

Rabbit milk: a review of quantity, quality and non-dietary affecting factors

Luc Maertens, François Lebas, Zs Szendrö

▶ To cite this version:

Luc Maertens, François Lebas, Zs Szendrö. Rabbit milk : a review of quantity, quality and non-dietary affecting factors. World Rabbit Science, 2006, 14 (4), pp.205-230. hal-02658181

HAL Id: hal-02658181 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02658181

Submitted on 30 May 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License



RABBIT MILK: A REVIEW OF QUANTITY, QUALITY AND NON-DIETARY AFFECTING FACTORS.

Maertens L.*, Lebas F.[†], Szendrö Zs.[‡]

*Inst. for Agricultural and Fisheries Research, Animal Science Unit, 9090 MELLE, Belgium. [†]Cuniculture, 87a Chemin de Lasserre, 31450 CORRONSAC, France. [‡]Univ. of Kaposvár, Faculty of Animal Science, 7400 KAPOSVAR, Hungary.

ABSTRACT: This literature review focuses on the milk yield and milk composition of rabbits and the non-nutritional factors affecting both quantity and quality. Actual highly efficient hybrid does have an average daily milk yield of 250 g or 60 g/kg of live weight during the 4-weeks lactation period. However, compared with cow and sow milk, rabbit's milk is much more concentrated in fat (12.9 g/100 g), protein (12.3 g/100 g) and energy (8.4 MJ/kg) which explains the extremely rapid growth of the young (weight × 6 after 3 weeks). Characteristic of rabbit milk is also the nearly absence of lactose (<2 g/100 g). At peak lactation, protein output per kg metabolic weight (13.4 g/day/kg^{0.75}) exceeds even those of Holstein milk cows. The non-nutritional factors having the largest impact on the milk yield are the number of suckling kits, the parity order (primiparous vs. multiparous) and the gestation overlapping degree (rapid decline after 17-20 days of gestation). However, also through the reduction of feed intake, heat stress has a detrimental impact especially when the night temperature remains above 25°C. Rabbit milk lipids are highly saturated (70.4% SFA) due to the high content of $C_{8.0} - C_{12.0}$ (50% of total FA) and further characterised by nearly equal quantities of oleic and linoleic acid and an ω -6/ ω -3 ratio around 4. Finally some data about the amino acid, milk proteins including the immunoglobulins, mineral and vitamin composition are presented.

Key words: rabbit, milk, quantity, quality, affecting factors, review.

INTRODUCTION

Rabbit does are in general allowed to nurse their kits till weaning age (4-5 weeks of age). Kits are until 18-19 days of age exclusively depending from the milk of their mother (Maertens and De Groote, 1990; Fortun-Lamothe and Gidenne, 2000). Newborn rabbits have high energy requirements and a low thermal isolation. Therefore early liveability and growth performances are closely related to the quantity and quality of the milk ingested (Lebas, 1969 and 1976; McNitt and Moody, 1988; Fraga *et al.*, 1989; Szendrö and Maertens, 2001). Recently, this relationship has been stressed by Szendrö *et al.* (2002) using 2 nursing does per litter.

The lactation requires a great energy effort of the doe and is closely related to some variables as corporal condition, fecundity and foetal growth (Fortun-Lamothe and Bolet, 1995; Pascual *et al.*, 2003; Xiccato *et al.*, 2004). Moreover, genetic selection in maternal lines has focussed mainly on prolificacy resulting in parental lines with a litter size of over 10 kits (Tudela *et al.*, 2003). Consequently, demands and requirements of does for milk yield have increased greatly. However, strains were primarily successfully selected for increased litter size but weaning weight of kits dropped (Rochambeau, 1998). This indicates that the relative increase of milk yield was smaller than that of litter size, leading to smaller amounts of milk available per kit (Szendrö and Maertens, 2001).

Correspondence: L.Maertens, luc.maertens@ilvo.vlaanderen.be Received May 2006 - Accepted October 2006.

Rabbit milk is collected for the production of recombinant proteins in transgenic animals (Castro *et al.*, 1999; Bõsze and Houdebine, 2006). The high protein content of rabbit milk together with the high yield/kg live weight and the rapid reproduction rhythm are attractive characteristics to use rabbits for this purpose. Nevertheless, milking of rabbit does remain an exceptional goal. Consequently, information relating with milk yield and composition remains relatively scarce although interesting work was already executed, mainly in France, already 35 years ago (Lebas, 1968, 1969, 1971 and 1976; Lebas *et al.*, 1971). The present review intends to update this information and to discuss the main factors influencing milk yield and milk composition of does used for meat production. Dietary effects on milk yield and composition were recently reviewed by Pascual *et al.* (2003) and thus they should be only marginally mentioned in this review.

