

# Inverse relationships between biomarkers and beef tenderness according to contractile and metabolic properties of the muscle

Brigitte B. Picard, Mohammed Gagaoua, Didier D. Micol, Isabelle Cassar-Malek, Jean-François J.-F. Hocquette, Claudia Terlouw

## ▶ To cite this version:

Brigitte B. Picard, Mohammed Gagaoua, Didier D. Micol, Isabelle Cassar-Malek, Jean-François J.-F. Hocquette, et al.. Inverse relationships between biomarkers and beef tenderness according to contractile and metabolic properties of the muscle. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 2014, 62 (40), pp.9808 - 9818. 10.1021/jf501528s . hal-02636820

## HAL Id: hal-02636820 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02636820v1

Submitted on 22 Sep 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

1	Inverse relationships between biomarkers and beef tenderness according to contractile
2	and metabolic properties of the muscle
3 4	B. Picard <sup>1,2 (*)</sup> , M. Gagaoua <sup>1,3</sup> , D. Micol <sup>1,2</sup> , I. Cassar-Malek <sup>1,2</sup> , J.F. Hocquette <sup>1,2</sup> , E.M.C. Terlouw <sup>1,2</sup>
5	<sup>1</sup> INRA, UMR 1213 Herbivores, F-63122 Saint-Genès- Champanelle, France
6	<sup>2</sup> Clermont Université, VetAgro Sup, UMR 1213 Herbivores, BP 10448, F-63000 Clermont-
7	Ferrand, France
8	<sup>3</sup> Equipe Maquav, Laboratoire Bioqual, INATAA, Université Constantine 1, Route de Ain El-
9	Bey, 25000, Algeria
10	* Corresponding author: Brigitte Picard, Tel: 0033473624056, Fax: 0033473624639,
11	Email: <u>brigitte.picard@clermont.inra.fr</u>
12	
13	
14	
15	Abstract
16	In previous proteomic analyses, we established a list of proteins biomarkers of beef
17	tenderness. In the present study we quantified the relative abundance of 21 of these proteins
18	by Dot-blot technique in the Longissimus thoracis and Semitendinosus muscles of 71 young
19	bulls from three breeds: Aberdeen Angus (AA), Limousin (LI) and Blond d'Aquitaine (BA).
20	For both muscles overall tenderness was estimated by sensory analysis, shear force was
21	measured with a Warner-Bratzler instrument, and an index combining sensory and
22	mechanical measurements was calculated. Multiple regressions based on relative abundances
23	of these proteins were used to propose equations of prediction of the three evaluations of
24	tenderness. Hsp70-1B appeared a good biomarker of low tenderness in the three breeds and in
25	the two muscles. Proteins such as Lactate dehydrogenase-B, Myosin heavy chain IIx, small
26	Heat Shock Proteins (Hsp27, Hsp20 and $\alpha B$ -crystallin) were related to tenderness but
27	inversely according to the muscle and breed. The results demonstrate that prediction of
28	tenderness must take into account muscle characteristics and animal type.
29	
30	
31	
32	Key words

33 Biomarkers, beef tenderness, skeletal muscle, heat shock proteins, prediction, Dot-blot

34

#### **INTRODUCTION**

35 Beef quality includes sensory quality traits (tenderness, flavour, juiciness, colour, etc), 36 nutritional value, healthiness and technological quality (which all refer to intrinsic quality 37 traits) as well as issues like animal welfare, environmental concerns, traceability, etc (which refer to extrinsic quality traits). A major cause of consumer dissatisfaction is the high and 38 uncontrolled variability in sensory beef quality, especially tenderness <sup>1</sup>. Muscle characteristics 39 (fiber type, collagen, intramuscular lipids) can only explain up to 30% of the variability in 40 tenderness<sup>2-4</sup>. Another problem is that meat intrinsic quality can only be determined at the 41 42 time of eating, i.e. after slaughter and cooking, which hampers the production of beef of 43 consistent good quality. In order to better control sensory quality, it is necessary to have tools 44 to predict eating quality, especially tenderness, in live animals. Therefore, the beef sector is looking for biological or molecular indicators to identify live animals with desired quality 45 46 attributes, to help beef producers to choose the most appropriate production system, animal 47 types and markets. To meet this objective, several genomics programs combining genomics, 48 transcriptomics, proteomics, computational biology and biochemistry have been carried out over the past years (for review: <sup>5-9</sup>). Using 2-D electrophoresis techniques, comparisons of 49 50 two groups of high versus low tenderness allowed the identification of proteins of which abundance was associated with tenderness <sup>6, 8, 10, 11</sup>. These proteins are representative of 51 52 several biological functions: muscle structure, contraction, energetic metabolism, cellular stress and proteolysis <sup>7, 12</sup>. The objective of the present study was to test the predictive power 53 54 of the 21 proteins most strongly associated with tenderness in another group of experimental young bulls. To do so, we analysed the relationships between protein abundances and 55 56 tenderness in young bulls from three beef breeds differing in their precocity and physiological 57 characteristics. The French Blond d'Aquitaine breed is highly muscled with low intramuscular fat content <sup>13</sup>, the Angus breed is known to be fat, producing marbled meat, 58 while Limousin French breed has intermediate properties  $^{14}$ . Two muscles with differences in 59 metabolic characteristics and tenderness: Longisssimus thoracis (LT) and Semitendinosus 60 61 (ST) were studied. The abundances of the 21 proteins were quantified by the immunological technique Dot-blot developed by Guillemin et al.<sup>15</sup> allowing the simultaneous analysis of 62 63 large number of samples for one protein. Relationships between tenderness traits and protein 64 relative abundance were evaluated using multiple regression analyses.

65

66

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

67 The study was carried out in compliance to the current French welfare 68 recommendations for the use of experimental animals.

### 69 Animals and samples

70 The study was conducted using 71 young entire males of three pure breeds: Aberdeen Angus (AA) (n =21), Limousin (LI) (n =25) and Blond d'Aquitaine (BA) (n =25). Animals 71 72 (12 month-old at start) were assigned to a 100 day finishing period before slaughter. They 73 were housed in groups of 4 animals of the same breed in 6 x 6 m pens with straw bedding. 74 individually fed and weighed every 2 weeks. Diets consisted of concentrate (75 %) and straw 75 (25 %). Animals were slaughtered at the same age (around 17 months) and final live weight 76 (around 665 kg) in order to avoid weight and age effects on muscle characteristics and beef 77 meat quality.

All bulls were directly transported in a lorry (3 x 2 m) from the experimental farm to the experimental abattoir situated at 1 km from the rearing building, with 2 bulls of the same home pen per transport to avoid social isolation stress. After unloading, they were slaughtered within 3 min in the slaughterhouse of INRA institute (Saint-Genès-Champanelle, France) in compliance with the current ethical guidelines for animal welfare. Bulls were stunned by captive bolt prior to exsanguination.

84 Muscle samples from *Longissimus thoracis* (LT, mixed fast-oxido-glycolytic muscle 85 23% of type I fibers, 36 % IIA and 39 % of IIX) and Semitendinosus (ST, mixed fast glycolytic 8 % of type I fibers, 24 % of IIA and 64 % of IIX), were excised from the carcass 86 87 of each animal within 15 minutes after slaughter. Muscle samples were immediately frozen in 88 liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until protein extraction for protein markers quantification. 89 Samples of the two muscles for sensory evaluation and mechanical measurement were cut 90 into steaks 24 hours after slaughter and placed in sealed plastic bags under vacuum and kept 91 between 2–4°C for 14 days for ageing, then frozen and stored at –20°C until analysis.

