

Endoscopy-based management decreases the risk of postoperative recurrences in Crohn's disease

Anne-Laure Boucher, Bruno Pereira, Stephanie Decousus, Marion Goutte, Félix Goutorbe, Anne Dubois, Johan Gagnière, Corinne Borderon, Juliette Joubert, Denis Pezet, et al.

► To cite this version:

Anne-Laure Boucher, Bruno Pereira, Stephanie Decousus, Marion Goutte, Félix Goutorbe, et al.. Endoscopy-based management decreases the risk of postoperative recurrences in Crohn's disease. World Journal of Gastroenterology, 2016, 22 (21), pp.5068-5078. 10.3748/wjg.v22.i21.5068 . hal-02631816

HAL Id: hal-02631816 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02631816v1

Submitted on 27 May 2020 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License



Submit a Manuscript: http://www.wjgnet.com/esps/ Help Desk: http://www.wjgnet.com/esps/helpdesk.aspx DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v22.i21.5068 World J Gastroenterol 2016 June 7; 22(21): 5068-5078 ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online) © 2016 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Retrospective Cohort Study

Endoscopy-based management decreases the risk of postoperative recurrences in Crohn's disease

Anne-Laure Boucher, Bruno Pereira, Stéphanie Decousus, Marion Goutte, Felix Goutorbe, Anne Dubois, Johan Gagniere, Corinne Borderon, Juliette Joubert, Denis Pezet, Michel Dapoigny, Pierre J Déchelotte, Gilles Bommelaer, Anthony Buisson

Anne-Laure Boucher, Marion Goutte, Felix Goutorbe, Michel Dapoigny, Gilles Bommelaer, Anthony Buisson, Gastroenterology Department, University Hospital Estaing, 63000 Clermont-Ferrand, France

Bruno Pereira, DRCI, Biostatistics Unit, GM Clermont-Ferrand University and Medical Center, 63000 Clermont-Ferrand, France

Stéphanie Decousus, Juliette Joubert, Pierre J Déchelotte, Pathology Department, University Hospital Estaing, 63000 Clermont-Ferrand, France

Marion Goutte, Gilles Bommelaer, Anthony Buisson, Microbes, Intestine, Inflammation and Susceptibility of the Host, UMR 1071 Inserm/Université d'Auvergne; USC-INRA 2018, 63000 Clermont-Ferrand, France

Anne Dubois, Johan Gagniere, Denis Pezet, Digestive Surgery Department, University Hospital Estaing, 63000 Clermont-Ferrand, France

Corinne Borderon, Pediatrics Department, University Hospital Estaing, 63000 Clermont-Ferrand, France

Author contributions: All the authors equally contributed to this paper.

Institutional review board statement: The study was approved by local Ethics Committee (IRB number 00008526 - 2014/CE86).

Informed consent statement: The study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, Good Clinical Practice and applicable regulatory requirements.

Conflict-of-interest statement: All the authors have no conflict of interest related to the manuscript.

Data sharing statement: The original anonymous dataset is available on request from the corresponding author at a_buisson@hotmail.fr.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article which was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Correspondence to: Anthony Buisson, MD, Gastroenterology Department, University Hospital Estaing, 1, place Lucie et Raymond Aubrac, 63003 Clermont-Ferrand, France. a_buisson@hotmail.fr Telephone: +33-4-73750523 Fax: +33-4-73750524

Received: February 24, 2016 Peer-review started: February 26, 2016 First decision: March 31, 2016 Revised: April 14, 2016 Accepted: April 20, 2016 Article in press: April 20, 2016 Published online: June 7, 2016

Abstract

AIM: To investigate whether an endoscopy-based management could prevent the long-term risk of postoperative recurrence.

METHODS: From the pathology department database, we retrospectively retrieved the data of all the patients operated on for Crohn's disease (CD) in our center (1986-2015). Endoscopy-based management was defined as systematic postoperative colonoscopy (median time after surgery = 9.5 mo) in patients with



no clinical postoperative recurrence at the time of endoscopy.

RESULTS: From 205 patients who underwent surgery, 161 patients (follow-up > 6 mo) were included. Endoscopic postoperative recurrence occurred in 67.6%, 79.7%, and 95.5% of the patients, respectively 5, 10 and 20 years after surgery. The rate of clinical postoperative recurrence was 61.4%, 75.9%, and 92.5% at 5, 10 and 20 years, respectively. The rate of surgical postoperative recurrence was 19.0%, 38.9% and 64.7%, respectively, 5, 10 and 20 years after surgery. In multivariate analysis, previous intestinal resection, prior exposure to anti-TNF therapy before surgery, and fistulizing phenotype (B3) were postoperative risk factors. Previous perianal abscess/ fistula (other perianal lesions excluded), were predictive of only symptomatic recurrence. In multivariate analysis, an endoscopy-based management (n = 49/161) prevented clinical (HR = 0.4, 95%CI: 0.25-0.66, P < (0.001) and surgical postoperative recurrence (HR = 0.30, 95%CI: 0.13-0.70, P = 0.006).

CONCLUSION: Endoscopy-based management should be recommended in all CD patients within the first year after surgery as it highly decreases the long-term risk of clinical recurrence and reoperation.

Key words: Crohn's disease; Postoperative recurrence; Endoscopy; Prevalence; Risk factors

© **The Author(s) 2016.** Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core tip: Although often recommended, the impact of an endoscopy-based management following surgery remains poorly investigated in Crohn's patients. We aimed to investigate whether an endoscopy-based management could prevent the long-term risk of postoperative recurrence in Crohn's disease (CD). We retrospectively retrieved the data of 161 patients operated on for CD in our center. We showed for the first time, that an endoscopy-based management decreased the long-term risk of clinical and surgical postoperative recurrence in CD and the risk of reoperation.

Boucher AL, Pereira B, Decousus S, Goutte M, Goutorbe F, Dubois A, Gagniere J, Borderon C, Joubert J, Pezet D, Dapoigny M, Déchelotte PJ, Bommelaer G, Buisson A. Endoscopy-based management decreases the risk of postoperative recurrences in Crohn's disease. *World J Gastroenterol* 2016; 22(21): 5068-5078 Available from: URL: http://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/full/v22/i21/5068.htm DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v22. i21.5068

INTRODUCTION

Crohn's disease (CD) is a chronic inflammatory bowel

disease (IBD) of unknown etiology and can lead to digestive damage^[1,2]. In the era of biologics, surgery still remains required in half of the patients ten years after diagnosis, especially in complicated diseases i.e. stenosis, abscess or fistula^[3]. Surgical resection is unfortunately not curative in CD, and postoperative recurrence (POR) remains a crucial issue in these patients. The risk of reoperation is very heterogeneous in the medical literature due to different studies periods and designs, but ranges from 12% to 57% 10 years after surgery^[4-7]. While clinical POR occurred in approximately half of the patients 10 years after surgery^[8], three quarters (48%-93%) of patients experienced endoscopic POR within one year after surgery in referral centers^[8-20].

More than 25 years ago, Rutgeerts *et al*^[12] underlined that postoperative history of CD is very heterogeneous and highlighted the need to identify predictive factors of recurrence to stratify CD patients in order to optimize the therapeutic management in the immediate postoperative period. Several factors have been proposed as POR predictors (smoking, perianal lesions, previous intestinal resection, fistulizing phenotype and resection length > 50 cm), but their impact remains still debated^[8,21].

Performing an endoscopy within the first year after surgery is often recommended in clinical practice^[21,22]. However, the level of evidence suggesting the efficacy of such strategy remains low. Two retrospective studies reported no impact of an endoscopy-based management (EBM)^[23,24]. A French group suggested, in a retrospective cohort, that an EBM was associated with a decrease risk of clinical POR at 5 years^[25]. Recently, the landmark POCER trial showed that an early EBM decreased the risk of endoscopic POR at 18 mo post-surgery^[26]. However the long-term impact of EBM on the risks of clinical and surgical POR remains unknown.

In the present study, we aimed to investigate whether an EBM could prevent the long-term risk of POR in CD. In addition, we aimed to report the prevalence and the risk factors of endoscopic, clinical and surgical POR, in our cohort, between 1986 and 2015.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethical considerations

The study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, Good Clinical Practice and applicable regulatory requirements. The study was approved by local Ethics Committee (IRB number 00008526 - 2014/CE86).

Patients

We performed a retrospective study of a singlecenter cohort in which standardized evaluation was completed by experienced clinicians in all patients.