METHODOLOGY USED TO MEASURE THE MILK YIELD OR TO COLLECT SAMPLES

Direct method

In larger animal species (e.g. cows, sheep), mechanical or manual milking is used as direct method to measure milk production. Also for rabbits milking machines were developed and described (Lebas, 1970; Schley, 1975; Marcus *et al.*, 1990). Milking or sampling is always done after a 24-hour separation of mother and kits avoiding the free suckling of kits. Females have to be treated with oxytocine in order to stimulate the milk ejection. When the females are fixed in a comfortable position with suitable milking equipment, equivalent or higher amounts than that ingested by the kits at one suckling can be collected (Lebas, 1970). This methodology is generally used for the collection of milk from transgenic rabbits (BioProtein Technologies, 2006). However, in animal research studies, this methodology is not used to determine the milk yield capacities during the whole lactation period.

Indirect measurement

The nursing behaviour of the rabbit is characterised by a daily short event of only 3-4 minutes (Cross, 1952; Hudson *et al.*, 2000). Although most does show a strong circadian basis with one nursing event every 24 hours, a limited number of females nurse their kits more than once a day (Zarrow *et al.*, 1965; Hoy and Selzer, 2002; Matics *et al.*, 2004). However, it has not been demonstrated that more milk is produced or that kits grow faster when the doe performs (or is allowed or not) more than one nursing a day (Hudson *et al.*, 2000). For example Zarrow *et al.* (1965) have observed exactly the same daily gain, day after day, from 2 to 30 days after kindling for kits able to suckle their mother freely, only once or twice a day. An explanation could be found in the observations of Calvert and Knight (1982) that milk secretion is performed at a constant speed during the 24 hours following a nursing and thereafter dropped dramatically. Nevertheless with the double suckling method, Gachev (1971b) observed a slight reduction of milk production during the last 6 hours fraction of the 24 hours period (19.4% of the total yield vs 24.8% to 28.0% for the 3 others 6 hours periods).

As a consequence of the nursing behaviour, daily milk yield can easily and accurately measured by determining the weight difference of the doe before and after nursing (Lebas, 1968). This weight-suckle-weight method is widespread used for research purposes and has an advantage over weighing of the kits. Kit weighing is more difficult because they are nervous and the accuracy is lower because kits show some urine losses even during the suckling event (Lebas, 1971).

During the first stage of the lactation period, the next box can be closed and daily opened to nurse the kits and to determine the milk yield. However, once the kits starts to consume solid feed, from day 18 onwards (Fortun-Lamothe and Gidenne, 2000), housing of the kits has to be in a separated cage or in an adapted cage to allow both the milk yield determination as the normal development of the kits (Fortun-Lamothe *et al.*, 2000).

The indirect measurement of the milk yield is a time and labour consuming method. However, when only 3 measures per week are executed, total yield can be calculated with a high accuracy ($R^2=0.982$; RSE=5.2) (Fernández-Carmona *et al.*, 2004). Using a quadratic regression model obtained from 3 measurements per week (9 in total), Fortun-Lamothe and Sabater (2003) estimated daily and total milk yield of each doe in the 0-21 days lactation period.

Estimation based on the growth of the suckling kits

There exist a high correlation between the milk production and the growth of the kits because rabbit kits do not show significant feed intake before the age of 18-19 days (Maertens and De Groote 1990; Fortun-Lamothe and Gidenne, 2000). The highest correlations reported are for the period between birth and 21 days of age and amount to 0.90 (Lebas, 1969), 0.91 (Fortun-Lamothe and Sabater, 2003) or even 0.99 (Lukefahr *et al.*, 1983). Weight gain of the litter at a later stage is much less correlated with the milk yield in the corresponding period (Lebas, 1969). Although litter weight at 21 days is highly correlated with the milk yield (R^2 =0.917; RSE=11.5) (Fernández-Carmona *et al.*, 2004), litter weight gain at 21 days is a better predictor of the doe milk yield than litter weight at 21 days (Fortun-Lamothe and Sabater, 2003).