### 92 Meat quality evaluation

LT and ST samples were grilled on a preheated grill at 310°C, resulting in an internal cooked temperature of 55°C. For sensory analysis, a trained sensory panel (12 experienced panellists) evaluated the steak samples of the same muscle. The panel evaluated overall tenderness attribute on a continuous and unstructured scale with scores from 0 to 10 (0 = hard -10 = tender)<sup>16, 17</sup>. Toughness of cooked meat was further evaluated instrumentally by Warner-Bratzler shear force (WBSF) using INSTRON 5944 as described by Lepetit and Culioli <sup>18</sup>. Force at rupture during shear compression testing was expressed in N/cm<sup>2</sup>.

101 Sensory and mechanical values of tenderness were used to compute a synthetic 102 combining standardized normal tenderness index by sensory and mechanical 103 tenderness/toughness values<sup>19</sup>. This was calculated for each muscle as the difference within each breed between the standardized values of tenderness score minus the standardized value 104 105 of the WBSF measure. This index was suggested to take into account the very close genetic correlation underlying the moderate phenotypic correlation observed between both traits <sup>20</sup>. 106 107 suggesting both traits are under the control of common genes.

#### 108 Dot-Blot analysis

109 The 21 proteins analysed are described in **Table 1**. The conditions for use and 110 specificity of primary antibodies against these 21 proteins in bovine muscle have been 111 determined previously by Guillemin *et al.*<sup>21</sup> using western blot techniques (**Table 2**).

112 Total protein extractions were performed according to Bouley *et al.* <sup>22</sup> in a 113 denaturation extraction buffer (8.3M urea, 2M thiourea, 1% DTT, 2% CHAPS). The protein 114 concentration was determined using the Bradford protein assay <sup>23</sup>. Protein extractions were 115 stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C.

116 Relative abundances of proteins were evaluated following the Dot-blot technique as described by Guillemin et al.<sup>21</sup>. Briefly, protein samples were spotted in quadruplicate on a 117 118 nitrocellulose membrane with the Minifold I Dot blot from Schleicher & Schuell Biosciences 119 (Germany) and hybridised with the specific antibody of each protein, with conditions 120 described in Table 2. Secondary fluorescent-conjugated IRDye 800CW antibodies (anti-121 mouse, anti-sheep and anti-rabbit) were supplied by LI-COR Biosciences (Lincoln, Nebraska, 122 USA) and used at 1/20000. Subsequently, membranes were scanned by an Odyssey (LI-COR 123 Biosciences, Lincoln, NA, USA) scanner at 800 nm. Protein relative abundance for each 124 sample, given in arbitrary units, was normalised by comparison to a reference sample 125 constituted by mixing all samples from young bulls from this experiment in equal proportions. The technical coefficient of variation of this technique is in average of 9% <sup>21</sup>. 126

#### 127 Statistical analysis

128 Analysis of variance was performed using the GLM procedure of SAS for repeated 129 measured (Version 9.1, 2002; SAS Institute Inc.). The effects of breed, muscle-type (LT *vs*  130 ST) and breed x muscle-type interaction are reported. When significant effects were detected,

131 differences were evaluated by the PDIFF option of SAS.

Principal component analyses were performed using the factor procedure of SAS to
study the overall relationships between the studied variables (tenderness traits and protein
relative abundances).

Multiple regression analyses were carried out using XLStat 2009 software to explain overall tenderness, WBSF and tenderness index traits for the two muscles separately, presenting the 21 protein biomarkers as potential explanatory variables, as well as breed. The 'optimal model' explaining maximal variability option was used with 'maximal 4 variables'. The percentage of variability in meat quality parameter explained by proteins is based on the adjusted  $r^2$  value of the regression analysis x 100%.

Absence of colinearity was verified for each model. Condition indices and variance proportions were produced using the COLLIN option of SAS, with components identified as collinear if they possessed both a high condition index greater than 10 and a proportion of variation greater than 0.5 for two or more variables.

145

#### RESULTS

#### 146 *Tenderness traits*

The values of tenderness evaluated by three ways are presented in **Table 3**. For the LT muscle, significant breed effects were found for overall tenderness only, AA bulls being more tender than BA and LI bulls (**Table 3**). In the ST muscle, significant differences between breeds were observed for the WBSF and the tenderness index. A significant muscle x breed interaction was observed for WBSF and tenderness index. Limousin ST muscle being tougher than BA, while AA had intermediate values (**Table 3**). Overall tenderness (**Table 3**) was much higher in the LT. For WBSF, this was only a tendency.

## 154 Protein relative abundances

155 Significant breed effects were found for at least one of the two muscles for most of the 156 proteins except for Hsp70-1B, MyBP-H, and SOD1 (**Table 4**). The two muscles of AA bulls 157 presented higher levels of  $\alpha$ B-crystallin, Hsp27, Eno3 and PRDX6 and lower levels of 158 MyHC-IIx. Most proteins showed an effect of muscle or a muscle x breed interaction, apart 159 from MyLC-1F, MyBP-H, SOD1 and  $\mu$ -calpaïn (**Table 4**).

#### 160 Relationships between biomarkers and tenderness traits

### 161 **Descriptive analysis**

162 The principal component analysis (PCA) illustrating the relationships between relative 163 abundances of protein biomarkers and tenderness traits evaluated by i) sensory analysis 164 (overall tenderness), ii) Warner-Bratzler shear force (WBSF) and iii) tenderness index are 165 presented in Figure 1 (a-d). In the two muscles, overall tenderness and tenderness index were 166 positively correlated, and both were negatively correlated with the WBSF

167 - *LT muscle* 

168 As illustrated in Figure. 1a, high overall tenderness scores were positively associated 169 with small Hsp proteins (Hsp27, Hsp20, αB-crystallin), Eno3, structural protein CapZ-β and 170 antioxidants PRDX6 and DJ-1 when considered across breeds. Low tenderness scores were 171 associated with proteins of the Hsp70 family (Hsp70-8, Hsp70-1A/B and Hsp70/Grp75), or 172 related to fast glycolytic muscle fibres (e.g. LDH-B, MyHC-IIx). The average loadings of the 173 different breeds (Figure. 1b) differed on the first axis (BA<LI<AA; p=0.0001) and on the 174 second axis, the AA bulls differed from the other breeds (AA>LI, BA; p=0.001). Compared to 175 LI and BA, LT muscles of AA bulls were characterised by higher abundances of small Hsp 176 (Hsp27, Hsp20, αB-crystallin), Hsp40, Eno3, CapZ-β, PRDX6, DJ-1 and lower abundances of 177 LDH-B and MyHC-IIx (cf Table 4).

## 178 - *ST* muscle

In the ST muscles, overall tenderness scores were positively associated with LDH-B and MyHC-IIx (**Figure. 1c**) and negatively with small Hsp's (Hsp27, Hsp20, αB-crystallin), Hsp40, Eno3, MyHC-I, CapZ- $\beta$ , PRDX6, DJ-1. On the first axis (**Figure. 1d**), AA differed significantly (p < 0.0001) from the other breeds (LI, BA < AA) and on the second axis all breeds differed significantly (AA < LI < BA; p < 0.01). As in the LT AA were characterised by higher abundances of small Hsp, Hsp40, Eno3, MyHC-I, CapZ- $\beta$ , PRDX6, DJ-1 and lower abundances of LDH-B and MyHC-IIx.

## 186 - Comparison of the PCA's of the LT and ST muscles

The PCA demonstrates inverse relationships between some proteins and tenderness in
the two muscles. MyHC-IIx and LDH-B were positively associated with tenderness in ST
muscle and negatively in LT. In contrast, proteins from the small Hsp family, Eno3, Hsp40,
CapZ-β, PRDX6 and DJ-1 were negatively correlated with tenderness in ST and positively in

191 LT muscle. In addition, the graphs show that the Angus breed was associated with high192 tenderness values in LT muscle and with low tenderness in the ST muscle.

