

# **Major haplotype divergence including multiple germin-like protein genes, at the wheat Sr2 adult plant stem rust resistance locus**

Rohit Mago, Linda Tabe, Sonia S. Vautrin, Marie Kubaláková, Narayana Upadhyaya, Helene H. Berges, Xiuying Kong, James Breen, Jaroslav Dolezel, Rudi Appels, et al.

# **To cite this version:**

Rohit Mago, Linda Tabe, Sonia S. Vautrin, Marie Kubaláková, Narayana Upadhyaya, et al.. Major haplotype divergence including multiple germin-like protein genes, at the wheat Sr2 adult plant stem rust resistance locus. BMC Plant Biology, 2014, 14, article 379 (11 p.). 10.1186/s12870-014-0379-z. hal-02631237

# **HAL Id: hal-02631237 <https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02631237v1>**

Submitted on 27 May 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# **RESEARCH ARTICLE Example 2014 CONSIDERING CONSIDE**



# Major haplotype divergence including multiple germin-like protein genes, at the wheat Sr2 adult plant stem rust resistance locus

Rohit Mago<sup>1†</sup>, Linda Tabe<sup>1†</sup>, Sonia Vautrin<sup>2</sup>, Hana Šimková<sup>3</sup>, Marie Kubaláková<sup>3</sup>, Narayana Upadhyaya<sup>1</sup>, Hélène Berges<sup>2</sup> , Xiuying Kong<sup>4</sup>, James Breen<sup>5,6</sup>, Jaroslav Doležel<sup>3</sup>, Rudi Appels<sup>5</sup>, Jeffrey G Ellis<sup>1</sup> and Wolfgang Spielmeyer<sup>1\*</sup>

# Abstract

Background: The adult plant stem rust resistance gene Sr2 was introgressed into hexaploid wheat cultivar (cv) Marquis from tetraploid emmer wheat cv Yaroslav, to generate stem rust resistant cv Hope in the 1920s. Subsequently, Sr2 has been widely deployed and has provided durable partial resistance to all known races of Puccinia graminis f. sp. tritici. This report describes the physical map of the Sr2-carrying region on the short arm of chromosome 3B of cv Hope and compares the Hope haplotype with non-Sr2 wheat cv Chinese Spring.

Results: Sr2 was located to a region of 867 kb on chromosome 3B in Hope, which corresponded to a region of 567 kb in Chinese Spring. The Hope Sr2 region carried 34 putative genes but only 17 were annotated in the comparable region of Chinese Spring. The two haplotypes differed by extensive DNA sequence polymorphisms between flanking markers as well as by a major insertion/deletion event including ten Germin-Like Protein (GLP) genes in Hope that were absent in Chinese Spring. Haplotype analysis of a limited number of wheat genotypes of interest showed that all wheat genotypes carrying Sr2 possessed the GLP cluster; while, of those lacking Sr2, some, including Marquis, possessed the cluster, while some lacked it. Thus, this region represents a common presence-absence polymorphism in wheat, with presence of the cluster not correlated with presence of Sr2. Comparison of Hope and Marquis GLP genes on 3BS found no polymorphisms in the coding regions of the ten genes but several SNPs in the shared promoter of one divergently transcribed GLP gene pair and a single SNP downstream of the transcribed region of a second GLP.

**Conclusion:** Physical mapping and sequence comparison showed major haplotype divergence at the Sr2 locus between Hope and Chinese Spring. Candidate genes within the Sr2 region of Hope are being evaluated for the ability to confer stem rust resistance. Based on the detailed mapping and sequencing of the locus, we predict that Sr2 does not belong to the NB-LRR gene family and is not related to previously cloned, race non-specific rust resistance genes Lr34 and Yr36.

Keywords: Adult plant resistance (APR), Map-based cloning, Sr2, Germin-like proteins (GLPs), Wheat stem rust, Puccinia graminis, Physical mapping, Gene expression

# Background

Wheat stem rust caused by the fungal pathogen *Puccinia* graminis Pers. f. sp. tritici (Pgt) is a major worldwide threat to wheat crops. The re-appearance of stem rust epidemics in East Africa and their spread eastward to Yemen and Iran, based on Ug99- a wheat stem rust isolate virulent on a large proportion of the world's current

Equal contributors



Highly effective stem rust resistance was introgressed into hexaploid wheat cv, Marquis from the rust-resistant, cultivated emmer wheat cv Yaroslav from crosses initiated in



© 2014 Mago et al.; licensee BioMed Central. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver [\(http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/](http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/)) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: [wolfgang.spielmeyer@csiro.au](mailto:wolfgang.spielmeyer@csiro.au) †

<sup>1</sup> CSIRO Agriculture Flagship, Canberra, ACT 2601, Australia

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

1916 by American breeder E.S. McFadden. Crosses were made between Yaroslav emmer and several varieties of hard red spring wheat, but were only successful with cv Marquis. Several years of selection for stem rust resistance, quality and yield among progeny derived from a single  $F_1$  plant resulted in the selections  $H_{44-24}$ ,  $H_{29-24}$  and  $H_{35-24}$ . The line  $H_{29-24}$  was later released as the variety Hope [3]. High levels of field resistance against Pgt were observed in Hope and  $H_{44-24}$  (later re-named H44). This source of stem rust resistance was then used widely in the US, Australian and CIMMYT wheat breeding programs. McIntosh et al. [4] and Knott [5] genetically characterised the basis of resistance in Hope and H44, and identified three race-specific genes; Sr7b from the Marquis parent, and Sr9d and Sr17 from Yaroslav. In addition Hare and McIntosh [6] described an adult plant, partial resistance gene, Sr2, effective against all tested Pgt strains. Using substitution lines carrying each Hope chromosome in Chinese Spring, Hare and McIntosh [6] mapped Sr2 to chromosome arm 3BS. Selection in breeding programs for high levels of field resistance to stem rust derived from cv Hope combined Sr2 with various seedling resistance genes, which resulted in effective stem rust resistance present in many wheat cultivars [7-10]. The partial resistance of Sr2 has remained effective for almost a century in all wheat growing areas of the world, and is also effective against Ug99 and its derivatives. However, to be economically effective, Sr2 must be combined with seedling resistance genes [11]. Consequently, the Sr2 gene will continue to form an important component of resistance gene combinations required to control stem rust epidemics.