For actual high productive hybrid does the following equation was drawn (Fortun-Lamothe and Sabater, 2003):

Milk yield 0-21 d (g) = $1.69 \times$ weight gain of the litter 0-21 d (g) + 362 (r=0.91)

Collection of samples

There are different methods to collect milk samples. Apart from a milking machine, samples can be collected by manual milking by gently pushing on the mammary gland. An experienced person can collect during 1-2 minutes easily 20 ml even without injection of oxytocin (authors' personal observations). Although Lebas (1971) did not find significant differences in the composition of 4 consecutive samples of 45-70 g, he recommends for analysis a sample quantity of at least a quarter of the total amount present. However, it was never proved that the composition of the first 20 g by e.g. hand milking is not representative for the total quantity.

Another milk collection method is to take, immediately after the nursing, a sample out of the kit's stomach by means of an orally introduced stomach tube (Fraga *et al.*, 1989; De Blas *et al.*, 1995). This method is easy but some contamination may occur with gastric secretions and with the residual milk still contained in the stomach even after a 24 hours period (authors' personal observations).

MILK YIELD

The usual lactation period of does is between 4 and 5 weeks depending on the reproduction rhythm and management system. However, mainly for experimental purposes, early weaning is sometimes executed and a shorter lactation period is considered (Xiccato *et al.*, 2004). In absence of a new pregnancy, milk production can continue up to 6 weeks or even a longer period (Cowie, 1968; Lebas, 1969).

Papers dealing with milk yield of does are quite limited and most of them are linked with nutrition experiments. In Table 1 only papers that have measured the milk yield and when does were fed *ad libitum* are considered. Milk yield is often expressed in different ways: i) as the total quantity produced in a certain period ii) as an average production during a period or iii) as the sum of a limited number of determinations. Therefore, it is not easy to compare the data in the different papers including milk yield data. Moreover, many of the results published are strongly influenced by diet or does genotype but also by reproduction rhythm, environmental temperature, parity, and so on. A detailed discussion about their impact on milk yield is presented below.

MAERTENS *et al*.

Based on the data of Table 1, actual strains used for commercial meat production have a 28-days milk yield in their first lactation of about 5.5 kg (Xiccato *et al.*, 1995; Pascual *et al.*, 2002b, Maertens *et al.*, 2006). Multiparous hybrid does, nursing 9-10 kits, have a yield that exceed 7.0 kg during a 28-days lactation period or 250 g/d or around 60 g/d when expressed per kg of live weight (LW) (Fortun-Lamothe and Sabater, 2003; Xiccato *et al.*, 2005; Maertens *et al.*, 2006).

