



HAL
open science

Role of insect vectors in epidemiology and invasion risk of *Fusarium circinatum*, and risk assessment of biological control of invasive *Pinus contorta*

Eckehard G. Brockerhoff, Margaret Dick, Rebecca Ganley, Alain Roques,
Andrew J. Storer

► To cite this version:

Eckehard G. Brockerhoff, Margaret Dick, Rebecca Ganley, Alain Roques, Andrew J. Storer. Role of insect vectors in epidemiology and invasion risk of *Fusarium circinatum*, and risk assessment of biological control of invasive *Pinus contorta*. *Biological Invasions*, 2016, 18 (4), pp.1177-1190. 10.1007/s10530-016-1059-8 . hal-02632311

HAL Id: hal-02632311

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02632311v1>

Submitted on 27 May 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Copyright

For submission to *Biological Invasions*

Role of insect vectors in epidemiology and invasion risk of *Fusarium circinatum*, and risk assessment of biological control of invasive *Pinus contorta*

Eckehard G. Brockerhoff, Scion (New Zealand Forest Research Institute), Christchurch, New Zealand, e-mail: eckehard.brockerhoff@scionresearch.com

Margaret Dick, Scion (New Zealand Forest Research Institute), Rotorua, New Zealand, e-mail: margaret.dick@scionresearch.com

Rebecca Ganley, Scion (New Zealand Forest Research Institute), Rotorua, New Zealand, e-mail: rebecca.ganley@scionresearch.com

Alain Roques, INRA – UR0633, Zoologie Forestière, 2163 Av. Pomme de pin, CS 40001, 45075 Orléans, France, e-mail: alain.roques@orleans.inra.fr

Andrew J. Storer, School of Forest Resources and Environmental Science, Michigan Technological University, 1400 Townsend Drive, Houghton, MI 49931, USA, e-mail: storer@mtu.edu

Correspondence:

Eckehard G. Brockerhoff, Scion (New Zealand Forest Research Institute), Christchurch, New Zealand, e-mail: eckehard.brockerhoff@scionresearch.com, Tel +64 364 2949, Fax +64 364 2812

1 **Abstract**

2 Pitch canker, caused by the pathogen *Fusarium circinatum*, is a serious disease of pines, *Pinus*
3 species. It is a threat to natural and planted pine forests, and to date it has invaded countries
4 across five continents. Pine-feeding insects can play a key role in the epidemiology of the
5 disease, as wounding agents allowing pathogen access or as vectors transmitting the pathogen
6 from infected to healthy trees. We reviewed the role of insects in the epidemiology of pitch
7 canker worldwide and assessed which insects are present in New Zealand that may act as
8 wounding agents or vectors to determine whether pathogen invasion could adversely affect *Pinus*
9 *radiata* plantation forests and urban trees. We also evaluated whether cone or seed insects of
10 pines could be introduced as biological control agents of invasive *Pinus contorta* and how this
11 may affect the impact of a potential *F. circinatum* invasion. As there are no native pines or other
12 Pinaceae in New Zealand, there are only few pine insects, mainly accidental introductions. None
13 of the insects recorded on pines in New Zealand is likely to be a vector, suggesting low disease
14 risk. Of six potentially suitable biocontrol candidates, the European pine cone weevil *Pissodes*
15 *validirostris* is the most promising regarding host specificity and impact on seed production, but
16 there is uncertainty about its ability to act as vector of *F. circinatum*. Our methodology to review
17 and evaluate the vector potential of pine associates can be used as a generic framework to assess
18 the potential impacts of *F. circinatum* invasion.

19
20 **Keywords:** Cone and seed insects; invasive alien species; pathogen vectors; pitch canker

21
22
23

24 **Introduction**

25

26 Pitch canker, caused by the pathogen *Fusarium circinatum* (Nirenberg and O'Donnell), is a
27 serious disease of some pines (*Pinus* spp.) (Dick 1998; Gordon et al. 2001; Wingfield et al.
28 2008). Since the 1980's it has emerged as a particularly damaging disease of *Pinus radiata* D.
29 Don in the native range of this pine in various parts of California (Storer et al. 1997; Gordon et
30 al. 2001). The pathogen is assumed to originate from Mexico/Central America (Wingfield et al.
31 2008) from where it has invaded the United States, Haiti, Japan, South Africa, Chile, Spain,
32 Brazil and Italy, affecting a range of pine species (Dwinell 1999; Wingfield et al. 2002; Carlucci
33 et al. 2007; Wingfield et al. 2008; Pfenning et al. 2014). The extent of disease problems varies
34 among regions and host species, and symptoms can be common in forests and plantations (as in
35 Spain, for example), restricted to nurseries (as reported from Chile), or parks and gardens (as in
36 Italy). In South Africa, where pitch canker was first detected in 1990 (Viljoen et al. 1994), the
37 disease remained confined to nurseries until 2005 when an outbreak was reported in young
38 plantations in the Western Cape Province (Coutinho et al. 2007). Several countries where the
39 disease has not yet been recorded, including New Zealand, China, and Australia, are thought to
40 have a climate that is suitable for establishment of the fungus and development of pitch canker
41 disease (Ganley et al. 2009).

42

43 Studies in California have shown that bark-feeding insects as well as cone and seed insects play
44 an important role in the infection process, as wounding agents of trees that may allow pathogen
45 access or as vectors of the pathogen from infected to healthy trees (Fox et al. 1991; Hoover et al.
46 1996; Storer et al. 1998; Storer et al. 2004a). In New Zealand and other countries in the southern
47 hemisphere where *Pinus radiata* is widely planted as an exotic tree, there are relatively few
48 associated insects, mainly because no native Pinaceae are present, and consequently there are no

49 native specialised insect herbivores. Occasionally, some native insects attack pines, although
50 their direct impact is mostly benign. However, several insect pests of pines have become
51 introduced over the years. Preliminary reviews of the role of insects associated with pines in New
52 Zealand as potential vectors of *F. circinatum* have been provided by Gadgil et al. (2003) and
53 Storer et al. (2004b). Currently, there appear to be few insects in New Zealand that are likely to
54 act as vectors of the fungus, and this may limit the spread of the fungus and its impact on pine
55 plantations, if it ever became established there. However, a formal analysis of the potential
56 vectors of *F. circinatum* in New Zealand is not available.

57
58 Knowledge about any potential disease vectors and other insect associates is necessary to enable
59 an informed response in the event of an incursion of *F. circinatum* and to evaluate the risk of
60 spread (Gadgil et al. 2003; Ganley 2007; Fourrier et al. 2015). The vector issue is also important
61 for an assessment of the risk of the potential intentional introduction of seed-feeding insects for
62 biological control of wilding (invasive) *Pinus contorta* Loudon. Several pine species are now
63 considered environmental weeds in New Zealand and other southern hemisphere countries
64 (Richardson and Higgins 1998; Rundel et al. 2014). In some regions of New Zealand, pines have
65 invaded natural or semi-natural grassland and scrub communities (Ledgard 1998). Although
66 plantings of *Pinus contorta* were of limited extent, this species is now considered the most
67 serious invader among the pines (Ledgard 1998). Options for biological control have been
68 assessed (Brockerhoff and Kay 1998; Brockerhoff et al. 2004). Because several pine species are
69 highly valued in plantation forestry and also in urban amenity plantings, the potential use of cone
70 and seed insects is a favoured approach as such insects may reduce the spread of pines without
71 affecting growth or causing damage to other parts of trees. In addition, most cone and seed
72 insects are specialised, adapted species that exhibit a high degree of host plant specificity
73 (Turgeon et al. 1994), therefore limiting risks to non-target plants. On the other hand, seed-eating

74 insects may not be as effective as other types of biocontrol agents, and it remains to be
75 determined whether their effect actually reduces plant spread rates (e.g., Rees and Paynter 1997).
76 Based on a review of cone and seed insects that attack *Pinus contorta*, considering host
77 specificity, potential impact on seed production and other criteria, some insects are thought to
78 show promise as potential biocontrol agents, both in New Zealand and South Africa (Brockerhoff
79 and Kay 1998; Brockerhoff et al. 2004; Roques et al. 2004). However, it is feared that the
80 introduction of such insects to New Zealand would greatly increase the risks associated with *F.*
81 *circinatum* because the biocontrol agent may act as an additional, and potentially important,
82 vector of the pitch canker pathogen. In South Africa, such concerns have halted plans to
83 introduce a cone insect for biocontrol of invasive pines (Lennox et al. 2009), although there is
84 renewed interest in the approach.

