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The importance of ultraviolet and near-infrared sensitivity for visual discrimination in two species of lacertid lizards

Mélissa Martin¹, Jean-François Le Galliard¹,³, Sandrine Meylan¹,⁴ and Ellis R. Loew⁵

ABSTRACT
Male and female Lacertid lizards often display conspicuous coloration that is involved in intraspecific communication. However, visual systems of Lacertidae have rarely been studied and the spectral sensitivity of their retinal photoreceptors remains unknown. Here, we characterise the spectral sensitivity of two Lacertid species from contrasting habitats: the wall lizard Podarcis muralis and the common lizard Zootoca vivipara. Both species possess a pure-cone retina with one spectral class of double cones and four spectral classes of single cones. The two species differ in the spectral sensitivity of the LWS cones, the relative abundance of UVS single cones (potentially more abundant in Z. vivipara) and the coloration of oil droplets. Wall lizards have pure vitamin A1-based photopigments, whereas common lizards possess mixed vitamin A1 and A2 photopigments, extending spectral sensitivity into the near infrared, which is a rare feature in terrestrial vertebrates. We found that spectral sensitivity in the UV and near infrared improves discrimination of small variations in throat coloration among Z. vivipara. Thus, retinal specialisations optimise chromatic resolution in common lizards, indicating that the visual system and visual signals might co-evolve.
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INTRODUCTION
Vision is a key sense involved in tasks such as mating, foraging and predator avoidance, and visual capabilities are expected to be optimised to the ecological niche of each species (Bradbury and Vehrencamp, 2011; Land and Nilson, 2012). Thus, it is of considerable interest to comprehend how animals perceive their environment and distinguish different visual targets. In vertebrates, photopic and colour vision are served by cone photoreceptor cells (see Bradbury and Vehrencamp, 2011). Photosensitivity is conferred by visual pigment molecules embedded in the membranes of the outer segments of retinal photoreceptor cells, and composed of a transmembrane opsin protein associated with a chromophore (for details, see Yokoyama, 2000). Photopigments are usually specified by the wavelength of peak absorbance, λmax, and include long-wavelength sensitive (LWS class), middle-wavelength sensitive (MWS class), short-wavelength sensitive (SWS class) and very-short-wavelength sensitive (VS/UVS class) (Kelber et al., 2003). Colour vision requires the presence of at least two visual pigments differing in their spectral sensitivity as well as the neural and perceptual mechanisms capable of analysing and interpreting signals from the photoreceptors (Bowmaker, 2008). Characterisation of the spectral properties of the retina in various species is therefore a prerequisite for understanding the evolution of visual capabilities.

Spectral absorption of the visual pigments is determined by both the amino acid sequence of the opsin protein and the chromophore used, either the aldehyde of vitamin A1 or vitamin A2 (Bowmaker, 2008). Vitamin A1 is commonly encountered in the eyes of terrestrial vertebrates and marine species, whereas vitamin A2 is usually associated with freshwater species or the aquatic phase of terrestrial amphibians (reviewed by Bridges, 1972). For the same opsin protein, A2-based pigments (porphyropsins) show an absorption peak shifted toward longer wavelengths than the A1-based pigment (rhodopsins) (Hárosi, 1994; Whitmore and Bowmaker, 1989). It has been shown that some amphibian and fish species present individual plasticity in the relative proportion of A1- and A2-based visual pigments with age, hormonal state, light, temperature, season or life stage (Beatty, 1966; Beatty, 1975; Beatty, 1984; Crescitelli, 1972; Knowles and Darnall, 1977). Some studies have found a chromophore mixture in lizards such as chameleons and Podarcis sicula (Bowmaker et al., 2005; Provencio et al., 1992) and, more surprisingly, Anolis carolinensis possesses a pure-cone retina containing only A2 pigments (Provencio et al., 1992; Loew et al., 2002). The adaptive significance of vitamin A1- versus A2-based visual pigment in the vertebrate retina is poorly understood.

