

The 5-CNL front-of-pack nutrition label appears an effective tool to achieve food substitutions towards healthier diets across dietary profiles

Chantal Julia, Caroline Mejean, Sandrine Péneau, Camille Buscail, Benjamin Allès, Leopold Fezeu, Mathilde Touvier, Serge Hercberg, Emmanuelle Kesse-Guyot

▶ To cite this version:

Chantal Julia, Caroline Mejean, Sandrine Péneau, Camille Buscail, Benjamin Allès, et al.. The 5-CNL front-of-pack nutrition label appears an effective tool to achieve food substitutions towards healthier diets across dietary profiles. PLoS ONE, 2016, 11 (6), 10.1371/journal.pone.0157545. hal-02634850

HAL Id: hal-02634850 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02634850

Submitted on 27 May 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License



Citation: Julia C, Méjean C, Péneau S, Buscail C, Alles B, Fézeu L, et al. (2016) The 5-CNL Front-of-Pack Nutrition Label Appears an Effective Tool to Achieve Food Substitutions towards Healthier Diets across Dietary Profiles. PLoS ONE 11(6): e0157545. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0157545

Editor: Jacobus van Wouwe, TNO, NETHERLANDS

Received: January 15, 2016

Accepted: June 1, 2016

Published: June 20, 2016

Copyright: © 2016 Julia et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: The NutriNet-Santé Study is supported by the French Ministry of Health, the Institut de Veille Sanitaire, the Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale, the Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique, the Conservatoire National des Arts et Métiers, the Institut National de Prévention et d'Education pour la Santé and the Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale and Paris 13 University. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. Study sponsors had no **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

The 5-CNL Front-of-Pack Nutrition Label Appears an Effective Tool to Achieve Food Substitutions towards Healthier Diets across Dietary Profiles

Chantal Julia^{1,2}*, Caroline Méjean¹, Sandrine Péneau¹, Camille Buscail¹, Benjamin Alles¹, Léopold Fézeu¹, Mathilde Touvier¹, Serge Hercberg^{1,2}, Emmanuelle Kesse-Guyot¹

1 Université Paris 13, Equipe de Recherche en Epidémiologie Nutritionnelle (EREN), Centre d'Epidemiologie et Biostatistiques Sorbonne Paris Cité (CRESS), Inserm U1153, Inra U1125, Cnam, COMUE Sorbonne-Paris-Cité, F-93017, Bobigny, France, **2** Département de Santé Publique, Hôpital Avicenne (AP-HP), F-93017, Bobigny, France

* c.julia@eren.smbh.univ-paris13.fr

Abstract

Background

Front-of-pack (FOP) nutrition labels are considered helpful tools to help consumers making healthier food choices, thus improving their diet. In France, the implementation of a FOP nutrition label–the 5-Colour Nutrition Label (5-CNL)–is currently under consideration. Our objective was to investigate dietary profiles in a French adult population using the 5-CNL, and to assess its potential impact in improving the diet through substitution of foods.

Methods and Findings

Subjects included in the NutriNet-Santé cohort, who had completed three 24-h dietary records were included in this cross-sectional analysis. Mutually exclusive clusters of individuals were identified using the percentage of energy derived from foods of each of the 5-CNL colours as input variables. Three scenarios of substitution of foods for healthier alternative using the 5-CNL were tested. Food group and dietary intakes, socio-demographic and lifestyle data were compared across clusters using ANOVAs or Chi-square tests, as appropriate. We identified three mutually exclusive dietary profiles: 'Healthy' (N = 28 095, 29.3% of the sample), with high consumption of fruit, vegetables, whole cereals and fish; 'Western' (N = 33 386, 34.8%); with high consumption of sweetened beverages, breakfast cereal, cheese, fatty and sugary foods; 'Traditional' (N = 34 461, 35.1%), with high consumption of potatoes, bread, meat and dairy desserts. Overall, substitutions strategies led to an increase in the number of subjects reaching the recommended intakes in energy, macro and micronutrients. Increases were particularly high in the 'Western' pattern for lipids and saturates intakes: from 16.2% reaching the recommended amount for lipids (13.5% for saturates) to 60.6% and 85.7% respectively.



part in study design, collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and the writing of the article and the decision to submit it for publication. Researchers are independant from funders and sponsors. All researchers had access to all the data.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Abbreviations: 5-CNL, Five-colour nutrition label; DI, Dietary Index; FOP, Front-of-pack; FSA, Food Standards Agency; FSA-NPS DI, Food Standards Agency Nutrient Profiling System Dietary Index; NPS, Nutrient Profiling System; NuVal, ONQI score denomination; OfCom, Office of Communication; ONQI, Overall Nutritional Quality Index; PNNS, Programme National Nutrition Santé; UK, United Kingdom.

Conclusion

The use of the 5-CNL as an indicator of food choice meaningfully characterizes clusters of dietary habits and appears as an effective tool to help improving the nutritional quality of the diet.

What This Paper Adds

What Is Already Known on the Subject

- In France, the introduction of the 5-Colour Front-of-pack Nutrition Label (5-CNL) is under consideration. The 5-CNL is based on a nutrient profiling system and classifies foods into 5 categories of nutritional quality, from 'Green' to 'Red'.
- The 5-CNL has been shown to adequately classify foods according to their nutritional quality, and to help consumers understanding nutritional quality of foods and making healthier food choices.
- The contribution of each of the 5-CNL 'colour' foods in individual diets, as well as the potential impact of food substitutions using the 5-CNL on nutritional quality of the diet has not been investigated.

What This Study Adds

- Contribution of each 'colour' of the 5-CNL allowed for the identification of three dietary profiles: 'Healthy', 'Western' and 'Traditional'
- The use of the 5-CNL for food substitutions strategies led to an increase in the number of subjects reaching the recommended amounts in macro and micro-nutrients, with a more important impact for subjects with lower nutritional quality profiles, highlighting the potential contribution of the 5-CNL to public health nutrition programs.

Introduction

Front-of-pack (FOP) nutrition labels have received growing attention from policy makers worldwide, as they are considered promising tools in public health nutrition [1,2]. The introduction of a FOP nutrition label is thought to help consumers making healthier choices in purchasing situations [2,3]. Moreover, it is believed that they would serve as incentives for manufacturers to reformulate their products towards healthier compositions [2,3]. Some western countries have introduced FOP nutrition labels, the earliest adopters being Sweden in 1989 (*Green Keyhole*) [4], and more recently the Netherlands in 2006 (*Choices*) [5], or Australia and New Zealand in 2014 (*Health Star Rating System*) [6]. In France, the government has recently proposed to introduce a simplified FOP nutrition label [7] following a report to the Minister of Health in 2014 [8]. This label would complement the set of measures coordinated by the French Nutrition and Health Program (Programme National Nutrition Santé, PNNS), a nationwide program initiated in 2001 and aiming at improving health in the population by acting on one of its main modifiable determinants, nutrition [9]. The PNNS actions include population education and information through multimedia communication campaigns and dissemination of booklets, local initiatives of health promotion and modification of the environment (e.g. modification of the walkability of the built environment) and state-level regulations [9]. The proposed label is based on the British Food Standards Agency nutrient profiling system (FSA score) currently used to regulate advertising of foods and beverages to children in the United Kingdom (UK) [10,11]. This nutrient profiling system provides a global assessment of the nutritional quality of foods and beverages, combining into one indicator several nutrients entering the composition of the food or beverage. Among the various nutrient profiling systems currently available, the FSA score has been estimated as one of the most validated [12,13], and is currently serving as a basis for several public health initiatives, including the Australian *Health Star Rating System* [6]. The French proposal derives five categories of nutritional quality from the continuous FSA score, each associated with a different colour, from 'Green' for the highest nutritional quality category to 'Red' for the lowest nutritional quality category, representing the 5-Colour Nutrition Label, (5-CNL) (see Fig.1) [8].