85
86 Here we review the role of insects in the epidemiology of pitch canker world-wide and assess
87 which insects present in New Zealand may act as vectors. We also review the preliminary
88 selection of biocontrol agents against invasive pines and explore the risks of introducing such
89 biocontrol agents to New Zealand, particularly with regard to our current knowledge about
90 implications for vectoring *F. circinatum*. Our specific objectives are:

- 91 i. to provide an overview of the insects associated with pitch canker and their role in
92 disease epidemiology in the countries where the disease occurs to date;
- 93 ii. to review the native and introduced insects found on pines in New Zealand, to determine
94 the likelihood of these species acting as vectors of *F. circinatum*;
- 95 iii. to evaluate the insects potentially suitable for biocontrol of *Pinus contorta* in New
96 Zealand and to assess the risk of their introduction in relation to the potential
97 establishment of *F. circinatum*; and

98 iv. to develop a general framework for the assessment of risks associated with vectors of *F.*
99 *circinatum* or similar pathogens that can also be used for other countries.

100

101

102 **Wounding agents, vectors and carriers of *Fusarium circinatum* and their interactions with**
103 **the pathogen**

104

105 In some regions insects have been shown to play an important role in the infection process while
106 in other regions insects appear to play a minor role. Associations with insects have been best
107 studied in California where pitch canker has become a major disease of the native *Pinus radiata*,
108 which is among the most susceptible species of pine (Correll et al. 1991; Adams et al. 1999;
109 Storer et al. 2002). Insects can act as ‘wounding agents’ that allow the pathogen to enter the plant
110 via the damaged cuticle or via tunnels such as those made by bark beetles. Some insects are
111 ‘carriers’ because they carry inoculum from diseased plants they either visited or on which they
112 have developed. However, in order to be classified as a ‘vector’, Leach’s postulates need to be
113 met (Leach 1940). These state that in addition to being associated with diseased plants, carrying
114 the pathogen, and visiting susceptible plant hosts, an organism must be capable of successfully
115 transmitting the pathogen to plants that were not yet infected under controlled conditions. Some
116 wounding agents act concurrently as vectors but a vector can also cause an infection via a wound
117 caused by another species. Not all carriers of inoculum are necessarily successful vectors, for
118 example, if they are not causing any wounding that would be sufficient for transmission. It is
119 therefore important to consider the differences in involvement of the various insects associated
120 with diseased or healthy host plants and to classify each species accordingly (Table 1).

121

122 Insects that feed subcortically on live trees or that wound live trees during exploratory host
123 feeding have been shown to vector the pitch canker pathogen in California, especially bark
124 beetles such as *Ips paraconfusus* (Fox et al. 1991) and twig beetles, *Pityophthorus* spp. (Storer et
125 al. 2004a; Erbilgin et al 2008; Table 1; see Supplementary Table S1 for an alphabetical listing of
126 insect species). Twig beetles are thought to vector the pitch canker pathogen into pines also
127 during exploratory feeding to find suitable host material. Baiting trees with pheromones of
128 *Pityophthorus setosus* resulted in pitch canker infections on those trees despite the lack of
129 colonization by the insect (Storer et al 2004a). Other habits that may result in wounding include
130 shoot feeding and the creation of wounds during oviposition. The cone beetles, *Conophthorus*
131 *radiatae* and *Ernobius punctulatus* are also confirmed vectors (Hoover et al. 1995, 1996; Table
132 1). Several other species, including shoot and foliage feeders, sap suckers and predatory insects
133 are known wounding agents or carriers but none of these are known vectors (Table 1,
134 Supplementary Table S1).

135
136 To assess the role of insects in the infection of trees by *F. circinatum* and the epidemiology of the
137 disease, it is important to consider the life cycles of both the pathogen and potential vectors and
138 the nature of potential interactions between these organisms. Fruit bodies of *F. circinatum*
139 (known as sporodochia) containing thousands of asexual spores (conidia) may be produced on
140 the surface of infected tissues when conditions are moist. These are dispersed by water-splash
141 and can be carried in air currents throughout the year (Blakeslee et al. 1978; Correll et al. 1991).
142 Spores can also be recovered from the surface bark of trees even when fruit bodies are not readily
143 apparent (Adams et al. 1999). Two rounds of studies have been conducted where spore
144 suspensions were sprayed on small trees in the field and the branches were then baited with twig
145 beetle pheromones (Sakamoto et al. 2007). The results showed no difference in disease between
146 treated and untreated trees. It is likely that spore loads were very high in these studies compared

147 with what occurs naturally. It seems likely that the insects pick up spores in the tree, perhaps
148 while chewing their way out through diseased tissue. Insects coming into contact with the
149 sporodochia may readily pick up many of the sticky spores that are likely to adhere to the surface
150 of the body and be trapped amongst hairs and other surface structures (e.g., Yamoah et al. 2011).
151 Fox et al. (1990) reported the isolation of the pathogen from galleries of *Ips* spp. in *Pinus radiata*
152 trees. The beetles carry propagules that presumably can include hyphal fragments as well as
153 spores. By contrast, it is likely that the importance of casually acquiring spores from the tree
154 surface is low. The risk of transmission appears to vary at different times of the year according to
155 propagule loads on two *Ips* species (Erbilgin et al. 2008). Spore loads of twig beetles were
156 considered an important factor in studies of the vector efficiency (Erbilgin et al. 2009).

157
158 Once infection has occurred the fungus colonises the sapwood and can be readily isolated from
159 discoloured or resinous tissues (McCain et al. 1987; Correll et al. 1991). However, the fungus
160 does not spread great distances within the wood. Infection of a cone, or a cone whorl, vectored by
161 cone insects or other borers leads to death of the branch beyond the cone, with each dead branch
162 being the result of a separate infection. Although unsightly, the branch and twig death that would
163 result from infections transmitted by cone and seed insects would probably have relatively little
164 impact on tree growth. Lesions on branches girdle the branch so the distal portion dies; spread
165 down the branch or shoot is usually arrested at the node (Dwinell et al 1985; Gordon et al. 2001).
166 Individual trees may thus sustain crown infections for many years. However, crown dieback can
167 be extensive due to the multiple infections. Trunk infections are often initiated by bark beetles,
168 and tree death will follow girdling of the stem from a number of separate infections and/or
169 attacks by bark beetles. Multiple trunk attacks are required in order to kill the cambium around
170 the circumference and for tree death to result (Gordon et al. 2001; Storer et al. 2002). Bark

171 beetles (especially *Ips* spp. and *Dendroctonus* spp.) can cause considerable mortality of pines
172 also in the absence of any other disease agents (e.g., Six and Wingfield 2011).

173

174 In the absence of insects vectoring *F. circinatum* to the trunk of the trees, any stem infections
175 would be initiated through infection of wounds created by other causes including weather events
176 (such as wind and hail), pruning or cone collection (Dwinell et al. 1985; Gordon et al. 2001). In
177 New Zealand, wounds could also occur from bark stripping by native parrots (Dick 1998),
178 although this is rare.

179

180 Spread of the pitch canker disease in nurseries is different from what occurs in forests. The
181 fungus has been reported to be capable of spreading through nurseries very rapidly, with
182 devastating losses recorded (Viljoen et al. 1994). Unlike in older trees, wounds are not required
183 for infection of young seedlings. Insect-vectored transmission is apparently not important (Hurley
184 et al. 2007). Unfortunately, in contrast to the majority of pine nursery diseases where diligent
185 application of fungicides will generally reduce the impacts of pathogens, chemical control of
186 pitch canker disease has been found to be relatively ineffective (M.J. Wingfield pers. com.).
187 However, rigorous sanitation procedures can reduce disease incidence to insignificant levels in a
188 nursery where all plants are containerised (Van Wyk et al. 2012). Based on this knowledge, we
189 can predict with reasonable confidence the outcome of an introduction of *F. circinatum* to a
190 nursery in New Zealand where plants are reared both as bare root in nursery beds and in
191 containers (Gadgil et al. 2003). Eradication attempts are likely to result in nursery closure while
192 soil fumigation is undertaken followed by a high level of sanitation. Although insects are not
193 thought to be important in the disease epidemiology within nurseries, certain insects may be
194 involved in spreading the disease from nurseries to surrounding forests. It is more likely that

195 transmission of the fungus to a plantation would occur via out planting of asymptomatic infected
196 seedlings from a nursery than by insects.

