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#### Abstract

Background: Ralstonia solanacearum is a soil-borne beta-proteobacterium that causes bacterial wilt disease in many food crops and is a major problem for agriculture in intertropical regions. R. solanacearum is a heterogeneous species, both phenotypically and genetically, and is considered as a species complex. Pathogenicity of R. solanacearum relies on the Type III secretion system that injects Type III effector (T3E) proteins into plant cells. T3E collectively perturb host cell processes and modulate plant immunity to enable bacterial infection. Results: We provide the catalogue of T3E in the $R$. solanacearum species complex, as well as candidates in newly sequenced strains. 94 T3E orthologous groups were defined on phylogenetic bases and ordered using a uniform nomenclature. This curated T3E catalog is available on a public website and a bioinformatic pipeline has been designed to rapidly predict T3E genes in newly sequenced strains. Systematical analyses were performed to detect lateral T3E gene transfer events and identify T3E genes under positive selection. Our analyses also pinpoint the RipF translocon proteins as major discriminating determinants among the phylogenetic lineages. Conclusions: Establishment of T3E repertoires in strains representatives of the $R$. solanacearum biodiversity allowed determining a set of 22 T3E present in all the strains but provided no clues on host specificity determinants. The definition of a standardized nomenclature and the optimization of predictive tools will pave the way to understanding how variation of these repertoires is correlated to the diversification of this species complex and how they contribute to the different strain pathotypes.
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## Background

Ralstonia solanacearum is a widely distributed soil-borne phytopathogen belonging to the beta subdivision of Proteobacteria [1]. It causes lethal bacterial wilt of more than 200 plant species, including economically important crops $[2,3]$. Among the pathogenicity determinants of this bacterium, the Type III Secretion System (T3SS) plays a crucial role because mutants unable to produce this specialized secretion machinery are unable to cause disease

[^0]on plants [4]. This T3SS ensures the direct translocation of Type III effector (T3E) proteins from the bacterium to the plant cell cytosol [5,6]. These T3E are presumed to perturb host cell processes and modulate plant innate immunity to allow bacterial infection [7].

Phylogenetic analyses of Ralstonia strains causing wilt diseases revealed an extensive diversity $[8,9]$ and this group of organisms is now commonly called the $R$. solanacearum species complex (RSSC hereafter) [10]. This species complex includes strains with broad and narrow host ranges with different geographic origins. Based on phylogenetic analyses and on comparative genomic hybridization, the RSSC has been classified in four phylogenetic groups called
phylotypes, which reflect their origins as follows: Asia (phylotype 1), the Americas (phylotype 2), Africa (phylotype 3) or Indonesia (phylotype 4, which includes Ralstonia syzygii and the banana blood disease bacterium BDB) $[8,11,12]$. To date, 14 strains belonging to the RSSC have been completely sequenced.
Pioneering studies have established that T3E repertoires are highly variable among strains and shape the host range of bacterial pathogens [13,14]. First exhaustive inventories of RSSC T3E using different in silico or experimental approaches were made in phylotype 1 strains GMI1000 [5,7] and RS1000 [6,15]. GMI1000 and RS1000 have almost identical repertoires that comprise 72 and 74 T3E for which T3SS-dependent plant cell targeting have been experimentally validated in RS1000 [6,15]. A feature of these repertoires is the existence of multigenic T3E families [7]. Functional studies have been carried out on members of the Gala family, which are proteins with F-box and Leucine Rich Repeat domains collectively required for full virulence [16-18], and members of the PopP family, which includes the avirulence proteins PopP1 [19] and PopP2, the latter possessing acetyltransferase activity [20-22]. Recently a functional analysis of the AWR family demonstrated that some AWR T3E induce cell death necrotic reactions on plants and are required for full virulence [23].
The genome sequence data from strains representative of the biodiversity of the RSSC opens the way towards understanding the evolutionary processes that structured their T3E gene repertoire. This will also provide clues towards defining what makes a given strain more aggressive than others on a specific host. However such comparative genomic approaches are actually hampered by the fact that T3E inventories in multiple strains have not been accurately established: several T3E genes have been overlooked by automatic annotation programs and/or have been incorrectly predicted. Moreover, the lack of a unified nomenclature for RSSC T3E is confusing for a non-expert since many T3E genes from RSSC strains have different names in the published literature (Pop, Avr, Brg, Rip, Hpx or Lrp proteins). This doesn't help the already difficult task of identifying orthologous and paralogous genes in strains harboring between 46 to 71 T3E genes.

This work presents an integrative and comprehensive database for the T3E of the RSSC. This database is a compendium of manually re-annotated genes across 11 sequenced strains and ordered with a novel and unifying nomenclature. This database is publicly available for browsing and retrieving data and information. Our analyses on this particular gene set at the forefront of the interaction between the bacteria and its host, provides new insight into their evolutionary history and their potential contribution to host specificity

## Results and discussion

## Ralstonia solanacearum T3E database

Inventory and re-annotation of T3E genes in the RSSC
Our goal is to provide a comprehensive and an as exhaustive as possible inventory of T3E in the RSSC as a public database from which curated information can be retrieved. To this end, we manually curated and compiled the T3E genes from eleven sequenced strains representative of the genetic diversity of the RSSC (see Methods). The workflow of the retrieval and annotation of the T3E genes from the RSSC genomes as well as the main outputs of this analysis are shown in Figure 1. The inventory of T3E in the published RSSC genome sequences was primarily based on homology searches with the established repertoires of strains GMI1000 [7] and RS1000 [6]. Identification of additional T3E was conducted using criteria defined previously [5] to mine the GMI1000 genome: (i) homology to known T3E in other bacterial species (ii) presence of a $h r p_{\text {II }}$ box in the promoter region since 52/70 T3E gene promoters harbor this cis-regulatory element in GMI1000 [24], (iii) existence of specific amino acid distribution biases in the 50 N -terminal domain [24]. These two latter criteria were hampered by the fact that many T3E genes have wrongly annotated start codons. Hence all the genes possessing a putative $h r p_{\text {II }}$ box were inspected for potential start codon errors before being included in the T3E annotation workflow (see Figure 1). This process led to the "discovery" of twenty new T3E genes (generating 42 new gene accessions), and the re-annotation of $34 \%$ of the existing RSSC T3E genes. Altogether these changes affect $39 \%$ of the RSSC T3E dataset ( 841 individual entries) submitted or already present in GenBank to date.

## Identification of T3E candidate genes in RSSC strains.

A mining of the genome of nine RSSC strains from phylotypes 2, 3 and 4 for previously undescribed T3E gene families was performed based on the criteria listed above [5]. In this process, we only kept the T3E candidates strictly fitting with both criteria (ii) and (iii) described above. This search yielded 16 RSSC T3E candidates, for which T3SS-dependent translocation is not yet demonstrated. These 16 hypothetical T3E gene families are listed in the Additional file 1 as well as in the RSSC-T3E database. Most of the corresponding genes did not display homology to any other known proteins, except for families RSSC-T3E-Hyp5, Hyp6 and Hyp7 having homologues only in Acidovorax spp or Xanthomonas spp, which are both plant pathogenic bacteria.

