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1. Introduction

The main aims of new food processing technologies are to reduce processing time, save energy, and improve the shelf life and quality of food products. Thermal (radio frequency and microwave heating), vacuum cooling, high pressure, ultrasound processing, and pulsed electric field processes are novel food processing technologies which have the potential to produce high-quality, safe food products [1]. However, current limitations related to high investment costs, full control of variables associated with the process, lack of regulatory approval, and consumer acceptance have delayed wider implementation of these technologies at the industrial scale. Ultrasound is well known to have a significant reduction on the multiple factors necessary to create a shelf-stable food product. Advantages of using ultrasound for food processing are numerous and include effective mixing and micro-mixing, faster energy transfer, reduced thermal and concentration gradients, reduced temperature, and process step elimination [2,3].

Several studies have been carried out on the degradation effects of ultrasound power on vegetables [4], on microorganisms [5], enzymes [6], and food components such as proteins [7], starch [8], and edible oils [9,10]. Ultrasound treatment can be responsible for the aggregation and decomposition of polysaccharides [11,12]. Regarding phytochemicals, depending on solvent, polyphenol degradation can also be caused by ultrasonic treatment (for instance [13–15]). However, there is limited information on the effect of ultrasound treatment on carotenoids, which is one of the most important class of natural pigments and which present nutritional interest. Carotenoids are chemically sensitive to degradation due to their poly-isoprenoid structure conferring a long chain of conjugated double bonds. Zhao et al. [16] have found that all-E-astaxanthin submitted to ultrasound treatment in a model system is degraded to unidentified colourless compounds. Sun et al. [17] have shown that the temperature and nature of solvents...
are determining factors in the degradation of β-carotene submitted to ultrasound treatment. Moreover, they identified that liquid height, ultrasonic intensity, and duty cycle of ultrasound exposure affected the rate of degradation but did not change the nature of β-carotene degradation products. These degradation compounds generated by ultrasound were tentatively identified as isomers (15-Z-β-carotene, di-Z-β-carotene) and other compounds with carbonyl functional groups. However, the carotenoid degradation mechanism under ultrasound treatment remains unclear. Taken together, these studies provide evidence to support the hypothesis that the biophysical impacts of ultrasound can be characterized as thermal, cavitation, and direct effects. Thus, the degradation mechanisms of molecules submitted to ultrasound may be complex due to each effect independently, as well as the interaction of these three types of effects. Cavitation produces mainly non-thermal effect. Furthermore, the collapse of cavitation bubbles are known to produce a variety of free species and induce local shock waves, while the oscillation of cavitation bubbles may be responsible for hydrodynamic shearing stress.

The aim of this work was to study the influence of important ultrasound parameters (ultrasonic intensity, sonication time, and temperature) on β-carotene stability in two organic solvents (hexane and tetrahydrofuran) and water under different atmospheres. β-Carotene is liposoluble, so in water it is under crystalline form, thus mimicking the storage form of carotenoids in the chromoplast microstructure of some fruits and vegetables [19]. After a series of initial experiments using different solvents and atmospheres, we selected one model system to conduct the remainder of the analyses. This model system allowed us to determine the most important parameters, both individually, and in combination, to generate the maximum degradation of β-carotene. Finally, UHPLC-DAD coupled with a MS using APCI ionization was employed to characterize and to tentatively identify the newly formed compounds derived from β-carotene during the ultrasound treatment.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Chemicals

β-Carotene standard (95–99% purity) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Corp. St. Louis, MO, USA. Methyl-tert-butyl ether (MTBE), hexane, and tetrahydrofuran (THF) HPLC grade were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., MA, USA. THF was further purified by elution on activated basic alumina to remove peroxides. Aluminium oxide, activated basic 50–200 μm for column chromatography, Brockmann activity I, was purchased from Acros Organics 1, Reagent Lane, Fair Lawn, NJ, USA. MilliQ distilled water was obtained using a Millipore® QPak system (Millipore Corporation, Bedford, USA). Hexane, THF, and water were used as solvents for ultrasound treatment. MTBE was used for extraction of β-carotene from water. All additional solvents (methanol, dichloromethane, acetone, acetonitrile) used for analysis were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc and classified as high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) grade.