Boucher AL et al. Endoscopy based-management following surgery in CD

Table 1	Baseline characteristics of the	161 included Crohn's disease	e patients at the time of surge	ry <i>n</i> (%)
---------	---------------------------------	------------------------------	---------------------------------	-----------------

Mean age at the time of surgery (yr)	36.4 ± 13.4	Adalimumab	22 (13.7)
Mean age at diagnosis (yr)	28.7 ± 13.1	Anti-TNF naive at the time of surgery	37 (23.0)
Median disease duration (yr) (IQR)	5.8 (2.0-11.7)	Type of surgery	
Female gender	93 (57.8)	Ileocecal resection	76 (47.2)
Mean weight (kg)	60.2 ± 14.8	Ileal resection	21 (13.1)
Mean body mass index (kg/m²)	21.5 ± 4.9	Ileo-colectomy	14 (8.7)
Active smoker	53 (32.9)	Partial colectomy	31 (19.2)
Familial history of IBD	20 (12.4)	Subtotal colectomy	8 (5.0)
Previous appendectomy	67 (41.6)	Total colectomy	9 (5.6)
Previous intestinal resection	50 (31.1)	Abdomino-perianal amputation	2 (1.2)
Montreal classification		Site of anastomosis	
Age at diagnosis		Ileo-colic	91 (66.4)
A1	15 (9.3)	Ileo-rectal	9 (6.6)
A2	116 (72.1)	Ileo-ileal	21 (15.3)
A3	28 (17.4)	Colo-colonic	31 (22.6)
Crohn's disease location		Colo-rectal	7 (5.1)
L1	64 (39.8)	Stomia	
L2	21 (13.0)	None	113 (70.2)
L3	75 (46.6)	Transitory	39 (24.2)
L4	18 (11.2)	Definitive	9 (5.6)
Crohn's disease behaviour		Surgical technic of anastomosis	
B1	12 (7.4)	Stapled	46 (43.8)
B2	75 (46.6)	Handsewn	59 (56.2)
B3	74 (46.0)	Type of anastomosis	
Perianal lesions	69 (42.8)	Side-to-end	18 (18.0)
Anal ulceration, fissure	15 (9.3)	Side-to-side	54 (54.0)
Fistula/abscess	54 (33.5)	End-to-end	28 (28.0)
Medication at the time of surgery		Mean length of ileal resection (cm)	18.1 ± 17.1
5-ASA	24 (14.9)	Mean length of colonic resection (cm)	14.3 ± 17.7
Steroids	38 (23.6)	Mean length of digestive resection (cm)	31.6 ± 18.8
Budesonide	9 (5.6)	Perioperative complications	25 (16.8)
Thiopurines	36 (22.4)	Free margin resection	21 (17.1)
Methotrexate	5 (3.1)	Granuloma	47 (40.5)
Infliximab	15 (9.3)	Median CRP level, mg/L (IQR)	17.0 (3.8-61.0)

IQR: Interquartile; CRP: C-reactive protein; TNF: Tumor necrosis factor; IBD: Inflammatory bowel disease.

From the electronic database of the Pathology Department of the University Hospital Estaing of Clermont-Ferrand, France, we identified 205 patients who underwent an intestinal resection for CD, between 1986 and 2015, at the Institution. Only CD patients with a follow-up of at least 6 mo were considered for the study. Clinical, biological, pathological and endoscopic data were retrospectively collected from medical records (Table 1). As we aimed to be close to the real-life practice, we chose to include all the types of intestinal resection including patients with a definitive ostomy. For the patients with a temporary ostomy, the time point zero was defined as the time of the intestinal resection since we aimed to investigate all the potential factors influencing the time to recur (including type of resection and the presence of temporary or definitive ostomy). Surgical recurrence was defined as reoperation for CD. Clinical POR was defined, according to De Cruz et al^[23], as recurrence of symptoms leading to hospitalization or therapeutic modifications after exclusion of other causes of recurrent symptoms such as bile-salt diarrhea, bacterial overgrowth and adhesion-related obstruction. Endoscopic POR was defined as Rutgeerts' score $\geq i2^{[12]}$. Regarding the endoscopies performed

before the widespread of Rutgeerts' score use or with no score specified on the colonoscopy report, the score was evaluated retrospectively based on the content of the colonoscopy report. Patients underwent colonoscopies at their physician's discretion to assess potential subclinical disease. Patients were classified in endoscopy-based management (EBM) group if they underwent a systematic colonoscopy with no clinical POR at the time of endoscopy. All the patients included in the EBM group had a "step-up" therapeutic strategy in case of endoscopic POR^[22]. The impact of the postoperative treatments was investigated in considering three groups: therapies to prevent endoscopic POR (treatment received during the period ranging from intestinal resection to endoscopic POR), therapies to prevent clinical POR (treatment received during the period ranging from endoscopic POR to clinical POR), and therapies to prevent surgical POR (treatment received during the period ranging from clinical POR to re-operation).

Data management and statistical analysis

Study data were collected and managed using REDCap electronic data capture tools hosted at Clermont-Ferrand University Hospital^[27]. REDCap (Research



Figure 1 Kaplan Meir curves representing the prevalence of surgical, clinical and endoscopic postoperative recurrence in Crohn's disease patients undergoing intestinal resection in the Clermont-Ferrand inflammatory bowel disease unit (1986-2015).

Electronic Data Capture) is a secure, web-based application designed to support data capture for research studies, providing (1) an intuitive interface for validated data entry; (2) audit trails for tracking data manipulation and export procedures; (3) automated export procedures for seamless data downloads to common statistical packages; and (4) procedures for importing data from external sources.

Statistical analysis was performed using Stata 13 software (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, United States). The tests were two-sided, with a type I error set at a = 0.05. Subject's characteristics were presented as mean \pm SD or median (interguartile range) for continuous data (assumption of normality assessed using the Shapiro-Wilk test) and as the number of patients and associated percentages for categorical parameters. Comparisons between the independent groups were performed using the χ^2 or Fisher's exact tests for categorical variables, and using Student t-test or Mann-Whitney test for quantitative parameters (normality, assumption of homoscedasticity studied using Fisher-Snedecor test). Concerning the censored data, estimates were constructed using the Kaplan-Meier method. The log-rank test was used in a univariate analysis to test the prognostic value of patient characteristics for the occurrence of an event. Cox proportional hazards regression was used to investigate prognostic factors in a multivariate situation by backward and forward stepwise analysis of the factors considered significant in univariate analysis (entered into the model if P < 0.10) and according to

clinically relevant parameters. The proportional hazard hypotheses were verified using Schoenfeld's test and plotting residuals. The interactions between possible predictive factors were also tested. Results were expressed as HRs and 95%CI.

RESULTS

Baseline characteristics of the patients

Overall, 161 CD patients were included in the study. The characteristics of these patients at the time of surgery are given in Table 1.

Prevalence of surgical, clinical and endoscopic POR

We observed a prevalence of endoscopic POR of 31.7%, 67.6%, 79.7%, 91.1% and 95.5%, respectively 1, 5, 10, 15 and 20 years after surgery (Figure 1). In our cohort, 21.5%, 61.4%, 75.9%, 88.7% and 92.5% of the patients experienced clinical POR at 1, 5, 10, 15 and 20 years, respectively (Figure 1). The rate of surgical POR was 1.3%, 19.0%, 38.9%, 57.7% and 64.7%, respectively 1, 5, 10, 15 and 20 years after surgery (Figure 1).

Risk factors of endoscopic POR

Among the 161 CD patients included in this study, 102 patients underwent a colonoscopy during their followup. The median interval for endoscopic POR was 2.0 years (0.6-3.6). While 54 patients (33.5%) received 5-ASA in prevention of endoscopic POR, 40 patients (24.8%), 7 patients (4.3%) and 41 patients (25.5%)

