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Methoxypyrazines (MPs) are strongly odorant volatile molecules with vegetable-like fragrances that are widespread in plants. Some grapevine (Vitis vinifera) varieties accumulate significant amounts of MPs, including 2-methoxy-3-isobutylpyrazine (IBMP), which is the major MP in grape berries. MPs are of particular importance in white Sauvignon Blanc wines. The typicality of these wines relies on a fine balance between the pea pod, capsicum character of MPs and the passion fruit/grapefruit character due to volatile thiols.

The pleasure experienced while enjoying a glass of wine is the result of sophisticated sensory, neurophysiological, and psychological processes triggered by wine aroma. Wine flavor is the result of a complex mixture of volatile compounds in the headspace of the glass that induces feelings of pleasure at the brain level (Shepherd, 2006). During the last 40 years, over 800 volatile molecules have been formally identified in wines, in concentrations ranging from hundreds of milligrams per liter down to a few picograms per liter (Ebeler and Thorngate, 2009; Styger et al., 2011). Among all of them, a relatively limited number of compounds, called varietal (or primary) aromas, play a crucial role in wine flavor and typicality. These aromas, which are related to the grape variety, belong to a limited number of chemical families, including monoterpenes, C13 norisoprenoids, volatile sulfur compounds, and methoxypyrazines (MPs; Ebeler and Thorngate, 2009). Quite frequently, they exist mostly in the grape (Vitis vinifera) berry as nonvolatile, odorless, “bound” forms that can be released by chemical and enzymatic reactions occurring during the winemaking and wine aging processes, thus enhancing wine’s varietal expression (Styger et al., 2011). Two classical examples are the glycoside precursors of the monoterpenols (Strauss et al., 1986) and the cysteinylated or glutathionylated precursors of the volatile
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thiols (Tominaga et al., 1998; Peña-Gallego et al., 2012). Noticeable exceptions are the MPs, which are found in grape berries exclusively as free, volatile molecules.

MPs are strongly odorant volatile heterocycles, with vegetable-like fragrances, that are widely occurring in the plant kingdom (Maga, 1982). In grape, they can be detected in fruits, leaves, shoots, and roots (Dunlevy et al., 2010). They are found in different grape varieties and are particularly abundant in the so-called Bordeaux cultivars (i.e. cv Cabernet Franc, Cabernet Sauvignon [CS], Sauvignon Blanc, Merlot, and Carménère [Car]; Bayonove et al., 1975; Lacey et al., 1991; Roujou de Boubée et al., 2002; Belancic and Agosin, 2007), whereas they are rarely detected in other cultivars, such as cv Pinot Noir (PN), Chardonnay, or Petit Verdot (PV). This finding indicates a strong genotype dependency of MP biosynthesis (Koch et al., 2010). MPs are accumulated in berries until bunch closure or véraison, and then their level declines after véraison (Hashizume and Samuta, 1999; Ryona et al., 2008). MP concentration in wine is highly correlated with the grape berry content at harvest (Roujou de Boubée et al., 2002). Three MPs are found in grape berries: 2-methoxy-3-isobutylpyrazine (IBMP), which is the most abundant, and two others, 2-methoxy-3-isopropylpyrazine (IPMP) and 2-methoxy-3-sec-butylpyrazine (SBMP; Ebeler and Thorngate, 2009). Both IBMP and IPMP display very low sensory detection thresholds in the wine matrix, ranging from 1 to 16 ng L$^{-1}$.

MPs are of particular importance in white Sauvignon Blanc wines. The typicality of these wines relies on a fine balance between the pea pod, capsicum character of MPs and the passion fruit/grapefruit character due to volatile thiols (Dubourdieu et al., 2006; Lund et al., 2009). Although MPs play a crucial role in Sauvignon varietal aromas, excessive concentrations of these extremely powerful odorants will reduce consumer acceptance (Parr et al., 2007). In red wine, MPs are considered as off-flavor, and red wines can be deprecated by concentrations above 10 ng L$^{-1}$ (Allen et al., 1991; Roujou de Boubée et al., 2000; Belancic and Agosin, 2007). Given the importance of MPs, either as typical varietal aromas or as detrimental off-flavors, deciphering the genetic and molecular determinism of their accumulation is of high interest for viticulture.

In spite of this, until recently little was known about the MP biosynthesis pathway or the MP biosynthetic genes, either in grapevine or other plant species. Theoretical biosynthesis pathways have been proposed since the mid-1970s. They all start by the addition of an α-dicarbonyl on a branched amino acid (Leu for IBMP, Val for IPMP) to form a 2-hydroxy-3-alkylpyrazine, which is subsequently transformed into the corresponding MP, by a methoxylation reaction (Murray and Whitfield 1975; Gallois et al., 1988). While the initial addition step remains to be demonstrated in plants, an S-adenosyl-l-Met (SAM)-dependent O-methyltransferase (OMT), capable of converting 2-hydroxy-3-isobutylpyrazine (IBHP) into IBMP, has been detected in CS shoots, partially purified and sequenced (Hashizume et al., 2001a, 2001b; Fig. 1). Recently, Dunlevy et al. (2010) characterized two OMTs, VvOMT1 and VvOMT2, capable of methylating IBHP in vitro, albeit with high apparent $K_m$ values. To investigate the general bases of MP biosynthesis in grape berries, we performed a quantitative trait loci (QTL) analysis, which has led to the identification of two previously uncharacterized OMTs termed VvOMT3 and VvOMT4. Functional characterization of these two OMTs showed that VvOMT3 was highly specific and efficient for IBHP methylation. Based on its differential expression in high-MP and low-MP grapevine varieties, we propose that VvOMT3 and, to a lesser extent, VvOMT4 are key genes for MP biosynthesis in grapevine berries.

RESULTS

QTL Analysis of MP Biosynthesis in Grapevine

In grapevine, MPs have been shown to accumulate both in leaves and berries (Roujou de Boubée, 2000; Dunlevy et al., 2010). To investigate the genetic bases of IBMP biosynthesis, a CS × Vitis riparia ‘Gloire de Montpellier’ (RGM) F1 progeny comprised of 130 genotypes was analyzed for IBMP content using solid-phase extraction (SPE)-gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS). CS and RGM are known to produce contrasted MP levels; CS is a high producer unlike RGM, which does not accumulate significant levels of MPs.

As a first approach, IBMP was quantified in basal leaves of the F1 CS × RGM progeny. The distribution of IBMP contents segregating among the F1 population is presented in Supplemental Figure S1. IBMP contents measured in CS and RGM leaves were 50 and 1.5 ng kg$^{-1}$, respectively. In the F1 CS × RGM population, IBMP contents were highly variable, ranging between 0 and 1,946 ng kg$^{-1}$ of fresh leaf weight, with a mean value of 50.4 ng kg$^{-1}$. IBMP content did not follow a normal distribution, and 29.2% of the genotypes

![Figure 1. Putative biosynthesis pathway for IBMP adapted from Hashizume et al. (2001a). SAHcy, S-Adenosyl-l-homo-Cys.](image-url)
and one intron, and their corresponding transcripts. These two genes had similar structures, with two exons and proteins shared 74% identity/86% similarity.

VvOMT4 was identified as one of the two genes encoding putative OMTs within the maximum LOD of QTLIBMP3 encompassing the maximum LOD of QTLIBMP3 (Dunlevy et al., 2010). The physical interval surrounding the maximum LOD of QTLIBMP3 encompassed 211 predicted unigenes. Among these unigenes, a cluster of two genes encoding putative OMTs within 7 kb was identified, referred to hereafter as VvOMT3 and VvOMT4 genes, which have been previously proposed to be involved in IBMP biosynthesis (Dunlevy et al., 2010). The physical interval surrounding the maximum LOD of QTLIBMP3 encompassed 211 predicted unigenes. Among these unigenes, a cluster of two genes encoding putative OMTs within 7 kb was identified, referred to hereafter as VvOMT3 and VvOMT4 genes, which have been previously proposed to be involved in IBMP biosynthesis (Dunlevy et al., 2010).