197

198

199 **Potential vectors present in New Zealand**

200

201 Where pines occur naturally there is a substantial fauna of associated insects. For example, in
202 North America (north of Mexico) over 1100 insect species have been recorded as feeding on
203 pines, including about 30 introduced insect species (de Groot and Turgeon 1998). The majority
204 of these species were classed as either monophagous (ca. 50%) or oligophagous (ca. 30%) (i.e.,
205 more or less specific to pines).

206

207 The New Zealand flora includes no native pines or any other native Pinaceae, and as a result
208 there are no native insects that are closely associated with pines. However, several native insects,
209 primarily polyphagous species, have colonised pines growing in New Zealand, usually occurring
210 at low densities (e.g., Berndt et al. 2004). The most common insects found on pines in New
211 Zealand are introduced species from the native range of pines, mainly from Europe and North
212 America, such as a number of bark beetles and longhorned beetles (Brockerhoff et al. 2006).

213

214 To obtain an indication of the relative abundance of insects associated with pines in New
215 Zealand we queried the *Forest Health Database* (maintained by Scion/New Zealand Forest
216 Research Institute) (Bulman 1990). The database of forest health surveillance reports contains
217 over 3000 records of formally identified insects. This provided a list of over 500 insect species
218 although most of these represent incidental observations of species that do not feed on pines.
219 Nevertheless, the results of this database query do reflect which pine-feeding insects are most

220 commonly found on pines growing in New Zealand's plantation forests and as amenity trees.
221 These common associates are most likely to play a role in the epidemiology of the pitch canker
222 disease, should it become established in New Zealand. By contrast, insects that are only rarely
223 found on pines are probably irrelevant, especially if they have no feeding or other close
224 relationship. The 25 most commonly recorded insect species on pines in New Zealand (Table 2)
225 represent all the feeding guilds of insects that have been considered overseas with regard to their
226 association with pitch canker, except for pine cone insects (of which there are none in New
227 Zealand), and predators or parasitoids (of which several were recorded but at low frequencies).
228 These common associates were categorised with regard to the host status during attack (i.e., live
229 or dead trees) using information from Scion's *Forest Health Database* and from the *Forest and*
230 *Timber Insects* series (e.g., Brockerhoff and Hosking 2001). All species were then assessed for
231 their potential to act as a wounding agent, carrier of *F. circinatum* inoculum, or vector (Table 2).
232
233 Currently there are no high-risk species in New Zealand that could act as vector of the pitch
234 canker pathogen. Of the insect species that have been demonstrated to vector *F. circinatum*
235 elsewhere, none are currently known to exist in New Zealand. *Ernobius mollis*, an alien anobiid,
236 is the only species that occurs in New Zealand that has a congeneric species known to vector the
237 pathogen in the United States. *Ernobius mollis* colonises and breeds mostly in dead trees and it is
238 therefore unlikely to be able to vector the pathogen to live trees although it has occasionally been
239 observed in Europe to colonize cones of *Pinus brutia*, *Pseudotsuga menziesii* and
240 *Sequoiadendron gigantea* attacked by cone pyralids (Roques, 1983). Of the insects that have
241 been recorded from *Pinus radiata* in New Zealand, it is those that feed subcortically on live trees
242 or that wound live trees during exploratory host feeding (such as *Ips paraconfusus* and
243 *Pityophthorus setosus* in California) that would represent the greatest concern regarding
244 association with the pitch canker pathogen. No such species that is a confirmed vector is present

245 in New Zealand (Table 2). Of the other species, *Hylastes ater* is a representative of a genus that
246 is known to carry the pathogen in the United States (Storer et al 2004b). In Spain, *F. circinatum*
247 has not been isolated from *H. ater*, however, another species in the same genus, *Hylastes*
248 *attenuatus*, can be a carrier of the pathogen (Romón et al. 2007, 2008). Nevertheless, *Hylastes*
249 *ater* is known to attack seedlings (for maturation feeding) and this could raise its risk status but
250 based on current information, it is not thought to be a potential vector. *Sirex noctilio* is known to
251 attack live pine trees but for several decades the species has been generally uncommon in New
252 Zealand (Bain et al. 2011). Furthermore, it is not known to be a carrier of *F. circinatum* in any
253 country where pitch canker occurs. Therefore, it is unlikely to become an important vector if *F.*
254 *circinatum* became established in New Zealand.

255
256 Gadgil et al. (2003) list 14 sap-feeding species as potential vectors of the pitch canker pathogen
257 in New Zealand, although most of these are not associated with pines. Among sap feeding
258 species in California, only spittlebugs have been shown to be associated with pitch canker (Storer
259 et al. 1998), even though other sap feeding species such as the Monterey pine scale, *Physokermes*
260 *insignicola* (Homoptera: Coccidae), commonly occur in native and planted *Pinus radiata* forests
261 in California. The absence of a spittlebug feeding on *Pinus radiata* in New Zealand and the
262 apparent lack of association of the pathogen with other sap feeders suggests that these species
263 should not be considered to be significant associates of *F. circinatum* in New Zealand.

264

265

266 **Potential biological control agents for invasive pines**

267

268 A preliminary evaluation of potential biological control agents against wilding pines in New
269 Zealand was conducted by Brockerhoff and Kay (1998). The main target species was *Pinus*

270 *contorta* because it is considered the most important invader. Also, this pine has not been planted
271 in commercial plantations for several decades, and biocontrol using seed-feeding insects is
272 therefore less controversial than against a target species that is economically important
273 (Brockerhoff and Kay 1998). A number of criteria were applied in the selection of potential
274 agents including: the candidate agent should have a host range that includes the target species
275 and subspecies, be sufficiently host specific such that it would not attack non-target tree species,
276 be compatible with the climate in the target region(s) in New Zealand, reduce seed production of
277 the target species substantially, and be able to disperse to isolated tree populations. In addition,
278 the agent should not have any other unwanted effects such as a potential contribution to tree
279 disease dynamics (see below). The survey focussed on cone insects within the natural range of
280 *Pinus contorta* and also on cone insects that have colonised the species in areas where the tree
281 was introduced, especially in parts of Europe. Based on this, 16 species were assessed
282 (Brockerhoff and Kay 1998) of which six species were considered to be potentially suitable and
283 worthy of further consideration and research (Table 3). The other species were insufficiently host
284 specific or attacked other parts of trees, which could affect tree growth.

285
286 The North American cone moth *Eucosma rescissoriana* can significantly reduce seed production,
287 but this species was disregarded on the basis of its wider host range that covers firs (*Abies*
288 *grandis*, *A. lasiocarpa*) and pines (*Pinus contorta*, *Pinus monticola* and possibly *Pinus*
289 *albicaulis*; Hedlin et al., 1980). However, the host range of this species should be reviewed as all
290 other species of *Eucosma* are genus-specific, and it is unusual that *Eucosma rescissoriana* attacks
291 both firs and pines. Three other species, the anobiid *Ernobius nigrans*, the cerambycid *Paratimia*
292 *conicola*, and the tortricid *Cydia toreuta*, may be sufficiently host specific but they usually have a
293 more limited effect on seed production, at least in their natural geographic range. Most promising
294 were the pine cone beetle *Conophthorus ponderosae*, a North American species, and *Pissodes*

295 *validirostris*, the European pine cone weevil. Both these species have a narrow host range and
296 the ability to reduce seed production considerably (Brockerhoff and Kay 1998; Brockerhoff et al.
297 2004). However, *Pissodes validirostris* appeared to be the most effective in terms of its effects
298 on seed production, possibly because the two species did not co-evolve, and *Pinus contorta* may
299 not have developed adaptations against this particular cone insect. Based on these findings, we
300 prioritised *Pissodes validirostris* as the agent of first choice for more detailed consideration and
301 for potential introduction to New Zealand.