2.2. Instrumentation

2.2.1. Ultrasonic reactor

The experimental setup used to perform sonication of the solutions of β-carotene is described in Fig. 1. The ultrasonic transmitter (Vibracell 75186, 20 kHz) was connected to a probe (probe tip diameter of 6 mm and length of 108 mm) with a total supplied power input of 130 W. The ultrasonic intensity, defined as ultrasonic power dissipation per surface unit, varied in the range 10.8–88.7 W cm⁻². All experiments were carried out in the same reactor. The double layer reactor (3 × 6 × 10 cm) allowed water to circulate in order to control the medium temperature. The cap was equipped with three ground glass tubes to receive a condenser, a gas adding system, and the ultrasound and temperature probes which were placed in the same glass tubes and connected.

![Fig. 1. Scheme of the ultrasonic reactor.](image-url)
2.2.2. Spectrophotometer
Spectra were recorded on a Hewlett-Packard 8453 diode-array spectrophotometer equipped with a quartz cell.

2.3. Experimental setup

2.3.1. Ultrasound treatment

The solvent was saturated with the gas specified (air or argon) for 5 min with a micro bubble dispenser, then 1 mg of crystalline \( \beta \)-carotene standard was placed in the reactor with 100 mL of solvent (water, hexane or THF). To dissolve carotenoids, hexane and THF are among the most efficient solvents. Chlorinated solvents are also very efficient, as previously noted by Sun et al. [17] who have demonstrated that chlorinated solvents degrade carotenoids under ultrasound treatment. During sonication, the dead volume was kept under the desired atmosphere by a slow stream of gas controlled with a bubbler plugging the exit end. The ultrasound probe was submerged to a depth of 6 cm from the reactor bottom. Energy input was controlled by setting the amplitude of the sonication probe, and time was started once the set temperature was reached in the reactor. Throughout sonication, a magnetic stir bar was used to ensure uniform absorption of ultrasonic energy and medium homogeneity. Considering the actual input power is converted to heat which is dissipated in the medium, the actual ultrasound power was determined by calorimetry, calculated as shown in the equation (1) below.

\[
P = m \cdot C_p \frac{dT}{dt} \quad (1)
\]

where \( C_p \) is the heat capacity of the solvent at constant pressure (J g\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\)), \( m \) is the mass of solvent (g) and \( \frac{dT}{dt} \) is the temperature rise per second. The consequent ultrasonic intensity (\( U \)) was calculated for the ultrasonic probe using the calculated power (from Eq. (1)) as shown in the equation (2).

\[
U = \frac{4P}{\pi D^2} \quad (2)
\]

where \( U \) is the ultrasonic intensity (W cm\(^{-2}\)), \( P \) is the ultrasound power (W) as calculated by the Eq. (1), and \( D \) is the internal diameter (cm) at the tip of the probe. The temperatures in the beginning and at the end of ultrasound treatment for each solvent were assessed for further comparison.

Various reference solutions were tested using the same parameters without ultrasound treatment. Residual \( \beta \)-carotene concentration was evaluated by spectrophotometric measurement at 452 nm. When water was used as the solvent, \( \beta \)-carotene was first dissolved again in 100 mL of MTBE. An aliquot was diluted two fold with MTBE and the absorbance was measured at 452 nm. The concentration of total \( \beta \)-carotene after extraction was calculated using Beer-Lambert’s law, and expressed in milligrams of \( \beta \)-carotene per litre of MTBE.

2.3.2. Extraction and calculation procedure

\( \beta \)-Carotene treated by ultrasound was fully extracted from water with four 25 mL extractions of MTBE. The organic solvent was pooled and evaporated under vacuum, and the dry residue was dissolved again in 100 mL of MTBE. An aliquot was diluted two fold with MTBE and the absorbance was measured at 452 nm. The concentration of total \( \beta \)-carotene after extraction was calculated using Beer-Lambert’s law, and expressed in milligrams of \( \beta \)-carotene per litre of MTBE.

