

# Enhancing thermophilic dark fermentative hydrogen production at high glucose concentrations via bioaugmentation with Thermotoga neapolitana

Onyinye Okonkwo, Stefano Papirio, Eric Trably, Renaud Escudié, Aino-Maija Lakaniemi, Giovanni Esposito

# ▶ To cite this version:

Onyinye Okonkwo, Stefano Papirio, Eric Trably, Renaud Escudié, Aino-Maija Lakaniemi, et al.. Enhancing thermophilic dark fermentative hydrogen production at high glucose concentrations via bioaugmentation with Thermotoga neapolitana. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 2020, 45 (35), pp.17241-17249. 10.1016/j.ijhydene.2020.04.231. hal-02635486

# HAL Id: hal-02635486 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02635486

Submitted on 2 Aug 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

- 1 Enhancing thermophilic dark fermentative hydrogen production at
- 2 high glucose concentrations via bioaugmentation with *Thermotoga*

# 3 neapolitana

Onyinye Okonkwo<sup>a</sup>, Stefano Papirio<sup>b</sup>, Eric Trably<sup>c</sup>, Renaud Escudie<sup>c</sup>, Aino-Maija
Lakaniemi<sup>a</sup>, Giovanni Esposito<sup>b</sup>

- <sup>a</sup>Tampere University, Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, P.O. Box 541, FI 33104 Tampere University, Finland.
- 9
   10 <sup>b</sup>Department of Civil, Architectural and Environmental Engineering, University of
   11 Napoli Federico II, Via Claudio, 21, 80125 Napoli, Italy.
- 12
- <sup>c</sup>INRAE, Univ Montpellier, LBE, Narbonne, France.
- \*Corresponding author: Onyinye Okonkwo, Tampere University, Faculty of
   Engineering and Natural Sciences, Tampere, Finland, onyinye.okonkwo@tuni.fi
- 17

# 18 ABSTRACT

19 The aim of the present study was to investigate the effect of gradually increasing 20 glucose concentrations (from 5.6 to 111 mmol L<sup>-1</sup>) on the fermentative H<sub>2</sub> production 21 with and without bioaugmentation. A stirred tank reactor was operated at 70 °C and 22 inoculated with a hyperthermophilic mixed culture or a hyperthermophilic mixed culture 23 bioaugmented with *Thermotoga neapolitana*. With both the unaugmented (control) 24 and augmented cultures, the H<sub>2</sub> production rate was improved when the initial glucose 25 concentration was increased. In contrast, the highest  $H_2$  yield (1.68 mol  $H_2$  mol<sup>-1</sup> 26 glucose consumed) was obtained with the augmented culture at the lowest glucose 27 concentration of 5.6 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> and was 37.5% higher than that obtained with the 28 unaugmented culture at the same feed glucose concentration. Overall, H<sub>2</sub> production 29 rates and yields were higher in the bioaugmented cultures than in the unaugmented 30 cultures whatever the glucose concentration. Quantitative polymerase chain reaction 31 targeting *T. neapolitana hydA* gene and MiSeq sequencing proved that *Thermotoga*  was not only present in the augmented cultures but also the most abundant at thehighest glucose concentrations.

34

Keywords: Biohydrogen, Dark fermentation, Metabolic pathways, Microbial dynamics.

#### 37 INTRODUCTION

38 Recent developments in dark fermentation by anaerobic bacteria have consistently 39 shown this process to be promising for the transformation of carbohydrate-rich 40 substrates to hydrogen. The theoretical stoichiometric yield for fermentative hydrogen 41 production is 4 mol H<sub>2</sub> mol<sup>-1</sup> glucose consumed. However, this is exclusively possible 42 when acetate is the sole volatile fermentative product [1,2]. Invariably, the hydrogen 43 vield is lowered by the production of more reduced molecules. Fermentative hydrogen 44 (H<sub>2</sub>) production is possible with both pure and mixed microbial cultures originated from 45 natural or engineered environments [3–5]. Typically, the use of mixed cultures is the 46 only option for H<sub>2</sub> generation from non-sterile organic waste and biomass residues 47 unless selective conditions, such as extremely high temperatures, are used during the bioprocess [6–11]. In addition to the microbial culture, the H<sub>2</sub> production performance 48 49 depends on the operating conditions such as temperature, pH, substrate type and 50 concentration, as well as hydraulic retention time [3,12–15].

51 Substrate concentration can have a significant effect on the rate, yield and stability 52 of H<sub>2</sub> production. Increasing substrate concentrations have been shown to result in 53 higher production rates but lower H<sub>2</sub> yields with, for example, a mesophilic or 54 thermophilic H<sub>2</sub>-producing mixed cultures [16,17]. Increasing the substrate 55 concentration and, thus, the organic load of the system allows to save the energy 56 required for heating the H<sub>2</sub>-producing bioreactors, as high substrate concentrations 57 lead to increased microbial activity and heat generation by microbial metabolism [18].
58 However, the use of extremely high substrate concentrations can cause substrate
59 and/or product inhibition and result in sub-optimal pH for the H<sub>2</sub>-producers due to
60 volatile fatty acid accumulation [19,20]. In addition, the low H<sub>2</sub> yields observed at
61 increasing organic loads can be due to a shift in metabolic flux towards
62 solventogenesis (e.g. formation of butanol, acetone and ethanol) and other reduced
63 end-products, the generation of which is not accompanied by H<sub>2</sub> production [21].