A common feature of the retina of most diurnal reptiles and birds is the presence of pigmented oil droplets located in the distal region of the inner segment of cones, except for the accessory member of the double cones (reviewed by Bowmaker, 2008). Their lipid content and high concentration of carotenoid pigments act as a long-pass filter for the photons entering the outer segment, which shifts the sensitivity peaks of the photoreceptors to longer wavelengths. Oil droplets are believed to improve hue discrimination by restricting the range of wavelengths that enters the outer segment and reducing the overlap of spectrally adjacent cones (Stavenga and Wilts, 2014; Vorobyev, 2003). Previous studies in birds and lizards have demonstrated that each photoreceptor type can be associated with specific oil droplet types, based on its apparent colour to humans (e.g. Fleishman et al., 2011; Loew et al., 2002) [for examples in birds, see Hart and Vorobyev (Hart and Vorobyev, 2005)]. This specificity is particularly interesting because it allows indirect evaluation of the abundance of the different cone types and, therefore, part of the noise surrounding the response of a given photoreceptor type (Bradbury and Vehrencamp, 2011).

The majority of diurnal lizards are known to possess no rods and three or four spectral classes of photoreceptors (tri- or tetrachromats) including one photoreceptor sensitive to light in the UV range (300–400 nm) (reviewed by Pérez de Lanuza and Font, 2014)
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- C1: colourless type 1 oil droplet
- C2: colourless type 2 oil droplet
- DP: dispersed pigment
- G: green oil droplet
- JND: just-noticeable difference
- LWS: long-wavelength sensitive
- MSP: microspectrophotometry
- MWS: medium-wavelength sensitive
- O: orange oil droplet
- SWS: short-wavelength sensitive
- UV: ultraviolet
- VS/UVS: very-short-wavelength sensitive
- Y: yellow oil droplet

In this study, we used microspectrophotometry (MSP) to determine the spectral absorbance of the visual pigments and oil droplets in *Z. vivipara* and *P. muralis*. From retinal photomicrographs, we also aimed to evaluate the relative abundance of the different oil droplet types, based on their colour for human eye. In both lacertid species, we found visual characteristics close to those of diurnal lizards studied so far. Nevertheless, *Z. vivipara* presented an A1/A2-based chromophore mixture and our data suggest that UV cones might be twice more abundant in *Z. vivipara* than in *P. muralis*. We thus used physiological data to model visual capabilities of the common lizard in order to investigate how the UV cone density and chromophore type affect chromatic resolution. This exercise helped us to gain further insight into the evolution of the visual system structure in lizard species by testing for optimisation of alternative visual systems against naturally occurring visual signals.

### RESULTS

#### Spectral characteristics of lacertid lizards

We did not measure spectral properties of ocular fluid but our MSP analyses of the cornea revealed no significant absorption in the range 350–750 nm as in a recent analysis of eight lacertid lizard species (Pérez i de Lanuza and Font, 2014). The two study species possessed a pure-cone retina, which contained single cones with an oil droplet in their inner segment and double cones consisting of a principal member with an oil droplet and an accessory member with a dispersed pigment in its inner segment. In each species, four distinct single-cone classes were identified and were characterised as UVS, SWS, MWS, and LWS. The details of pigment \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) values of both species are provided in Table 1 (see supplementary material Figs S1 and S2 for representative examples). Absorption profiles of visual pigments from *P. muralis* were best fitted by a vitamin A1 template. In *Z. vivipara*, pigment absorptions were best fitted by a rhodopsin (vitamin A1) or a porphyropsin (vitamin A2) template, depending on the tested inner segment. Based on the absorption profile of LWS pigments, we estimated that vitamin A1- and A2-based pigments are a 10:90 proportion in *Z. vivipara*. However, this

