

Ectopic activation of the rice NLR heteropair RGA4/RGA5 confers resistance to bacterial blight and bacterial leaf streak diseases

Mathilde Hutin, Stella Cesari, Véronique Chalvon, Corinne Michel, Tuan Tu Tran, Jens Boch, Ralph Koebnik, Boris Szurek, Thomas T. Kroj

▶ To cite this version:

Mathilde Hutin, Stella Cesari, Véronique Chalvon, Corinne Michel, Tuan Tu
 Tran, et al.. Ectopic activation of the rice NLR heteropair RGA4/RGA5 confers
 resistance to bacterial blight and bacterial leaf streak diseases. Plant Journal, 2016, 88 (1), pp.43-55. 10.1111/tpj.13231 . hal-02637573

HAL Id: hal-02637573 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02637573

Submitted on 27 May 2020 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Revised Date : 01-Jun-2016

Accepted Date : 03-Jun-2016

Article type : Original Article

Ectopic activation of the rice NLR heteropair RGA4/RGA5 confers resistance to bacterial blight and bacterial leaf streak diseases

Mathilde Hutin^{1#}, Stella Césari^{2,3,4#}, Véronique Chalvon^{2,3,4}, Corinne Michel^{2,3,4}, Tuan Tu Tran¹, Jens Boch⁵, Ralf Koebnik¹, Boris Szurek^{1*} and Thomas Kroj^{2,3,4*}

¹UMR Interactions Plantes-Microorganismes-Environnement, IRD-Cirad-UM, Montpellier, France.

² INRA, Biology and Genetics of Plant-Pathogen Interactions Laboratory, Montpellier, France.
³CIRAD, Biology and Genetics of Plant-Pathogen Interactions Laboratory, Montpellier, France.

⁴Supagro, Biology and Genetics of Plant-Pathogen Interactions Laboratory, Montpellier, France.

⁵Department of Genetics, Martin Luther University Halle-Wittenberg, 06099 Halle (Saale), Germany

*These authors have contributed equally to this work.

*Corresponding authors

Corresponding authors:

T. Kroj : Tel.: + 33 4 99 62 48 62, E-mail: thomas.kroj@supagro.inra.fr

B. Szurek : Tel.: +33 4 67 41 62 12, E-mail: boris.szurek@ird.fr

Running head : TALE-mediated expression of NLR E genes against Xo

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as an 'Accepted Article', doi: 10.1111/tpj.13231

Summary

Bacterial Blight (BB) and Bacterial Leaf Streak (BLS) are important rice diseases caused, respectively, by Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae (Xoo) and Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzicola (Xoc). In both bacteria, Transcription Activator-Like (TAL) effectors are major virulence determinants that act by transactivating host genes downstream of Effector-Binding Elements (EBEs) bound in a sequence specific manner. Resistance to Xoo is mostly related to TAL effectors action, either by polymorphisms that prevent induction of susceptibility (S) genes or by executor (R) genes with EBEs embedded in their promoter and that induce cell death and resistance. For Xoc, no resistance sources are known in rice. Here, we investigated whether the recognition of effectors by nucleotide-binding and leucine rich repeat domain immune receptors (NLRs), the most widespread resistance mechanism in plants, is also able to stop BB and BLS. In one instance, transgenic rice lines harboring the AVR1-CO39 effector gene from the rice blast fungus Magnaporthe oryzae, under the control of an inducible promoter, were challenged with transgenic Xoo and Xoc strains carrying a TAL effector designed to transactivate the inducible promoter. This induced AVR1-CO39 expression and triggered BB and BLS resistance when the corresponding *Pi-CO39* resistance locus was present. In a second example, transactivation of an auto-active NLR by Xoo-delivered designer TAL effectors resulted in BB resistance, demonstrating that NLR-triggered immune responses efficiently control Xoo. This forms the foundation for future BB and BLS disease control strategies whereupon endogenous TAL effectors will target synthetic promoter regions of Avr or NLR executor genes.

Introduction

Plant-pathogen interactions are governed by specificity. Plant pathogens possess precisely adapted infection strategies that allow them to colonize particular tissues of a generally limited range of host plant species. For this purpose, they deploy specialized virulence factors enabling them to overcome physical and chemical barriers of the plant, access nutrients and suppress or escape host immune responses. Among them, effectors are of central importance. They are pathogen proteins that are secreted into the host-pathogen interface or directly inside host cells during infection and mediate immune suppression or manipulation of plant physiology and metabolism (Hogenhout *et al.*, 2009, Jones and Dangl 2006).

Plant resistance is also highly specific and adapted to the type of pathogen. It largely relies on inducible defense responses activated upon the recognition of pathogen-derived signals by plant immune receptors. Most of them are either cytoplasmic proteins of the class of nucleotidebinding and leucine-rich repeat domain (NLR) proteins (Takken and Goverse 2012), or plasma membrane-located proteins which possess an extracellular receptor domain often coupled to an intracellular kinase domain (Böhm *et al.*, 2014). NLR proteins recognize cytoplasmic effector proteins in a direct or indirect manner, while membrane immune receptors recognize many different types of pathogen-derived signals such as effector proteins that act in the plant-pathogen interface, outside of host cells, general microbe-associated molecular patterns such as cell wall-derived fungal chitin or bacterial lipo-polysaccharides or plant-derived compounds released during infection and acting as danger signals (Cook *et al.*, 2015, Jones and Dangl 2006). Immune receptor activation triggers rapid defense responses that are accompanied by an oxidative burst and often involve a localized programmed cell death called the hypersensitive

response (HR). Generally, activation of these plant immune responses stops or attenuates colonization by the pathogen. However, in certain cases it is exploited by pathogens to promote their development (Faris *et al.*, 2010).

Rice is the staple food for nearly half the world's population. Its production is constantly threatened by many different diseases among which the most devastating is blast, caused by the ascomycete fungus *Magnaporthe oryzae*. Other important diseases include bacterial blight (BB) and bacterial leaf streak (BLS), respectively caused by the gram negative bacteria *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae* (*Xoo*) and *X. oryzae* pv. *oryzicola* (*Xoc*). These three pathogens infect leaves but their tissue specificity differs. *M. oryzae* attacks epidermal and mesophyll cells, *Xoc* enters through stomata or wounds and colonizes the mesophyll apoplast and *Xoo* occupies the xylem upon entry through hydathodes or wounds (Nino-Liu *et al.*, 2006).

In both, blast and BB, genetic resistances are crucial for disease control but, interestingly, the genes and mechanisms conferring resistance to *M. oryzae* and *Xoo* are fundamentally different (Liu *et al.*, 2014). Most of the more than 100 blast *R* genes that have been genetically identified and mapped in rice act exclusively in a dominant manner (Ballini *et al.*, 2008, Liu *et al.*, 2014). Among the 24 *R* genes that have been cloned, 23 code for NLRs. This shows that rice blast resistance is mainly conferred by dominant resistance (*R*) genes coding for NLR immune receptors. Ten *avirulence (Avr)* genes have been cloned from *M. oryzae* and all but one code for effector proteins that are secreted and translocated into the cytoplasm of host cells during infection (Giraldo and Valent 2013, Wu *et al.*, 2015). With the exception of PWL2, whose cognate *R* gene is unknown, these translocated Avr effectors from *M. oryzae* are recognized by NLR proteins indicating that cytoplasmic effector recognition by NLR immune receptors is the main mechanism in rice blast resistance. Interestingly, in many cases, rice blast resistance involves paired NLR proteins that are both necessary and sufficient to recognize one or multiple Avrs (Cesari *et al.*, 2014a, Cesari *et al.*, 2014b).

Among them, the NLR pair RGA4/RGA5 that interacts functionally and physically to recognize the *M. oryzae* effectors AVR1-CO39 and AVR-Pia became a model for understanding their mode of action in monocots (Cesari et al., 2014b, Cesari et al., 2013). RGA4 and RGA5 are tightly linked at the Pi-CO39/Pia resistance locus in an inverted tandem orientation with a small shared 5' intergenic region of 3.5 kb. RGA4 acts as a constitutively active activator of disease resistance signaling and is repressed, in the absence of pathogen, by RGA5 (Figure S1). Consistent with this, *RGA4* overexpression or, *RGA5* knock-down leads to cell death in the absence of recognized Avrs (Cesari et al., 2014b). RGA5 acts, in addition to its repressor function, as a receptor of AVR1-CO39 and AVR-Pia. It binds physically to these effectors through an unconventional Cterminal domain related to the copper chaperone ATX1 (RATX1 domain) that is required for effector recognition and acts as an integrated decoy domain (Cesari et al., 2014a, Cesari et al., 2013). This recognition event de-represses RGA4 and triggers immune responses that lead to the inhibition of pathogen growth and the induction of HR. The molecular details of the interaction between RGA4 and RGA5 are still poorly defined but may rely on higher order complex formation. Indeed, homo and heterotypic interactions of RGA4 and RGA5, involving in particular their N-terminal coiled-coil domains, have been detected (Cesari et al., 2014b).