WJG | www.wjgnet.com

Boucher AL et al. Endoscopy based-management following surgery in CD

Median time to endoscopic POR (no) HR [P5%CI] P value Age 1.00 (0.99-1.00] 0.2 S 35 yr 41.4 Reference S 35 yr 41.4 Reference S 35 yr 38.1 Reference S 16 yr 38.1 Reference S 16 yr 38.1 Reference S 40 yr 7.6 1.41 (16.60-2.63) 0.53 Toblacco use 38.1 Reference 4.4tive smoker 2.90 1.28 (17.1.70) 0.49 Previous intestinal resection 38.1 Reference 4.4tive smoker 2.90 1.28 (17.1.70) 0.49 Previous intestinal resection 38.1 Reference 7.90 1.28 (17.1.70) 0.49 Previous intestinal resection 30.2 0.98 (10.51.71) 0.60 1.00 (19.51.51) 0.60 Total resection length > 50 cm 30.2 0.98 (10.51.71) 0.62 1.34 (14.73.80) 0.52 S 12 (10.98 (10.51.71.51) 0.75 Reference 1.26 (17.51.53) 0.75 S 12 (10.75.1.70) <t< th=""><th>Table 2 Univariate analysis of risk factors for endoscopic postoper</th><th>rative recurrence in Crohn's disease</th><th></th><th></th></t<>	Table 2 Univariate analysis of risk factors for endoscopic postoper	rative recurrence in Crohn's disease		
Age10.0 (0.9+1.0)0.2AgeReference <th></th> <th>Median time to endoscopic POR (mo)</th> <th>HR [95%CI]</th> <th><i>P</i> value</th>		Median time to endoscopic POR (mo)	HR [95%CI]	<i>P</i> value
Age \$35 yr1.4Keference 	Age		1.00 [0.99-1.00]	0.2
 > 33 yr14.4Reference > 24 [0 x6-1.54]0.25Age at diagnosis <td>Age</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Age			
≥ 35 yr 240 126 [0.86-1.84] 0.23 Age at diagnesis 38.1 Reference 24 0yr 38.6 0.88 [0.47-1.67] 0.71 24 0yr 7.6 14.10 [0.02 - 2.63] 0.73 24 0yr 7.6 14.10 [0.02 - 2.63] 0.73 Tobacco use	< 35 yr	41.4	Reference	
Age at diagnosis \$16 yr \$8.1 Reference \$40 yr 34.6 0.88 [0.471.67] 0.71 \$40 yr 34.6 0.88 [0.471.67] 0.71 \$40 yr 34.6 0.88 [0.471.67] 0.71 \$40 yr 1.41 [0.672.63] 0.53 Tobacco use 2 1.28 [0.771.70] 0.49 Active smoker 2.90 1.28 [0.771.70] 0.49 Previous intestinal resection 36.1 Reference 36.1 2.50 1.22 [0.98.215] 0.06 Total resection length > 50 cm 20.5 Reference 7 9 30.046.3.75] 0.62 Total resection length > 50 cm 20.5 Reference 7 9 30.046.3.75] 0.62 Discase behavior (Montreal classification) 20.5 Reference 1.34 [0.47.3.80] 0.58 Discase formain classification 20.6 1.34 [0.47.3.80] 0.58 Previau Scose 29.3 Reference 7 9 7 No 34.6 Reference 1.50 [0.27	\geq 35 yr	24.0	1.26 [0.86-1.84]	0.23
≤ 16 yr38.1Reference16-40 yr34.60.88 [0.47-1.67]0.71≥ 40 yr17.61.41 [0.60-2.63]0.53Tobacco use	Age at diagnosis			
16-40 yr34.60.88 [0.47.1.67]0.7124 0 yr17.61.41 [0.60.2.63]0.53Tobacco use38.1ReferenceNon-smoker27.91.28 [0.77.1.0]0.49Previous intestinal resection35.5ReferenceYes20.51.22 [0.38-2.1.5]0.06Total resection length > 50 cm20.5ReferenceYes20.5Reference7Yes20.5Reference7Yes20.5Reference7Disease behavior (Montreal classification)3.10.7Bit-Reference7Bit-Reference7Bit-Reference7Bit-Reference7Bit-Reference7Bit-Reference7Yes2.61.06 [0.73-1.53]0.75Bit-Reference7Yes2.61.06 [0.73-1.53]0.75Perianal lesions3.4Reference7Yes1.02 [0.59-1.13]0.751.01 [0.75-1.60]0.23Disease duration-1.00 [0.99-1.0]0.77Isease duration-1.00 [0.99-1.0]0.77Isease duration-1.00 [0.99-1.0]0.77Isease duration-1.00 [0.99-1.0]0.79Non-fistulizing lesions3.4Reference1.00 [0.99-1.0]Yes0.35 [0.21-1.60]0.290.29Prior duration-<	$\leq 16 \text{ yr}$	38.1	Reference	
≥ 40 yr 17.6 1.41 (0.60-2.63) 0.53 Tobacco use 38.1 Reference	16-40 yr	34.6	0.88 [0.47-1.67]	0.71
Tobaco use Sail Reference Active smoker 38.1 Reference Active smoker 27.9 1.28 [0.77-1.70] 0.49 Previous intestinal resection 35.5 Reference 7 No 30.5 Reference 7 9 0.06 Total resection length > 50 cm 20.5 Reference 7 9 0.05 0.67 No 20.5 Reference 7 9 0.20 0.98 [0.56-1.73] 0.62 Disease behavior (Montreal classification) 2.6 1.34 [0.46-3.78] 0.62 B3 2.6 1.34 [0.47-3.80] 0.58 Fistulizing Crohr's disease (B3) 2.6 1.34 [0.47-3.80] 0.58 Previanal lesions 2.6 1.06 [0.73-1.53] 0.75 Perianal lesions 2.6 1.06 [0.73-1.53] 0.75 Previanal lesions 3.4 Reference 2.5 1.85 [0.82-1.7] 0.37 Non Song Cont 1.05 [0.73-1.63] 0.75 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05<	$\geq 40 \text{ yr}$	17.6	1.41 [0.60-2.63]	0.53
Non-smoker 38.1 Reference Active smoker 279 1.28 [0.77-1.70] 0.49 Previous intestinal resection 34.5 Reference 2 No 3.5 1.22 [0.98-1.15] 0.06 Total resection length > 50 cm 30.2 0.98 [0.56-1.73] 0.7 No 20.5 Reference 2 Yes 30.2 0.98 [0.56-1.73] 0.7 Discase behavior (Montreal classification) Reference B1 - Reference 2 B2 3.03 [0.46-3.75] 0.62 B3 2.6 1.30 [0.46-3.75] 0.62 B3 2.6 1.30 [0.46-3.75] 0.62 B4 - Reference 1.30 [0.46-3.75] 0.62 B5 2.5 1.30 [0.46-3.75] 0.62 B4 S 1.30 [0.46-3.75] 0.75 Prestinal lesions 1.31 [0.82-1.71] 0.37 Type of perianal lesions 3.4 Reference Yes 1.20 [0.99-1.0]	Tobacco use			
Active smoker 27,9 1.28 [0.77.170] 0.49 Previous intestinal resection 33.5 Reference 20.5 1.22 [0.98.2.15] 0.06 Yes 20.5 0.87 20.5 0.87 0.66 Yes 20.5 Reference 20.5 0.98 [0.56-1.73] 0.70 Disease behavior (Montreal classification) 30.2 0.98 [0.56-1.73] 0.70 Disease behavior (Montreal classification) 4.5 Reference 30.5 0.63 B1 - Reference 1.30 [0.46-3.75] 0.62 0.58 B2 0.50 [0.61.73] 0.75 1.30 [0.46-3.75] 0.58 Fistulizing Crohn's disease (B3) Reference 1.50 [0.67.3.153] 0.75 No 22.6 1.06 [0.73-1.53] 0.75 1.51 [0.63.7.5] 0.75 Pristal alsoins 1.02 [0.73-1.53] 0.75 1.51 [0.63.7.17] 0.37 Type of perianal lesions 1.03 [0.75-1.60] 0.23 1.00 [0.91-1.1] 0.37 Pristal, abscess 20.5	Non-smoker	38.1	Reference	
Previous intestinal resection 43.5 Reference Yes 20.5 1.22 [0.98-2.15] 0.06 Total resection length > 50 cm	Active smoker	27.9	1.28 [0.77-1.70]	0.49
No 43.5 Reference Yees 20.5 1.22 [0.98-2.15] 0.06 Total resection length > 50 cm	Previous intestinal resection			
Yes 2.05 1.22 [0.98-2.15] 0.06 Total resection length > 50 cm 20.5 Reference Yes 3.02 0.98 [0.56-1.73] 0.7 Disease behavior (Montreal classification) - Reference - B1 - Reference - Reference B2 43.5 1.30 [0.46-3.75] 0.62 B3 22.6 1.34 [0.47-3.80] 0.58 Fistulizing Crohn's disease (B3) - - Reference No 22.6 1.34 [0.47-3.80] 0.58 Perianal lesions 22.6 1.06 [0.73-1.53] 0.75 Perianal lesions 34.6 Reference - No 34.6 Reference - Yes 1.06 [0.92-1.01] 0.77 Type of perianal lesions 33.4 Reference - No-fistulizing lesions 33.4 Reference - Sizease dura abscess 0.10 [0.93-1.01] 0.77 Ibease dura abscess 3.6 Reference - </td <td>No</td> <td>43.5</td> <td>Reference</td> <td></td>	No	43.5	Reference	
No 20.5 Reference Yes 30.2 0.98 [0.56.1.73] 0.7 Disease behavior (Montreal classification) B1 - Reference B2 43.5 1.30 [0.46.3.75] 0.62 B3 0.26 1.34 [0.47.380] 0.58 B3 2.6 1.30 [0.46.3.75] 0.62 B3 0.26 1.30 [0.46.3.75] 0.62 B3 2.6 1.30 [0.47.38] 0.58 Fistulizing Crohn's disease (B3) Reference Ves 2.6 1.06 [0.73.1.53] 0.75 Perianal lesions 3.6 Reference Type of perianal lesions 3.4 Reference Stabla, abscess 3.0 1.10 [0.75.1.60] 0.23 Disease duration 1.00 [0.99.1.01] 0.70 Disease duration 2.59 Reference No 3.91 [1.80.5.90]<	Yes	20.5	1.22 [0.98-2.15]	0.06
No 20.5 Reference Yes 30.2 0.98 [0.56.1.73] 0.7 Disease behavior (Montreal classification) - Reference - B1 - Reference - 2.6 1.30 [0.66.375] 0.62 B3 2.6 1.34 [0.47.3.80] 0.58 -	Total resection length > 50 cm	2 0 7	D (
Yes 30.2 0.98 [0.5e1,73] 0.7 Disease behavior (Montreal classification) . Reference B1 - Reference B2 1.30 [0.46-3.75] 0.62 B3 22.6 1.34 [0.47-3.80] 0.58 Fistulizing Crohn's disease (B3) 22.6 1.34 [0.47-3.80] 0.57 No 29.3 Reference 2 Yes 2.6 1.06 [0.73-1.53] 0.75 Perianal lesions 34.6 Reference 2 Yes 1.8 [0.82-1.71] 0.37 Type of perianal lesions 34.6 Reference 34.6 Non-fistulizing lesions 33.4 Reference 34.7 Non-fistulizing lesions 33.4 Reference 34.7 Ibela resection > 50 cm 1.10 [0.75-1.60] 0.23 Prior exposure to anti-TNF therapy before surgery 30.8 Reference 39.7 No 25.9 Reference 40.7 1.00 [0.51-1.61] 0.29 Prior exposure to anti-TNF therapy before surgery 30.8 3.91 [1.80-5.90] < 0.001	No	20.5	Reference	0.7
Disease behavior (Montreal classification) B1 - Reference B2 43.5 1.30 [0.46.3.75] 0.62 B3 0.26 1.34 [0.47.3.00] 0.58 Fistulizing Crohn's disease (B3) 22.6 1.06 [0.73.1.53] 0.75 Ves 22.6 1.06 [0.73.1.53] 0.75 Perianal lesions 34.6 Reference No 34.6 Reference Yes 19.2 1.18 [0.82.1.71] 0.37 Type of perianal lesions 33.4 Reference 33.4 Non-fistulizing lesions 33.4 Reference 33.4 Fistula, abscess 20.5 1.10 [0.75.1.60] 0.23 Disease duration 1.00 [0.99.1.01] 0.70 Ileal resection > 50 cm 114.5 0.58 [0.21.1.60] 0.29 Prior exposure to anti-TNF therapy before surgery 114.5 0.58 [0.21.1.60] 0.29 Prior exposure to anti-TNF therapy before surgery 3.0 1.07 [0.69.1.65] 0.01 Thiopurines therapy in prevention of endoscopic postoperative recurrence	Yes	30.2	0.98 [0.56-1.73]	0.7
B1	Disease behavior (Montreal classification)		D (
B2 4.5 1.30 [0.46-3.75] 0.62 B3 22.6 1.34 [0.47-3.80] 0.58 Fistulizing Crohn's disease (B3) 29.3 Reference Yes 29.6 1.06 [0.73-1.53] 0.75 Perianal lesions 34.6 Reference 9 Yes 19.2 1.18 [0.82-1.71] 0.37 Type of perianal lesions 34.4 Reference 9 Non-fistulizing lesions 33.4 Reference 9 Non-fistulizing lesions 33.4 Reference 1.00 [0.99-1.01] 0.77 Ileal resection > 50 cm 1.00 [0.99-1.01] 0.77 116 Rese 25.9 Reference 9 0.29 Prior exposure to anti-TNF therapy before surgery 114.5 0.58 [0.21-1.60] 0.29 No 41.5 Reference 9 0.09 0.01 Thiopurines therapy in prevention of endoscopic postoperative recurrence 1.01 [0.70 [.69-1.65] 0.75 No 43.5 Reference 1.05 0.07 Yes <td>BI</td> <td>-</td> <td>Reference</td> <td>0.42</td>	BI	-	Reference	0.42