2.3.3. UHPLC–DAD–MS analysis

UHPLC–DAD–MS analyses of \( \beta \)-carotene solutions treated by ultrasound were performed using an ACQUITY UPLC™ system (Waters Corp., Milford, MA, USA) linked simultaneously to both a DAD detector (200–800 nm (Waters, Milford, MA, USA) and a Bruker Daltonics HCT Ultra Ion Trap mass spectrometer equipped with an atmospheric pressure chemical ionization tandem mass spectrometry (APCI) source operated in positive ion mode. Compass™ software (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany) was used for mass spectrometric instrument control and data processing. An Acqity C18 Waters® HSS T3 column (length: 150 mm; internal diameter 2.1 mm; particle size: 1.8 µm) was used for the separation. A volume of 10 µL of each sample was injected. To perform a global separation of the degradation compounds from \( \beta \)-carotene, the column was kept at 45 °C. The mobile phase consisted of water (containing 0.02 M ammonium formate) (A) and methanol (0.02% w/v HCOOH) (B). The mobile phase conditions were as follows: 50% B held for 2 min, followed by a linear gradient to 100% B over 8 min and held at 100% B for 30 min. Total run time was 40 min, with a constant flow of 0.4 mL per min. The DAD detector wavelength range was set to 220–600 nm with 20 points s\(^{-1}\) acquisition rate.

To perform signal acquisitions, mass spectrometer Esquire™ tune detector was set using the following parameters:

capillary = −2000 V, range = 100−800 Da, corona = 3200 nA, vaporizer = 350 °C, nebulizer = 50 psi, dry gas = 5.00 L min\(^{-1}\), target mass = 400 Da, compound stability = 100%, trap drive level = 100%, optimize = “Wide”

Mass spectra were collected by scanning the mass range from 200 to 800 m/z. The degradation products were tentatively identified according to their predominant protonated parent ion [M+H]\(^+\) and UV/visible spectra by comparing with literature data, when available. Spectra produced after MS fragmentation was further used to tentatively identify new products.

2.4. Experimental design

Box–Wilson design, also called central composite design (CCD), was used to obtain maximal information about the degradation

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent variables</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Coded levels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ultrasound intensity (W cm(^{-2}))</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>10.8, 26.6, 49.8, 72.9, 88.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>5, 12, 22.5, 33, 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonication time (min)</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>1, 2.8, 5.4, 8, 10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The group of “star points” was placed on variable axes at a distance CDD points describe a spherical volume around the factorial cube. The CCD was comprised of a two-level full factorial design (coded ±1), superimposed by centre points (coded 0) and “star points” (coded ±α).

A CCD could be compared to a virtual cube, with each axis of the cube corresponding to one variable in the model. In this study, the CCD points describe a spherical volume around the factorial cube. The group of “star points” was placed on variable axes at a distance α from the centre, allowing spherical symmetry. This orientation established new extremes for the low and high parameters of the variables involved. These extreme points provided an estimation of the curvature of the model. The resulting value was a function of properties desired for the design and depended upon the number of experiments involved in the model.

Preliminary experiments allowed us to determine the variables implied in the model at five separated coded levels: −α (−1.68), −1, 0, +1, +α (+1.68). The natural values and coded levels used in this multivariate analysis are presented in Tables 1 and 2. These values were used in a total of 20 experiments (including six replications at the centre point to evaluate experimental error measurement), and randomized to avoid effects of extraneous error. Variables were coded according to the following equation (3), where \( X_i \) is the coded value, \( x_i \) is the real value of one variable, \( X_1 \) is the real value of a variable at the centre point, and \( \Delta X_0 \) the step change:

\[
X_i = \frac{x_i - X_1}{\Delta X_0}
\]

(3)

For predicting the optimal point, the experimental results were fitted to the second-order polynomial model equation (4):

\[
Y = \beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i X_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{i} \beta_{ij} X_i X_j
\]

(4)

where \( Y \) is the response variable of degradation of β-carotene, \( \beta_0 \) is the average response obtained for replicated experiments of the CCD, \( \beta_i, \beta_{ii}, \beta_{ij} \) are the linear, quadratic, and cross products effects, respectively, \( X_i \) and \( X_j \) are the independent coded variables.