### Table 1. Characteristics of visual pigments found in cones of common and wall lizards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pigment class</th>
<th>( \lambda_{\text{max}} ) (nm)</th>
<th>Oil droplet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Z. vivipara</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UVS (single)</td>
<td>358 ± 8</td>
<td>C2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWS (single)</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>C1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWS (single)</td>
<td>467 ± 14</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWS (single), form A1</td>
<td>544 ± 44</td>
<td>G or O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWS (single), form A2</td>
<td>617 ± 23</td>
<td>Y or G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWS (principal member of double)</td>
<td>614 ± 17</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWS (accessory member of double)</td>
<td>624 ± 27</td>
<td>DP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>P. muralis</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UVS (single)</td>
<td>367 ± 19</td>
<td>C2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWS (single)</td>
<td>456 ± 23</td>
<td>C1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWS (single)</td>
<td>497 ± 19</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWS (single), form A1</td>
<td>562 ± 17</td>
<td>Y or G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWS (single), form A2</td>
<td>584</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWS (principal member of double)</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>DP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of counted cells, spectral sensitivity (mean \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) ± s.d.) and associated oil droplet types for the different cone types. Because we could make a clear distinction between absorption profiles of LWS single cones fitted by a vitamin A1 or A2 template, the \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) of each LWS pigment form is reported. Oil droplets belong to five classes: C1, C2, G, Y, O, plus a dispersed pigment (see List of abbreviations and Table 2).
estimate does not take into account potential variation of different retina regions; that is why we also used a 50:50 proportion in modelling in order to test the importance of this parameter in conspecific colour discrimination. It should be emphasised that template matching to MSP data is not the best way to assess chromophore type. However, it is safe to assume that if the $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ of a measured pigment is greater than 580 nm, it most likely has an A2 component. For ecological studies, it is less important which chromophores are used because it is the spectral sensitivity of the cell that matters.

MSP allowed us to identify four spectral classes of oil droplet and one type of dispersed inner segment pigment in each species (see Table 2 for estimates of $\lambda_{\text{mid}}$ of oil droplets and dispersed pigment). Both species possessed green oil droplets and two types of colourless oil droplets, and green oil droplets were on average less abundant than the other type of coloured oil droplets (Table 2; Fig. 1). *Z. vivipara* had orange oil droplets whereas *P. muralis* had yellow oil droplets (see supplementary material Fig. S3 for representative examples). In both species, one type of coloured oil droplets was exclusively associated with LWS pigments, but the second one was associated with both MWS and LWS pigment types, which impeded any estimate of the relative abundance of MWS and LWS cones. Data on the association between oil droplet classes and dispersed pigment (DP) 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oil droplet class</th>
<th>$N$</th>
<th>$\lambda_{\text{mid}}$</th>
<th>% (Range)</th>
<th>$N$</th>
<th>$\lambda_{\text{mid}}$</th>
<th>% (Range)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orange (O)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>538±6</td>
<td>52 (15–71)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green (G)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>503±10</td>
<td>29 (13–63)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>500±8</td>
<td>27 (22–42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow (Y)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>470±4</td>
<td>64 (53–69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colourless, type 1 (C1)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>406±9</td>
<td>19 (15–25)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>429±22</td>
<td>9 (6–11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colourless, type 2 (C2)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dispersed pigment (DP) 2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>485±11</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>460±11</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number and spectral features ($\lambda_{\text{mid}} \pm$ s.d., the wavelength at which the absorbance is 50%) of oil droplets measured by MSP and abundance based on retina images (as a percentage) were reported for each oil droplet type. Cut-off of the C2 droplets over the measurement range 340–750 nm is not measurable and thus a ‘+’ indicates their presence in the cells of the retina.

Quantitative modelling of visual performances of lacertids

The relative spectral sensitivity of each single cone class was calculated based on Hart and Vorobyev’s templates (Hart and Vorobyev, 2005) for visual pigments and oil droplets, and is illustrated in Fig. 2 for both species. The spectral sensitivities of *Z. vivipara* and *P. muralis* were close in the spectral range between 300 and 480 nm, where the sensitivity of UVS and SWS cones had little overlap. By contrast, the range of sensitivity of MWS and LWS cones overlapped in both species. Because of the filtering effect of the oil droplet, the relative sensitivity of MWS cones was less than that of the other cones, especially in *Z. vivipara*. In addition, the retina of *Z. vivipara* displayed a wider range of sensitivity in long wavelengths than the retina of *P. muralis*, owing to the chromophore mixture observed in the LWS visual pigment. Given that the relative cone abundance cannot be precisely estimated, we used a rough estimate based on MSP data for the modelling exercise (UVS:SWS:MWS:LWS, 1:2:5:9). However, it should be noted that model outputs were almost identical when we assumed an equal abundances for MWS and LWS cones based on oil droplet counts (model 1:2:6:9, results not presented here).