193 *Regression analyses* 

194 Multiple regression analyses carried out on the pooled data of the three breeds showed 195 that, for the LT and ST muscles, the proteins explained only 10 - 17% of the variability of the 196 three tenderness measurements (Tables 5-7). The models for overall tenderness retained 197 (Table 5) LDH-B, PRDX6 and Hsp20, Hsp70-1A/B for the ST muscle; Hsp20 and MyHC-198 IIx, Hsp70-1B for LT muscle. The models for WBSF retained Hsp70-1B for the two muscles 199 and MyHC-IIx for the ST muscle (Table 6). The models of the tenderness index retained 200 MyHC-IIx, for the ST and for the LT muscle. The LT tenderness index was further correlated 201 with  $\alpha$ -actin and with Hsp70-1A/B (**Table 7**).

202 When breeds were considered separately, the prediction power (adjusted  $r^2$ ) improved, 203 although it varied according to breed and tenderness measurement (Tables 5 to 7). The 204 models for LI bulls had most predictive power. The model of overall tenderness of the ST 205 muscle of LI bulls retained (Table 5) fast MyHC, Hsp70/Grp75 and PRDX6 explaining 53% 206 of the variability between animals. MyLC-1F and Hsp70-1A/B explained 35% of variability 207 in overall tenderness of the LT muscle between LI bulls. The model for WSBF explained 208 even 60% of the variability of the LI breed in both muscles (Table 6). Proteins retained in the 209 models were MyHC-IIx and -II for the ST muscle and LDH-B, Hsp70-1A/B and MDH1 for 210 the LT muscle (Table 6). The model for the tenderness index for both muscles had high 211 predictive power, explaining 73 and 66% of the variability for the ST and LT, respectively (**Table 7**). 212

213 Correlations between predicted and measured values for each tenderness trait among 214 the two studied muscles are shown (**Figure 2, a-f**).

For the AA bulls, none of the 21 quantified protein biomarkers could predict WBSF of LT muscle (**Table 6**). In the ST muscle, WBSF was predicted by Eno3 and Hsp70-1A/B (positively) and by  $\alpha$ B-crystallin (negatively). Overall tenderness and tenderness index were better predicted in the ST than in the LT muscle.

For the BA bulls, prediction powers were similar in the two muscles with better prediction for overall tenderness by Hsp70-1A/B (negatively) in ST and by DJ-1 (positively) and MyBP-H (negatively) in LT muscle (**Table 5**). The predictions of WBSF and tenderness index were lower than in the two other breeds (**Table 6 and 7**). 223 Overall, results demonstrate that among the 21 quantified proteins, Hsp70-1A/B was 224 often retained in the prediction models of the different breeds, and negatively correlated with 225 the different tenderness measurements in both muscles. Proteins representing fast glycolytic 226 fibre types such as MyHC-IIx or LDH-B were correlated with tenderness in the two muscles 227 for the three tenderness traits but the direction of the correlation depended on the muscle, as 228 also illustrated in the PCA (Figure 1): they were positively correlated with tenderness 229 measurements in the ST and negatively in the LT muscle. The glycolytic Eno3 enzyme was 230 correlated with the three tenderness indicators in the ST muscle of the AA bulls. PRDX6 was 231 correlated with ST overall tenderness and tenderness index but never with WBSF.

232

#### DISCUSSION

233 The first objective of this study was to determine in two different muscles of young 234 Aberdeen Angus, Limousin and Blond d'Aquitaine bulls the relationships between tenderness 235 indicators and several protein biomarkers previously identified as good predictors of meat tenderness <sup>6</sup>. The second objective was to propose prediction equations of tenderness based on 236 237 the abundances of the biomarkers. Among the quantified proteins, Hsp70-1A/B was the only 238 protein associated with the different tenderness indicators in the two muscles and the three 239 breeds. Other proteins including LDH-B, MyHC-IIx, and various small Hsp's were associated 240 with tenderness, but oppositely in the ST compared to the LT muscle. These data suggest that 241 different mechanisms underlie tenderness some which are common between both muscles and 242 while others differ. The underlying mechanisms appear to be related to the contractile and 243 metabolic properties of the muscle and they differ in the sense that the direction of the 244 correlation with tenderness depends on the muscle.

245

## Muscle and breed specific biomarkers of tenderness

246 Our findings show that MyHC-IIx and LDH-B are positively and negatively correlated 247 with tenderness of the ST muscle (fast glycolytic) and LT muscle (fast oxido-glycolytic, respectively. These opposite correlations are in agreement with earlier studies. For example, 248 Chaze et al.<sup>19</sup> showed in young bulls from three main French beef breeds that in the LT 249 250 muscle several proteins representing fast glycolytic properties were negatively correlated with 251 tenderness and several proteins corresponding to slow oxidative properties were positively 252 correlated with tenderness. Studying the same muscle in young Blond d'Aquitaine, Morzel et al. <sup>24</sup> found Succinate dehydrogenase, an oxidative enzyme, to be a good marker of 253 254 tenderness. In Charolais young bulls, fast proteins such as Troponin T fast isoforms, 255 phosphoglucomutase, fast MyHC, glycogen phosphorylase were found to be potential 256 biomarkers of toughness and slow MyHC, ATP synthase β, ApoBEC were associated with increased tenderness <sup>12</sup>. Other studies based on fibre types and enzyme activities showed 257 258 positive relationships between slow oxidative fibre types and tenderness in the LT and a negative relationships in the ST muscle  $^2$ . This was further confirmed in a meta-analysis 259 260 combining more than 332,000 data on fibre types and enzyme activities of these two muscles in several types of cattle <sup>4</sup>. The present results indicate also that in the case of a fast glycolytic 261 262 muscle such as ST, muscles containing a greater proportion of fast glycolytic fibres will 263 produce more tender meat and in the case of a more oxidative muscle such as LT, muscles 264 containing a greater proportion of slow oxidative fibres will produce more tender meat. In the 265 present study, LT meat of AA bulls was more tender, and ST meat was less tender compared 266 to the LI and BA breeds. This is coherent with the opposite correlations described above. 267 Irrespectively of breed, for the LT muscle, the more glycolytic it is, the less tender it is, and 268 for the ST muscle, the more glycolytic it is, the more it is tender. The LT of AA was less 269 glycolytic compared to the other breeds, and consequently more tender. The ST of AA was 270 less glycolytic compared to the other breeds, and consequently, less tender. Other factors, 271 such as lipid content, may of course also play a significant role.

The positive correlation between MyHC-IIx and tenderness observed in the LT muscle of the AA bulls may seem to contrast with the above idea. Other authors found similarly, positive relationships between fast glycolytic type and tenderness in LT muscle from animals with muscles with oxidative characteristics. For example, D'Allessandro *et al.*<sup>25</sup> in *Longissimus dorsi* from Chianina beef cattle observed that the tender meat group on the basis of WBSF was characterized by higher levels of glycolytic enzymes.

278 Overall, existing results demonstrate that the contractile and metabolic properties of 279 muscle play a major role in the elaboration of tenderness.

280 The present study found other opposite associations between proteins and tenderness 281 according to the muscle or breed. Thus, proteins from the small Hsp family (Hsp20, 27 and 282  $\alpha$ B-crystallin) were inversely associated with tenderness depending on two muscles. These 283 proteins were negatively associated with tenderness in the ST muscle and positively in the LT muscle. These data are in agreement to the results of Guillemin et al.<sup>26</sup> which demonstrated 284 285 that in ST muscle, Hsp from both Hsp70 family and small sHsp family were inversely 286 correlated with tenderness as observed in the present study. Nevertheless, these Hsp's were 287 not correlated with tenderness of the LT muscle. In a previous experiment with Blond d'Aquitaine young bulls we found inverse relationships between sHsp abundances and tenderness in ST and LT muscles <sup>10</sup>. This could demonstrate that the functions of sHsp depend on the contractile and metabolic properties of the muscle. Guillemin *et al.* <sup>27</sup> showed that slow oxidative fibres have the highest abundance of  $\alpha$ B-crystallin. This is in accordance with our data showing higher abundances in LT than in ST muscle and earlier data reported by Guillemin *et al.* <sup>26</sup> showing higher abundances in Charolais young bulls and AA than in LI and BA.