1. Attribution of points, based on the content of nutrients and other elements per 100 g of a food/beverage Specific Specific **Points A** Specific cut-offs: Points C cut-offs: cut-offs: **Beverages** Beverages Fats Saturated Saturated Protein Sugars Energy Sugars Sodium Fruits, veg Fruits, veg Energy Fiber (g) Points fat/Lipids Points (kJ) (g) (kJ) fat (g) (mg) (%) (%) (g) (g) (%) <u><</u> 40 < 40 < 0.7 0 ≤ 335 < 4,5 ≤ 0 ≤ 0 < 10 < 90 0 < 1,6 ≤ 1 ≤ 30 > 40 > 4,5 > 0.7 1 > 335 ≤ 1.5 > 1 < 16 > 90 1 > 1,6 2 > 670 >9 ≤ 60 ≤ 3 > 2 < 22 > 180 2 > 60 > 1.4 >40 > 3,2 3 > 1005 > 13,5 ≤ 90 ≤ 4.5 3 > 3 < 28 > 270 > 2.1 > 4,8 4 > 1340 > 18 ≤ 120 ≤ 6 >4 < 34 > 360 4 > 60 > 2.8 > 6,4 > 22,5 5 > 1675 ≤ 150 ≤ 7.5 > 5 < 40 > 450 5 > 80 > 3.5 > 8,0 6 > 2010 > 27 ≤ 180 ≤9 < 46 > 540 > 6 6 7 > 2345 > 31 ≤ 210 ≤ 10.5 > 7 < 52 > 630 7 > 2680 > 36 ≤ 240 > 8 < 58 > 720 8 ≤ 12 8 9 > 3015 > 40 ≤ 270 ≤ 13.5 > 89 < 64 > 810 9 10 > 3350 > 45 > 270 > 13.5 > 10 ≥ 64 > 900 10 > 80 0-5 (a) 0-10 (a) 0-5 (b) 0-5 (c) 0-10 (a) 0-10 (b) 0-10 (a) 0-10 (b) 0-10 (c) 0-10 (c) 0-10 (d) Points C = (a) + (b) + (c) [0 - 15] Total Total Points A = (a) + (b) + (c) + (d) [0 - 40]



2. Final score: -15 to 40 points.

3. Attribution of colors:

Foods (points)	Beverages (points)	Color	
Min to -1	Water	Green	
0 to 2	Min to 1	Yellow	
3 to 10	2 to 5	Orange	
11 to 18	6 to 9	Pink	
19 to Max	10 to Max	Red	

Green: highest quality

y Red: lowest quality

Fig 1. FSA score computation and 5-CNL allocation. Footnotes: Exceptions were made for cheese, fat, and drinks to take into account their specific composition, consistently with nutritional recommendations. The percentage of fruits and vegetables was calculated taking into account fruits, legumes and vegetables as defined in the PNNS (the French nutritional and health policy). Tubers, oleaginous fruits, dried fruits and olives are therefore not considered in this computation. FSA score allocates different thresholds for fibers, depending on the measurement method used. We used NSP cut-offs to compute fibers score.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0157545.g001

This approach, using a global indicator of nutritional quality, with an 'across-the-board' application (i.e. the score computation is identical whatever the food group considered) has been found helpful to guide consumers' food choices toward food groups with higher nutritional quality instead of low nutritional quality groups ('displacement'). It also allows consumer to choose products with higher nutritional quality among the products from the same food group ('substitution').[14].

The application of the FSA score to foods in the French context indicated that the score could be used as a basis for a five-category system, provided marginal adjustments in a few food groups (beverages, cheese and fats) were made to maintain a high consistency with the French official nutritional recommendations [15]. Moreover, the use of the 5-CNL was shown to accurately discriminate the nutritional quality between food groups, within a food group and for similar products from different brands [16,17]. For example, vegetables and whole grains are mainly classified as 'Green' while chocolate products are mainly classified as 'Red'. Moreover, in a given food group, for example breakfast cereals, oat meals are classified as 'Green' while chocolate stuffed cereals are classified as 'Red'[17]. These elements confirms the potential of the 5-CNL for the implementation of both 'displacement' and 'substitution' strategies in individual diets.

However, the potential impact of the use of the 5-CNL label on individual diets has not been investigated. The heterogeneity in dietary behaviour observed in the population suggests that the use of the label, and therefore its impact, would also be heterogeneous. Identifying dietary profiles using the 5-CNL classification would allow investigating the potential impact of the 5-CNL across various dietary profiles.

Our objectives were therefore: 1) to identify dietary profiles according to the 5-CNL classification of the foods consumed; 2) to investigate the potential impact of the substitution of foods using the 5-CNL across dietary profiles through simulations. The highest possible gain in nutritional quality of the diet through the substitution of all foods was simulated, as well as a scenario involving the substitution of a fraction of the foods consumed. The overall aim was to assess the potential gain in the nutritional quality of the diet at the population level through this novel tool, in a public health perspective.

Material and Methods

Population

Participants were selected from the NutriNet-Santé cohort. Briefly, the NutriNet-santé study is a prospective cohort study set in France in which inclusion and follow-up of volunteer participants are performed on the Internet [18]. The main objectives of the NutriNet-santé study are: 1) to investigate the relationship between nutrition and health outcomes; and 2) to investigate the determinants of dietary patterns and nutritional status. Inclusion in the study began in May, 2009, and is still ongoing. Volunteer participants aged >18 years-old subscribe to the study, and are included when they have completed a set of questionnaires assessing: diet (through repeated 24h dietary records), physical activity, anthropometry, lifestyle and socio-economic conditions and health status. Detailed information on the NutriNet-Santé study can be found elsewhere [18].

For the present study, all participants having completed three dietary records at baseline, with no under-reporting of energy intake [19] were included.

Ethics

The NutriNet-Santé study is conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and all procedures have been approved by the Institutional Review Board of the French Institute for

Health and Medical Research (0000388FWA00005831) and the *Commission Nationale de l'Informatique et des Libertés* (908450 and 909216). Electronic informed consent was obtained from all participants. The Nutrinet-santé study is registered under EudraCT registration number 2013-000929-31.