**Analysis of Candidate VvOMT Gene Expression**

The QTL analysis was performed on the F1 CS × RGM model population, which is well suited to investigate the genetic bases of IBMP biosynthesis, but does not have any enological application. To confirm the potential role of VvOMT genes in IBMP biosynthesis in wine-relevant varieties, Car and PV were selected for the next experiments. Car and PV are used worldwide in various winemaking areas, including Bordeaux, France, and are known to produce highly contrasted IBMP amounts. Using solid-phase microextraction (SPME)-GC-MS, IBMP was quantified in Car and PV berries harvested at six different time points throughout berry development during the 2010 and 2011 growing seasons (Supplemental Fig. S3; Fig. 4). As expected, IBMP levels were always much higher in Car berries than in PV berries. For both cultivars, the IBMP accumulation profiles obtained in 2010 and 2011 were very similar. However, IBMP contents in Car berries were slightly higher in 2010 than in 2011. In 2010, the amount of IBMP in Car berries increased from 41.5 ng kg⁻¹ fresh weight at peppercorn-size stage to a peak of 201 ng kg⁻¹ at bunch closure stage and then declined to 34.6 ng kg⁻¹ at mature stage (Fig. 4A). IBMP levels in PV berries were extremely low in 2010 and did not change throughout berry development. PV berries did not accumulate any detectable amounts of IBMP in 2011 (Fig. 4B).

The expression patterns of VvOMT1, VvOMT2, VvOMT3, and VvOMT4 were investigated by real-time PCR using berries harvested during the 2010 and 2011 growing seasons (Fig. 5). For each cultivar, similar expression profiles of VvOMT genes were obtained in 2010 and 2011. However, the expression profiles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QTL</th>
<th>LG/Chr</th>
<th>Peak LOD</th>
<th>Confidence Interval</th>
<th>Markers Flanking QTL</th>
<th>LOD Threshold</th>
<th>P-Value Threshold at the LG Level</th>
<th>P-Value Threshold at the Whole-Genome Level</th>
<th>% Expl</th>
<th>Distance between Flanking Markers on Chr Sequence</th>
<th>Predicted Genes between Flanking Markers on Chr Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QTLIBMP12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4.49</td>
<td>0–21</td>
<td>VMC8G6-scu05</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>6.73</td>
<td>606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QTLIBMP3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.66</td>
<td>0–15</td>
<td>VMC2E7-UDV021</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QTLIBMP4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>43–60</td>
<td>VMC9B5-VMC4C6</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QTLIBMP6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>53–74</td>
<td>VMC4H6-VMC2E11</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>13.02</td>
<td>444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QTLIBMP18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>76–91</td>
<td>VMC6F11-VMC7F2</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>206</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Expression of Recombinant VvOMT Proteins in Escherichia coli

To further investigate the potential role of VvOMT3 and VvOMT4 genes in IBMP biosynthesis and to characterize their allelic diversity, several VvOMT3 and VvOMT4 alleles were cloned from Car, CS, PN, and PV, together with VvOMT1 and VvOMT2 alleles for comparison. IBMP is known to be abundant in Car and CS (Roujou de Boubée et al., 2002; Belancic and Agosin, 2007), whereas PN and PV berries produce very low amounts of IBMP (Koch et al., 2010). A detailed comparison between all alleles is presented in Supplemental Table S1. The VvOMT1-2 and VvOMT2-2 alleles were identical, respectively, to VvOMT1 and VvOMT2 characterized previously (Dunlevy et al., 2010; Vallarino et al., 2011). No amino acid polymorphism between the VvOMT alleles was predicted to alter the conserved domains or binding residues common to all plant OMTs. All complementary DNAs (cDNAs) corresponding to the cloned VvOMT alleles were cloned into the Gateway-compatible vector pHNGWA and expressed in E. coli as NusA fusion proteins (Busso et al., 2005). Unexpectedly, some VvOMT-expressing E. coli cultures exhibited a distinctive pepper-like odor, prompting us to analyze the culture media. GC-MS analyses of culture supernatants revealed that bacterial expression of some recombinant VvOMT alleles resulted in the release of significant amounts of MPs (IBMP, IPMP, and SBMP), indicating that odorless nonmethylated precursor molecules were present in E. coli (Fig. 6). The strongly pepper-scented VvOMT3-1-, VvOMT3-2-, and VvOMT3-3-expressing cultures produced high amounts of MPs, with levels of IBMP being significantly higher than those of IPMP and SBMP (Fig. 6). Expression of VvOMT4-3 allele resulted in the accumulation of low levels of SBMP. Conversely, cultures expressing VvOMT1 and VvOMT2 alleles, as well as control E. coli cultures, did not accumulate any detectable amounts of MPs.

Only VvOMT3 alleles led to significant amounts of MPs in E. coli culture media. This could reflect a particular activity of VvOMT3 for MP biosynthesis, but it could also be due to differences in expression or solubility compared with other VvOMT proteins. However, SDS-PAGE analysis of E. coli protein extracts showed that all VvOMT proteins were efficiently expressed as soluble fusion proteins (Supplemental Fig. S4A). A selection of fusion proteins were purified and incubated with IBHP in the presence of SAM as a preliminary activity screen. Incubation of the most purified NusA-VvOMT fusion proteins with IBHP resulted in the biosynthesis of detectable amounts of IBMP. However, VvOMT3-1, VvOMT3-2, and VvOMT3-3 proteins catalyzed the formation of much larger amounts of IBMP than those observed with other VvOMT proteins (Supplemental Fig. S4B).

Detailed Characterization of VvOMT3 Proteins

Gene expression analyses, MP accumulation in E. coli culture supernatants, and preliminary activity tests obtained for OMT genes related to QTLIBMP12 (i.e. VvOMT1 and VvOMT2) and those related to QTLIBMP3 (i.e. VvOMT3 and VvOMT4) were very different. The expression levels of all VvOMT genes were low from véraison to mature stages. Expression of VvOMT1 and VvOMT2 did not differ significantly at bunch closure stage between Car and PV berries (Fig. 5, A and B). By contrast, the expression levels of VvOMT3 and VvOMT4 clearly differed between Car and PV berries (Fig. 5, C and D). In Car berries, VvOMT3 and VvOMT4 expression increased from peppercorn stage, reached a peak in expression at bunch closure stage, and was low from véraison to mature stages during both growing seasons (Fig. 5C). Expression levels of VvOMT genes were generally lower in 2011 than in 2010. The VvOMT3 gene was highly expressed in the high-MP Car berries at bunch closure stage, at the peak of IBMP production, whereas the expression of this gene was very low in PV berries at the same stage.
were consistent with VvOMT3 being the most important VvOMT gene for IBMP biosynthesis. Therefore, VvOMT3 alleles from grapevine varieties producing high and low amounts of MPs were selected for detailed biochemical characterization. The proteins corresponding to the VvOMT3-1 allele present in Car and CS and to the VvOMT3-2 allele present in both PN and PV were expressed in E. coli as His-tagged NusA fusion proteins and purified on a metal affinity resin. Determination of the kinetic parameters of NusA-VvOMT3-1 and NusA-VvOMT3-2 showed that both enzymes exhibit similar affinity for their IBHP substrate, the turnover rate of NusA-VvOMT3-2 being slightly higher than that of NusA-VvOMT3-1 (Table II). The product of both VvOMT3 proteins incubated with IBHP in the presence of SAM was unambiguously identified as IBMP, compared with the corresponding authentic standard (Supplemental Fig. S5). Incubation of VvOMT3 proteins with a selection of potential substrates, including 5-methoxyresorcinol, resveratrol, and quercetin, did not lead to the formation of significant amounts of methylation products (Supplemental Fig. S4C).