64 Bioaugmentation has been proposed in several studies as a potential strategy for 65 enhancing dark fermentation under stress conditions [16,22,23]. Bioaugmentation can 66 be defined as the addition of pre-grown highly specialized microorganisms or 67 populations of several microorganisms to improve the capacity of a treatment or 68 production system [24–26]. Bioaugmentation is an emerging strategy for industrial 69 wastewater treatment [27] and has been used to shorten the lag phase and improve 70 the chemical oxygen demand (COD) removal during dark fermentation of the organic 71 fraction of municipal solid waste [28]. It has also been used to enhance thermophilic 72 H<sub>2</sub> production from corn stover hydrolysate [29] and beverage wastewater [30]. 73 Okonkwo et al. [29] applied bioaugmentation with a synthetic co-culture to enhance 74 the H<sub>2</sub> production during or after temporal temperature fluctuation. Given the success 75 of bioaugmentation strategy in several previous studies, bioaugmentation might be a 76 useful tool for enhancing H<sub>2</sub> production also at high substrate concentrations [31]. 77 However, one of the most difficult issues in bioaugmentation is to ensure the survival 78 of the microorganisms introduced in the established mixed culture as the number of 79 exogenous microorganisms has been reported to shortly decrease after inoculation 80 either as a result of abiotic or biotic influence [32]. Some studies used strategies such 81 as repeated bioaugmentation to promote the persistence of the added bacterium in

the system [33,34]. This strategy might be effective for a transient system recovery but might not ensure long-term process enhancement, if the added bacterium or bacteria are not able to compete with the existing microbial consortium. Furthermore, sudden process disturbances such as increased operation temperature can lead to reduced microbial diversity in the mixed culture and lead to a lower process efficiency, requiring bioaugmentation with bacteria that can stably coexist with the existing microbial consortium.

89 The aim of this study was to study the dynamics of *Thermotoga neapolitana* in a 90 mixed microbial consortium after a period of pre-adaptation as a strategy to make T. 91 neapolitana a stable member of the native microbial community. This study further 92 examined the effects of different feed glucose concentrations on H<sub>2</sub> production in a 93 thermophilic mixed culture with and without T. neapolitana, which is a 94 hyperthermophilic bacterium capable of utilizing a wide range of organic substrates as 95 carbon source and able to produce high hydrogen yields [35,36]. Previous reports 96 showed that Thermotoga neapolitana is capable of producing up to 3.8 mol H<sub>2</sub> mol<sup>-1</sup> 97 glucose, which is close to the theoretical limit of 4 mol H<sub>2</sub> mol<sup>-1</sup> glucose, and producing 98 acetate, lactate and CO<sub>2</sub> as other major metabolic end products [35,37]. This makes 99 T. neapolitana ideal for bioaugmentation purposes. To the best of our knowledge, this 100 is the first study to use pre-adaptation as a strategy for allowing *T. neapolitana* to be 101 a stable member of a native H<sub>2</sub>-producing microbial community and for enhancing H<sub>2</sub> 102 production.

## 103 MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 104 Experimental Design

The medium used for the cultivation consisted of the following components (g L<sup>-1</sup>):
NH<sub>4</sub>Cl, 1.0; K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 0.3; KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 0.3; MgCl<sub>2</sub> x 6 H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.2; CaCl<sub>2</sub> x 2H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.1; NaCl,

5.0; KCl, 0.1; cysteine-HCl, 1.0; yeast extract, 2.0; 10.0 ml L<sup>-1</sup> of vitamin and trace 107 108 element solution (DSMZ 141, Germany). Nitrogen gas was used to sparge the 109 fermentation medium and create an anaerobic environment. Dark fermentation 110 experiments were carried out in batch mode in a double jacketed glass stirred tank 111 reactor (STR) with a working volume of 2 L (Figure 1). The reactor temperature was 112 kept constant at 70 °C using a heated water bath. The reactor was equipped with a 113 pH electrode and temperature probe connected to a programmable controller (Bluelab 114 pH Controller, New Zealand) to maintain the pH of the cultures at 6.5 by automatic 115 dosing of potassium hydroxide (2 molar). The fermentation broth inside the reactor 116 was mixed by a magnetic stirrer (Argolab, Italy) at 150 rpm.





Figure 1. Experimental design to study the effects of bioaugmentation at various feed glucose concentrations during dark fermentation with a thermophilic mixed culture without augmentation (a) and augmented with *Thermotoga neapolitana* (b).

121

122 The seed source used in this study was a mixed culture obtained from a laboratory 123 scale continuously stirred tank bioreactor producing H<sub>2</sub> from glucose and xylose at 55 124 °C [22]. Instead, T. neapolitana was purchased from DSMZ, Braunschweig, Germany. 125 The cultivation of the mixed culture was initiated at 70 °C in 250 mL batch bottles with a working volume of 200 mL at an initial pH of 6.5 with 27.8 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> glucose as 126 127 substrate. Twenty milliliters of the inoculum (10% v/v) was transferred to 180 mL of 128 the culture medium (mg L<sup>-1</sup>). The cultivation was carried out in batch for three transfers 129 prior to the start of the experiment to acclimatize the culture to the higher incubation 130 temperature (Figure 1a).

131 To determine the influence of bioaugmentation at increasing substrate 132 concentrations, T. neapolitana DSM 4359 (DSMZ, Germany) was added to the mixed 133 culture in a 1:1 ratio (based on optical density measurements, OD<sub>600</sub>). The 134 bioaugmented culture was then cultivated with glucose in batch mode in 250 mL 135 anaerobic serum bottles with a working volume of 200 mL for three successive 136 transfers at 70 °C (Figure 1b) to adapt *T. neapolitana* to growing alongside the native 137 microbial community. For each successive transfer, 20 mL of the inoculum (10% v/v) 138 was transferred to 180 mL of the culture medium (mg L<sup>-1</sup>) to a final volume of 200 mL. 139 H<sub>2</sub> production with the unaugmented and the bioaugmented mixed culture was 140 separately investigated in batch mode in the STR described in section 2.1 and each 141 experiment lasted for a period of 48 h. The initial glucose concentration was stepwise 142 increased from 5.6 to 27.8, 55.5 and 111.0 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> in order to determine the impact 143 of increasing substrate concentration on H<sub>2</sub> production, biomass concentration and 144 metabolic patterns.