Overall, these results allow understanding why the correlations between one biomarker and tenderness could be inversed as described in the literature. Our results give explanations as described in **Figure 3**. These relationships according to muscle and breed types need to be confirmed in other muscles and animal types.

299 In the present study, PRDX6, an antioxidant enzyme was associated with tenderness 300 mainly of the ST muscle, but in opposite directions, depending on the breed. This is in accordance with earlier results. Guillemin et al.<sup>27</sup> found that PRDX6 is a biomarker of low 301 tenderness of the ST muscle in young Charolais bulls while Jia et al.<sup>28</sup> reported an over 302 303 expression of PRDX6 in tender meat in young Norwegian Red bulls. The antioxidant action 304 of PRDX6 is based on the hydrolysis of hydrogen peroxides and by facilitating repair of damaged cell membranes via reduction of peroxidised phospholipids <sup>7,29</sup>. Antioxidant 305 306 enzymes such as PRDX6 and also SOD1 are involved in the protection of the cell against 307 oxidative stress which is causal of free radicals of oxygen, resulting in formation of protein aggregates <sup>30</sup>. These aggregates may hamper the tenderization process of the meat. In 308 agreement with this finding, D'Alessandro et al.<sup>25</sup> proposed that oxidative stress promotes 309 meat tenderness and elicits heat shock protein responses. Ouali and co-workers <sup>7, 31</sup> proposed 310 311 that the first step of the conversion of muscle into meat is the onset of apoptosis. This death 312 process is energy dependent and involve a large number of proteins included Hsp families. D'Alessandro et al.<sup>25</sup> discusses the possible causes of opposite relationships between 313 314 abundances of oxidative enzymes and tenderness. The authors speculate that postmortem 315 metabolism in tender and tough meat is subtly modulated via higher levels of specific 316 enzymes and amino acidic residue phosphorylation in a breed-specific fashion. Results of the 317 present study showing that PRDX6 is positively associated with overall tenderness in AA and negatively in LI young bulls are in accordance with this suggestion. Guillemin et al.<sup>27</sup> 318 319 showed that the relationship between antioxidant enzymes such as PRDX6 and also SOD1 320 was more significant in Charolais steers than in young bulls, suggesting that gender may also

play a role. They showed that SOD1 was correlated with tenderness only in steers, which mayexplain the absence of an association between tenderness and SOD1 in the present study.

Overall, these data demonstrate that the contractile and metabolic properties of muscle play a major role in the elaboration of tenderness. It is likely that mechanisms underlying the determinism of tenderness involve several biological processes such as apoptosis, oxidative stress, and proteolysis which depend probably on these muscle properties.

327

## Hsp70-1A/B a good candidate biomarker of meat tenderness in the three breeds

Proteins from the Hsp70 family (Hsp70-1A/B, Hsp70-8, GRP 75) were recently found to be related to tenderness <sup>8</sup>. In the present study, among Hsp70's, only Hsp70-1A/B (gene HSPA1B) also called Hsp70-2 depending on species, was negatively associated to overall tenderness and tenderness index and positively with WBSF in the two muscles across the three breeds.

333 The members of the Hsp70 family serve a variety of roles: i) they act as molecular 334 chaperones facilitating the assembly of multi-protein complexes, ii) they participate in the 335 translocation of polypeptides across cell membranes and to the nucleus, and iii) they help in the proper folding of nascent polypeptide chains <sup>32</sup>. In the *Diaphragma* muscle from Holstein-336 Friesian cattle, Sugimoto et al. 33 showed that misfolding of energy-related proteins due to 337 338 Hsp70 deficiency might lead to protein aggregation and muscle fiber degeneration. This is in 339 coherence with the negative association with tenderness observed in the present study. Moreover, the study of Crawford and Horowits <sup>34</sup> showed that in particular scaffolding 340 341 proteins and chaperone proteins such as Hsp90 and 70 are required for individual steps in the assembly of myofibril. The study of Miyabara et al.<sup>35</sup> showed that Hsp70 improves structural 342 343 and functional recovery of skeletal muscle after disuse atrophy. This is in agreement with 344 several studies showing that Hsp70 is one of the most important heat shock protein for 345 maintenance of cell integrity during normal cellular growth as well as under pathophysiological conditions <sup>36,37</sup>. These data suggest that Hsp70 is important for 346 347 maintaining structural, ultrastuctural and functional properties of skeletal muscle. Possibly, 348 Hsp70-1A/B plays also an important role in structural modifications during post-mortem ageing. Hsp70-1A/B has further an anti-apoptotic role in skeletal muscle. Gao et al. <sup>36</sup> 349 350 reported a function of Hsp70-1A/B in regulating TNF-α-induced cell apoptosis. By forming a 351 complex Hsp70/CHIP/ASK1, Hsp70 promotes ASK1 proteasomal degradation and prevents 352 TNF-α-induced cell apoptosis. Hsp70 proteins are also known to sequester pro-apoptotic factors such as BCL-2  $^{38}$ . These data are in agreement with the important role of apoptosis in meat ageing  $^{7, 39}$ .

355 The present study found that in contrast to Hsp70-1A/B, the association between the 356 relative abundance of the other Hsp70's and tenderness traits differed according to breed and 357 muscle. This may be explained by different regulation of the expression of these Hsp70's in 358 the muscle. In the present study, Hsp70/Grp75 and Hsp70-8 were less abundant in AA than in 359 BA, particularly in the LT muscle. However, Hsp70-1A/B abundance did not differ between breeds, in the two muscles. These data are in agreement with the results of Guillemin et al.<sup>27</sup> 360 who showed no effect of gender on Hsp70-1A/B abundance between steers and young bulls. 361 362 This would explain the association between Hsp70-1A/B and tenderness across muscles and 363 breeds observed in the present study. The lack of consistent associations between tenderness 364 and Hsp70/Grp75, may be explained by the fact that it is exclusively expressed in the 365 mitochondrial matrix, and that it is involved in the translocation and folding of nascent polypeptide chains of both nuclear and mitochondrial origin  $^{40}$ . 366

These findings suggest that Hsp70-1A/B could be a relatively general biomarker of tenderness in different muscles and breeds compared to proteins discussed earlier which appear to be quite strongly muscle or breed specific.

In conclusion, this study shows that some biomarkers of tenderness such as MyHC IIx, LDH-B and small Hsp are dependent of the contractile and metabolic properties of the muscle (cf **Figure 3**), explaining their opposite relationships with tenderness in the ST compared to the LT muscle and in AA compared to LI and BA bulls. It further shows that Hsp70-1A/B is a biomarker of low beef tenderness across the breeds and muscles studied. All these biomarkers need further testing in various muscles from cattle of different genders and breeds before they can be used as biomarkers in routine immunological testing.