The results were analysed using the Statgraphics XVII software, developed by Statpoint Technologies, Inc. 560 Broadview Avenue, Suite 201Warrenton, Virginia, USA. In order to test the model significance and suitability, analysis of variance (ANOVA) at a 95% confidence level was then carried out for each response variable. The F-value is the ratio of mean square error to the pure error obtained from the replicates at design centre, and the P-value <0.05 is considered significant.

3. Results

3.1. Preliminary experiments

3.1.1. Influence of solvent and atmosphere on the stability of β-carotene

The combined effects of different solvents on the concentration of β-carotene after treatment by ultrasound under an atmosphere of air or argon are shown in Figs. 2 and 3, respectively. With air atmosphere (Fig. 2), we observed significant differences in β-carotene levels between ultrasound treated vs. non-treated samples in water, but not hexane or tetrahydrofuran. Comparison between the 3 solvents treated with ultrasound revealed a
stepwise increase in degradation with maximum degradation observed for water > hexane > tetrahydrofuran. In contrast, under an atmosphere of argon (Fig. 3), there was no significant difference between ultrasound treated vs. non-treated samples, nor between solvents treated with ultrasound. Finally, with water the degradation during sonication was significantly greater with air as atmosphere as compared to argon \((P < 0.05)\). It has been previously demonstrated that water sonolysis leads to reactive oxygen species (ROS) formation (Fig. 4) such as hydroxyl radicals \((\text{OH}^\bullet)\) and hydrogen peroxyl radicals \((\text{HO}_2^\bullet)\) [19]. These species are highly reactive and could easily react with \(\beta\)-carotene. Because of the results of these preliminary experiments, and because we want to achieve our solvent and air as our atmosphere.

### 3.2. Central composite design results

The coded values of independent variables and the responses obtained in the multivariate study for each experiment are shown in Tables 1 and 2. The degradation rate varied widely with the parameter settings of the ultrasonicator (from 3.8% to 34.9%). The maximum loss rate was observed in run number 4 \((Y = 34.9\%)\), for medium values of ultrasonic intensity and temperature \((49.8 \text{ W cm}^{-2} \text{ and } 22.5 \text{ C}, \text{ respectively})\) and highest sonication time \((10 \text{ min})\). In contrast, the minimum loss rate was achieved in run number 11 \((Y = 3.8\%)\) with the same ultrasonic intensity and temperature conditions and the lowest sonication time \((1 \text{ min})\). An analysis of variance (ANOVA) was carried out in order to test the model significance and suitability. Statistical results are provided in Table 3. Our results demonstrate that three effects, ultrasonic intensity, sonication time and their combination (i.e. A, C and AC) significantly impact \(\beta\)-carotene degradation. For the fitted model, the \(R^2\) value indicates that a remarkable 90.37% of the sample variation for \(\beta\)-carotene degradation can be attributed to the independent variable, while only 9.63% of the total variation cannot be explained by the regression model. The value of the adjusted determination coefficient \((R^2 = 81.71\%)\) is also very high, showing a high significance of the model. A Pareto chart of standardized effects (Fig. 5) was carried out in order to determine significant effects of all variables (linear, quadratic, and interactions between variables). The length of each bar is proportional to the absolute magnitude of the estimated effect coefficients. Linear positive effects of the two key variables \((\text{A and C)}\) appear to be highly significant, as is the interaction between \(\text{A and C). The negative effect of the B variable indicates that \(\beta\)-carotene loss decreased with increasing temperature.