## Pseudogenes

In many cases, T3E genes appeared to have frameshift mutations or to be split into several independent open reading frames on the assembled genomes. This could

be due to mutations leading to gene inactivation or, more probably, to sequence and assembly errors in the available genome sequences. It should be noted that there are important differences in terms of quality in the available assembled genomes (see Methods). In some other cases, genome sequence gaps resulted in incomplete T3E gene prediction. Many genes encoding T3E with internal repeats are often predicted as truncated or incomplete, probably due to the difficulty to assemble repeat-containing short sequence reads (Next Generation Sequencing techniques). Frameshift-mutated and incomplete T3E genes were included in the RSSC-T3E database and are distinguished by the prefix fs ('frameshift') before the gene name. Future re-sequencing should verify the current pseudogene status of these genes.

Probable non-functional pseudogenes are also listed in the RSSC-T3E database (with the "pg" prefix, for pseudogene). These pseudogenes correspond to genes or gene fragments which are either gene remnants, open reading frames disrupted by a transposable element insertion or frameshift mutated genes confirmed after re-sequencing. The number of predicted pseudogenes varies from one to eight among the eleven strains analyzed (Figure 1B). However, the formal distinction between a pseudogene and a functional gene is difficult to establish without experimental validation [25]. In some cases, the absence of specific domains (e.g. RipC1 $1_{\mathrm{CMR15}}$ lacking the C-terminal half present in other RipC1 alleles) raises the question of the functionality of the corresponding protein.

## The RSSC-T3E database interface

The dataset corresponding to the lists and expert annotation of validated and candidate T3E in the 11 sequenced strains representative of the 4 RSSC phylotypes were compiled in a web interface named "Ralstonia T3E" (https://iant.toulouse.inra.fr/T3E) designed to provide the user with a convenient and straightforward access to all the underlying data. The home page provides a synthetic table displaying the distribution of the 94 T3E gene families in the RSSC strains under the proposed nomenclature (see below). This table summarises for each strain whether a gene member is present (in single or multiple copies), absent, or is predicted as being not functional (pseudogene). A specific colour code also indicates genes with putative frameshift mutations. This information is also available as a table in the Additional file 2. The clickable T3E genes provide a link to multifasta files of the curated nucleotide and protein sequences as well as view of the corresponding DNA and protein alignments [26]. Tab-style navigation provides a link to the 16 T3E candidate genes as well as a link to different services like "ScanYourGenome" (see hereafter), Pat Scan, HMScan and Blast.

## Proposed guidelines for the nomenclature of T3Es in RSSC strains

The recent availability of complete genome sequences for a number of RSCC strains has led to a significant increase in the rate of T3E discovery. However, the absence of a systematic nomenclature has resulted in multiple names being assigned to the same T3E gene. Some genes were named as $\operatorname{brg}$ ( $h r p B$-regulated genes) [5] or $h p x$ ( $h r p B$-dependent expression) [27] genes based on regulation studies/screens or as Rip (Ralstonia injected protein) genes $[5,6]$. We propose the usage of the generic term of Rip for renaming all the T3E genes in the RSSC, a term previously used after demonstration of the translocation of these effectors into plant cells $[5,6]$. This new nomenclature should follow the rules defined previously for naming the $P$. syringae T3E [28]; such as: RipXY\# ${ }_{\text {strain }}$, wherein the gene is indicated by alphabetic characters, paralogous genes in numerically characters, and the strain in subscript. The proposed attribution of this novel nomenclature to known translocated RSSC T3E is presented in Table 1 (and Additional file 3).
After identifying groups of homologous genes by reciprocal best hit in the curated list of RSSC likely T3E genes, we concentrated our effort in grouping the different genes in orthologous groups and naming then accordingly. Three situation can occur: (i) a single hit (or no hit) in each strain, with conservation of synteny on the genome; (ii) a single hit (or no hit) in each strain, but with a breach of synteny for at least one of the homologous genes; (iii) multiple hits (two or more for at least one strain) in different strains.
In the first case a single orthologous group is defined irrespective of the pairwise identity between the orthologous genes. This can be exemplified by RipB a single gene present in all strains with pairwise amino acid identity ranging from 72 to $100 \%$. Another case is RipU also a single gene present in all strains with a strict conservation of synteny, but with surprising divergent members (pairwise amino acid identity ranging from 23 to 100\%). Even though it is likely that RipU has evolved different functions in the different strains, based on the likely common ancestral origin suggested by the conservation of synteny $[29,30]$, we advocate for keeping a single orthology group.
In the second situation, an apparent single orthologous group exists but differences in synteny support a scenario of gene duplication followed by gene loss or lateral gene transfer between strains. Here we favour synteny as a ruler for ortholog definition $[29,30]$. This is exemplified by RipO1 and RipO2, the latter being present only in the strain R24, devoid of RipO1.

Finally when there are strains with two or more paralogous genes, again we favour the synteny rule to identify groups of orthology [29]. A careful phylogenetic

Table 1 List of the T3E genes currently identified in the $R$. solanacearum species complex and proposal for a unified nomenclature

| Proposed T3E family name | Representative gene member | Former/other name | Hop/Xop homolo-gues | Functional domain/motif or Function | Evidence for T3SS-dependent secretion or translocation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RipA1 | RSc2139 | AWR1 |  |  | RipA1 [23] |
| RipA2 | RSp0099 | RipA, Rip29, Hpx31, AWR2 |  |  | RipA [5], Rip29 [6] |
| RipA3 | RSp0846 | Rip44, Hpx32, AWR3 |  |  | Rip44 [6] |
| RipA4 | RSp0847 | Rip45, Hpx4, AWR4 |  |  | Rip45 [6] |
| RipA5 | RSp1024 | Rip56, Hpx10, AWR5 |  |  | Rip56 [6] |
| RipB | Rsc0245 | RipB, Rip2, Hpx11 | Hope/XopQ | Nucleoside N -ribohydrolase | RipB [5], Rip2 [6] |
| RipC1 | RSp1239 | Rip62 | XopC |  | Rip62 [6] |
| RipC2 | CFBP2957 RCFBP_mp20032 |  | XopC |  |  |
| RipD | RSp0304 | Rip34, Hpx25, Brg8 | HopD/XopB |  | Rip34 [6] |
| RipE1 | RSC3369 | Rip26, Brg9 | HopX/XopE |  | Rip26 [6] |
| RipE2 | CFBP2957 RCFBP_mp10565 |  | HopX/XopE |  |  |
| RipF1 | RSp1555 | PopF1, PopF2, Rip70 |  | T3SS translocator | RipF1 [6], PopF1 [35] |
| RipF2 | CFBP2957 RCFBP_mp30453 |  |  | T3SS translocator |  |
| RipG1 | RSp0914 | Gala1, Rip53 |  | F-box Leucine-Rich Repeats | Rip53 [6] |
| RipG2 | RSp0672 | Gala2, Rip37, Hpx20 |  | F-box LRR protein | Rip37 [6] |
| RipG3 | RSp0023 | Gala3, Rip28 |  | F-box LRR protein | Rip28 [6] |
| RipG4 | RSC1800 | Gala4, Rip17, Hpx15 |  | F-box LRR protein | Rip17 [6] |
| RipG5 | RSC1801 | Gala5, Rip18, Hpx16 |  | F-box LRR protein | Rip18 [6] |
| RipG6 | RSC1356 | RipG, Gala6, Rip 13, Hpx13 |  | F-box LRR protein | RipG [5], Rip13 [6] |
| RipG7 | RSC1357 | Gala7, Rip14, Hpx14 |  | F-box LRR protein | Gala7 [16], Rip14 [6] |
| RipG8 | CMR15 CMR15v4_10224 | Gala8 |  |  |  |
| RipH1 | RSC1386 | HLK1, Rip15, Brg19 | XopP |  | Rip15 [6] |
| RipH2 | RSp0215 | HLK2, Rip32 | XopP |  | Rip32 [6] |
| RipH3 | RSp0160 | HLK3, Rip30, Brg18 | XopP |  | Rip30 [6] |
| RipH4 | Psi07 RPSIO7_mp0161 | HLK4 | XopP |  |  |
| Ripl | RSC0041 | Rip1 |  |  | Rip1 [6] |
| RipJ | RSC2132 | Rip22 | HopZ/XopJ | Putative acetyltransferase | Rip22 [6] |
| Ripk | CFBP2957 RCFBP_mp10024 |  |  | YopJ acetyltransferase domain |  |
| RipL | RSp0193 | Rip31, Brg22 |  | Pentatricopeptide Repeats | Rip31 [6] |
| RipM | RSC1475 | Rip16, Brg42 |  |  | Rip16 [6] |
| RipN | RSp1130 | Rip58, Hpx26, Brg44 |  | Nudix hydrolase domain | Rip58 [6] |