The resistance conferred by Sr2 is characterized by a nonhypersensitive, partial resistance response, with varying effectiveness under field conditions. Sr2 is one of the few race non-specific, adult plant, resistance (APR) genes currently used to provide protection against stem rust in wheat. Sr2 stem rust resistance displays a dosage dependent resistance phenotype ("partial hemizygous effective" [6]), and is linked to pseudo-black chaff (PBC), a phenotypic trait that causes varying degrees of dark pigmentation on the stem internodes and glumes [8]. In certain backgrounds, Sr2 is also associated with race specific seedling resistance to leaf rust caused by P. triticina, due to the action of two complementary resistance genes, Lr27, which is linked to Sr2 [12] and the Lr31 gene on chromosome 4B [8,13]. The basis of this complementary effect is unknown. We have demonstrated that a gene for resistance to wheat powdery mildew, Blumeria graminis f. sp. triticae (Bgt), also maps to the Sr2 locus, but whether this resistance is race specific is unknown at this stage.

As a first step towards positional cloning of the gene, we previously mapped Sr2 on the short arm of chromosome 3B, constructed a high resolution map of the region [14], and were unable to break the linkage between Sr2, Lr27, powdery mildew resistance and the phenotypic marker, PBC [12]. In the present paper, we produce a physical map

of the Sr2 region from Hope 3B, determine its DNA sequence and compare the haplotypes and gene content of the Sr2 locus in Hope and Chinese Spring wheats. This analysis has revealed a major presence-absence polymorphism in tetraploid and hexaploid wheat for a cluster of ten germin-like protein genes.

## **Methods**

#### Plant populations and phenotyping

The generation of the high resolution mapping family between Chinese Spring and the chromosome 3B substitution line Chinese Spring (Hope3B) and the stem and leaf rust rust phenotyping has been described previously [12,14]. Seeds of emmer (Triticum turgidum var. dicoccum) accessions including cv Yaroslav and of Triticum aestivum cv Marquis and cv Hope were obtained from the Australian Winter Cereal Collection, Tamworth. The Chinese Spring 3BS deletion stock (3BS-8) was obtained from Wheat Genetic and Genomic Resource Center, Kansas State University, USA. The CAP lines were part of the US Wheat Coordinated Agricultural Project (CAP) and kindly provided by Gina Brown-Guedira USDA.

#### Construction of the 3B-specific BAC library from cv. Hope

The BAC library from chromosome 3B of cv. Hope consisted of two sub-libraries designated TaaHop3BFhA and TaaHop3BFhB, respectively. Both sub-libraries were prepared from the DNA of chromosome 3B purified by flowcytometric sorting [15,16] (Additional file 1: Figure S1). Purified genomic DNA was partially digested with *Hin*dIII and cloned into the pIndigoBAC-5 vector (Epicentre, Madison, USA). The TaaHop3BFhA sub library was constructed from a limited number of chromosomes (10<sup>6</sup>, corresponding to  $\sim$ 1.8 μg DNA) as described in Šafář et al. [17], with some modifications. The smaller amount of DNA was compensated by lower than normal stringency size selection, including just one size-selection step and usage of DNA fractions of a relatively smaller size (55– 80 kb and 80–115 kb). This resulted in a BAC library consisting of 92,160 clones, with an average insert size of 78 kb. This sub-library represented ~6-fold coverage of chromosome 3B. The TaaHop3BFhB sub library was constructed from  $2 \times 10^6$  chromosomes (~3.6 µg DNA) by applying two size-selection steps as described in Šimková et al. [18]. The higher amount of DNA allowed efficient cloning of larger DNA fragments (145–220 kb), resulting in a library of 43,776 BAC clones with mean insert size of 160 kb, which also represented ~6-fold coverage of chromosome 3B. Screening of the library was done using <sup>32</sup>P labeled DNA probe using standard protocols.

#### Construction of a non-gridded BAC library from cv. Hope

A second BAC library designated Tae-B-Hope-ng was constructed from cv Hope genomic DNA without

chromosome sorting, at the Centre National de Resources Génomiques Végétales (CNRGV)- INRA, France. It was produced using a non-gridded BAC library construction protocol based on Isidore et al. [19] with the following modifications: (1) growth of pooled BAC clones in liquid LB medium; (2) BAC pools DNA amplification by whole genome Genomiphi.v2 phi29 enzyme kit (GE Healthcare) instead of DNA extraction; (3) use of secondary pooling steps in order to identify positive clone coordinates with less screening effort after clone picking. High molecular weight (HMW) DNA was prepared from 20 g of leaf material from cv. Hope. Embedded HMW DNA was partially digested with HindIII (Sigma-Aldrich, St-Louis, Missouri), size selected, eluted and ligated into pIndigoBAC-5 HindIII-Cloning Ready vector (Epicentre Biotechnologies, Madison, Wisconsin). Two successive HMW DNA extractions and three independent sizing steps led to production of 336,670 BAC clones (101 kb average insert size) representing a total ~2-fold coverage of genome. BAC clones were divided into 268 pools before overnight growth and DNA amplification. Pools were screened using specific PCR markers previously developed from clones in the 3B sorted library. The primer sequences for the probe PCR fragments and PCR markers are summarized in Additional file 2: Table S1.

#### BAC sequencing and sequence assembly

BAC clones from the Sr2 locus of Hope were isolated using probes from the previously characterised 3B locus of Chinese Spring and were sequenced by a BAC-by-BAC shotgun method at  $10\times$  coverage using Applied Biosystems capillary sequencing. Base calling, quality trimming and assembly of the raw sequence reads into contigs were done using the PHRED/PHRAP/CONSED package [20,21] and GAP4 [22] from the Staden package was used for data integration and sequence finishing. The BACs isolated from the non-enriched Hope genomic DNA library were sequenced using Roche 454 sequencing platform. A hybrid assembly of the sequence from 454 and Sanger sequencing was done using Newbler v. 2.3. Additional gap closure was performed on the assembled scaffold sequences by sequencing pooled BAC DNA and an 8 kb paired end library using Roche 454 sequencing platform. Scaffolds were also assembled using Sequencher v. 5.2. Annotated sequence of Sr2 region in Hope has been deposited in GenBank (Acc no. KP244323).