302
303 Out of a total of 21 insect species known to develop in the cones of *Pinus* spp. in Europe and in
304 the Mediterranean basin, *Pissodes validirostris* is the most damaging cone insect (Roques 1983;
305 Roques & El Alaoui El Fels 2002). Most other species cause only minor damage. *Pissodes*
306 *validirostris* is present all over the Palaearctic region from Portugal and Scandinavia to northern
307 China (Roques 1983). Larvae of *Pissodes validirostris* develop exclusively in pine cones,
308 tunneling through the tissues and destroying the seeds. They attack native pines of the subgenus
309 *Pinus* such as *Pinus sylvestris*, *P. mugo*, *P. uncinata*, *P. nigra* and subspecies, and *P.*
310 *leucodermis* which all belong to the subsection *Pinus* (Gernandt et al. 2005), as well as
311 Mediterranean pines of the subsection *Pinaster*, such as *P. pinaster*, *P. halepensis*, and *P. pinea*)
312 (Roques, 1983). Weevil attacks are also recorded on some North American pines widely planted
313 in Europe such as lodgepole pine, *Pinus contorta* (Annala 1975; Delplanque et al. 1988), a
314 species of the subgenus *Pinus* but from the section *Trifoliae*. Cone damage from *P. validirostris*
315 was recently noticed in French arboreta on *Pinus hartwegii* (= *P. rudis*), a member of the
316 subsection *Ponderosae* (Alain Roques, unpublished data). By contrast, the North American
317 pines *Pinus radiata* and *P. taeda*, belonging to the subsection *Australes* of the subgenus *Pinus*,
318 are not known to be attacked or damaged, despite the presence of large areas of planted forest of
319 these species in parts of south-western Europe where *Pissodes validirostris* is generally very

320 common on its normal hosts (Roques et al. 2004). In addition, native pine species in the
321 subgenus *Strobos* such as Swiss stone pine (*Pinus cembra*), are avoided (Dormont and Roques
322 1999) as are exotic pines of the same subgenus, probably due to specific host volatiles (Dormont
323 and Roques 2001). However, host-specificity tests revealed that there are different biotypes of *P.*
324 *validirostris* which specialize on pines either of the subsection *Pinus* or of the subsection
325 *Pinaster* (Roques et al. 2004). Recent molecular and morphometric studies confirmed that the
326 species *Pissodes validirostris* probably incorporates discrete taxa, or at least independent
327 evolutionary lineages. At least three phylogeographic lineages were identified corresponding to
328 the populations of the Iberian Peninsula, Central Europe and Northern/ Eastern Europe,
329 respectively, which correspond to the differences in host plant preferences (Géraldine Roux, pers.
330 comm.). Populations that colonized *Pinus contorta* are more closely associated with Central and
331 Northern European populations on *Pinus sylvestris* than with those developing on Mediterranean
332 pines (Roques et al. 2004; Géraldine Roux, pers. comm.). This suggests that *Pinus sylvestris* was
333 the original host for these populations. In no-choice host selection experiments, both biotypes of
334 *Pissodes* were capable of laying eggs on cones of *Pinus radiata*, but only the larvae originating
335 from populations of the *Pinus sylvestris* biotype were capable of completing their development in
336 these cones (Roques et al. 2004).

337
338 Cone damage by *Pissodes validirostris* greater than 80% has been reported from natural stands of
339 *Pinus pinea* in Spain (Bachiller 1966) and of *Pinus sylvestris* and *Pinus uncinata* in France
340 (Roques 1977; Roques et al. 1983). However, *Pinus contorta* appears to be significantly more
341 attacked than *Pinus sylvestris* when these two species are planted in proximity. In Finland, 42% -
342 94% of *Pinus contorta* cones were damaged by *Pissodes validirostris* compared with 1% - 55%
343 of *Pinus sylvestris* (Annala and Hiltunen 1977). In central France, cone damage in *Pinus contorta*
344 was nearly twice the damage in *P. sylvestris* (82.0% vs. 46.3%) (Delplanque et al. 1988). Larvae

345 of *Pissodes validirostris* affect seed yield by direct feeding and by inducing resin bleeding
346 (Roques 1976). Resin bleeding reduces seed dispersal by preventing the cone scales from
347 opening (Roques 1976). In *Pinus sylvestris*, 3-4 larvae of *P. validirostris* are enough to destroy a
348 cone completely (Roques 1976), but in pine species with larger cones (e.g., *Pinus pinaster*) the
349 number of larvae must be greater than four to get the same result. In the small-sized cones of
350 *Pinus contorta*, each weevil larva is responsible for a loss of 40 to 60% of the seed content
351 (Delplanque et al. 1988) whilst the presence of 2 larvae per cone increases seed loss to ca. 80%
352 (Annala 1975).

353

354

355 **Risks associated with introducing biocontrol agents against invasive pines**

356

357 Based on the available information about potential effectiveness and non-target impacts, the most
358 promising potential biocontrol agents against wilding pines in New Zealand are the ponderosa
359 pine cone beetle, *Conophthorus ponderosae*, and the pine cone weevil, *Pissodes validirostris*
360 (Brockerhoff and Kay 1998, above). In addition, the lodgepole pine cone moth, *Eucosma*
361 *rescissoriana*, could be considered if it can be determined that it is sufficiently host specific.

362 There are important issues that need to be addressed concerning the risk of these insects
363 becoming vectors of the pitch canker pathogen and thereby causing unwanted damage of pines in
364 planted forests. Biocontrol agents that enter the host tissues (as opposed to feeding externally)
365 have a high potential to act as vectors of the pitch canker pathogen. In addition, those that cause
366 wounds to branches and stems may also act as vectors as has been shown for twig beetles in the
367 Western United States (e.g. Storer et al. 2004a).

368

369 *Conophthorus ponderosae* has a congeneric species that is a confirmed vector of the pathogen in
370 California and therefore it may be a vector in New Zealand. Although *Pinus radiata* is not a
371 known host species (Storer et al. 2004b), a host switch could occur.

372
373 The lodgepole pine cone moth, *Eucosma rescissoriana*, may become associated with the pitch
374 canker pathogen as a vector or as a wounding agent. A shift in habit by this species to include the
375 shoot feeding habit exhibited by other members of the genus could also increase the significance
376 of any association with the pathogen.

377
378 Although larval and pupal development of *Pissodes validirostris* occurs entirely in seed cones,
379 adult weevils require maturation feeding, typically on the pine leader shoots, in spring in order to
380 become sexually mature and capable of laying eggs on cones (Roques 1976). Another period of
381 feeding on leader shoots is observed in autumn before the adults settle to overwinter in the bark
382 of the trees (Roques et al. 2004). In contrast to egg-laying, behavioral tests showed that the
383 different biotypes of *Pissodes validirostris* can feed on the shoots of a large number of pine
384 species. Such damage did not appear to affect plant health (Roques et al. 2004), but it may help
385 fungal transmission. Fresh adults washed immediately after emergence from the cones did not
386 carry any *F. circinatum* conidia (Lennox et al. 2009). In an experiment, feeding on *Pinus radiata*
387 seedlings by adult *P. validirostris* that had been artificially infected with *F. circinatum* did not
388 show any transmission of conidia. However, its feeding damage appeared to facilitate the ingress
389 of the fungus into the host plant (Lennox et al. 2009).

390
391 Based on these findings, it cannot be ruled out that *Pissodes validirostris*, if it were introduced to
392 New Zealand, could act as a vector of *F. circinatum* should the pathogen become established
393 there. The behavior of this species would allow several types of association with the pitch canker

394 pathogen including spreading the pathogen by dissemination through adults emerging with the
395 pathogen, inoculation of the pathogen during egg laying and adult feeding, ingress through
396 egg laying, adult and larval feeding, and invasion during larval feeding. The most likely
397 responsible mechanism is the maturation feeding behaviour of this weevil. Furthermore, *Pissodes*
398 *validirostris* has a demonstrated ability to colonise new hosts, such as *Pinus contorta*, and this
399 represents a new insect-host plant association. The potential for additional changes in host use in
400 new environments cannot be ruled out. If *Pissodes validirostris* were to colonise and damage
401 cones of *Pinus radiata*, then it could also affect breeding programmes and the production of seed
402 for nurseries.