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

Comment citer ce document : Hutin, M., Cesari, S., Chalvon, V., Michel, C., Tran, T. T., Boch, J., Koebnik, R., Szurek, B., Kroj, T. (2016). Ectopic activation of the rice NLR heteropair RGA4/RGA5 confers resistance to bacterial blight and bacterial leaf streak diseases. Plant Journal, 88 (1), 43-55. DOI : 10.1111/tpj.13231 While no BLS *R* gene has yet been identified in rice, 41 BB *R* genes have been characterized genetically. Among them, about a third act in a recessive manner (Liu *et al.*, 2014). Ten BB *R* genes have been cloned so far, including 6 genes whose action is mediated by Transcription Activator-Like (TAL) effectors (Chu et al., 2006, Gu et al., 2005, Hutin et al., 2015b, Liu et al., 2011, Tian et al., 2014, Wang et al., 2014). TAL effectors are major virulence determinants in many plant pathogenic Xanthomonas species. They act as bona fide plant transcription factors able to localize to the host cell nucleus and regulate the host transcriptome (Boch and Bonas 2010). TAL effector proteins have a conserved modular structure including an N-terminal type 3 secretion signal, a central region made of tandem repeated sequences involved in host DNAbinding, 2-3 nuclear localization signals and an acidic transcription activation domain (AD) located in the C-terminus. The central region is composed of nearly identical 33-35 amino acids repeat where most of the polymorphism lays within residues at position 12 and 13, also referred to as hyper variable di-residues (RVDs). The RVDs array in a TAL effector defines its DNA-binding specificity, and therefore its capacity to bind to a host promoter through specific target sequences referred to as Effector-Binding Elements (EBEs). The TAL code that governs this interaction was elucidated, demonstrating that each repeat recognizes one single nucleotide through its RVD (Boch et al., 2009, Moscou and Bogdanove 2009). This allows to scan host promoteromes for the preferential EBEs of a given TAL effector based on its RVDs sequence (Noel et al., 2013) and to design so-called designer/artificial TAL effectors to specifically induce genes of interest (Bogdanove and Voytas 2011).

In a susceptible host, TAL effectors mediate the induction of susceptibility (*S*) genes, that are essential for disease development (Hutin *et al.*, 2015a). In rice, the best-characterized are clade-III members of the SWEET family of sugar transporter which determine host susceptibility to *Xoo* (Streubel *et al.*, 2013). Several studies showed that the lack of induction of these *SWEET* genes due to polymorphisms in the EBEs leads to resistance by loss of susceptibility (Hutin *et al.*, 2015a). This is exemplified by the 3 cloned recessive genes *xa13*, *xa25* and *xa41* that present polymorphisms in their promoter resulting in impaired induction of *OsSWEET11*, *OsSWEET13* and *OsSWEET14* respectively (Chu *et al.*, 2006, Hutin *et al.*, 2015b, Liu *et al.*, 2011). The action of the recessive resistance gene *xa5* is also TAL effector-dependent. It encodes for the small γ subunit of the general transcription factor TFIIA that differs from Xa5 by a single amino acid substitution (Iyer and McCouch 2004). Physical interaction between Xa5 and TAL effectors was not demonstrated, however several studies suggest that this general transcription factor could be required for TAL effector-dependent trans-activation of target host genes (Gu *et al.*, 2009, Sugio *et al.*, 2007, Tian *et al.*, 2014).

The remaining 6 cloned BB *R* genes are dominant. 3 of them are triggered by *Xoo* TAL effectors including AvrXa27, AvrXa10 and AvrXa23, which lead to resistance through transcriptional activation of their cognate *R* genes *Xa27*, *Xa10* and *Xa23* respectively (Zhang *et al.*, 2015). All 3 code for proteins of unknown functions that act as uncommon activators of resistance responses and cell death and the corresponding genes were therefore called executor (*E*) genes (Boch *et al.*, 2014). *Xa21* (Song *et al.*, 1995) and *Xa3/Xa26* (Sun *et al.*, 2004) code for receptor-like protein kinases and the tyrosine-sulfated peptide RaxX has recently been identified as the presumable ligand of Xa21 (Pruitt et al., 2015). Interestingly, *Xa1* is the only BB *R* gene that encodes an NLR (Yoshimura *et al.*, 1998). Its mode of action and its corresponding *Avr* gene are not known. Overall, it clearly appears that on the contrary to rice blast resistance, resistance to

Xoo relies on many different mechanisms but not or only marginally on effector recognition by NLR receptors. In fact, whether or not immunity triggered by NLR-dependent effector recognition can control *Xoo* has not been investigated yet.

Results

AVR1-CO39 expression triggers cell death and an oxidative burst in Pi-CO39 rice lines.

To investigate the impact of NLR-triggered immunity on *Xoo* and *Xoc* infection, we generated transgenic rice lines expressing AVR1-CO39 in an inducible manner and verified that AVR1-CO39 expression triggers Pi-CO39-dependant defense responses and cell death. AVR1-CO39 was cloned in the pINDEX2 vector under the control of the *pUAS_{gal4}* promoter (*pUAS_{gal4}::AVR1-CO39*) that is activated by the pINDEX2-encoded GVG transcription factor after perception of the glucocorticoid hormone dexamethasone (DEX) (Ouwerkerk et al., 2001). The plasmid was stably introduced into the rice cultivars Kitaake, which possesses the Pi-CO39 locus allowing recognition of AVR1-CO39 (Cesari et al., 2013), and Kanto51 (pi-co39) used as a negative control. To verify tight regulation of the *pUAS*_{gal4} promoter, control rice lines were generated with a pINDEX2 plasmid carrying the *uidA* gene cloned downstream of the *pUAS_{gal4}* sequence (pUASgal4::uidA). These lines showed high beta-glucuronidase (GUS) activity after transplantation into DEX-containing medium but no GUS activity when transplanted into DEX free medium (Figure 1A). Transgenic lines carrying the pUASgal4::AVR1-CO39 construct did not show any GUS activity with or without DEX treatment. These results indicate that the $pUAS_{gal4}$ promoter is tightly regulated in the used rice accessions. It is not active prior to DEX induction and it allows strong gene expression after induction.

To determine whether $pUAS_{gal4}$ also drives AVR1-CO39 expression in a DEX-inducible manner, AVR1-CO39 transcript levels were determined by qRT-PCR using total RNA extracted from leaves of DEX- or mock-treated transgenic Kitaake and Kanto51 rice lines carrying the $pUAS_{gal4}$:AVR1-CO39 or the $pUAS_{gal4}$::uidA construct (Figure 1B). AVR1-CO39 transcripts were not detected in DEX- or mock-treated $pUAS_{gal4}$::uidA or mock-treated $pUAS_{gal4}$:AVR1-CO39 plants, whereas DEX-treatment induced AVR1-CO39 expression in all transgenic lines carrying the $pUAS_{gal4}$:AVR1-CO39 construct (Figure 1B). Interestingly, the AVR1-CO39 transcript level was three times higher in one Kitaake $pUAS_{gal4}$:AVR1-CO39 line (Kitaake line 1) compared to the other (Kitaake line 2). Taken together, these results indicate that the $pUAS_{gal4}$ promoter drives the expression of AVR1-CO39 after DEX treatment only. However, different levels of expression are observed among independent transgenic lines.

Remarkably, expression of *AVR1-CO39* in Kitaake plants, carrying the resistance locus *Pi-CO39*, induced a necrosis of the entire plant less than 4 days after transplantation in the DEX-containing medium (Figure 2A). This phenotype was more pronounced in Kitaake line1, which expressed *AVR1-CO39* at the highest level, compared to line 2 (Figure 1B). No cell death was observed in Kitaake plants that were transplanted in the mock medium (Figure 2 A). Kanto51 *pUAS_{gal4}::AVR1-CO39* plants did not die with or without DEX-induction indicating that the observed necrosis results of the specific recognition of the effector by the products of *Pi-CO39* (Figure 2A). Control Kitaake transgenic lines carrying the *pUAS_{gal4}::uidA* construct did not show any cell death after DEX treatment.

