

# Effects of operational parameters on dark fermentative hydrogen production from biodegradable complex waste biomass

Anish Ghimire, Fabio Sposito, Luigi Frunzo, Eric Trably, Renaud R. Escudie, Francesco Pirozzi, Piet N.L. Lens, Giovanni Esposito

# ▶ To cite this version:

Anish Ghimire, Fabio Sposito, Luigi Frunzo, Eric Trably, Renaud R. Escudie, et al.. Effects of operational parameters on dark fermentative hydrogen production from biodegradable complex waste biomass. Waste Management, 2016, 50, pp.55-64. 10.1016/j.wasman.2016.01.044 . hal-02635261

# HAL Id: hal-02635261 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02635261

Submitted on 4 Aug 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Effects of operational parameters on dark fermentative hydrogen
2	production from biodegradable complex waste biomass
3	
4	Anish Ghimire <sup>a,b,*</sup> , Fabio Sposito <sup>b</sup> , Luigi Frunzo <sup>c</sup> , Eric Trably <sup>d</sup> , Renaud Escudié <sup>d</sup> , Francesco
5	Pirozzi <sup>b</sup> , Piet N.L. Lens <sup>e</sup> , Giovanni Esposito <sup>a</sup>
6	
7	<sup>a</sup> Department of Civil and Mechanical Engineering, University of Cassino and Southern
8	Lazio, via Di Biasio 43, 03043 Cassino (FR), Italy
9	<sup>b</sup> Department of Civil, Architectural and Environmental Engineering, University of Naples
10	Federico II, via Claudio 21, 80125 Naples, Italy
11	<sup>c</sup> Department of Mathematics and Applications Renato Caccioppoli, University of Naples
12	Federico II, via Cintia, Monte S. Angelo, I-80126 Naples, Italy
13	<sup>d</sup> INRA, UR0050 Laboratoire de Biotechnologie de l'Environnement, Avenue des Etangs, F-
14	11100 Narbonne, France
15	<sup>e</sup> UNESCO-IHE Institute for Water Education, Westvest 7, 2611 AX Delft, The Netherlands
16	

- \* Corresponding author. Address: Department of Civil and Mechanical Engineering, University of Cassino and Southern Lazio, via Di Biasio 43, 03043 Cassino (FR), Italy.
- Tel. +39 0776 2994339 Fax +39 0776-2993939
- *E-mail address*: a.ghimire@unicas.it, anishghimire@gmail.com (Anish Ghimire)

#### 21 Abstract

22 This paper aimed to investigate the effect of the initial pH, combination of food to 23 microorganism ratio (F/M) and initial pH, substrate pre-treatment and different inoculum 24 sources on the dark fermentative biohydrogen (H<sub>2</sub>) yields. Three model complex waste 25 biomasses (food waste, olive mill wastewater (OMWW) and rice straw) were used to assess 26 the effect of the aforementioned parameters. The effect of the initial pH between 4.5 - 7.0 27 was investigated in batch tests carried out with food waste. The highest H<sub>2</sub> yields were shown at initial pH 4.5 (60.6  $\pm$  9.0 mL H<sub>2</sub>/g VS) and pH 5 (50.7  $\pm$  0.8 mL H<sub>2</sub>/g VS). Furthermore, 28 29 tests carried out with F/M ratios of 0.5, 1.0 and 1.5 at initial pH 5.0 and 6.5 revealed that a 30 lower F/M ratio (0.5 and 1.0) favored the H<sub>2</sub> production at an initial pH 5.0 compared to pH 31 6.5. Alkaline pre-treatment of raw rice straw using 4% and 8% NaOH at 55 °C for 24 hours, 32 increased the H<sub>2</sub> yield by 26 and 57 fold, respectively. In the dark fermentation of OMWW, the H<sub>2</sub> yield was doubled when heat-shock pre-treated activated sludge was used as inoculum 33 34 in comparison to anaerobic sludge. Overall, this study shows that the application of different 35 operating parameters to maximize the H<sub>2</sub> yields strongly depends on the biodegradability of 36 the substrate.

37

38 Keywords: Biohydrogen; dark fermentation; waste biomass; biofuels; waste valorization
 39

### 40 Highlights

Combination of initial pH and F/M ratio affects H<sub>2</sub> yields from DF of food waste.
Alkaline pre-treatment enhances the dark fermentative conversion of rice straw.
Inoculum source and pre-treatment conditions influence H<sub>2</sub> yields in DF of OMWW.
The selection of optimal operating parameters depends on substrate biodegradability.

#### 47 1 Introduction

Dark fermentation (DF) of organic waste is one of the promising technologies for 48 49 biohydrogen ( $H_2$ ) production. The DF processes are usually preferred over other light 50 dependent, photofermentation or biophotolysis processes because of the high bioreactor 51 productivities and the potential to utilize a wide range of organic wastes as feedstock 52 (Hallenbeck et al., 2009; Urbaniec and Bakker, 2015). In addition, the associated production 53 of organic acids and alcohols, among others, can be either used in sidestream processes like 54 anaerobic digestion for methane or photofermentative H<sub>2</sub> production for energy recovery, or 55 can be used for the production of platform molecules (Bastidas-Oyanedel et al., 2015; Sarma 56 et al., 2015).

57

58 Waste biomass is abundant and can sustain DF processes in scaled-up applications. An easily 59 degradable food waste (the organic fraction of municipal solid waste (OFMSW)), more 60 slowly degradable agricultural residues (i.e. rice straw) as well as agro-industrial waste such 61 as olive mill wastewaters (OMWW) can serve as sustainable feedstock sources for dark 62 fermentative H<sub>2</sub> production (Guo et al., 2010; Kapdan and Kargi, 2006; Ntaikou et al., 2010; Show et al., 2012). A major bottleneck in the utilization of these low cost waste biomasses is 63 64 the rather low H<sub>2</sub> yields observed in the DF processes (Ghimire et al., 2015a; Urbaniec and 65 Bakker, 2015). Nevertheless, H<sub>2</sub> yields and process kinetics can be enhanced by optimizing 66 operating parameters, such as pre-treatment of inocula, food to microorganisms (F/M) ratio 67 (also substrate to inoculum ratio), pre-treatment of substrates, culture temperature and pH (De Gioannis et al., 2013; Guo et al., 2010; Ntaikou et al., 2010; Wang and Wan, 2009). During 68 69 recent years, extensive experimental research has been devoted to the establish the optimal 70 operational conditions for maximizing H<sub>2</sub> production, with a special focus on operational pH,

temperature and substrate utilization (De Gioannis et al., 2013; Ghimire et al., 2015a; Wong
et al., 2014).

73

74 A wide range of optimal pH values have been reported for different substrates to enhance  $H_2$ 75 yields: an initial pH of 6.5 for food waste (Cappai et al., 2014), initial pH of 8.0 for food 76 waste (Kim et al., 2011), a controlled pH of 7.0 for vegetable kitchen waste (Lee et al., 2008), 77 an initial pH of 6.5 for rice straw (Chen et al., 2012), an initial pH of 6.0 for cheese whey (De 78 Gioannis et al., 2014) and an initial pH of 4.5 for sucrose and starch (Khanal et al., 2004). 79 This considerable variability in culture pH is mainly due to differences in temperature, 80 substrate type and concentration (F/M ratio), inoculum types and their pre-treatment methods. 81 82 H<sub>2</sub> yields in DF of organic waste are strongly affected by the operational temperature as it can 83 influence the rate of hydrolysis and the production of volatile fatty acids (VFAs) and thus the 84 final pH of the fermentation (De Gioannis et al., 2013; Ghimire et al., 2015a). A thermophilic 85 temperature has been reported to favor the dark fermentative  $H_2$  production (Shin et al., 2004; 86 Valdez-vazquez et al., 2005). Likewise, the physico-chemical characteristics of the 87 substrates, and most importantly the biodegradability or bioavailability (can also be defined 88 as the fraction of easily accessible carbohydrates for fermentative conversion) crucially 89 affects the H<sub>2</sub> production (Monlau et al., 2013a). Therefore, several studies have established a 90 strong correlation between H<sub>2</sub> yields and the initial carbohydrate fraction (soluble sugars in 91 some cases) present in the substrates (Alibardi and Cossu, 2015; Guo et al., 2013; Monlau et 92 al., 2012).

93

In this context, alkaline pre-treatment methods have been popularly adopted for the
saccharification of lignocellulosic biomass (plant stalks, rice and wheat straw), which could

96 enhance the production of H<sub>2</sub> in DF and CH<sub>4</sub> in DF coupled to anaerobic digestion, 97 respectively and could thus give economic credentials (Monlau et al., 2015, 2013c; Sambusiti 98 et al., 2013). Alkaline pre-treatment of lignocellulosic biomass has been reported to be 99 carried out at different concentrations of alkaline agents (2 - 12% NaOH, weight basis), 100 temperature (40 - 190 °C) and treatment period (30 minutes - 24 hours), with varying level of 101 effectiveness in terms of increase in biogas yields (H<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub>) with consequent higher net 102 energy recovery and economic return (Monlau et al., 2015, 2013b; Sambusiti et al., 2013). 103 However, alkaline agents (i.e. Na<sup>+</sup> from NaOH) might exert inhibitory effects on dark 104 fermentative microbial communities (Kim et al., 2009). Consequently, an investigation of 105 selected alkaline pre-treatment conditions for a particular substrate type becomes vital to 106 study the conditions that enhance the  $H_2$  production.