b5 1.5 (1.4.7-3.01) 0.38 Pistulizing Crohn's disease (B3) 29.3 Reference Yes 22.6 1.06 [0.73-1.53] 0.75 Perianal lesions 34.6 Reference 76 Yes 19.2 1.18 [0.82-1.71] 0.37 Type of perianal lesions 33.4 Reference 76 No 33.4 Reference 76 Fistuli, abscess 20.5 1.10 [0.75-1.60] 0.23 Disease duration 1.00 [0.99-1.01] 0.77 Ileal resection > 50 cm 114.5 0.58 [0.21-1.60] 0.29 Prior exposure to anti-TNF therapy before surgery 114.5 0.58 [0.21-1.60] 0.29 Prior exposure to anti-TNF therapy before surgery 114.5 Reference 100 Yes 8.0 3.91 [1.80-5.90] <0.001	B2	43.5	1.30 [0.46-3.75]	0.62
No Reference Yes 2.6 1.06 [0.73-1.53] 0.75 Perianal lesions	B3	22.6	1.34 [0.47-3.80]	0.58
No 25.5 Reference Yes 22.6 1.06 [0.73-1.53] 0.75 Perianal lesions 34.6 Reference 76 Yes 19.2 1.18 [0.82-1.71] 0.37 Type of perianal lesions 33.4 Reference 76 Non-fistulizing lesions 33.4 Reference 76 Fistula, abscess 20.5 1.10 [0.75-1.60] 0.23 Disease duration 1.00 [0.99-1.01] 0.77 Ileal resection > 50 cm 100 [0.97-1.60] 0.23 No 25.9 Reference Yes 0.58 [0.21-1.60] 0.29 Prior exposure to anti-TNF therapy before surgery 114.5 0.58 [0.21-1.60] 0.29 No 41.5 Reference 4.00 3.91 [1.80-5.90] < 0.00	No.	20.2	Deference	
Tes 1.06 [0.751.53] 0.73 Perianal lesions 34.6 Reference Yes 19.2 1.18 [0.82-1.71] 0.37 Type of perianal lesions 33.4 Reference Non-fistulizing lesions 33.4 Reference Fistula, abscess 20.5 1.10 [0.75-1.60] 0.23 Disease duration 1.00 [0.99-1.01] 0.77 Ileal resection > 50 cm 1.00 [0.99-1.01] 0.77 No 25.9 Reference Yes 1.05 [0.52.1.60] 0.29 Prior exposure to anti-TNF therapy before surgery 0.79 0.79 No 25.9 Reference Yes 8.0 3.91 [1.80-5.90] 0.29 Prior exposure to anti-TNF therapy before surgery 1.00 0.91 0.91 No 41.5 Reference 0.001 Thiopurines therapy in prevention of endoscopic postoperative recurrence 1.00 [0.69-1.65] 0.75 No 43.7 1.07 [0.69-1.65] 0.75 Anti-TNF therapy in prevention of endoscopic postoperative recurrence 1.28 [0.78-2.13] 0.55 No 1	NO Voc	29.5	1 06 [0 72 1 52]	0.75
No 34.6 Reference Yes 19.2 1.18 [0.82-1.71] 0.37 Type of perianal lesions 33.4 Reference 100 Non-fistulizing lesions 33.4 Reference 20.5 1.10 [0.75-1.60] 0.23 Fistula abscess 20.5 1.10 [0.75-1.60] 0.23 0.77 Ileal resection > 50 cm 10.0 [0.99-1.01] 0.77 Ileal resection > 50 cm 25.9 Reference Yes 114.5 0.58 [0.21-1.60] 0.29 Prior exposure to anti-TNF therapy before surgery 114.5 0.58 [0.21-1.60] 0.29 Prior exposure to anti-TNF therapy before surgery 114.5 0.58 [0.21-1.60] 0.29 Prior exposure to anti-TNF therapy before surgery 10.5 0.55 0.55 Yes 34.6 Reference 34.6 0.50 0.21 No 43.5 Reference 0.55 0.55 0.75 0.75 Anti-TNF therapy in prevention of endoscopic postoperative recurrence 1.07 [0.69-1.65] 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.5	Tes Derional logiona	22.0	1.06 [0.75-1.55]	0.75
No 1.8 (Reference Yes 33.4 Reference Non-fistulizing lesions 33.4 Reference Fistula, abscess 20.5 1.10 [0.75-1.60] 0.23 Disease duration 1.00 [0.99-1.01] 0.77 Ileal resection > 50 cm 100 0.58 [0.21-1.60] 0.29 Prior exposure to anti-TNF therapy before surgery 114.5 0.58 [0.21-1.60] 0.29 Prior exposure to anti-TNF therapy before surgery 114.5 0.58 [0.21-1.60] 0.29 Prior exposure to anti-TNF therapy before surgery 114.5 0.58 [0.21-1.60] 0.29 Prior exposure to anti-TNF therapy before surgery 114.5 0.58 [0.21-1.60] 0.29 Prior exposure to anti-TNF therapy before surgery 10.058 [0.21-1.60] 0.29 No 41.5 Reference 40.01 Yes 3.0 1.07 [0.69-1.65] 0.75 Anti-TNF therapy in prevention of endoscopic postoperative recurrence 1.00 [0.69-1.65] 0.75 No 41.4 Reference 1.05 Yes 20.5 1.28 [0.78-2.13]	No	34.6	Reference	
Type of perianal lesions Type of perianal lesions Type of perianal lesions 33.4 Reference Non-fistulizing lesions 33.4 Reference 0.23 Fistula, abscess 20.5 1.10 [0.75-1.60] 0.23 Disease duration 1.00 [0.99-1.01] 0.77 Ileal resection > 50 cm 114.5 0.58 [0.21-1.60] 0.29 Prior exposure to anti-TNF therapy before surgery 114.5 0.58 [0.21-1.60] 0.29 Prior exposure to anti-TNF therapy before surgery 41.5 Reference 9 No 41.5 Reference 0.001 Thiopurines therapy in prevention of endoscopic postoperative recurrence 0.75 0.75 Yes 43.5 Reference 0.75 Yes 43.7 1.07 [0.69-1.65] 0.75 Anti-TNF therapy in prevention of endoscopic postoperative recurrence 100 [0.69-1.65] 0.75 No 43.5 Reference 1.5 Yes 20.5 1.28 [0.78-2.13] 0.55 Period of surgery 1986-1999 2005 1.00 [0.54-1.84] 0.99	Voc	19.2	1 18 [0 82-1 71]	0.37
Non-fistulizing lesions 33.4 Reference Non-fistulizing lesions 33.4 Reference Fistula, abscess 20.5 1.10 [0.75-1.60] 0.23 Disease duration 1.00 [0.99-1.01] 0.77 Ileal resection > 50 cm Ves 0.58 0.58 0.58 0.58 Yes 25.9 Reference 0.29 0.29 Prior exposure to anti-TNF therapy before surgery 0.58 0.58 [0.21-1.60] 0.29 Prior exposure to anti-TNF therapy before surgery 0.59 0.59 Yes 8.0 3.91 [1.80-5.90] < 0.001	Type of perianal lesions	17.2	1.10 [0.02-1.71]	0.57
Normstanting issues 3.4 Reference Fistula, abscess 20.5 1.10 [0.75-1.60] 0.23 Disease duration 1.00 [0.99-1.01] 0.77 Ileal resection > 50 cm 114.5 0.58 [0.21-1.60] 0.29 Prior exposure to anti-TNF therapy before surgery 114.5 0.58 [0.21-1.60] 0.29 Prior exposure to anti-TNF therapy before surgery 41.5 Reference 9 Yes 8.0 3.91 [1.80-5.90] < 0.001	Non-fietulizing losions	33.4	Reference	
Initial problem Init [ord 100] 0.0.5 Disease duration 1.00 [0.99.1.01] 0.77 Ileal resection > 50 cm 114.5 0.58 [0.21-1.60] 0.29 Yes 114.5 0.58 [0.21-1.60] 0.29 Prior exposure to anti-TNF therapy before surgery 114.5 0.58 [0.21-1.60] 0.29 No 41.5 Reference 100 [0.99.1.01] 0.29 Prior exposure to anti-TNF therapy before surgery 114.5 0.58 [0.21-1.60] 0.29 No 41.5 Reference 0.001 Thiopurines therapy in prevention of endoscopic postoperative recurrence 10.07 [0.69-1.65] 0.001 No 43.5 Reference 0.75 Anti-TNF therapy in prevention of endoscopic postoperative recurrence 10.07 [0.69-1.65] 0.75 No 41.4 Reference 1.05 Yes 20.5 2.82 [0.78-2.13] 0.55 Period of surgery 1986-1999 Reference 1.00 [0.54-1.84] 0.99 2000-2015 1.00 [0.54-1.84] 0.99 0.99 1.00 [0.54-1.84]	Fistula abscess	20.5	1 10 [0 75-1 60]	0.23
Item (provide) Item (provide) Ileal resection > 50 cm 25.9 Reference No 25.9 Reference Yes 114.5 0.58 [0.21-1.60] 0.29 Prior exposure to anti-TNF therapy before surgery 41.5 Reference No 41.5 Reference 0.001 Thiopurines therapy in prevention of endoscopic postoperative recurrence 43.5 Reference No 43.7 1.07 [0.69-1.65] 0.75 Anti-TNF therapy in prevention of endoscopic postoperative recurrence 114 Reference No 41.4 Reference 0.75 Anti-TNF therapy in prevention of endoscopic postoperative recurrence 1.07 [0.69-1.65] 0.75 No 41.4 Reference 1.05 Yes 20.5 1.28 [0.78-2.13] 0.55 Period of surgery 1986-1999 Reference 1.00 [0.54-1.84] 2000-2015 1.00 [0.54-1.84] 0.99 1.00 [0.54-1.84]	Disease duration	20.0	1.00 [0.99-1.01]	0.77
No 25.9 Reference Yes 114.5 0.58 [0.21-1.60] 0.29 Prior exposure to anti-TNF therapy before surgery No 41.5 Reference Yes 8.0 3.91 [1.80-5.90] < 0.001	Ileal resection > 50 cm		1.00 [0.07 1.01]	0117
Yes 114.5 114.5 0.58 [0.21-1.60] 0.29 Prior exposure to anti-TNF therapy before surgery 0.58 [0.21-1.60] 0.29 Prior exposure to anti-TNF therapy before surgery <t< td=""><td>No</td><td>25.9</td><td>Reference</td><td></td></t<>	No	25.9	Reference	
Prior exposure to anti-TNF therapy before surgery No 41.5 Reference Yes 8.0 3.91 [1.80-5.90] < 0.001	Yes	114.5	0.58 [0.21-1.60]	0.29
No 41.5 Reference Yes 8.0 3.91 [1.80-5.90] < 0.001	Prior exposure to anti-TNF therapy before surgery			
Yes 8.0 3.91 [1.80-5.90] < 0.001 Thiopurines therapy in prevention of endoscopic postoperative recurrence 43.5 Reference Yes 43.7 1.07 [0.69-1.65] 0.75 Anti-TNF therapy in prevention of endoscopic postoperative recurrence No 41.4 Reference Yes 20.5 1.28 [0.78-2.13] 0.55 Period of surgery 1986-1999 Reference 2000-2015 0.99	No	41.5	Reference	
Thiopurines therapy in prevention of endoscopic postoperative recurrence 43.5 Reference No 43.7 1.07 [0.69-1.65] 0.75 Anti-TNF therapy in prevention of endoscopic postoperative recurrence 41.4 Reference No 41.4 Reference Yes 20.5 1.28 [0.78-2.13] 0.55 Period of surgery 1986-1999 Reference 2000-2015 1.00 [0.54-1.84] 0.99	Yes	8.0	3.91 [1.80-5.90]	< 0.001
No 43.5 Reference Yes 43.7 1.07 [0.69-1.65] 0.75 Anti-TNF therapy in prevention of endoscopic postoperative recurrence 41.4 Reference No 41.4 Reference Yes 20.5 1.28 [0.78-2.13] 0.55 Period of surgery 1986-1999 Reference 2000-2015 1.00 [0.54-1.84] 0.99	Thiopurines therapy in prevention of endoscopic postoperative recurrence			
Yes 43.7 1.07 [0.69-1.65] 0.75 Anti-TNF therapy in prevention of endoscopic postoperative recurrence	No	43.5	Reference	
Anti-TNF therapy in prevention of endoscopic postoperative recurrence 41.4 Reference No 20.5 1.28 [0.78-2.13] 0.55 Period of surgery 1986-1999 Reference 1 2000-2015 1.00 [0.54-1.84] 0.99 0.99	Yes	43.7	1.07 [0.69-1.65]	0.75
No 41.4 Reference Yes 20.5 1.28 [0.78-2.13] 0.55 Period of surgery 1986-1999 Reference 2000-2015 1.00 [0.54-1.84] 0.99	Anti-TNF therapy in prevention of endoscopic postoperative recurrence			
Yes 20.5 1.28 [0.78-2.13] 0.55 Period of surgery <t< td=""><td>No</td><td>41.4</td><td>Reference</td><td></td></t<>	No	41.4	Reference	
Period of surgery Reference 1986-1999 Round 100 [0.54-1.84] 0.99	Yes	20.5	1.28 [0.78-2.13]	0.55
1986-1999 Reference 2000-2015 1.00 [0.54-1.84] 0.99	Period of surgery			
2000-2015 1.00 [0.54-1.84] 0.99	1986-1999		Reference	
	2000-2015		1.00 [0.54-1.84]	0.99