Table 1 List of the T3E genes currently identified in the $\boldsymbol{R}$. solanacearum species complex and proposal for a unified nomenclature (Continued)

| RipO1 | RSp0323 | Rip35, Brg12 | HopG |  | Rip35 [6] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RipO2 | R. syzygii RALSY_mp30159 |  | HopG |  |  |
| RipP1 | RSC0826 | PopP1, Rip7 | HopZ/XopJ | Putative acetyltransferase | Rip7 [6], PopP1 [36] |
| RipP2 | RSc0868 | PopP2, Rip8 | HopZ/XopJ | Acetyltransferase | PopP2 [5], Rip8 [6] |
| RipP3 | UW163 [GenBank accession : CAF32358.1] | PopP3 | HopZ/XopJ | Putative acetyltransferase |  |
| RipQ | RSp1277 | Rip63, Hpx23 | HopAA |  | Rip63 [6] |
| RipR | RSp1281 | Rip64, Hpx24, Brg15, PopS | HopR |  | Rip64 [6] |
| RipS1 | RSc3401 | SKWP1, Rip27, Hpx37 | XopAD | Heat/Armadillo repeat domain | Rip27 [6] |
| RipS2 | RSp1374 | SKWP2, Rip65, Hpx36 |  | Heat/Armadillo repeat domain | Rip65 [6] |
| RipS3 | RSp0930 | SKWP3, Rip54 |  | Heat/Armadillo repeat domain | Rip54 [6] |
| RipS4 | RSc1839 | SKWP4, Rip20, Hpx30 |  | Heat/Armadillo repeat domain | Rip20 [6] |
| RipS5 | RSp0296 | SKWP5, Rip33, Hpx34 |  | Heat/Armadillo repeat domain | Rip33 [6] |
| RipS6 | RSc2130 | SKWP6 |  | Heat/Armadillo repeat domain |  |
| RipS7 | Molk2 RSMK02658 | SKWP7 |  | Heat/Armadillo repeat domain |  |
| RipS8 | Psi07 RSPsi07_1850 | SKWP8 |  | Heat/Armadillo repeat domain |  |
| RipT | RSC3212 | RipT, Rip25 | HopC | Putative cysteine protease | RipT [5], Rip25 [6] |
| RipU | RSp1212 | Rip59 |  |  | Rip59 [6] |
| RipV1 | RSC1349 | Rip12, Hpx29, Brg17 |  | Ubiquitin ligase domain | Rip12 [6] |
| RipV2 | Psi07 RSPsi07_1895 |  |  | Ubiquitin ligase domain |  |
| RipW | RSc2775 | PopW, Rip24 |  | Harpin, Pectate lyase | Rip24 [6], PopW [34] |
| RipX | RSp0877 | PopA, Rip49 |  | Harpin | Rip49 [6], PopA [74] |
| RipY | RSc0257 | Rip3, Brg23 |  | Ankyrin Repeats | Rip3 [6] |
| RipZ | RSp1031 | Rip57, Brg38 |  |  | Rip57 [6] |
| RipAA | RSC0608 | AvrA, Rip5, Brg46 |  |  | AvrA [31], Rip5 [6] |
| RipAB | RSp0876 | PopB, Rip48 |  |  | Rip48 [6], PopB [33] |
| RipAC | RSp0875 | PopC, Rip47 | XopAE | Leucine-Rich Repeats | Rip47 [6], PopC [33] |
| RipAD | RSp1601 | Rip72 |  |  | Rip72 [6] |
| RipAE | RSc0321 | Rip4 | HopZ/XopJ | Putative acetyltransferase | Rip4 [6] |
| RipAF1 | RSp0822 | Rip40 | HopF | PutativeADP-ribosyltransferase | Rip40 [6] |
| RipAF2 | R. syzygii RALSY_20037 |  | HopF | PutativeADP-ribosyltransferase |  |
| RipAG | RSc0824 | Rip6 |  |  | Rip6 [6] |
| RipAH | RSc0895 | Rip11 |  |  | Rip11 [6] |

Table 1 List of the T3E genes currently identified in the $\boldsymbol{R}$. solanacearum species complex and proposal for a unified nomenclature (Continued)