#### 145 Analytical methods and calculation procedures

The gas produced in the STR was quantified using a water displacement method with 500 mL glass containers. The H<sub>2</sub> containing gas produced was sampled from the gas sampling port using a gas-tight syringe (Hamilton, USA) and the H<sub>2</sub> concentration of the biogas was measured using a 3400 gas chromatograph (GC) (Varian, USA) equipped with a thermal conductivity detector (TCD) and a Restek packed column using argon as the carrier gas. The total volume of the produced H<sub>2</sub> at each time point was calculated using Equation 1 [38]:

153 
$$V_{H_2,t} = V_{H_2,t-1} + C_{H_2,t}(V_{G,t} - V_{G,t-1}) + V_H(C_{H_2,t} - C_{H_2,t-1})$$
 (1)

where  $V_{H_2,t}$  is the cumulative H<sub>2</sub> produced at time t,  $V_{H_2,t-1}$  is the cumulative H<sub>2</sub> produced at time t-1,  $V_{G,t}$  is the total gas volume at time t,  $V_{G,t-1}$  is the total gas volume at time t-1,  $C_{H_2,t}$  is the H<sub>2</sub> fraction in the headspace at time t,  $C_{H_2,t-1}$  is the H<sub>2</sub> fraction in the headspace at time t-1 and  $V_H$  is the total headspace volume in the bioreactor.

H<sub>2</sub> production was converted into moles on the basis that one mole of an ideal gas occupies a volume of 22.4 L at standard temperature and pressure according to the ideal gas law. Therefore, the volume of H<sub>2</sub> gas produced was divided by 22.4 L in order to obtain H<sub>2</sub> produced in moles. The H<sub>2</sub> yield and productivity were calculated using Equations 2 and 3, respectively.

163 
$$H_2 \text{ yield} = \frac{\text{mol } H_2}{\text{mol glucose consumed}}$$
 (2)

164 
$$H_2 \ productivity = \frac{mmol \ H_2}{reaction \ volume \times fermentation \ time \ (hour)}$$
 (3)

165

#### 166 Microbial analyses

Genomic DNA was extracted using the PowerSoil<sup>™</sup> DNA Isolation Kit (MoBio
Laboratories, Inc., Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

169 Primers 515\_532U and 909\_928U [39] including their respective linkers were used to 170 amplify the V4\_V5 region of the 16S rRNA gene. The resulting products were purified 171 and loaded onto Illumina MiSeq cartridge for sequencing. Sequencing and library 172 preparation were performed at the Genotoul Lifescience Network Genome and 173 Transcriptome Core Facility in Toulouse, France (get.genotoul.fr). The sequence 174 analysis was done as described by [40]. Real-time quantitative polymerase chain 175 reaction (qPCR) monitoring of *T. neapolitana* was carried out using *HydA* primers 176 following the method described by [41]. The 16S rRNA sequences used to support the 177 findings of this study have been deposited in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive under 178 project file SUB6057042: MN203737 - MN203763.

# 179 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 180 H<sub>2</sub> production rates and yields at increasing glucose concentrations

181 In the unaugmented cultures, the highest H<sub>2</sub> yield was 1.42 mol H<sub>2</sub> mol<sup>-1</sup> of glucose 182 consumed at an initial concentration of 27.8 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> of glucose. The H<sub>2</sub> yield dropped to 1.17 mol H<sub>2</sub> mol<sup>-1</sup> of glucose consumed at 111 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> of feed glucose 183 184 concentration (Figure 2a). The H<sub>2</sub> yield obtained in the augmented cultures was higher 185 than that obtained in the unaugmented cultures. Nonetheless, similar to the 186 unaugmented cultures, the H<sub>2</sub> yield decreased by increasing the substrate 187 concentration. With bioaugmentation, H<sub>2</sub> yield increased by 37, 16 and 12% at 5.6, 188 55.5 and 111 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> of feed glucose, respectively, compared to the unaugmented 189 cultures. The highest H<sub>2</sub> yield (1.68 mol H<sub>2</sub> per mol of consumed glucose) was 190 obtained at the feed glucose concentration of 5.6 mmol L<sup>-1</sup>. Qiu et al. [42] studied the 191 effect of xylose concentrations (ranging from 16.7 to 100.0 mmol L<sup>-1</sup>) on dark 192 fermentative H<sub>2</sub> production by an extreme thermophilic culture, and reported that the 193 fermentation reached the highest H<sub>2</sub> yield of 1.29 mol H<sub>2</sub> mol<sup>-1</sup> xylose consumed at initial pH 7.0 and 50.0 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> of feed xylose. However, based on other literature
reports, it seems that the optimal initial substrate concentration depends on the
inoculum, substrate type, reactor configuration, temperature and pH range [43,44].

197 In this study, the H<sub>2</sub> production rate increased with increased feed glucose 198 concentration and reached the highest value of 0.92 mmol-L<sup>-1</sup>h<sup>-1</sup> at 111 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> of 199 feed glucose in the unaugmented culture. In the augmented culture, the H<sub>2</sub> production 200 rate increased from 0.41 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> at feed glucose concentration of 5.6 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> up to 1.44 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> at 55.5 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> and then decreased to 1.13 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> at 111 201 202 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> of feed glucose (Figure 2b). Higher H<sub>2</sub> production rates than observed in this 203 study have been observed with mixed cultures under different operating conditions 204 [45,46]. The obtained H<sub>2</sub> production rate and yield was generally higher in the culture 205 augmented with *T. neapolitana* than in the unaugmented culture at the various glucose 206 concentrations studied. This indicates that T. neapolitana was able to survive 207 alongside the native microbial communities.