We noted an overall reduction of plant growth after transplantation in the DEX-containing medium compared to plants transferred in the mock medium (Figure 2A). A similar phenotype was observed previously in *Arabidopsis thaliana* and rice and is associated with the non-specific activation of off-targets by the GVG transcription factor after its DEX-mediated nuclear relocalization (Kang *et al.*, 1999, Ouwerkerk *et al.*, 2001).

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) play a major role in plant defense against pathogens and the production of hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) has been recognized as an important feature of plant cells that undergo programmed cell death during host-pathogen interaction. To determine whether the cell death phenotype induced by AVR1-CO39 recognition is linked to the production of H_2O_2 , 3,3'-diaminobenzidine (DAB) staining was performed on DEX- or mock-treated *pUAS_{gal4}::uidA* or *pUAS_{gal4}::AVR1-CO39* lines (Figure 2B). DAB polymerizes instantly and locally into a stable brown polymer when it comes to contact with H_2O_2 in the presence of peroxidase and has been widely used to detect H_2O_2 accumulation in plant cells undergoing an HR after pathogen recognition (Thordal-Christensen *et al.*, 1997). Following DEX treatment, only leaves from transgenic Kitaake lines expressing AVR1-CO39 showed a strong DAB staining (Figure 2B). Mock-treated Kitaake *pUAS_{gal4}::uidA* and Kanto51 *pUAS_{gal4}::uidA* or *pUAS_{gal4}::AVR1-CO39* lines as well as DEX- or mock-treated Kitaake *pUAS_{gal4}::uidA* and Kanto51 *pUAS_{gal4}::uidA* or *pUAS_{gal4}::AVR1-CO39* lines as well as DEX- or mock-treated Kitaake *pUAS_{gal4}::uidA* or *pUAS_{gal4}::uidA* or *pUAS_{gal4}::AVR1-CO39* lines were not stained. This shows that production of H_2O_2 occurs specifically after induction of *AVR1-CO39* expression and only when the effector is recognized by the products of *Pi-CO39* (Figure 2B).

Taken together, these results indicate that a ROS burst followed by an HR occurs at the whole plant level following AVR1-CO39 recognition in plants carrying *Pi-CO39*.

A designer TAL effector transformed in *Xoo* and *Xoc* allows specific induction of the *pUAS_{gal4}* promoter.

To investigate whether the immune response triggered by the *Pi-CO39*-mediated recognition of AVR1-CO39 confers resistance to *X. oryzae* pathovars, a designer TAL effector was engineered to specifically target the $pUAS_{gal4}$ promoter (dTALE_{UAS}) and induce *AVR1-CO39* expression (Figure S1, Table S1). dTALE_{UAS} was transformed into *Xoo* strain PXO99^A and *Xoc* strain BLS256 that are both virulent on Kitaake and Kanto51 rice cultivars.

To verify that the PXO99^A and BLS256 strains expressing $dTALE_{UAS}$ are able to specifically induce the $pUAS_{gal4}$ promoter, they were infiltrated in leaves of transgenic rice lines carrying the $pUAS_{gal4}$::uidA construct (Figure 3A). Strong GUS activity was detected after infiltration with *Xoo* ($dTALE_{UAS}$) and *Xoc* ($dTALE_{UAS}$) while no GUS activity was observed after infiltration with strains carrying the empty vector (EV) (Figure 3A). This indicates that $dTALE_{UAS}$ transactivates the $pUAS_{gal4}$ promoter. To analyze the induction of AVR1-CO39 by $dTALE_{UAS}$ in $pUAS_{gal4}$::AVR1-CO39lines, AVR1-CO39 transcript levels were determined by qRT-PCR in leaves of transgenic rice lines carrying the $pUAS_{gal4}$::AVR1-CO39 or the $pUAS_{gal4}$::uidA construct infiltrated with mock, $PXO99^A$ (EV) or $PXO99^A$ ($dTALE_{UAS}$) (Figure 3B). AVR1-CO39 was weakly or not at all expressed upon infiltration of water or $PXO99^A$ (EV) and induced in $pUAS_{gal4}$::AVR1-CO39 lines infiltrated with $PXO99^A$ ($dTALE_{UAS}$) but not in $pUAS_{gal4}$::uidA lines. Interestingly, and consistent with the level of transcriptional induction after DEX treatment (Figure 3B), AVR1-CO39 transcript levels were higher in Kitaake $pUAS_{gal4}$::AVR1-CO39 line 1 than in Kitaake $pUAS_{gal4}$::AVR1-CO39 line 2. Taken together, these results indicate that the $pUAS_{gal4}$ promoter is specifically induced by both pathovars of *X. oryzae* carrying $dTALE_{UAS}$.

AVR1-CO39 induction in Pi-CO39 rice lines confers resistance to X. oryzae.

As a next step, it was investigated whether the immune response triggered by the *Pi-CO39*mediated recognition of AVR1-CO39 confers resistance to the vascular *Xoo* or mesophyllrestricted *Xoc* pathogens. *Xoo* PXO99^A and *Xoc* BLS256 derivative strains carrying the empty vector (*EV*) or *dTALE*_{UAS} were infiltrated in leaves of transgenic *pUAS*_{gal4}::*AVR1-CO39* or *pUAS*_{gal4}::*uidA* lines and water-soaking disease lesions were recorded 5 days after-inoculation (Figure 4). PXO99^A (*EV*) lead to water soaking symptoms on all transgenic Kitaake and Kanto51 lines, showing that PXO99^A is fully virulent on these rice cultivars. As expected, PXO99^A (*dTALE*_{UAS}) also caused strong disease symptoms on Kanto51 lines, as well as on Kitaake *pUAS*_{gal4}::*uidA* line. In contrast, water soaking symptoms were strongly reduced upon inoculation of Kitaake *pUAS*_{gal4}::*AVR1-CO39* lines with PXO99^A (*dTALE*_{UAS}), suggesting that the immune response activated by the recognition of AVR1-CO39 by the products of the *Pi-CO39* resistance locus prevented BB.

To determine more precisely the level of *Xoo* resistance, the transgenic Kitaake and Kanto51 lines carrying $pUAS_{gal4}::AVR1$ -CO39 or $pUAS_{gal4}::uidA$ were inoculated with PXO99^A (EV) and PXO99^A ($dTALE_{UAS}$) by leaf clipping, and the length of disease lesions was measured two weeks later. As expected, PXO99^A (EV) caused disease on all tested Kitaake and Kanto 51 lines and there was no difference in the lesion length between Kitaake $pUAS_{gal4}::AVR1$ -CO39 and $pUAS_{gal4}::uidA$ lines (Figure 5A). In contrast, PXO99^A ($dTALE_{UAS}$) was avirulent on Kitaake $pUAS_{gal4}::AVR1$ -CO39 where lesion length was drastically reduced as compared to Kitaake $pUAS_{gal4}::uidA$. Kitaake $pUAS_{gal4}::AVR1$ -CO39 line 1 exhibited a higher level of resistance than line 2 which is consistent with the higher induction of AVR1-CO39 in line 1 as compared to line 2 (Figure 3B).

To determine if resistance to PXO99^A (*dTALE*_{UAS}) in Kitaake *pUAS*_{gal4}::*AVR1-CO39* is correlated with reduced *in planta* bacterial growth, we quantified *Xoo* populations eight days after leaf-clip inoculation PXO99^A (*dTALE*_{UAS}) grew at similar or slightly higher levels than PXO99^A (*EV*) in most rice lines, but its titer was drastically reduced or undetectable in Kitaake *pUAS*_{gal4}::*AVR1-CO39* (Figure 5B). This demonstrates that the immune response activated by *Pi-CO39*-mediated recognition of AVR1-CO39 prevents leaf colonization by *Xoo*.

To test if the *Pi-CO39*-mediated immune response is also effective against mesophyll-restricted *Xoc*, the ability of BLS256 (*dTALE_{UAS}*) to cause leaf streaks on Kanto51 and Kitaake *pUAS_{gal4}::AVR1-CO39* or *pUAS_{gal4}::uidA* lines was assayed. Again, all rice lines exhibited typical BLS symptoms, except Kitaake *pUAS_{gal4}::AVR1-CO39* leaves inoculated with BLS256 (*dTALE_{UAS}*) (Figure 4). The amount of bacteria was measured *in planta*, 6 days after leaf infiltration. As expected from the observed disease symptoms, the titer of *Xoc* strain BLS256 (*dTALE_{UAS}*) is significantly lower on Kitaake *pUAS_{gal4}::AVR1-CO39* (Figure 5C). Overall, our results show that the immune response triggered upon recognition of the fungal effector AVR1-CO39 by the products of the *Pi-CO39* rice blast *R* locus, the NLR hetero-pair RGA4/RGA5, is effective against rice pathogenic Xanthomonads, regardless of their tissue specificities.

dTALE-mediated induction of *RGA4* confers resistance to *Xoo* and *Xoc* in *Pi-CO39* rice cultivars.