$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $							Milk yield				
$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{Retricker} & \mbox{Finder} & \mb$	Reference	Diet	Breed/ Strain/Line	Parity	Litter	No. of lactations	Period	Total	Top	Average	g/kg
	Lebas 1968	Reproduction diet	Fauve de	Different	8-9	143	0-42	7090	242	<u>(g/u)</u> 169	42.3
Partridge and Allen, 1982 a) Low protein diet New Zealand x 2+ 8 6 0-28 3890 139 34.8 Allen, 1982 b) Medium protein diet x x Californian Californian 6 0-28 4820 172 43.0 Lukcfahr et al., 1983 Reproduction diets Cali x NZW Different NSLS 1n total: 0-21 3970 189 47.2 ⁽³⁾ 1983 Cali x Ozi x XZW 0-21 3650 174 44.6 ⁽³⁾ 1984 Macrens and De Groote, 1988 Low energy diet Hybrid (Elco) 2-5 8 15 0-28 6140 219 51.2 Groote, 1988 Medium energy diet Hybrid (Elco) 2-5 8 15 0-28 6810 243 57.3 Fraga et al., 1989 Different reproduction Californian x NSLS 22 0-28 5680 203 50.7 1989 Reproduction diet Californian New Zealand Different NSLS 10 2.2 <t< td=""><td>20000, 1900</td><td>reproduction det</td><td>Bourgogne</td><td>Billerein</td><td>0)</td><td>110</td><td>• .2</td><td>1020</td><td>2.2</td><td>10)</td><td>.2.5</td></t<>	20000, 1900	reproduction det	Bourgogne	Billerein	0)	110	• .2	1020	2.2	10)	.2.5
Allen, 1982 b) Medium protein diet x Californian 6 0-28 4820 172 43.0 Lakefahr et al., Reproduction diets NZW Different NSLS ¹ In total 0-21 3970 188 47.0 ⁰ 1983 Californian Californian 225 0-21 3060 146 38.4 ⁽³⁾ 1983 Low energy diet Hybrid (Ekco) 2-5 8 15 0-28 6140 219 51.2 Maertens and De Low energy diet Hybrid (Ekco) 2-5 8 15 0-28 6140 219 51.2 Groote, 1988 Medium energy diet Hybrid (Ekco) 2-5 8 15 0-28 6810 243 57.3 Fraga et al., Different reproduction Californian x NSLS S2.2 0-28 5860 203 50.7 1989 diets New Zealand Different NSLS 19 0-29 4582 158 40.0 Lakefahr, 1990 Reproduction diet Californian Palomino 22 0-29 3973 <th< td=""><td>Partridge and</td><td>a) Low protein diet</td><td>New Zealand</td><td>2+</td><td>8</td><td>6</td><td>0-28</td><td>3890</td><td></td><td>139</td><td>34.8</td></th<>	Partridge and	a) Low protein diet	New Zealand	2+	8	6	0-28	3890		139	34.8
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Allen, 1982	b) Medium protein diet	x Californian			6	0-28	4820		172	43.0
		c) High protein diet				6	0-28	5270		188	47.0
	Lukefahr <i>et al.</i> ,	Reproduction diets	NZW	Different	NSLS ¹	In total:	0-21	3970		189	$47.2^{(3)}$
	1985		Callornian Cally NZW			225	0-21	3060 4030		140	38.4 ⁽³⁾
Maertens and De Groote, 1988Low energy diet Medium energy dietHybrid (Eco) $2-5$ 8 15 $0-28$ 610 219 51.2 Fraga et al., 1989Different reproduction dietsCalifornian x New ZealandNSLS 22 $0-28$ 6810 243 57.3 1989dietsNew Zealand17 $0-28$ 5020 179 44.8 17 $0-28$ 5020 179 44.8 17 $0-28$ 5020 179 44.8 17 $0-28$ 5020 179 44.8 17 $0-28$ 5020 179 44.8 17 $0-28$ 5020 179 44.8 17 $0-28$ 5020 179 44.8 10New Zealand23 $0-29$ 3573 31.7 110Palomino22 $0-29$ 3480 120 29.0 White Satin21 $0-29$ 3683 127 29.9 Mohamed and Szendrö, 1992Reproduction dietCalifornian? 6 4 $0-21$ 3567 170 $44.7^{(3)}$ Szendrö, 1992Commercial dietGiza White $(3.2 kg)$ 10 8 $0-21$ 3776 180 $47.4^{(3)}$ 1994a) 0.64% 0.068% x Californian2+NSLS 14 $0-30$ 5820 299 194 50.0 1994a) 0.64% 0.088% x Californian2+NSLS 16 $0-30$ 5830 297 1			NZW x Cal				0-21	3650		172	44.6 ⁽³⁾
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Maertens and De	Low energy diet	Hybrid (Elco)	2-5	8	15	0-28	6140		219	51.2
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Groote, 1988	Medium energy diet	J()			16	0-28	6330		226	53.4
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		High energy diet				15	0-28	6810		243	57.3
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Fraga et al.,	Different reproduction	Californian x		NSLS	22	0-28	5680		203	50.7
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1989	diets	New Zealand			17	0-28	4700		168	42.0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						17	0-28	5020		179	44.8