#### Gene annotation: gene prediction

Genes were annotated using the gene prediction software packages FGENESH ([www.softberry.com\)](http://www.softberry.com), AUGUSTUS (<http://bioinf.uni-greifswald.de/augustus/>) and GENSCAN (<http://genes.mit.edu/GENSCAN.html>). Gene calls were also made using CLC Genomics workbench v, 7.04. The

predicted gene sequences were used for BLASTX and BLASTP analysis against protein databases (NCBI protein and Swiss-prot databases) to identify candidate genes and determine whether they were full length. Intron-exon structure of predicted genes was refined by BLAST comparisons of the predicted gene sequences with the Expressed Sequence Tag (EST) database at NCBI [\(http://www.ncbi.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/dbEST/) [nlm.nih.gov/dbEST/](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/dbEST/)).

# Gene annotation: RNA-seq

Further expressed sequences in the Sr2 region were identified by aligning RNA-seq reads from leaves of cv Hope with the *Sr2* genomic sequence. Because of the association of mildew and rust resistance at the Sr2 locus, this RNA was isolated from plants that were inoculated with mildew at four weeks after sowing by applying spores of a glasshouse isolate of Bgt to the abaxial surface of the leaves with a dry paint brush, followed by application of a fine spray of water. Plants were maintained under a shade curtain in the glasshouse at 23°C, in tubs of shallow water to increase humidity, and leaves were sampled at 15 hours after inoculation, and at five days after inoculation. Total RNA was isolated using a Qiagen RNA-Easy Plant Minikit, and RNA was DNase-treated on the column using the Qiagen protocol. A pooled sample containing 50% each of DNase-treated RNA isolated from leaves collected at 15 hours and five days after inoculation was sequenced by the Australian Genome Research Facility. RNA-seq was quality trimmed and aligned to the Hope Sr2 genomic sequence using CLC-Genomics Workbench. Expressed regions of the genomic sequence, for which RNA-seq reads aligned at 100% identity, were annotated by BLASTN comparison with DNA sequence databases.

#### Mapping

Gene sequences identified in the Sr2 region of Hope and CS were mapped as PCR markers (Additional file 2: Table S1) using the high resolution mapping family described previously [12,14]. The specificity of PCR markers to chromosome 3B was confirmed using the DNA of the Chinese Spring 3BS-8 deletion line. PCRs were performed in a volume of 20 μl with 10 mM of each primer, 0.2 mM dNTPs,  $1.5 \text{ mM } MgCl_2$ ,  $1.0 \text{ units } G \text{ of } TgCl_2$  DNA polymerase (Promega) and 100–200 ng of template DNA. The annealing temperature used for PCR was generally 3-4°C below the  $T_m$  of the primers used.

## Results

# A BAC contig for the Sr2 region in the stem rust resistant cv Hope

Although the sequence information from the non-Sr2 carrying wheat Chinese Spring (henceforth CS) wheat was useful initially [23,24], the characterisation of the locus required BAC clones from the Sr2 carrying region of Hope

wheat. A BAC library was prepared from flow sorted chromosome 3B of Hope and screened with low copy markers derived from the ends of CS BAC clones. A total of 11 BACs were identified that formed six contigs (Figure 1). Efforts to isolate additional BAC clones from this library, and to close the gaps between the contigs were unsuccessful. To complete the physical map, an additional whole genome BAC library was developed from Hope, and screened with markers flanking the gaps. The positions of the seven newly selected BAC clones were confirmed on the basis of sequence identity with overlapping regions from the BACs derived from the 3B chromosome-sorted library and also genetic mapping of markers which were derived from these new clones. In total, the 18 BAC clones formed a single contig of 968 kb, and included the distal and proximal markers RKO\_1 and DOX\_1 that recombined with Sr2. The same interval in CS was 567 kb long [24] indicating that the two haplotypes were substantially divergent and included deletion/insertion events. The DNA sequence of the 968 kb Sr2 region (Genbank KP244323) was determined by sequencing all 18 BAC clones.

## Gene annotation of the Hope Sr2 genomic region sequence and comparison to CS

Gene annotation of the 968 kb Sr2 region was done using gene prediction software and by comparison with

the published CS genomic sequence covering the region (Genbank accession FN645450). In addition, sequences from the Hope Sr2 region that were expressed in pathogen challenged leaves of Hope were identified by alignment of RNA-seq data with the Sr2 region DNA sequence. Table 1 lists sequentially all identified genes and their sequence coordinates in the Sr2 genomic region of Hope compared to the corresponding region of the previously annotated CS sequence. The annotation identified 34 genes and gene fragments from the Hope Sr2 cosegregating region between the  $RKO_1$  and  $DOX_1$  flanking markers whereas only 17 genes and gene fragments were identified in the corresponding region of CS.

Based on the level of sequence identity between CS and Hope, the Sr2 locus was divided into two sections (Figures 1 and 2). The proximal section (section 1, 45 kb to 360 kb) of the Hope Sr2 locus sequence showed high similarity with the corresponding region from CS. Eight genes were annotated in section 1 of both Hope and CS, with 2 additional genes present in CS (Table 1) [24]. The length and gene content of this sub-region were closely comparable between CS and Hope, except for a segment of approximately 40 kb in CS, containing a Flowering Locus T– like gene and EMB\_2, which were missing in Hope (Table 1). Most of the shared genes in section 1 contained several DNA sequence polymorphisms between the CS and Hope, and their