107

108 H<sub>2</sub> production from organic waste is influenced by the presence of an effective hydrolyzing, 109 H<sub>2</sub> producing microbial community, which depends on the inoculum source and inoculum 110 pre-treatment method (Abreu et al., 2009; Bellucci et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2012; Pakarinen 111 et al., 2008). Abreu et al. (2009) and Chen et al. (2012) showed that the H<sub>2</sub> yields mainly 112 depend on the inoculum sources. However, the response of fermentative microorganisms 113 towards the presence of inhibiting substances present in a substrate can influence the DF 114 process. In a recent study, Bellucci et al. (2015) reported a varying response of fermentative 115 microbial communities for H<sub>2</sub> production, when the inhibitor 5-hydroxymethylfurfural 116 (HMF) was added. This was linked to the difference in inoculum pre-treatment methods 117 applied. Likewise, the presence of polyphenolic compounds in substrates such as OMWW 118 can exhibit inhibitory effects on fermentative microbial communities and H<sub>2</sub> yields (Hamdi, 1992; Ntaikou et al., 2009). Subsequently, investigating the effect of the inoculum source on 119

H<sub>2</sub> production performance from substrates like OMWW is fundamental to reach an optimum
in H<sub>2</sub> production.

122

123 Despite some studies attempted to establish the optimal operational conditions of initial pH, 124 F/M ratio, alkaline pre-treatment of substrate and inoculum selection, dissimilarities in H<sub>2</sub> 125 production exist due to the differences between substrate types and experimental conditions. 126 Therefore, it becomes essential to investigate the optimum initial pH for food waste under 127 thermophilic DF conditions. So far, only few studies have considered the combined effects of 128 F/M ratio and initial pH on thermophilic DF of food waste (Ginkel et al., 2001; Pan et al., 129 2008). Ginkel et al., (2001) revealed a profound impact of the concentration of substrate and 130 pH on the H<sub>2</sub> yields in sucrose DF of, with an optimum pH and substrate concentration at pH 131 of 5.5 and 7.5 g COD/L, respectively. In other study, Pan et al. (2008) established a F/M ratio 132 of 6.0 as optimum for thermophilic DF of food waste, without the consideration of initial pH. 133 Similarly, past studies on pre-treatment of substrates seemed more focused on maximizing 134 the methane yields in anaerobic digestion by adopting higher concentrations of alkaline 135 agents and treatment temperature (Monlau et al., 2013a). Therefore, optimum conditions of 136 alkaline pre-treatment for dark fermentative H<sub>2</sub> production need to be investigated for 137 lignocellulosic agricultural residues such as rice straw. Finally, different inoculum sources 138 can be explored to study the effect on H<sub>2</sub> production from a typical poorly biodegradable 139 feedstock such as OMWW, which contains polyphenolic compounds (Ntaikou et al., 2009). 140 141 The present study aims to investigate the effects of i) the initial pH and combined pH and 142 F/M ratio on food waste, ii) alkaline substrate pre-treatment on dark fermentative H<sub>2</sub>

143 production from rice straw and iii) the effect of inoculum source and pre-treatment on H<sub>2</sub>

144 production from OMWW. Cumulative H<sub>2</sub> production, H<sub>2</sub> yields, H<sub>2</sub> production rates, lag

phase and accumulation of DF metabolites (mainly organic acids and ethanol) were used to
evaluate the efficiency of these various strategies to improve the H<sub>2</sub> production performance
from these complex organic wastes.

148

### 149 2 Materials and methods

# 150 **2.1 Inoculum**

151 Two types of inoculum, i.e. anaerobic digested sludge (ADS) and waste activated sludge

152 (WAS) were used in the experiments. ADS was collected from the effluent of an anaerobic

153 digestion plant of a dairy farm located in Capaccio (Salerno, Italy). The plant features include

a 100 m<sup>3</sup> CSTR operating at a hydraulic retention time of 24 days and operating within a pH

and temperature range of 7.4 - 7.5 and 52 - 56 °C, respectively. The plant is continuously fed

156 with buffalo manure, cheese whey of buffalo milk and sludge from an industrial wastewater

157 treatment plant. WAS was collected from a secondary clarifier unit at the Nola Municipal

158 Wastewater Treatment Plant located in Naples (Campania, Italy). The characteristics of the

159 ADS and WAS before pre-treatment are presented in Table 1. The inocula were stored at 4 °C

160 until used. The WAS and ADS underwent a heat shock treatment (HST) at 105 °C for 1.5 and

161 4 hours, respectively, in order to enrich spore forming *Clostridium* sp. and inhibit

162 methanogens (Ghimire et al., 2015b). WAS had a shorter time for HST than ADS because it

163 was obtained from an aerobic activated sludge process.

164

# 165 **2.2 Preparation of feedstock**

166 Three types of waste as reference models of complex waste biomass with different

167 characteristic biodegradability, were used in this study: i) food waste, representative of

168 moderately biodegradable organic waste was selected to study the effect of initial pH and

169 substrate concentration on H<sub>2</sub> yields, ii) rice straw as a representative of slowly degrading

170 lignocellulosic agricultural residues was used to study the technical feasibility of substrate 171 pre-treatment on biohydrogen production and iii) OMWW was used to study the effect of the 172 inoculum type and its adaptation to toxicants, as OMWW contains phenolic compounds and 173 long chain fatty acid that can affect microbial growth (Hamdi, 1992; Ntaikou et al., 2009). 174 Food waste was a mixed waste with a composition similar to the one reported by 175 VALORGAS (2010) for European countries as (% by weight): fruit and vegetables: 72%, 176 cooked pasta and rice: 10%, bread and bakery: 5%, dairy products (cheese): 2%, meat and 177 fish: 8% and snacks (biscuits): 3%. To prepare the food waste, food was bought fresh from 178 municipal markets in Naples (Italy), shredded with a blender (120 W Black and Decker, 179 Kitchen Blender) for 5 minutes without adding water and immediately stored at frozen 180 conditions (-20 °C) to avoid acidification. The rice straw was harvested from rice fields in 181 Pavia (Italy) in 2012 and stored inside an airtight plastic bag at room temperature. Rice straw 182 was reduced with the help of general paper scissors to a particle size of less than 2 mm 183 (sieved with sieve size of 2mm by 2mm). OMWW was collected from a pressure olive mill of Frascati area (Lazio, Italy) in autumn 2013 and was stored at < 4 <sup>o</sup>C until use. The 184 185 characteristics of the feedstocks are presented in Table 1.

186

#### 187 **2.3 Experimental set-up**

Batch tests were carried out in one-liter borosilicate glass bottles (Simax, Czech Republic) maintained in thermophilic conditions  $(55 \pm 2^{\circ}C)$  with a thermostat in a water bath. The operating reactor volume in all experiments was 600 mL. The batch reactors were sealed with airtight caps having ports for sampling soluble metabolites and gas. The tests were carried out in duplicates with 30 reactors in total. The different sets of experiments were carried out to study the effect of the different operational parameters using the three selected model substrates (Table 2).

#### 195 **2.3.1 Effect of initial pH and F/M ratios on H**<sub>2</sub> yield

196 The effect of initial pH and F/M ratio on biohydrogen production was studied with food 197 waste and pretreated heat treated ADS as seed inoculum. The effect of the initial pH (4.5, 5, 5.5, 6.0, 6.5 and 7.0) was studied at a F/M ratio 0.5 and under thermophilic conditions (55  $\pm$  2 198 199 °C). Another set of experiments was performed at F/M ratios 0.5, 1.0 and 1.5 with the two 200 initial pH values of 5.0 and 6.5. The F/M ratios and two initial pH values were selected due to 201 the fact that they are less affected by acidification at higher F/M ratios and the culture pH in 202 the tests was not buffered with external alkalinity source. In addition, pH 6.5 was previously 203 reported as optimal for food waste by Cappai et al. (2014), and thus considered for 204 investigation in this study. The F/M ratios 0.5, 1.0 and 1.5 were obtained by adding 10 g, 18 g 205 and 27 g food waste respectively, with a 190 g inoculum required to obtain the aimed F/M 206 ratio. The final volume of the mixture was made up to 600 mL by adding distilled water. The 207 initial pH was adjusted once, initially with 1 M HCl and 1 M NaOH prior to the start of the

208

tests.

209

#### 210 **2.3.2 Effect of alkaline substrate pre-treatment on H**<sub>2</sub> yield

211 Direct conversion of lignocellulosic biomass to biohydrogen is often limited due to their low 212 biodegradability (Monlau et al., 2012; Pan et al., 2010). Biological hydrolysis is one of the 213 limiting factors in DF. The evaluation of the effect of alkaline pre-treatment on H<sub>2</sub> yields was 214 performed on rice straw. This study investigated an alkaline pre-treatment with 4 % NaOH (4 215 g/100g TS) and 8 % NaOH (8 g/100g TS) at a solid liquid ratio of 1:5 (w/v). This mixture 216 was kept at 55 ( $\pm$  2) °C for 24 hours in a one-liter borosilicate glass bottle (Simax, Czech 217 Republic). The results were compared with untreated rice straw at thermophilic DF using 200 218 g of heat-treated WAS as inoculum. The concentration of rice straw was 45 gTS/L and the

219 initial pH was adjusted to 6.5 during the batch tests that gave the optimal dark fermentative

H<sub>2</sub> performance for rice straw as reported by Chen et al. (2012).