The experimental data set built after running 20 trials allowed us to fit all the responses as a function of temperature, ultrasonic intensity, and sonication time. The second-order polynomial equation (5) of the response surface obtained is as follows:

\[
Y = -4.6761 + 0.0563A + 0.9928B - 0.2334C - 0.0013A^2 - 0.00263AB + 0.0475AC - 0.0127B^2 - 0.0801BC + 0.1965C^2
\]

Fig. 4 depicts three-dimensional plots providing a graphical representation to visualize the significant relationship linking variable levels and \(\beta\)-carotene degradation. Each plot highlights the presence of weak surface curvature when sonication time increases is likely responsible, Fig. 6(b) and (c)). The increase in degradation with increasing ultrasonic intensity could be due to a greater bubble residence time and the formation of larger bubbles at high energy intensities [20]. In contrast, temperature \((B)\) has an inverse effect on \(\beta\)-carotene degradation, with increased degradation observed with decreasing temperature. These results could be explained by decreasing cavitation intensity with increasing temperature. The physical properties \((\text{surface tension, viscosity, and saturating vapour pressure})\) of solvent are the main factors affecting cavitation intensity, and the most important factor among these properties is reported to be the vapour pressure. Liquid vapour pressure has a reverse correlation with cavitation intensity. The increase in degradation with increasing ultrasonic intensity could be due to a greater bubble residence time and the formation of larger bubbles at high energy intensities [20].

The optimal conditions obtained from the first derivative of the second-order polynomial equation were derived a second time. The variables were set equal to 0, and the equation was solved. The coded values obtained from these equations were then decoded, and optimal settings were determined as follows: 88.7 W cm\(^{-2}\) for ultrasonic intensity, 5 °C for temperature, and 10 min for sonication time \((\text{Table 4})\).

### 3.4. Validation of the model system

For validation of the model, \(\beta\)-carotene was experimentally degraded under optimal conditions, and the degradation rate was measured to 47.4%. Using mathematical model, the predicted degradation rate with these conditions was 49.1% \((\text{Table 4})\). These results demonstrate that the experimental value is quite...
close to the predicted value, confirming the validity and relevance of the model.

3.5. Analysis of degradation products of β-carotene

β-Carotene treated using the optimal loss conditions was analysed using UHPLC–DAD–MS in order to identify degradation products. In the UV–Vis chromatogram of the treated β-carotene solution, newly formed products were detected at shorter retention times than β-carotene (Fig. 7). Their analysis showed the presence of three types of compounds: Z-isomers of β-carotene (Fig. 7(A)), oxygcnated derivatives of β-carotene (Fig. 7(B)), and oxidative cleavage products with an aldehyde functional group (β-apo-carotenals) (Fig. 7(C)).

3.5.1. Z-isomers of β-carotene

The chromatographic peaks in zone A (Fig. 7) have been tentatively identified as β-carotene Z-isomers (Fig. 8) due to their m/z identical to all-E-β-carotene, their spectrophotometric data (Table 5) and by comparison with literature data [22]. Mono Z-isomers of carotenoids usually keep the fine structure with three peaks of the E carotenoid and present a small hypochromic shift in the highest absorbance (peak II) of 2–4 nm (peaks 8, 9 and 11, Table 5), a higher shift is characteristic of a di-Z-isomer (peak 10, Table 5). UV/visible spectra of mono Z-isomers present a new absorption band (Z absorbance, or B peak also called cis peak), at a characteristic position, in our case about 132–137 nm below the longest-wavelength absorption maximum (peak III). The intensity of this new absorption band depends on the position of the Z double bond, it is greater as the Z double bond is nearer to the centre of the molecule, and this new bond is not detectable in the di-Z-isomer probably because the di-Z-isomer is symmetrical [23].

Z-isomers of β-carotene have been found previously in β-carotene treated with ultrasound [17]. We hypothesize that the energy provided by ultrasound has been able to produce one diradical, called a Doering’s diradical [24], from all-E-β-carotene.
The diradical conformation is stabilized by resonance which allows rotation around the axis of single C–C bond (2a to 2b Fig. 9). Then the diradical subsequently returns to the double bond, but under the Z configuration (2b to 3 Fig. 9).

3.5.2. Oxygenated β-carotene derivatives

Compounds with a parent ion m/z = 553.5 Da, corresponding to \([Mβ-carotene + 16 + 1]^+\), were detected in positive APCI mode, suggesting the presence of a hydroxyl or an epoxy group. Moreover, the MS fragmentation spectra revealed a daughter ion at m/z = 535.3 Da, which we attributed to a water loss. UV–Vis spectra were compared with literature values for β-carotene epoxides [25,26]. Most of the experimentally observed peaks had no fine structure and a λ_{max} from 330 nm to 400 nm, which do not match with previously reported epoxides, except 5,6-epoxy-β-carotene, which could correspond to one of the minor peaks. We assume these products could be formed from radical species produced by ultrasound action with water (Fig. 4).