Using the spectral data of ventral coloration of 84 adult male common lizards described in Martin et al. (Martin et al., 2013), we quantified the Cartesian distance in colour space for all possible pairs of males among spectra from the throat on one hand and from the belly on the other (3486 pair-wise comparisons for each body zone). The sample distribution of throat or belly colour distances for our MSP estimates (model with an A1/A2 chromophore mixture of 10/90 and cone ratios of 1:2:5:9, hereafter referred to as the empirical model) was characterised by a fat tail skewed to the right, a mode around 5 just-noticeable distance (JND) and <1% of the

![Fig. 1. Light microscopy of the retina of Zootoca vivipara and Podarcis muralis. Images of a small representative patch of the retina from (A) *Z. vivipara* and (B) *P. muralis*. Individual photoreceptors (elongated cells) and oil droplets are visible. Note the presence of two clearly distinguishable types of coloured oil droplets in both species and the abundance of colourless oil droplet in the retina of *Z. vivipara*.](https://example.com/fig1.jpg)
distances less than 1 JND. Based on these observations, we then calculated the proportion of colour distances lower than 1 JND and those between 1 and 4 JND, and assumed that these distances are ‘not distinguishable’ and ‘poorly distinguishable’, respectively, in the subsequent analyses. Modelling results presented in Table 3 showed that very efficient discrimination of the belly colour patches, but slightly less discrimination of UV throat patches.

Comparisons between model outputs highlighted (Table 3), with respect to a visual system with cone types in equal ratios, the ability of the visual system of Z. vivipara to discriminate variation in throat and belly coloration. However, increasing the abundance of UV cones (model, 2:1:1:1) relative to other cone types decreased chromatic resolution slightly. Furthermore, with respect to a visual system with pure A1 pigments, a chromophore mixture in the retina of the common lizard enhanced chromatic resolution for the throat colour patch and, to a lesser extent, the belly colour patch. The outputs of the model with pure A2 pigments were similar to the output of the model with an A1/A2 mixture for throat data, and to the output of the model with pure A1 pigments for belly data.

**DISCUSSION**

Natural history data on the life style, foraging mode and anatomy of Lacertid lizards (Lacertidae) suggested to previous researchers that these species are more dependent on olfaction than on vision relative to other groups of lizards such as Iguanidae, Agamidae or Cordylidae (Mason and Parker, 2010; Vitt et al., 2009). Thus, we expected to discover atypical visual features in our two study species inhabiting contrasting habitats. However, common and wall lizards had visual properties of their retina similar to those seen in most diurnal lizards investigated so far (Barbour et al., 2002; Bowmaker et al., 2005; Ellingson et al., 1995; Fleishman et al., 2011; Loew, 1994; Loew et al., 2002; Macedonia et al., 2009). Interestingly, the visual system of Z. vivipara also presented some atypical features. First, we found that the LWS absorbance was best fitted by an A1/A2 chromophore mixture template, whereas most lizards studied so far use just A1. Second, an orange oil droplet was associated with the red-shifted LWS and the MWS visual pigment of Z. vivipara whereas this oil droplet is yellow or green in other diurnal lizard species. Third, the retina of Z. vivipara was potentially characterised by a high relative abundance in UV SWS cones, although this observation should be confirmed with more exhaustive MSP counts of cones and a higher sample size. The abundance and characteristics of oil droplets may indeed vary among individuals and between different regions of the retina (Fuller et al., 2003; Loew et al., 2002). We randomly sampled several regions of the retina, but our sample of lizards was too small to investigate inter-individual variation in this study.