To further strengthen our finding that NLR-mediated immune responses prevent infection by *Xoo*, two designer TAL effectors, dTALE_{RGA4_1} and dTALE_{RGA4_2}, were generated to target specifically two distinct binding sites in the promoter of *RGA4* (Figure S1D, S2) whose product is a constitutively active inducer of immune responses (Cesari *et al.*, 2014b). In the absence of pathogen, RGA4 is repressed by RGA5 and disease resistance signaling is only activated when RGA5 binds AVR1-CO39 and AVR-Pia and RGA4 is de-repressed. A balanced *RGA4/RGA5* expression is therefore important for proper RGA4 regulation and trans-activation of *RGA4* should trigger the induction of immune responses and resistance to *Xoo*.

PXO99^A strains carrying either $dTALE_{RGA4_1}$ or $dTALE_{RGA4_2}$ were fully virulent on the rice variety Nipponbare that does not contain RGA4 (Cesari *et al.*, 2013) but did not cause disease symptoms on leaves of the rice varieties Kitaake, Sasanishiki and CO39 that all contain RGA4 (Figure 6A). To document more precisely this avirulence on *Pi-CO39* varieties, lesion lengths after leaf-clip inoculation were compared between PXO99^A ($dTALE_{RGA4_1}$) and PXO99^A (EV). On all varieties that carry *RGA4*, lesion length of PXO99^A ($dTALE_{RGA4_1}$) was drastically reduced when compared to PXO99^A (EV) while there was no difference between both strains in Kanto51 that does not possess *RGA4* (Figure 6B).

Taken together, these results show that *RGA4*-driven immune responses are sufficient to control *Xoo*.

Discussion

Recognition of a fungal effector triggers resistance to bacterial pathogens in rice.

In this study, we demonstrate that the resistance response triggered by the recognition of the *M*. oryzae effector AVR1-CO39 by the rice NLR pair RGA4/RGA5 is effective not only against this fungal pathogen but also against the bacterial rice pathogens *Xoo* and *Xoc*. Naturally occurring cases of NLR proteins conferring resistance to multiple pathogens are thought to rely on the recognition of different AVR effectors produced by the distinct recognized pathogens. For instance, the Arabidopsis NLR pair *RPS4/RRS1* confers resistance to the bacterial pathogens Pseudomonas syringae and Ralstonia solanacearum through the recognition of the effectors AvrRps4 and PopP2 respectively (Deslandes et al., 2003, Gassmann et al., 1999). In addition, this NLR pair confers resistance to the fungus *Colletotrichum higginsianum* through recognition of a yet unknown effector presumably different from the bacterial ones (Birker et al., 2009). Another example is the tomato *Mi-1* gene that confers resistance to both root-knot nematodes and aphids through the recognition of unknown effectors that might differ between the two parasites (Vos et al., 1998). In contrast to these examples, our analysis shows that the recognition of a single AVR effector by the NLR heteropair RGA4/RGA5 is efficient to prevent infection by pathogens belonging to distinct kingdoms, using different infection strategies and colonizing distinct plant tissues. This indicates that defense responses activated by RGA4/RGA5 are broadly effective and are not specific to *M. oryzae*.

Such broad activity of an NLR-triggered immune response has previously been reported in *A. thaliana* but not yet in monocotyledonous plants. Indeed, the expression of the effectors ATR1 and ATR13 from the oomycete pathogen *Hyaloperonospora parasitica* fused to bacterial type III

secretion signals in *P. syringae* triggered resistance to this bacterial pathogen in *A. thaliana* accessions carrying the corresponding *R* genes *RPP1* or *RPP13* (Rentel *et al.,* 2008, Sharma *et al.,* 2013, Sohn *et al.,* 2007). In addition, introduction of ATR13 into turnip mosaic virus rendered the recombinant virus avirulent on *A. thaliana* accessions possessing *RPP13* (Rentel *et al.,* 2008). It therefore becomes clear that, upon effector recognition, NLRs from mono and dicotyledonous plants activate immune responses with a very large spectrum of activity that are effective against a broad range of pathogenic microorganisms with very different life styles.

NLR-mediated immune responses prevent colonization by xylem- and mesophyllcolonizing *X. oryzae* pathovars.

It is striking that despite the thorough investigation of BB and BLS no case has been discovered where rice resistance is based on NLR-mediated effector recognition (Boch *et al.*, 2014, Liu *et al.*, 2014). A potential exception is the BB resistance gene *Xa1* which encodes an NLR but its mode of action remains elusive because no corresponding *AVR* gene has been described and downstream responses have not been characterized (Yoshimura *et al.*, 1998). *Xa1* was described to be induced after infection with an avirulent *Xoo* isolate. However, there is no evidence that it acts as an *E* gene since it is also expressed during infection with a virulent isolate and under control conditions. Moreover the semi quantitative RT-PCR experiments used in the study document *Xa1* expression only in an approximate manner (Yoshimura *et al.*, 1998). The *Xoc* non-host *R* gene *Rxo1* from maize codes for an NLR that recognizes the AvrRxo1 effector from *Xoc* and confers resistance to BLS in transgenic rice (Zhao *et al.*, 2005). However, rice *R* genes against BLS coding either for NLRs or other proteins have not been discovered yet.

The reason for this marginal role of NLRs in BB and BLS resistance is not clear and hypothesis such as the presence of potent immunity suppressors in *Xoo* and *Xoc* or the very pronounced tissue specificity of *Xoo* that is restricted to the xylem where NLR-triggered immune responses could eventually be inefficient seem not to hold true. Other xylem-colonizing vascular pathogens such as *Fusarium oxysporum, Ralstonia solanacearum* and *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *campestris* are controlled by NLRs showing that defense responses induced by NLRs effectively control a broad diversity of pathogen infection strategies including xylem colonization (Deslandes *et al.,* 2002; Wang *et al.,* 2015; Michielse and Rep, 2009). Immune suppression has been described for *Xoc* that is able to suppress Xa10-mediated resistance triggered by AvrXa10 recognition (Makino *et al.,* 2006). However, Xa10 is not an NLR but an executor R protein of unknown function (Tian *et al.,* 2014). Our study does not provide evidence for the suppression of NLR-triggered immunity by *Xoc* or *Xoo* and clearly indicates that BB and BLS can be effectively controlled by immune responses triggered upon NLR-mediated effector recognition.

Therefore, screening the pool of existing NLRs from rice for receptors that recognize conserved type III effectors from *Xoo* or *Xoc* and in particular conserved domains of TAL effectors or developing engineered NLRs with such specificities appear as innovative and promising strategies for novel BB and BLS resistances.

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

Comment citer ce document : Hutin, M., Cesari, S., Chalvon, V., Michel, C., Tran, T. T., Boch, J., Koebnik, R., Szurek, B., Kroj, T. (2016). Ectopic activation of the rice NLR heteropair RGA4/RGA5 confers resistance to bacterial blight and bacterial leaf streak diseases. Plant Journal, 88 (1), 43-55. DOI : 10.1111/tpj.13231

NLR and *AVR* genes can be used as executors in 'promoter trap' approaches to control BLB and BLS in rice.

The crucial role of TAL effectors in the pathogenicity of *Xoo, Xoc* and many other devastating pathogens in the *Xanthomonas* genus has prompted biotech approaches for *Xanthomonas* resistance that copy TAL effector-based resistances occurring naturally in crops (Boch *et al.,* 2014). EBEs in the promoters of susceptibility genes have been modified by precision genome engineering to create recessive resistances based on the suppression of TAL effector virulence functions (Hutin *et al.,* 2015; Boch *et al.,* 2014). In addition, promoter trap cassettes containing EBEs upstream of known, naturally occurring TAL effector-specific *E* genes were introduced in crop genomes (Boch *et al.,* 2014; Zhang *et al.,* 2015). In rice, e.g., 3 different binding sites targeted by TAL effectors from *Xoo* and 3 binding sites of TAL effectors from *Xoc,* were assembled upstream of the *Xa27 E* gene into a promoter trap construct (Hummel *et al.,* 2012). With this, resistance to *Xoo* and *Xoc* was obtained, indicating that the same *E* gene can mediate protection against pathogens with distinct tissue specificities.