CRP: C-reactive protein; TNF: Tumor necrosis factor.

were treated with thiopurines, methotrexate and anti-TNF, respectively. The postoperative endoscopic evaluation highlighted the following distribution: 19 patients (18.6%) classified as i0 according to the Rutgeerts' score^[12], 19 patients (18.6%) as i1, 17 patients (16.7%) as i2, 12 patients (11.8%) as i3 and 35 patients (34.3%) as i4. In univariate analysis, prior intestinal resection, prior exposure to anti-TNF therapy before surgery seemed to be associated with shorter time until endoscopic POR (20.5 mo vs 43.5 mo, P = 0.06) and (8.0 mo vs 41.5 mo, P < 0.001), respectively (Table 2). Patients operated during the 1986-1999 period experienced earlier endoscopic POR than those operated during the 2000-2015 period (P

= 0.004). In multivariate analysis, prior exposure to anti-TNF therapy before surgery (HR = 2.55, 95%CI: 1.37-4.73) and undergoing surgery during the 1986-1999 period (HR = 1.61, 95%CI: 1.04-2.49) were predictive of endoscopic POR.

Risk factors of clinical POR

Among the 161 included patients, the median time to clinical POR was 2.5 years (0.7-4.9). While 54 patients (33.5%) were treated with 5-ASA in prevention of clinical POR, 34 patients (21.1%), 2 patients (1.2%) and 26 patients (16.1%) were treated with thiopurines, methotrexate and anti-TNF, respectively. In univariate analysis, we reported that previous intestinal resection

Boucher AL et al. Endoscopy based-management following surgery in CD

Table 3 Univariate analysis of risk factors for clinical postoperative	ative recurrence in Crohn's disease		
	Median time to clinical POR (mo)	HR [95%CI]	P value
Age		1.00 [0.99-1.01]	0.4
Age			
< 35 yr	45.2	Reference	
≥ 35 yr	30.2	1.25 [0.84-1.85]	0.27
Age at diagnosis			
$\leq 16 \text{ yr}$	38.1	Reference	
16-40 yr	48.0	0.81 [0.43-1.54]	0.52
$\geq 40 \text{ yr}$	30.2	1.03 [0.48-2.23]	0.93
Tobacco use			
Non-smoker	45.2	Reference	
Active smoker	43.7	1.00 [0.66-1.53]	0.98
Previous intestinal resection			
No	51.0	Reference	
Yes	26.6	1.62 [1.07-2.44]	0.02
Total resection length > 50 cm			
No	38.1	Reference	
Yes	33.4	1.20 [0.66-2.16]	0.55
Disease behavior (Montreal classification)		[
B1	84.5	Reference	
B2	54.5	1 39 [0 48-4 00]	0.53
B3	28.9	1 61 [0 56-4 56]	0.37
Fistulizing Crohn's disease (B3)	_0.5	101 [0.00 1.00]	0.07
No	58.2	Reference	
Yes	28.9	1 21 [0 81-1 78]	0 34
Perianal lesions	20.7	1.21 [0.01 1.70]	0.01
No	54 5	Reference	
Voc	26.9	1 26 [0 85 1 86]	0.24
Type of perianal logions	20.9	1.20 [0.05-1.00]	0.24
Non fistulizing losions	54 5	Roforonco	
Fietula abscoss	20.5	1 46 [1 01 2 16]	0.05
Disease duration	20.5	1.40 [1.01-2.10]	0.05
lleal resection > 50 cm		1.00 [0.99-1.01]	0.51
No.	22.4	Poforonco	
No	50.5	0.72 [0.26.2.02]	0.54
Prior exposure to apti TNE therapy before surgery	59.5	0.72 [0.20-2.02]	0.54
N-	48.0	D - (
INO V	48.0	Reference	0.007
This sector is a second in a fall in the second in a second in the secon	24.0	2.64 [1.24-4.55]	0.007
i niopurines therapy in prevention of clinical postoperative recurrence	14.0	D (
NO	44.8	Reference	
Yes	43.7	1.14 [0.74-1.76]	0.53
Anti-INF therapy in prevention of clinical postoperative recurrence	10.4	P (
No	48.6	Reference	
Yes	29.3	1.39 [0.88-2.20]	0.16
Period of surgery			
1986-1999		Reference	
2000-2015		1.71 [1.12-2.63]	0.013

CRP: C-reactive protein; TNF: Tumor necrosis factor.