| RipAI | RSp0838 | Rip41 |  |  | Rip41 [6] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RipAJ | RSc2101 | Rip21, Hpx18 |  |  | Rip21 [6] |
| RipAK | RSc2359 | Rip23, Hpx28, Brg36 |  |  | Rip23 [6] |
| RipAL | UW551 RRSL_02221 | Rip38 |  | Lipase domain | Rip38 [6] |
| RipAM | RSc3272 | Brg40 |  |  | This work Additional file 3 |
| RipAN | RSp0845 | Rip43, Hpx33, Brg33 |  |  | Rip43 [6] |
| RipAO | RSp0879 | Rip50, Hpx2, Brg34 |  |  | Rip50 [6] |
| RipAP | UW551 RRSL_04655 | Rip60 |  | Ankyrin Repeats | Rip60 [6] |
| RipAQ | RSp0885 | Rip51, Brg35 |  |  | Rip51 [6] |
| RipAR | RSp1236 | Rip61 |  | Ubiquitin ligase domain | Rip61 [6] |
| RipAS | RSp1384 | Rip66, Hpx9, Brg43 |  |  | Rip66 [6] |
| RipAT | RSp1388 | Rip67, Brg48 |  |  | Rip67 [6] |
| RipAU | RSp1460 | Rip68, Hpx8, Brg45 |  |  | Rip68 [6] |
| RipAV | RSp0732 | Rip39, Hpx27, Brg39 | HopAV |  | Rip39 [6] |
| RipAW | RSp1475 | Rip69 |  | Ubiquitin ligase domain | Rip69 [6] |
| RipAX1 | RSc3290 | Brg13 | HopH/XopG |  |  |
| RipAX2 | RSp0572 | Rip36, Brg14 | HopH/XopG |  | Rip36 [6] |
| RipAY | RSp1022 | Rip55, Hpx21, Brg37 |  |  | Rip55 [6] |
| RipAZ1 | RSp1582 | Rip71 |  |  | Rip71 [6] |
| RipAZ2 | R. syzygii RALSY_20407 |  |  |  |  |
| RipBA | RSc0227, RSp0228 [pseudogene] |  | AvrRpm 1 |  |  |
| RipBB | Psi07 RPSI07_mp0573 |  |  | Ankyrin repeats |  |
| RipBC | CFBP2957 RCFBP_mp30170 |  |  | YopJ acetyltransferase domain \& Ankyrin Repeats |  |
| RipBD | R. syzygii RALSY_20184 |  | HopAF |  |  |
| RipBE | RS1000 Rip10 | Rip10 | XopAR |  | Rip10 [6] |
| RipBF | Psi07 RPSI07_2863 |  | HopV |  |  |
| RipBG | Molk2 RSMK00763 |  | HopAB | Ubiquitin ligase domain |  |
| RipBH | Psi07 RPSI07_mp1715 |  |  | Shigella flexneri OspD family |  |
| RipBI | CFBP2957 RCFBP_mp30113 |  | XopX |  |  |
| RipTAL1 | RSc1815 | Rip19, Hpx17, Brg11 | TAL | Putative transcription factor | Rip19 [6] |
| RipTPS | RSp0731 |  |  | Trehalose-phosphate synthase | Manuscript in preparation |

reconstruction for these homologous genes across the whole species complex (Additional file 4) illustrates the accuracy of the orthology attributions [30]. These phylogenetic trees also highlighted the existence of two paralogs in several strains that clearly belong to a clade defined as an orthologous group (see Additional file 4, for RipA5, RipE1, RipF1, RipG1 and RipH2). We believe that these paralogs result from strain specific (or group of related strains) recent gene duplication. We thus choose to name these genes in a way that indicates their recent evolution: e.g. RipA5_1 Molk 2 and RipA5_ $2_{\text {MolK2 }}$; RipF1_1 $1_{\text {CMR15 }}$ and RipF1_ $2_{\text {CMR15 }}$ etc.... The rule of synteny is conserved since we verified that all these genes have indeed a conserved synteny (e.g. RipA5_1 MolК2, RipA5_1 IPO1609, RipA5_1 UW551 and RipA5_1 $1_{\text {P082 }}$ have a conserved genomic location, as do RipA5_2 MolК2, RipA5_2 $2_{\text {IPO1609 }}$, RipA5_2 ${ }_{\text {UW551 }}$ and RipA5_2 P082 ).

## Suggested name reassignment of previously characterized R. solanacearum T3E.

Whenever possible the proposed new nomenclature conserves the original letter designations used in previous annotation e.g. RipP1 is PopP1 [19]; RipP2 is PopP2 [20]; RipAA is AvrA [31]. In the case of paralogous genes, the names are, for instance: RipG1, RipG2, ...to RipG8 for the GALA gene family [16,17]; RipA1, RipA2, ...to RipA5 for the AWR family [23]. In a few cases, there is evidence for recent T3E gene duplications resulting in two or more gene copies in a single given strain, e.g. strain Psi07 harbors 3 copies of RipG1 [17] and 2 copies of RipH2: these were renamed RipG1_1, RipG1_2, RipG1_3 and RipH2_1, RipH2_2, respectively, to differentiate them from the other RipH and RipG genes in this strain (Table 1).
In addition, a Rip name is proposed for the 9 T3E previously identified as Pop [20,32-36] or Avr [37]. The Pop designation is historical and was formerly coined when R. solanacearum was known as Pseudomonas solanacearum [38], the "Avr" term was solely used for the AvrA avirulence protein identified in 1990 [37]. These designations can be confusing because the Pop term has also been used to name some Pseudomonas aeruginosa T3E [39] and AvrA also refer to an unrelated T3E from Salmonella species [40].

## "ScanYourGenome" a bioinformatic tool for detecting T3E orthologs

In order to swiftly analyse the T3E content of newly produced genome sequences, we developed a protocol for the identification of putative effector candidates. This pipeline is based on a de novo effectome prediction using T3E models. Then each candidate is tested using different methods with decreasing stringency to assign them to the most probable known effector gene (see Methods section).

This protocol was first tested on reference genomes used above for manual annotation of the T3E genes in order to calibrate the detection parameters (see Methods) before using it for predicting T3E in the recently published draft genomes of strains K60 [41], FQY_4 [42] and Y45 [43]. This analysis yielded a prediction of 60,75 and 73 potential T3E encoding genes encoded respectively by the K60, FQY_4 and Y45 genomes, (Additional file 2). The gene model prediction takes into account possible frameshifts, also when the gene is shorter than $80 \%$ of the average length of the other alleles of this Rip gene, the predicted gene is tagged as potential pseudogene. Both frameshift and pseudogene annotations appear in the prediction. This orthology search engine and the consequent Rip assignment are available to the community for queries of draft or complete genome sequences. For shorter gene sequences a more straightforward blast is advised. The advantage of a sliding scale of orthology detection is the possibility to unequivocally assign each potential T3E gene to a specific orthologous group. Whenever a new candidate T3E gene, experimentally validated as being secreted or translocated into plant cells, will not retrieve an already labelled orthologous Rip family, this gene will be assigned the next available Rip code.