377

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was part of the EU FP6 Integrated Project ProSafeBeef, contract no. FOODCT-2006-36241. Funding by the European Union is gratefully acknowledged with respect to animal production and laboratory analyses. We are further thankful to Constantine 1 university, INATAA Institute (Algeria) for the financial support given to Mohammed Gagaoua (PhD). The authors thank INRA-Le Magneraud for the sensory analysis, INRA UERT (Theix) for animals managing and slaughter. They thank all the persons involved in

384	this project for their assistance in data collection, the management and slaughtering of
385	animals, muscle sampling, and the Dot-blot and Warner-Bratzler assays.
386	REFERENCES
387	(1) Verbeke, W.; Van Wezemael, L.; de Barcellos, M. D.; Kugler, J. O.; Hocquette, J. F.;
388	Ueland, O.; Grunert, K. G., European beef consumers' interest in a beef eating-quality
389	guarantee Insights from a qualitative study in four EU countries. Appetite 2010, 54, 289-96.
390	(2) Picard, B.; Jurie, C.; Bauchart, D.; Dransfield, E.; Ouali, A.; Martin, J. F.; Jailler, R.;
391	Lepetit, J.; Culioli, J., Muscle and meat characteristics from the main beef breeds of the
392	Massif Central. Sciences des Aliments 2007, 27, 168-180.
393	(3) Renand, G.; Picard, B.; Touraille, C.; Berge, P.; Lepetit, J., Relationships between
394	muscle characteristics and meat quality traits of young Charolais bulls. Meat Science 2001,
395	59, 49-60.
396	(4) Chriki, S.; Renand, G.; Picard, B.; Micol, D.; Journaux, L.; Hocquette, J. F., Meta-
397	analysis of the relationships between beef tenderness and muscle characteristics. <i>Livestock</i>
398	Science 2013, 155, 424-454.
399 400	(3) nocquette, J. F., Lennert, S., Barenuse, W., Cassar-Malek, I., Ficalu, B., Recent advances in cottle functional genemics and their application to beef quality. Animal 2007
400	advances in cattle functional genomics and their application to beer quanty. Animai 2007, 1, 150-73
402	(6) Picard B · Berri C · Lefaucheur L · Molette C · Savd T · Terlouw C Skeletal muscle
403	proteomics in livestock production. <i>Brief Funct Genomics</i> <b>2010</b> , <i>9</i> , 259-78.
404	(7) Ouali, A.: Gagaoua, M.: Boudida, Y.: Becila, S.: Boudiellal, A.: Herrera-Mendez, C. H.:
405	Sentandreu, M. A., Biomarkers of meat tenderness: present knowledge and perspectives in
406	regards to our current understanding of the mechanisms involved. <i>Meat Sci</i> <b>2013</b> , <i>95</i> , 854-70.
407	(8) Picard, B.; Gagaoua, M.; Kammoun, M.; Terlouw, C.; Hocquette, J. F.; Micol, D. In
408	Biomarkers of beef tenderness in young bulls of three breeds, Proceedings of the 59th
409	International Congress of Meat Science and Technology, Izmir, Turkey, 2013; Izmir, Turkey,
410	2013; p 4.
411	(9) Gagaoua, M.; Boudida, Y.; Becila, S.; Picard, B.; Boudjellal, A.; Herrera-Mendez, C. H.;
412	Sentandreu, M.; Ouali, A., Serine protease inhibitors as good predictors of meat tenderness:
413	which are they and what are their functions? Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition
414	
415	(10) Picard, B.; Lefevre, F.; Lebret, B., Meat and fish flesh quality improvement with
410	(11) Disord R : Mounier R : Perhairon C : Dunover N : Guillemin N : Micel D From
417 718	(11) Ficald, B., Meuller, B., Barbonon, C., Dunoyer, N., Gunnennin, N., Micol, D., Fioni protein markers to phenotyping tools for evaluation of beef tenderness. In <i>Earm animal</i>
410 419	proteomics Rodrigues P: Eckersall D: Almeida A Eds Wageningen Academic
420	Publishers: 2012: nn 165-168
421	(12) Guillemin, N.: Bonnet, M.: Jurie, C.: Picard, B., Functional analysis of beef tenderness.
422	J Proteomics 2011. 75. 352-65.
423	(13) Listrat, A.; Picard, B.; Jailler, R.; Collignon, H.; Peccatte, J. R.; Micol, D.; Geay, Y.;
424	Dozias, D., Grass valorisation and muscular characteristics of blonde d'Aquitaine steers.
425	Animal Research 2001, 50, 105-118.
426	(14) Jurie, C.; Picard, B.; Micol, D.; Listrat, A.; Kammoun, M.; Hocquette, J. F. In Muscle
427	characteristics from young bulls of different beef breeds., 57th International Congress of Meat
428	Science and Technology, Ghent - Belgium, August 7th - 12th 2011; Ghent - Belgium, 2011.

- 429 (15) Guillemin, N.; Meunier, B.; Jurie, C.; Cassar-Malek, I.; Hocquette, J. F.; Levéziel, H.;
- 430 Picard, B., Validation of a Dot-Blot quantitative technique for large-scale analysis of beef 431
- tenderness biomarkers. Journal of Physiology and Pharmacology 2009, 60, 91-97.
- 432 (16) Dubost, A.; Micol, D.; Picard, B.; Lethias, C.; Andueza, D.; Bauchart, D.; Listrat, A.,
- 433 Structural and biochemical characteristics of bovine intramuscular connective tissue and beef 434 quality. Meat Sci 2013, 95, 555-61.
- 435 (17) Gagaoua, M.; Micol, D.; Richardson, R. I.; Hocquette, J. F.; Terlouw, E. M. C.;
- 436 Meteau, K.; Juin, H.; Moloney, A. P.; Nuernberg, K.; Scollan, N. D.; Boudjellal, A.; Picard,
- 437 B. In Relationships between overall liking score and sensory meat attributes in different types
- 438 of beef cattle, Proceedings of the 59th International Congress of Meat Science and
- 439 Technology, Izmir, Turkey, 2013; Izmir, Turkey, 2013; pp 1-4.
- 440 (18) Lepetit, J.; Culioli, J., Mechanical properties of meat. Meat Sci. 1994, 36, 203-237.
- 441 (19) Chaze, T.; Hocquette, J.-F.; Meunier, B.; Renand, G.; Jurie, C.; Chambon, C.;
- 442 Journaux, L.; Rousset, S.; Denoyelle, C.; Lepetit, J.; Picard, B., Biological Markers for Meat
- 443 Tenderness of the Three Main French Beef Breeds Using 2-DE and MS Approach. In
- 444 Proteomics in Foods, Toldrá, F.; Nollet, L. M. L., Eds. Springer US: 2013; Vol. 2, pp 127-445 146.
- 446 (20) Allais, S.; Levéziel, H.; Hocquette, J.; Rousset, S.; Denoyelle, C.; Journaux, L.;
- 447 Renand, G., Fine mapping of quantitative trait loci underlying sensory meat quality traits in
- 448 three French beef cattle breeds. Journal of Animal Science 2014, 0.
- 449 (21) Guillemin, N.; Meunier, B.; Jurie, C.; Cassar-Malek, I.; Hocquette, J. F.; Leveziel, H.; 450 Picard, B., Validation of a Dot-Blot quantitative technique for large scale analysis of beef 451 tenderness biomarkers. J Physiol Pharmacol 2009, 60 Suppl 3, 91-7.
- 452 (22) Bouley, J.; Chambon, C.; Picard, B., Mapping of bovine skeletal muscle proteins using 453 two-dimensional gel electrophoresis and mass spectrometry. Proteomics 2004, 4, 1811-24.
- 454 (23) Bradford, M. M., A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram 455 quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Anal Biochem 1976, 72, 456 248-54.
- 457 (24) Morzel, M.; Terlouw, C.; Chambon, C.; Micol, D.; Picard, B., Muscle proteome and 458 meat eating qualities of Longissimus thoracis of "Blonde d'Aquitaine" young bulls: A central 459 role of HSP27 isoforms. Meat Science 2008, 78, 297-304.
- 460 (25) D'Alessandro, A.; Marrocco, C.; Rinalducci, S.; Mirasole, C.; Failla, S.; Zolla, L.,
- 461 Chianina beef tenderness investigated through integrated Omics. J Proteomics 2012, 75, 462 4381-98.
- 463 (26) Guillemin, N.; Jurie, C.; Renand, G.; Hocquette, J. F.; Micol, D.; Lepetit, J.; Picard, B.,
- 464 Different phenotypic and proteomic markers explain variability of beef tenderness across 465 muscles. Int. J. Biol 2012, 4, 26-38.
- 466 (27) Guillemin, N.; Jurie, C.; Cassar-Malek, I.; Hocquette, J. F.; Renand, G.; Picard, B., 467 Variations in the abundance of 24 protein biomarkers of beef tenderness according to muscle 468 and animal type. Animal 2011, 5, 885-94.
- 469 (28) Jia, X.; Veiseth-Kent, E.; Grove, H.; Kuziora, P.; Aass, L.; Hildrum, K. I.; Hollung, K.,
- 470 Peroxiredoxin-6--a potential protein marker for meat tenderness in bovine longissimus thoracis muscle. J Anim Sci 2009, 87, 2391-9. 471
- 472 (29) Manevich, Y.; Fisher, A. B., Peroxiredoxin 6, a 1-Cys peroxiredoxin, functions in 473 antioxidant defense and lung phospholipid metabolism. Free Radic Biol Med 2005, 38, 1422-474 32.
- 475 (30) Morzel, M.; Gatellier, P.; Sayd, T.; Renerre, M.; Laville, E., Chemical oxidation
- decreases proteolytic susceptibility of skeletal muscle myofibrillar proteins. Meat Sci 2006, 476 477 73, 536-43.