# 221 2.3.3 Effect of inoculum sources on H<sub>2</sub> yield

Heat shocked WAS and ADS was used as inoculum in a DF of OMWW carried out in batch tests and operated under thermophilic conditions ( $55 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C). The F/M ratio was fixed at approximately 1 gVS substrate/gVS inoculum in all sets of batch tests using 200 g of OMWW and a respective volume of ADS and WAS. The initial pH was adjusted to pH 6.0 in

all experiments.

227

# 228 2.4 Analytical methods

229 Hydrogen was quantified with a gas chromatograph (VARIAN STAR 3400, USA) equipped 230 with a ShinCarbon ST 80/100 column and a thermal conductivity detector. Argon was used 231 as carrier gas with a front and rear end pressure of 20 psi. The duration of analysis was 14 232 minutes. The gas volume was measured with a volumetric displacement method. The biogas 233 was passed through acidic water (1.5 % HCl) and the volume was quantified by water 234 displacement (Ghimire et al., 2015c). The volume of hydrogen was calculated from the gas 235 composition. Fermentation end products (lactic, acetic, propionic and butyric acids) were 236 quantified by High Pressure Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) (Chromatography Oven LC 25 237 Model, Dionex, USA) equipped with a Synergi 4u Hydro RP 80A (size 250×4.60mm) 238 column and an UV detector (AD25 Model, Dionex, USA). Gradient elution consisted of 20% 239 methanol, 10% acetonitrile in 5 mM H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> pumped at a rate of 0.9 mL/min by using a 240 gradient pump (GP 50 Model, Dionex, USA). The elution time was 18.5 minutes. Ethanol 241 and caproic acid were determined with an Aminex HPX-87H column (300 mm on 7,8 mm, Bio-rad), using 5 mM H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> as an eluent at a flow rate of 0.4 mL/min. pH was measured 242 with a pH meter (WTW, inolab, pH level 2). The COD of the food waste was measured as 243

reported by Noguerol-Arias et al. (2012). The total lipid content was measured by the Bligh
and Dyer chloroform/methanol total lipid extraction method (Bligh and Dyer, 1959). TS and
VS concentrations were determined by the Method 2540 (Part 2000), alkalinity by titration

247 (Method 2320, Part 2000) and TKN by macro-Kjeldahl (Method 4500-N<sub>org</sub>, Part 4000) as

- 248 described in the Standard Methods (APHA, 2005).
- 249

## 250 **2.5 Measurements and data analysis**

The biogas accumulated in the reactors was measured daily, except at the starting period of the experiments, i.e. 1-3 days, where it was measured twice a day, until the H<sub>2</sub> production completely ceased. The biogas volumes were normalized at 0 °C and 1 atm (NmL) and reported as a daily average. The average values were considered for the evaluations, while the data range based on the duplicate samples is provided and indicated by " $\pm$ ". H<sub>2</sub> yields were

calculated by dividing the final cumulative recovery of  $H_2$  by the amount of VS added at the

start of the experiment.

258

De Gioannis et al. (2013) defined a parameter " $t_{95}$ " as the time required to achieve 95% of the maximum H<sub>2</sub> yield. This parameter was used to compare the kinetics associated to the different batch tests, and to evaluate the effect of the experimental conditions.

$$t_{95} = \frac{H_o}{R.e} (1 - \ln(-\ln 0.95)) + \lambda$$
 (1)

$$H(t) = H_o \cdot \exp\left\{-\exp\left[\frac{R.e}{H_o}\right](\lambda - t) + 1\right\}$$
(2)

Equation (1) corresponds to a rearranged form of the modified Gompertz equation (2), that
has been widely used to model biohydrogen production kinetics (Gadhamshetty et al., 2010;
Wang and Wan, 2009). This empirical formula gives biohydrogen production trends and
includes five major parameters: i) cumulative biohydrogen production (or potential) (H<sub>o</sub>,

266	mL/g VS), ii) biohydrogen production rate (R, mL/h), iii) e is 2.71828, iv) lag time ( $\lambda$ , hours)
267	and v) total cultivation time (t, hours). The cumulative biohydrogen production is a non-
268	linear curve and in the present study, the parameters $H_{o},R$ and $\lambda$ were estimated using the
269	Curve Fitting Toolbox in MATLAB® (Version MATLAB R2012b, Curve Fitting Toolbox
270	3.3) with an associated 95% confidence limit. The total cumulative production, hydrogen
271	production rates and lag phase time were used as parameters to compare the characteristics of
272	the biohydrogen production systems. R software (OSX version 3.1.3) with the package
273	Rcmdr (OSX version 2.1.7) was used for the statistical analysis of data obtained from the
274	experiments. The $p$ value was set at 0.05 and the significance of the results tested with $p$
275	values: * < 0.05; ** < 0.01; *** < 0.001; while not significant results were with $p > 0.05$ .

276

#### 277 **3. Results**

278 **3.1 Effect of the initial pH and combined effect of F/M ratio and pH on H**<sub>2</sub> yields

279 The H<sub>2</sub> yields and the time required to achieve 95% of the maximum H<sub>2</sub> yield were plotted 280 against the initial pH values (Fig. 1). The H<sub>2</sub> yields showed a decreasing trend to the 281 increasing pH. Fig. 1 confirmed that H<sub>2</sub> production was favoured at the acidic pH range, i.e. 282 at initial pH 4.5 and 5.0 with H<sub>2</sub> yields of 60.6 ( $\pm$  9.0) and 50.7 ( $\pm$  1.0) N mL H<sub>2</sub>/g VS, 283 respectively. This result is in agreement with the study reported by Khanal et al. (2004). The 284 fermentative H<sub>2</sub> production patterns at the various pH values investigated are described by a 285 modified Gompertz equation, as presented in Table 3 (Modeled plot is provided in 286 Supplementary information S1). The different initial pH values in the tests were characterized 287 by the differences shown in cumulative H<sub>2</sub> production, H<sub>2</sub> production rates and lag phase 288 (Table 3). H<sub>2</sub> production rates (R, mL/h) were high at initial pH 7.0, however, higher rates 289 were not co-related with higher  $H_2$  yields (Fig. 1 and Table 3).

291 Unsurprisingly, the lag phase decreased when increasing the initial pH, which represents the 292 time required for spore forming H<sub>2</sub> producers present in heat-treated ADS to germinate or 293 adapt a sudden change of their environment (Ferchichi et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2011). Fig. 1 294 shows the time required to achieve 95% of the maximum H<sub>2</sub> yield decreased by increasing 295 the initial pH, while the rate of  $H_2$  production was higher at initial pH 7.0 (Table 3).  $H_2$ 296 production started faster at higher pH and lasted for a short time while it continued for longer 297 time during the tests at lower pH. Thus, a decreasing lag phase did not correspond to an 298 increase in H<sub>2</sub> yields. This can be explained by the methanogenic activities which started at 299 higher initial pH, that was confirmed by the presence of methane in the biogas produced 300 when H<sub>2</sub> production ceased completely. The final pH at the end of the tests was mainly lower 301 than the initial pH (Table 3), which is mainly due to the production of VFAs (Table 3). As 302 exception, the final pH in the batch tests with initial pH 4.5 was higher than the initial pH 303 (Table 3), which could be due to the higher alkalinity of the inoculum (ADS) and the lower 304 substrate concentration (F/M 0.5) used to avoid the use of chemical buffer. The final pH in all 305 the tests were lower than 5.5, except for tests with initial pH 7.0 where the final pH was 6.6. 306 This can be due to the higher alkalinity (buffering capacity) of the ADS inoculum (Table 1). 307

308 The concentrations of the main accumulated metabolites at the end of the tests are 309 summarised in Table 3. Results confirm that different fermentation pathways occurred. The 310 presence of propionate and ethanol generally does not indicate H<sub>2</sub> favorable pathways (Kim 311 et al., 2011). The concentration of ethanol was comparatively higher in the tests with initial 312 pH range 6.0 - 7.0, that could be linked to the low H<sub>2</sub> yields. In particular, the butyric to 313 acetic acid ratio (B/A, mM:mM) co-related with the H<sub>2</sub> yields (Fig. 2). This observation is 314 consistent with a study by Kim et al. (2006), which reported a higher corelation between B/A 315 ratios (1.6 - 9.3) and H<sub>2</sub> yields. However, this ratio might not always give a good indication

316 of high H<sub>2</sub> production. Guo et al. (2013) reported that the homoacetogenic activities can

317 influence the concentration of end-metabolites due to acetate production from  $H_2$  and  $CO_2$ .

318 The presence of acetate in higher concentrations between pH 5.5 - 7.0 might indicate the

319 prevailance of an homoacetogenic activity responsible of lower H<sub>2</sub> yields.