3.5.3. β-Apo-carotenals

After ultrasound treatment, a series of seven peaks corresponding to β-apo-carotenals, containing three to nine carbon–carbon conjugated double bonds, were tentatively identified (Fig. 10) thanks to their mass and UV–Vis spectra and by comparison with literature data [23,25]. Moreover, β-carotene was oxidized using potassium permanganate following the method of Caris-Veyrat et al. [27], changing lycopene with β-carotene. The resulting mixture of aldehyde derivatives was used as pseudo-standard molecules for matching retention time, parent ion mass and UV–Vis. spectra. The shortest product observed was β-apo-11-carotenal (peak 1, Fig. 10) and the longest product observed was β-apo-8’-carotenal (peak 7, Fig. 10). Their retention time, parent mass ions, and UV-Vis. spectra are summarized in Table 5. The UV-Vis spectra of β-apo-carotenals no longer have a fine structure and only one absorption band is present, the longer the carbon chain conjugation of the β-apo-carotenal, the higher the λ_{max}.

We hypothesize that the double bond cleavage is achieved through a radical mechanism involving triplet oxygen reacting on a di Z diradical of the carotenoid [2b Fig. 9] to produce a dioxetane species which decomposes to give the aldehyde and ketone functions of the apo-carotenals and apo-carotenones [28,29].

3.6. Comprehension of degradation mechanism induced by ultrasound on food products

Although ultrasound treatments are able to produce beneficial modifications to food quality parameters such as for instance a decrease in viscosity, they can also cause some alterations. Indeed critical temperature and pressure conditions generated locally by ultrasound may generate the appearance of off-flavors like metallic taste, color changes, decrease of sugar content, and/or modifications of the chemical structure of some constituents, effects which could be due to hydrolysis or oxidation phenomena [10] linked to the formation of radicals [30] (Fig. 11).

Table 6 summarizes few studies on the effect of ultrasounds on food products and experimental conditions. In the case of apple and cranberry juices treated by ultrasound, a darkening of samples was observed and some off-flavors were detected [31]. Thermosonication of watercress resulted in color changes as well, although the chlorophyll content did not present significant variations [32]. The sonication of tomato juice revealed also color modifications which authors related to a decrease in carotenoid...
pigments by isomerization [33]. After sonication treatment of pineapple, grape and cranberry juices, a changing of color was also observed, due to the degradation of pigments and non-enzymatic browning [34]. By comparison with the conventional preparation of chocolate mousse, darker color was observed in ultrasound-assisted preparation due to the homogenous distribution of fat globules [35]. Non-enzymatic browning in certain products model systems was studied with a continuous sonication system and

![Diagram](image-url)

**Fig. 8.** Extract of UV–Vis chromatogram of Fig. 7 (upper part) showing all-E and Z-isomers of β-carotene and obtained when all-E-β-carotene was treated by ultrasound in water using maximal loss parameters (sum of 445–455 nm). Numbers correspond to compounds listed in Table 5.

![Diagram](image-url)

**Fig. 9.** Double bond isomerization through a radical mechanism: 1: E singlet state. 2a: E triplet state. 2b: Z triplet state. 3: Z singlet state.

### Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peak number</th>
<th>Identified compound name</th>
<th>Ret. time (min.)</th>
<th>Z abs.</th>
<th>B/II (%)</th>
<th>Highest abs. Peak II λ nm</th>
<th>Longest abs. Peak III λ nm</th>
<th>III/II (%)</th>
<th>m/z [M+H+] (Da)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>β-Apo-11-carotenal</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>219.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>β-Apo-13-carotenone</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>259.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>β-Apo-15-carotenal (retinal)</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>285.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>β-Apo-14-carotenal</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>311.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>β-Apo-12-carotenal</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>351.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>β-Apo-10-carotenal</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>377.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>β-Apo-8-carotenal</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>417.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>β-Z-15-carotene</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>477</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>537.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>β-Z-9-carotene</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>537.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>β-Di-Z-carotene</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>537.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>β-Z-13-carotene</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>537.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>All-E-β-carotene</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>537.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 10. UV–Vis chromatogram displaying the β-apo-carotenal products resulting from all-E-β-carotene oxidation after ultrasound treatment (sum of 200–600 nm). Numbers correspond to compounds listed in Table 5.