- 478 (31) Ouali, A.; Herrera-Mendez, C. H.; Coulis, G.; Becila, S.; Boudjellal, A.; Aubry, L.;
  479 Sentandreu, M. A., Revisiting the conversion of muscle into meat and the underlying
  480 mechanisms. *Meat Sci* 2006, 74, 44-58.
- 481 (32) Daugaard, M.; Rohde, M.; Jaattela, M., The heat shock protein 70 family: Highly
  482 homologous proteins with overlapping and distinct functions. *FEBS letters* 2007, 581, 3702483 10.
- 484 (33) Sugimoto, M.; Furuoka, H.; Sugimoto, Y., Deletion of one of the duplicated Hsp70
  485 genes causes hereditary myopathy of diaphragmatic muscles in Holstein-Friesian cattle.
  486 Animal Genetics 2003, 34, 191-197.
- 487 (34) Crawford, G. L.; Horowits, R., Scaffolds and chaperones in myofibril assembly: putting
  488 the striations in striated muscle. *Biophysical reviews* 2011, *3*, 25-32.
- (35) Miyabara, E. H.; Nascimento, T. L.; Rodrigues, D. C.; Moriscot, A. S.; Davila, W. F.;
  AitMou, Y.; deTombe, P. P.; Mestril, R., Overexpression of inducible 70-kDa heat shock
  protein in mouse improves structural and functional recovery of skeletal muscles from
- 492 atrophy. *Pflugers Archiv : European journal of physiology* **2012**, *463*, 733-41.
- (36) Gao, Y.; Han, C.; Huang, H.; Xin, Y.; Xu, Y.; Luo, L.; Yin, Z., Heat shock protein 70
  together with its co-chaperone CHIP inhibits TNF-alpha induced apoptosis by promoting
  proteasomal degradation of apoptosis signal-regulating kinase1. *Apoptosis* 2010, *15*, 822-33.
- 495 proteasomal degradation of apoptosis signal-regulating kinasel. Apoptosis **2010**, *15*, 822-33.
- 496 (37) Kundrat, L.; Regan, L., Identification of residues on Hsp70 and Hsp90 ubiquitinated by
  497 the cochaperone CHIP. *J Mol Biol* 2010, *395*, 587-94.
- 498 (38) Beere, H. M.; Green, D. R., Stress management heat shock protein-70 and the 499 regulation of apoptosis. *Trends Cell Biol* **2001**, *11*, 6-10.
- 500 (39) Gagaoua, M.; Boudida, Y.; Becila, S.; Picard, B.; Boudjellal, A.; Sentandreu, M. A.;
- 501 Ouali, A., New Caspases' inhibitors belonging to the serpin superfamily: A novel key control
- 502 point of apoptosis in mammalian tissues. *Advances in Bioscience and Biotechnology* **2012**, *03*, 740, 750
- 503 740-750.
  - 504 (40) Arakawa, A.; Handa, N.; Shirouzu, M.; Yokoyama, S., Biochemical and structural
  - studies on the high affinity of Hsp70 for ADP. *Protein science : a publication of the Protein Society* 2011, 20, 1367-79.

## **Figure captions**

**Figure 1.** Principal component analysis. **a**) and **c**) Distribution of protein abundance and meat tenderness traits (overall tenderness, WBSF and tenderness index) for the LT and ST muscles respectively; **b**) and **d**) Distribution of the three breeds on the first two principal axes (mean  $\pm$  standard errors) for LT and ST muscles respectively

**Figure 2.** Correlations between predicted and measured beef tenderness traits (for tenderness, WBSF and tenderness index) using best models for the three breeds among LT (a, b and c) and ST muscles (d, e, f). Adjusted R-squares corresponding to the prediction equations shown in Tables (5 - 7) for each breed are given. Breed designation: AA: Aberdeen Angus, BA: Blond d'Aquitaine, LI: Limousin.

**Figure 3.** Schematic illustration of the relationships between muscle metabolic and contractile properties and tenderness depending on the muscle.

Left: For the *Longissimus thoracis* (fast oxido-glycolytic muscle), higher degrees of fast glycolytic properties are associated with lower tenderness. Right: For the *Semitendinous* (fast glycolytic muscle) higher degrees of fast glycolytic properties are associated with higher tenderness.

Consequently biomarkers associated with contractile and metabolic properties of the muscles such as MyHC IIx and LDH-B, are positively or negatively associated with tenderness of the ST and LT muscles, respectively. The opposite is found for other biomarkers such as small Hsp's (Hsp20, Hsp27,  $\alpha$ B-crystallin).

ST and LT muscles from Angus breed (AA) have lower levels of fast glycolytic properties than those of Limousin (LI) and Blond d'Aquitaine (BA) breeds. Therefore, their LT and ST muscles are more and less tender, respectively, than those of the LI and BA breeds.

Protein name	Gene	UniProt ID
Heat Shock Proteins		
αB-Crystallin	CRYAB	P02511
Hsp20	HSPB6	014558
Hsp27	HSPB1	P04792
Hsp40	DNAJA1	P31689
Hsp70-1A/B	HSPA1B	P08107
Hsp70-8	HSPA8	P11142
Hsp70-Grp75	HSPA9	P38646
Metabolism		
Eno3 (Enolase 3)	ENO3	P13929
LDH-B (Lactate Dehydrogenase Chain B)	LDHB	P07195
MDH1 (Malate Dehydrogenase 1)	MDH1	P40925
Structure		
CapZ- $\beta$ (F-actin-capping protein subunit $\beta$ )	CAPZB	P47756
α-actin	ACTA1	P68133
MyLC-1F (Myosin Light Chain 1F)	MYL1	P05976
MyBP-H (Myosin Binding Protein H)	MYBPH	Q13203
MyHC-I (Myosin Heavy Chain-I)	MYH7	P12883
MyHC-II (MyHC IIa+IIx+IIb))	MYH2	Q9UKX2
MyHC-IIx (Myosin Heavy Chain-IIx)	MYH1	P12882
Oxidative resistance		
DJ-1 (Parkinson disease protein 7)	PARK7	Q99497
PRDX6 (Cis-Peroxiredoxin)	PRDX6	P30041
SOD1 (Superoxide Dismutase Cu/Zn)	SOD1	P00441
Proteolysis		
μ-calpain	CAPN1	P07384

**Table 1.** List of the 21 protein biomarkers of beef tenderness investigated in this study<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> List of protein biomarkers of beef tenderness established by our group in previous proteomic studies (For review: Guillemin *et al.*, 2011; Picard *et al*, 2010; Picard *et al*, 2012a,b; 2013)