320

321 The results of the batch tests carried out at F/M ratios 0.5, 1.0 and 1.5 at two initial pH values 322 (5.0 and 6.5) are presented in Table 4. Table 4 shows the major metabolites accumulated at 323 the end of the tests. At the initial pH 5.0 and F/M ratios of 0.5, 1.0 and 1.5, H<sub>2</sub> yields were 324 50.7 (± 0.8), 60.3 (± 5.0) and 49.3 (± 12.2) mL H<sub>2</sub>/g VS, respectively. Likewise, in tests 325 carried out with an initial pH 6.5, respective H<sub>2</sub> yields of 28.2 ( $\pm$  4.2), 43.2 ( $\pm$  2.0) and 54.1 326  $(\pm 4.4)$  mL H<sub>2</sub>/g VS were obtained. An ANOVA analysis confirmed the significance of 327 difference in H<sub>2</sub> yields at pH 5.0 and 6.5 for an F/M ratio of 0.5 (p value <0.05). However, it 328 was not significant for F/M ratios 1.0 and 1.5 at both initial pH values tested. Likewise, at 329 initial pH 5.0, the differences in  $H_2$  yields were not significant for all the three tested F/M 330 ratios. Interestingly, the differences in  $H_2$  yields were significant (p value <0.05) at an initial 331 pH of 6.5 for F/M ratios 0.5 and 1.5. This implies a combined influence of the F/M ratios and 332 initial pH on dark fermentative H<sub>2</sub> production. The result also suggests that the comparable 333 H<sub>2</sub> yields can be achieved through a combination of pH and F/M ratios by maximizing the 334 utilization of substrates.

335

The different metabolites yields measured at the end of the batch tests explain the differences in  $H_2$  yields (Table 4). The presence of different metabolites suggests a typical mixed type fermentation that can occur in complex substrates like food waste. Acetate yields were higher at initial pH 6.5 compared to pH 5.0, which was also confirmed in the tests carried out earlier at different initial pH (Table 3). Similarly, higher ethanol yields were obtained at increasing

F/M ratios and initial pH. High levels of butyrate yield at pH 6.5 and F/M ratios 1.0 and 1.5

342 can be associated to higher H<sub>2</sub> yields obtained in respective tests, as the production of

343 butyrate is generally co-related to H<sub>2</sub> production (Kim et al., 2011).

344

# 345 **3.2 Effect of alkaline substrate pre-treatment on H<sub>2</sub> yields**

346 Fig. 3 shows the effects of alkaline substrate pre-treatment on biohydrogen production. The 347 results illustrate that biohydrogen production can be significantly improved with alkaline pre-348 treatment of rice straw. As expected, the alkaline pre-treatment enhanced the saccharification 349 of sugars from rice straw, which increased along with the concentration of NaOH. The COD 350 values of hydrolysate after pre-treatment with 4% and 8% NaOH were 7.3 ( $\pm$  0.8) and 8.3 ( $\pm$ 351 0.7) g/L respectively in comparison to the untreated rice straw with 3.8 ( $\pm$  0.1) g/L soluble 352 COD (determined with solid liquid ratio of 1:5). The results of end-product accumulation 353 (Table 5) show that higher H<sub>2</sub> yields corresponded to higher B/A ratios (mM:mM),

354 irrespective of the concentration of acids accumulated at the end of the tests.

355

# 356 **3.3 Effect of inoculum sources on H**<sub>2</sub> yields

357 The cumulative H<sub>2</sub> yields and accumulation of end metabolites during the application of two 358 heat treated inoculum sources on biohydrogen production from OMWW is depicted in Fig. 4 359 and Table 6, respectively. The differences observed when using two inoculum types, i.e. ADS 360 and WAS, at thermophilic temperature gave an indication of the level of inhibition of the 361 polyphenols present in the OMWW on the microorganisms (Hamdi, 1992; Paraskeva and 362 Diamadopoulos, 2006). The initial lag phase observed in Fig. 4 can give evidence for the 363 adaptation of H<sub>2</sub> producing fermentative microbial communities to phenolic compounds 364 present in OMWW. The maximum H<sub>2</sub> yield from OMWW with WAS was almost 2 fold 365 higher than with ADS. In addition, WAS sludge required less heat-shock pre-treatment time

to inhibit hydrogen consuming methanogens and showed a shorter lag phase (Fig. 4, Table 6).
This shows that heat-shocked WAS is an appropriate inoculum for DF of OMWW for higher
H<sub>2</sub> recovery.

369

The lower  $H_2$  yield obtained from OMWW in tests inoculated with ADS is further supported by the analysis of the metabolic pathways (Table 6), which showed an accumulation of lactic acid. Metabolic pathways leading to lactic acid are not favorable to  $H_2$  production (Hawkes et al., 2007), which explains the lower  $H_2$  yields observed in the batch tests inoculated with ADS. Likewise, the higher levels of acetate in the tests carried out with WAS than ADS can explain the higher  $H_2$  yields from OMWW, as acetate pathways generally yields to more  $H_2$ per mole of glucose than the butyrate pathways (Hawkes et al., 2007).

377

### 378 **4. Discussion**

# 379 **4.1 Effect of the pH and F/M ratio on H<sub>2</sub> yield**

380 This study showed that higher H<sub>2</sub> yields can be achieved from easily biodegradable organic 381 waste like food waste, when compared to other complex substrates such as rice straw (Table 382 7). This is mainly a result of the high fraction of easily degradable carbohydrates contained in 383 food waste, as already suggested by Guo et al. (2013). The combination of initial pH and 384 substrate concentration is important to avoid inhibition of H<sub>2</sub> producers through elevated 385 VFA accumulation and consequent pH depletion, and high hydrogen partial pressure (Ginkel 386 et al., 2001). This is likely the case of substrates like food waste which generally show faster 387 hydrolysis kinetics compared to lignocellulosic biomass such as rice straw (Table 7), that 388 requires higher optimal substrate concentrations or F/M ratios compared to food waste. 389

390 Table 7 compares the results of the H<sub>2</sub> yields observed in this study with literature data 391 reported under similar conditions. The highest H<sub>2</sub> yields observed at initial pH 4.5 and 5.0 392  $(60.6 \pm 9 \text{ and } 50.7 \pm 1 \text{ mL H}_2/\text{ g VS}$  food waste, respectively) in this study were in contrast 393 with Cappai et al. (2014), who obtained the highest H<sub>2</sub> yield (56.2 mL H<sub>2</sub>/ g VS food waste) 394 at pH 6.5. This difference in optimum initial pH might be due to the higher substrate concentrations used by Cappai et al. (2014) (Table 7). Furthermore, two possible 395 396 explanations can be given for the relationship between initial pH (4.5 and 5.0) and the higher 397  $H_2$  production: (i) a selection of hydrogen producers at pH range (4.5 – 5.0) and (ii) an 398 inhibition of H<sub>2</sub> consuming methanogens. In addition, the differences in metabolic products 399 accumulating at different initial pH ranges might support the growth of different microbial 400 communinities which can influence the H<sub>2</sub> production as reported in the studies from Fang 401 and Liu (2002) and Lee et al. (2008). Khanal et al. (2004) reported that a microbial shift to 402 solventogenesis did not occur at a pH range 4.5 - 6.5, which provides further evidence of the 403 importance of the initial microbial community and pH to reach higher H<sub>2</sub> yields. In addition, 404 native microbial organisms present in the food waste might also influence the DF process in 405 real conditions (waste type and storing conditions). In this study, the storage of food waste at 406 freezing conditions might have impacted native microorganisms. Nevertheless, the 407 comparison of the results between the tests operated at different initial pH remains unaffected 408 as uniform substrates were used.

409

410 At lower F/M ratios (0.5 and 1.0), an initial of pH 5.0 favored the  $H_2$  production whereas it 411 was the inverse at a F/M ratio 1.5 and initial pH 6.5. At the initial of pH 5.0 and F/M 1.5, a 412 lower  $H_2$  yield was observed, which might be due to the shock load on the microbial systems. 413 This was also confirmed in the study of Ginkel et al. (2001), who reported an inhibition of  $H_2$ 414 production at higher substrate loading rates due to shock loads. The conversion of substrates

415 to metabolic products at pH 5.0 and F/M 1.5 is lower than at F/M ratios 0.5 and 1.0, which 416 can be due to an inhibition of the substrate conversion. In addition, a low final pH  $(4.5 \pm 0.1)$ 417 at the end of the test at pH 5.0 and F/M 1.5 (Table 4) suggests that  $H_2$  production might be 418 inhibited due to a 'load shock'. This can be supported by the time required to achieve 95% of 419 the maximum  $H_2$  yield ( $t_{95} = 47$  days) (Table 4). Pan et al. (2008) reported that a F/M ratio of 420 6.0 as appropriate for thermophilic (50  $\pm$  2 °C) fermentation of food waste (Table 7). 421 However, the initial pH in their study varied from 6.2 to 6.7. Therefore, in the DF systems 422 where initial pH is not buffered, H<sub>2</sub> production is a combined function of suitable F/M ratio 423 and initial pH. Likewise, an optimal operational pH range could be maitained through 424 subsequent substrate feeding strategies which can garantee higher H<sub>2</sub> production and avoid 425 the H<sub>2</sub> consuming activities i.e. methanogens and homoacetogens.