	No. Name	Annotation	start	Hope gene Hope gene CS gene id end		CS gene pos
$\mathbf{1}$	$MSF_1^S$	Male sterility factor	29132	35097	ctg0011b.00010.1	8024
$\overline{2}$	$EP_1^S$	Hypothetical protein	39298	41832	ctg0011b.00020.1	16001
3	$RKO_1^5$	Receptor kinase	45570	48036	ctg0011b.00030.1	21207
$\overline{4}$	$MSF_2^S$	Male sterility factor	174463	180047	ctg0011b.00040.1	130246
5	Flowering Locus T-like_1	Flowering Locus T-like protein	207129	208229	ctg0011b.00050.1	154860*
6	Flowering Locus T-like_2	Flowering Locus T-like protein	274176	275721	ctg0011b.00060.1	218850
7	Flowering Locus T-like_3	Flowering Locus T-like protein	322283	323263	ctg0011b.00070.1	281996
8	$RKO_2$	receptor kinase	329447	331621	ctg0011b.00080.1	293102
9	RKO_3	receptor kinase	332015	335105	ctg0011b.00090.1	295683
10	$EMB_1$	PPR repeat domain containing protein	339212	341395	ctg0011b.000100.1 307937	
11	Flowering Locus T-like <sup>#</sup>	Flowering Locus T-like protein			ctg0011b.000110.1 351119	
12	$EMB_2^*$	PPR repeat domain containing protein			ctg0011b.000120.1 379263	
13	WD domain*	similar to WD domain, G-beta repeat	349395	355055	ctg0011b.000130.1 385794	
14	$GLP_1$	Germin-like protein	398118	398929		
15	$GLP_2$	Germin-like protein	399614	400424		
16	$GLP_3$	Germin-like protein	423764	424562		
17	$GLP_4$	Germin-like protein	425172	425984		
18	$GLP_10*$	Germin-like protein	444653	445216		
19	$GLP_6*$	Germin-like protein	455726	456520		
20	$GLP_5*$	Germin-like protein	463024	463811		
21	$GLP_8$	Germin-like protein	474211	475020		
22	$GLP_9$	Germin-like protein	475777	476588		
23	$GLP_7$	Germin-like protein	508822	509633		
24	TPR1	Tetratricopeptide repeat domain containing protein	546645	549809	ctg0011b.000140.1 435705	
25	D8LAL <sub>2</sub>	Hypothetical protein	562871	565156	ctg0011b.000160.1 447301	
26	CD882879	Hypothetical protein	587682	589820		464713
27	TPR2*	Tetratricopeptide repeat domain containing protein 613921		615236	ctg0011b.000170.1 498370	
28	D8LAL1	Hypothetical protein	625926	626996	ctg0011b.000150.1 443924	
29	CA696601	Hypothetical protein	670987	671361		
30	TPR2*	Tetratricopeptide repeat domain containing protein 692673		695113	ctg0011b.000170.1 498370	
31	CN010964	Hypothetical protein	710337	711484		574223*
32	CA694126	No annotation	712343	712774		507295*
33	HP626985	Hypothetical protein	776063	776392		507441*
34	CN010964	Hypothetical protein	809317	810476		574223*
35	FLA30	fasciclin-like arabinogalactan precursor	840622	841206	ctg0011b.000180.1	510269
36	BEX_1	beta-expansin 1a precursor	846394	847826	ctg0011b.000190.1 561427	
37	BEX_2	beta-expansin 1a precursor	854813	856068	ctg0011b.000200.1 570188	
38	BEX 3	beta-expansin 1a precursor	892334	893409		
39	BM138011_1	BTB-domain containing protein	909112	909465		
40	$DOX_1^S$	disulfide oxidoreductase	914790	916571	ctg0011b.000210.1	590774
41	CA681101 <sup>\$</sup>	Hypothetical protein	923311	923709		599356
42	BM138011_2 <sup>\$</sup>	BTB-domain containing protein	926622	926983		602889
43	BTB-domain containing protein <sup>5</sup>		957404	959539		109661*
44	$RGA_1$ <sup>\$*</sup>	similar to disease resistance RPM1-like protein	960894	966749	ctg0011b.000220.1	704997

Table 1 Gene annotation of Hope Sr2 region and comparison with the corresponding region in CS

<sup>\$</sup>Recombining genes; \*partial genes/pseudogenes; Not present in Hope.

predicted protein products differed by one or more amino acid polymorphisms.

In section two (360 kb to 915 kb; Figures 1 and 2), distal to the shared WD-domain pseudogene, the Hope and CS regions differed markedly. In this region, 26 putative genes were annotated in Hope, whereas only seven genes or gene fragments were annotated in CS. (Table 1). Small regions of high similarity between Hope and CS were evident at 560-590 kb and at 900-968 kb (Figure 1) but apart from these islands of similarity, the two DNA sequences diverged radically. Most notably, an insertion of approximately 100 kb containing ten genes annotated as germin-like proteins (GLPs) was present in Hope, positioned between CS gene ids ctg0011b.000130.1 and 140.1 (Table 1). Despite the lack of overall sequence similarity across the distal part of the locus, other genes that were annotated between WD-domain and DOX\_1 were present in both haplotypes (Table 1, Figure 1). This section of the Sr2 locus contained several genes of unknown function (hypothetical proteins, Table 1) that were identified by alignment of the genomic sequence with RNA seq data. These expressed sequences were not annotated as putative genes in the published CS sequence (FN645450), and are listed with the accession number of the most similar GenBank EST in Table 1. For CA696601, CN010964, CA694126, HP626985, CN010964 and BM138011, the sequences in Hope were predicted to encode small proteins (e.g. 84 amino acids for CN010964), but in CS the putative genes were truncated. Overall, the DNA regions that encode these predicted proteins showed 80 to 92% DNA sequence identity between the Sr2 haplotypes. CD882879 encoded a predicted protein of 374 amino acids in Hope and 378 amino acids in CS. Re-sequencing of the gene

from CS revealed an error in the deposited FN645450 CS sequence, in which insertion of an extra nucleotide disrupted the open reading frame, resulting in the gene not being annotated in the original CS genomic sequence [24]. The protein coding regions of the CD882879 genes from Hope and CS shared 92% DNA identity, predicting proteins with 90% amino acid identity.

Hypothetical genes D8LAL1 and D8LAL2 were positioned 4 kb from each other in the CS genomic sequence. In contrast, the relative position of these genes was reversed and 60 kb apart in Hope, indicating that inversion and insertion events occurred at this position between the two haplotypes. Predicted genes encoding a fasciclin-like arabinogalactan precursor (FLA; gene id ctg0011b.000180.1), two beta-expansin 1a precursor proteins (BEX\_1 and BEX\_2; gene ids ctg0011b.000190.1, ctg0011b.000200.1), and a putative disulfide oxidoreductase (DOX\_1; gene id ctg0011b.000210.1) formed the distal end of the Sr2 locus in both Hope and CS. All these genes were also present in the corresponding Hope region, as well as an additional copy of the truncated tetratricopeptide repeat domain containing protein, TPR\_2 and a beta-expansin precursor, annotated as BEX\_3 (Table 1).