Alternative approaches relying on NLR-mediated effector recognition or the use of autoactive NLRs as *E* genes have been proposed (Boch *et al.*, 2014). In our study we provide the proof of concept for both approaches and thereby pave the way to novel biotech- and promoter engineering-based solutions to *Xanthomonas* resistance. In a first place, we show that introducing an *AVR* effector gene under the control of a promoter elements targeted by a TAL effector into rice confers resistance to *Xoo* and *Xoc* isolates that possess the corresponding TAL effector. Tight regulation and good inducibility of the *AVR* gene that acts here as an *E* gene appear as key prerequisites for the success of this strategy. Its advantage is that it can be transferred to all crops for which AVR effectors triggering strong resistance are known. Knowledge of the corresponding *NLR R* gene is not required.

In addition, we show that autoactive NLRs are well suited as *E* genes in promoter trap biotech approaches for *Xanthomonas* resistance and in particular for resistance against BB and BLS. This greatly expands the panel of genes to be used for synthetic promoter-traps mediating recognition of TAL effectors in engineered plants. Indeed, to date, only five *E* genes have been characterized: *Bs3* and *Bs4C* from pepper (*Capsicum annuum* and *C. pubescens*) and *Xa27, Xa23* and *Xa10* from rice (Gu *et al.,* 2005, Romer *et al.,* 2007, Strauss *et al.,* 2012, Tian *et al.,* 2014, Wang *et al.,* 2015). In particular in plants where no TAL effector-specific *E* genes are known, precision genome engineering offers the opportunity to introduce by non-GMO approaches TAL effector-responsive elements into *NLR* promoters and, if required, to render these NLRs autoactive. In addition, this strategy may circumvent suppression of resistance relying on TAL effector specific *E* genes that has e.g. been described for *Xa10* in *Xoc* (Makino *et al.,* 2006).

Taken together, our study provides significant insight into resistance against BB and BLS disease in rice. It shows that NLR-mediated effector recognition has the capacity to stop these diseases. In addition, it demonstrates the potential of manipulating defense responses induced by NLR-mediated perception of AVR proteins, or by autoactive NLRs, as a useful tool to protect plants against pathogens for which, as in the case of *Xoc*, almost no resistance sources are available. It has been suggested that such engineered defense systems could be superior to natural systems because they can be designed to confer broad spectrum, durable and pathogen-adapted resistance (Boch *et al.*, 2014). However, the durability of these activation traps will strongly depend on our capacity to assess the repertoire of TAL effectors in pathogen population. This will enable us to build optimized synthetic promoters, taking into account the

diversity and evolution of TAL effectors for selecting the most conserved among multiple pathovars and/or within species.

Experimental procedures

Bacterial strains and growth conditions

Bacterial strains used in this study are *Xoo* PXO99^A and *Xoc* BLS256. They carry the empty vector pSKX1 (*EV*) or pSKX1 derivatives carrying *dTALEs*. *X. oryzae* strains were cultivated at 28°C in PSA medium (10 g peptone, 10 g glucose, 1 g glutamic acid, 16 g agar, l⁻¹ H₂O). Rifampicin and gentamicin were added when appropriate to the medium at a final concentration of 100 μ g/ml and 20 μ g/ml, respectively.

Constructs for rice transformation

Details on the generation of the plasmids *pUAS_{gal4}::uidA* and *pUAS_{gal4}::AVR1-CO39* using pINDEX2 (Ouwerkerk *et al.*, 2001) are given in Table S2.

Identification of target sites and construction of designer TAL effectors

The *pUAS_{gal4}* and *RGA4* promoter sequences from rice variety Sasanishiki were analyzed in order to find the appropriate designer TAL effectors binding sites as described previously (Streubel *et al.*, 2013). The specificity of the selected binding sites were verified by means of the Talvez software (http://bioinfo.mpl.ird.fr/cgi-bin/talvez/talvez.cgi; (Perez-Quintero *et al.*, 2013). Designer TAL effectors were generated using the Golden TAL technology and expressed as FLAG fusions under the control of a *lac* promoter in the Golden Gate-compatible broad host range vector pSKX1 (Streubel *et al.*, 2013). The RVD sequences of the dTALEs used in this study are provided in Table S1.

Transgenic rice lines

 $pUAS_{gal4}::uidA$ and $pUAS_{gal4}::AVR1-CO39$ were used for Agrobacterium tumefaciens-mediated transformation (strain EH1) (Toki *et al.*, 2006) of Kanto51 and Kitaake rice cultivars. Infected calli were selected on medium containing 50 mg.L⁻¹ hygromycin phosphotransferase. Hygromycin resistant calli were transferred to regeneration medium. At least 6 independent transgenic lines were obtained for each construct in each transformation experiment. Homozygous T₃ or T₄ generation plants were used in all experiments.

DEX treatment

Plants were grown from disinfected seeds, under sterile conditions, in half-strength Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium in a 26°C growth room with a 12 hours light period. Two-weeks-old seedlings were transferred into Magenta boxes containing half-strength MS liquid medium supplemented with 100 μ M dexamethasone (DEX) (Sigma, https://www.sigmaaldrich.com/) or mock solution (0.5% ethanol). Full plants or leaf samples were collected at appropriate times for RNA extraction, DAB staining, GUS staining or cell death assays.

DAB staining

Leaf samples were collected 2 days after DEX or mock treatment and stained in a 1 mg/ml 3'-3' diaminobenzidine (DAB) solution as described in (Thordal-Christensen *et al.,* 1997). Stained leaves were cleared from chlorophyll with a 3:1, V/V ethanol/acetic acid solution.

GUS staining

For determination of GUS activity, leaves harvested 24 hours after DEX treatment or 4 days after inoculation with *Xoo* or *Xoc*, were incubated overnight at 37°C in the dark in GUS staining solution (1 mM 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indoxyl-β-glucuronide (X-Gluc), 0.5 mM potassium ferrocyanide, 0.5 mM potassium ferricyanide, 0.05% Triton X -100, 50 mM sodium phosphate pH 7). Leaves were cleared using 70% ethanol.

RNA extraction and qRT-PCR analysis

RNA extraction and reverse transcription were performed as described previously (Delteil *et al.*, 2012), and quantitative PCR was performed using LC 480 SYBR Green I Master Mix (Roche, http://www.roche.ch), a LightCycler 480 instrument (Roche, http://www.roche.ch) and the primers listed in Table S3. The amount of plant RNA in each sample was normalized using *actin* (*Os03g50890*) as an internal control.

Plant inoculation assays

For infiltration assays, leaves of 3-weeks-old plants were infiltrated with a bacterial suspension at an optical density at 600nm (OD₆₀₀) of 0,5 using a needless syringe, as previously described (Reimers and Leach 1991), and symptoms of water-soaked lesions were scored 5 days post-inoculation (dpi). For qRT-PCR assays, leaf segments were collected 24 hours post-infiltration. Leaf-clip inoculation was performed on 4- to 6-weeks-old rice plants using a bacterial suspension at an OD₆₀₀ of 0.2 (Kauffman *et al.*, 1973). Symptoms were scored by measuring lesion lengths 14 days post-inoculation. For *Xoo in planta* growth assays, leaves of 3-week-old plants were leaf-clipped with a bacterial suspension of *Xoo* strains at an OD₆₀₀ of 0.2 and 2 segments of 7.5 cm were collected 8 days after inoculation. For *Xoc*, leaves were infiltrated with a bacterial suspension at an OD₆₀₀ of 0.2 and a segment of 3 cm around the infiltration site was collected 6 days after inoculation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Loic Fontaine and Christophe Tertois for technical assistance. This work was supported by a grant from GRiSP (MENERGEP New Frontier program), Agropolis Foundation (project 0802-023) and the Genoplante programme (Project "Interaction Rice Magnaporthe"). M.H. was supported by doctoral Fellowships awarded by the MESR. S.C. was supported by an INRA CJS grant ("contrat jeune scientifique"). TT is supported by a doctoral fellowship awarded by the Erasmus Mundus Action 2 PANACEA program of the European Community and a scholarship from The Vietnam International Education Development (VIED). This work benefited from interactions promoted by COST Action FA 1208 https://www.cost-sustain.org.

Supporting Information

Supplementary Figures

Figure S1. Strategy used in this study.