Socio-Demographic and Lifestyle Data

Socio-demographic and lifestyle data were collected at enrollment through self-administered questionnaires, including age, gender, education (no diploma and primary education, second-ary education, university, other), marital status (in couple, single/divorced/widowed), income per household unit [20] (<900, 900–1199, 1200–1799, 1800–2299, 2300–2699, 2700–3699, >3700 €/month) and smoking status (current smoker, former smoker and never smoker). Physical activity was computed using self-declared data from the International Physical Activity Questionnaire, completed at baseline (low, moderate and high physical activity levels) [21]. Self-reported weight and height were collected at baseline and were used to compute body mass index (WHO categories: <18.5, [18.5–25[, [25–30[, \geq 30kg/m²) [22].

Dietary Data

Dietary data were derived from three repeated 24-hour records at enrollment, randomly distributed in a two-week period, with two week days and one week-end day. Food consumption was weighted according to the representativeness of the day of the week of each record in the whole diet (five days for a week day and two days for week-end days). Portion size for each reported food and beverage item was estimated by participants using validated photographs [23]. Nutrient intake was computed using a published food composition database structured for epidemiologic purposes and including 70 dietary compounds reflecting foods usually consumed in the French diet [24]. No information on brand or price of foods was included in the records. Under- reporters for energy intake were identified using Goldberg/Black's method and were excluded [19].

Score Computation, 5-CNL Allocation and Application to the Diet

Score computation. The FSA score for foods and beverages was computed taking into account the nutrient content for 100g [11]. It allocates positive points (0–10) for content in energy (KJ), total sugar (g), saturated fatty acids (g) and sodium (mg). Negative points (0–5) are allocated to content in fruits, vegetables, legumes and nuts (%), fibers (g) and proteins (g). Scores for foods and beverages were therefore based on a discrete continuous scale from -15 (most healthy) to +40 (less healthy) (Fig 1). Modifications to the original score were used in order to ensure a higher consistency with French nutritional recommendations for dried fruits and nuts, beverages, cheese and added fats [25].

5-CNL allocation. Products were then classified into five categories, corresponding to the 5-CNL classification. The cut-offs to define the five categories of the 5-CNL were based on the independent reports conducted by the French Agency for Food, Environmental and Occupational Health Safety (ANSES) and the High Council for Public Health (HCSP) [25,26], as follows from higher nutritional quality to lower nutritional quality: for foods 'Green' (-15 to -1), 'Yellow' (0 to 2), 'Orange' (3 to 10), 'Pink' (11 to 18) and 'Red' (19 and above); for beverages 'Green' only for non-flavoured water and non-sugared hot beverages, 'Yellow' (Min to 1), 'Orange' (2 to 5), 'Pink' (6 to 9) and 'Red' (10 and above) (Fig 1).

Dietary clusters. For each individual, the mean daily energy intake (excluding energy from alcoholic beverages) derived from the foods of each colour of the 5-CNL was computed. The mean daily energy intake of each individual was therefore separated in 'Energy from

Green-labelled foods' to 'energy from Red-labelled foods'. The five variables obtained were used as input variables in a clustering procedure (SAS CLUSTER and FASCLUS procedures). The plot of the semi-partial R^2 , the semi-partial T^2 and the Cubic Clustering Criterion by the number of clusters were used to identify that a model with three mutually exclusive clusters of individuals was adequate.

Scenarios of Substitution

In order to investigate the potential impact of substitutions of foods using the 5-CNL, we modelled substitutions within food groups of similar use, using a gradual approach. Three scenarios of substitution were modelled, in order to highlight the potential gain in diet quality through substitution (<u>S1 Fig</u>). Food groups tested for the substitution are presented in <u>S1 Table</u>.

Simulation scenario n°1 substitution of all food for all healthier foods. In this first scenario, foods classified in the highest nutritional quality class of the 5-CNL within a food group were considered not substituted. Foods and beverages classified in lower nutritional quality classes of the 5-CNL were substituted, and were attributed the mean nutritional values of all the healthier foods and beverages (e.g. Red-labelled foods were attributed the mean nutritional values of foods from the 'Pink' to the 'Green' classes). The initial quantity of the food was retained. This scenario models the substitution from lower nutritional quality foods, as classified in the 5-CNL to higher nutritional quality foods, with an even probability of choice for all foods in healthier classes of the 5-CNL. This scenario was used to investigate the highest nutritional gain possible through substitutions.

Simulation scenario n°2 substitution of all food for healthier foods in the adjacent class of the 5-CNL. This second scenario is similar to the previous, but substituted foods were attributed the mean nutritional values of foods in the immediately adjacent colour within a food group (e.g. Red-labelled foods were attributed the mean nutritional values of foods in the 'Pink' category).

Simulation scenario n°3 Scenario n°2 applied to 30% of foods. In this third scenario, 30% of foods were randomly selected in each 24h-record for each subject, and were applied the second scenario of substitution. This scenario, retaining a fraction of eligible foods for substitution was considered as the most realistic in real-life settings.

Statistical Analysis

Sociodemographic, lifestyle, anthropometrics, food group consumption and nutrient intake were compared across clusters using ANOVAs or Chi-square tests, as appropriate. Energy-adjusted nutrient intakes [27] were compared across clusters. Percentages of subjects with adequate intakes (energy, lipids, carbohydrates, proteins, added sugars, saturates, fibers) according to French national recommendations were compared across clusters and scenarios of substitution. Cut-offs for adequate intakes were determined using French national nutrition and health program objectives (Programme National Nutrition Santé, PNNS)[28] or recommendations from the French Agency for Food, Environmental and Occupational Health Safety (ANSES) [29] (See <u>S2 Table</u>). Basal metabolic rate and individual expenditure were computed using Schofield equations. Energy intakes <105% of expenditures were considered as adequate [30].

All tests were two-sided and a P value <0.001 was considered significant, given the high number of statistical tests performed. Statistical analyses were performed using SAS Software (version 9.3, SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC, USA). Figures were obtained using R software (version 3.0.3)

Results

Among the 158 291 subjects included in the NutriNet-Santé study up to March 23rd, 2015, 95 942 subjects had three available normo-energy dietary records at baseline and were included in

the analysis. The sample included 78.1% of women, and the mean age of the sample was 43.1 ± 14.6 years old.

The clustering procedure identified three mutually exclusive groups of subjects (Table 1). In all clusters, the proportion of energy from foods from each colour category was >8% of total energy intake. Clusters were characterized and labelled according to the food group consumption patterns observed, as: 'Healthy' (N = 28 095, 29.3% of the sample), 'Western' (N = 33 386, 34.8%) and 'Traditional' (N = 34 461, 35.1%).