403
404

405 **Conclusions**

406

407 The pitch canker disease caused by the pathogen *F. circinatum* represents a major threat to pine
408 forests worldwide. *Pinus radiata* is one of the most susceptible pines, and it is an important tree
409 in planted forests in New Zealand and several other countries where *F. circinatum* does not yet
410 occur. In New Zealand, this risk is moderated by the fact that no known vectors of *F. circinatum*
411 are present. Our review identified several species that could play a role in the epidemiology of
412 the disease in New Zealand, as wounding agents or carriers of the pathogen, but no insects appear
413 to be present that could act as effective vectors. Against this background, the proposed
414 introduction of biocontrol agents against invasive *Pinus contorta* or other pines has been deemed
415 too risky, mainly because of the pitch canker pathogen vectoring issue (Dick and Bain 2004).
416 Furthermore, it is not certain how effective a biocontrol agent would be in terms of reducing the
417 spread of wilding pines. While the success of past biocontrol introductions has been high, with
418 83% providing partial or complete control of target plants, seed eating agents have perhaps been

419 less effective (Fowler et al. 2000; Suckling 2013). A similar proposal to introduce seed eating
420 insects for the control of invasive pines has been considered in South Africa (Hoffmann et al.
421 2011). Recently it has been decided not to pursue this further due to risks associated with pitch
422 canker in South Africa where the disease is already present, and because of questions about the
423 effectiveness of biocontrol relying solely on cone and seed insects (Lennox et al. 2009). Further
424 research on insects present in New Zealand and on potential biocontrol agents could be
425 conducted in regions where these species occur and where *F. circinatum* is also present (e.g.,
426 Spain and South Africa for some of the European insects). This would assist with further risk
427 assessments and possible future incursion responses.

428

429

430 **Acknowledgements**

431 We thank John Bain for reviewing an earlier version of the manuscript. Funding for this review
432 was obtained from the New Zealand Government (FRST contract C04X0302 and Better Border
433 Biosecurity via MBIE core funding to Scion, contract C04X1104).

434

435

436 **References**

- 437 Adams DH, Frankel SJ, Tidwell TE (1999) Chronicle of pitch canker in California. In: Devey
438 ME, Matheson AC, Gordon TR (eds.) Current and potential impacts of pitch canker in
439 radiata pine, Proceedings of the IMPACT Monterey workshop, California, USA, 30
440 Nov. to 3 Dec. 1998, CSIRO Forestry and Forest Products Report No. 112, CSIRO,
441 Canberra, pp. 49–53.
- 442 Annala E (1975) The biology of *Pissodes validirostris* Gyll. (Col. Curculionidae) and its
443 harmfulness, especially in Scots pine seed orchards. Commun Inst For Fenn 85:1–95.

- 444 Annila E, Hiltunen R (1977) Damage by *Pissodes validirostris* (Coleoptera, Curculionidae)
445 studied in relation to the monoterpene composition in Scots pine and Lodgepole pine. Ann
446 Entomol Fenn 43:87–92.
- 447 Bachiller P (1966) Estudio sobre la biología y tratamientos del *Pissodes validirostris* Gyll. Boll
448 Serv Plagas For 9:133–136.
- 449 Bain J, Sopow SL, Bulman LS (2011) The sirex woodwasp in New Zealand: history and current
450 status. In: Slippers B, de Groot P, Wingfield MJ (eds) The sirex woodwasp and its fungal
451 symbiont. Research and management of a worldwide invasive pest. Springer, Dordrecht,
452 pp. 167–173.
- 453 Berndt LA, Brockerhoff EG, Jactel H, Weis T, Beaton J (2004) Biology and rearing of
454 *Pseudocoremia suavis*, an endemic looper (Lepidoptera: Geometridae) with a history of
455 outbreaks on exotic conifers. New Zeal Entomol 27:73–82.
- 456 Blakeslee GM, Oak SW, Gregory W, Moses CS (1978) Natural association of *Fusarium*
457 *moniliforme* var. *subglutinans* with *Pissodes nemorensis*. Phytopathol News 12:208.
- 458 Blakeslee GM, Foltz JL (1981) The deodar weevil, a vector and wounding agent associated with
459 pitch canker of slash pine. Phytopathology 71:861.
- 460 Brockerhoff EG, Kay M (1998) Prospects and risks of biological control of wilding *Pinus*
461 *contorta* in New Zealand. Proceedings, 51st New Zealand Plant Protection Conference,
462 New Zealand Plant Protection Society, pp. 216–223.
- 463 Brockerhoff EG, Hosking GP (2001) *Arhopalus tristis* (F.) (Coleoptera: Cerambycidae), burnt
464 pine longhorn beetle. Forest and Timber Insects in New Zealand 27 (revised), Forest
465 Research Institute (Scion), Rotorua, New Zealand, pp. 1–7.
- 466 Brockerhoff EG, Hoffmann J, Roques A (2004) Is biological control an option for the
467 management of wilding pines (*Pinus* spp.) in New Zealand? In: Hill RL, Zydembos SM,

- 468 Bezar CM (eds.) Managing wilding conifers in New Zealand: Present and Future, New
469 Zealand Plant Protection Society, Christchurch, pp. 65–78.
- 470 Brockerhoff EG, Jones DC, Kimberley MO, Suckling DM, Donaldson T (2006) Nation-wide
471 survey for invasive wood boring and bark beetles (Coleoptera) using traps baited with
472 pheromones and kairomones. *Forest Ecol Manag* 228:234–240.
- 473 Bulman LS (1990) BUGS and HEALTH — integral part of forest protection strategy. What’s
474 new in Forest Research No. 197. Forest Research Institute, Rotorua, New Zealand.
- 475 Carlucci A, Colatruglio L, Frisullo S (2007) First report of pitch canker caused by *Fusarium*
476 *circinatum* on *Pinus halepensis* and *P. pinea* in Apulia (Southern Italy). *Plant Dis* 91:1683.
- 477 Correll JC, Gordon TR, McCain AH, Fox JW, Koehler CS, Wood DL, Schultz ME (1991) Pitch
478 canker in California: pathogenicity, distribution and canker development on Monterey pine
479 (*Pinus radiata*). *Plant Dis* 75:676–682.
- 480 Coutinho TA, Steenkamp ET, Mongwaketsi K, Wilmot M, Wingfield MJ (2007) First outbreak
481 of pitch canker in a South African pine plantation. *Australas Plant Path* 36(3):256–261.
- 482 Dallara PL (1997) Studies on the distribution, interspecific relationships, host range, and
483 chemical ecology of *Pityophthorus* spp. (Coleoptera: Scolytidae) and selected insectan
484 associates, and their associations with *Fusarium subglutinans* f. sp. *pini* in central coastal
485 California. Dissertation, University of California, Berkeley.
- 486 de Groot P, Turgeon JJ (1998) Insect-pine interactions. In: Richardson DA (ed.) Ecology and
487 biogeography of *Pinus*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 354–380.
- 488 Delplanque A, Giovanini JP, Roques A, Augustin S (1988) Primeros problemas entomologicas
489 consecutivos a la introduccion de *Pinus contorta* Douglas en Francia. *Plagas Forestales*
490 16:123–132.
- 491 Dick MA (1998) Pine pitch canker - the threat to New Zealand. *New Zeal J For* 42:30–34.

