could contribute to the APAP-induced muscle wasting. The major one could be insufficient bioavailability of Cys for muscle maintenance due to APAP detoxification in the liver. Decrease of muscle GSH could also generate a pro-oxidative environment, a factor known to potentially contribute to muscle wasting. It would be worthwhile to test whether muscle wasting and the other metabolic alterations observed with the 1% APAP diet could be reversed by increasing dietary SAA. This study suggests that peripheral bioavailability of Cys and muscle GSH are potential important players in the control of muscle mass in chronic treatment with APAP, an analgesic medication of widespread use, especially in the elderly.

Abbreviations: APAP, paracetamol (acetaminophen, N-acetyl-para-aminophenol, 4-hydroxy-acetanilide); APAP-S, APAP-sulfate; APAP-G, APAP-glucuronide; APAP-NAC, APAP-N-acetylcysteine; APAP-Cys, APAP-cysteine; Cys, cysteine; EDL, extensor digitorum longus; GM, gastrocnemius; GSH, glutathione; N, nitrogen; NAPQI: p-(benzoquinone imine, SAA: sulfur amino acid reservoir during cystine depletion in growing rats. J Nutr 1984; 114: 1853-1862.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to acknowledge Philippe Lhoste and Philippe Denis from the Installation Experimentale de Nutrition for animal care, Francoise Glomot and Fabienne Rambourdin for the biochemical analyses and Melanie Petera for her help in the statistical analyses. This work was supported by Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique (INRA), France.

Conflicts of interest: None declared.

REFERENCES