Figure S2. dTALEs binding sites in the *RGA4* promoter of the rice variety Sasanishiki.

Supplementary Tables

Table S1. RVD sequences of the dTALEs used in this study and their target sequence.

Table S2. Constructs used in this study.

Table S3. Primers used in this study.

References

- Ballini, E., Morel, J.-B., Droc, G., Price, A., Courtois, B., Notteghem, J.-L. and Tharreau, D. (2008) A genome-wide meta-analysis of rice blast resistance genes and quantitative trait loci provides new insights into partial and complete resistance. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions*, **21**, 859-868.
- Birker, D., Heidrich, K., Takahara, H., Narusaka, M., Deslandes, L., Narusaka, Y., Reymond, M., Parker, J.E. and O'Connell, R. (2009) A locus conferring resistance to Colletotrichum higginsianum is shared by four geographically distinct Arabidopsis accessions. *Plant J*, **60**, 602-613.
- Boch, J. and Bonas, U. (2010) *Xanthomonas* AvrBs3 family-type III effectors: discovery and function. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*.
- Boch, J., Bonas, U. and Lahaye, T. (2014) TAL effectors--pathogen strategies and plant resistance engineering. *The New phytologist*, **204**, 823-832.
- Boch, J., Scholze, H., Schornack, S., Landgraf, A., Hahn, S., Kay, S., Lahaye, T., Nickstadt, A. and Bonas, U. (2009) Breaking the code of DNA binding specificity of TAL-type III effectors. *Science*, **326**, 1509-1512.
- **Bogdanove, A.J. and Voytas, D.F.** (2011) TAL effectors: customizable proteins for DNA targeting. *Science*, **333**, 1843-1846.
- Böhm, H., Albert, I., Fan, L., Reinhard, A. and Nürnberger, T. (2014) Immune receptor complexes at the plant cell surface. *Current opinion in plant biology*, **20**, 47-54.
- **Cesari, S., Bernoux, M., Moncuquet, P., Kroj, T. and Dodds, P.N.** (2014a) A novel conserved mechanism for plant NLR protein pairs: the "integrated decoy" hypothesis. *Frontiers in plant science*, **5**, 606.
- **Cesari, S., Kanzaki, H., Fujiwara, T., Bernoux, M., Chalvon, V., Kawano, Y., Shimamoto, K., Dodds, P., Terauchi, R. and Kroj, T.** (2014b) The NB-LRR proteins RGA4 and RGA5 interact functionally and physically to confer disease resistance. *EMBO J*, **33**, 1941-1959.
- Cesari, S., Thilliez, G., Ribot, C., Chalvon, V., Michel, C., Jauneau, A., Rivas, S., Alaux, L., Kanzaki, H., Okuyama, Y., Morel, J.B., Fournier, E., Tharreau, D., Terauchi, R. and Kroj, T. (2013) The rice resistance protein pair RGA4/RGA5 recognizes the

Magnaporthe oryzae effectors AVR-Pia and AVR1-CO39 by direct binding. *Plant Cell*, **25**, 1463-1481.

- Chu, Z., Yuan, M., Yao, J., Ge, X., Yuan, B., Xu, C., Li, X., Fu, B., Li, Z., Bennetzen, J.L., Zhang, Q. and Wang, S. (2006) Promoter mutations of an essential gene for pollen development result in disease resistance in rice. *Genes & Development*, **20**, 1250-1255.
- **Cook, D.E., Mesarich, C.H. and Thomma, B.P.** (2015) Understanding plant immunity as a surveillance system to detect invasion. *Annual review of phytopathology*, **53**, 541-563.
- **Delteil, A., Blein, M., Faivre-Rampant, O., Guellim, A., Estevan, J., Hirsch, J., Bevitori, R., Michel, C. and Morel, J.B.** (2012) Building a mutant resource for the study of disease resistance in rice reveals the pivotal role of several genes involved in defence. *Mol Plant Pathol*, **13**, 72-82.
- Deslandes, L., Olivier, J., Peeters, N., Feng, D.X., Khounlotham, M., Boucher, C., Somssich, I., Genin, S. and Marco, Y. (2003) Physical interaction between RRS1-R, a protein conferring resistance to bacterial wilt, and PopP2, a type III effector targeted to the plant nucleus. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 100, 8024-8029.
- Faris, J.D., Zhang, Z., Lu, H., Lu, S., Reddy, L., Cloutier, S., Fellers, J.P., Meinhardt, S.W., Rasmussen, J.B., Xu, S.S., Oliver, R.P., Simons, K.J. and Friesen, T.L. (2010) A unique wheat disease resistance-like gene governs effector-triggered susceptibility to necrotrophic pathogens. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **107**, 13544-13549.
- **Gassmann, W., Hinsch, M.E. and Staskawicz, B.J.** (1999) The Arabidopsis RPS4 bacterialresistance gene is a member of the TIR-NBS-LRR family of disease-resistance genes. *Plant J*, **20**, 265-277.
- Giraldo, M.C. and Valent, B. (2013) Filamentous plant pathogen effectors in action. *Nat Rev Microbiol*, **11**, 800-814.
- Gu, K., Dongsheng, T., Chengxiang, Q.I.U. and Zhongchao, Y.I.N. (2009) Transcription activator-like type III effector AvrXa27 depends on OsTFIIAg5 for the activation of Xa27 transcription in rice that triggers disease resistance to Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae. Molecular Plant Pathology, 10, 829-835.
- Gu, K., Yang, B., Tian, D., Wu, L., Wang, D., Sreekala, C., Yang, F., Chu, Z., Wang, G.L., White, F.F. and Yin, Z. (2005) R gene expression induced by a type-III effector triggers disease resistance in rice. *Nature*, 435, 1122-1125.
- Hogenhout, S.A., Van der Hoorn, R.A., Terauchi, R. and Kamoun, S. (2009) Emerging concepts in effector biology of plant-associated organisms. *Mol Plant Microbe Interact*, 22, 115-122.
- **Hummel, A.W., Doyle, E.L. and Bogdanove, A.J.** (2012) Addition of transcription activator-like effector binding sites to a pathogen strain-specific rice bacterial blight resistance gene makes it effective against additional strains and against bacterial leaf streak. *The New phytologist*, **195**, 883-893.
- Hutin, M., Perez-Quintero, A.L., Lopez, C. and Szurek, B. (2015a) MorTAL Kombat: the story of defense against TAL effectors through loss-of-susceptibility. *Frontiers in plant science*, 6, 535.

Hutin, M., Sabot, F., Ghesquiere, A., Koebnik, R. and Szurek, B. (2015b) A knowledge-based molecular screen uncovers a broad-spectrum OsSWEET14 resistance allele to bacterial blight from wild rice. *Plant J*, **84**, 694-703.

Iyer, A.S. and McCouch, S.R. (2004) The Rice Bacterial Blight Resistance Gene *xa5* Encodes a Novel Form of Disease Resistance. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions*, **17**, 1348-1354.

Jones, J.D. and Dangl, J.L. (2006) The plant immune system. Nature, 444, 323-329.

Kang, H.G., Fang, Y. and Singh, K.B. (1999) A glucocorticoid-inducible transcription system causes severe growth defects in Arabidopsis and induces defense-related genes. *Plant J*, 20, 127-133.

Kauffman, H., Reddy, A., Hsieh, S. and Merca, S. (1973) Improved technique for evaluating resistance of rice varieties to Xanthomonas oryzae. *Plant Disease Reporter*.

Liu, Q., Yuan, M., Zhou, Y., Li, X., Xiao, J. and Wang, S. (2011) A paralog of the *MtN3/saliva* family recessively confers race-specific resistance to *Xanthomonas oryzae* in rice. *Plant, cell & environment*, **34**, 1958-1969.

Liu, W., Liu, J., Triplett, L., Leach, J.E. and Wang, G.L. (2014) Novel insights into rice innate immunity against bacterial and fungal pathogens. *Annu Rev Phytopathol*, **52**, 213-241.

Makino, S., Sugio, A., White, F. and Bogdanove, A.J. (2006) Inhibition of resistance genemediated defense in rice by Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzicola. *Mol Plant Microbe Interact*, **19**, 240-249.

Moscou, M.J. and Bogdanove, A.J. (2009) A Simple Cipher Governs DNA Recognition by TAL Effectors. *Science*, **326**, 1501-.

Nino-Liu, D.O., Ronald, P.C. and Bogdanove, A.J. (2006) Xanthomonas oryzae pathovars: model pathogens of a model crop. *Molecular Plant Pathology*, **7**, 303-324.