- 492 Dick M, Bain J (2004) Biocontrol of wildings - could it be trouble? New Zeal Tree Grower
493 25(1):32.
- 494 Dormont L, Roques A (1999). A survey of insects attacking seed cones of *Pinus cembra* in the
495 Alps, the Pyrenees and Massif Central. J Appl Entomol 123:65–72.
- 496 Dormont L, Roques A (2001) Why are seed cones of Swiss stone pine (*Pinus cembra*) not
497 attacked by the specialized pine cone weevil, *Pissodes validirostris* ? A case of host
498 selection vs. host suitability. Entomol Exp Appl 99:157–163.
- 499 Dwinell LD, Barrows-Broaddus J, Kuhlman EG (1985) Pitch canker: a disease complex of
500 southern pines. Plant Dis 69:270–276.
- 501 Dwinell LD (1999) Global distribution of the pitch canker fungus. In: Devey ME, Matheson AC,
502 Gordon TR (eds.) Current and potential impacts of pitch canker in radiata pine,
503 Proceedings of the IMPACT Monterey workshop, California, USA, 30 Nov. to 3 Dec.
504 1998, CSIRO Forestry and Forest Products Report No. 112, CSIRO, Canberra, pp. 54–57.
- 505 Erbilgin N, Storer AJ, Wood DL, Gordon TR (2005) Colonization of cut branches of five
506 coniferous hosts of the pitch canker fungus by *Pityophthorus* spp. (Coleoptera: Scolytidae)
507 in central, coastal California. Canadian Entomologist 137:337–349.
- 508 Erbilgin N, Ritokova G, Gordon TR, Wood DL, Storer AJ (2008) Temporal variation in
509 contamination of pine engraver beetles with *Fusarium circinatum* in native Monterey pine
510 forests in California. Plant Path 57:1103–1108.
- 511 Erbilgin N, Gordon TR, Wood DL, Storer AJ (2009) Bark beetle (Coleoptera: Scolytidae)-
512 mediated fungal infections of susceptible trees induce resistance to subsequent infections in
513 a dose dependent manner. Agric For Entomol 11: 255–263.
- 514 Fourrier C, Antoine S, Piou D, Ioos R (2015) Rapid detection of *Fusarium circinatum*
515 propagules on trapped pine beetles. Forest Pathol (in press).

- 516 Fowler SV, Syrett P, Hill RL (2000) Success and safety in the biological control of
517 environmental weeds in New Zealand. *Austral Ecology* 25:553–562.
- 518 Fox JW, Wood DL, Koehler CS (1990) Distribution and abundance of engraver beetles
519 (Scolytidae: *Ips* species) on Monterey pines infected with pitch canker. *Can Entomol*
520 122:1157–1166.
- 521 Fox JW, Wood DL, Koehler CS, O’Keefe ST (1991) Engraver beetles (Scolytidae: *Ips* species)
522 as vectors of the pitch canker fungus, *Fusarium subglutinans*. *Can Entomol* 123:1355–
523 1367.
- 524 Gadgil P, Dick M, Simpson J, Bejakovich D, Ross M, Bain J, Wylie R, Horgan G (2003)
525 Management plan for response to an incursion of pine pitch canker for Australia and for
526 New Zealand. Unpublished report, Forest Research, Rotorua, New Zealand.
- 527 Ganley R (2007) Pitch canker - risk of establishment in New Zealand based on a global
528 perspective. *New Zeal J For* 52(2):26–30.
- 529 Ganley RJ, Watt MS, Manning L, Iturritya E (2009) A global climatic risk assessment of pitch
530 canker disease. *Can J Forest Res* 39:2246–2256.
- 531 Gernandt DS, López GG, García SO, Liston A (2005) Phylogeny and classification of *Pinus*.
532 *Taxon* 54:29–42.
- 533 Gordon TR, Storer AJ, Wood DL (2001) The pitch canker epidemic in California. *Plant Dis*
534 85:1128–1139.
- 535 Hedlin AF, Yates III HO, Cibrian-Tovar D, Ebel BH, Koerber TW, Merkel EP (1980) Cone and
536 seed insects of North American conifers. Environment Canada, Canadian Forest Service,
537 Ottawa; USDA Forest Service, Washington DC; Secr. Agric. Recur. Hidraul., Mexico, pp.
538 1–122.
- 539 Hoffmann JH, Moran VC, Van Wilgen BW (2011) Prospects for the biological control of
540 invasive *Pinus* species (Pinaceae) in South Africa. *Afr Entomol* 19(2):393–401.

- 541 Hoover K, Wood DL, Fox JW, Bros WE (1995) Quantitative and seasonal association of the
542 pitch canker fungus, *Fusarium subglutinans* f. sp. *pini*, with *Conophthorus radiatae*
543 (Coleoptera: Scolytidae) and *Ernobius punctulatus* (Coleoptera: Anobiidae) which infest
544 *Pinus radiata*. Can Entomol 127:79–91.
- 545 Hoover K, Wood DL, Storer AJ, Fox JW, Bros WE. 1996. Transmission of the pitch canker
546 fungus, *Fusarium subglutinans* f. sp. *pini* to Monterey pine, *Pinus radiata*, by cone- and
547 twig-infesting beetles. Can Entomol 128:981–994.
- 548 Hosking GP. 1978. *Oemona hirta* (Fabricius) (Coleoptera: Cerambycidae), lemon tree borer.
549 Forest and Timber Insects in New Zealand 31, Forest Research Institute, Rotorua, New
550 Zealand, pp. 1–4.
- 551 Hurley BP, Slippers B, Coutinho TA, Wingfield BD, Govender P, Wingfield MJ. 2007.
552 Molecular detection of fungi carried by *Bradysia difformis* (Sciaridae: Diptera) in South
553 African forestry nurseries. South Hemisph For J 69(2):103–109.
- 554 Iturriza E, Ganley RJ, Wright J, Heppe E, Steenkamp ET, Gordon TR, Wingfield MJ (2011) A
555 genetically homogenous population of *Fusarium circinatum* causes pitch canker of *Pinus*
556 *radiata* in the Basque Country, Spain. Fungal Biol 115(3):288–295.
- 557 Keen FP (1958) Cone and seed insects of western forest trees. U.S. Dept. Agric., Tech. Bull.
558 1169:1–168.
- 559 Leach JB (1940) Insect transmission of plant diseases. McGraw-Hill, New York.
- 560 Ledgard NJ (1998) The spread of lodgepole pine (*Pinus contorta*, Dougl.) in New Zealand.
561 Forest Ecol Manag 141:43–57.
- 562 Lennox CL, Hoffmann JH, Coutinho TA, Roques A (2009) A threat of exacerbating the spread
563 of pitch canker precludes further consideration of a cone weevil, *Pissodes validirostris*, for
564 biological control of invasive pines in South Africa. Biol Control 50:179–184.

- 565 McCain AH, Koehler CS, Tjosvold SA (1987) Pitch canker threatens California pines. Calif
566 Agric 41:22–23.
- 567 Matthews FR (1962) Pitch canker-tip moth damage association on slash pine seedlings. J Forest
568 60:825–826.
- 569 Pfenning LH, Costa SDS, Melo MPD, Costa H, Ventura JA, Auer CG, Santos ÁFD (2014). First
570 report and characterization of *Fusarium circinatum*, the causal agent of pitch canker in
571 Brazil. Trop Plant Pathol 39(3):210–216.
- 572 Rees M, Paynter Q (1997) Biological control of Scotch broom: modelling the determinants of
573 abundance and the potential impact of introduced insect herbivores. J Appl Ecol 34:1203–
574 1221.
- 575 Richardson DM, Higgins SI (1998) Pines as invaders in the southern hemisphere. In: Richardson,
576 D.A. (ed.), Ecology and biogeography of *Pinus*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge,
577 pp. 450–473.
- 578 Romón P, Iturrondobeitia JC, Gibson K, Lindgren BS, Goldarazena A (2007) Quantitative
579 association of bark beetles with pitch canker fungus and effects of verbenone on their
580 semiochemical communication in Monterey pine forests in northern Spain. Environ
581 Entomol 36:743–750.
- 582 Romón P, Troya M, de Gamarra ME, Eguzkitza A, Iturrondobeitia J, Goldarazena A (2008)
583 Fungal communities associated with pitch canker disease of *Pinus radiata* caused by
584 *Fusarium circinatum* in northern Spain: association with insects and pathogen-saprophyte
585 antagonistic interactions. [Can J Plant Pathol 30:241–253.](#)
- 586 Roques A (1976) Observations sur la biologie et l'écologie de *Pissodes validirostris* Gyll.
587 (Coléoptère, Curculionidae) en forêt de Fontainebleau. Ann Zool Ecol Anim 8:533–542.
- 588 Roques A (1977) Etude du complexe entomologique lié aux cônes de pin sylvestre en forêt de
589 Fontainebleau. B Ecol 8:415–434.