208

209

210



211

Figure 2. H<sub>2</sub> yield and production rate obtained with the unaugmented (a) and augmented (b) cultures at different initial glucose concentrations.

214

# 215 Effect of glucose concentration on the composition of soluble metabolites

216 The main soluble microbial products associated with glucose degradation were 217 ethanol, acetate and lactate (Figure 3). In the unaugmented cultures, ethanol was the 218 main soluble metabolite produced and corresponded to 67-77% of the total soluble 219 metabolites produced as COD equivalents. The share of acetate decreased with 220 increasing glucose concentration (from 18 to 12%), while that of lactate increased from 221 4.5 to 18% (Figure 3a). In the augmented cultures, the share of ethanol decreased 222 with increasing glucose concentration (from 58% at 5.6 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> glucose to 27% at 223 111 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> glucose). Thus, the share of ethanol was lower in the augmented culture 224 compared to the unaugmented culture at all studied glucose concentrations. The 225 percentage of acetate decreased in the augmented culture from 29% at 5.6 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> of feed glucose to 16% at 111 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> of feed glucose, while the share of lactate 226

significantly increased from 13% at initial concentration of 5.6 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> glucose to 57% 227

228 at 111 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> of feed glucose (Figure 3b).





#### 230

Substrate concentration (mmol L<sup>-1</sup>)

231 Figure 3. The distribution of soluble metabolites as chemical oxygen demand (COD) 232 equivalents at the endpoint of fermentation at the different initial glucose 233 concentrations with the unaugmented (a) and augmented (b) cultures.

234

235 In many previous studies, dark fermentation of glucose has resulted in the 236 production of mainly butyrate and acetate as soluble metabolites under mesophilic, thermophilic and hyperthermophilic conditions [47-51]. However, it seems that 237 238 ethanol-based fermentation was the major pathway leading to H<sub>2</sub> production in this study due to the high ethanol yields obtained especially with the unaugmented 239 240 cultures. The ethanol-type fermentation (Equation 4) has a theoretical maximum of 2 241 mol of H<sub>2</sub> per mol of glucose and has been reported to occur under mesophilic 242 conditions [52,53] but not for mixed cultures at temperatures as high as 70 °C.

243 
$$C_6H_{12}O_6 + H_2O \rightarrow C_2H_5OH + CH_3COOH + 2CO_2 + 2H_2$$
 (4)

Previous studies have reported yields of 1.8 mol ethanol mol<sup>-1</sup> glucose with pure culture of *T. ethanolicus* [54] and 1.5 mol ethanol mol<sup>-1</sup> glucose from *T. hydrosulfuricus* [55] at 72 and 69 °C respectively. The highest ethanol yield obtained with the unaugmented culture in this study was 1.4 mol H<sub>2</sub> mol<sup>-1</sup> of glucose. Meanwhile, the highest ethanol yield in the augmented culture was 1.2 mol ethanol mol<sup>-1</sup> of glucose and was obtained at initial glucose concentration of 27.8 mmol L<sup>-1</sup>.

250 In addition to the increased  $H_2$  yield obtained by bioaugmentation, a shift in the 251 metabolic networks was observed with the *T. neapolitana*-augmented culture when 252 compared to the unaugmented culture. Indeed, the *T. neapolitana*-augmented culture 253 produced a lower share of ethanol and a higher share of acetate and lactate to the 254 fermentation broth (Figure 3). Thus, the bioaugmentation with *T. neapolitana* directed 255 the metabolic pathway towards acetate and lactate production. Previous reports on 256 pure cultures of *T. neapolitana* have shown that acetate, lactate and alanine are the 257 major soluble metabolites produced by *T. neapolitana* [41,56,57]. The direction of the 258 metabolic pathway towards acetate production allows producing more H<sub>2</sub> and seemed 259 to be the case with the bioaugmented culture in this study. Nonetheless, as T. 260 neapolitana is also capable of producing high concentrations of lactate at increased 261 substrate concentrations [17], the increase in the share of lactate observed in the 262 augmented culture was at least partly attributed to presence of *T. neapolitana*. Lactate 263 as an electron sink takes a large amount of reducing power away from H<sub>2</sub> production 264 thereby reducing the  $H_2$  yield [58,59].

265

# 266 Quantification of *Thermotoga neapolitana* within the mixed microbial 267 communities

The qPCR method applied in this study to quantify and confirm the presence of T. 268 269 neapolitana in the bioaugmented mixed cultures has previously been successfully 270 used in quantitation of T. neapolitana from pure and mixed cultures [41]. The 271 quantitative analysis of *T. neapolitana hydA* gene from the bioaugmented cultures 272 showed an increase of the hydA gene copies per mL of culture as the initial glucose 273 concentration was increased (Figure 4). Thus, the qPCR results indicated that after 274 bioaugmentation, T. neapolitana became an active member of the microbial 275 consortium and likely responsible for the shift in the soluble metabolites and 276 enhancement of H<sub>2</sub> production compared to the unaugmented culture. The qPCR 277 carried out on the unaugmented culture confirmed that T. neapolitana was not present 278 in the culture.