## Mapping Sr2 with respect to newly derived DNA markers

To establish the region that co-segregated with Sr2, PCR markers developed from polymorphic regions of the Hope and CS sequences were mapped in the high resolution mapping family developed by Kota et al. [14]. At the proximal end of the Sr2 region, the marker from the MSF\_1 gene (CS gene id ctg0011b.00010.1) was separated from Sr2 in two recombinants, while the marker from the RKO\_1 gene (CS gene id ctg0011b.00030.1)



was separated by one recombinant. At the distal end, marker RGA\_1, derived from a nucleotide bindingleucine rich repeat gene (NB-LRR), was separated from Sr2 in two recombinants, while DOX\_1 (CS gene id ctg0011b.000210.1) was separated in a single recombinant. Markers developed from genes between RKO\_1 and DOX 1 co-segregated with  $Sr2$ . The genes shared between Hope and CS all encoded proteins with one or more polymorphisms between the two haplotypes, and several genes, including the GLPs were specific to Hope. Thus, sequence comparisons alone were unable to pinpoint a specific candidate for Sr2.

#### Sr2-linked GLPs

The GLP genes co-segregating with Sr2 were of particular interest because of the reported association of GLPs with plant defence ([25] and references therein). Following the convention used for naming GLPs on chromosome 8 of rice [26], the ten GLPs from the Sr2 locus were named TaGLP3\_1 to TaGLP3\_10 (Triticum aestivum Germin-like proteins from chromosome 3, numbered from proximal to distal). TaGLP3\_5, 6 and 10 were pseudogenes because they encoded truncated proteins compared to the other GLPs. Of the remaining seven apparently full-length genes, six, (TaGLP3\_ 1/2, −3/4 and - 8/9) were organised as divergently-transcribed pairs, each separated by 610– 675 bps between predicted ATG translation start

codons, presumably constituting a short, shared promoter (Figure 3). Only TaGLP3\_7 was present as a single gene. Sequence comparison showed that the Sr2 locus TaGLP3s could be organised into 2 sequence groups (Table 2 and Figure 4). One group consisted of GLPs 1, 3, 6, 8 and 10 (TaGLP3\_1 group), while GLPs 2, 4, 5, 7 and 9 (TaGLP3\_2 group) formed the second category. The sequence identity between members within a group ranged between 93-98% while the identity between the GLPs in different groups was 77-82%. For divergently transcribed genes, the gene pair consists of one member of each group. Comparisons of the derived amino acid sequences of the wheat TaGLP3s with sequences from wheat, barley and rice showed that the TaGLP3s were most closely related to a previously undescribed, single, divergently transcribed pair of barley GLPs at a putatively orthologous locus on chromosome 3H ([http://plants.ensembl.org/Hordeum\\_vulgare/Info/](http://plants.ensembl.org/Hordeum_vulgare/Info/Index) [Index\)](http://plants.ensembl.org/Hordeum_vulgare/Info/Index). Less similar sequences were also present in other cereals, including rice and Brachypodium, although not necessarily at syntenic genomic locations. The TaGLP3s and the orthologous barley GLP gene pair products shared more than 90% amino acid identity with each other, and shared 80 to 84% identity with the next most similar sequences in barley, the Ger4 sub-family, and with the most similar sequences in rice, a group of Ger4 sub-family OsGLP8s on chromosome 8 (Figure 4).



Table 2 Comparison of Hope Sr2 locus TaGLP3 predicted protein sequences

		1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1.	TaGLP3_1	100						
2.	TaGLP3 2	86	100					
3.	TaGLP3 3	97	88	100				
4.	TaGLP3_4	78	89	79	100			
5.	TaGLP3_7	85	96	87	88	100		
6.	TaGLP3_8	96	86	96	77	85	100	
7.	TaGLP3 9	85	96	87	87	97	85	100



Figure 4 Phylogenetic tree of predicted amino acid sequences of full length TaGLP3 genes from the Hope Sr2 locus compared with the most similar available sequences from barley, rice and wheat, most of which were reported to be involved in disease resistance [25,26,36,37]. The phylogenetic tree was constructed by neighbour-joining method using MEGA program version 6.0. TaGLP3s are indicated by a red border.

## Amplification of TaGLP3s from hexaploid and tetraploid wheat

To further investigate the TaGLP3 presence/absence polymorphism in other wheat genotypes, we designed PCR primers based on Hope coding and non-coding sequences for all the TaGLP3s (including approximately 1 kb flanking sequence) to determine if the large insertion carrying these GLPs was present in other wheats. All GLPs amplified from genomic DNA of the wheat cultivar Marquis (see Figure 3 for regions which were sequenced in Marquis), which was the original recipient of Sr2 from emmer, while none could be amplified from CS indicating that this polymorphism existed in hexaploid wheat before introgression of Sr2. Three SNPs and two nucleotide insertions were identified in the shared promoter of TaGLP3\_ 1/2 between Hope and Marquis (Figure 3). Sequencing of the promoter region and predicted coding sequences of TaGLP3\_1 and 3–2 from diverse germplasm including CAP10, CAP12, CAP13, CAP16, CAP30, CAP31 and CAP32 revealed only the Hope or Marquis haplotypes (Table 3). One of these polymorphisms resulted in loss of a HincII restriction site in the Marquis sequence and was used to develop a CAPS marker (GLP-1/2 CAPs). A second SNP occurred 3' of TaGLP3 -9 and was previously developed as a diagnostic PCR marker for Sr2, csSr2 [27]. PCR was used to assess the presence of the TaGLP3s in a range of hexaploid and tetraploid wheat genotypes (Table 3). Based on the PCR screening, four haplotypes were identified: 1. Both PCR products did not amplify (null), 2. Both PCR products carried the Marquis alleles (MM), 3. Both PCR products carried the Hope alleles (HH), 4. TaGLP3\_1/ 2 CAPs generated the Hope allele, while csSr2 amplified the Marquis allele (HM). The tetraploid durums and nine out of the 11 emmers were null for both markers. Yaroslav and AUS 3511 emmer contained the Hope allele for both markers (HH), while a second emmer (AUS19385) carried the Hope allele at TaGLP3\_ 1/2 and the Marquis allele for csSr2 (HM). In hexaploid wheat, all four haplotypes were present (Table 3). The csSr2 marker was diagnostic for the presence of Sr2 in a wide range of wheat genotypes [27], however the TaGLP3\_1/2 CAPs marker detected the Hope allele in several wheats that lacked Sr2 confirming that csSr2 is the more useful marker (Table 3). Most significantly, no differences were detected between Hope and Marquis in the coding regions of the GLP genes.