Socio-Demographic Characteristics

Subjects in the 'Traditional' pattern were more often men, middle-aged, with low incomes, living in a rural setting, and were more likely overweight or obese (<u>Table 1</u>). Subjects in the 'Western' pattern were younger, with low income and higher education (university students) and were more often smokers (<u>Table 1</u>). Subjects in the 'Healthy' pattern were more likely women, middle-aged, with high income, living in urban areas and former smokers (<u>Table 1</u>).

Food Consumption and Nutritional Intake

The 'Healthy' pattern was characterized by high consumption of fruit, vegetables, legumes, whole cereals and fish and seafood (<u>Table 2</u>). This led to high intakes in fibers, vitamins and minerals (<u>Table 3</u>). The 'Western' pattern was characterized by high consumption of sweetened beverages, breakfast cereal, cheese and fatty and sugary foods (<u>Table 2</u>). This led to high intakes in energy, saturated fat, cholesterol, and added sugar (<u>Table 3</u>). The 'Traditional' pattern was characterized by high consumption of potatoes, bread, meat and dairy desserts (<u>Table 2</u>). This led to high sodium and added fat intakes (<u>Table 3</u>).

Substitution Scenarios

Overall, substitutions strategies led to a decrease in lipid, sugars and added sugars intakes and an increase in fibers intakes, leading to an increase in the number of subjects reaching the recommended intakes in energy, macro and micronutrients (Fig 2). The most important increases were observed for lipids and saturates intakes, more particularly in the 'Western' patterns (Fig 2). Before substitution, 16.2% of subjects in the 'Western' pattern reached the recommended amount of lipid intakes (13.5% for saturates,); in scenario n°3, 22.0% reached the recommended amount for lipids (17.8% for saturates); finally, in scenario n°1, 60.6% reached the recommended amount for lipids (85.7% for saturates) (Fig 2). On the other hand, as expected, the number of subjects reaching the recommended intakes in the 'Healthy' pattern was more important at baseline, but the magnitude of effect of the substitution scenarios in this group of consumers was lower (e.g. 50.9% of subjects reached the recommended lipid amount before substitution, and they were 56.8% in scenario n°1) (Fig 2).

For added sugars, fibers and sodium, substitutions using the 5-CNL significantly increased the number of subjects reaching the recommended amounts, but the magnitude of these effects was comparatively lower. For carbohydrates and proteins intakes, substitutions using the 5-CNL did not modify significantly the number of subjects reaching the recommendations.

Discussion

Our results allowed for the identification of three mutually exclusive dietary profiles using the 5-CNL, characterized by specific dietary behaviours. Whatever the cluster considered, foods from each colour category of the 5-CNL composed a substantial part of the diet. Finally, the simulated substitution of foods allowed for substantial modifications in macro- and micro-

Table 1. Consumption of foods from each colour (% energy intake) and sociodemographic, lifestyle and anthropometric characteristics across identified clusters (N = 95942).

	Cluster 1 = HEALTHY	Cluster 2 = WESTERN	Cluster 3 = TRADITIONAL	Р
N	28095	33386	34461	
Consumption from 'colour' foods				
Green	44 ± 9.81	21.2 ± 7.93	22.5 ± 7.29	<0.0001
Yellow	13.8 ± 7.63	14.6 ± 6.88	27.3 ± 9.05	<0.0001
Orange	13.2 ± 8.62	11.7 ± 7.51	13.1 ± 8.39	<0.0001
Pink	20.6 ± 8.22	40.3 ± 7.94	22.8 ± 6.94	<0.0001
Red	8.31 ± 6.84	12.2 ± 7.88	14.4 ± 9.16	<0.0001
Sex				
Male	5440 (19.4)	6866 (20.6)	8708 (25.3)	<0.0001
Female	22655 (80.6)	26520 (79.4)	25753 (74.7)	
Age category (y)				
18–24 years old	1965 (7)	5657 (16.9)	3569 (10.4)	<0.0001
25–44 years old	9315 (33.2)	16567 (49.6)	14329 (41.6)	
45–64 years old	14023 (49.9)	9895 (29.6)	14026 (40.7)	
\geq 65 years old	2792 (9.9)	1267 (3.8)	2537 (7.4)	
Monthly income (€)				
<900	2548 (9.1)	4172 (12.5)	3606 (10.5)	<0.0001
900–1200	1522 (5.4)	2321 (7)	2414 (7)	
1201–1800	6425 (22.9)	8462 (25.3)	8641 (25.1)	
1801–2300	4227 (15)	4712 (14.1)	4814 (14)	
2301–2700	2591 (9.2)	2753 (8.2)	3010 (8.7)	
2701–3700	4249 (15.1)	4135 (12.4)	4910 (14.2)	
>3700	3105 (11.1)	2765 (8.3)	3212 (9.3)	
Missing	3428 (12.2)	4066 (12.2)	3854 (11.2)	
Educational level				
No diploma and primary	952 (3.4)	701 (2.1)	1044 (3)	<0.0001
Secondary	9565 (34)	10429 (31.2)	12006 (34.8)	
University	17365 (61.8)	22049 (66)	21169 (61.4)	
Other	213 (0.8)	207 (0.6)	242 (0.7)	
Living area				
Urban	21691 (77.2)	25832 (77.4)	26456 (76.8)	<0.0001
Rural	5825 (20.7)	6892 (20.6)	7591 (22)	
Abroad	579 (2.1)	662 (2)	414 (1.2)	
Marital status				
Single/divorced/widowed	8497 (30.3)	10314 (30.9)	9031 (26.2)	<0.0001
In couple	19589 (69.7)	23065 (69.1)	25419 (73.8)	
Smoking statut				
Never smoker	14135 (50.3)	16989 (50.9)	16784 (48.7)	<0.0001
Former smoker	10650 (37.9)	9778 (29.3)	11779 (34.2)	
Current smoker	3301 (11.8)	6612 (19.8)	5891 (17.1)	
Body mass index category				
Underweight	1548 (5.5)	1971 (5.9)	1585 (4.6)	<0.0001
Normal weight	17558 (62.5)	22244 (66.6)	21689 (62.9)	
Overweight	6368 (22.7)	6416 (19.2)	7838 (22.7)	
Obese	2611 (9.3)	2751 (8.2)	3343 (9.7)	

Data are N(%) and Mean \pm SD. P value for Chisquare tests across clusters

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0157545.t001

Table 2. Food group consumption (g/day) according to the identified clusters (N = 95942).