**Spectral sensitivity in lizards and the importance of near-infrared sensitivity**

A review of the spectral data collected so far in lizard species (Fig. 3) highlights that interspecific variation in $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ is small and of the same order of magnitude as intraspecific variation (supplementary material Table S1). The $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ of UVS, SWS and MWS visual pigments in our two model species is also very similar to those recorded in the majority of other diurnal lizard species (Fig. 3). Thus, there appears to be little evidence of adaptive tuning of the spectral sensitivity of these visual pigments among lizard species in accordance with previous suggestions that these aspects of the vision physiology are strongly conserved (Archer, 1999; Fuller et al., 2003; Kröger et al., 1999). Nevertheless, available data also suggest significant variation in the spectral sensitivity of LWS
single cones as well as variation in the abundance and type of oil droplets associated with single cones (supplementary material Table S1). Variation in the spectral sensitivity of LWS single cones was best attributed to the existence of vitamin A2 chromophores in Z. vivipara that extended spectral sensitivity into the near infrared (Archer, 1999; Hárosi, 1994; Whitmore and Bowmaker, 1989), whereas most diurnal lizards and terrestrial vertebrates use exclusively vitamin A1 chromophores in their visual pigments (Jacobs, 2010; Yokoyama, 2000). Vitamin A2 chromophore was previously recorded in Anolis carolinensis (Loew et al., 2002; Provencio et al., 1992) and a mixture of A1 and A2 chromophores was also shown by chromatography in Podarcis sicula (Provençio et al., 1992) and two chameleon species, Chamaeleo dilepis and Furcifer pardalis (Bowmaker et al., 2005). The presence of vitamin A2 in the eye of Z. vivipara remains to be confirmed by electrophysiology (Loew et al., 2002). San-Jose et al. (San-Jose et al., 2013) recently found that vitamin A2 was the dominant vitamin A compound in common lizards, where it is stored in the liver. They did not attribute this result to differential feeding but to a preferential synthesis and increased accumulation of vitamin A2 in Zootoca vivipara, which is usually absent in most species (San-Jose et al., 2013). Our results and findings in other lizard species thus suggest that the ability to synthesise vitamin-A2-based visual pigments sporadically appeared during the adaptive radiation of lizards.

Although it is clear that the nature of chromophores generates variation of visual sensitivity in lizards, it remains to be seen what advantage A2-based visual pigments provide. Compared with vitamin A1, vitamin A2 shifts absorbance of the visual pigment towards longer wavelengths (Hárosi, 1994), which may be optimal for intraspecific interactions under certain conditions. For example, Archer et al. (Archer et al., 1987) suggested that, in guppies, polymorphism in long-wavelength cones may be related to the ability to detect colour variations in the different yellow, orange and red spots used during sexual displays. In the same manner, a chromophore mixture as observed in Z. vivipara could ease the visual discrimination of small variations in the range of yellow-red colours, whereas pure A1- or A2-based pigment retina could narrow this range of sensitivity. Our model, however, predicted little effect of the type of chromophore on discrimination of yellow-red belly coloration. In fact, the yellow-red belly patch is strongly conspicuous (Bauwens, 1987; Martin, 2013; Vercken and Clobert, 2008) and fine-tuned chromatic resolution may not be necessary to detect inter-individual variations. By contrast, we found that a visual system based on a chromophore mixture outperformed a visual system with a pure A1 chromophore system and performed equally well as a pure A2 chromophore system in discriminating intraspecific variation of throat coloration. These results suggest that sensitivity in near infrared (i.e. presence of A2 chromophores) may be related to an appreciation of the differences in throat coloration of conspecifics. During behavioural displays, male common lizards expose their throat, but not their belly, to signal aggressiveness and dominance to other males and to attract females (Martin, 2013; Martin et al., 2013). Possession of a visual system sensitive to the near infrared may therefore allow better detection of slight differences between throat colour of conspecifics and, therefore, better assessment of the quality of a potential mate or rival (Martin, 2013).

Unexpectedly, MSP analyses also revealed atypical orange oil droplets associated with the red-shifted LWS and MWS visual pigments of Z. vivipara whereas they are yellow or green in other diurnal lizard species studied so far (supplementary material Table S1). Basically, oil droplets shift the sensitivity peaks of the photoreceptors towards longer wavelengths and narrow their spectral sensitivity functions (Stavenga and Wilts, 2014; Vorobyev, 2003). It is likely that the orange colour of oil droplets is an adaptation in response to the long-wavelength-shifted sensitivity of MWS and LWS photopigments due to A2 chromophores. However, among species with a chromophore mixture or pure A2 chromophores (supplementary material Table S1), Z. vivipara is the only one to show such a characteristic. Even though interspecific variation in transmission properties of the different types of oil droplets is not particularly noticeable (supplementary material Table S1), this discovery raises the interesting question of the adaptive significance of oil droplet colour (i.e. carotenoid pigments) which, to our knowledge, has not been addressed to date.