## Evolutionary dynamics of rip genes <br> Classification of paralogous rip genes

A specific feature of R. solanacearum T3Es is the abundance of paralogous rip genes in all the strains sequenced to date. Some of these paralogous genes are well represented in strains from the four phylotypes, hence they probably originated from ancient duplications in the common ancestor of these diverse strains. This was well documented for the RipG1-G8 [17] and the RipA1-A5 [23] paralogous gene families and is probably also true for RipH1-H3 and RipS1-S8. Although all strains contain members of these paralogous family, the likely ancient duplications doesn't exclude some phylotype specificities explained by loss or more simply by recent duplications e.g. RipA1 and RipS6 seem to be specific to phylotype 1, RipG8 is only found in CMR15, the sole representative of phylotype 3; and RipH4 seems to be specific of the phylotype 4 strains (see Additional file 2).
A second group of paralogous rip genes is characterised by a smaller number (2-3) of paralogous sequences in a given strain. Phylogenetic analyses were used to estimate the evolutionary relationships between paralogues using sequence data from the 11 RSSC representative strains. We defined eight additional rip genes (RipC2, RipE2, RipF2, RipO2, RipV2, RipAF2, RipAX2 and RipAZ2) (Table 1 and Additional file 4). Several of these paralogous genes, such as ripC2 or ripO2, seem to differ significantly from RipC1 and RipO2 respectively and could
have originated through lateral gene transfer (see below) since homologous genes exist in other bacterial species. For the gene families present in most of the RSSC strains (ripE2 and ripV2), the genes are located in each strain in a similar genomic context, an observation which also supports a common evolutionary origin. But distribution of some paralogs can be variable among strains: .i.e. RipE1 seems to be ubiquitously present whereas RipE2 is absent in phylotype 1 strains.
Protein sequence analyses indicated that RipAR, RipAW, RipV1, RipV2 and RipBG contain putative ubiquitin-ligase domains (see below), likewise, RipJ, RipK, RipAE, RipBC, RipP1 and RipP2 could all potentially display acetyltransferase activity (see phylogenetic tree in Additional file 5). Notwithstanding this apparent functional conservation, the sequences of these T3E genes have diverged significantly and can't be assigned in orthologous goups. It has to be noted that the numerical identification of the two RipP1 and RipP2, and the pseudogene RipP3 ${ }_{\text {GMI }} 000$ is used in reference to their previous names PopP1 $[7,36]$ (RipP1), PopP2 [20,22,44] (RipP2) and PopP3 [19]. This is an exception to the previous rule as we don't consider these to be paralogs.

## Horizontally acquired rip genes

The detection of horizontal gene transfer (HGT hereafter) events in a given bacterial genome can be performed retrospectively through bioinformatics-based comparative analyses [45]. A frequent hallmark of genes with an extrinsic origin is the difference in GC content of these genes compared of the mean content of the host genome [46,47]. Thirteen Rip genes exhibit a mean GC\% below $60 \%$ (whereas the genomic mean content in RSSC strains is 67\%) (Additional file 6). In several cases, the T3E gene is physically associated with insertion sequence elements (RipAA, RipAX1, RipO2, RipE2), integrases (RipAF2) or are part of prophage sequences integrated in the genome (RipP1, RipP2, RipT, RipAG, RipAX2, RipE2, RipBD). From these observations, we can assume that bacteriophage-mediated transfer appears to be an efficient mean for lateral transfer of these T3E in the RSSC.

Phylogenetic analyses also provided interesting insights into possible HGT with other bacterial plant pathogens. For example, $\mathrm{RipC} 2_{\text {CFBP2957, }}$ outgroup of the RipC1 gene family, could derive from the XopC T3E from Xanthomonas spp. Furthermore, the low GC content of ripC2 ${ }_{\text {CFBP2957 }}$ (61\%) supports the hypothesis of an HGT, with the possibility of a shared common ancestor between ripC2 ${ }_{\text {CFBP2957 }}$ and xopC. Similar observations can be made with RipO2 $2_{\text {R.syzygii R24 }}$ (and P. syringae pv. phaseolicola HopG1), RipAF2 R.syzygii $^{\text {R24 }}$ (and P. syringae HopF1), RipE1 (and P. syringae HopX1 and Xanthomonas spp. XopE), RipP1 (and Xanthomonas spp. XopJ), RipAX2
(and Xanthomonas garderni XopG and P. syringae HopH1) and RipH2 (and Xanthomonas sp. XopP), see Additional file 4. Together with RipTAL, already suspected of inter-species transfer $[48,49]$, this analysis thus provided a total of seven T3E genes that could have been acquired through HGT.

## Evidence of phylogenetic incongruences

Examination of the intra-family phylogenetic relationships of T3E genes distributed in the nine RSSC sequenced strains revealed in some cases incongruences with the species phylogenetic tree. This can be illustrated by individual Rip contradicting the species phylogeny like RipG7 ${ }_{\text {CMR15 }}$ [17], RipD CMR15 , RipH2_1 $1_{\text {Po82 }}$ and RipAX1 $1_{\text {Po82 } 2,}$, which could be indicative of rapidly evolving or horizontally acquired genes (Additional file 4). Some other conflicting phylogenies can't be directly associated with a single divergent gene. This is the case for RipI, RipU and RipAC which are present in most of the RSSC sequenced strains (especially RipAC and RipU) but with great sequences divergence (identity at the protein level falling under $30 \%$ between some RipU and RipAC alleles). The only strong evidence for them being orthologs is the fact that RipAC and RipU genes are located in two highly syntenic regions with their respective flanking genes strictly following the species phylogeny (Figure 2). This suggests that RipU and RipAC evolved faster in some strains (e.g. RipU $\mathrm{CMR15}$ ) resulting in this particular high sequence polymorphism [50].

Another example of discrepancy between species and gene phylogeny is for RipAA. Here the increased polymorphism is correlated with the presence of a hypervariable domain consisting of Variable Number of Tandem Repeats [31].

## Several rip genes underwent selection and recombination

After excluding from the datasets the likely pseudogenes, all Rip genes with more than 3 orthologs ( 75 out of 93 Rip genes) were analysed for traces of recurrent diversifying positive selection. The analysis performed here was carried out like described previously [17], except that gene phylogenies were inferred using one-ratio codon model M0 [51]. The full results are displayed in the Additional file 7. Considering that some of the datasets were rather small we concentrated on identifying Rip genes with strong indications of positive selection. This was the case for the nine following Rip genes: RipAA, RipAJ, RipAT, RipAW, RipBD, RipD, RipG7, RipH3 and RipS7 with three out of three likelihood ration tests (LRTs) for positive selection being significant (Table 2). Six out of these 9 Rip genes have an estimated proportion of sites under positive selection higher than $5 \%$, with the highest level reached for RipAJ and RipG7, in agreement with a previous analysis [17].


Importantly, the presence of a high degree of recombination can hamper LRTs for positive diversifying selection, leading to false positives [52]. However inference of recombination can also be affected by selection forces [ 53,54$]$. This is why we systematically analysed all data for evidence of recombination (see Additional file 7 for full results). Table 2 also displays the results of tests for recombination for the nine previously identified Rip genes. Among these, only two (RipAW and RipG7) could also be affected by recombination, while for RipAA the evidence of recombination is not clear-cut. The interplay between
selection and recombination was already disentangled previously for RipG7 [17], with the conclusion that there is indeed a strong likelihood of positive selection acting on this gene. Here we won't address the question further for RipAA and RipAW but a future analysis with more allelic variants should be informative.
It is interesting to note that in the multigene paralogous families there seems to be one member under positive selection: RipH3, RipS7, RipG7. When we consider only 2 out of 3 LRTs for positive selection (see Additional file 7), we can define 14 more Rip coding sequences