Noel, L.D., Denance, N. and Szurek, B. (2013) Predicting promoters targeted by TAL effectors in plant genomes: from dream to reality. *Frontiers in plant science*, **4**, 333.

Ouwerkerk, P.B., de Kam, R.J., Hoge, J.H. and Meijer, A.H. (2001) Glucocorticoid-inducible gene expression in rice. *Planta*, **213**, 370-378.

Perez-Quintero, A.L., Rodriguez, R.L., Dereeper, A., Lopez, C., Koebnik, R., Szurek, B. and Cunnac, S. (2013) An improved method for TAL effectors DNA-binding sites prediction reveals functional convergence in TAL repertoires of Xanthomonas oryzae strains. *PLoS One,* **8**, e68464.

Reimers, P.J. and Leach, J.E. (1991) Race-Specific Resistance to Xanthomonas-Oryzae Pv Oryzae Conferred by Bacterial-Blight Resistance Gene Xa-10 in Rice (Oryza-Sativa) Involves Accumulation of a Lignin-Like Substance in Host Tissues. *Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology*, **38**, 39-55.

Rentel, M.C., Leonelli, L., Dahlbeck, D., Zhao, B. and Staskawicz, B.J. (2008) Recognition of the Hyaloperonospora parasitica effector ATR13 triggers resistance against oomycete, bacterial, and viral pathogens. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **105**, 1091-1096.

Romer, P., Hahn, S., Jordan, T., Strauss, T., Bonas, U. and Lahaye, T. (2007) Plant pathogen recognition mediated by promoter activation of the pepper *Bs3* resistance gene. *Science*, 318, 645-648.

- Sharma, S., Sharma, S., Hirabuchi, A., Yoshida, K., Fujisaki, K., Ito, A., Uemura, A., Terauchi, R., Kamoun, S., Sohn, K.H., Jones, J.D.G. and Saitoh, H. (2013) Deployment of the Burkholderia glumae type III secretion system as an efficient tool for translocating pathogen effectors to monocot cells. *Plant Journal*, 74, 701-712.
- Sohn, K.H., Lei, R., Nemri, A. and Jones, J.D.G. (2007) The downy mildew effector proteins ATR1 and ATR13 promote disease susceptibility in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Plant Cell*, **19**, 4077-4090.
- Song, W.Y., Wang, G.L., Chen, L.L., Kim, H.S., Pi, L.Y., Holsten, T., Gardner, J., Wang, B., Zhai, W.X., Zhu, L.H., Fauquet, C. and Ronald, P. (1995) A Receptor Kinase-Like Protein Encoded by the Rice Disease Resistance Gene, Xa21. *Science*, 270, 1804-1806.
- Strauss, T., van Poecke, R.M., Strauss, A., Romer, P., Minsavage, G.V., Singh, S., Wolf, C., Strauss, A., Kim, S., Lee, H.A., Yeom, S.I., Parniske, M., Stall, R.E., Jones, J.B., Choi, D., Prins, M. and Lahaye, T. (2012) RNA-seq pinpoints a Xanthomonas TAL-effector activated resistance gene in a large-crop genome. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 109, 19480-19485.
- **Streubel, J., Pesce, C., Hutin, M., Koebnik, R., Boch, J. and Szurek, B.** (2013) Five phylogenetically close rice *SWEET* genes confer TAL effector-mediated susceptibility to *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. oryzae. *The New phytologist*, **200**, 808-819.
- Sugio, A., Yang, B., Zhu, T. and White, F.F. (2007) Two type III effector genes of *Xanthomonas* oryzae pv. oryzae control the induction of the host genes OsTFIIAg1 and OsTFX1 during bacterial blight of rice. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, **104**, 10720-10725.
- Sun, X., Cao, Y., Yang, Z., Xu, C., Li, X., Wang, S. and Zhang, Q. (2004) Xa26, a gene conferring resistance to Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae in rice, encodes an LRR receptor kinaselike protein. *Plant J*, 37, 517-527.
- Takken, F.L. and Goverse, A. (2012) How to build a pathogen detector: structural basis of NB-LRR function. *Curr Opin Plant Biol*, **15**, 375-384.
- **Thordal-Christensen, H., Zhang, Z., Wei, Y. and Collinge, D.B.** (1997) Subcellular localization of H2O2 in plants. H2O2 accumulation in papillae and hypersensitive response during the barley—powdery mildew interaction. *The Plant Journal*, **11**, 1187-1194.
- Tian, D., Wang, J., Zeng, X., Gu, K., Qiu, C., Yang, X., Zhou, Z., Goh, M., Luo, Y., Murata-Hori, M., White, F.F. and Yin, Z. (2014) The rice TAL effector-dependent resistance protein XA10 triggers cell death and calcium depletion in the endoplasmic reticulum. *Plant Cell*, 26, 497-515.
- Toki, S., Hara, N., Ono, K., Onodera, H., Tagiri, A., Oka, S. and Tanaka, H. (2006) Early infection of scutellum tissue with Agrobacterium allows high-speed transformation of rice. *Plant J*, **47**, 969-976.
- Vos, P., Simons, G., Jesse, T., Wijbrandi, J., Heinen, L., Hogers, R., Frijters, A., Groenendijk, J., Diergaarde, P., Reijans, M., Fierens-Onstenk, J., de Both, M., Peleman, J., Liharska, T., Hontelez, J. and Zabeau, M. (1998) The tomato Mi-1 gene confers resistance to both root-knot nematodes and potato aphids. *Nat Biotechnol*, 16, 1365-1369.
- Wang, C., Zhang, X., Fan, Y., Gao, Y., Zhu, Q., Zheng, C., Qin, T., Li, Y., Che, J., Zhang, M., Yang, B., Liu, Y. and Zhao, K. (2014) XA23 is an executor R protein and confers broad-spectrum disease resistance in rice. *Molecular plant*.

- Wang, C., Zhang, X., Fan, Y., Gao, Y., Zhu, Q., Zheng, C., Qin, T., Li, Y., Che, J., Zhang, M., Yang, B., Liu, Y. and Zhao, K. (2015) XA23 is an executor R protein and confers broadspectrum disease resistance in rice. *Molecular plant*, 8, 290-302.
- Wu, J., Kou, Y., Bao, J., Li, Y., Tang, M., Zhu, X., Ponaya, A., Xiao, G., Li, J. and Li, C. (2015) Comparative genomics identifies the Magnaporthe oryzae avirulence effector AvrPi9 that triggers Pi9-mediated blast resistance in rice. *New Phytologist*, **206**, 1463-1475.
- Yoshimura, S., Yamanouchi, U., Katayose, Y., Toki, S., Wang, Z.X., Kono, I., Kurata, N., Yano, M., Iwata, N. and Sasaki, T. (1998) Expression of Xa1, a bacterial blight-resistance gene in rice, is induced by bacterial inoculation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 95, 1663-1668.
- Zhang, J., Yin, Z. and White, F. (2015) TAL effectors and the executor R genes. *Frontiers in plant science*, **6**, 9.
- Zhao, B., Lin, X., Poland, J., Trick, H., Leach, J. and Hulbert, S. (2005) A maize resistance gene functions against bacterial streak disease in rice. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **102**, 15383-15388.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. The *AVR1-CO39* and *uidA* genes are induced upon DEX treatment in transgenic rice lines.

A) Rice cultivars Kanto51 and Kitaake were transformed with the $pUAS_{gal4}$:uidA or $pUAS_{gal4}$:AVR1-CO39 constructs. Two independent transgenic Kitaake lines and one Kanto51 line were selected for each construct. Two weeks-old transgenic plants were treated with 100 μ M DEX (+) or no DEX (-). GUS activity was determined 24 hours after DEX treatment and pictures of the leaves of two representative plants were taken after clearing.

B) Leaf samples were harvested 24 hours after DEX treatment and used for determination of *AVR1-CO39* transcript levels by qRT-PCR. The relative expression level of *AVR1-CO39* was determined by using the constitutively expressed *actin* gene as a reference. The graph shows means and standard errors calculated from the values obtained for three independent biological samples per condition. In some samples, *AVR1-CO39* transcripts were not detectable (Ø) because its abundance was below the detection threshold.

Figure 2. Induction of *AVR1-CO39* expression triggers cell death and ROS production in Kitaake lines.

Two weeks old transgenic Kanto51 and Kitaake plants carrying either the $pUAS_{gal4}$:uidA or the $pUAS_{gal4}$:AVR1-CO39 construct were transferred to MS/2 media containing 100 μ M DEX (+) or no DEX (-).