426

## 427 **4.2 Effect of alkaline substrate pre-treatment on H<sub>2</sub> yield**

428 The alkaline pre-treatment method applied in this study aimed at improving hydrolysis and 429 solubilization of the organic matter that limit the dark fermentative substrate conversion 430 (Monlau et al., 2015, 2013b). However, the level of effectiveness of the different pre-431 treatment methods depends on the nature of the substrate (Ariunbaatar et al., 2014; Carlsson 432 et al., 2012). In the study of Monlau et al. (2013c), H<sub>2</sub> yields from sunflower stalks increased 433 from 2.3 ( $\pm$  0.9) to 4.4 ( $\pm$  2.6) mL H<sub>2</sub>/g VS, while in our study an increase from 0.3 ( $\pm$  0.1) to 434 6.6 ( $\pm$  0.1) from mL H<sub>2</sub>/g VS from rice straw as the substrate was achieved under similar 435 conditions of thermo-alkaline pre-treatment (Fig. 3 and Table 7). Meanwhile, H<sub>2</sub> yields 436 further increased to 15.7 ( $\pm$  1.0) mL H<sub>2</sub>/g VS when 8 % w/w NaOH was applied (Fig. 3). This 437 H<sub>2</sub> yield is lower than the value reported by Chen et al. (2012) with untreated rice straw, i.e. 24.8 mL/g TS at a substrate concentration of 90 g TS/L, whereas, it is 2.2 fold higher when 438 439 the substrate concentration was 30 g TS/L (i.e. 7.1 mL  $H_2/g$  TS). This disagreement might be

440 due to physico-chemical properties of the lignocellulosic substrates, such as particle sizes,

441 soluble carbohydrates content and/or substrate concentration (Monlau et al., 2013a). Chen et

442 al. (2012) reported an increasing trend of  $H_2$  yields, when the particle size of rice straw

 $443 \qquad \text{decreased from 10 mm to} < 0.297 \text{ mm. In their study, a maximum } H_2 \text{ yield was obtained with}$ 

444 a particle size of < 0.297 mm (6.4 mL H<sub>2</sub>/g TS) at a substrate concentration of 30 g TS/L.

445

446 The effects of the chemical agents applied (NaOH) and or by-products formed (furfural, 447 phenols) during the pre-treatment process and the response on the dark fermentative 448 microbial community should be taken into consideration while selecting appropriate pre-449 treatment method. Kim et al. (2009) reported a decrease in  $H_2$  yields when the Na<sup>+</sup> 450 concentration in a continuous DF reactor gradually increased from 0.27 to 21.00 g Na<sup>+</sup>/L 451 while the acclimatized fermentative community maintained their activity up to  $6.00 \text{ g Na}^+/\text{L}$ . 452 Nonetheless, in this study, the H<sub>2</sub> yields increased when 8 % w/w NaOH was applied 453 compared to 4 % w/w NaOH (Fig. 3). Moreover, under similar pre-treatment conditions, 12 454 % w/w NaOH (i.e. 5.40 g Na<sup>+</sup>/L) might either enhance the H<sub>2</sub> yields or exert effect on 455 fermentative microbial community, depending on the inocula type and adaptation to Na<sup>+</sup> 456 concentration. However, the application of pre-treatment methods should be based on the 457 substrate type (biodegradability or bioavailability of easily fermentable carbohydrates), their 458 practicability and economy viability.

459

## 460 **4.3 Effect of inocula on H<sub>2</sub> yield**

The application of two different inoculum types for the DF of OMWW showed differences in
response of ADS and WAS in terms of dark fermentative conversion to H<sub>2</sub> and other
metabolites (Fig. 4 and Table 6). Comparatively, WAS exhibited better performances in
terms of H<sub>2</sub> production as shown by the H<sub>2</sub> production yields and kinetics in Table 6. The

465 difference in H<sub>2</sub> yields might be a result of the effect of polyphenolic substances present in 466 OMWW (total phenols in Table 1) on the fermentative communities present in ADS and 467 WAS (Hamdi, 1992; Ntaikou et al., 2009). Ntaikou et al. (2009) used diluted OMWW to 468 avoid growth inhibition, whereas, Hamdi (1992) observed an inhibition mainly on 469 methanogens. Nonetheless, the difference in response of the two inocula could be also due to 470 the difference in heat shock treatment time applied during the HST. ADS required a longer 471 HST time to inhibit the activity of methanogens (Ghimire et al., 2015b) compared to WAS 472 which has an aerobic origin. Therefore, the treatment time could have impacted the microbial 473 communities that could contribute to fermentative H<sub>2</sub> production.

474

475 The use of WAS as better inoculum is supported by the studies of Chen et al. (2012) and Kim 476 et al. (2011). Chen et al. (2012) achieved higher H<sub>2</sub> yields with a sludge originated from a 477 municipal wastewater treatment plant when compared with other inoculum sources like cow 478 dung, compost and paper mill sludge. The group attributed higher H<sub>2</sub> yields to the presence of 479 a potential hydrolytic and fermentative bacterial microbial community. Kim et al. (2011) 480 hypothesized that such increase in H<sub>2</sub> yields from sewage sludge addition was due to the 481 presence of iron (Fe), calcium (Ca) and phosphorous (P) at much higher concentrations (no 482 information on speciation was given). Further research on the nutrient and trace metal 483 content in inocula and how these affect the DF rates is thus required.

484

The selection and application of various optimum operational parameters depends highly on the type of substrate, i.e. mainly its biodegradability. However, the improvement of dark fermentative H<sub>2</sub> production should bear the cost of application of different optimal operational parameters in terms of net energy and economy gain. It should be taken into consideration that DF of waste biomass is not a complete conversion of organic waste, i.e.

organic acids and alcohols accumulate in the effluent, for which a subsequent treatment needs to be provided. Valorization of these by-products can support the costs associated with the optimization of the DF process. Several studies have suggested the integration of DF with processes such as photofermentation ( $H_2$ ), bioelectrochemical systems ( $H_2$ ) and anaerobic digestion ( $CH_4$ ) for further energy recovery and production of platform molecules of economic interest, such as biopolymers (Bastidas-Oyanedel et al., 2015; ElMekawy et al., 2014; Ghimire et al., 2015c; Xia et al., 2013).

497

# 498 **5.** Conclusion

499 This study aimed to investigate the optimal operational parameters in the thermophilic DF of 500 three types of complex wastes biomass with varying biodegradability, i.e. food waste, rice 501 straw and OMWW. The DF applied to food waste was favored in the acidic pH range (4.5-502 5.0), though an appropriate substrate concentration that must be considered while selecting an 503 acidic pH range. F/M ratios of 0.5 and 1.0 at an initial pH of 5.0 gave, respectively, 1.8 and 504 1.4 folds higher H<sub>2</sub> yields than at initial pH 6.5. Likewise, F/M ratios and pH can be 505 optimized to achieve higher substrate utilization and H<sub>2</sub> yields. During the tests, higher B/A 506 ratios (mM:mM) were associated with higher H<sub>2</sub> yields, a B/A ratio equivalent to 1.5 was 507 related to the optimal H<sub>2</sub> yield. Similarly, pre-treatment of rice straw with 4% NaOH and 8% 508 NaOH at 55 °C for 24 hours increased the H<sub>2</sub> yield by 26 and 57 fold, respectively. 509 Furthermore, WAS showed adaptability to OMWW containing phenols and gave a nearly 2 510 fold higher H<sub>2</sub> yield when compared to ADS. In conclusion, the selection and application of 511 optimal operational parameters for the optimization of H<sub>2</sub> production rely mainly on the 512 substrate biodegradability. Therefore, these parameters should be optimized for each 513 particular type of substrate prior to further application in scaled-up DF systems.

514

# 515 Acknowledgements

- 516 This research was supported by the Project "Modular photo-biologic reactor for bio-
- 517 hydrogen: application to dairy waste RE-MIDA" by the Agriculture Department of the
- 518 Campania Region in the context of the Programme of Rural Development 2007-2013,
- 519 Measure 124" and the Erasmus Mundus Joint Doctorate Programme ETeCoS<sup>3</sup>
- 520 (Environmental Technologies for Contaminated Solids, Soils and Sediments) under the EU
- 521 grant agreement FPA No 2010-0009.
- 522
- 523 **Reference**
- Abreu, A.A.A., Danko, A.S.S., Costa, J.C.C., Ferreira, E.C.C., Alves, M.M.M., 2009.
  Inoculum type response to different pHs on biohydrogen production from l-arabinose, a
  component of hemicellulosic biopolymers. Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 34, 1744–1751.
  doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2008.12.020
- Alibardi, L., Cossu, R., 2015. Effects of carbohydrate, protein and lipid content of organic
  waste on hydrogen production and fermentation products. Waste Manag.
  doi:10.1016/j.wasman.2015.07.049
- American Public Health Association (APHA), 2005. Standard Methods for the Examination
   of Water and Wastewater, 21st ed. American Public Health Association Water Works
   Association, American Water Environment Federation, Washington DC.
- Ariunbaatar, J., Panico, A., Esposito, G., Pirozzi, F., Lens, P.N.L., 2014. Pretreatment
  methods to enhance anaerobic digestion of organic solid waste. Appl. Energy 123, 143–
  156. doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2014.02.035
- 537 Bastidas-Oyanedel, J.-R., Bonk, F., Thomsen, M.H., Schmidt, J.E., 2015. Dark fermentation
  538 biorefinery in the present and future (bio)chemical industry. Rev. Environ. Sci.
  539 Bio/Technology. doi:10.1007/s11157-015-9369-3
- Bellucci, M., Botticella, G., Francavilla, M., Beneduce, L., 2015. Inoculum pre-treatment
  affects the fermentative activity of hydrogen-producing communities in the presence of
  5-hydroxymethylfurfural. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 89–91. doi:10.1007/s00253-0157002-6
- 544 Bligh, E.G., Dyer, W.J., 1959. A rapid method of total lipid extraction and purification. Can.
  545 J. Biochem. Physiol. 37, 911–917.
- Cappai, G., De Gioannis, G., Friargiu, M., Massi, E., Muntoni, A., Polettini, A., Pomi, R.,
  Spiga, D., 2014. An experimental study on fermentative H2 production from food waste
  as affected by pH. Waste Manag. 34, 1510–1519. doi:10.1016/j.wasman.2014.04.014
- 549 Carlsson, M., Lagerkvist, A., Morgan-Sagastume, F., 2012. The effects of substrate pre550 treatment on anaerobic digestion systems: a review. Waste Manag. 32, 1634–50.
  551 doi:10.1016/j.wasman.2012.04.016
- 552 Chen, C.-C., Chuang, Y.-S., Lin, C.-Y., Lay, C.-H., Sen, B., 2012. Thermophilic dark