**The importance of the abundance of UVS cones for lizard chromatic resolution**

UV vision is common in lizards (Fleishman et al., 2011; Loew et al., 2002), including lacertids (Pérez i de Lanuza and Font, 2014). In many lizard species, social signalling encompasses colour patches with a UV component, and UV vision is thought to be tuned to...
detect small variability in the UV reflectance of conspecifics (Fleishman et al., 2011; Pérez i de Lanuza, 2012). We found UV-sensitive cones in both Z. vivipara and P. muralis, but our data also suggest that Z. vivipara might have twice as many UV cones as P. muralis. Even though this difference could be an artefact due to our small sample size of cones in the MSP analysis, it raises the question whether the abundance of UV cones is important for lizard chromatic resolution. Using a similar approach, Fleishman et al. (Fleishman et al., 2011) previously suggested that the superabundance of UV cones in the retina enhances discrimination of conspecifics during male–male competition in flat lizards Platyurus broadleyi, because the throats of lizards from this species have small variations in UV reflectance that are easier to detect by a visual system where UV cones are dominant. In the same vein, modelling of the spectral sensitivity of Z. vivipara showed that the presence of UV cones strongly improved visual performance for detecting small variations in the throat colour and, to a lesser extent, belly colour of conspecifics. This result is consistent with our expectations because both ornaments, but especially that of the throat, encompass a striking UV component and UV coloration plays an important role in sex recognition, mate choice and intra-sexual competition in this species (Martin, 2013).

Nevertheless, the model also predicted that a doubling in relative density of UV cones decreased visual performance of common lizards. In the model, chromatic resolution is the consequence of sensitivity and relative abundance of pigments and their associated oil droplets as well as light environment and contrasts among colour patches (Kelber et al., 2003; Vorobyev and Osorio, 1998). Any increase in the relative abundance of one type of visual pigment is traded off against a decrease in the relative abundance of other visual pigments important for vision. Here, increasing the abundance of UV cones relative to the cones sensitive to human visible light increased the detection of subtle inter-individual variation in UV coloration at the expense of the capability to detect variation in the yellow-red colour range. Given that the throat colouration of common lizards Z. vivipara and four European wall lizards Podarcis muralis, three males and one female) at the CEREPP-Ecotron IleDeFrance field station (France, 60 m above sea level, 48°17′N, 2°41′E). Adult common lizards were captured in enclosures located in a meadow where they can feed and behave as in natural populations. Adult European wall lizards were captured by noosing in a wild population living in the stone walls of the field station. After capture, each lizard was maintained in an individual terrarium littered with damp sand and wet mosses. After several days of accommodation, terraria were placed in the dark in a climate chamber. Temperature was then progressively cooled from 14 to 4°C during the first week and afterwards maintained constant at 4°C to mimic natural wintering conditions (Heulin et al., 2005). In February 2012, the temperature in the chamber was progressively increased over 48 h until it reached ambient temperature. Lizards were then removed from the chamber and maintained for 1 week in a terrarium provided with a light and heat source, a water dish, a shelter and live food. Afterwards, animals were shipped to the USA by air transport in a dark box and, upon arrival, were maintained in the same husbandry conditions as in France. All analyses were repeated in France in May 2013 using wild-caught animals (two adult individuals per species) to ensure that data were not biased by the use of animals emerging from hibernation. We found no obvious differences between the two samples or between the sexes, and thus pooled all data for our analysis. All protocols were approved by the French National Ethics Committee on Animal Experimentation (Comité National de Réflexion Ethique sur l’Expérimentation Animale, no. Ce5/2011/044).