Mis en forme : Français (France)

- 590 | Roques A (1983) Les insectes ravageurs des cônes et graines de conifères en France. INRA,
591 | Service des Publications, Versailles, France.
- 592 | Roques A, El Alaoui El Fels MA (2002) Overview of the arthropod fauna exploiting seed cones
593 | in the Mediterranean Basin. In: Lieutier F, Ghaioule D (eds.), Entomological research in
594 | Mediterranean forest ecosystems, INRA Editions, Versailles, France, pp. 59–78.
- 595 | Roques A, Raimbault JP, Goussard F (1983) L'impact des insectes ravageurs des cônes et graines
596 | sur la régénération naturelle du Pin à crochets (*Pinus uncinata* Ram.) dans quelques forêts
597 | de montagne des Alpes du Sud et des Pyrénées Orientales. Acta Biol Montana 2:331–347.
- 598 | Roques A, Roux-Morabito G, Hoffmann JH, Kleinhentz M, Gourov A (2004) Determining the
599 | suitability of a European cone weevil, *Pissodes validirostris*, for biological control of
600 | invasive pines in South Africa. In: Cullen JM, Briese DT, Kritikos DJ, Lonsdale WM,
601 | Morin L, Scott JK (eds.), Proceedings of the XI International Symposium on Biological
602 | Control of Weeds, 27 April – 2 May 2003, Canberra, Australia. CSIRO Entomology,
603 | Canberra, pp. 315–321.
- 604 | Rundel PW, Dickie IA, Richardson DM (2014) Tree invasions into treeless areas: Mechanisms
605 | and ecosystem processes. Biol Invas 16:663–675.
- 606 | Sakamoto JM, Gordon TR, Storer AJ, Wood DL (2007) The role of *Pityophthorus* spp. as
607 | vectors of pitch canker affecting *Pinus radiata*. Can Entomol 139:864–871.
- 608 | Six DL, Wingfield MJ (2011) The role of phytopathogenicity in bark beetle-fungus symbioses: a
609 | challenge to the classic paradigm. Annu Rev Entomol 56:255–272.
- 610 | Storer AJ, Gordon TR, Wood DL, Bonello P (1997) Pitch canker disease of pines: current and
611 | future impacts. J Forest 95:21–26.
- 612 | Storer AJ, Wood DL, Wikler KR, Gordon TR (1998) Association between a native spittlebug
613 | (Homoptera: Cercopidae) on Monterey pine and an introduced tree pathogen which causes
614 | pitch canker disease. Can Entomol 130:783–792.

Mis en forme : Français (France)

- 615 Storer AJ, Wood DL, Gordon TR (2002) The epidemiology of pitch canker of Monterey pine in
616 California. *Forest Sci* 48:694–700.
- 617 Storer AJ, Wood DL, Gordon TR (2004a) Twig beetles, *Pityophthorus* spp. (Coleoptera:
618 Scolytidae), as vectors of the pitch canker pathogen in California. *Can Entomol* 136:685–
619 693.
- 620 Storer AJ, Wood DL, Gordon TR (2004b) Could biological control of wilding pines increase the
621 potential for damage by the pitch canker pathogen? In: Hill RL, Zydenbos SM, Bezar CM
622 (eds.) *Managing wilding conifers in New Zealand: Present and Future*. New Zealand Plant
623 Protection Society, Christchurch, pp. 97–111.
- 624 Suckling DM (2013) Benefits from biological control of weeds in New Zealand range from
625 negligible to massive: A retrospective analysis. *Biol Control* 66:27–32.
- 626 Turgeon JJ, Roques A, de Groot P (1994) Insect fauna of coniferous seed cones: diversity, host
627 plant interactions, and management. *Annu Rev Entomol* 39:179–212.
- 628 Van Wyk SJP, Boutigny A-L, Coutinho TA, Viljoen A (2012) Sanitation of a South African
629 forestry nursery contaminated with *Fusarium circinatum* using hydrogen peroxide at
630 specific oxidation reduction potentials. *Plant Dis* 96:875–880.
- 631 Viljoen A, Wingfield MJ, Marasas WFO (1994) First report of *Fusarium subglutinans* f. sp. *pini*
632 on seedlings in South Africa. *Plant Dis* 78:309–312.
- 633 Viljoen A, Wingfield MJ, Marasas WFO, Coutinho TA (1997) Pitch canker of pines: a
634 contemporary review. *S Afr J Sci* 93(9):411–413.
- 635 Wingfield MJ, Jacobs A, Coutinho TA, Ahumada R, Wingfield BD (2002) First report of the
636 pitch canker fungus, *Fusarium circinatum*, on pines in Chile. *Plant Pathol* 51:397.
- 637 Wingfield MJ, Hammerbacher A, Ganley RJ, Steenkamp ET, Gordon TR, Wingfield BD,
638 Coutinho TA (2008) Pitch canker caused by *Fusarium circinatum* - a growing threat to
639 pine plantations and forests worldwide. *Australas Plant Path* 37, 319–334.

640 Yamoah E, Jones EE, Suckling DM, Bourdôt GW, Walter M, Stewart A. 2011. Using insects as
641 potential vectors of *Fusarium tumidum* to control gorse. *New Zeal Entomol* 34:5–11.

Table 1. Insects associated with *Fusarium circinatum* in regions where pitch canker occurs and the nature of their association. See Supplementary Table S1 for an alphabetical listing of insect species.

Species (* non-indigenous sp.)	Order, family (subfamily)	Wounding agent ^a	Carrier ^a	Confirmed vector ^a	References
USA (SOUTH-EAST)					
Bark beetles and wood borers					
<i>Pissodes nemorensis</i>	Col., Curculionidae, Molytinae	+	+		Blakeslee et al. 1978, Blakeslee and Foltz 1981
Shoot and foliage-feeders					
<i>Rhyacionia</i> spp.	Lepidoptera, Tortricidae	+			Matthews 1962
<i>Contarinia</i> spp.	Diptera, Cecidomyiidae	+			Dwinell et al. 1985
Cone insects					
<i>Cydia</i> spp.	Lepidoptera, Tortricidae	+			Dwinell et al. 1985
<i>Leptoglossus corculus</i>	Heteroptera, Coreidae	+			Dwinell et al. 1985
USA (CALIFORNIA)					
Bark beetles and wood borers					
<i>Ips paraconfusus</i>	Col., Curculionidae, Scolytinae	+	+	+	Fox et al. 1991
<i>Ips mexicanus</i> ,	Col., Curculionidae, Scolytinae	(+)	+	(+)	Fox et al. 1991, Erbilgin et al. 2008
<i>Ips plastographus maritimus</i>	Col., Curculionidae, Scolytinae	(+)	+	(+)	Fox et al. 1991, Erbilgin et al. 2008
<i>Pityophthorus setosus</i>	Col., Curculionidae, Scolytinae	+	+	+	Hoover et al. 1995, Storer et al. 2004a, Erbilgin et al. 2005
<i>Pityophthorus carmeli</i>	Col., Curculionidae, Scolytinae	+	+	(+)	Hoover et al. 1995, Storer et al. 2004a, Erbilgin et al. 2005
<i>Hylastes</i> spp.	Col., Curculionidae, Scolytinae	(+)	+		Storer et al. 2004b
<i>Hylurgops</i> spp.	Col., Curculionidae, Scolytinae	(+)	+		Storer et al. 2004b
<i>Dendroctonus valens</i>	Col., Curculionidae, Scolytinae	(+)	+		Storer et al. 2004b
<i>Pissodes radiatae</i>	Col., Curculionidae, Molytinae?	(+)	+		Storer et al. 2004b
Sapsuckers					
<i>Aphrophora canadensis</i>	Homoptera, Cercopidae	+			Storer et al. 1998
Shoot and foliage-feeders					
(none)					
Cone insects					
<i>Conophthorus radiatae</i>	Col., Curculionidae, Scolytinae	+	+	+	Hoover et al. 1995, 1996
<i>Ernobius punctulatus</i>	Col., Anobiidae		+	+	Hoover et al. 1995, 1996
Predatory insects					
<i>Enoclerus sphegeus</i>	Col., Cleridae		+		Dallara 1997, Storer et al. 2004b
<i>Lasconotus</i> spp.	Col., Colydiidae		+		Dallara 1997, Storer et al. 2004b
<i>Medetera</i> spp.	Dipt: Dolychopodidae		+		Storer et al., 2004b
Non-insect taxa					
Snails and Pillbugs/Sowbugs	Mollusca and Crustacea (Isopoda)		+		Storer et al., 2004b