- fermentation of untreated rice straw using mixed cultures for hydrogen production. Int.
  J. Hydrogen Energy 37, 15540–15546. doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2012.01.036
- De Gioannis, G., Friargiu, M., Massi, E., Muntoni, A., Polettini, A., Pomi, R., Spiga, D.,
  2014. Biohydrogen production from dark fermentation of cheese whey: Influence of pH.
  Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 39, 20930–20941. doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2014.10.046
- De Gioannis, G., Muntoni, A, Polettini, A, Pomi, R., 2013. A review of dark fermentative
  hydrogen production from biodegradable municipal waste fractions. Waste Manag. 33,
  1345–61. doi:10.1016/j.wasman.2013.02.019
- 561 ElMekawy, A., Srikanth, S., Vanbroekhoven, K., De Wever, H., Pant, D., 2014. Bioelectro562 catalytic valorization of dark fermentation effluents by acetate oxidizing bacteria in
  563 bioelectrochemical system (BES). J. Power Sources 262, 183–191.
  564 doi:10.1016/j.jpowsour.2014.03.111
- Fang, H.H.P., Liu, H., 2002. Effect of pH on hydrogen production from glucose by a mixed
   culture. Bioresour. Technol. 82, 87–93.
- Ferchichi, M., Crabbe, E., Gil, G.-H., Hintz, W., Almadidy, A., 2005. Influence of initial pH
  on hydrogen production from cheese whey. J. Biotechnol. 120, 402–9.
  doi:10.1016/j.jbiotec.2005.05.017
- Fernandes, T. V, Bos, G.J.K., Zeeman, G., Sanders, J.P.M., van Lier, J.B., 2009. Effects of
  thermo-chemical pre-treatment on anaerobic biodegradability and hydrolysis of
  lignocellulosic biomass. Bioresour. Technol. 100, 2575–9.
  doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2008.12.012
- Gadhamshetty, V., Arudchelvam, Y., Nirmalakhandan, N., Johnson, D.C., 2010. Modeling
  dark fermentation for biohydrogen production: ADM1-based model vs. Gompertz
  model. Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 35, 479–490. doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2009.11.007
- 577 Ghimire, A., Frunzo, L., Pirozzi, F., Trably, E., Escudie, R., Lens, P.N.L., Esposito, G.,
  578 2015a. A review on dark fermentative biohydrogen production from organic biomass:
  579 Process parameters and use of by-products. Appl. Energy 144, 73–95.
  580 doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2015.01.045
- 581 Ghimire, A., Frunzo, L., Salzano, E., Panico, A., Lens, P.N.L., Pirozzi, F., 2015b. Biomass
  582 Enrichment and Scale-up Implications for Dark Fermentation Hydrogen Production with
  583 Mixed Cultures. Chem. Eng. Trans. 43, 391–396. doi:10.3303/CET1543066
- Ghimire, A., Valentino, S., Frunzo, L., Trably, E., Escudié, R., Pirozzi, F., Lens, P.N.L.,
  Esposito, G., 2015c. Biohydrogen production from food waste by coupling semicontinuous dark-photofermentation and residue post-treatment to anaerobic digestion: A
  synergy for energy recovery. Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 40(46), 16045–16055.
  doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2015.09.117
- 589 Ginkel, S. V, Sung, S., Lay, J.J., 2001. Biohydrogen production as a function of pH and
  590 substrate concentration. Environ. Sci. Technol. 35, 4726–4730.
- Guo, X.M., Trably, E., Latrille, E., Carrere, H., Steyer, J., 2013. Predictive and explicative
  models of fermentative hydrogen production from solid organic waste: Role of butyrate
  and lactate pathways. Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 39, 1–10.
  doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2013.08.079
- Guo, X.M., Trably, E., Latrille, E., Carrère, H., Steyer, J.-P., 2010. Hydrogen production
   from agricultural waste by dark fermentation: A review. Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 35,

- 597 10660–10673. doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2010.03.008
- Hallenbeck, P.C., Ghosh, D., Skonieczny, M.T., Yargeau, V., 2009. Microbiological and
  engineering aspects of biohydrogen production. Indian J. Microbiol. 49, 48–59.
  doi:10.1007/s12088-009-0010-4
- Hamdi, M., 1992. Toxicity and biodegradability of olive mill wastewaters in batch anaerobic
   digestion. Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol. 37, 155–163. doi:10.1007/BF02921667

Hawkes, F., Hussy, I., Kyazze, G., Dinsdale, R., Hawkes, D., 2007. Continuous dark
fermentative hydrogen production by mesophilic microflora: Principles and progress.
Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 32, 172–184. doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2006.08.014

- Kapdan, I.K., Kargi, F., 2006. Bio-hydrogen production from waste materials. Enzyme
   Microb. Technol. 38, 569–582. doi:10.1016/j.enzmictec.2005.09.015
- Khanal, S., Chen. WH., Li, L. and Sung, S., 2004. Biological hydrogen production: effects of
  pH and intermediate products. Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 29, 1123–1131.
  doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2003.11.002
- Kim, D.-H., Kim, S.-H., Jung, K.-W., Kim, M.-S., Shin, H.-S., 2011. Effect of initial pH
  independent of operational pH on hydrogen fermentation of food waste. Bioresour.
  Technol. 102, 8646–52. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2011.03.030
- Kim, D.-H., Kim, S.-H., Shin, H.-S., 2009. Sodium inhibition of fermentative hydrogen
   production. Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 34, 3295–3304. doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2009.02.051
- Kim, D.-H.H., Kim, S.-H.H., Kim, H.-W.W., Kim, M.-S.S., Shin, H.-S.S., 2011. Sewage
  sludge addition to food waste synergistically enhances hydrogen fermentation
  performance. Bioresour. Technol. 102, 8501–8506. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2011.04.089
- Kim, S.-H., Han, S.-K., Shin, H.-S., 2006. Effect of substrate concentration on hydrogen
  production and 16S rDNA-based analysis of the microbial community in a continuous
  fermenter. Process Biochem. 41, 199–207. doi:10.1016/j.procbio.2005.06.013
- Lee, Z.-K., Li, S.-L., Lin, J.-S., Wang, Y.-H., Kuo, P.-C., Cheng, S.-S., 2008. Effect of pH in
  fermentation of vegetable kitchen wastes on hydrogen production under a thermophilic
  condition. Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 33, 5234–5241. doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2008.05.006
- Monlau, F., Barakat, A., Trably, E., Dumas, C., Steyer, J.-P., Carrère, H., 2013a.
  Lignocellulosic Materials Into Biohydrogen and Biomethane: Impact of Structural
  Features and Pretreatment. Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol. 43, 260–322.
- Monlau, F., Kaparaju, P., Trably, E., Steyer, J.P., Carrere, H., 2015. Alkaline pretreatment to
  enhance one-stage CH4 and two-stage H2/CH4 production from sunflower stalks: Mass,
  energy and economical balances. Chem. Eng. J. 260, 377–385.
  doi:10.1016/j.cej.2014.08.108
- Monlau, F., Sambusiti, C., Barakat, A., Guo, X.M., Latrille, E., Trably, E., Steyer, J.-P.,
  Carrere, H., 2012. Predictive models of biohydrogen and biomethane production based
  on the compositional and structural features of lignocellulosic materials. Environ. Sci.
  Technol. 46, 12217–25. doi:10.1021/es303132t
- Monlau, F., Trably, E., Barakat, A., Hamelin, J., Steyer, J.-P., Carrere, H., 2013b. Two-stage
  alkaline-enzymatic pretreatments to enhance biohydrogen production from sunflower
  stalks. Environ. Sci. Technol. 47, 12591–9. doi:10.1021/es402863v
- Monlau, F., Trably, E., Barakat, A., Steyer, J., 2013c. Two-Stage Alkaline Enzymatic
   Pretreatments To Enhance Biohydrogen Production from Sun flower Stalks.