Target protein	Antibody references	<b>Dilution</b> <sup>1</sup>						
Heat Shock Proteins								
αB-crystallin	Monoclonal anti-bovine Assay Designs SPA-222	1/500						
Hsp20	Monoclonal anti-human Santa Cruz HSP20-11:SC51955	1/200						
Hsp27	Monoclonal anti-human Santa Cruz HSP27 (F-4):SC13132	1/3000						
Hsp40	Monoclonal anti-human Santa Cruz HSP40-4 (SPM251):SC-56400	1/250						
Hsp70-1A/B	Monoclonal anti-human Abnova HSPA1B (M02), clone 3B7	1/2000						
Hsp70-8	Monoclonal anti-bovine Santa Cruz HSC70 (BRM22):SC-59572	1/250						

**Table 2.** Suppliers and conditions for each primary antibody used in this study.

Monoclonal anti-human RD Systems Clone 419612	1/250
Monoclonal anti-human Abnova Eno3 (M01), clone 5D1	1/45000
Monoclonal anti-human Novus LDHB NB110-57160	1/50000
Monoclonal anti-pig Rockland 100-601-145	1/1000
Monoclonal anti-human Abnova CAPZB (M03), clone 4H8	1/250
Monoclonal anti-Rabbit Santa Cruz $\alpha$ -actin (5C5):SC-58670	1/1000
Polyclonal anti-human Abnova MYL1 (A01)	1/1000
Monoclonal anti-human Abnova MYBPH (M01), clone 1F11	1/4000
Monoclonal anti-bovine Biocytex 5B9	1/2000
Monoclonal anti-bovine Biocytex 15F4	1/4000
Monoclonal anti-bovineBiocytex 8F4	1/500
,	
Polyclonal anti-human Santa Cruz DJ-1 (FL-189):SC-32874	1/250
Monoclonal anti-human Abnova PRDX6 (M01), clone 3A10-2A11	1/500
Polyclonal anti-rat Acris SOD1 APO3021PU-N	1/1000
Monoclonal anti-bovine Alexis µ-calpain 9A4H8D3	1/1000
	Monoclonal anti-human RD Systems Clone 419612 Monoclonal anti-human Abnova Eno3 (M01), clone 5D1 Monoclonal anti-human Novus LDHB NB110-57160 Monoclonal anti-pig Rockland 100-601-145 Monoclonal anti-human Abnova CAPZB (M03), clone 4H8 Monoclonal anti-human Abnova CAPZB (M03), clone 4H8 Monoclonal anti-human Abnova MYL1 (A01) Polyclonal anti-human Abnova MYL1 (A01) Monoclonal anti-human Abnova MYBPH (M01), clone 1F11 Monoclonal anti-bovine Biocytex 5B9 Monoclonal anti-bovine Biocytex 8F4 Polyclonal anti-human Santa Cruz DJ-1 (FL-189):SC-32874 Monoclonal anti-human Abnova PRDX6 (M01), clone 3A10-2A11 Polyclonal anti-rat Acris SOD1 APO3021PU-N Monoclonal anti-bovine Alexis μ-calpain 9A4H8D3

<sup>1</sup> Dilution of each antibody was defined according to Guillemin *et al.* (2011).

<sup>2</sup> MyHC II corresponds to fast MyHC: IIa+IIx+IIb isoforms

			Breed (B) <sup>1</sup>			Muse	cle (M) <sup>3</sup>	Si	ignifica	ance <sup>4</sup>
Variables	Muscle	AA 21	BA 25	LI 25	SEM <sup>2</sup>	LT 70	ST 68	В	М	B x M
Overall tenderness	LT⁵ ST	5.27 <sup>a</sup> 4.58	4.85 <sup>b</sup> 4.66	4.75 <sup>b</sup> 4.49	0.08 0.05	4.94 <sup>a</sup>	4.58 <sup>b</sup>	* ns	**	ns
WBSF <sup>6</sup>	LT ST	40.62 45.91 <sup>a,b</sup>	44.24 41.35 <sup>b</sup>	41.69 47.80 <sup>a</sup>	1.27 1.01	42.27	44.90	ns *	t	*
Tenderness index	LT ST	0.67 -0.14 <sup>a,b</sup>	-0.14 0.58 <sup>a</sup>	-0.26 -0.56 <sup>b</sup>	0.20 0.18	0.04	-0.03	ns *	ns	*

Table 3. Effect of breed and muscle on beef tenderness trait evaluated using three analyses methods.

<sup>1</sup> Breed designation: AA: Aberdeen Angus, BA: Blond d'Aquitaine, LI: Limousin.

 $^2$  standard error of mean

<sup>3</sup> Muscle designation: LT: *Longissimus thoracis*, ST: *Semitendinosus* <sup>4</sup> t<0.1, \* <0.05, \*\* < 0.001

<sup>5</sup> Least square means in the same row for breed and muscle effects not followed by a common letter (a-c) differ significantly: P < 0.05. <sup>6</sup> Warner-Bratzler Shear Force test.

			Breed (B) <sup>1</sup>	<sup>1</sup> Muscle (M) <sup>3</sup>			Significance <sup>4</sup>			
Variables	Muscle	AA 21	BA 25	LI 25	SEM <sup>2</sup>	LT 71	ST 69	В	М	B x M
aB-crystallin <sup>5</sup>	LT	26.5 a	16.1 b	18.4 b	0.84	20.0 a	89b	***	***	*
ub-erystanni	ST	12.5a	7.0b	7.9b	0.45	20.0 a	0.90	***		
Hsp20	LT	20.2	17.5	17.9	0.51	18.5 a	13.2 b	t	***	ns
	ST	13.6	12.9	13.3	0.35			ns		
Hsp27	LT	28.7 a	19.0 b	21.4 b	1.08	22.7 a	16.0 b	**	***	ns
	ST	21.0a	13.7b	14.3b	0.60			***		
Hsp40	LT	18.1 a	17.1 <sup>a,b</sup>	16.1 b	0.26	17.0 a	13.0 b	**	***	ns
	ST	13.8	12.8	12.6	0.22			*		
Hsp70-1A/B	LT	17.6	17.8	19.3	0.46	18.3 a	12.5 b	ns	***	ns
	ST	12.0	12.6	12.8	0.29			ns		
Hsp70-8	LT	15.8 b	17.5 a	16.6 <sup>a,b</sup>	0.22	16.7 a	15.6 b	*	**	ns
	ST	15.0	16.0	15.8	0.19			t		
Hsp70/Grp75	LT	9.1 c	16.6 a	12.6 b	0.44	13.0	12.6	***	ns	***
	ST	13.3	12.6	12.0	0.24			ns		
Eno3	LT	17.5 a	14.9 <sup>a,b</sup>	13.4 b	0.56	15.1 a	13.4 b	*	*	ns
	ST	15.5a	13.3b	12.9b	- 0.35			**		
LDH-B	LT	10.2 c	19.1 a	14.2 b	0.59	14.7	13.4	***	t	**
	51	11.2c	15.0a	13.5D	- 0.33			*		
MDH1	ST	12.5 0	15.4 a 15.1	14.1	0.43	14.1	14.9	ns	ns	*
	LT	19.3 a	15.9 b	15.4 b	0.42			**		
CapZ-β	ST	14.9	13.4	13.8	0.28	16.7 a	14.0 b	ns	***	*
	LT	16.9 b	19.5 a	16.3 b	0.40			**		
α-actin	ST	17.5	17.4	17.9	0.31	17.6	17.6	ns	ns	**
	LT	14.9 <sup>a,b</sup>	15.5 <sup>a</sup>	14.3 <sup>b</sup>	0.20	14.0	15.0	*		
MyLC-IF	ST	15.0	15.7	15.0	0.18	14.9	15.2	ns	ns	ns
αB-crystallin <sup>5</sup> Hsp20Hsp27Hsp40Hsp70-1A/BHsp70-3Hsp70/Grp75Cap2-βα-actinMyHC-1FMyHC-IIMyHC-IIDJ-1PRDX6SOD1μ-calpaïn	LT	14.9	13.3	13.7	0.84	14.0	12.7	ns		20
	ST	13.8	12.4	12.0	0.36	14.0	12.7	ns	IIS	lis
Hsp27         Hsp40         Hsp70-1A/B         Hsp70-3         Hsp70/Grp75         Eno3         LDH-B         MDH1         CapZ-β         MyLC-1F         MyBP-H         MyHC-II         MyHC-IIx         DJ-1         PRDX6	LT	17.7	16.9	18.4	0.33	177.0	12.4 h	ns	***	**
Myrre-1	ST	14.3ª	10.2 <sup>c</sup>	12.4 <sup>b</sup>	0.35	17.7 a	12.4 0	***		
MyHC-II	LT	13.7 <sup>c</sup>	16.5 <sup>a</sup>	15.0 <sup>b</sup>	0.30	15.1 b	16 8 a	**	***	*
Myric-II	ST	16.3	16.9	17.2	0.22	15.10	10.0 a	ns		
MvHC-IIx	LT	4.2 <sup>c</sup>	23.3ª	14.3 <sup>b</sup>	1.08	14 5 <sup>b</sup>	24 34 <sup>a</sup>	***	***	**
	ST	18.4 <sup>c</sup>	28.6 <sup>a</sup>	24.8 <sup>b</sup>	0.74	1 1.5	21.31	***		
DI-1	LT	17.1	16.0	16.6	0.33	165a	13.5 h	ns	***	ns
	ST	14.6a	13.1b	13.0b	0.26	10.5 u	15.5 0	*		115
PRDX6	LT	15.5 a	13.4 b	13.3 b	0.22	14.0 b	16.1 a	***	***	ns
	ST	17.7a	15.6b	15.2b	0.23		10.1 a	***		~
SOD1	LT	17.6	15.8	15.6	0.42	16.3	16.7	ns	ns	ns
	ST	16.1	10.2	12.4	1.22			ns		
µ-calpaïn	LT	14.2 b	15.9 a	14.0 b	0.28	14.7	14.4	**	ns	ns
· ·	ST	13.6b	15.2a	14.1a,b	0.26		, 17.7	*		