(51.0 mo vs 26.6 mo, P = 0.02), previous perianal fistula or abscess (54.5 mo vs 20.5 mo, P = 0.049) and prior exposure to anti-TNF therapy before surgery (24.0 vs 48.0, P = 0.007) were risk factors regarding clinical POR (Table 3). Patients operated during the 1986-1999 period experienced also earlier endoscopic POR than those operated during the 2000-2015 period (P = 0.013). In contrast, age at the time of surgery, age at the time of diagnosis, disease duration, tobacco use, resection length, CD behavior according to Montreal classification and all the other studied factors were not associated to an increased risk to experience clinical POR, in our cohort (Table 3). In addition, neither the use of thiopurines nor the use anti-TNF

was protective factor of clinical POR. In multivariate analysis, previous intestinal resection (HR = 1.62, 95%CI: 1.07-2.46, P = 0.02), previous perianal abscess or fistula (HR = 1.50, 95%CI: 1.01-2.24, P = 0.042) and prior exposure to anti-TNF therapy before surgery (HR = 1.91, 95%CI: 1.01-3.66, P = 0.049) were predictive of clinical POR.

Risk factors of surgical POR

In our cohort (n = 161), the median time to surgical POR was 5.2 years (2.0-10.3). The medications used between the time of surgery and surgical POR were 5-ASA in 62 patients (38.5%), steroids in 78 patients (48.4%), thiopurines in 59 patients (36.6%) and anti-

Table 4	Univariate analysis of	risk factors for surgi	ical postoperat	ive recurrence in	Crohn's disease
---------	------------------------	------------------------	-----------------	-------------------	-----------------

	Median time to surgical POR (mo)	HR [95%CI]	P value
Age		1.00 [0.99-1.01]	0.29
Age			
< 35 yr	218.3	Reference	
≥ 35 yr	131.6	1.32 [0.77-2.26]	0.30
Age at diagnosis			
$\leq 16 \text{ yr}$		Reference	
16-40 yr	144.0	1.37 [0.42-4.42]	0.60
$\ge 40 \text{ yr}$	108.6	1.73 [0.45-6.54]	0.42
Tobacco use			
Non-smoker	136.2	Reference	
Active smoker	173.4	0.84 [0.46-1.52]	0.56
Previous intestinal resection			
No	173.4	Reference	
Yes	108.6	1.74 [1.01-3.00]	0.04
Total resection length > 50 cm			
No	136.2	Reference	
Yes	120.0	1.50 [0.67-3.34]	0.32
Disease behavior (Montreal classification)			
B1		Reference	
B2	162.1	3.93 [0.52-29.33]	0.18
B3	128.8	5.71 [0.77-42.23]	0.09
Fistulizing Crohn's disease (B3)			
No	165.4	Reference	
Yes	128.8	1.78 [1.04-3.05]	0.03
Perianal lesions			
No	136.2	Reference	
Yes	151.1	0.99 [0.58-1.69]	0.97
Type of perianal lesions			
Non-fistulizing lesions	156.9	Reference	
Fistula, abscess	144.0	1.14 [0.66-1.97]	0.63
Disease duration		1.00 [0.99-1.01]	0.67
Ileal resection > 50 cm			
No	136.2	Reference	
Yes	120.0	1.23 [0.29-5.16]	0.78
Prior exposure to anti-TNF therapy before surgery			
No	151.1	Reference	
Yes		1.62 [0.92-7.08]	0.07
Thiopurines therapy in prevention of surgical postoperative recurrence			
No	144.0	Reference	
Yes	151.1	0.91 [0.50-1.65]	0.75
Anti-TNF therapy in prevention of surgical postoperative recurrence			
No	156.9	Reference	
Yes	136.2	2.09 [1.14-3.81]	0.02
Period of surgery			
1986-1999		Reference	
2000-2015		1.85 [1.22-2.80]	0.004

CRP: C-reactive protein; TNF: Tumor necrosis factor.

TNF in 69 patients (42.8%). In univariate analysis, previous intestinal resection (108.6 mo *vs* 173.4 mo, P = 0.04), fistulizing CD (B3 according to Montreal classification) (128.8 mo *vs* 162.1 mo, P = 0.03), prior exposure to anti-TNF therapy before surgery (P = 0.07) and anti-TNF therapy after surgery (136.2 mo *vs* 156.9 mo, P = 0.02) were associated with shorter time until reoperation (Table 4). The other potential risk factors investigated in the study were listed in Tables 1 and 2. In multivariate analysis, fistulizing CD (B3 according to Montreal classification) (HR = 1.78, 95%CI: 1.04-3.04, P = 0.003) and previous intestinal resection (HR = 1.7, 95%CI: 1.00-2.72, P = 0.05) were predictive of surgical POR.

Impact of an endoscopic-based management on the risk of POR

Overall, 49 of the 161 patients were included in the endoscopic-based management group. The median interval between initial surgery and endoscopy was 9.5 mo (6.0-22.9) in this group, including 63.2% of the patients (31/49) having a colonoscopy within the first year. Endoscopic POR occurred in 18 patients (36.7%) in the EBM-group. All of them underwent step-up therapeutic strategy as described in Table 5. In univariate analysis, an EBM was associated with a delayed time to clinical (33.4 mo *vs* 84.5 mo) and surgical recurrence. In multivariate analysis, an EBM decreased the risk of clinical POR (HR = 0.4, 95%CI:

Number of patient	Treatment before endoscopic evaluation	Rutgeerts' score	Treatment after endoscopic evaluation	
1	None	i2	AZA	
2	AZA	i3	IFX	
3	AZA	i4	IFX	
4	AZA	i2	AZA	
5	AZA	i2	AZA (increased dose)	
6	5-ASA	i4	IFX	
7	ADA eow	i3	ADA ew	
8	None	i4	ADA	
9	5-ASA	i4	IFX + MTX	
10	AZA	i4	IFX	
11	AZA	i2	AZA (increased dose)	
12	IFX + MTX	i3	IFX (increased dose) + MTX	
13	ADA eow	i4	ADA ew	
14	None	i2	AZA	
15	None	i3	ADA	
16	None	i2	AZA	
17	None	i2	AZA	
18	ADA eow	i3	ADA ew	

AZA: Azathioprine; MTX: Methotrexate; IFX: Infliximab; ADA: Adalimumab; eow: Every other week; ew: Every week.



Figure 2 Long-term impact of endoscopic-based management on and clinical (A) and surgical (B) postoperative recurrence in Crohn's disease.

0.25-0.66, *P* < 0.001) (Figure 2A) and surgical POR (HR = 0.30, 95%CI: 0.13-0.70, *P* = 0.006) (Figure 2B).

DISCUSSION

Although performing a colonoscopy within the first year following surgery is commonly recommended in daily practice, the level of evidence suggesting that an EBM is an efficient strategy remains poorly investigated and is limited to short-term outcomes^[23-26]. We reported here, the long-term impact of an EBM on the surgical and clinical POR risk that it has never been reported so far.

The prevalence of endoscopic POR in our cohort was perfectly in line with data from population-based cohort, which showed more than half of patients are experiencing endoscopic POR at 5 years, three quarters at 10 years and more than 90% at 15 years^[3,28-30]. Our results also highlighted that more than three quarters

(75.9%) of the patients experienced clinical POR within 10 years after surgery, that clinical symptoms occurred in almost all the CD patients followed in referral centers (92.5% at 20 years) and that almost two thirds (64.7%) of the CD patients were re-operated within 20 years of surgery. These data confirmed that surgery is not curative in CD in the large majority of the cases.

In our cohort, we confirmed that patients who underwent prior intestinal resection for CD, had higher risks of surgical, clinical and endoscopic POR, as previously showed in both population-based cohort^[29] and referral centers^[19]. In addition, we found that a fistulizing phenotype (B3 according to the Montreal classification) was associated with higher risk of endoscopic and surgical POR according to the results of a meta-analysis including 13 studies and 3044 patients (OR = 1.5, P = 0.002)^[31] and several referral center-based studies^[8]. Surprisingly, we did not show

WJG | www.wjgnet.com

5075

any influence of tobacco use on the risk of POR in our cohort. However, smoking is often considered as the strongest risk factor for postoperative recurrence, increasing by twofold the risk of clinical recurrence and multiplying by 2.5 the risk for surgical POR within 10 years, with a dose-response relationship^[21,32,33]. It could be partly explained by the retrospective design of our study and the fact that studying smoking habits is very difficult due to a wide modification of the smoking status during this long-term follow-up, the hardness to evaluate accurately the consumption of cigarettes and the underestimation of the number of smokers. Perianal disease is often admitted as predictor for POR. However, it remains unclear whether perianal lesions directly impacted the postoperative course of luminal disease or was only associated with perianal disease relapse leading to therapeutic modifications. In our cohort, the overall perianal lesions including both fistulizing and non-fistulizing (ulceration, fissure) lesions did not show any impact on the rate of recurrence. In contrast, we observed that prior perianal fistula or abscess was associated with increased clinical POR rate, but it did not influence the risk of both endoscopic and surgical POR. Most of the previous data indicated that perianal lesions were associated with clinical POR^[28,34,35], while neither the studies investigating the risk factors for surgical POR^[8,36,37], nor those interested in risk factors for endoscopic POR^[8] achieved to prove the role of perianal involvement in the postoperative course of CD. Our results seemed to confirm that perianal involvement did not influence the risk of luminal recurrence, but underlined the fact that patients with perianal involvement had an increased risk of perianal symptomatic recurrence. Accordingly, we suggest that these patients require aggressive treatment after surgery, preferably to prevent perianal relapse rather than luminal recurrence, but this point warrants to be validated in additional studies. Some authors suggested using anti-TNF therapy in prevention of endoscopic POR in patients with prior exposure to anti-TNF before surgery^[22]. This statement is based on experts' opinion rather than evidencebased medicine. However, in our cohort, we found that prior exposure to anti-TNF therapy before surgery is the most relevant risk factor for POR. It could mean that anti-TNF agents prescription associated to the most severe disease could predict an unfavorable postoperative course in CD. Stratifying the patients according to their risk factors of POR remains a key point in the management of the postoperative period in CD. However, the known risk factors do not allowed to accurately select the high-risk patients. Histologic factors, especially plexitis, could improve the selection of CD patients with ileocolic resection^[38-41].