- Noguerol-Arias, J., Rodríguez-Abalde, A., Romero-Merino, E., Flotats, X., 2012.
  Determination of chemical oxygen demand in heterogeneous solid or semisolid samples
  using a novel method combining solid dilutions as a preparation step followed by
  optimized closed reflux and colorimetric measurement. Anal. Chem. 84, 5548–55.
  doi:10.1021/ac3003566
- Ntaikou, I., Antonopoulou, G., Lyberatos, G., 2010. Biohydrogen Production from Biomass
  and Wastes via Dark Fermentation: A Review. Waste and Biomass Valorization 1, 21–
  doi:10.1007/s12649-009-9001-2
- Ntaikou, I., Kourmentza, C., Koutrouli, E.C., Stamatelatou, K., Zampraka, A, Kornaros, M.,
  Lyberatos, G., 2009. Exploitation of olive oil mill wastewater for combined biohydrogen
  and biopolymers production. Bioresour. Technol. 100, 3724–30.
  doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2008.12.001
- Pakarinen, O., Lehtomäki, A., Rintala, J., 2008. Batch dark fermentative hydrogen production
  from grass silage: The effect of inoculum, pH, temperature and VS ratio. Int. J.
  Hydrogen Energy 33, 594–601. doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2007.10.008
- Pan, C., Zhang, S., Fan, Y., Hou, H., 2010. Bioconversion of corncob to hydrogen using
  anaerobic mixed microflora. Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 35, 2663–2669.
  doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2009.04.023
- Pan, J., Zhang, R., Elmashad, H., Sun, H., Ying, Y., 2008. Effect of food to microorganism
  ratio on biohydrogen production from food waste via anaerobic fermentation. Int. J.
  Hydrogen Energy 33, 6968–6975. doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2008.07.130
- Paraskeva, P., Diamadopoulos, E., 2006. Technologies for olive mill wastewater (OMW)
   treatment : a review 1485, 1475–1485. doi:10.1002/jctb
- Sambusiti, C., Monlau, F., Ficara, E., Carrère, H., Malpei, F., 2013. A comparison of
  different pre-treatments to increase methane production from two agricultural substrates.
  Appl. Energy 104, 62–70. doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2012.10.060
- Sarma, S.J., Pachapur, V., Brar, S.K., Le Bihan, Y., Buelna, G., 2015. Hydrogen biorefinery:
  Potential utilization of the liquid waste from fermentative hydrogen production. Renew.
  Sustain. Energy Rev. 50, 942–951. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2015.04.191
- Shin, H.-S., Youn, J.-H., Kim, S.-H., 2004. Hydrogen production from food waste in
  anaerobic mesophilic and thermophilic acidogenesis. Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 29, 1355–
  1363. doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2003.09.011
- Show, K.Y., Lee, D.J., Tay, J.H., Lin, C.Y., Chang, J.S., 2012. Biohydrogen production:
  Current perspectives and the way forward. Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 37, 15616–15631.
  doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2012.04.109
- 676 Urbaniec, K., Bakker, R.R., 2015. Biomass residues as raw material for dark hydrogen
  677 fermentation A review. Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 40, 3648–3658.
  678 doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2015.01.073
- Valdez-vazquez, I., Riosleal, E., Esparzagarcia, F., Cecchi, F., Poggivaraldo, H., 2005. Semicontinuous solid substrate anaerobic reactors for H2 production from organic waste:
  Mesophilic versus thermophilic regime. Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 30, 1383–1391. doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2004.09.016
- VALORGAS, 2010. Compositional analysis of food waste from study sites in geographically
   distinct regions of Europe-Valorisation of food waste to biogas. Available from:

- 685 http://www.valorgas.soton.ac.uk/Deliverables/VALORGAS\_241334\_D2-1\_
- 686 rev[1]\_130106.pdf [accessed 12.01.2013]
- Wang, J., Wan, W., 2009. Factors influencing fermentative hydrogen production: A review.
  Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 34, 799–811. doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2008.11.015
- Wang, J., Wan, W., 2009. Kinetic models for fermentative hydrogen production: A review.
  Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 34, 3313–3323. doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2009.02.031
- Wong, Y.M., Wu, T.Y., Juan, J.C., 2014. A review of sustainable hydrogen production using
  seed sludge via dark fermentation. Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 34, 471–482.
  doi:10.1016/j.rser.2014.03.008
- Kia, A., Cheng, J., Ding, L., Lin, R., Huang, R., Zhou, J., Cen, K., 2013. Improvement of the
  energy conversion efficiency of Chlorella pyrenoidosa biomass by a three-stage process
  comprising dark fermentation, photofermentation, and methanogenesis. Bioresour.
  Technol. 146, 436–43. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2013.07.077
- 698
- 699

# 700 Figure Captions

- Fig. 1 Effect of initial pH on H<sub>2</sub> yield and time required for H<sub>2</sub> production to achieve 95% of
- the maximum yield during the DF of food waste at F/M ratio 0.5 and thermophilic
- 703 temperature (55±1 °C) using ADS
- Fig. 2 H<sub>2</sub> yields and B/A ratio as a function of pH in the thermophilic DF of food waste at
- 705 F/M ratio 0.5
- Fig. 3 Effect of alkaline pre-treatment of rice straw on H<sub>2</sub> yields
- Fig. 4 Effect of inoculum source on cumulative H<sub>2</sub> production from the DF of OMWW using
- 708 ADS (anaerobic digested sludge) and WAS (waste activated sludge) as inoculum

710 Fig. 1











728 Fig. 4



Characteristics	Food waste	OMWW	<b>Rice Straw</b>	ADS	WAS
рН	$4.4\pm0.1$	$4.6\pm0.1$	NA	$8.3 \pm 0.1$	$7.0\pm0.1$
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	$\begin{array}{l} 347.6 \pm 47.0 \\ g/kg_{food \ waste} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 141.5\pm13.0\\ g/L_{OMWW} \end{array}$	NA	NA	NA
Total solids	$21.0\pm0.1~\%$	$4.7\pm0.1~\%$	$92.3\pm0.2~\%$	$2.33\pm0.4~\%$	$2.9\pm0.2\%$
Volatile solids	$20.2\pm0.1~\%$	$3.1\pm0.3~\%$	$80.9\pm0.6~\%$	$1.93\pm0.1~\%$	$1.8\pm0.1\%$
Carbohydrate content	$\begin{array}{l} 105.8 \pm 0.7 \\ g/kg_{food \ waste} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 12.9 \pm 0.2 \\ g/L_{OMWW} \end{array}$	NA	NA	NA
Lipids	$\begin{array}{l} 17.5 \pm 1.0 \\ g/kg_{food\ waste} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 45.3 \pm 4.0 \\ g/L_{OMWW} \end{array}$	NA	NA	NA
TKN	$\begin{array}{c} 6.4 \pm 0.2 \\ g/kg_{food \; waste} \end{array}$	$0.5 \text{ g/L}_{OMWW}$	NA	NA	NA
NH <sub>4</sub> -N	NA	NA	NA	$\begin{array}{c} 283.5\pm11.0 \text{ mg}\\ \text{NH}_{4}\text{-}\text{N/L} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 203.1\pm3.0 \text{ mg}\\ NH_4\text{-}N/L \end{array}$
Alkalinity	NA	NA	NA	$\begin{array}{c} 1437.2\pm14 \text{ mg}\\ \text{CaCo}_3/\text{L} \end{array}$	2605.7 ± 70.0 mg CaCo <sub>3</sub> /L
Total phenols	NA	$\begin{array}{c} 1.16 \pm 0.03 \\ \text{g/L}_{OMWW} \end{array}$	NA	NA	NA

#### Table 1. Characteristics of the substrates and inocula used in this study 732

NA-Not Analyzed

# **Table 2.** Experimental conditions applied in the DF batch tests of the tested substrates

Investigation	Substrate	Inoculum	Initial pH	F/M
Effect of initial pH	Food waste	ADS	4.5, 5.0, 5.5, 6.0, 6.5 and 7.0	0.5
Combined effect of food waste and initial pH	Food waste	ADS	5.0 and 6.5	0.5, 1.0 and 1.5
Effect of pre-treatment of substrate	Rice straw	WAS	6.5	7.0
Effect of inoculum source and pre-treatment	OMWW	WAS and ADS	6.0	1.0

Initial pH	Parameters d	erived from	modified Gom	pertz model		Characteristics of digestate at the end of DF				
	H <sub>o</sub> (mL/gVS)	L (h)	R (mL/h)	$R^2$	Average final pH	H <sub>2</sub> (mM/kg VS)	Acetate (mM/kg VS)	Propionate (mM/kg VS)	Butyrate (mM/kg VS)	Ethanol (mM/kg VS)
4.5	57.3	113.6	0.7	0.993	$4.7\pm0.1$	1341.2 ± 201.3	$1854.6 \pm 114.0$	964.5 ± 99.1	2728.7 ± 359.6	$263.7\pm16.1$
5.0	50.9	68.1	1.0	0.999	$4.9\pm0.1$	1121.3 ± 17.2	$1611.8\pm412$	1686.7 ± 253.3	3018.7 ± 109.7	$753.4\pm290.6$
5.5	20.3	41.2	0.4	0.995	$5.2\pm0.6$	$\begin{array}{c} 448.4 \pm \\ 148.2 \end{array}$	2830.2 ± 381.0	1358.1 ± 392.1	1973.7 ± 374.9	623.7 ± 53.8
6.0	15.4	2.0	0.7	0.997	$5.3\pm0.1$	$308.0\pm26.8$	$3558.9 \pm 368.7$	$959.7\pm6.4$	$1992.0 \pm 238.1$	$2340.9 \pm 263.7$
6.5	11.2	3.3	0.8	0.995	$5.5\pm0.1$	$247.7\pm45.3$	$3900.2 \pm 838.5$	$260.0 \pm 34.8$	$2185.5\pm580.1$	$3056.7 \pm 32.3$
7.0	14.6	25.3	6.7	1.000	$6.6\pm0.1$	$322.6\pm80.7$	$5922.4\pm43.9$	$877.2\pm41.4$	$3255.6\pm308.1$	$1673.6\pm48.4$

#### 747 Table 3. Effects of initial pH on H<sub>2</sub> production performance and characteristics of accumulated end products in DF of food waste at F/M 0.5