Spectral absorbance of pigments and oil droplets

Microspectrophotometry was conducted by E.R.L. and protocols were the same as those described by Loew (Loew, 1994; Loew et al., 2002). We used four common lizards and four wall lizards (two individuals per year for each species, at least one female per species). After at least 2 h of dark adaptation, animals were anaesthetised with isoflurane, decapitated with sharp shears and the eyes enucleated under dim red light (safelight No. 2, 15 W bulb, Kodak, Rochester, NY, USA). Subsequent preparation and measurements were carried out under infrared illumination (>800 nm, Kodak safelight No. 11 or IR LEDs) using image converters. Eyes were hemisected, the cornea was isolated and the retinas carefully removed from the pigment epithelium under hypertonic buffer solution of Ca2+/Mg2+-free Ringer’s solution at pH 7.2 supplemented with 6% sucrose. Pieces of retina were macerated, sandwiched between two coverslips edged with silicone grease, and placed on the stage of a computer-controlled single-beam MSP (Loew, 1994).

Absorbance spectra were obtained for all clearly identified outer segments from 750 to 350 nm, and back again from 350 to 750 nm, with a wavelength accuracy of ±1 nm (Loew, 1994). Whenever possible, the inner segment of the same cell was also scanned to measure the absorbance of the oil droplet or dispersed inner segment pigment (the accessory members of the double cones). In some cases, it was not possible to scan the inner and outer segment for each cell and thus sample sizes for oil droplets and pigments differ. Post-measurement bleaching was used to confirm the presence of visual pigment. Corneal absorbance was measured from isolated pieces using essentially the same technique as for the retina.

Visual pigment \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) was determined by template fitting using the method previously described by Loew et al. (Loew et al., 2002). Briefly, a Gaussian function was fit to the top 40 data points at 1 nm intervals and differentiated to establish the peak wavelength. The spectrum was normalised to this absorbance value and template fit to either A1 or A2 standard data using the method of MacNichol (MacNichol, 1986). Template fitting alone is not the best determinant of A1 or A2 status for noisy data such as that from the very small outer segments of diurnal reptiles. However, if the calculated \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) was greater than 580 nm, it was assumed that A2 must be present. Calculated \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) values are accurate to ±1 nm and are reported here to the nearest whole integer. Oil droplet and dispersed pigment absorbance spectra were plotted directly in units of optical density. For identification, the value of the wavelength at which the
absorbance is half way between the minimum and maximum values ($\lambda_{\text{mid}}$) was determined using the method of Lipetz (Lipetz, 1984).

**Oil droplet abundance**

In order to quantify the different types of oil droplets, we collected two small pieces of retina from each of three common lizards and three male wall lizards after anaesthesia. Samples were placed in drop of buffer and covered with a grease-edged coverslip and examined using an Olympus BHT microscope at >40 magnification. Several images from different areas of each retina were captured and oil droplets were counted by eye from these images. In total, we counted around 200–800 oil droplets from each area. We did not attempt to score separately the different regions of the retina even though lizards may exhibit heterogeneous spatial distribution of their photoreceptors on the retina (New et al., 2012). However, our protocol ensured that we captured the average property of the eye. Associations between oil droplet classes and pigment classes were determined from data where the inner segment was attached to a droplet.

**Body coloration measurements**

We used the reflectance data of ventral coloration of adult male common lizards described in Martin et al. (Martin et al., 2013). Briefly, reflectance spectra were measured in the centre of the throat, chest and belly for 84 males in the early summer using a spectrophotometer (USB2000, Ocean Optics Inc., Dunedin, FL, USA) calibrated between 200 and 850 nm, a Xenon light source (PX-2) covering 220–750 nm and a 400 μm fibre optic probe (R400-7-UV/VIS, Ocean Optics Inc.). We restricted our analyses to 300–700 nm, which includes the broadest range of wavelengths known to be visible to lizards (Fleishman et al., 2011). The end probe in contact with the lizard’s skin was bevelled at 45 deg and the circular reading spot was approximately 1 mm². Reflectance was measured relative to a dark and a white diffusive standard (WS-1, Ocean Optics Inc.). For each lizard, we measured two reflectance spectra for each body zone and calculated the average spectrum. Because spectral characteristics of chest and belly coloration were not significantly different (Martin et al., 2013), we used only throat and belly spectra in this study.