	Cluster 1 = HEALTHY	Cluster 2 = WESTERN	Cluster 3 = TRADITIONAL	Р
Ν	28095	33386	34461	
Fruits and vegetables	550 ± 264	335 ± 185	378 ± 191	<0.0001
Fruits	270 ± 188	149 ± 125	171 ± 131	<0.0001
Vegetables	280 ± 143	185 ± 106	206 ± 110	<0.0001
Starchy foods	254 ± 125	219 ± 93.5	261 ± 106	<0.0001
Pasta, rice and bread	120 ± 92.7	131 ± 75.9	174 ± 88.9	<0.0001
Whole grains	62.9 ± 66.1	26.6 ± 37.9	20.9 ± 33.1	<0.0001
Breakfast cereals	6.56 ± 16.5	9.12 ± 20.1	5.21 ± 14.4	<0.0001
Potatoes and tubers	46.3 ± 55	43.2 ± 47.6	51 ± 52.1	<0.0001
Legumes	18.1 ± 35.3	9.03 ± 21.9	10.3 ± 23.4	<0.0001
Fish, meat and eggs	162 ± 86.7	148 ± 71.5	165 ± 74.8	<0.0001
Meat and poultry	82.8 ± 62.7	78.6 ± 53.6	88 ± 57.3	<0.0001
Fish and seafood	51.1 ± 51.2	31.1 ± 37.5	35.6 ± 40.2	<0.0001
Processed meat and fish	15.2 ± 23.7	25.5 ± 30.2	26 ± 31.1	<0.0001
Eggs	13.2 ± 21.6	12.5 ± 19.7	15.2 ± 23.1	<0.0001
Milk, dairy and fresh desserts	261 ± 186	221 ± 148	232 ± 154	<0.0001
Dairy desserts	27.1 ± 47.5	36.6 ± 52.2	43.4 ± 59.7	<0.0001
Cheese	27.4 ± 25	44.8 ± 33.4	35.5 ± 27.7	<0.0001
Milk	90.3 ± 140	79.7 ± 119	80.5 ± 122	<0.0001
Yogurt and cottage cheese	116 ± 116	59.5 ± 70.9	72.8 ± 77.7	<0.0001
Fats	23 ± 15.9	26.7 ± 18.4	25.2 ± 16.5	<0.0001
Sugary products	62.9 ± 47.9	128 ± 75.4	88.2 ± 60.1	<0.0001
Dried fruits	3.37 ± 11.2	1.98 ± 8.22	1.8 ± 7.01	<0.0001
Biscuits and cakes	23.7 ± 31	56.6 ± 55.5	38.9 ± 43.8	<0.0001
Pastries	4.03 ± 11.4	17.6 ± 28	7.33 ± 15.3	<0.0001
Fatty and sugary products	12.9 ± 20.8	29.8 ± 37.9	18.5 ± 26.5	<0.0001
Confectionery	18.9 ± 21.9	22.4 ± 24.7	21.7 ± 22.4	<0.0001
Salty snacks	12.7 ± 19.8	19.8 ± 23	14.1 ± 18.8	<0.0001
Beverages	1340 ± 616	1320 ± 575	1280 ± 563	<0.0001
Non-sugared beverages	1200 ± 606	1080 ± 555	1070 ± 543	<0.0001
Soft drinks	20.9 ± 61.2	69 ± 135	48.1 ± 112	<0.0001
Fruit and vegetable juice	43.9 ± 76.1	70.8 ± 96.4	54.1 ± 82.4	<0.0001
Alcoholic beverages	76.2 ± 129	104 ± 165	107 ± 169	<0.0001

Data are Means±SD in g/day. P value for ANOVA across clusters

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0157545.t002

nutrient intakes in individuals, with a higher impact in patterns characterized with a lower diet quality. Though scenario n°1 appears unrealistic, it shows the margin of progression attainable in the population, highlighting the potential of the 5-CNL to contribute in reaching the French National Nutrition and Health Program (PNNS) objectives.

The dietary profiles that were identified in our study are consistent with the existing literature [31-33]. Subjects with healthier dietary profiles have been frequently reported to be more often women, with higher education and income, while 'Western' dietary patterns are more frequently followed by younger subject with lower incomes [31]. 'Traditional' patterns are more often reported in older subjects with lower education [33,34].

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to use FOP nutrition labels to characterize dietary behaviour using this type of methodology. Some studies have used FOP nutrition

N	Cluster 1 = HEALTHY 28095	Cluster 2 = WESTERN 33386	Cluster 3 = TRADITIONAL 34461	Р
Carbohydrates (g/day)	196 ± 35.4	186 ± 34.5	194 ± 34.7	<0.0001
Lipids (g/day)	73.1 ± 13.5	83.3 ± 12.8	76.6 ± 13.2	<0.0001
Proteins (g/d)	84 ± 19	71.9 ± 14.1	76.7 ± 14.3	<0.0001
Sugars (g/d)	93.5 ± 26.8	91.8 ± 28.2	85.5 ± 26.4	<0.0001
Added sugars (g/d)	28.7 ± 16.4	44.3 ± 22.9	35.4 ± 20.6	<0.0001
Added fat (g/d)	22 ± 11	22 ± 12.3	23.4 ± 11.7	<0.0001
Added animal fat (g/d)	6.71 ± 6.29	7.44 ± 7.24	8.7 ± 7.85	<0.0001
Added vegetable fat (g/d)	15.3 ± 9.94	14.6 ± 10.9	14.7 ± 9.87	<0.0001
Saturated fat (g/d)	27.4 ± 7.19	35.6 ± 7.55	31.3 ± 7.43	<0.0001
Monounsaturated fat (g/d)	28.1 ± 7.49	31.1 ± 7.1	28.7 ± 6.74	<0.0001
Polyunsaturated fat (g/d)	11.9 ± 4.94	10.8 ± 4.23	10.8 ± 4.4	<0.0001
Cholesterol (g/d)	281 ± 128	311 ± 116	309 ± 123	<0.0001
Sodium (mg/d)	2600 ± 705	2500 ± 701	2720 ± 678	<0.0001
Fibres (g/d)	24.1 ± 7.46	16.5 ± 5.21	17.7 ± 5.11	<0.0001
Beta-carotene (µg/d)	4410 ± 3390	2870 ± 2350	3180 ± 2380	<0.0001
Calcium (mg/d)	978 ± 277	884 ± 267	863 ± 246	<0.0001
Iron (mg/d)	15.2 ± 4.41	11.9 ± 3.7	12.4 ± 3.82	<0.0001
Magnesium (mg/d)	396 ± 112	297 ± 82.3	303 ± 75.9	<0.0001
Vitamin B6 (mg/d)	2.02 ± 0.56	1.55 ± 0.49	1.62 ± 0.46	<0.0001
Vitamin B9 (µg/d)	384 ± 123	293 ± 93.8	304 ± 95.5	<0.0001
Vitamin B12 (µg/d)	5.77 ± 5.85	4.53 ± 4.2	5.03 ± 5.19	<0.0001
Vitamin C (mg/d)	138 ± 85.2	108 ± 86.1	108 ± 74.5	<0.0001

Table 3. Energy, macro- and microutrient intake in the identified clusters (N = 95942).