VvOMT1 and VvOMT2 proteins have previously been shown to methylate several substrates, including IBHP, in vitro (Dunlevy et al., 2010). The structural bases for their activity have recently been investigated (Vallarino et al., 2011). However, VvOMT3 exhibited much higher methylation efficiencies and a very narrow specificity for IBHP, which prompted us to investigate

**Figure 3.** Alignment of the predicted amino acid sequences of VvOMT1, VvOMT2, VvOMT3, and VvOMT4. I to V, amino acid domains conserved among plant OMTs. ↑, SAM-binding residues; C, catalytic residues; S, substrate-binding residues of MsIOMT (for M. sativa Isoflavone OMT) as determined by Zubieta et al. (2001). The black and gray shading represents identical and similar amino acids, respectively.
the structural basis for these remarkable characteristics. For comparison, VvOMT1-2 (identical to VvOMT1; Vallarino et al., 2011) and VvOMT3-2 alleles were selected for structure modeling based on available plant OMT structures. VvOMT1 shared a high homology with other OMTs (isoflavone OMT from Medicago sativa 1FP2, 39% identity; monolignol OMT from Clarkia breweri 3RE0, 37% identity; and isoflavonone 4'-OMT from Medicago truncatula 1ZGA, 39% identity). VvOMT3 has less homology with templates retained for homology modeling (caffeic acid OMT from Loli um perenne 3P9C, 31% identity; chalcone OMT from M. sativa 1FP1, 30% identity; and isoflavonone 4'-OMT from M. truncatula 1ZGA, 34% identity). Because dimerization has been reported to be critical for catalytic activity (Zubieta et al., 2001; Noel et al., 2003), VvOMT1 and VvOMT3 were modeled as homodimers. Molecular dynamics was performed after homology modeling to remove the bias from the template structures. Comparison of the trajectories of several independent molecular dynamics runs shows the convergence of the system to a stable low-energy model. After about 100-picosecond equilibration, the IBHP molecule and the α-carbons of the protein remained in stable positions, with minor fluctuations. In the VvOMT1 model, the IBHP substrate in the active site is close to His 272 and Met 182, and the distance between the oxygen atom of IBHP and the methyl group of SAM is 5.6 Å. In the VvOMT3-2 model, the general structure of the active site is similar to VvOMT1. The SAM is at the same position, and the distance between the oxygen atom of IBHP and the methyl group of SAM is 7.3 Å. However, the large and hydrophobic residues Phe 178 and Phe 319 present in VvOMT1 are replaced by Ile 162 and Leu 307 in VvOMT3 (Fig. 7).

DISCUSSION

VvOMT3 and VvOMT4 Gene Expression Profiles Mirror IBMP Accumulation in Grape Berries

The availability of the sequence of the grapevine reference genome (Jaillon et al., 2007) has allowed considerable progress in grapevine genetics. In particular,
quantitative genetics approaches have proven very useful to identify genes involved in agronomically relevant traits (Costantini et al., 2008; Battilana et al., 2009; Duchêne et al., 2009, 2012). As a first approach, a CS × RGM progeny has been used to analyze the genetic bases of IBMP biosynthesis in leaves, allowing the detection of five significant QTLs, explaining 41% of the variance in IBMP content. The last step of MP biosynthesis involves an O-methylation reaction, and candidate OMTs have been proposed to catalyze this reaction (Murray and Whitfield, 1975; Hashizume et al., 2001b; Dunlevy et al., 2010). Therefore, we first focused on candidate OMTs present between the markers flanking the detected QTLs. In particular, four candidate OMT genes colocalized with QTLIBMP3 and QTLIBMP12. These two QTLs were significant, with a false discovery probability of $P = 0.03$ at the whole-genome level and of $P = 0.001$ at the LG level. We did not identify any obvious candidate genes potentially involved in IBMP biosynthesis under the three other QTLs. The VvOMT1 and VvOMT2 genes potentially associated to QTLIBMP12 have been characterized previously, unlike the VvOMT3 and VvOMT4 genes within the interval of QTLIBMP3 that were identified in this study.

To investigate further these candidates in a winemaking-relevant context, transcript levels of all four OMT genes were monitored by quantitative PCR during berry development in both Car and PV for two consecutive years. Several major conclusions can be drawn from the comparison of the normalized expression data. First, the expression levels of VvOMT3 and VvOMT4 closely mirror IBMP accumulation patterns in berries, with the peak of transcript accumulation for these genes at bunch closure matching the maximum of IBMP levels in the fruits. By contrast, transcript levels for VvOMT1 and VvOMT2 start to accumulate earlier at peppercorn size and peak at pea size, when low amounts of IBMP are detected even in the strong MP producer Car. Such discrepancy between VvOMT1 and VvOMT2 transcript levels and MP content have already been reported in CS berries by Dunlevy et al. (2010), who showed that the maximum mRNA accumulation occurred 15 d before the peak of IBMP. Second, expression levels of VvOMT3 and VvOMT4 are extremely contrasted between the high IBMP producer Car and the low producer PV, particularly at bunch closure stage. At this stage, depending on the year, VvOMT3 and VvOMT4 expression levels are 100 to 4,000 times higher and 40 to 128 times higher, respectively, in Car than in PV. Conversely, VvOMT1 and VvOMT2 expression patterns do not significantly differ in Car and PV berries at bunch closure. Taken together, these expression data are consistent with VvOMT3 and, to a lesser extent, VvOMT4 being key genes in IBMP biosynthesis in grape berries. This prompted us to investigate the catalytic properties of the corresponding proteins after heterologous expression in E. coli.

### VvOMT3 Catalyzes the Formation of IBMP in Vivo and In Vitro

Pyrazines are produced by a vast diversity of organisms, including bacteria, fungi, plants, and animals, indicating that their biosynthesis may rely on ubiquitous metabolic pathways (Müller and Rappert, 2010). A relatively small number of bacteria have been shown to produce MPs. These include Pseudomonas perforans, which releases IPMP and SBMP (Cheng et al., 1991). Although E. coli has not been reported to normally produce MPs, expression of VvOMT3 alleles resulted in the release of large amounts of MPs in the growth medium, amounting to several micrograms per liter. This corresponds to 100 to 1,000 times the perception thresholds of these molecules, resulting in a very strong and typical pepper-like odor. This simple experiment provides important information about MP biosynthesis. First, these results show that E. coli produces significant amounts of MP precursors, including IBHP, whose potential functions are not known. The reason why E. coli does not normally produce volatile MPs seems to be the lack of an appropriate OMT, which would efficiently methylate IBHP and other MP precursors. Therefore, E. coli provides a very simple and efficient system for the functional characterization of OMTs involved in MP biosynthesis in other organisms. Second, analysis of in vivo MP production in E. coli following expression of VvOMT genes unambiguously identified VvOMT3 alleles as the most efficient

### Table II. Kinetic parameters of recombinant VvOMT3-1 and VvOMT3-2 using IBHP as a substrate

Data are expressed as means of triplicate assays using the NusA-VvOMT3 fusion proteins, and se values are given in parentheses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme</th>
<th>$K_m$</th>
<th>$K_m \times 10^{-3}$</th>
<th>$K_m / K_{cat}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VvOMT3-1</td>
<td>1.8 (0.6)</td>
<td>6.4 (1.8)</td>
<td>36.8 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VvOMT3-2</td>
<td>1.9 (0.2)</td>
<td>18.0 (3.0)</td>
<td>95.5 (13.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
for the methylation of MP precursors. Although VvOMT1 and VvOMT2 were efficiently expressed in E. coli, they did not lead to the accumulation of detectable amounts of MPs. VvOMT1 and VvOMT2 proteins have been shown to methylate IBHP in vitro, albeit with poor affinity (Dunlevy et al., 2010). These results illustrate the fact that OMT proteins may be promiscuous with respect to substrate acceptance in vitro, making it difficult to define a physiological function from such in vitro data.