- the augmented culture at different initial glucose concentrations.
- 283

280

#### 284 Microbial community profiles at different glucose concentrations

285 The MiSeq data obtained from the cultures assessed in this study covered over 33000 286 effective sequences with the lowest number of sequences being 24967. The number 287 of operational taxonomic units (OTUs) was relatively low, indicating that the microbial 288 communities in both the unaugmented and the augmented culture were rather simple 289 because high temperature environments are extremely selective [60]. The 290 unaugmented culture was dominated by Thermoanaerobacter spp. at all initial glucose 291 concentrations. The share of *Thermoanaerobacter* in the microbial community was 99.9% at all other glucose concentrations than 55.5 mol L<sup>-1</sup>, when Bacillus was 292 293 detected at an abundance of 8%.



294

Initial glucose concentration (mmol L<sup>-1</sup>)

Figure 5. Microbial community composition and relative abundance of genera identified at different feed glucose concentrations in the unaugmented (a) and augmented (b) culture.

298

At the lowest initial glucose concentration of 5.6 mmol L<sup>-1</sup>, the augmented cultures had 75, 23 and 2% abundance of *Thermoanaerobacter, Thermotoga* and *Bacillus*  301 spp., respectively. However, at the higher initial glucose concentrations, *Bacillus* spp.
302 were not detected anymore from the microbial community and the shares of
303 *Thermoanaerobacter* and *Thermotoga* spp. were 62-80% and 20-37%, respectively
304 (Figure 5). The abundance of *Thermotoga* in the community was higher at the two
305 highest initial glucose concentrations, which is accordance with the qPCR results
306 (Figure 4).

307 The bioaugmentation of a *Thermoanaerobacter*-dominated mixed culture with *T*. 308 *neapolitana* improved both the  $H_2$  production yield and rate. Thermoanaerobacter 309 species are well known thermophilic bacteria capable of producing H<sub>2</sub>, ethanol and 310 acetate [61-63]. Thus, their presence explains also the high ethanol production 311 observed. Bacteria within this genus have also been reported to use the Embden-312 Meyerhof-Parnas pathway for sugar degradation and produce ethanol, acetate and 313 lactate as major volatile end products [64], which is in accordance with the metabolite 314 profiles observed in this study. In the bioaugmented culture, the presence of T. 315 neapolitana resulted in lower ethanol production, while the shares of acetate and 316 lactate increased compared to the unugmented cultures. Thus, the differences 317 observed in the abundance of different soluble metabolites in the unaugmented and 318 augmented cultures can be explained with the observed differences in the microbial 319 community composition.

The pre-adaptation as a strategy to make *T. neapolitana* a stable member of the native microbial community was successful based on the molecular monitoring methods used this study, as both the *T. neapolitana* hydA gene copy numbers and relative abundance of *Thermotoga* were shown to increase towards the end of the study. The pre-adaptation of a bacteria to a mixed culture prior to its application to a large scale process could thus be beneficial for enhancing microbial activity levels, 326 treating complex waste materials and driving the metabolic pathway towards the 327 desired products. Bioaugmentation also has the potential to improve the microbial 328 community structure and enhance resistance and resilience in case of unforeseen 329 disturbances [65]. However, pre-adaptation may not be feasible in the case of sudden 330 transient disturbances due to the fact that it is time consuming.

331 Based on the results obtained from chemical analysis and molecular data, it is 332 evident that *T. neapolitana* contributed to the H<sub>2</sub> production in the mixed culture. 333 Reports from this and previous studies have shown that T. neapolitana is able to 334 produce H<sub>2</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>, acetate and lactate from mono and polysaccharides as the major 335 products of metabolism. However, its primary role in nature is to reduce sulfur to 336 hydrogen sulfide through the oxidation of organic molecules [66]. Nonetheless, no 337 extensive research exists on the interactions of this organism with other organisms up 338 to now. Except for the switch in the metabolic pathways and an enhanced  $H_2$ 339 production, it is not known what kind of interactions occurred between T. neapolitana 340 and the native microbial community. It would be useful to further investigate the 341 characteristics of *T. neapolitana* in the augmented culture at a functional level by 342 studying the protein expression to identify the mechanisms responsible for its 343 adaptation and survival within the native microbial community [67–69], as this could 344 enable a further process optimization.

## 345 CONCLUSIONS

The bioaugmentation of a *Thermoanaerobacter*-dominated mixed culture with *Thermotoga neapolitana* improved both the H<sub>2</sub> production yield and rate. Thus, the results of this study indicate that the addition of a single strain with required characteristics can be enough for improving the performance of a biological process. The H<sub>2</sub> production rate of the augmented cultures increased when the initial glucose 351 concentration was increased from 5.6 to 55.5 mmol L<sup>-1</sup>, while the highest H<sub>2</sub> production 352 yield, 1.68 mol H<sub>2</sub> per mol of consumed glucose, was obtained at the lowest initial glucose concentration of 5.6 mmol L<sup>-1</sup>. The pre-adaptation of *T. neapolitana* to the 353 354 mixed culture during three successive batch incubations prior to the reactor 355 experiments was demonstrated to be a successful strategy to ensure that T. 356 neapolitana was able to co-exist within the mixed microbial consortium. However, 357 further experiments utilizing continuously-fed bioreactor systems are recommended to 358 evaluate the long-term effects of the selected bioaugmentation strategy.

## 359 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the Marie Skłodowska-Curie European Joint Doctorate (EJD) in Advanced Biological Waste-To-Energy Technologies (ABWET) funded from Horizon 2020 under the grant agreement no. 643071. The authors gratefully thank Gaelle Santa-Catalina (LBE, Univ. Montpellier, INRA, Narbonne, France) for the support with the microbial community analyses.