Data are Mean ±SD. Macro and Micronutrient intake adjusted on energy intake.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0157545.t003

labels to investigate diet, but all considered a binary indicator of the nutritional quality of foods, which prevents direct comparisons across studies [35-38]. Lichtenstein et al. investigated the association between dietary behavior and consumption of American Heart Association (AHA) Heart Check Certifiable (HCC) foods [38]. Nutritional requirements for AHA HCC foods vary for 6 categories of foods, and include content in saturated fat and sodium (as in the 5-CNL) but also content in trans-fat, cholesterol, and some vitamins and minerals, leading to a binary assessment of the nutritional quality of the food (Heart Check vs. no Heart Check) [39]. Comparison with the 5-CNL suggests that AHA HCC foods would correspond to 'Green' labeled foods. In the NHANES population, consumption of AHA HCC foods was positively associated with consumption of fruit, vegetables and total grain, and inversely associated with the percentage of energy from saturated fat, alcohol, cholesterol and sodium [38]. These results are consistent with ours, as subjects with high consumption of AHA HCC foods would more likely be in the 'Healthy diet' cluster. Another study showed that the NuVal system, which ranks foods from 0 to 100 according to their content in 30 different nutrients, applied to individual diets was correlated to the Healthy Eating Index and to the DASH diet [37]. Such results are in line with ours, as subjects with high ONQI would more likely correspond to the 'Healthy' pattern. However, the NuVal system differs from the 5-CNL, as it delivers a quantitative continuous information to the consumer (0-100), compared to five classes of nutritional quality. Moreover, no direct comparison was possible with the FSA score, given that the NuVal uses a proprietary algorithm [40].



Fig 2. Percentage of subjects reaching the recommended amounts for energy, lipids, proteins, carbohydrates, added sugar, saturates and fibers across substitution scenarios and clusters.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0157545.g002

PLOS ONE

Our results are in line with modelling studies investigating the potential impact of substitutions within the diet [41-44]. Vyth et al. simulated the effect of substituting foods not complying with the Dutch *Choices* program with complying foods in the Dutch population [44]. In a maximal scenario where diets were simulated to include 100% of foods complying with the *Choices* program, 60.2% of the population complied with the recommended sodium intake, 54.0% to the recommended saturated fatty acids intake and 32.2% to the recommended sugar intake. In comparison, in scenario n°1 from our study, 91.0% to 91.7% of subjects (in the 'Western' and 'Healthy' patterns respectively) reached the recommended intake for sodium, 85.7% to 91.7% of subjects (same patterns) reached the recommended intakes for saturated fatty acids and 94.5% to 99.8% of subjects reached the recommended intakes for added sugars. Though the recommended amounts set at targets in the paper by Vyth et al. were more stringent than those we used, the observed differences suggest that the 5-CNL may have a higher impact compared to the Dutch Choices program. These differences can be partly explained by the fact that, contrarily to the Choices program, the 5-CNL would apply to all foods, allowing for a larger number of possible substitutions across the spectrum of available foods, including those with lower nutritional quality.

Modeling studies using observational dietary data have shown that both an increase in the panel of foods consumed and substitutions of foods would be required to achieve a balanced diet [45,46]. However, modifying the structure of one's diet with the introduction of unfamiliar foods is constraining, while substitutions appear as more easily achievable. Therefore, at the individual level, the 5-CNL could be a helpful tool in implementing substitution strategies, allowing for an improvement in the nutritional quality of the diet. Subjects with already healthy diets would however have a lower gain from this strategy, while the potential lever for improvement in subjects with lower quality diets is more important. Indeed, the relative increase in the number of subjects reaching the recommended amount of saturates in the diet using scenario n °3 (the less constraining) is 11.7% in the 'Healthy' pattern compared to 32.4% in the 'Western' pattern. At the population level, even if subjects with lower quality diets would use the 5-CNL less, the gain in terms of nutritional quality would be more important. This aspect of the 5-CNL is to be taken into account while the major focus of public health nutrition in France is tackling social inequalities in health, including nutrition [47,48]. However, the introduction of a FOP nutrition label is only one of the multiple contributors that might help in improving the diet at the population level, along with other actions in the framework of a national program. The expectations in terms of impact for the 5-CNL should therefore take into account its connection and consistency with education, information and regulation policies.

Two methodological elements concur to the magnitude of the simulated effect in a substitution scenario: the level of aggregation of foods (the higher the aggregation, the higher the effects) [43], and the number of foods substituted (the higher the number of foods substituted, the higher the effects) [42]. In our study, foods were regrouped using a moderate level of aggregation, and considering food groups with similar use. The number of foods substituted was also considered in the third scenario, where 30% of foods were eligible for substitution. However, the use of the 5-CNL in real-life settings might lead to other substitution strategies, which we were not able to take into account. Indeed, substitutions could occur for similar products from different brands rather than other foods within the same food group. The potential impact of such substitutions should also be evaluated, in order to better take into account all the strategies that could be implemented in real-life settings. Finally, it is probable that in reallife setting, only a fraction of the population will use the FOP nutrition label, to substitute also a fraction of the foods they consume. Future studies should therefore simulate the potential impact of sub-gystem, taking into account not only the number of foods substituted, but also the number of subjects using the FOP system. Strengths of our study include its large sample size, and the use of validated dietary collection data, using repeated dietary records [49]. Moreover, the implementation of several substitution scenarios allowed for a more detailed evaluation of the potential impact of the 5-CNL on the nutritional quality of the diet across dietary profiles.

Our study is subject to some limitations. First, the actual use of the FOP system once implemented can't be anticipated, as food choices at the point of purchase are influenced by individual characteristics (socio-demographical, lifestyle and dietary data), but also by the characteristics of the food offer (price, brands and marketing). A nutritional label would therefore be only one in many cues the consumer faces when grocery shopping. Stimulating awareness towards the label in itself can be considered as a challenge. Therefore, the actual use of the FOP label is likely to be low [50]. The results of the simulation scenarios presented in this paper should therefore be interpreted as best case scenarios and potential nutritional gains that could be achieved when using the label for food substitutions. Second, the substitution strategies we modelled do not take into account potential dietary modification induced by substitutions themselves (e.g. substitution from a sweetened yogurt to a non-sweetened option could lead to a concurrent increased consumption in sugar). Third, the use of the 5-CNL to implement substitutions in a real-life setting is likely dependent on the dietary pattern, which was not taken into account in the substitution models. Indeed, subjects who are more concerned about their diet, and therefore more likely to have 'Healthy' dietary patterns, are also more likely to use a nutrition label when grocery shopping [50]. Finally, our sample consists of volunteer subjects included in a cohort study on nutrition, who are therefore more likely to have healthier behaviours. Moreover, the methodology used is highly data-driven, which might also impair generalizability of our results. However, the dietary profiles that were identified included groups with less healthy dietary behaviours ('Western' and 'Traditional'), which represented the majority of our sample (69.9% of the total sample). Moreover, the profiles identified in our study are similar to other studies, allowing for meaningful international comparisons [32].

More research is needed to help anticipating the potential of FOP systems to modify choices at the point of purchase, among all the factors influencing food choices.

Use of the 5-CNL to identify food choices allowed for the identification of three meaningful dietary patterns. Moreover, the 5-CNL could be a useful tool to help consumers substitute foods for a healthier alternative, and reach significant nutritional improvements in the diet, especially in individuals with poorer diets.