The VvOMT3-induced MP biosynthesis in E. coli and the high level of expression of this gene in grape berries provide strong evidence in favor of a major role of VvOMT3 in IBMP biosynthesis. Therefore, two VvOMT3 alleles from high-MP and low-MP grapevine varieties were selected for detailed characterization. Both VvOMT3 proteins exhibited high affinity for the IBHP substrate, with $K_m$ values below 2 $\mu$M. These $K_m$ values are lower than most of those of other plant OMTs for their corresponding substrates (Lavid et al., 2002; Zubieta et al., 2002), but low $K_m$ values are expected for low-abundance substrates such as IBHP (Harris et al., 2012). Conversely, both VvOMT3 alleles exhibited very low turnover rates, although catalytic constant values in the same order of magnitude have already been reported for other plant OMTs (Baerson et al., 2008). However, this apparently low catalytic efficiency did not prevent the VvOMT3-induced accumulation of large amounts of MPs in E. coli. Furthermore, MPs are low-abundance compounds in grape berries, generally amounting to less than a few hundred nanograms per kilogram of fresh weight (Bayonove et al., 1975; Lacey et al., 1991; Roujou de Boubée et al., 2002; Belancic and Agosin, 2007). These low amounts are sufficient to impact wine quality, due to the extremely low perception thresholds of these compounds. However, the production of such amounts of MPs may not require a large global enzyme activity and is likely to be compatible with relatively inefficient enzymes. Alternatively, recombinant VvOMT3 proteins may be unstable when purified from E. coli. This may result in the presence of a large proportion of inactive proteins in the purified fractions, which would be responsible for the low apparent efficiency of VvOMT3 enzymes. The VvOMT3-2 allele present in the low-MP varieties PN and FV was slightly more active than the VvOMT3-1 allele from the high-MP varieties Car and CS. This confirms that high-MP and low-MP phenotypes are due to differential expression rather than differential activity of particular VvOMT3 alleles. Unlike VvOMT1 and VvOMT2, which were found to be active with a broad range of substrates (Dunlevy et al., 2010), VvOMT3 exhibited a remarkable specificity for IBHP. No significant methylation activity could be obtained using several other potential substrates belonging to the phenol, stilbene, and flavonol families. Although VvOMT3 activity was tested only with the IBHP substrate in vitro, VvOMT3-induced accumulation of MPs in E. coli suggests that VvOMT3 can methylate several MP precursors, including 2-hydroxy-3-isopropylpyrazine and 2-hydroxy-3-S-butylpyrazine in addition to IBHP.

To investigate the structural basis for the remarkable specificity of VvOMT3, both VvOMT3 and VvOMT1 proteins were modeled and compared with the model of VvOMT1 reported recently (Vallarino et al., 2011). Following homology modeling based on known OMT structures, stable low-energy models were obtained after convergence of several independent molecular dynamics runs. This modeling approach allowed an optimized docking of the SAM and IBHP ligands in flexible enzymes. The VvOMT1 model obtained by this protocol is very close to the result already obtained by Vallarino et al. (2011), which validates the modeling approach used. Although the general structure of the VvOMT3 model is very similar to that of VvOMT1, the active site of VvOMT3 is larger and the hydrophobic residues surrounding the substrate-binding pocket have more flexible side chains. VvOMT3 exhibits much higher affinity ($K_m = 1.9$ $\mu$M) than VvOMT1 ($K_m = 539$ $\mu$M).
the viticulturists of Château Dillon and the modified E-L system dedicated to identify grapevine growth stages.

For each date, bunch samples were collected separately along grapevine rows located in the middle of the plot. Whole bunches were collected and pooled along rows, except on the three to four first vine stocks on both sides of rows, to minimize differences brought about by phytosanitary treatments, sun exposure, or bunch size.

Extra berries were harvested at the bunch closure stage during the 2009 growing seasons from CS and PN grapevines grown at the grapevine collection of the Bordeaux Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique research station. All samples were frozen immediately in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C until further use.

GC-MS Quantification of IBMP

IBMP has been quantified in grape berries using a stable isotope dilution assay modified from that of Dunlevy et al. (2010). Frozen samples were ground using a conventional blender then in a hydroalcoholic solution using a FastPrep instrument (MP Biomedicals) for 20 s at 6 m s⁻¹ and then removed and centrifuged at 7,500g for 15 min at 4°C. The upper phase, containing IBMP, was handled carefully to avoid transferring debris. Then, depending on the material, IBMP extraction before gas chromatography analysis was performed either by SPE for ground leaf samples (40 g) or by SPME for ground berry samples (10 g). Analyses of MPs in Escherichia coli growth media was performed using stir bar sorptive extraction (SBSE).

IBMP Analysis by SPE Protocol

A 20-μL aliquot of deuterated 2H₂-IBMP (Orga-Link), at 10 mg L⁻¹, in ethanol, was supplemented as an internal standard to the upper layer of the leaf extract. After dilution in osmosed water (4 volumes), the extract was kept at 4°C for 12 h and filtered (1-μm porosity, Millipore). A purification step on a C18 reversed-phase SPE column (6 mL, 25-μm porosity; Supelcur, Supelco) was then performed after rinsing of the column successively by ethyl acetate, ethanol, and ethanol 10% (v/v) volume in water (3 mL at each step, respectively), IBMP was then eluted with 3 mL of CH₂Cl₂/dichloromethane /ether mixture (1:2, v/v) and then stored at −20°C until biochemical analysis.

The mapping pedigree used in this study consisted of 138 F1 individuals derived from the interspecies cross of grapevine (Vitis vinifera) CS × Vitis riparia RGM. This F1 population, named CS × RGM1995-1, was developed at Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique, Bordeaux, France. The consensus genetic map of the F1 population CS × RGM1995-1 was previously obtained (Marguerit et al., 2009). Basal leaves of 130 F1 individual plants, grown in a greenhouse, were harvested at the end of August during the 2009 growing season. Leaves were stored at −20°C until biochemical analysis.

Materials and Methods

Plant Material

F1 Population

The mapping pedigree used in this study consisted of 138 F1 individuals derived from the interspecies cross of grapevine (Vitis vinifera) CS × Vitis riparia RGM. This F1 population, named CS × RGM1995-1, was developed at Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique, Bordeaux, France. The consensus genetic map of the F1 population CS × RGM1995-1 was previously obtained (Marguerit et al., 2009). Basal leaves of 130 F1 individual plants, grown in a greenhouse, were harvested at the end of August during the 2009 growing season. Leaves were stored at −20°C until biochemical analysis.

Samples from Vineyard

Experimental material was harvested during the 2010 and 2011 growing seasons from Car and PV grapevines grown at the Château Dillon vineyards of the Lycée Agricole de Bordeaux-Blanquefort in Blanquefort, France. Berries were collected at different time points corresponding to peppercorn-size (EL-29), pea-size (EL-31), bunch closure (EL-32), véraison (EL-35), half-mature (10-potential alcohol degree, EL-36), and mature (EL-38) stages as defined by the IBHP substrate. In contrast to the rigid active site of VvOMT1, the more flexible environment provided by the VvOMT3 substrate-binding pocket may be partly responsible for its greatly improved efficiency for IBHP methylation.