Although early colonoscopy after surgery is recommended in ECCO guidelines^[21], low evidence supports this recommendation to date. Two retrospective studies evaluating the impact of postoperative EBM with tailored treatment according to the endoscopic findings did not report any benefit of this strategy on both clinical and surgical POR^[23,24]. Bordeianou et al^[24] reported no significant difference in time to clinical POR among the three following groups (n = 199patients): immediate postoperative treatment, tailored treatment after endoscopy and no treatment. Similarly, De Cruz et al^[23] reported no clinical benefit from an EBM in 136 CD patients. The authors explained their negative results in noting that the response to the endoscopic findings was not standardized and immunosuppressive therapy was uncommon during their study period. More recently, among 132 operated on for CD from the Saint-Louis Hospital, Paris, France, the authors reported a decreased clinical POR rate 5 years after surgery, in the patients with EBM, compared to the non-EBM group (26% vs 52%)^[25]. Recently, the landmark POCER trial, a prospective, welldesigned study, compared the impact of a tailored management according to clinical risk of recurrence, with early colonoscopy and treatment step-up on recurrence^[26]. The results showed that an early EBM, performed 6 mo after surgery, decreased the rate of endoscopic POR at 18 mo^[26]. However the long-term impact of EBM on the risk of POR (especially surgical) remained unknown. Our results indicated for the first time that an EBM influenced the risk of reoperation for CD, leading to a delayed time before surgical POR. In addition, we confirmed that the EBM group experienced less clinical POR over time than the non-EBM group, in a long period of follow-up. As our cohort overlapped a very long period with different available medications overtime, we did not show any impact of the postoperative treatment, especially biologics, in this population.

The main limits of this study were the retrospective and monocentric design. In addition, the time of endoscopy (median = 9.5 mo after surgery) and the step-up strategy were not standardized for all the patients. However, we observed for the first time the positive impact of an EBM on the risk of reoperation in CD, in a cohort monitored during almost 30 years (1986-2015) and based on a Pathology Department electronic database (consecutive patients).

In conclusion, POR is very frequent in CD and remains a critical issue in the management of the postoperative period. The identification of predictors to select the high-risk patients warranting topdown strategy in the postoperative period is crucial. An endoscopic-based management within the first year after surgery decreases the risk of symptoms recurrence and reoperation and then have to be recommended in daily practice.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thank you to the company "MG translate" for reviewing the manuscript.

COMMENTS

Background

Surgical resection is unfortunately not curative in Crohn's disease (CD), and postoperative recurrence (POR) remains a crucial issue in these patients. Performing an endoscopy within the first year after surgery is often recommended in clinical practice. However, the level of evidence suggesting the efficacy of such strategy remains low. Two retrospective studies reported no impact of an endoscopy-based management (EBM). A French group suggested, in a retrospective cohort, that an EBM was associated with a decrease risk of clinical POR at 5 years. Recently, the landmark POCER trial showed that an early EBM decreased the risk of endoscopic POR at 18 months post-surgery. However the long-term impact of EBM on the risks of clinical and surgical POR remains unknown.

Research frontiers

The level of evidence suggesting the efficacy of an endoscopy-based strategy in CD remains low especially in the long-term. In the present study, the authors aimed to investigate whether an endoscopy-based strategy could prevent the long-term risk of POR in CD.

Innovations and breakthroughs

This paper showed for the first time, that an endoscopic-based management within the first year after surgery decreases the long-term risk of symptoms recurrence and reoperation.

Applications

Endoscopy-based management should be recommended in all CD patients within the first year after surgery in daily practice as it highly decreases the long-term risk of clinical recurrence and reoperation.

Terminology

An endoscopy-based strategy in CD means treatment intensification in case of endoscopic recurrence to prevent symptoms reappearance.

Peer-review

This article deals with an important aspect of CD- post operative recurrence. The article is well written in general.

REFERENCES

- Pariente B, Cosnes J, Danese S, Sandborn WJ, Lewin M, Fletcher JG, Chowers Y, D'Haens G, Feagan BG, Hibi T, Hommes DW, Irvine EJ, Kamm MA, Loftus EV, Louis E, Michetti P, Munkholm P, Oresland T, Panés J, Peyrin-Biroulet L, Reinisch W, Sands BE, Schoelmerich J, Schreiber S, Tilg H, Travis S, van Assche G, Vecchi M, Mary JY, Colombel JF, Lémann M. Development of the Crohn's disease digestive damage score, the Lémann score. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2011; 17: 1415-1422 [PMID: 21560202 DOI: 10.1002/ibd.21506]
- Pariente B, Mary JY, Danese S, Chowers Y, De Cruz P, D'Haens G, Loftus EV, Louis E, Panés J, Schölmerich J, Schreiber S, Vecchi M, Branche J, Bruining D, Fiorino G, Herzog M, Kamm MA, Klein A, Lewin M, Meunier P, Ordas I, Strauch U, Tontini GE, Zagdanski AM, Bonifacio C, Rimola J, Nachury M, Leroy C, Sandborn W, Colombel JF, Cosnes J. Development of the Lémann index to assess digestive tract damage in patients with Crohn's disease. *Gastroenterology* 2015; **148**: 52-63.e3 [PMID: 25241327 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2014.09.015]
- 3 Peyrin-Biroulet L, Loftus EV, Colombel JF, Sandborn WJ. The natural history of adult Crohn's disease in population-based cohorts. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2010; 105: 289-297 [PMID: 19861953 DOI: 10.1038/ajg.2009.579]
- 4 Riss S, Schuster I, Papay P, Herbst F, Mittlböck M, Chitsabesan P, Stift A. Surgical recurrence after primary ileocolic resection for Crohn's disease. *Tech Coloproctol* 2014; 18: 365-371 [PMID: 23982768 DOI: 10.1007/s10151-013-1061-4]

- 5 Shivananda S, Hordijk ML, Pena AS, Mayberry JF. Crohn's disease: risk of recurrence and reoperation in a defined population. *Gut* 1989; **30**: 990-995 [PMID: 2759493]
- 6 Lock MR, Farmer RG, Fazio VW, Jagelman DG, Lavery IC, Weakley FL. Recurrence and reoperation for Crohn's disease: the role of disease location in prognosis. *N Engl J Med* 1981; **304**: 1586-1588 [PMID: 7231504 DOI: 10.1056/NEJM198106253042607]
- 7 Borley NR, Mortensen NJ, Chaudry MA, Mohammed S, Warren BF, George BD, Clark T, Jewell DP, Kettlewell MG. Recurrence after abdominal surgery for Crohn's disease: relationship to disease site and surgical procedure. *Dis Colon Rectum* 2002; 45: 377-383 [PMID: 12068198]
- Buisson A, Chevaux JB, Allen PB, Bommelaer G, Peyrin-Biroulet L. Review article: the natural history of postoperative Crohn's disease recurrence. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2012; 35: 625-633 [PMID: 22313322 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2036.2012.05002.x]
- 9 Greenstein AJ, Sachar DB, Pasternack BS, Janowitz HD. Reoperation and recurrence in Crohn's colitis and ileocolitis Crude and cumulative rates. *N Engl J Med* 1975; 293: 685-690 [PMID: 1160935 DOI: 10.1056/NEJM197510022931403]
- 10 Nygaard K, Fausa O. Crohn's disease. Recurrence after surgical treatment. Scand J Gastroenterol 1977; 12: 577-584 [PMID: 918550]
- Tytgat GN, Mulder CJ, Brummelkamp WH. Endoscopic lesions in Crohn's disease early after ileocecal resection. *Endoscopy* 1988; 20: 260-262 [PMID: 3168939 DOI: 10.1055/s-2007-1018188]
- 12 Rutgeerts P, Geboes K, Vantrappen G, Beyls J, Kerremans R, Hiele M. Predictability of the postoperative course of Crohn's disease. *Gastroenterology* 1990; 99: 956-963 [PMID: 2394349]
- 13 Rutgeerts P, Geboes K, Vantrappen G, Kerremans R, Coenegrachts JL, Coremans G. Natural history of recurrent Crohn' s disease at the ileocolonic anastomosis after curative surgery. *Gut* 1984; 25: 665-672 [PMID: 6735250]
- 14 Gabbert HE, Ewe K, Singe CC, Junginger T, Gerharz CD, Köther K. [The early recurrence of Crohn's disease after "curative" ileocecal resection. A prospective endoscopic and histological study]. Dtsch Med Wochenschr 1990; 115: 447-451 [PMID: 2318114 DOI: 10.1055/s-2008-1065028]
- 15 Olaison G, Smedh K, Sjödahl R. Natural course of Crohn's disease after ileocolic resection: endoscopically visualised ileal ulcers preceding symptoms. *Gut* 1992; 33: 331-335 [PMID: 1568651]
- 16 Heimann TM, Greenstein AJ, Lewis B, Kaufman D, Heimann DM, Aufses AH. Prediction of early symptomatic recurrence after intestinal resection in Crohn's disease. *Ann Surg* 1993; 218: 294-298; discussion 298-299 [PMID: 8373272]
- 17 Meresse B, Rutgeerts P, Malchow H, Dubucquoi S, Dessaint JP, Cohard M, Colombel JF, Desreumaux P. Low ileal interleukin 10 concentrations are predictive of endoscopic recurrence in patients with Crohn's disease. *Gut* 2002; 50: 25-28 [PMID: 11772962]
- 18 Kurer MA, Stamou KM, Wilson TR, Bradford IM, Leveson SH. Early symptomatic recurrence after intestinal resection in Crohn's disease is unpredictable. *Colorectal Dis* 2007; 9: 567-571 [PMID: 17573754 DOI: 10.1111/j.1463-1318.2006.01202.x]
- 19 Ng SC, Lied GA, Arebi N, Phillips RK, Kamm MA. Clinical and surgical recurrence of Crohn's disease after ileocolonic resection in a specialist unit. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2009; 21: 551-557 [PMID: 19182680 DOI: 10.1097/MEG.0b013e328326a01e]
- 20 Onali S, Petruzziello C, Calabrese E, Condino G, Zorzi F, Sica GS, Pallone F, Biancone L. Frequency, pattern, and risk factors of postoperative recurrence of Crohn's disease after resection different from ileo-colonic. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2009; 13: 246-252 [PMID: 18949525 DOI: 10.1007/s11605-008-0726-1]
- 21 Van Assche G, Dignass A, Reinisch W, van der Woude CJ, Sturm A, De Vos M, Guslandi M, Oldenburg B, Dotan I, Marteau P, Ardizzone A, Baumgart DC, D'Haens G, Gionchetti P, Portela F, Vucelic B, Söderholm J, Escher J, Koletzko S, Kolho KL, Lukas M, Mottet C, Tilg H, Vermeire S, Carbonnel F, Cole A, Novacek G, Reinshagen M, Tsianos E, Herrlinger K, Oldenburg B, Bouhnik Y, Kiesslich R, Stange E, Travis S, Lindsay J. The second European evidence-based Consensus on the diagnosis and management