Mis en forme : Français (France)

Mis en forme : Français (France)

Mis en forme : Français (France)

Mis en forme : Français (France)

SOUTH AFRICA**Shoot and foliage-feeders**

<i>Pissodes nemorensis</i> *	Col., Curculionidae, Molytinae	(+)	(?)	(?)	Coutinho et al. 2007
<i>Bradysia difformis</i>	Diptera, Sciaridae	(?)			Hurley et al. 2007

CHILE**Bark beetles and wood borers**

<i>Hylastes ater</i> *	Col., Curculionidae, Scolytinae	(+)			Wingfield et al. 2008
------------------------	---------------------------------	-----	--	--	-----------------------

JAPAN

None (? , see text)					Viljoen et al. 1997
---------------------	--	--	--	--	---------------------

SPAIN**Bark beetles and wood borers**

<i>Hylastes attenuatus</i>	Col., Curculionidae, Scolytinae	(+)	+		Romón et al. 2007
<i>Hylurgops palliatus</i>	Col., Curculionidae, Scolytinae	(+)	+		Romón et al. 2007
<i>Hypothenemus eruditus</i>	Col., Curculionidae, Scolytinae	(+)	+		Romón et al. 2007
<i>Ips sexdentatus</i>	Col., Curculionidae, Scolytinae	(+)	+		Romón et al. 2007
<i>Orthotomicus erosus</i>	Col., Curculionidae, Scolytinae	(+)	+		Romón et al. 2007
<i>Pissodes castaneus</i>	Col., Curculionidae, Molytinae	(+)	+		Iturrutxa et al. 2011
<i>Pityophthorus pubescens</i>	Col., Curculionidae, Scolytinae	(+)	+		Romón et al. 2007, Iturrutxa et al. 2011
<i>Tomicus piniperda</i>	Col., Curculionidae, Scolytinae	(+)	+		Iturrutxa et al. 2011

Cone insects

<i>Pissodes validirostris</i>	Col., Curculionidae, Molytinae	+	(?)		Roques et al. 2004, Lennox et al. 2009
-------------------------------	--------------------------------	---	-----	--	--

Root borers

<i>Brachyderes incanus</i>	Col., Curculionidae, Entiminae	(+)	+		Romón et al. 2007
----------------------------	--------------------------------	-----	---	--	-------------------

^a Nature of association: +, confirmed association; (+), presumed association not yet verified; (?), suspected but less likely or unknown association (for details see text)

Table 2. Insects present in New Zealand that may act as associates with *Fusarium circinatum*.

Species (* non-indigenous sp.)	Family (subfamily)	Origin (native region)	Attacks live (L) or dead plants (D) ^a	Potential pitch canker association ^b
Bark beetles and wood borers				
<i>Hylastes ater</i> *	Curculionidae, Scolytinae	Europe	(L) ^a D	W, C
<i>Hylurgus ligniperda</i> *	Curculionidae, Scolytinae	Europe	D	C
<i>Pachycotes peregrinus</i>	Curculionidae, Scolytinae	NZ	D	C
<i>Platypus apicalis</i>	Curculionidae, Platypodinae	NZ	D	C
<i>Arhopalus ferus</i> *	Cerambycidae	Europe	(L) ^a D	(W), C
<i>Calliprason pallidus</i>	Cerambycidae	NZ	D	C
<i>Hexatricha pulverulenta</i>	Cerambycidae	NZ	(L) ^a D	C
<i>Oemona hirta</i>	Cerambycidae	NZ	(L) ^a D	(W), (C)
<i>Prionoplus reticularis</i>	Cerambycidae	NZ	D	C
<i>Ernobius mollis</i> *	Anobiidae	Cosmopolitan	D	C
<i>Sirex noctilio</i> *	Siricidae	Europe	L	C
<i>Mitrastethus baridioides</i>	Curculionidae, Cryptorhynchinae	NZ	D	(C)
<i>Pycnomerus sophorae</i>	Colydiidae	NZ	D	(C)
Shoot and foliage-feeders				
<i>Pseudocoremia suavis</i>	Geometridae	NZ	L	W, (C)
<i>Hierodoris atychioides</i>	Oecophoridae	NZ	L	W, (C)
<i>Ctenopseustis obliquana</i>	Tortricidae	NZ	L	W, (C)
<i>Epiphyas postvittana</i> *	Tortricidae	Australia	L	W, (C)
<i>Planotortrix notophaea</i>	Tortricidae	NZ	L	W, (C)
Sapsuckers				
<i>Pineus boemeri</i> *	Adelgidae	USA	L	(W), (C)
<i>P. pini</i> *	Adelgidae	Europe	L	(W), (C)
<i>Essigella californica</i> *	Aphididae	USA	L	(W), (C)
<i>Eulachnus brevipilosus</i> *	Aphididae	Europe	L	(W), (C)
<i>Heliothrips haemorrhoidalis</i> *	Thripidae	Cosmopolitan?	L	(W), (C)
Cone insects				
<i>Erechthias fulguritella</i>	Tineidae	NZ	(?)	(?)

^a *Hylates ater* sometimes attacks pine seedlings for maturation feeding; *Arhopalus ferus* has been recorded as attacking live trees but this is rare and probably limited to fire-damaged trees; *Hexatricha pulverulenta* sometimes breeds in pines, always dead trees, but occasionally it feeds on green twigs of pine (Bain and Hosking 1988);

Oemona hirta is normally associated with hardwood trees and attacks of softwoods are very rare (Hosking 1978).

^b Possible association in case of establishment of *F. circinatum* in New Zealand: W, wounding agent of live trees; C, carrier; V, vector; values in brackets indicate uncertainty of association; (see text for details).

Table 3. Most promising candidate agents for biocontrol of *Pinus contorta* in New Zealand^a, their characteristics, and potential for involvement with the pitch canker disease.

Species	Family (subfamily)	Host range ^b	Host structures attacked	Potential pitch canker association ^c
Coleoptera				
<i>Conophthorus ponderosae</i>	Curculionidae	<i>Pinus</i> spp., not <i>P. radiata</i>	Only seed cones	W, C, (V)
<i>Ernobius nigrans</i>	Anobiidae	<i>Pinus</i> spp., not <i>P. radiata</i>	Only seed cones	W, C, (V)
<i>Paratimia conicola</i>	Cerambycidae	<i>Pinus</i> spp., not <i>P. radiata</i>	Only seed cones	W, C, (V)
<i>Pissodes validirostris</i>	Curculionidae	<i>Pinus</i> spp., not <i>P. radiata</i> ^c	Mainly seed cones ^d	W, C, (V)
Lepidoptera				
<i>Cydia toreuta</i>	Tortricidae	<i>Pinus</i> spp., not <i>P. radiata</i>	Only seed cones	W, C, (V?)
<i>Eucosma rescissoriana</i>	Tortricidae	<i>Pinus</i> and <i>Abies</i> spp., not <i>P. radiata</i>	Only seed cones	W, C, (V?)

^a Preliminary selection of potential agents according to Brockerhoff and Kay (1998) and Brockerhoff et al. (2004)

^b Host range information based on Keen (1958), Hedlin et al. (1980) and other publications listed in Brockerhoff and Kay (1998).

^c Depending on the subspecies / host race of *P. validirostris* (see text).

^d Maturation feeding, apparently causing little damage, may occur on shoots (see text).

^e Possible association in case of establishment of *Fusarium circinatum* in New Zealand: W, wounding agent of live trees; C, carrier; V, vector; values in brackets indicate uncertainty of association; (for details see text)