**Quantitative model**

We modelled visual signal perception by the common lizard using a version of the Vorobyev and Osorio model (Vorobyev and Osorio, 1998). This model assumes a receptor noise-limited colour opponent discrimination mechanism and can be parameterised with data on receptor spectral sensitivities, receptor abundance and noise levels in the photoreceptors [further details and applications are available for other species (see Osorio et al., 2004; Siddiqi et al., 2004; Vorobyev et al., 1998)]. This model has been successfully tested against behavioural discrimination tests in some birds, mammals and insects, but not in reptiles. In a nutshell, the model calculates relative quantum catch by each photoreceptor type according to data on light entering the eye and the spectral sensitivity of the receptor, including lens, ocular media and oil droplet absorption and visual pigment absorbance. For a tetrachromat, this calculation places objects seen under incident light into a calculated tetrahedral colour space (Goldsmith, 1990; Stoddard and Prum, 2008; Vorobyev et al., 1998). A threshold distance between two colours (i.e. the distance below which two stimuli are indistinguishable) can then be calculated following equation 5 in the Vorobyev and Osorio model (Vorobyev and Osorio, 1998), which assumes opponent mechanisms and noise in each receptor type. The distance in the tetrahedral colour space $\Delta S$ was calculated in units of multiples of just-noticeable difference (JND). A greater ‘distance’ in colour space between two colours indicates that these colours are easier to discriminate for a given visual system in a given environment. According to the opponent discrimination model, values of $\Delta S$ above 1.0 JND indicate that colours can be discriminated, whereas values below 1.0 indicate that colours are indistinguishable.

No data on photoreceptor noise is available for reptiles. Here, we assumed that receptor noise is independent of light intensity and used a Weber fraction of 0.05 as suggested for amphibians by Siddiqi et al. (Siddiqi et al., 2004). Relative sensitivity of single cones was calculated as the product of the normalised absorbance spectrum of visual pigments (outer segment) and of the relative transmission spectrum of oil droplets (inner segment) assuming a transparent lens and ocular media in the range 350–700 nm. For modelling purposes, we used Hart and Vorobyev’s templates (Hart and Vorobyev, 2005) and estimates of $\lambda_{\text{mid}}$ from our MSP data to fit normalised absorbance spectra for each type of visual pigment. In addition, we used oil droplet templates from the same reference and estimates of $\lambda_{\text{mid}}$ from our MSP data to calculate normalised transmission spectra of the oil droplets. These templates were designed for birds and there is no equivalent template for lizards. If both vitamin A1- and A2-based pigments were present in the mixture, the absorbance spectra of both types of pigments were calculated separately, multiplied by 0.5 and added before normalising and multiplying by the transmission spectra. We used a standard irradiance spectrum for daylight (D65 spectrum) (Wyszecki and Stiles, 1982) and all calculations of the model were run using Avicol version 6 (Gomez, 2006).

To evaluate the importance of the relative abundance of UVS cones, we ran the model on all possible pairs of throat spectra and of belly spectra from 84 male common lizards and calculated the value of $\Delta S$ for each of these throat or belly colour pairs. Analyses of throat and belly data were conducted separately because of their differences of spectral properties: the throat is rich in UV and poor in yellow-red pigmentation whereas the belly presents reverse colour properties. We were thus interested in the ability of the model to detect small colour variations for each colour patch. LWS pigments with an A1 or A2 chromophore were assumed to be in a 10:90 proportion. Four visual systems were tested: (1) no UVS cones (model 0:1:1:1); (2) UVS cones equal in abundance to other single cones (typical of most lizards, model 1:1:1:1); (3) UVS cones twice as abundant as the other single cones (based on UVS cones abundance observed in Z. vivipara relative to those in P. muraus, model 2:1:1:1); and (4) empirical estimates of the abundance of SWS, MWS and LWS single cones relative to UVS cones in Z. vivipara (model 1:2:5:9).

Furthermore, to explore the importance of the two chromophore types and their proportion, we ran the model on all possible pairs of throat or belly spectra from the 84 male common lizards. We tested four conditions by assuming the empirical cone density: (1) pure A1-based LWS pigments, (2) vitamin A1- and A2-based LWS pigments in 50:50 proportion, (3) vitamin A1- and A2-based long wavelength-sensitive pigments in 10:90 proportion (empirical estimate) and (4) pure A2-based LWS pigments.
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