#### 365 **REFERENCES**

- Ciranna A, Santala V, Karp M. Biohydrogen production in alkalithermophilic
   conditions: Thermobrachium celere as a case study. Bioresour Technol
   2011;102:8714–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2011.01.028.
- Thauer RKK, Jungermann K, Decker K. Energy conservation in chemotrophic
   anaerobic bacteria ERRATUM. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 1977;41:100–80.
- 371 [3] Hallenbeck PC. Fermentative hydrogen production: Principles, progress, and
  372 prognosis. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2009;34:7379–89.
  373 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2008.12.080.
- 374 [4] Barca C, Soric A, Ranava D, Giudici-Orticoni MT, Ferrasse JH. Anaerobic

biofilm reactors for dark fermentative hydrogen production from wastewater: A
review. Bioresour Technol 2015. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2015.02.063.
Bundhoo MAZ, Mohee R, Hassan MA. Effects of pre-treatment technologies on

- 378 dark fermentative biohydrogen production: A review. J Environ Manage 2015.
  379 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2015.04.006.
- Li C, Fang HHP. Fermentative Hydrogen Production From Wastewater and
   Solid Wastes by Mixed Cultures. Crit Rev Environ Sci Technol 2007.
   https://doi.org/10.1080/10643380600729071.
- Wang J, Wan W. Effect of temperature on fermentative hydrogen production by
  mixed cultures. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2008;33:5392–7.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2008.07.010.
- 386 [8] Valdez-Vazquez I, Poggi-Varaldo HM. Hydrogen production by fermentative
  387 consortia. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 2009.
  388 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2008.03.003.
- [9] Zhang ML, Fan YT, Xing Y, Pan CM, Zhang GS, Lay JJ. Enhanced biohydrogen
  production from cornstalk wastes with acidification pretreatment by mixed
  anaerobic cultures. Biomass and Bioenergy 2007.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2006.08.004.

393 [10] Yu H, Zhu Z, Hu W, Zhang H. Hydrogen production from rice winery wastewater
394 in an upflow anaerobic reactor by using mixed anaerobic cultures. Int. J.
395 Hydrogen Energy, 2002. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0360-3199(02)00073-3.

- [11] Call DF, Wagner RC, Logan BE. Hydrogen production by Geobacter species
  and a mixed consortium in a microbial electrolysis cell. Appl Environ Microbiol
  2009;75:7579–87. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01760-09.
- 399 [12] Boboescu IZ, Ilie M, Gherman VD, Mirel I, Pap B, Negrea A, et al. Revealing the

- factors influencing a fermentative biohydrogen production process using
  industrial wastewater as fermentation substrate. Biotechnol Biofuels 2014.
  https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-014-0139-1.
- 403 [13] Kothari R. A critical review on factors influencing fermentative hydrogen 404 production. Front Biosci 2017. https://doi.org/10.2741/4542.
- 405 [14] Yue Y, Zhang LS, Ding J. Factors Influenced Continuous Dark Fermentative
  406 Hydrogen-Producing Reactor. Adv Mater Res 2011.
  407 https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/amr.183-185.457.
- 408 [15] Dreschke G, Papirio S, Scala A, Lens PNL, Esposito G. High rate continuous
  409 biohydrogen production by hyperthermophilic Thermotoga neapolitana.
  410 Bioresour Technol 2019:122033.
- 411 [16] Goud RK, Sarkar O, Chiranjeevi P, Venkata Mohan S. Bioaugmentation of
  412 potent acidogenic isolates: A strategy for enhancing biohydrogen production at
  413 elevated organic load. Bioresour Technol 2014.
  414 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.03.049.
- 415 [17] Dreschke G, Papirio S, Sisinni DMG, Lens PNL, Esposito G. Effect of feed
  416 glucose and acetic acid on continuous biohydrogen production by Thermotoga
  417 neapolitana. Bioresour Technol 2019.
- 418 [18] Kyazze G, Martinez-Perez N, Dinsdale R, Premier GC, Hawkes FR, Guwy AJ,
  419 et al. Influence of substrate concentration on the stability and yield of continuous
  420 biohydrogen production. Biotechnol Bioeng 2006.
  421 https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.20802.
- 422 [19] Gadhamshetty V, Arudchelvam Y, Nirmalakhandan N, Johnson DC. Modeling
  423 dark fermentation for biohydrogen production: ADM1-based model vs.
  424 Gompertz model. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2010.

425 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2009.11.007.

- 426 [20] Argun H, Kargi F. Bio-hydrogen production by different operational modes of
  427 dark and photo-fermentation: An overview. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2011.
  428 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2011.03.116.
- 429 [21] Cai G, Jin B, Saint C, Monis P. Genetic manipulation of butyrate formation
  430 pathways in Clostridium butyricum. J Biotechnol 2011.
  431 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2011.07.004.
- 432 [22] Okonkwo O, Escudie R, Bernet N, Mangayil R, Lakaniemi A-M, Trably E.
  433 Impacts of short-term temperature fluctuations on biohydrogen production and
  434 resilience of thermophilic microbial communities. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2019.

435 [23] Kumar G, Bakonyi P, Kobayashi T, Xu KQ, Sivagurunathan P, Kim SH, et al.

- 436 Enhancement of biofuel production via microbial augmentation: The case of dark
  437 fermentative hydrogen. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 2016;57:879–91.
  438 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.12.107.
- 439 [24] Herrero M, Stuckey DC. Bioaugmentation and its application in wastewater
  440 treatment: A review. Chemosphere 2015;140:119–28.
  441 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.10.033.
- 442 [25] da Silva MLB, Alvarez PJJ. Bioaugmentation. Handb. Hydrocarb. Lipid
  443 Microbiol., 2009. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-77587-4\_356.
- Han W, Li YF, Yue LR. Biohydrogen production: Microbiological aspects and
  bioaugmentation. 2010 Int. Conf. Mech. Autom. Control Eng. MACE2010, 2010.
  https://doi.org/10.1109/MACE.2010.5535490.
- 447 [27] Nzila A, Razzak SA, Zhu J. Bioaugmentation: An emerging strategy of industrial
  448 wastewater treatment for reuse and discharge. Int J Environ Res Public Health
  449 2016. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph13090846.