Fig. 11. Chemical effects and changes in food properties generated by ultrasound cavitation.

Table 6
Effect of ultrasound on sonicated food products.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food matrix</th>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>Experimental conditions</th>
<th>Observations</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apple and cranberry juice</td>
<td>Color, anthocyanin</td>
<td>20 A, 750 B, probe C, 6-8 D, 43-58 E, color, anthocyanin content F, manothermosonication G</td>
<td>Darkening of sonicated samples, detection of off-flavors and decrease of anthocyanin content</td>
<td>[31]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watercress (Nasturtium officinale)</td>
<td>Color</td>
<td>20 A, 125 B, probe C, 0-120 D, 82-92 E, color F, blanching G</td>
<td>Color changes (increase of the green color)</td>
<td>[32]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato juice</td>
<td>Color, acid ascorbic</td>
<td>20 A, 1500 B, probe C, 2-10 D, 32-45 E, color, ascorbic acid content F, juice processing G</td>
<td>Color modifications and ascorbic acid degradation</td>
<td>[33]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pineapple, grape and cranberry juices</td>
<td>pH, color</td>
<td>24 A, 400 B, probe C, 0.5–10 D, 40-60 E, potentiometer, spectrophotometer F, microbial inactivation G</td>
<td>Changes in color and pH</td>
<td>[34]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chocolate mousse</td>
<td>Color, lipids</td>
<td>25 A, 150 B, bath C, 2 D, 25 E, color, sensory analysis F, food preparation G</td>
<td>Darker color of sonicated samples, decrease of viscosity and apparition of off-flavors</td>
<td>[35]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange juice</td>
<td>Ascorbic acid, β-carotene</td>
<td>20 A, - B, bath C, 0.2-0.5 D, 55-85 E, UV F, enzyme inactivation G</td>
<td>Decrease in ascorbic acid and β-carotene contents</td>
<td>[36]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A: frequency (kHz), B: power (W), C: type of ultrasound apparatus, D: exposure time (min), E: temperature (°C), F: detection and analysis method, G: process.

HPLC: high-performance liquid chromatography.

UV: ultra-violet spectroscopy.
brown pigments in milk treated by ultrasound increased with treatment time compared to heat-treated milk, which was probably caused by the Maillard reaction [36].

4. Conclusion

To evaluate the extent of β-carotene degradation during ultrasound treatment, analogous to the treatment of this compound during food processing or extraction from vegetables, we have determined the main parameters affecting the stability of β-carotene and studied the formation of its derived products using a model system. β-Carotene degradation is more pronounced in aqueous system than in organic solvents. Degradation is also more pronounced under ultrasound than under argon. In aqueous medium, the CCD result reveals that applying ultrasound under atmospheric air is an efficient way to degrade β-carotene. Under these conditions, β-carotene loss is likely caused by chemical species from air and water, but also, for a large part, by mechanic-chemical effects to its conjugated polyene skeleton, providing Z-isomers and β-apo-carotenals. The results from CCD have demonstrated that sonication time is the most influential factor leading to β-carotene degradation, followed by ultrasound intensity. Temperature has a less extensive and an inverse effect on the degradation rate of β-carotene, with higher temperatures resulting in less loss of β-carotene, likely due to ultrasound cavitation effects.

β-Carotene degradation products have been tentatively identified as four Z-isomers and seven β-apo-carotenals. Other oxygenated β-carotene derived compounds were formed with low yield and could not be conclusively identified.

Considering these results, we advise that particular attention should be taken when using ultrasound with fruits and vegetables containing carotenoids. Furthermore, additional work should be done to determine how ultrasonic processing affects β-carotene when present as part of a food matrix.
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