# **Discussion**

## Sr2 is not related to NB-LRR resistance genes or APR genes Lr34 and Yr36

In this study we have determined the sequence of a region of the wheat chromosome carrying the Sr2 adult plant stem rust resistance gene from cv Hope, and compared DNA sequence and gene content with the non-Sr2 cultivar Chinese Spring that was used as the rust





Note: H = Hope type, M = Marquis type, - = Null,? = not known.

susceptible parent in a high resolution mapping of Sr2 [12,14]. There were no nucleotide binding site leucine rich-repeat (NB-LRR) genes, the most frequent class of resistance gene, that co-segregated with Sr2, which indicates that Sr2 is not a member of this class. Further, no sequences related to other cloned APR genes such as Lr34 or Yr36 occured in the region [28,29]. There were many polymorphisms, including large indels between the two haplotypes, preventing identification of Sr2 from sequence data alone.

#### Haplotype divergence and gene cloning

The Sr2 region of Hope and CS diverged in gene content, including the presence in Hope, and absence in CS, of a cluster of ten GLP genes. Large insertion-deletion events have been reported at other loci in wheat and in other cereals. For example, physical mapping of the adult plant stripe rust resistance locus Yr36 [29] showed a 183 kb insertion in the resistant line RSL65 compared to the susceptible durum wheat Langdon. This region, carrying four genes including the Yr36 resistance gene, originated from the wild species T. turgidum var. dicoccoides. Similarly, a deletion of 351 kb was reported in T. turgidum var. dicoccoides compared to Langdon in the region containing Tsn1, a gene that confers sensitivity to the toxin ToxA in tan spot disease of wheat [30,31] In rice, large sequence variation between a wild donor species and a recurrent parent was reported for the yield-improving QTL, q-GY2 [32]. In this study, we report major haplotype divergence within the hexaploid wheat species, indicating that large insertion/deletion events may be common, and not restricted to comparisons between inter-species haplotypes. Such major differences will impede rapid, map-based identification of target genes in specific wheat genotypes, even when high quality, reference genome sequence of CS becomes available. It is also likely that haplotype divergence, especially the large insertion/deletion of GLPs in the Sr2 region, resulted in the suppression of recombination and lack of genetic resolution of the target region. Consequently, a new mapping family was established by using Marquis, which carries the GLP gene cluster, as the susceptible parent. Initial results have indicated that in this family, recombination occurred within the region that previously co-segregated with Sr2. The characterisation of new recombinants is in progress.

# What is the possible evolutionary value of the presence /absence GLP polymorphism on 3B?

It has been suggested that GLP functional diversity has arisen via sequential gene duplication events, followed by mutation, including in flanking regulatory regions, giving rise to diversity in temporal and spatial gene expression patterns [25]. Diverse wheats reflect four GLP haplotypes in the *Sr2* region; *Sr2* types with the Hope allele at TaGLP3\_9 and TaGLP3\_1/2, Marquis types with the Marquis allele at TaGLP3\_9 and either the Marquis or Hope allele at TaGLP3\_1/2, and CS types with no GLPs at the locus (Tables 2 and 3) [27]. The Hope

haplotype with Sr2 and stem rust resistance has been selected by breeders. It is possible that there is an as yet undefined selection acting for or against the Marquis (GLP +) and CS (GLP -) haplotypes in different geographic regions, which may account for the wide spread occurrence of the chromosome 3B presence/absence polymorphism in Sr2- wheat genotypes. This is reminiscent of the presence/ absence polymorphism for the resistance gene RPM1 in Arabidopsis thaliana field populations that has been interpreted to result from balancing selection [33].

#### Germin-like proteins

The GLP genes at the Sr2 locus are of interest because of the reported association of this category of genes with plant defence responses. GLPs are small (~220 amino acid residues), secreted, functionally and taxonomically diverse cupin domain-containing proteins, two of which are reported to produce hydrogen peroxide, a plant defence signal, while several other members of the protein family have been associated with other enzymatic functions [34]. Sequence analysis showed that TaGLP3s at the Sr2 locus were most similar to a pair of divergently-transcribed GLPs at a syntenic position on barley chromosome 3H (Figure 4). The TaGLP3s and this orthologous barley locus, which is distinct from GerD previously mapped on barley chromosome 3H [35], constitute a novel sub-family of GLPs which has not been studied previously, and as far as we are aware, the only sub-family transcribed divergently from short intergenic promoters. The next closest GLP sub-family relative of the Sr2 locus sub-family is the GER4 class of GLP proteins in wheat, barley and rice, members of which have all been functionally associated with fungal disease resistance (Figure 4) ([25] and references therein). Transient over-expression of HvGER4 or HvGER5, as well as transient silencing by RNA interference of HvGER3 or HvGER5 [36] protected barley epidermal cells from attack by powdery mildew. Transient expression of wheat orthologs of HvGER4, germin-gf-2.8, TaGLP2a and TaGLP2b (which have identity between 72-82% with TaGLP3s) in epidermal cells also reduced the penetration efficiency of the powdery mildew fungus Blumeria graminis f. sp. hordei in wheat [37]. No experiments involving over-expression of GLPs in stable transgenic wheat or barley that confirm a direct role of these genes in disease resistance have been reported. Manosalva et al. [26] identified a QTL for rice blast resistance containing a cluster of 12 GLPs on rice chromosome 8, consisting of both GER3 and GER4 sub-family GLPs. RNA interference studies in transgenic rice showed that as more OsGLP genes were suppressed, disease susceptibility of the plants increased. Of the 12 OsGLPs, the OsGLP8-4 member (ortholog of HvGER4) was most effective in providing resistance. The resistance conferred by this QTL was effective against the rice blast and sheath blight pathogens. Despite the

sequence similarity between the TaGLP3 gene cluster at the Sr2 locus and GLPs from other cereals that are associated with disease resistance, our data show that the predicted amino acid sequences of the TaGLP3s are identical between the stem rust sensitive cv Marquis, and the resistant cv Hope. Future analysis will determine whether the sequence polymorphisms adjacent to TaGLP3\_1,2 or 9 are associated with any differences in gene expression between Hope and Marquis.