Table 2 Rip coding sequences under strong diversifying positive selection on the protein level

| T3E gene | Number of strains | Alignment length (nt) | Population recombination rate,$N_{e} r\left(P_{\text {LPT }}\right)^{a}$ | LRT statistic values for codon model pairs ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  | Proportions of sites in different selection regimes ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | M0 vs M3 | M1a vs M2a | M7 vs M8 | M8a vs M8 | Strict negative ( $\omega<0.15$ ) | Relaxed negative ( $0.15<\omega<0.9$ ) | Neutral $(0.9<\omega<1)$ | Positive $(\omega>1)$ |
| RipAA | 10 | 906 | 10 (0.33) | 134.9 | 6.2 | 14.4 | 13.1 | 38\% ( $\omega=0.04$ ) | $56 \%(\omega=0.48)$ | 0\% | $6 \%(\omega=2.9)$ |
| RipAJ | 11 | 936 | 2 (0.54) | 150.5 | 7.2 | 11.9 | 13.3 | 46\% ( $\omega=0.04$ ) | $46 \%$ ( $\omega=0.49$ ) | 9\% | $7 \%(\omega=3.1)$ |
| RipAT | 9 | 1764 | 0 (0.04) | 191.1 | 11.5 | 17.3 | 13.3 | $38 \%(\omega=0.04)$ | $48 \%(\omega=0.54)$ | 10\% | $5 \%(\omega=3.3)$ |
| RipAW | 6 | 1359 | 6 (0.00) | 177.6 | 9.4 | 15.0 | 20.6 | $38 \%(\omega=0.02)$ | $48 \%(\omega=0.51)$ | 10\% | $5 \%(\omega=4.9)$ |
| RipAP | 7 | 2400 | 0 (0.22) | 148.6 | 18.2 | 21.7 | 27.6 | $59 \%(\omega=0.02)$ | 29\% ( $\omega=0.48$ ) | 10\% | $2 \%(\omega=10.0)$ |
| RipD | 11 | 1971 | 3 (0.18) | 266.5 | 7.2 | 16.7 | 12.7 | $38 \%(\omega=0.04)$ | $47 \%(\omega=0.47)$ | 9\% | $6 \%(\omega=2.8)$ |
| RipG7 | 10 | 2016 | 10 (0.00) | 561.6 | 28.1 | 37.6 | 43.0 | $46 \%(\omega=0.04)$ | $28 \%(\omega=0.59)$ | 19\% | $7 \%(\omega=3.4)$ |
| RipH3 | 9 | 2229 | 4 (0.81) | 145.7 | 19.5 | 29.5 | 25.1 | $29 \%(\omega=0.07)$ | $57 \%(\omega=0.56)$ | 10\% | $4 \%(\omega=3.8)$ |
| RipS7 | 7 | 9570 | 0 (0.00) | 329.0 | 30.4 | 34.3 | 42.2 | 48\% ( $\omega=0.03$ ) | $38 \%(\omega=0.44)$ | 10\% | $5 \%(\omega=4.0)$ |

${ }^{\text {V }}$ Values supporting evidence for recombination are shown in bold. in [74].
${ }^{\text {C Estimates }}$ of selection regimes are according to model M8 if LRT comparing M8a and M8 was significant. Otherwise, selection regimes are reported according to model M8a. For strict and relaxed negative selection the average omega value over respective selection classes is shown. Note that percentages for the four categories do not always add up to $100 \%$ due to rounding
with evidence for positive selection, out of which 9 belong to the above-mentioned paralogous families (including RipA5). It is tempting to speculate that after duplications some of the paralogous genes could have undergone sub- or neo-functionalisation allowing the cognate Rip proteins to adapt to evolving plant targets or evade from host immunity.

## Comparative genomics and functional implications <br> The RSSC T3E core set: a large group of conserved effectors.

The establishment of a near-complete T3E repertoire in strains representative of the large phylogenetic diversity of the RSCC allows a more specific and accurate comparison than those based on comparative genomic hybridizations [12]. We performed T3E repertoire comparisons using the following criteria: (i) rip genes listed as pseudogenes in the database were considered non-functional but those listed as containing frameshifts were considered as functional genes. The assumption that all the frameshifts are due to sequencing errors is probably an overestimation. Since we can't validate this experimentally, and considering that the number of frameshifts identified is inversely correlated with the genomic sequence quality, we will keep this assumption. This is exemplified with GM1000 and CFBP2957 high quality genomes, not containing a single frameshift mutation in their T3E genes. (ii) The 16 hypothetical T3E newly identified in the different strains were also included in the repertoire for comparisons.
The RSSC is divided in three main phylogenetic clades corresponding to phylotypes 2,4 and $(1+3)$ [1,11]. A first comparison showed that 22 Rip gene families are present in the 11 strains studied. When the presence requirement is lowered at 10 out of the 11 strains, the number of gene families reaches 32 (Additional file 8). Considering that the event of loss of specific T3E genes in some strain lineages is possible (see for instance the significantly reduced repertoire of $R$. syzygii R24 or BDBR229), we believe that these 32 T3E are a good estimation of the subset of T3E probably present in the ancestral $R$. solanacearum strain. Interestingly, 5 out of 9 T3E genes families showing a strong signal of diversifying selection also belong to the core effector group (Figure 3). It is also interesting to notice that distinct members of paralogous Rip families (RipA, RipG and $\operatorname{RipH}$ ) are also conserved among the 11 analyzed strains, indicating that duplications followed by differential evolution of these genes took place early before phylotype divergence [17]. The estimate of 32 core T3E certainly reflects the abundance of T3E in the R. solanacearum and, considering its genetic diversity as a species complex, appears significantly higher than the core list identified in P. syringae which is only 5 among 19 strains [13]. R. solanacearum ancestor presumably possessed more


Figure 3 Grouping of T3E rip genes. Circles group (i) genes under strong positive selection, (see Table 2) and (ii) genes belonging to the core group of T3E conserved in 10 out of the 11 RSSC genome sequences.
than 20 T3E, which were possibly acquired from the bacterial and phage communities in the soil or aquatic reservoirs.

## T3E repertoire comparisons provide no clues on host specificity determinants

Phylotypes $1-3,2$ and 4 are the main genetic groups structuring the RSSC [1,11], A comparison of the T3E repertoires (also taking into account the 16 candidate genes) from GMI1000 (Phylotype 1), CFBP2957 (phylotype 2) and Psi07 (phylotype 4), representing the three species clades and all isolated from tomato, reveals a diversity of 100 T3E genes, almost half of which (47) are conserved among the three strains whereas one third (30 T3E) appears to be strain-specific (Figure 4A). This confirms that a majority of T3E are widely conserved in this species complex but also shows that the strain repertoires are also diversified, as observed in P. syringae [13] or Xanthomonas sp. [55].
R. solanacearum strains exhibit great variations in host range [4] and it is tempting to speculate that T3E repertoires shape these host range capabilities. In order to tentatively identify candidate genes involved in host specificity, we performed T3E repertoire comparisons within specific phylogenetic groups such as phylotype 2 or 4 using strains with marked host range differences (Figure 4B). These comparisons identified strain-specific genes but did not pinpoint strong host-specificity candidates. Indeed, none of the Molk2 specific T3E is common with those of the BDBR229 strain which is also pathogenic on banana; the same is true for potato-associated T3E genes from the Po82 and UW551/IPO1609 strains. Although more genomic sequences of RSSC strains are


Figure 4 T3E distribution in RSSC strains. RSSC strains are color-coded according to their phylotype goupe: Red for phylotype 1 and 3; Blue for phylotype 2 and green for phylotype 4 and related strains. A. Shared T3E between representative strains of the three main phylogenetic lineages of the RSSC, all isolated from tomato. B. Shared T3E between R. solanacearum strains belonging to phylotype 2 . The almost identical repertoires from strains IPO1609 and UW551 were merged for this comparison. C. Shared T3E between strains belonging to phylotype 4 .
needed to perform robust associations between host range and T3E repertoires, these observations already suggest that host-range maybe controlled by multiple or differential combinations of T3E determinants, or determinants others than T3E, or that differences in T3E protein sequence or gene expression might also be involved [10]. Similar observations were reported for comparison of $P$. syringae pathovars T3E repertoires [56], thus reinforcing the idea that a complex genetic basis underlies host range evolution in plant pathogens.