- 450 [28] Sharma P, Melkania U. Effect of bioaugmentation on hydrogen production from
  451 organic fraction of municipal solid waste. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2018.
  452 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2018.03.031.
- 453 [29] Zhang K, Cao G-L, Ren N-Q. Bioaugmentation with Thermoanaerobacterium
  454 thermosaccharolyticum W16 to enhance thermophilic hydrogen production
  455 using corn stover hydrolysate. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2019.
- 456 [30] Sivagurunathan P, Gopalakrishnan K, Lin C-Y. Enhancement of Fermentative
  457 Hydrogen Production from Beverage Wastewater via Bioaugmentation and
  458 Statistical Optimization. Curr Biochem Eng 2014.
  459 https://doi.org/10.2174/2212711901999140516122032.
- 460 [31] Cabrol L, Marone A, Tapia-Venegas E, Steyer JP, Ruiz-Filippi G, Trably E.
  461 Microbial ecology of fermentative hydrogen producing bioprocesses: Useful
  462 insights for driving the ecosystem function. FEMS Microbiol Rev 2017.
  463 https://doi.org/10.1093/femsre/fuw043.
- 464 [32] Chandrasekhar K, Lee Y-J, Lee D-W. Biohydrogen Production: Strategies to
  465 Improve Process Efficiency through Microbial Routes. Int J Mol Sci Int J Mol Sci
  466 2015;16:8266–93. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms16048266.
- 467 [33] Yang Z, Guo R, Shi X, He S, Wang L, Dai M, et al. Bioaugmentation of
  468 Hydrogenispora ethanolica LX-B affects hydrogen production through altering
  469 indigenous bacterial community structure. Bioresour Technol 2016;211:319–26.
  470 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.03.097.
- 471 [34] Yang Z, Guo R, Xu X, Wang L, Dai M. Enhanced methane production via
  472 repeated batch bioaugmentation pattern of enriched microbial consortia.
  473 Bioresour Technol 2016. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.05.062.
- 474 [35] Eriksen NT, Nielsen TM, Iversen N. Hydrogen production in anaerobic and

475 microaerobic Thermotoga neapolitana. Biotechnol Lett 2008;30:103–9.

476 [36] Ngo TA, Kim MS, Sim SJ. High-yield biohydrogen production from biodiesel
477 manufacturing waste by Thermotoga neapolitana. Int J Hydrogen Energy
478 2011;36:5836–42. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2010.11.057.

- 479 [37] Verhaart MR a, Bielen A a M, van der Oost J, Stams AJM, Kengen SWM.
  480 Hydrogen production by hyperthermophilic and extremely thermophilic bacteria
  481 and archaea: mechanisms for reductant disposal. Environ Technol
  482 2010;31:993–1003. https://doi.org/10.1080/09593331003710244.
- 483 [38] Logan BE, Oh SE, Kim IS, Van Ginkel S. Biological hydrogen production
  484 measured in batch anaerobic respirometers. Environ Sci Technol
  485 2002;36:2530–5. https://doi.org/10.1021/es015783i.
- 486 [39] Wang Y, Qian PY. Conservative fragments in bacterial 16S rRNA genes and
  487 primer design for 16S ribosomal DNA amplicons in metagenomic studies. PLoS
  488 One 2009;4. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0007401.
- [40] Venkiteshwaran K, Milferstedt K, Hamelin J, Zitomer DH. Anaerobic digester
  bioaugmentation influences quasi steady state performance and microbial
  community. Water Res 2016;104:128–36.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2016.08.012.
- 493 [41] Okonkwo O, Lakaniemi AM, Santala V, Karp M, Mangayil R. Quantitative real494 time PCR monitoring dynamics of Thermotoga neapolitana in synthetic co495 culture for biohydrogen production. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2018;43:3133–41.
  496 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2017.12.002.
- 497 [42] Qiu C, Shi P, Xiao S, Sun L. Effects of pH and substrate concentrations on dark
  498 fermentative biohydrogen production from xylose by extreme thermophilic mixed
  499 culture. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 2017;33. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-

500 016-2178-1.

- 501 Lee KS, Hsu YF, Lo YC, Lin PJ, Lin CY, Chang JS. Exploring optimal [43] 502 environmental factors for fermentative hydrogen production from starch using 503 mixed anaerobic microflora. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2008. 504 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2007.10.019.
- 505 [44] Zheng H, Zeng RJ, Angelidaki I. Biohydrogen production from glucose in upflow
  506 biofilm reactors with plastic carriers under extreme thermophilic conditions
  507 (700C). Biotechnol Bioeng 2008. https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.21826.
- 508 [45] Chong ML, Rahim RA, Shirai Y, Hassan MA. Biohydrogen production by 509 Clostridium butyricum EB6 from palm oil mill effluent. Int J Hydrogen Energy 510 2009. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2008.10.095.
- 511 [46] Azbar N, Çetinkaya Dokgöz FT, Keskin T, Korkmaz KS, Syed HM. Continuous
  512 fermentative hydrogen production from cheese whey wastewater under
  513 thermophilic anaerobic conditions. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2009;34:7441–7.
  514 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2009.04.032.
- 515 [47] Zhao C, Karakashev D, Lu W, Wang H, Angelidaki I. Xylose fermentation to
  516 biofuels (hydrogen and ethanol) by extreme thermophilic (70 °C) mixed culture.
  517 Int J Hydrogen Energy 2010;35:3415–22.
  518 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2010.01.082.
- 519 [48] Ngo TA, Kim MS, Sim SJ. Thermophilic hydrogen fermentation using
  520 Thermotoga neapolitana DSM 4359 by fed-batch culture. Int J Hydrogen Energy
  521 2011;36:14014–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2011.04.058.
- 522 [49] Reilly M, Dinsdale R, Guwy A. Mesophilic biohydrogen production from calcium
  523 hydroxide treated wheat straw. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2014;39:16891–901.
  524 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2014.08.069.