5077

of Crohn's disease: Special situations. *J Crohns Colitis* 2010; 4: 63-101 [PMID: 21122490 DOI: 10.1016/j.crohns.2009.09.009]

- 22 Buisson A, Chevaux JB, Bommelaer G, Peyrin-Biroulet L. Diagnosis, prevention and treatment of postoperative Crohn' s disease recurrence. *Dig Liver Dis* 2012; 44: 453-460 [PMID: 22265329 DOI: 10.1016/j.dld.2011.12.018]
- 23 De Cruz P, Bernardi MP, Kamm MA, Allen PB, Prideaux L, Williams J, Johnston MJ, Keck J, Brouwer R, Heriot A, Woods R, Brown S, Bell SJ, Elliott R, Connell WR, Desmond PV. Postoperative recurrence of Crohn's disease: impact of endoscopic monitoring and treatment step-up. *Colorectal Dis* 2013; 15: 187-197 [PMID: 22757652 DOI: 10.1111/j.1463-1318.2012.03168. x]
- 24 Bordeianou L, Stein SL, Ho VP, Dursun A, Sands BE, Korzenik JR, Hodin RA. Immediate versus tailored prophylaxis to prevent symptomatic recurrences after surgery for ileocecal Crohn's disease? *Surgery* 2011; 149: 72-78 [PMID: 20434748 DOI: 10.1016/j.surg.2010.03.009]
- 25 Baudry C, Pariente B, Lourenço N, Simon M, Chirica M, Cattan P, Munoz-Bongrand N, Gornet JM, Allez M. Tailored treatment according to early post-surgery colonoscopy reduces clinical recurrence in Crohn's disease: a retrospective study. *Dig Liver Dis* 2014; 46: 887-892 [PMID: 25081846 DOI: 10.1016/ j.dld.2014.07.005]
- 26 De Cruz P, Kamm MA, Hamilton AL, Ritchie KJ, Krejany EO, Gorelik A, Liew D, Prideaux L, Lawrance IC, Andrews JM, Bampton PA, Gibson PR, Sparrow M, Leong RW, Florin TH, Gearry RB, Radford-Smith G, Macrae FA, Debinski H, Selby W, Kronborg I, Johnston MJ, Woods R, Elliott PR, Bell SJ, Brown SJ, Connell WR, Desmond PV. Crohn's disease management after intestinal resection: a randomised trial. *Lancet* 2015; **385**: 1406-1417 [PMID: 25542620 DOI: 10.1016/ S0140-6736(14)61908-5]
- 27 Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)--a metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. *J Biomed Inform* 2009; 42: 377-381 [PMID: 18929686 DOI: 10.1016/j.jbi.2008.08.010]
- 28 Bernell O, Lapidus A, Hellers G. Risk factors for surgery and recurrence in 907 patients with primary ileocaecal Crohn's disease. *Br J Surg* 2000; 87: 1697-1701 [PMID: 11122187 DOI: 10.1046/ j.1365-2168.2000.01589.x]
- 29 Hellers G. Crohn's disease in Stockholm county 1955-1974. A study of epidemiology, results of surgical treatment and longterm prognosis. *Acta Chir Scand Suppl* 1979; 490: 1-84 [PMID: 293116]
- 30 Agrez MV, Valente RM, Pierce W, Melton LJ, van Heerden JA, Beart RW. Surgical history of Crohn's disease in a well-defined population. *Mayo Clin Proc* 1982; 57: 747-752 [PMID: 7144254]
- 31 Simillis C, Yamamoto T, Reese GE, Umegae S, Matsumoto

K, Darzi AW, Tekkis PP. A meta-analysis comparing incidence of recurrence and indication for reoperation after surgery for perforating versus nonperforating Crohn's disease. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2008; **103**: 196-205 [PMID: 17900320 DOI: 10.1111/ j.1572-0241.2007.01548.x]

- 32 Reese GE, Nanidis T, Borysiewicz C, Yamamoto T, Orchard T, Tekkis PP. The effect of smoking after surgery for Crohn's disease: a meta-analysis of observational studies. *Int J Colorectal Dis* 2008; 23: 1213-1221 [PMID: 18762954 DOI: 10.1007/ s00384-008-0542-9]
- 33 Yamamoto T, Keighley MR. Smoking and disease recurrence after operation for Crohn's disease. *Br J Surg* 2000; 87: 398-404 [PMID: 10759731 DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-2168.2000.01443.x]
- 34 Parente F, Sampietro GM, Molteni M, Greco S, Anderloni A, Sposito C, Danelli PG, Taschieri AM, Gallus S, Bianchi Porro G. Behaviour of the bowel wall during the first year after surgery is a strong predictor of symptomatic recurrence of Crohn's disease: a prospective study. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2004; 20: 959-968 [PMID: 15521843 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2036.2004.02245.x]
- 35 Yang RP, Gao X, Chen MH, Xiao YL, Chen BL, Hu PJ. [Risk factors for initial bowel resection and postoperative recurrence in patients with Crohn disease]. *Zhonghua Wei Chang Wai Ke Zazhi* 2011; 14: 176-180 [PMID: 21442478]
- 36 Lee SM, Han EC, Ryoo SB, Oh HK, Choe EK, Moon SH, Kim JS, Jung HC, Park KJ. Long-term Outcomes and Risk Factors for Reoperation After Surgical Treatment for Gastrointestinal Crohn Disease According to Anti-tumor Necrosis Factor-α Antibody Use: 35 Years of Experience at a Single Institute in Korea. *Ann Coloproctol* 2015; **31**: 144-152 [PMID: 26361616 DOI: 10.3393/ ac.2015.31.4.144]
- Khoshkish S, Arefi K, Charmehali M, Vahedi H, Malekzadeh
 R. Risk factors for postoperative recurrence of Crohn's disease.
 Middle East J Dig Dis 2012; 4: 199-205 [PMID: 24829657]
- 38 Ferrante M, de Hertogh G, Hlavaty T, D'Haens G, Penninckx F, D'Hoore A, Vermeire S, Rutgeerts P, Geboes K, van Assche G. The value of myenteric plexitis to predict early postoperative Crohn's disease recurrence. *Gastroenterology* 2006; 130: 1595-1606 [PMID: 16697723 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2006.02.025]
- 39 Sokol H, Polin V, Lavergne-Slove A, Panis Y, Treton X, Dray X, Bouhnik Y, Valleur P, Marteau P. Plexitis as a predictive factor of early postoperative clinical recurrence in Crohn's disease. *Gut* 2009; 58: 1218-1225 [PMID: 19625280 DOI: 10.1136/ gut.2009.177782]
- 40 Ng SC, Lied GA, Kamm MA, Sandhu F, Guenther T, Arebi N. Predictive value and clinical significance of myenteric plexitis in Crohn's disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2009; 15: 1499-1507 [PMID: 19338051 DOI: 10.1002/ibd.20932]
- Bressenot A, Peyrin-Biroulet L. Histologic features predicting postoperative Crohn's disease recurrence. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2015; 21: 468-475 [PMID: 25437814 DOI: 10.1097/MIB.00000000000224]

P- Reviewer: Desai DC, Osawa S S- Editor: Ma YJ L- Editor: A E- Editor: Ma S







Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA Telephone: +1-925-223-8242 Fax: +1-925-223-8243 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: http://www.wjgnet.com/esps/helpdesk.aspx http://www.wjgnet.com





© 2016 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.