Finally, intra-phylotype comparisons suggest that the proportion of conserved T3E is higher in phylotype 2 than in phylotype 4 strains (Figure 4C). Although phylotype 4 strains BDBR29 and R24 have undergone gene reduction potentially affecting this comparison, we still believe that this difference reflects the highest genetic diversity within phylotype 4 [9] and could also be associated with the diverse lifestyle among phylotype 4 strains [11].

## Identification of novel T3E gene harboring putative ubiquitin-ligase domains

Molecular functions of most R. solanacearum T3E remain unknown, and more than half of the repertoire corresponds to proteins with no structural motif or domain suggestive of function. The search for functional motifs identified two T3E proteins, RipAR and RipAW, carrying a C-terminal domain structurally related to the Shigella flexneri IpaH ubiquitin ligase domain [57]. Although the overall similarity between IpaH and RipAR/RipAW is low, these R. solanacearum T3E have a C-terminal domain with a predicted structure consisting of 12 alphahelices as determined for IpaH family proteins [57]. Most of the highly conserved residues in the IpaH family, including a highly conserved cysteine residue essential for activity [57], are conserved in RipAR and RipAW see sequence alignment in Additional file 9. Considering the previously identified T3E RipV, a Salmonella SspH1 homologue [58], and the RipG family members [16],
R. solanacearum potentially harbors a total of 10 T3E endowed with potential ubiquitin-ligase activity. This highlights the probable central mechanism consisting in subversion of the host's ubiquitination system by T3E during plant pathogenesis $[59,60]$.

## The specific case of the RipF translocon proteins

The RSSC T3E list include RipF proteins (formerly PopF [35]) as substrates of the T3SS since they were identified as translocated into plant cells using the adenylate cyclase reporter assay [6]. RipF proteins are required for the translocation of other T3E and are T3SS translocator proteins presumably acting at the tip of the Hrp pilus and inserting into host cell membranes to permit T3E translocation [35,61]. Contrary to the structural components of the T3SS (including the Hrp pilus structural pilin) which are strongly conserved among all the strains from the RSSC analyzed to date, a comparative analysis of RipF revealed major differences among the currently sequenced RSSC strains. Strains belonging to phylotypes 1,2 and 3 possess two RipF whereas strains from phylotype 4 have only one (RipF1) as Xanthomonas spp. In phylotypes 1 and 3 the second gene, formerly named PopF2 [35], is phylogenetically close to the first one named PopF1. However in phylotype 2, the second gene product belongs to a distinct phylogenetic branch, suggesting an ancient divergence from the other RipF1/ PopF1 lineage. These observations incited us to rename GMI1000 PopF2 as RipF1_2 (PopF1 being RipF1_1) whereas RipF2 is proposed to designate the gene from the phylotype 2 (see Figure 5). This peculiar evolutionary history of the RipF family makes this one of the most stringent discriminating probe among all Rip genes
for distinguishing the three main phylotype groups of the RSSC.
The biological implications of this gene duplication of the RipF translocator in some RSSC lineages and the structural divergence between the RipF1/RipF2 family members are unknown. In GMI1000, RipF1_1 has a major role in T3E translocation in tomato and tobacco whereas RipF1_2 plays a minor role in this process on these hosts [35]. The specific involvement of RipF2 and RipF1 in pathogenicity of phylotype 2 strains will need to be addressed in future studies.

## Conclusion

T3E are essential to $R$. solanacearum pathogenesis but progress in understanding of their relative contribution to disease through reverse genetic approaches has been hampered by the evidence of functional redundancies, due to the existence of large T3E repertoires. In this study, we have undertaken groundwork for a global inventory of R. solanacearum T3E at the species level in order to provide to the community a curated dataset, tools and a rationalized nomenclature that should pave the way for future work on RSSC effectomics. We conducted a large scale approach aimed at the identification, expert annotation and phylogenetic analyses of T3E from the RSSC, a species complex showing considerable genomic diversity $[10,11]$ and responsible for one of the most devastating bacterial disease of plants worldwide [2]. Our search yielded a total of 94 T3E Rip genes and 16 additional candidate T3E genes distributed among the 11 genomes analyzed in this study. This total of more than 100 predicted T3Es is significantly higher than the T3E inventories from other bacterial plant pathogens. Indeed,


Figure 5 Phylogenetic reconstruction of the RipF family. PhyML phylogenetic reconstruction of the RipF family. The XopF from Xanthomonas arboricola [GenBank: AFV80105] is also included in this analysis. R. solanacearum GMI1000 RipF1_1 and RipF1_2 correspond to former PopF1 and PopF2.
in P. syringae, genome analysis of 19 phylogenetically diverse isolates revealed the existence of 58 T3E genes [13] (the online resource www.pseudomonas-syringae.org, references 61 Hop orthologous groups) whereas this number is estimated to 52 in Xanthomonas spp [55]. These comparisons highlight the great diversity of T3E genes present in the RSSC and the apparent complexity of T3SS-dependent pathogenesis in this species complex.
The RSSC T3E also appears to be highly dynamic, as evidenced by the number of T3E under positive selection indicative of possible neo-functionalization or the number of T3E pseudogenes identified in this study. In particular, there is an obvious tendency to T3E gene decay in R. syzygii which is correlated with the genome reduction in this strain [11]. R. syzygii is an exception among the RSSC since it is strictly limited to Clover tree, the T3E repertoire reduction in this strain may be a consequence of this host specialization. On the other hand, the cornucopia of T3E identified in R. solanacearum and other related pathogenic beta-proteobacteria is probably a factor explaining the exceptional adaptation of these pathogens to such a wide diversity of hosts. Importantly, phylogenetic analyses allowed the definition of novel T3E genes, resulting in the definition of new Rip genes orthologous group or paralogs of already identified Rip genes. It is conceivable that these newly defined groups correspond to T3E genes with novel functional specificities.
Our analysis should also be helpful for refined functional studies: (i) the RipF1-RipF2 translocon proteins appear as major discriminating determinants among the main lineages of the RSSC and this probably reflects a fundamental evolutionary divergence (ii) global comparisons of repertoires among genetically diverse strains identified a set of 20-30 core T3E widely distributed in the species which could presumably be considered as ancestral T3E important in the interaction of the pathogen with its hosts, and (iii) the identification of T3E displaying a positive selection pattern may provide hints on the determinants evolving under plant selection pressure, (iv) our bioinformatics pipeline is dedicated to rapidly predict and assign Rip identifiers to all homologous T3E genes in newly sequenced strains of the RSSC.