Supporting Information

S1 Fig. Scenarios of substitution used in the simulations. (PPTX)

S1 Table. Food groups used for substitution strategies. (DOCX)

S2 Table. Cut-offs used to define adequate intakes in energy, macro- and micronutrients. (DOCX)

Acknowledgments

We thank Paul Flanzy, Mohand Ait Oufella, Yasmina Chelghoum, Than Duong Van (computer scientists), Cédric Agaesse (dietitian), Nathalie Arnault, Veronique Gourlet, Fabien Szabo, Laurent Bourhis, Stephen Besseau (statisticians) and Rachida Mehroug (logistics assistant) for their technical contribution to the NutriNet-Sante study. We especially thank Karen Assmann for her contribution to the development of <u>Fig 1</u>.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: CJ EKG. Performed the experiments: CJ. Analyzed the data: CJ CM SP LF CB SH EKG MT BA. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: CJ SH. Wrote the paper: CJ CM SP LF CB SH EKG MT BA.

References

- 1. Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development. Promoting sustainable consumption–good practices in OECD countries. 2008.
- 2. World Health Organization. Global strategy on diet, physical activity and health. 2004.
- Vyth EL, Steenhuis IHM, Roodenburg AJC, Brug J, Seidell JC. Front-of-pack nutrition label stimulates healthier product development: a quantitative analysis. International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity. 2010 7.
- Asp NG, Bryngelsson S. Health claims in the labelling and marketing of food products: the Swedish food sector's Code of Practice in a European perspective. Food & Nutrition Research; Vol 51, No 3 (2007). 2007.
- Vyth EL, Steenhuis IHM, Mallant SF, Mol ZL, Brug J, Temminghoff M et al. A Front-of-Pack Nutrition Logo: A Quantitative and Qualitative Process Evaluation in the Netherlands. Journal of Health Communication. 2009; 14: 631–645. doi: 10.1080/10810730903204247 PMID: 19851915
- 6. Commonwealth of Australia. Health Star Rating System. A joint Australian, state and territory governments initiatives in partnership with industry, public health and consumer groups. 2015.
- 7. Touraine M. Projet de loi n°2302 relatif à la Santé, présenté au nom de M. Manuel Valls, Premier ministre, par Mme Marisol Touraine, ministre des affaires sociales, de la santé et des droits des femmes. Exposé des motifs. 2015.
- 8. Hercberg S. Propositions pour un nouvel élan de la politique nutritionnelle française de santé publique dans le cadre de la stratégie nationale de santé. 1ère partie: mesures concernant la prévention nutritionnelle. 2013.
- Hercberg S, Chat-Yung S, Chauliac M. The French National Nutrition and Health Program: 2001-2006-2010. Int J Public Health. 2008; 53: 68–77. PMID: <u>18681335</u>
- Rayner M, Scarborough P, Stockley L, Boxer A. Nutrient profiles: development of Final model. Final Report [online]. 2005.
- Rayner M, Scarborough P, Lobstein T. The UK Ofcom Nutrient Profiling Model—Defining 'healthy' and 'unhealthy' food and drinks for TV advertising to children. 2009.
- Azais-Braesco V, Goffi C, Labouze E. Nutrient profiling: comparison and critical analysis of existing systems. Public Health Nutr. 2006; 9: 613–622. PMID: <u>16923293</u>
- Townsend MS. Where is the science? What will it take to show that nutrient profiling systems work? Am J Clin Nutr. 2010; 91: 1109S–1115S. doi: <u>10.3945/ajcn.2010.28450F</u> PMID: <u>20164310</u>
- Scarborough P, Arambepola C, Kaur A, Bhatnagar P, Rayner M. Should nutrient profile models be 'category specific' or 'across-the-board'? A comparison of the two systems using diets of British adults. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2010; 64: 553–560. doi: <u>10.1038/ejcn.2010.31</u> PMID: <u>20216562</u>
- Julia C, Kesse-Guyot E, Touvier M, Mejean C, Fezeu L, Hercberg S. Application of the British Food Standards Agency nutrient profiling system in a French food composition database. The British journal of nutrition. 2014; 112: 1699–1705. doi: <u>10.1017/S0007114514002761</u> PMID: <u>25277084</u>
- Julia C, Ducrot P, Peneau S, Deschamps V, Mejean C, Fezeu L et al. Discriminating nutritional quality of foods using the 5-Color nutrition label in the French food market: consistency with nutritional recommendations. Nutr J. 2015; 14: 100. doi: <u>10.1186/s12937-015-0090-4</u> [pii]. PMID: <u>26416389</u>
- Julia C, Kesse-Guyot E, Ducrot P, Peneau S, Touvier M, Mejean C et al. Performance of a five category front-of-pack labelling system—the 5-colour nutrition label—to differentiate nutritional quality of breakfast cereals in France. BMC Public Health. 2015; 15: 179. doi: <u>10.1186/s12889-015-1522-y</u>; [pii]. PMID: <u>25885583</u>
- Hercberg S, Castetbon K, Czernichow S, Malon A, Mejean C, Kesse E et al. The Nutrinet-Sante Study: a web-based prospective study on the relationship between nutrition and health and determinants of dietary patterns and nutritional status. Bmc Public Health. 2010; 10.
- Black AE. Critical evaluation of energy intake using the Goldberg cut-off for energy intake:basal metabolic rate. A practical guide to its calculation, use and limitations. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2000; 24: 1119–1130. PMID: <u>11033980</u>
- 20. INSEE (Institut National de la Statistique et des Etudes Economiques). Méthodes. 2014.