CONCLUSION

Despite its capital interest for grapevine enological potential and wine quality, the genetic and molecular basis of aroma biosynthesis has just started to be deciphered. In this work, a combination of genetic and candidate gene approaches has led to the characterization of VvOMT3, a novel OMT with remarkable specificity and efficiency for IBMP biosynthesis. The VvOMT3 gene is highly expressed in the berries of MP-rich grapevine varieties, consistent with a major role of this gene in the biosynthesis of MPs in grape berries. The reason for differential VvOMT3 expression in high-MP and low-MP varieties is not known. Careful examination programs to obtain grapevine cultivars with appropriate offer the opportunity to improve quality-related traits.

IBMP has been quantified in grape berries using a stable isotope dilution assay modified from that of Dunlevy et al. (2010). Frozen samples were ground using a conventional blender then in a hydroalcoholic solution using a FastPrep protocol (MP Biomedicals). Typically, to 10 g of ground sample transferred to a 50-mL tube, 30 g of 0.35-mm ceramic spheres (MP Biomedicals) and 10 mL of 70% (v/v) hydroalcoholic solution were added. The tubes were shaken in the FastPrep Instrument (MP Biomedicals) for 20 s at 6 m s⁻¹ and then removed and centrifuged at 7,500g for 15 min at 4°C. The upper phase, containing IBMP, was handled carefully to avoid transferring debris. Then, depending on the material, IBMP extraction before gas chromatography analysis was performed either by SPE for ground leaf samples (40 g) or by SPME for ground berry samples (10 g). Analyses of MPs in Escherichia coli growth media was performed using stir bar sorptive extraction (SBSE).

IBMP Analysis by SPE Protocol

A 20-μL aliquot of deuterated 2H₂-IBMP (Orga-Link), at 10 mg L⁻¹, in ethanol, was supplemented as an internal standard to the upper layer of the leaf extract. After dilution in osmosed water (4 volumes), the extract was kept at 4°C for 12 h and filtered (1-μm porosity, Millipore). A purification step on a C18 reversed-phase SPE column (6 mL, 25-μm porosity; Supelcur, Supelco) was then performed after rinsing of the column successively by ethyl acetate, ethanol, and ethanol 10% (v/v) volume in water (3 mL at each step, respectively), IBMP was then eluted with 3 mL of CH₂Cl₂/dichloromethane /ether mixture (1:2, v/v) and then stored at −20°C until biochemical analysis.

The mapping pedigree used in this study consisted of 138 F1 individuals derived from the interspecies cross of grapevine (Vitis vinifera) CS × Vitis riparia RGM. This F1 population, named CS × RGM1995-1, was developed at Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique, Bordeaux, France. The consensus genetic map of the F1 population CS × RGM1995-1 was previously obtained (Marguerit et al., 2009). Basal leaves of 130 F1 individual plants, grown in a greenhouse, were harvested at the end of August during the 2009 growing season. Leaves were stored at −20°C until biochemical analysis.

Samples from Vineyard

Experimental material was harvested during the 2010 and 2011 growing seasons from Car and PV grapevines grown at the Château Dillon vineyards of the Lycée Agricole de Bordeaux-Blanquefort in Blanquefort, France. Berries were collected at different time points corresponding to peppercorn-size (EL-29), pea-size (EL-31), bunch closure (EL-32), véraison (EL-35), half-mature (10-potential alcohol degree, EL-36), and mature (EL-38) stages as defined by the IBHP substrate. In contrast to the rigid active site of VvOMT1, the more flexible environment provided by the VvOMT3 substrate-binding pocket may be partly responsible for its greatly improved efficiency for IBHP methylation.

CONCLUSION

Despite its capital interest for grapevine enological potential and wine quality, the genetic and molecular basis of aroma biosynthesis has just started to be deciphered. In this work, a combination of genetic and candidate gene approaches has led to the characterization of VvOMT3, a novel OMT with remarkable specificity and efficiency for IBMP biosynthesis. The VvOMT3 gene is highly expressed in the berries of MP-rich grapevine varieties, consistent with a major role of this gene in the biosynthesis of MPs in grape berries. The reason for differential VvOMT3 expression in high-MP and low-MP varieties is not known. Careful examination programs to obtain grapevine cultivars with appropriate offer the opportunity to improve quality-related traits. The identification of major genes of MP biosynthesis will provide useful tools for current and future breeding programs to obtain grapevine cultivars with appropriate levels of MPs.
Gas Chromatography Analysis Coupled to Mass Spectrometry

For both SPE and SPME samples, a 6890 N Gas Chromatograph (Agilent Technologies) equipped with a Combi PAL autosampler (CTC Analytics) with a liquid injection (SPE extracts) or SPME injection mode was used. The gas chromatograph was coupled to an HP 5973N mass selective detector (Agilent Technologies) functioning in electron impact mode at 70 eV. The analyses were performed on a Carbosieve 20 m capillary column (REF20, 50 m, 0.25-mm internal diameter, 0.2-μm film thickness, Scientific Glass Engineering). Helium N40 (Air Liquide) was used as a carrier gas. Column head pressure was at 155 kPa (purge flow at 50 mL min⁻¹). The temperature program was as follows: initial hold for 1 min at 45°C, followed by a 3°C min⁻¹ ramp to 120°C and then 50°C min⁻¹ to 240°C. The final temperature was held for 8 min. The injector port was at 230°C, and the injection mode was splitless. The mass selective detector transfer line temperature was 240°C. The quantification was performed in selected-ion monitoring mode after evaluating the retention time of the quantified compound with that of the internal standard in full-scan mode. Data processing was carried out by Chemstation software (Agilent Technologies). The qualifier ions were mass-to-charge ratio (m/z) 94, 124, and 151 for IBMP and m/z 95, 127, and 154 for H₂IBMP. The qualifier ions were m/z 124 and 127 for IBMP and m/z 151 and 154 for H₂-IBMP. The IBMP quantification was carried out by comparing ion peak areas of IBMP with that of the H₂-IBMP internal standard. All SPE-GC-MS and SPME-GC-MS assays were performed in triplicate for each sample.

Liquid Chromatography Coupled to Mass Spectrometry Analysis

The analyses were carried out on an UltiMate 3000 ultra-HPLC-Quadrupole Array Detector system (Dionex) coupled to an Exactactive mass spectrometer equipped with an electrospray ionization source (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The column (C18 PolarTec 50 × 2 mm i.d., 1.8 μm; Macherey-Nagel) was developed at 20°C with eluent B (water with 0.1% [v/v] formic acid) and eluent A (acetonitrile with 0.1% [v/v] formic acid) at a flow rate of 0.4 mL min⁻¹ as follows: conditions of 95% B were maintained for 1 min, followed by a gradient over 1 min to 80% B, which was followed again by a gradient over 1 min to 35% B and an additional 0.6 min to 5% B, and these final conditions were maintained for 0.8 min prior to reequilibration to 95% B. The electrospray ionization source was operating in positive mode. The ion transfer capillary temperature was 355°C, the needle voltage was 3.4 kV, and the capillary voltage was 60 V. Nitrogen was used as sheath gas and auxiliary gas, which were maintained at 50 and 15 arbitrary units, respectively. Mass spectra were acquired within a range of mass-to-charge ratio of 100 to 700 atomic mass units, using a resolution of 50,000 (full width at half maximum). Data processing was carried out using the Xcalibur software (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Enzymatically formed IBMP was identified according to its mass spectrum and retention time compared with those of an authentic IBMP standard (Sigma). Amounts were quantified by mass peak integration and calculated using a calibration curve of an authentic IBMP standard in a range of 1 to 330 nM. Other reaction products were identified by the specific m/z of their molecular ions and their retention times.