## Methods

## Data sources

General information of the features of the 14 strains of the RSSC and the corresponding genome sequences used for T3E mining is provided in Additional file 10. These strains are representatives of the RSSC in terms of host range, worldwide geographic origin and phylogenetic distribution [10,11].

## T3E inventory and annotation in RSSC genomes

PatScan searches [62] for the $\mathrm{hrp}_{\text {II }}$ box element (TTCGn ${ }_{16}$ TTCG) were performed in RSSC genomes using the criteria previously used [24], i.e.: one mismatch allowed, considering only hits in the 500 bp region upstream of a start codon. Analysis of the 50 amino acid N-terminal domain of candidate T3E for detection of T3SS-dependent export pattern was made using the criteria defined previously [5], which considered as positive a N -terminal domain meeting at least two out of the three following rules: (i) content in Serine + Proline $>30 \%$, (ii) content in Leucine $<10 \%$ and (iii) absence of acidic residues within the first twelve amino acids.

## Prediction of T3E start codon.

We observed a great heterogeneity among the predicted start codons for many T3E families in the RSSC annotated genomes deposited at GenBank. When possible, multiple sequence alignments of the regions located downstream the hrp ${ }_{\text {II }}$ box element were performed to predict the most probable start codon which was defined as the more distal $5^{\prime}$ initiator codon conserved among the different strain sequences.

## Frameshift and pseudogene prediction

T3E genes were annotated as frameshift in two cases: (i) when several contiguous open reading frames displayed homology to a defined Rip gene sequence (thus resulting in the annotation of two or multiple gene fragments), and (ii) when the T3E gene sequence was located on a contig border (thus resulting in the annotation of a T3E gene fragment).

T3E genes were defined as pseudogenes in the following situations: (i) the structure of T3E gene was strongly altered with a gene size $<50 \%$ to other known alleles, or led to the deletion of the N-terminal domain necessary for T3SS-dependent translocation, (ii) the T3E gene open reading frames was disrupted by the insertion of an IS element, or (iii) there was experimental evidence that the T3E gene product is not translocated or secreted by the T3SS.

## Detection of candidate effectors in sequenced genomes using "ScanYourGenome"

The first step of the pipeline we developed to detect putative effector candidates is a de novo proteome prediction. To achieve this, we run a blastx of the genome against the T3E proteins and use this data as an input of the prokaryotic gene predictor FrameD [63]. This tool is run twice with the T3E nucleic coding sequence as model: the first pass is done with a high frameshift penalty score and the second one with a lower one, allowing frameshift and pseudogene prediction. To ensure the completeness of this new effectome, we add
translated regions matching a T3E member according to the blastx results.
The second step of the pipeline is the search of homologous T3E member for each candidate. In order to get the best precision, we run different methods and synthesise information taking into account the specificity of each method and parameters.
The first method is the search for homology using a modified version of OrthoMCL [64] pipeline. The modifications used are: filter inactivation in the blastp preprocess with default parameters and stepwise decrease of the percent match cutoff (from $90 \%$ to $60 \%$ ) in ortholog clustering in order to retrieve shorter pseudogene. The best blastp, hmmscan and tblastn are respectively kept in order to complete orthoMCL assignation or to remove ambiguity of multiple assignations, especially in the case of paralogous gene families.
The results are ordered according to the stringency of the method (from OrthoMCL90 > OrthoMCL80 > OrthoMCL70 $>$ OrthoMCL60 $>$ blastp $>$ HMMscan $>$ tblastn). It is also indicated whether a frameshift mutation was introduced to produce a better homologous sequence. If the candidate gene is shorter than $80 \%$ of the average length of the cognate Rip gene, then the gene is tagged as a candidate.
This pipeline, written in Perl, is available through the T3E web interface and all parameters are available on demand.

## Phylogeny

Rip sequences were aligned using the ProGraphMSA program, which implements the evolution-aware alignment [65,66]. This program performs well with indel rich data as well as with variation in tandem repeats such as leucine rich repeats, as is often the case here. All phylogenies were reconstructed using fast maximum likelihood (ML) heuristic search. For all individual Rip genes we captured information from both nonsynonymous and synonymous sites by using tree searches under codon model M0 [67] using CodonPhyML [51].
Since phylogenies for paralogous gene families described much more diverse datasets, they were reconstructed under amino acid model LG [68] with C-rate variation among sites [69], as implemented in PhyMLv3.0 [70]. Branch supports were estimated using the aBayes method, which is fast, accurate and has performance comparable with the Bayesian method [71]. Phylogenetic trees were produced using the online software ITOL [72].

## Analysis of selection pressures

Selection pressures were analysed on T3E genes datasets containing three or more orthologs. Selection pressures on T3E genes were evaluated using Markov models of codon substitution, and three pairs of likelihood ratio
tests (LRTs) were used to detect positive selection like previously described [17].

## Testing for recombination

The same data used for the selection pressure analysis were used to estimate the population recombination rates using the approximate-likelihood coalescent method and permutation test [73] like previously described [17].

## Availability of supporting data

All the data present in this work and supporting our analysis is available on the publicly accessible database that has been set up and will be maintained by us.
https://iant.toulouse.inra.fr/T3E is a website designed to provide the user with a convenient and straightforward access to all the underlying data.

## GenBank accessions

Out of the 841 Ralstonia solanacearum accessions used in this study, we have submitted 42 new and proposed the modification of the annotation of 289 other individual T3E gene accessions to GenBank. All the Genbank accessions appear on the database webpage (under data/ supplementary data and also as Additional file 11.

## Additional files

Additional file 1: Table displaying the additional 16 T3E candidates in the RSSC.

Additional file 2: List of T3E genes identified in the 11 strains of the RSSC used in this study. The result of "ScanYourGenome" on three additional strains (K60, FQY_4 and Y45) is also presented.

Additional file 3: Experimental validation of type III dependent secretion of RipAM.

Additional file 4: Phylogenetic reconstruction for all paralogous T3E genes together with selected homologs from other bacteria.
Additional file 5: Phylogenetic tree reconstruction of T3E with proven (YopJ, RipP2 ${ }_{\text {GMI1000 }}$ ) and possible acetyl-transferase activity.

Additional file 6: List of T3E orthologues with GC\% bias and association with mobile elements.

Additional file 7: Table displaying the calculated positive selection and recombination probabilities for the whole T3E dataset.

Additional file 8: List of the 32 core T3E presented in this study.
Additional file 9: Sequence alignment of RipAR and RipAW C-terminal domains with lpaH ubiquitin ligases.

Additional file 10: List and features of RSSC strains and corresponding genomic sequences used in this study.
Additional file 11: List of all accessions used in this work.

## Abbreviations
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