**Table 4.** Breed, muscle and breed x muscle interaction effects on the 21 protein biomarkers of beef tenderness.

<sup>1</sup> Breed designation: AA: Aberdeen Angus, BA: Blond d'Aquitaine, LI: Limousin.
<sup>2</sup> standard error of mean
<sup>3</sup> Muscle designation: LT: *Longissimus thoracis*, ST: *Semitendinosus*<sup>4</sup> t<0.1, \* <0.05, \*\* < 0.001, \*\*\*<0.0001</li>
<sup>5</sup> Least square means in the same row for breed and muscle effects not followed by a common letter (a-c) differ significantly: P < 0.05.

Breeds <sup>1</sup>	Parameter 1	P- value <sup>2</sup>	Parameter 2	<i>P</i> - value	Parameter 3	<i>P</i> - value	Parameter 4	<i>P</i> - value	Predictive power <sup>3</sup>	P- value model
Semitendinosus muscle										
AA	+ PRDX6	**	– Eno3	*	$-\alpha$ -actin	ŧ	-	-	43	*
BA	– Hsp70-1A/B	*	+ Replicate	*	-	-	-	-	39	*
LI	+MyHC-II	***	– PRDX6	**	+ Hsp70/Grp75	‡	-	-	53	**
All breeds	– Hsp20	*	+ LDH-B	ŧ	+ PRDX6	ŧ	- Hsp70-1A/B	*	14	*
Longissimus thoracis muscle										
AA	– MyLC-1F	**	+ MyHC-IIx	ŧ	+ Replicate	\$	-	-	35	¥
BA	+ DJ-1	***	– MyBP-H	ŧ	+ Replicate	*	-	-	40	*
LI	- Hsp70-1A/B	*	+ MyLC-F1	*	-	-	-	-	35	*
All breeds	– MyHC-IIx	ŧ	+ Hsp20	ŧ	- Hsp70-1A/B	ŧ	-	-	17	*

Table 5. Equations of best models (parameters including the direction and level of significance) to predict overall tenderness across breeds and for each breed

<sup>1</sup> Breed designation: AA: Aberdeen Angus, BA: Blond d'Aquitaine, LI: Limousin <sup>2</sup> Significance of differences: ‡: *P*=0.06; ≠: *P*<0.05; \*: *P*<0.01; \*\*: *P*<0.001; \*\*\*: *P*<0.0001 <sup>3</sup> (%) of variability between animals explained by the model.

Breeds <sup>1</sup>	Parameter 1	P- value <sup>2</sup>	Parameter 2	<i>P</i> - value	Parameter 3	<i>P</i> - value	Predictive power <sup>3</sup>	P- value model			
Semitendinosus muscle											
AA	– αB-Crystallin	ŧ	+ Eno3	*	+ Hsp70-1A/B	ŧ	36	ŧ			
BA	– MyHC-IIx	±	+ Eno3	\$	$-\alpha$ -actin	ŧ	22	ŧ			
LI	– MyHC-IIx	*	– MyHC-II	\$	<ul> <li>Replicate</li> </ul>	***	60	***			
All breeds	– MyHC-IIx	*	+ Hsp70-1A/B	ŧ	-	-	15	*			
			Longissimi	<i>us thoracis</i> mu	ıscle						
AA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
BA	$-\alpha$ -actin	ŧ	-	-	-	-	21	ŧ			
LI	+ LDH-B	**	- MDH1	**	+ Hsp70-1A/B	**	60	***			
All breeds	+ Hsp70-1A/B	*	+ Replicate	ŧ	-	-	10	*			

Table 6. Equations of best models (parameters including the direction and level of significance) to predict WBSF across breeds and for each breed

<sup>1</sup> Breed designation: AA: Aberdeen Angus, BA: Blond d'Aquitaine, LI: Limousin
<sup>2</sup> Significance of differences: <sup>‡</sup>: P=0.1; <sup>‡</sup>: P<0.05; <sup>\*</sup>: P<0.01; <sup>\*\*</sup>: P<0.001; <sup>\*\*\*</sup>: P<0.0001</li>
<sup>3</sup> (%) of variability between animals explained by the model.

Breeds <sup>1</sup>	Parameter 1	P- value <sup>2</sup>	Parameter 2	P- value	Parameter 3	<i>P</i> - value	Parameter 4	P- value	Predictive power <sup>3</sup>	P- value model	
Semitendinosus muscle											
AA	+ αB-Crystallin	ŧ	+ PRDX6	ŧ	– Eno3	*	-	-	47	*	
BA	– Hsp70-1A/B	ŧ	+ Replicate	ŧ	-	-	-	-	24	*	
LI	– αB-Crystallin	*	+ Hsp40	*	+ MyHC-II	**	– PRDX6	**	73	***	
All breeds	+ MyHC-IIx	ŧ	+ Replicate	*	-	-	-	-	12	*	
Longissimus thoracis muscle											
AA	– Eno3	ŧ	+ Hsp27	*	– CapZ-β	+	-	-	29	*	
BA	– CapZ-β	ŧ	+ Hsp40	*	$+ \alpha$ -actin	*	– Hsp70-8	*	28	ŧ	
LI	– LDH-B	***	+ MDH1	**	+ CapZ-β	*	- Hsp70-1A/B	***	66	***	
All breeds	$+ \alpha$ -actin	ŧ	- Hsp70-1A/B	*	– MyHC-IIx	*	-	-	17	**	

Table 7. Equations of best models (parameters including the direction and level of significance) to predict tenderness index across breeds and for each breed

<sup>1</sup> Breed designation: AA: Aberdeen Angus, BA: Blond d'Aquitaine, LI: Limousin <sup>2</sup> Significance of differences: ‡: *P*=0.1; *#*: *P*<0.05; *#*: *P*<0.01; *##*: *P*<0.001; *##*: *P*<0.0001 <sup>3</sup> (%) of variability between animals explained by the model.



LT muscle

ST muscle

Figure 1.



Figure 2.



Figure 3.