- Craig CL, Marshall AL, Sjostrom M, Bauman AE, Booth ML, Ainsworth BE et al. International physical activity questionnaire: 12-country reliability and validity. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2003; 35: 1381–1395. PMID: <u>12900694</u>
- 22. World Health Organization. Obesity: Preventing and managing the global epidemic. Report of a WHO consultation. WHO Technical Report Series 894. Geneva: WHO. 2000.
- 23. Hercberg S., Deheeger M., and Preziosi P. (2002) SU.VI.MAX Portions alimentaires. Manuel photos pour l'estimation des quantités. Paris: Editions polytechnica. 132 p.
- 24. Etude Nutrinet-Santé (2013) Table de composition des aliments de l'étude Nutrinet-Santé. Paris: Economica.
- Haut Conseil de la Santé Publique. Avis relatif à l'information sur la qualité nutritionnelle des produits alimentaires. Paris: HCSP. 2015. Available: <u>http://www.hcsp.fr/Explore.cgi/avisrapportsdomaine?</u> <u>clefr=519</u>.
- 26. ANSES. Evaluation de la faisabilité du calcul d'un score nutritionnel tel qu'élaboré par Rayner et al. Rapport d'appui scientifique et technique. Paris: ANSES. 2015.
- Willett W, Stampfer MJ. Total energy intake: implications for epidemiologic analyses. Am J Epidemiol. 1986; 124: 17–27. PMID: <u>3521261</u>
- Ministère du travail, dedls. Programme National Nutrition Santé 2011–2015. Paris: Ministère du travail, de l'emploi et de la santé. 2011. Available: <u>http://www.sante.gouv.fr/IMG/pdf/PNNS_2011-2015.pdf</u>.
- AFSSA. Apports en protéines: consommation, qualité, besoins et recommandations. Paris: Agence Française de Sécurité Sanitaire des Aliments. 2007. Available: <u>https://www.anses.fr/fr/system/files/ NUT-Ra-Proteines.pdf</u>.
- 30. Estaquio C, Kesse-Guyot E, Deschamps V, Bertrais S, Dauchet L, Galan P et al. Adherence to the French Programme National Nutrition Sante Guideline Score Is Associated with Better Nutrient Intake and Nutritional Status. J Am Diet Assoc. 2009; 109: 1031–1041. doi: <u>10.1016/j.jada.2009.03.012</u> PMID: 19465185
- Kesse-Guyot E, Bertrais S, Peneau S, Estaquio C, Dauchet L, Vergnaud AC et al. Dietary patterns and their sociodemographic and behavioural correlates in French middle-aged adults from the SU.VI.MAX cohort. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2009; 63: 521–528. doi: 10.1038/sj.ejcn.1602978 PMID: 18212801
- Newby PK, Tucker KL. Empirically derived eating patterns using factor or cluster analysis: A review. Nutr Rev. 2004; 62: 177–203. PMID: <u>15212319</u>
- Pryer JA, Nichols R, Elliott P, Thakrar B, Brunner E, Marmot M. Dietary patterns among a national random sample of British adults. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2001; 55: 29–37. PMID: <u>11112948</u>
- Villegas R, Salim A, Collins MM, Flynn A, Perry IJ. Dietary patterns in middle-aged Irish men and women defined by cluster analysis. Public Health Nutrition. 2004; 7: 1017–1024. PMID: <u>15548339</u>
- Chiuve SE, Sampson L, Willett WC. The Association Between a Nutritional Quality Index and Risk of Chronic Disease. Am J Prev Med. 2011; 40: 505–513. doi: <u>10.1016/j.amepre.2010.11.022</u> PMID: <u>21496749</u>
- **36.** Julia C, Touvier M, Mejean C, Ducrot P, Peneau S, Hercberg S et al. Development and Validation of an Individual Dietary Index Based on the British Food Standard Agency Nutrient Profiling System in a French Context. J Nutr. 2014; 144: 2009–2017. doi: 10.3945/jn.114.199679 PMID: 25411035
- Katz DL, Njike VY, Rhee LQ, Reingold A, Ayoob KT. Performance characteristics of NuVal and the Overall Nutritional Quality Index (ONQI). Am J Clin Nutr. 2010; 91: 1102S–1108S. doi: <u>10.3945/ajcn.</u> 2010.28450E PMID: 20181809
- Lichtenstein AH, Carson JS, Johnson RK, Kris-Etherton PM, Pappas A, Rupp L et al. Food-intake patterns assessed by using front-of-pack labeling program criteria associated with better diet quality and lower cardiometabolic risk(1–3). Am J Clin Nutr. 2014; 99: 454–462. doi: <u>10.3945/ajcn.113.071407</u> PMID: <u>24368435</u>
- American Heart Association. American Heart Association Heart-Check Certification Program Nutrition Requirements. 2015.
- Reedy J, Kirkpatrick SI. The Use of Proprietary Nutrient Profiling Tools in Nutrition Science and Policy: A Commentary. Am J Prev Med. 2011; 40: 581–582. doi: <u>10.1016/j.amepre.2011.02.010</u> PMID: <u>21496762</u>
- Schickenberg B, van Assema P, Brug J, Verkaik-Kloosterman J, Ocke MC, de Vries NK. Replacing foods high in saturated fat by low-saturated fat alternatives: a computer simulation of the potential effects on reduction of saturated fat consumption. Br J Nutr. 2009; 102: 478–483. doi: <u>10.1017/</u> S0007114508190298 PMID: 19138448
- 42. Thomson JL, Tussing-Humphreys LM, Onufrak SJ, Zoellner JM, Connell CL, Bogle ML et al. A Simulation Study of the Potential Effects of Healthy Food and Beverage Substitutions on Diet Quality and

Total Energy Intake in Lower Mississippi Delta Adults. J Nutr. 2011; 141: 2191–2197. doi: <u>10.3945/jn.</u> <u>111.144659</u> PMID: <u>22031664</u>

- Verger EO, Holmes BA, Huneau JF, Mariotti F. Simple Changes within Dietary Subgroups Can Rapidly Improve the Nutrient Adequacy of the Diet of French Adults. J Nutr. 2014; 144: 929–936. doi: <u>10.3945/jn.113.188284</u> PMID: <u>24699804</u>
- Vyth EL, Hendriksen MAH, Roodenburg AJC, Steenhuis IHM, van Raaij JMA, Verhagen H et al. Consuming a diet complying with front-of-pack label criteria may reduce cholesterol levels: a modeling study. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2012; 66: 510–516. doi: <u>10.1038/ejcn.2011.193</u> PMID: <u>22085871</u>
- Maillot M, Vieux F, Ferguson EF, Volatier JL, Amiot MJ, Darmon N. To Meet Nutrient Recommendations, Most French Adults Need to Expand Their Habitual Food Repertoire. J Nutr. 2009; 139: 1721– 1727. doi: <u>10.3945/jn.109.107318</u> PMID: <u>19625703</u>
- 46. Maillot M, Issa C, Vieux F, Lairon D, Darmon N. The shortest way to reach nutritional goals is to adopt Mediterranean food choices: evidence from computer-generated personalized diets. Am J Clin Nutr. 2011; 94: 1127–1137. doi: <u>10.3945/ajcn.111.016501</u> PMID: <u>21900460</u>
- 47. INPES. Réduire les inégalités sociales de santé. Institut National de Prévention et d'Education pour la Santé. 2010.
- **48.** Inserm. Inégalités sociales de santé Expertise collective INSERM. Centre d'expertise collective ITMO Santé Publique—Aviesan. 2013.
- 49. Touvier M, Kesse-Guyot E, Mejean C, Pollet C, Malon A, Castetbon K et al. Comparison between an interactive web-based self-administered 24 h dietary record and an interview by a dietitian for large-scale epidemiological studies. Br J Nutr. 2011; 105: 1055–1064. doi: <u>10.1017/S0007114510004617</u> PMID: <u>21080983</u>
- 50. Van Kleef E, Dagevos H. The Growing Role of Front-of-Pack Nutrition Profile Labeling: A Consumer Perspective on Key Issues and Controversies. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr. 2015; 55: 291–303. doi: <u>10.</u> <u>1080/10408398.2011.653018</u> PMID: <u>24915389</u>