QTL Analysis

To reduce the positive skewness of IBMP content distribution, square root transformation was used. A natural logarithmic transformation of IBMP content was obtained using the Speciation tool (http://genomes.cribi.unipd.it/grape/). Gene lists between flanking markers were extracted from whole-genome Genome Flat File and used to estimate the number of genes in each genomic region of interest.

RNA Extraction and cDNA Synthesis

Total RNAs from Car, CS, PN, and PV samples were isolated as previously described by Reid et al. (2006). Pedicel and seeds of berries were removed before grinding samples in liquid nitrogen. Total RNAs were subjected to DNA digestion using Ambion TURBO DNA-free DNase (Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA content was measured at 260 nm with a Nanodrop 2000C spectrophotometer (Labtech) and visualized by electrophoresis on 1.5% (w/v) agarose gels. cDNAs were synthesized from DNA-free RNA using SuperScript III reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The cDNA obtained was diluted (1:10) in distilled water.

Quantitative Real-Time PCR

Real-time PCR was carried out using a CFX36 real-time system (Bio-Rad). Ten-microliter reaction mixes were prepared, which included 5 μL of iQSYBR-Green Supermix (Applied Biosystems), 0.2 μM of each primer, and 2 μL of diluted cDNA. All biological samples were tested in triplicate. Negative controls were included in each run. PCR conditions were initial denaturation at 95°C for 3 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 10 s and 57°C for 15 s. Amplification was followed by melting curve analysis to check the specificity of primers in each reaction. Primer sequences were designed using Beacon Designer 7 software (Premier Biosoft International) and are presented in Supplemental Table S2. The efficiency of the primer pairs was measured on a cDNA serial dilution.

Results were normalized with the internal reference genes VvEF1α (for Elongation Factor1α; AF176496) and VvGAPDH (for Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase; VIT_17s0000g10430). The expression stability measurement of these two housekeeping genes was performed using geNorm software (Vandesompele et al., 2002). Normalized expression of the VvOMT genes was calculated using the Bio-Rad CFX Manager software with a method derived from the algorithms outlined by Vandesompele et al. (2002). Gene transcripts were quantified upon normalization to VvEF1α and VvGAPDH by using the comparative cycle threshold method (i.e. comparing the cycle threshold of the target gene with that of VvEF1α and VvGAPDH). Gene expressions were expressed as mean ± SD calculated for three independent experiments.

Cloning of Full-Length VvOMT cDNAs

The full-length cDNA of VvOMT1 (VvOMT1), VvOMT2 (VvOMT2, and VvOMT3, and VvOMT4) were amplified from cDNA of bunch closure berries (Car, CS, PN, and PV) with high-fidelity Taq polymerase (Phusion DNA Polymerase; Finnzymes). Primer sequences are presented in Supplemental Table S3. The amplified cDNA for each VvOMT was cloned into the pEGEMT Easy Vector (Promega), and the resulting plasmid was sequenced. VvOMT7 and VvOMT8 sequences were obtained from GenBank. VvOMT3 and VvOMT4 putative sequences were identified from the PN40024 genomic sequence (Julien et al., 2007). VvOMT proteins were aligned using ClustalW (Thompson et al., 1994).

Characterization of Recombinant VvOMT Proteins

Full-length VvOMT cDNAs were cloned into pDONR201 Gateway-compatible vector (Invitrogen) to generate entry clones. These entry clones were sequenced to verify that no mutation had been introduced. VvOMT cDNAs were then transferred into the pHNGWA destination vector (accession no. EU808842; Busso et al., 2005) by using LR clonase (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. pHNGWA-VvOMT plasmids were transformed into ArcticExpress BL21 (DE3) E. coli cells (Agilent) harboring a plasmid, which encodes two cold-adapted chaperons under constitutive expression. Overnight cultures (2 mL) were inoculated into 50 mL of 2× yeast tryptisate medium containing 1% (v/v) glycerol and grown at 28°C to an optical density at 600 nm of 1.0 prior to induction with 1 mM isopropyl-b-D-thiogalactoside. Cultures were then grown further for 12 h at 10°C. After harvesting the cells by centrifugation (10 min at 6,000g), the pellet was resuspended in 10 mL of purification buffer (25 mM HEPES, pH 7, and 1 mM β-mercaptoethanol), and cell lysis was carried out by sonication. Nonsoluble parts were removed by centrifugation (5 min at 15,000g), and NusA fusion proteins were purified using Protino Ni Ted 1000 packed columns (Macherey-Nagel) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The NusA-VvOMT fusion proteins were eluted with purification buffer containing 200 mM imidazole. Protein
expression and purification steps were monitored by SDS/PAGE. Protein concentrations were determined with the Bio-Rad protein assay kit.

**Enzyme Assays**

For the determination of kinetic parameters, purified NusA-VvOMT (2.5 μg) was incubated with IBHP ranging from 0.25 to 50 μM in the presence of 500 μM SAM in incubation buffer (25 mM HEPES, pH 7, 1 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM β-mercaptoethanol, and 1 μg ml⁻¹ bovine serum albumin) in a final volume of 100 μL for 2.5 h at 28°C. The reactions were stopped by addition of 100 μL of ethyl acetate, followed by vigorous vortexing and centrifugation (5 min at 15,000g). The organic phase containing reaction products and the nontransformed substrate was transferred into a new tube and mixed with one volume of methanol prior to liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry analyses. Kₚ and Vₘₐₓ values were calculated from Lineweaver-Burk plots. For substrate specificity studies, purified NusA-VvOMT (approximately 2.5 μg) was incubated with different substrates at a final concentration of 20 μM (IBHP, 5-methoxyresorcinol, resveratrol, and quercetin) in the presence of 500 μM SAM in incubation buffer in a final volume of 100 μL for 2.5 h at 28°C. Reaction products were extracted as described above and analyzed by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry.

**Homology Modeling**

Structure models of OMTs of VvOMT1-2 (Uniprot ASAD94, VITIV) and VvOMT3-2 (this work) were generated by homology modeling. Sequence and structure alignments were done using @TOME-2 pipeline (Pons and Labesse, 2009). For VvOMT1, structural alignment was performed with Protein Data Bank entries 1FP2, 3REO, and 1ZGA. For VvOMT3, structural alignment was performed with Protein Data Bank entries 3PCG, 1FP1, and 1ZGA. SAM and IBHP structures and topologies were automatically generated with PRODRG (Schüttelkopf and van Aalten, 2004) and manually corrected using recommendations for small molecule topologies (Lemkul et al., 2010). SAM and the methylated hydroxyl group of IBHP were placed at the same positions as in the template structures and were introduced in the model at the beginning of homology modeling using MODELER (Sali and Blundell, 1993). VvOMT1-2 and VvOMT3-2 were generated as homodimers. The models with the lowest Discrete Optimized Protein Energy score (Shen and Sali, 2006) among 100 generated models were selected for energy minimization and molecular dynamics.

**Molecular Dynamics Simulations**

Simulations were performed using the GROMACS package (Van Der Spoel et al., 2005) in cubic boxes filled with SPC216 water molecules and GROMOS43a1 as force field. Before molecular dynamics, the protein-ligands complex was subjected to energy minimization and positional restraints cycles. The simulation was carried out with periodic boundary conditions by adding sodium ions to have a value of zero as the net electrostatic charge of the system. The bond lengths were constrained by the all atoms LINCS algorithm. Particle mesh Ewald algorithm was used for the electrostatic interactions with a cutoff of 0.9 nm. The simulations were performed at neutral pH with runs of 10 ns at 300 K coupling the system to an external bath. GROMACS routines were utilized to check the trajectories and the quality of the simulations. The structure of the final model was checked using MOLEPROBITY (Chen et al., 2010).