#### Conclusions

In this study, we completed the physical map of the Sr2 locus in stem rust resistant cv Hope. Sequence comparison with susceptible CS revealed major haplotype divergence including deletion/insertion events carrying a large cluster of GLPs in Hope. The GLPs were however present in Marquis, a stem rust susceptible wheat not carrying Sr2. Haplotype divergence within hexaploid wheat may prevent rapid map-based identification of target genes in specific wheat genotypes even when high quality, reference genome sequence is available. Candidate genes within the Sr2 region are being evaluated for their capacity to confer stem rust resistance.

#### Additional files

[Additional file 1: Figure S1.](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/s12870-014-0379-z-s1.pptx) Histogram of relative fluorescence (flow karyotype) from flow cytometric analysis of DAPI-stained mitotic chromosomes of Triticum aestivum cv. Hope. The flow karyotype consists of three composite peaks I – III representing groups of wheat chromosomes and peak of chromosome 3B. Inset: Images of flow-sorted 3B after FISH with a probe for GAA microsatellite (green). The chromosomes were counterstained by DAPI (blue). X axis: Relative fluorescence intensity. Y axis: Number of particles.

[Additional file 2: Table S1.](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/s12870-014-0379-z-s2.docx) Primer sequences used for amplification of markers that were genetically mapped.

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

RM, LT and WS conceived and designed the study. RM and LT performed experiments, RM, LT, JE and WS wrote the manuscript. SV and HB made the pooled BAC library and screened and sequenced clones. HŠ, MK and JD flow sorted chromosome 3B and constructed the 3B Hope BAC library. NU, JB, RA and XK sequenced clones and contributed bioinformatics expertise. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Acknowledgements

We are thankful to Xiaodi Xia and Sutha Chandramohan for providing excellent technical assistance. We are grateful to our colleagues Jarmila Číhalíková, Romana Šperková and Zdeňka Dubská for assistance with chromosome sorting and DNA amplification. JD, HK and HŠ were supported by the Czech Science Foundation (award no. P501/12/G090) and by the grant LO1204 from the National Program of Sustainability I. This project (Triple rust initiative: CSP00161) is supported by the Grain Research and Development Corporation and is part of The Australian Cereal Rust Control Program.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>CSIRO Agriculture Flagship, Canberra, ACT 2601, Australia. <sup>2</sup>INRA - CNRGV, 24 Chemin de Borde Rouge, Auzeville, CS 52627, 31326 Castanet Tolosan Cedex, France. <sup>3</sup>Institute of Experimental Botany, Centre of the Region Haná for Biotechnological and Agricultural Research, Šlechtitelů 31, CZ-78371

Olomouc, Czech Republic. <sup>4</sup>Key Laboratory of Crop Germplasm Resources and Utilization, MOA/Institute of Crop Sciences, CAAS/The Key Facility for Crop Gene Resources and Genetic Improvement, Beijing 100081, PR China. 5 Centre for Comparative Genomics, Murdoch University, Murdoch 6150WA, Australia. <sup>6</sup>Current address: Australian Centre for Ancient DNA (ACAD), University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA 5005, Australia.