R<sup>2</sup> represents the regression coefficient

		Paramete: model	rs derived	from modif	ied Gom	pertz			Cha	racteristics of	digestate at the	end of DF		
рН	F/M	H <sub>o</sub> (mL/g VS)	L (h)	R (mL/h)	t95 (day)	$\mathbb{R}^2$	Average final pH	H <sub>2</sub> (mM/kg VS)	Lactate (mM/kg VS)	Acetate (mM/kg VS)	Propionate (mM/kg VS)	Butyrate (mM/kg VS)	Ethanol (mM/kg VS)	Caproate (mM/kg VS)
	0.5	50.9	68.1	1.0	7.0	0.949	$4.9\pm0.1$	2264.9 ± 34.8	$17.5\pm8.1$	1610.7 ± 411.8	1687.0± 253.3	3018.7 ± 109.7	753.4 ± 290.6	$0.0 \pm 0.0$
5.0	1.0	58.5	81.9	1.4	9.7	0.997	$4.7\pm0.1$	2690.9 ± 206.5	$18.1\pm2.2$	1264.0 ± 27.1	3135.4 ± 245.7	2959.9 ± 35.2	1876.5 ± 5.9	$0.0\pm0.0$
	1.5	54.2	87.9	0.3	46.5	0.991	$4.5\pm0.1$	2202.1 ± 545.2	98 ± 10.3	420.3 ± 119.7	842.8 ± 59.2	2638.1 ± 202.9	1402.9 ± 325.6	$0.0 \pm 0.0$
	0.5	11.2	3.4	0.8	1.2	0.995	$5.5\pm0.1$	1259.7 ± 188.4	$0.0 \pm 0.0$	6043.0± 357.2	830.3 ± 38.9	2344.0 ± 73.3	3056.7 ± 32.3	$0.0 \pm 0.0$
6.5	1.0	42.6	17.0	1.6	4.6	0.938	$5.7\pm0.1$	1928.7 ± 89.3	126.3 ± 124.2	$1700.0 \pm 305.8$	775.8 ± 91.1	2062.9 ± 169.1	3602.1 ± 20.7	70.3 ± 9.4
	1.5	56.9	2.3	1.8	7.0	0.944	$5.3 \pm 0.1$	2413.4 ± 197.0	$0.0 \pm 0.0$	2364.5 ± 216.1	655.5 ± 166.3	2410.5 ± 47.5	2206.0 ± 63.1	263.3 ± 23.1

Table 4. Effects of initial pH and F/M ratio on H<sub>2</sub> production performance and characteristics of accumulated end products in DF of food waste

Pre-	Parameter model	s derived fr	om modifie	ed Gompert	Z		Characterist	ics of digestate a	t the end of DF		
treatment method	H <sub>o</sub> (mL/g VS)	L (h)	R (mL/h)	$R^2$	Average final pH	H <sub>2</sub> (mM/kg VS)	Acetate (mM/kg VS)	Propionate (mM/kg VS)	Butyrate (mM/kg VS)	Ethanol (mM/kg VS)	B/A (mM:m M)
Without treatment	0.3	37.3	0.1	0.958	$4.7\pm0.1$	$12.8\pm4.1$	$462.6\pm42.7$	50.8 ±15.8	46.4 ±13.7	$41.0\pm7.2$	0.10
4% NaOH	6.7	23.9	2.9	0.999	$4.9\pm00$	$296.3 \pm 19.2$	$775.0\pm13.5$	$189.4 \pm 18.5$	$227.7\pm38.5$	$129.4\pm44.8$	0.29
8% NaOH	15.4	11.3	3.6	0.965	$5.2\pm0.6$	$699.4\pm62.8$	$468.6\pm84.4$	$55.6 \pm 15.4$	$614.1 \pm 105.8$	$148.9 \pm 11.8$	1.31

Table 5. Effect of substrate pre-treatment on H<sub>2</sub> production performance measured by the modified Gompertz model and characteristics of accumulated end products in DF
 of rice straw

Incoulum	Parameters Gompertz n	derived fr nodel	om modifi	ed			Chara	acteristics of	digestate at the	end of DF		
type	H <sub>o</sub> (mL/g VS)	L (h)	R (mL/h)	$R^2$	Average final pH	H <sub>2</sub> (mM/kg VS)	Lactate (mM/kg VS)	Acetate (mM/kg VS)	Propionate (mM/kg VS)	Butyrate (mM/kg VS)	Ethanol (mM/kg VS)	B/A (mM:mM)
ADS	106.1	101.0	1.0	0.996	$5.6\pm0.1$	751.2 ± 15.2	1651.8 ± 573.4	1752.2 ± 510.9	269.5 ± 183.3	4293.5 ± 93.1	3423.2 ± 1104.2	1.95
WAS	204.1	34.4	2.2	0.984	$5.5\pm0.2$	1479.7 ± 46.3	$0.0\pm0.0$	$6823.0 \pm 904.1$	$282.0\pm217.1$	5062.5 ± 131.0	$\begin{array}{c} 3022.6 \pm \\ 0.8 \end{array}$	0.44

Table 6. Effects of inoculum source on H<sub>2</sub> production performance measured by the modified Gompertz model and characteristics of accumulated end products in DF of
 OMWW

Substrates	Optimization parameters	Optimal conditions	Substrate concentrati on (g VS/L)	Culture system	H <sub>2</sub> Yield (NmL/g VS <sub>added</sub> )	Reference
Food waste	Initial pH (4.5-8.5)	рН 6.5	$53.1\pm0.9$	Activated sludge, 39 °C, batch	56.2	(Cappai et al., 2014)
Food waste	Initial pH (4.5-7)	pH 4.5 – 5.0	3.4	Anaerobic sludge, 55 ± 2 °C, batch	61.0 ± 9.0 at pH 4.5 51.0 ± 1.0 at pH 5.0	This study
Food waste	F/M ratio (1-10)	F/M ratio of 6.0	18.5	Anaerobic sludge, thermophilic (50 °C), batch	39.0	(Pan et al., 2008)
Food waste	F/M ratio (0.5, 1, 1.5) at pH 5 & 6.5	F/M ratio of 1 at pH 5.0	6.1	Anaerobic sludge, 55 ± 2 °C, batch	$60.3\pm5.0$	This study
Sun flower stalks	Substrate pre-treatment (thermo-alkaline)	4% NaOH at 55 °C, 24 hour	5.0	Anaerobic sludge, 35 °C, pH 5.5	$4.4\pm2.6$	(Monlau et al., 2013b)
Rice straw	Thermal alkaline pre- treatment	8% NaOH at 55 °C, 24 hour	43.0	Activated sludge, thermophilic (55 °C), initial pH 6.0, batch	15.7 ± 1.0	This study
Rice straw	Inoculum source (MWWS <sup>b</sup> , PMS <sup>c</sup> & CDC <sup>d</sup> )	MWWS	30.0 g TS/L	55 <sup>0</sup> C, initial pH 6.5, batch	7.1 <sup>e</sup>	(Chen et al., 2012)
OMWW	Inoculum source (activated sludge & anaerobic digestate)	Activated sludge	10.5	55 °C, initial pH 6.0, batch	33.1 ± 1.0	This study

763 **Table 7.** Summary of various strategies to improve the H<sub>2</sub> yields from substrates with different biodegradability

764 <sup>a</sup>N L  $H_2/kg$  total organic carbon

765 <sup>b</sup>MWWS: Municipal wastewater plant sludge

766 °PMS: Paper Mill Sludge

767 <sup>d</sup>CDS: Cow Dung Compost

 $^{e}mL H_{2}/g TS$ 

769	Supplementary Information on
770	Effects of operational parameters on dark fermentative hydrogen
771	production from biodegradable complex waste biomass
772	
773	Anish Ghimire <sup>a,b,*</sup> , Fabio Sposito <sup>b</sup> , Luigi Frunzo <sup>c</sup> , Eric Trably <sup>d</sup> , Renaud Escudié <sup>d</sup> , Francesco
774	Pirozzi <sup>b</sup> , Piet N.L. Lens <sup>e</sup> , Giovanni Esposito <sup>a</sup>
775	
776	<sup>a</sup> Department of Civil and Mechanical Engineering, University of Cassino and Southern
777	Lazio, via Di Biasio 43, 03043 Cassino (FR), Italy
778	<sup>b</sup> Department of Civil, Architectural and Environmental Engineering, University of Naples
779	Federico II, via Claudio 21, 80125 Naples, Italy
780	<sup>c</sup> Department of Mathematics and Applications Renato Caccioppoli, University of Naples
781	Federico II, via Cintia, Monte S. Angelo, I-80126 Naples, Italy
782	<sup>d</sup> INRA, UR0050, Laboratoire de Biotechnologie de l'Environnement, Avenue des Etangs, F-
783	11100 Narbonne, France
784	<sup>e</sup> UNESCO-IHE Institute for Water Education, Westvest 7, 2611 AX Delft, The Netherlands
785	
786 787 788	* Corresponding author. Address: Department of Civil and Mechanical Engineering, University of Cassino and Southern Lazio, via Di Biasio 43, 03043 Cassino (FR), Italy. Tel. +39 0776 2994339 Fax +39 0776-2993939
789	E-mail address: a.ghimire@unicas.it, anishghimire@gmail.com (Anish Ghimire)
790	
791	
792	



793

Fig. S1. Cumulative H<sub>2</sub> production at different initial pH values using food waste at a F/M

ratio 0.5 and ADS as inoculum (dotted lines represents the results from a modified Gompertzmodel)