A) DEX-treated Kitaake plants expressing *AVR1-CO39* died and cell death was observed 4 days after contact with DEX. Pictures were taken 4 days after the initiation of DEX treatment.

B) Leaves were sampled 2 days after the beginning of DEX treatment and stained with DAB solution. Only Kitaake DEX-treated plants expressing *AVR1-CO39* showed DAB staining indicative of H₂O₂ accumulation.

Figure 3. dTALE_{UAS}-expressing *Xanthomonas oryzae* transactivates *UAS*_{gal4} promoter sequences in transgenic rice lines.

A) Rice cultivars Kanto51 and Kitaake carrying the $pUAS_{gal4}$:uidA construct were infiltrated with *Xoo* PXO99^A and *Xoc* BLS256 strains carrying an empty vector (*EV*) or a plasmid encoding the designer TAL effector dTALE_{UAS} which specifically targets the UAS_{gal4} promoter sequence. GUS activity was determined 4 days after infiltration using X-gluc substrate. Pictures were taken after leaf clearing using ethanol.

B) Leaves of Kanto51 and Kitaake $pUAS_{gal4}$:AVR1-CO39 or $pUAS_{gal4}$:uidA lines were harvested 24 hours after infiltration with PXO99^A (EV) or PXO99^A ($dTALE_{UAS}$) and used for determination of AVR1-CO39 transcript levels by qRT-PCR. The relative expression level of AVR1-CO39 was determined by using the constitutively expressed *actin* gene as a reference. The graph shows means and standard errors calculated from the values obtained for three independent biological samples per condition. In some samples, the AVR1-CO39 transcript was not detectable (\emptyset) because its abundance was below the detection threshold. Mean values that are significantly different from the mean value of the corresponding empty vector or mock samples are indicated by an asterisk (P<0.05 in Student's t test).

Figure 4. *AVR1-CO39* induction in *Pi-CO39* rice lines confers resistance to *Xoo and Xoc* in syringe infiltration assays.

Leaves of Kanto51 and Kitaake *pUAS_{gal4}:uidA* or *pUAS_{gal4}:AVR1-CO39* lines were infiltrated with *Xoo* PXO99^A and *Xoc* BLS256 strains carrying an empty vector (*EV*) or a plasmid encoding *dTALE_{UAS}*. Leaves showed characteristic water soaking lesions expanding from the inoculation site with the exception of Kitaake *pUAS_{gal4}:AVR1-CO39* infiltrated with *Xoo* PXO99^A (*dTALE_{UAS}*) and *Xoc* BLS256 (*dTALE_{UAS}*) that showed no disease symptoms. Photos were taken 5 days after infiltration. This experiment was reproduced three times with similar results.

Figure 5. Induction of *AVR1-CO39* in *Pi-CO39* rice lines confers resistance to *Xoo* and *Xoc* upon quantitative leaf clipping and bacterial titers measurements.

A, B) Leaves of Kanto51 and Kitaake $pUAS_{gal4}$: uidA or $pUAS_{gal4}$: AVR1-CO39 lines were leaf-clipped inoculated with Xoo strain PXO99^A carrying an empty vector (dark grey) or $dTALE_{UAS}$ (light grey). A) Lesion length was measured 15 days post-inoculation. Mean values and standard deviations were calculated from measurement of eight leaves from eight independent individuals. This experiment was repeated three times with similar results. An asterisk refers to significantly different values (P<5.10⁻⁵) by Mann-Whitney test when comparing treatments to PXO99^A (*EV*). B) Bacterial titers of a 7.5 centimeter leaf segment located 7.5 cm distal to the inoculation site were determined 8 days post-inoculation. Mean values and standard deviations were calculated based on three independent leaf samples. An asterisk indicates a significant difference (P<0.002) by Mann-Whitney test when comparing treatments to PXO99^A (*EV*). C) Leaves of Kanto51 and Kitaake $pUAS_{gal4}$: uidA or $pUAS_{gal4}$: AVR1-CO39 line 1 were infiltrated with Xoc BLS256 strains carrying an empty vector (*EV*) or a plasmid encoding $dTALE_{UAS}$. Xoc BLS256 titers of a 3 centimeter leaf segment around the infiltration site were determined 6 days postinoculation. Mean values and standard deviations were calculated based on three independent

leaf samples. An asterisk indicates a significant difference (P<0.005) by Mann-Whitney test when comparing treatments to BLS256 (*EV*). This experiment was reproduced three times with similar results.

Figure 6. The induction of *RGA4* in *Pi-CO39* rice lines confers resistance against *Xoo*.

A) Leaves of the rice varieties carrying a functional *RGA4* (CO39, Kitaake and Sasanishiki) or not (Nipponbare), were infiltrated with strains of *Xoo* PXO99^A transformed with an empty vector (*EV*), or a plasmid encoding $dTALE_{RGA4_1}$ or $dTALE_{RGA4_2}$. Photos were taken 5 days after infiltration. B) Leaves of *Pi-CO39* (Kitaake, Sasanishiki and CO39) and *pi-CO39* (Kanto51) rice varieties were inoculated by leaf-clipping with *Xoo* derivative strains PXO99^A carrying an empty vector (dark grey) or $dTALE_{RGA4_1}$ (light grey). Lesion length was measured 15 days post-inoculation. Mean values and standard deviations were calculated from measurements of at least 10 independent leaves. An asterisk indicates a significant difference (P<10⁻⁴) by Mann-Whitney test when comparing treatments to PXO99^A (*EV*). This experiment was repeated 2 times with similar results.



Comment citer ce document : Hutin, M., Cesari, S., Chalvon, V., Michel, C., Tran, T. T., Boch, J., Koebnik, R., Szurek, B., Kroj, T. (2016). Ectopic activation of the rice NLR heteropair RGA4/RGA5 confers resistance to bacterial blight and bacterial leaf streak diseases. Plant Journal, 88 (1), 43-55. DOI : 10.1111/tpj.13231

Version postprint



Comment citer ce document : Hutin, M., Cesari, S., Chalvon, V., Michel, C., Tran, T. T., Boch, J., Koebnik, R., Szurek, B., Kroj, T. (2016). Ectopic activation of the rice NLR heteropair RGA4/RGA5 confers resistance to bacterial blight and bacterial leaf streak diseases. Plant Journal, 88 (1), 43-55. DOI : 10.1111/tpj.13231

Version postprint



Comment citer ce document : Hutin, M., Cesari, S., Chalvon, V., Michel, C., Tran, T. T., Boch, J., Koebnik, R., Szurek, B., Kroj, T. (2016). Ectopic activation of the rice NLR heteropair RGA4/RGA5 confers resistance to bacterial blight and bacterial leaf streak diseases. Plant Journal, 88 (1), 43-55. DOI : 10.1111/tpj.13231

Хос Xoo dTALE_{UAS} dTALE_{UAS} EV EV pUAS gal4::uidA pUASgal4::uidA Kitaake Kitaake pUAS_{gal4}::AVR1-CO39 pUASgala:::AVR1-CO39 (Pi-CO39) (Pi-CO39) pUASgal4::uidA pUASgala::uidA Kanto51 Kanto51 pUASgal4::AVR1-CO39 pUASgal4:::AVR1-CO39 (pi-co39) (pi-co39)

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

Comment citer ce document : Hutin, M., Cesari, S., Chalvon, V., Michel, C., Tran, T. T., Boch, J., Koebnik, R., Szurek, B., Kroj, T. (2016). Ectopic activation of the rice NLR heteropair RGA4/RGA5 confers resistance to bacterial blight and bacterial leaf streak diseases. Plant Journal, 88 (1), 43-55. DOI : 10.1111/tpj.13231

Version postprint



Comment citer ce document : Hutin, M., Cesari, S., Chalvon, V., Michel, C., Tran, T. T., Boch, J., Koebnik, R., Szurek, B., Kroj, T. (2016). Ectopic activation of the rice NLR heteropair RGA4/RGA5 confers resistance to bacterial blight and bacterial leaf streak diseases. Plant Journal, 88 (1), 43-55. DOI : 10.1111/tpj.13231



Comment citer ce document : Hutin, M., Cesari, S., Chalvon, V., Michel, C., Tran, T. T., Boch, J., Koebnik, R., Szurek, B., Kroj, T. (2016). Ectopic activation of the rice NLR heteropair RGA4/RGA5 confers resistance to bacterial blight and bacterial leaf streak diseases. Plant Journal, 88 (1), 43-55. DOI : 10.1111/tpj.13231