- 525[50]Gadow SI, Jiang H, Hojo T, Li YY. Cellulosic hydrogen production and microbial526community characterization in hyper-thermophilic continuous bioreactor. Int J527HydrogenEnergy2013;38:7259–67.
- 528 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2013.04.041.
- 529 [51] Abreu AA, Tavares F, Alves MM, Pereira MA. Boosting dark fermentation with 530 co-cultures of extreme thermophiles for biohythane production from garden 531 waste. Bioresour Technol 2016;219:132–8. 532 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.07.096.
- 533 [52] Hwang MH, Jang NJ, Hyun SH, Kim IS. Anaerobic bio-hydrogen production from
  534 ethanol fermentation: The role of pH. J Biotechnol 2004.
  535 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2004.04.024.
- [53] Ren N, Xing D, Rittmann BE, Zhao L, Xie T, Zhao X. Microbial community
  structure of ethanol type fermentation in bio-hydrogen production. Environ
  Microbiol 2007. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2006.01234.x.
- 539 [54] Wiegel J, Ljungdahl LG. Thermoanaerobacter ethanolicus gen. nov., spec. nov.,
  540 a new, extreme thermophilic, anaerobic bacterium. Arch Microbiol 1981.
  541 https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00405910.
- 542 [55] Lacis LS, Lawford HG. Thermoanaerobacter ethanolicus growth and product
  543 yield from elevated levels of xylose or glucose in continuous cultures. Appl
  544 Environ Microbiol 1991.
- 545 [56] Dreschke G, Papirio S, Lens PNL, Esposito G. Influence of liquid-phase
  546 hydrogen on dark fermentation by Thermotoga neapolitana. Renew Energy
  547 2019.
- 548 [57] Ippolito G, Dipasquale L, Vella FM, Romano I, Gambacorta A, Cutignano A, et549 al. Hydrogen metabolism in the extreme thermophile Thermotoga neapolitana.

 550
 Int
 J
 Hydrogen
 Energy
 2010;35:2290–5.

 551
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2009.12.044.

552 [58] Mishra P, Krishnan S, Rana S, Singh L, Sakinah M, Ab Wahid Z. Outlook of 553 fermentative hydrogen production techniques: An overview of dark, photo and 554 integrated dark-photo fermentative approach to biomass. Energy Strateg Rev 555 2019. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esr.2019.01.001.

- Kim DH, Lee JH, Kang S, Hallenbeck PC, Kim EJ, Lee JK, et al. Enhanced
  photo-fermentative H2 production using Rhodobacter sphaeroides by ethanol
  addition and analysis of soluble microbial products. Biotechnol Biofuels 2014.
  https://doi.org/10.1186/1754-6834-7-79.
- [60] Zhang F, Yang JH, Dai K, Ding ZW, Wang LG, Li QR, et al. Microbial dynamics
  of the extreme-thermophilic (70 °c) mixed culture for hydrogen production in a
  chemostat. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2016;41:11072–80.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2016.04.085.
- 564 [61] Koskinen PEP, Lay CH, Beck SR, Tolvanen KES, Kaksonen AH, Örlygsson J,

565 et al. Bioprospecting thermophilic microorganisms from icelandic hot springs for

- 566 hydrogen and ethanol production. Energy and Fuels, vol. 22, 2008, p. 134–40.
  567 https://doi.org/10.1021/ef700275w.
- 568 [62] Claassen PAM, Lopez Contreras AM, Sijtsma L, Weusthuis RA, Van Lier JB,
- 569 Van Niel EWJ, et al. Utilisation of biomass for the supply of energy carriers. Appl
- 570 Microbiol Biotechnol 1999. https://doi.org/10.1007/s002530051586.
- 571 [63] Lin Y, Tanaka S. Ethanol fermentation from biomass resources: Current state
  572 and prospects. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 2006. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253573 005-0229-x.
- 574 [64] Lee YE, Jain MK, Lee C, Zeikus JG. Taxonomic Distinction of Saccharolytic

575 Thermophilic Anaerobes. Int J Syst Bacteriol 1993. 576 https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-43-1-41.

577 [65] Okonkwo O, Escudie R, Bernet N, Mangayil R, Lakaniemi A-M, Trably E. 578 Bioaugmentation enhances dark fermentative hydrogen production in cultures 579 exposed to short-term temperature fluctuations. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 580 2019:1–11.

581 [66] Childers SE, Vargas M, Noll KM. Improved methods for cultivation of the 582 extremely thermophilic bacterium Thermotoga neapolitana. Appl Environ 583 Microbiol 1992;58:3949–53.

584 [67] Wang DZ, Kong LF, Li YY, Xie ZX. Environmental microbial community 585 proteomics: Status, challenges and perspectives. Int J Mol Sci 2016. 586 https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms17081275.

- [68] Bastida F, Moreno JL, Nicolás C, Hernández T, García C. Soil metaproteomics:
  A review of an emerging environmental science. Significance, methodology and
  perspectives. Eur J Soil Sci 2009. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.13652389.2009.01184.x.
- [69] Lacerda CMR, Reardon KF. Environmental proteomics: Applications of
   proteome profiling in environmental microbiology and biotechnology. Briefings
   Funct Genomics Proteomics 2009. https://doi.org/10.1093/bfgp/elp005.

594