All sequence data from this article can be found in the GenBank/EMBL data libraries under the accession numbers listed in Supplemental Table S1.

**Supplemental Data**

The following materials are available in the online version of this article.

**Supplemental Figure S1.** Distribution of the IBHP content in leaves of the Fi CS × RGM population used in QTL mapping.

**Supplemental Figure S2.** Genomic structure of the open reading frames of the VvOMT3 and VvOMT4 genes cluster on chromosome 3.

**Supplemental Figure S3.** Harvested stages throughout cv Carmenère and Petit Verdot berry development.

**Supplemental Figure S4.** Preliminary characterization of VvOMT enzymatic activity.

**Supplemental Figure S5.** Identification of VvOMT3-1 and VvOMT3-2 reaction product.

**Supplemental Table S1.** VvOM1, VvOMT2, VvOMT3, and VvOMT4 alleles identified in Carmenère, Cabernet Sauvignon, Pinot Noir and Petit Verdot cultivars.

**Supplemental Table S2.** Quantitative PCR primer sequences.

**Supplemental Table S3.** Sequences of primers used throughout the cloning of VvOMT cDNA.
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**Supplemental Figure S1.** Distribution of the IBMP content in leaves of the F1 CS x RGM population used in QTL mapping. Leaf samples were analyzed using solid phase extraction gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (SPE-GC-MS). (A) A non-transformed and (B) a log-transformed distributions were used to detect Quantitative Trait Loci (QTL) related to IBMP level. The IBMP variable is expressed as ng/kg of fresh leaf weight. CS x RGM, 130 individuals from the *Vitis vinifera* cv. Cabernet Sauvignon (CS) x *Vitis riparia* Gloire de Montpellier (RGM) progeny used in QTL mapping. ↓, indicates the parent position within the distribution.

**Supplemental Figure S2.** Genomic structure of the open reading frames of the VvOMT3 and VvOMT4 genes cluster on chromosome 3. The boxes indicate the exons and arrows represent non coding sequences of the genes. Data correspond to the V1 genome annotation available from the CRIBI Grape Genome Browser database (http://genomes.cribi.unipd.it/).

**Supplemental Figure S3.** Harvested stages throughout Carménère and Petit Verdot berry development. PC, pepper-corn size (EL-29); P, pea-size (EL-31); BC, bunch closure (EL-32); V, *véraison* (EL-35); HM, half-mature (10-potential alcohol degree, EL36); M, mature (EL-38); Car, Carménère; PV, Petit Verdot.

**Supplemental Figure S4.** Preliminary characterization of VvOMTs enzymatic activity. A, SDS-PAGE-analysis of VvOMT-expressing *E. coli*. Soluble protein fractions were analyzed and VvOMT proteins are indicated by the number of the corresponding allele. The arrow indicates the position of the NusA-VvOMT fusion proteins.

B, Activity of VvOMT proteins with IBHP substrate. Purified NusA-VvOMT fusion proteins (2.5 μg) were incubated in the presence of 20 μM IBHP and 500 μM SAM for 8h, before quantification of IBMP (expressed in μM as mean of triplicate, standard deviations are given in parentheses. -, below quantification threshold.

C, Activity of VvOMT3 proteins with a selection of potential substrates. Purified NusA-VvOMT3 fusion proteins (2.5 μg) were incubated in the presence of 20 μM substrates and 500 μM SAM for 8h, before quantification of potential reaction products (activities were expressed in μM as mean of triplicate, standard deviations are given in parentheses). Potential substrates used are IBHP, 5-methoxyresorcinol, resveratrol, quercetin. ND, not detectable.

**Supplemental Figure S5.** Identification of VvOMT3-1 and VvOMT3-2 reaction product. The reaction product detected after incubation of purified VvOMT3-1 and VvOMT3-2 enzymes in the presence of 2-hydroxy-3-isobutylpyrazine (IBHP; 10 μM) and S-adenosyl-L-methionine (SAM; 500 μM) showed identical retention times and mass spectrum ([M+H]⁺) to those of an authentic IBMP standard. Mass spectra are shown as insets. No products were detected in the control assays (Con). IBMP, 2-methoxy-3-isobutylpyrazine.

**Supplemental Table S1.** *VvOMT1, VvOMT2, VvOMT3* and *VvOMT4* alleles identified in Carménère, Cabernet Sauvignon, Pinot Noir and Petit Verdot cultivars.

**Supplemental Table S2.** qPCR primer sequences.

**Supplemental Table S3.** Sequences of primers used throughout the cloning of *VvOMTs* cDNA.
Figure S1. Distribution of the IBMP content in leaves of the F1 CS x RGM population used in QTL mapping. Leaf samples were analyzed using solid phase extraction gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (SPE-GC-MS). (A) A non-transformed and (B) a log-transformed distributions were used to detect Quantitative Trait Loci (QTL) related to IBMP level. The IBMP variable is expressed as ng/kg of fresh leaf weight. CS x RGM, 130 individuals from the *Vitis vinifera* cv. Cabernet Sauvignon (CS) x *Vitis riparia* Gloire de Montpellier (RGM) progeny used in QTL mapping. ↓, indicates the parent position within the distribution.
Figure S2. Genomic structure of the open reading frames of the *VvOMT3* and *VvOMT4* genes cluster on chromosome 3. The boxes indicate the exons and arrows represent non-coding sequences of the genes. Data correspond to the V1 genome annotation available from the CRIBI Grape Genome Browser database (http://genomes.cribi.unipd.it/).
Figure S3. Harvested stages throughout Carménère and Petit Verdot berry development. PC, pepper-corn size (EL-29); P, pea-size (EL-31); BC, bunch closure (EL-32); V, véraison (EL-35); HM, half-mature (10-potential alcohol degree, EL36); M, mature (EL-38); Car, Carménère; PV, Petit Verdot.
Figure S4. Preliminary characterization of VvOMTs enzymatic activity.
A, SDS-PAGE-analysis of VvOMT-expressing E. coli. Soluble protein fractions were analyzed and VvOMT proteins are indicated by the number of the corresponding allele. The arrow indicates the position of the NusA-VvOMT fusion proteins.

B, Activity of VvOMT proteins with IBHP substrate. Purified NusA-VvOMT fusion proteins (2.5 µg) were incubated in the presence of 20 µM IBHP and 500 µM SAM for 8h, before quantification of IBMP (expressed in µM as mean of triplicate, standard deviations are given in parentheses. -, below quantification threshold.

