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Lateral root (LR) stimulation during early signal exchange between plant roots and ectomycorrhizal (ECM) fungi has recently been shown to be achieved by modulation of auxin gradients. We suggested that this modulation could occur through altered polar auxin transport (PAT) and through activation of auxin signalling pathways in the root. However, it remains unclear, which fungal molecules alter auxin pathways inside the plant partner. It has been suggested in previous studies that auxin released by the fungus could trigger observed plant responses during early signal exchange and later on during root colonization. Here we focus on the early interaction and we provide evidence for an alternative mechanism. Indeed, LR stimulation by the fungus in A. thaliana followed a totally different timing than with exogenously applied auxin. Furthermore, experimental conditions that excluded the exchange of soluble molecules while allowing exchange of volatile(s) between the plant and the fungus were sufficient for LR induction, therefore questioning the role of secreted fungal auxin. These data suggest that volatiles released by the fungus and sensed by the plant may act upstream of altered auxin signalling in the plant.

Interactions of plant roots with symbiotic, ectomycorrhizal soil fungi lead to lateral root (LR) stimulation during the very early interaction phase.3 This LR stimulation has recently been shown to be independent of root colonization and to occur as well in non-mycorrhizal plants, such as Arabidopsis, suggesting that fungal signals have a broad perception spectrum.1,2 However, little is known about the type of signals exchanged between fungi and their plant partners during this early interaction phase. Several studies have proposed a role for the phytohormone auxin produced and secreted by ECM fungi as the signalling molecule during ECM fungus/plant signalling.2,7 Recently we studied changes in auxin response and auxin transport in poplar and A. thaliana roots during contact with the ECM fungus L. bicolor.1 We demonstrated that the presence of the fungus enhances the auxin response and distribution at the root apex and that this, as well as LR stimulation, is reliant on polar auxin transport through AtPIN2 and probably through PtPIN9 in poplar. Here, using A. thaliana, whose LR stimulation by L. bicolor has been demonstrated, we propose that not yet identified fungal volatiles may regulate auxin homeostasis in the plant, questioning the contribution of the auxin released by the fungus on the induction of LR.

Exogenous Auxin and the Presence of L. bicolor Differently Affect Lateral Root Formation in A. thaliana

L. bicolor is known to secrete indole-3-acetic acid (IAA), but the amount is...
rather low (about 10 nM in four week old liquid L. bicolor cultures).\(^8\) In order to investigate whether an exogenous auxin treatment could quantitatively and qualitatively mimic LR stimulation observed during A. thaliana/L. bicolor interaction, we transferred A. thaliana seedlings at 5 dag to Petri dishes containing different concentrations of IAA and/or covered the seedlings’ roots with L. bicolor mycelium grown on cellophane membranes (reviewed in ref. 1). Applying low amounts of auxin (such as those released by the fungus\(^8\)) to A. thaliana seedlings did not alter LR formation (data not shown). However it is possible that fungal auxin is heterogeneously distributed in the culture medium, which might result in an underestimation of fungal auxin in the direct vicinity of the root when auxin is quantified from the entire medium.\(^8\) This is why higher concentrations, known to influence LR formation (1 and 10 µM), were also exogenously applied. Both concentrations led to rapid induction of LRs already detectable after one day (Fig. 1A) and this stimulation lasted up to two days before reaching a plateau. Unlike IAA treatments, LR induction by L. bicolor increased more slowly but continuously, with the first significant difference being observable after two days. After six days, the LR number on plants in contact with the fungus reached similar values as for plants treated with 1 µM IAA and after eight days the number of LRs in the fungal treatment exceeded LRs stimulation with 10 µM IAA. Furthermore, exogenous IAA treatments caused rapid (after one day) and enduring arrest of primary root growth in Arabidopsis, whereas the sole application of the fungus did not interfere with root elongation for up to eight days (data not shown).

We next analyzed the outcome of a combined treatment of A. thaliana seedlings with L. bicolor and a high exogenous auxin concentration (10 µM). Interestingly, the effect of both exogenous IAA and fungus on LR development appeared additive. After eight days significantly more LRs had developed in combined IAA plus fungus treatments compared to IAA treatment alone (Fig. 1B). LRs developing in the

---

**Figure 1.** Comparison of LR stimulation in A. thaliana in contact with L. bicolor and during exogenous IAA treatment. (A) LR development on 5 dag A. thaliana seedlings covered by a cellophane membrane with or without fungal mycelium or in the presence of 1 or 10 µM IAA. IAA rapidly stimulated LR development but led after two days to a plateau whereas LR stimulation by L. bicolor is slower but persists over the first ten days. (B) LR development after 8 days of 10 µM IAA treatment. (C) LR development after 8 days indirect contact in the presence of 10 µM IAA. Note the high number of second degree LRs in (C) (arrows) that are absent in (B). LR stimulation in A. thaliana by volatile molecules released by L. bicolor mycelia. (D) Two-compartmented plate with Arabidopsis seedlings (left) and L. bicolor (right). (E) LR development in the presence of volatiles released by L. bicolor. Compared to controls, LR development was stimulated from three days of co-culturing with mycelium. Per treatment 15 to 25 (A–C) and 50 seedlings (E) were analyzed respectively. Different letters indicate significant difference between the respective conditions at each time-point (A and E) (Student t-Test, p < 0.05).
The different compounds released by the fungus that could play a role in primary responses as well as auxin biosynthesis activity inside roots will certainly help to confirm this hypothesis.
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Volatiles Released by *L. bicolor* are Sufficient to Stimulate LR Formation in *A. thaliana*

To evaluate whether *L. bicolor* could also stimulate LR formation in *A. thaliana* when exchange of soluble molecules (such as fungal auxin) was prevented, we exposed Arabidopsis seedlings at 5 dag in a bi-compartmented plate to volatiles released by *L. bicolor* (Fig. 1D). These conditions resulted in a significant LR increase compared to plants growing in control plates without fungus (Fig. 1E). The pattern and quantity of LR stimulation was similar to LR stimulation observed during indirect contact (Fig. 1A). This result demonstrates that fungal volatiles alone are sufficient to induce LR stimulation in the plant partner and may therefore be part of the early signalling molecules released by the fungus and exchanged. Thus, fungal auxin is unlikely the (unique) trigger inducing LR formation.

Which volatile fungal molecules could we consider as LR inducers? First, the gas ethylene released by *L. bicolor* and other ECM fungi has already been proposed to play a role in fungal signalling. Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that fungi can produce jasmonate derivatives, which may be involved in volatile signalling. Interestingly, exogenously applied ethylene and jasmonates interact with auxin pathways by activating auxin biosynthetic enzymes (Anthrani late Synthase 1—ASA1) and (Tryptophane Amino Transferase—TAA1) in roots and hence stimulate LR formation. Thus it may be taken into consideration that the increased auxin response in roots observed during contact with *L. bicolor* may be a result of an enhanced auxin biosynthesis in planta in response to fungal volatiles rather than due to an uptake of fungal auxin itself. Further analysis on the different compounds released by the fungus that could play a role in primary responses as well as auxin biosynthesis activity inside roots will certainly help to confirm this hypothesis.
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