#### Received: 3 August 2014 Accepted: 11 December 2014 Published online: 30 December 2014

#### References

- 1. Stokstad E: Deadly wheat fungus threatens world's breadbaskets. Science 2007, 315:1786–1787.
- 2. Wanyera R, Kinyua MG, Jin Y, Singh RP: The spread of stem rust caused by Puccinia graminis f. sp. tritici, with virulence on Sr31 in wheat in Eastern Africa. Plant Dis 2006, 90:113.
- 3. McFadden ES: A successful transfer of emmer characters to vulgare wheat. J Am Soc Agrc 1930, 22:1020–1034.
- 4. McIntosh RA, Luig NH, Baker EP: Genetic and cytogenetic Studies of stem rust, leaf rust, and powdery mildew resistances in Hope and related wheat cultivars. Aus J Bio Sci 1967, 20:1181-1192.
- 5. Knott DR: The inheritance of resistance to stem rust races 56 and 15B-1 L (Can.) in the wheat varieties Hope and H-44. Can J Genet Cytol 1968, 10:311–320.
- Hare RA, McIntosh RA: Genetic and cytogenetic studies of durable adult-plant resistances in Hope and related cultivars to wheat rusts. Z Planzenzuchtung 1979, 83:350–367.
- 7. McIntosh RA: The role of specific genes in breeding for durable stem rust resistance in wheat and triticale. In Breeding strategies for resistance to the rusts of wheat. Edited by Simmonds NW, Rajaram S. Mexico: CIMMYT; 1988:1–9.
- McIntosh RA, Park RF, Wellings CR: Wheat rusts: an atlas of resistance genes. East Melbourne: CSIRO Publications; 1995.
- Rajaram S, Singh RP, Torres E: Current CIMMYT approaches in breeding wheat for rust resistance. In Breeding strategies for resistance to the rusts of wheat. Edited by Simmonds NW, Rajaram S. México: CIMMYT; 1988:101–118.
- 10. Roelfs AP: Genetic control of phenotypes in wheat stem rust. Ann Rev Phytopathol 1988, 26:351–367.
- 11. Singh RP, Hodson DP, Jin Y, Huerta-Espino J, Kinyua MG, Wanyera R, Njau P, Ward RW, Singh RP, Hodson DP, Jin Y, Huerta-Espino J, Kinyua MG, Wanyera R, Njau P, Ward RW: Current status, likely migration and strategies to mitigate the threat to wheat production from race Ug99 (TTKS) of stem rust pathogen. In CAB Reviews: Perspectives in Agriculture, Veterinary Science, Nutrition and Natural Resources. 2006. 1, No.054 doi:10.1079/PAVSNNR20061054.
- 12. Mago R, Tabe L, McIntosh RA, Pretorius Z, Kota R, Paux E, Wicker T, Breen J, Lagudah ES, Ellis JG, Spielmeyer W: A multiple resistance locus on chromosome arm 3BS in wheat confers resistance to stem rust (Sr2), leaf rust (Lr27) and powdery mildew. Theor Appl Genet 2011, 123(4):615–623.
- 13. Singh RP, McIntosh RA: Complementary genes for reaction to Puccinia recondite tritici in Triticum aestivum. II Cytogenetic studies. Can J Genet Cytol 1984, 26:736–742.
- 14. Kota R, Spielmeyer W, McIntosh RA, Lagudah ES: Fine genetic mapping fails to dissociate durable stem rust resistance gene Sr2 from pseudo-black chaff in common wheat: (Triticum aestivum L.). Theor Appl Genet 2006, 112(3):492–499.
- 15. Vrána J, Kubaláková M, Šimková H, Číhalíková J, Lysák MA, Doležel J: Flow-sorting of mitotic chromosomes in common wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). Genetics 2000, 156(4):2033–2041.
- 16. Doležel J, Vrána J, Cápal P, Kubaláková M, Burešová V, Šimková H: Advances in plant chromosome genomics. Biotech Adv 2014, 32:122–136.
- 17. Šafář J, Bartoš J, Janda J, Bellec A, Kubaláková M, Valárik M, Pateyron S, Weiserová J, Tušková R, Číhalíková J, Vrána J, Šimková H, Faivre-Rampant P, Sourdille P, Caboche M, Bernard M, Doležel J, Chalhoub B: Dissecting large and complex genomes: flow sorting and BAC cloning of individual chromosomes from bread wheat. Plant J 2004, 39(6):960–968.
- 18. Šimková H, Šafář J, Kubaláková M, Suchánková P, Číhalíková J, Robert-Quatre H, Azhaguvel P, Weng Y, Peng J, Lapitan NLV, Ma Y, You FM, Luo M-C, Bartoš J, Doležel J: BAC Libraries from wheat chromosome 7D: efficient tool for positional cloning of aphid resistance genes. J Biomed Biotechnol 2011, 2011:302543. doi:10.1155/2011/302543.
- 19. Isidore E, Scherrer B, Bellec A, Budin K, Faivre-Rampant P, Waugh R, Keller B, Caboche M, Feuillet C, Chalhoub B: Direct targeting and rapid isolation of BAC clones spanning a defined chromosome region. Funct Integr Genom 2005, 5:97–103.
- 20. Ewing B, Green P: Base calling of automated sequencer traces using phred. II. Error probabilities. Genome Res 1998, 8(3):186–194.
- 21. Gordon D, Abajian C, Green P: Consed: a graphical tool for sequence finishing. Genome Re 1998, 8(3):195–202.
- 22. Huang X, Brutlag DL: Dynamic use of multiple parameter sets in sequence alignment. Nucleic Acids Res 2007, 35(2):678-686.
- 23. Paux E, Sourdille P, Salse J, Saintenac C, Choulet F, Leroy P, Korol A, Michalak M, Kianian S, Spielmeyer W, Lagudah E, Somers D, Kilian A, Alaux M, Vautrin S, Bergès H, Eversole K, Appels R, Safar J, Simkova H, Dolezel J, Bernard M, Feuillet C: A physical map of the 1-gigabase bread wheat chromosome 3B. Science 2008, 322(5898):101–104.
- 24. Choulet F, Wicker T, Rustenholz C, Paux E, Salse J, Leroy P, Schlub S, Le Paslier MC, Magdelenat G, Gonthier C, Couloux A, Budak H, Breen J, Pumphrey M, Liu S, Kong X, Jia J, Gut M, Brunel D, Anderson JA, Gill BS, Appels R, Keller B, Feuillet C: Megabase level sequencing reveals contrasted organisation and evolution patterns of the wheat gene and transposable element spaces. Plant Cell 2010, 22(6):1686–1701.
- 25. Davidson RM, Reeves PA, Manosalva PM, Leach JE: Germins: A diverse protein family important for crop improvement. Plant Sci 2009, 177:499-510.
- 26. Manosalva PM, Davidson RM, Liu B, Zhu X, Hulbert SH, Leung H, Leach JE: A Germin-like protein gene family functions as a complex quantitative trait locus conferring broad-spectrum disease resistance in rice. Plant Physiol 2009, 149(1):286–296.
- 27. Mago R, Brown-Guedira G, Dreisigacker S, Breen J, Jin Y, Singh R, Appels R, Lagudah ES, Ellis J, Spielmeyer W: An accurate DNA marker assay for stem rust resistance gene Sr2 in wheat. Theor Appl Genet 2011, 122(4):735–744.
- 28. Krattinger SG, Lagudah ES, Spielmeyer W, Singh RP, Huerta-Espino J, McFadden H, Bossolini E, Selter LL, Keller B: A putative ABC transporter confers durable resistance to multiple fungal pathogens in wheat. Science 2009, 323(5919):1360-1363.
- 29. Fu D, Uauy C, Distelfeld A, Blechl A, Epstein L, Chen X, Sela H, Fahima T, Dubcovsky J: A kinase-START gene confers temperature-dependent resistance to wheat stripe rust. Science 2009, 323(5919):1357–1360.
- 30. Faris JD, Zhang Z, Lu HJ, Lu SW, Reddy L, Cloutier S, Fellers JP, Meinhardt SW, Rasmussen JB, Xu SS, Oliver RP, Simons KJ, Friesen TL: A unique wheat disease resistance-like gene governs effector-triggered susceptibility to necrotrophic pathogens. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2010, 107(30):13544–13549.
- 31. Faris JD, Liu Z, Xu SS: Genetics of tan spot resistance in wheat. Theor Appl Genet 2013, 126(9):2197–2217.
- 32. He G, Luo X, Tian F, Li K, Zhu Z, Su W, Qian X, Fu Y, Wang X, Sun C, Yang J: Haplotype variation in structure and expression of a gene cluster associated with a quantitative trait locus for improved yield in rice. Genome Res 2006, 16(5):618–626.
- 33. Stahl EA, Dwyer G, Mauricio R, Kreitman M, Bergelson J: Dynamics of disease resistance polymorphism at the Rpm1 locus of Arabidopsis. Nature 1999, 400(6745):667–671.
- 34. Breen J, Bellgard M: Germin-like proteins (GLPs) in cereal genomes: gene clustering and dynamic roles in plant defence. Funct Integr Genom 2010, 10:463–476.
- 35. Druka A, Kudrna D, Kannangara CG, von Wettstein D, Kleinhofs A: Physical and genetic mapping of barley (Hordeum vulgare) germin-like cDNAs. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2002, 99(2):850–855.
- 36. Zimmermann G, Bäumlein H, Mock H-P, Himmelbach A, Schweizer P: The multigene family encoding germin-like proteins of barley: regulation and function in basal host resistance. Plant Physiol 2006, 142(1):181–192.
- 37. Schweizer P, Christoffel A, Dudler R: Transient expression of members of germin-like gene family in epidermal cells of wheat confers disease resistance. Plant J 1999, 20(5):541–552.