C, Activity of VvOMT3 proteins with a selection of potential substrates. Purified NusA-VvOMT3 fusion proteins (2.5 µg) were incubated in the presence of 20 µM substrates and 500 µM SAM for 8h, before quantification of potential reaction products (activities were expressed in µM as mean of triplicate, standard deviations are given in parentheses). Potential substrates used are IBHP, 3,5-dimethoxyphenol, resveratrol, quercetin. ND, not detectable.
Figure S5. Identification of VvOMT3-1 and VvOMT3-2 reaction product. The reaction product detected after incubation of purified VvOMT3-1 and VvOMT3-2 enzymes in the presence of 2-hydroxy-3-isobutylpyrazine (IBHP) (10 µM) and S-adenosyl-L-methionine (SAM; 500 µM) showed identical retention times and mass spectrum ([M+H]+) to those of an authentic IBMP standard. Mass spectra are shown as insets. No products were detected in the control assays (Con). IBMP, 2-methoxy-3-isobutylpyrazine.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Allele</th>
<th>Accession number</th>
<th>Protein length (a.a.)</th>
<th>Amino acid modifications</th>
<th>Cultivar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VvOMT1</td>
<td>VvOMT1-1</td>
<td>KC533529, KC533530</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>Histidine (8), Valine (97)</td>
<td>Car, CS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KC533531, KC533532, KC533533, KC533534</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>Glutamine (8), Alanine (97)</td>
<td>Car, CS, PN, PV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VvOMT1</td>
<td>VvOMT1-2</td>
<td>KC533535, KC533536</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>Threonine (97), Asparagine (260), Threonine (327)</td>
<td>Car, CS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KC533537, KC533538, KC533539</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>Alanine (97), Aspartic acid (260), Serine (327)</td>
<td>Car, PN, PV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VvOMT2</td>
<td>VvOMT2-1</td>
<td>KC533535, KC533536</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>Alanine (35), Methionine (44), Serine (48), Leucine (79), Histidine (297), Methionine (340)</td>
<td>Car, CS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KC517470, KC517471</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>Glycine (35), Isoleucine (44), Alanine (48), Isoleucine (79), Histidine deletion (297), Valine (339)</td>
<td>PN, PV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VvOMT3</td>
<td>VvOMT3-2</td>
<td>KC517472, KC517473</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>Glycine (35), Isoleucine (44), Alanine (48), Isoleucine (79), Histidine (297), Methionine (340)</td>
<td>PV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VvOMT4</td>
<td>VvOMT4-1</td>
<td>KC517475, KC517476</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>Glutamic acid (7), Glycine (17), Alanine (19), Alanine (32), Arginine (85), Alanine (88), Arginine (109), Glycine</td>
<td>Car, CS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>Accession Numbers</td>
<td>Length</td>
<td>Amino Acid Composition</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VvOMT4-2</td>
<td>KC517477</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>Aspartic acid (7), Alanine (17), Valine (19), Glutamic acid (32), Lysine (85), Alanine (88), Glycine (109), Serine (193), Valine (286), Aspartic acid (294), Glycine (295), Asparagine (349)</td>
<td>PV, PN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KC517478</td>
<td>359</td>
<td></td>
<td>PV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VvOMT4-3</td>
<td>KC517479</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>Aspartic acid (7), Alanine (17), Valine (19), Glutamic acid (32), Lysine (85), Glutamic acid (88), Glycine (109), Serine (193), Valine (286), Aspartic acid (294), Glycine (295), Asparagine (349)</td>
<td>PV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table S2. qPCR primer sequences.**

Primer sequences used for qPCR analysis and the gene accession number from which the sequence data was obtained for primer design. All the transcripts were annotated against the V1 version of the 12X draft annotation of the grapevine genome [http://genomes.cribi.unipd.it/DATA/](http://genomes.cribi.unipd.it/DATA/).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene name</th>
<th>Accession number</th>
<th>Forward Primer</th>
<th>Reverse Primer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VvOMT1</td>
<td>VIT_12s0059g01790</td>
<td>5'-GAGAAGCGAGGTGGAATG-3'</td>
<td>5'-TGAGATGATTACTCTGGATATGC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VvOMT2</td>
<td>VIT_12s0059g01750</td>
<td>5'-CCGAGGTTGAATGGAAGAA-3'</td>
<td>5'-AATGACAAACACGATGTAGATTAC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VvOMT3</td>
<td>VIT_03s0038g03090</td>
<td>5'-ATGATGGCTCATACTACTAC-3'</td>
<td>5'-CCTAATTTCGTGCCTAATG-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VvOMT4</td>
<td>VIT_03s0038g03080</td>
<td>5'-GATGCGACACATACACTACA-3'</td>
<td>5'-GGGATTACCTTTGCATA-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VvGAPDH</td>
<td>VIT_17s0000g10430</td>
<td>5'-TTCTCGTTGAGGGCTATTCCA-3'</td>
<td>5'-CCACAGACTTCATCGGTGACA-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VvEF1-γ</td>
<td>VIT_12s0035g01130</td>
<td>5'-CAAGAGAAACCATCCCTAGCTG-3'</td>
<td>5'-TCAATCTGTCTAGGAAAGGAAAG-3'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S3. Sequences of primers used throughout the cloning of *VvOMTs* cDNA.

Primer sequences used for full-length cDNA cloning and Gateway cloning, and the gene accession number from which the sequence data was obtained for primer designs are mentioned. All transcripts were annotated against the V1 version of the 12X draft annotation of the grapevine genome [http://genomes.cribi.unipd.it/DATA/](http://genomes.cribi.unipd.it/DATA/).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer name</th>
<th>Gene accession number</th>
<th>Primer sequences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Full-length cDNA cloning</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>VvOMT1F</em></td>
<td><em>VIT_12s0059g01790</em></td>
<td>5’-ATGGTGAGCAGAAGTGAAAT-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>VvOMT1R</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>5’-GACTAGCATGAGATGATTAC-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>VvOMT2F</em></td>
<td><em>VIT_12s0059g01750</em></td>
<td>5’-ATGGTGGGCACAAGTGAAGAC-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>VvOMT2R</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>5’-ATGGATTCGACATTGAGAAAA-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>VvOMT3F</em></td>
<td><em>VIT_03s0038g03090</em></td>
<td>5’-ATGGAGAAAGTGGGTAAGATCATGG-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>VvOMT3R</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>5’-TGCCTAATTTCGTCCTAATGAC-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>VvOMT4F</em></td>
<td><em>VIT_03s0038g03080</em></td>
<td>5’-ATGACAGAGGCAGACGGGAGAC-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>VvOMT4R</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>5’-TTTAGAGGGATTTACCTTGCGATATA-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gateway cloning</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>attB1VvOMT1F</em></td>
<td><em>VIT_12s0059g01790</em></td>
<td>5’-GGGGACAAGTTTGTACAAAAAAGCAGGCTTGGTTCCGCTGATCCATGTGAGCAGAGTGAAAT-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>attB2VvOMT1R</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>5’-GGGGACCACTTTGTACAAAAAAGCAGGCTTGGTTCCGCTGATCCATGTGAGCAGAGTGAAAT-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>attB1VvOMT2F</em></td>
<td><em>VIT_12s0059g01750</em></td>
<td>5’-GGGGACAAGTTTGTACAAAAAAGCAGGCTTGGTTCCGCTGATCCATGTGAGCAGAGTGAAAT-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>attB2VvOMT2R1</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>5’-GGGGACCACTTTGTACAAAAAAGCAGGCTTGGTTCCGCTGATCCATGTGAGCAGAGTGAAAT-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>attB2VvOMT2R2</td>
<td>5'-GGGGACCACCTTTGTACAAAGAAAAGCTGGGTTCACACTACCTGGATAAGCCTCGAT-3’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>attB1VvOMT3F</td>
<td>5'-GGGGACAAGTTTGTACAAAAAAGCAGGCTTGGTTCCGCGCGTGGATCCATGGAGAAAGTGGTAAAAATC-3’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>attB2VvOMT3R</td>
<td>5'-GGGGACCACCTTTGTACAAAGAAAAGCAGGCTTGGTTCCGCGCGTGGATCCATGGAGAAAGTGGTAAAAATC-3’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>attB1VvOMT4F</td>
<td>5'-GGGGACAAGTTTGTACAAAGAAAAGCAGGCTTGGTTCCGCGCGTGGATCCATGGAGAAAGTGGTAAAAATC-3’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>attB2VvOMT4R</td>
<td>5'-GGGGACCACCTTTGTACAAAGAAAAGCAGGCTTGGTTCCGCGCGTGGATCCATGGAGAAAGTGGTAAAAATC-3’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>