Mctvitt and Lukefahr, 1990Reproduction diet New ZealandCanionian PalominoDifferent (3.213 (3.213 (3.313.9 (3.213.7 (3.931.9 (3.9Mohamed and Szendrö, 1992Reproduction diet (3.8 kg)Californian (3.8 kg)?640-21356717044.7(3) (46.3(3))Szendrö, 1992(3.8 kg)880-21368617646.3(3) (1080-21377618047.4(3)Khalil, 1994Commercial dietGiza White (3.2 kg)Different 	MoNitt and	Panraduation diat	Californian	Different	NCIC	17	0-28	4600		104	41.0
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Lukefahr 1990	Reproduction diet	New Zealand	Different	INSLO	23	0-29	3973		138	31.9
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Eukelulii, 1990		Palomino			23	0-29	3480		120	29.0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			White Satin			21	0-29	3683		127	29.9
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Mohamed and	Reproduction diet	Californian	?	6	4	0-21	3567		170	44.7(3)
10 8 $0-21$ 3776 180 $47.4^{(3)}$ Khalil, 1994Commercial dietGiza White (3.2 kg)Different 222 $0-35$ 3493 100 31.3 Taboada et al., 1994L-lysine level: a) 0.64% New Zealand x Californian $2+$ NSLS 14 $0-30$ 5820 299 194 50.0 0.68% c) 0.71% x Californian 14 $0-30$ 6280 313 209 53.3 $c)$ 0.71% d) 0.76% e) 0.82% New Zealand $2+$ NSLS 14 $0-30$ 6440 330 215 55.4 195 different starch and fat contentNew Zealand $2+$ NSLS 16 $0-30$ 5820 296 194 47.8 1995 different starch and fat contentx Californian content 16 $0-30$ 5730 297 194 47.8 Xiccato et al., High energy levelHybrid 1 8 16 $0-30$ 5130 171 42.3 1995 High energy level High fat level(Provisal) 1 8 16 $0-30$ 5130 171 42.3 1995 High energy level High fat level 1 8 16 $0-30$ 5130 171 42.3 1995 High energy level High fat level 1 8 16 $0-30$ 5130 171 42.3	Szendrö, 1992		(3.8 kg)		8	8	0-21	3686		176	$46.3^{(3)}$
Khalil, 1994Commercial dietGiza White (3.2 kg)Different Different 222 $0-35$ 3493 100 31.3 31.3 Taboada et al., 1994L-lysine level: a) 0.64% b) 0.68% c) 0.71% d) 0.76% e) 0.82% New Zealand x Californian $2+$ NSLS 14 $0-30$ 5820 299 194 50.0 53.3 De Blas et al., 1995Lactation diets with different starch and fat contentNew Zealand x Californian $2+$ NSLS 14 $0-30$ 6550 351 228 59.0 55.4 1995different starch and fat contentx Californian content $2+$ NSLS 16 $0-30$ 5820 296 194 47.8 1995High energy level Hybrid1 8 16 $0-30$ 5730 297 194 47.8 Xiccato et al., High fat levelMedium energy level Hybrid 1 8 16 $0-30$ 5130 171 42.3 1995High energy level High fat level(Provisal) 1 8 16 $0-30$ 5130 171 42.3					10	8	0-21	3776		180	47.4 ⁽³⁾
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Khalil, 1994	Commercial diet	Giza White (3.2 kg)	Different		222	0-35	3493		100	31.3
1994 a) 0.64% New Zealand 2+ NSLS 14 0-30 5820 299 194 50.0 b) 0.68% x Californian 14 0-30 6280 313 209 53.3 c) 0.71% 14 0-30 6440 330 215 55.4 d) 0.76% 14 0-30 6850 351 228 59.0 e) 0.82% 14 0-30 6550 337 218 56.1 De Blas et al., Lactation diets with New Zealand 2+ NSLS 16 0-30 5830 297 194 47.8 1995 different starch and fat x Californian 16 0-30 5820 296 194 49.2 content 16 0-30 5940 292 198 49.4 16 0-30 5730 297 191 48.8 Xiccato et al., Medium energy level Hybrid 1 8 16 0-30 5130 171 42.3 1995 High energy level (Provisal) 17 <td>Taboada et al.,</td> <td>L-lysine level:</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Taboada et al.,	L-lysine level:									
b) 0.68% x Californian c) 0.71% 14 0-30 6280 313 209 53.3 d) 0.76% 14 0-30 6440 330 215 55.4 d) 0.76% 14 0-30 6850 351 228 59.0 e) 0.82% 14 0-30 6550 337 218 56.1 De Blas <i>et al.</i> , Lactation diets with New Zealand 2+ NSLS 16 0-30 5830 297 194 47.8 1995 different starch and fat x Californian 16 0-30 5820 296 194 49.2 content 16 0-30 5940 292 198 49.4 16 0-30 5730 297 191 48.8 Xiccato <i>et al.</i> , Medium energy level Hybrid 1 8 16 0-30 5130 171 42.3 1995 High energy level (Provisal) 17 0-30 5400 180 44.3 High fat level 15 0-30 5730 191 47.3	1994	a) 0.64%	New Zealand	2+	NSLS	14	0-30	5820	299	194	50.0
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		b) 0.68%	x Califòrnian			14	0-30	6280	313	209	53.3
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		c) 0./1% d) 0.76%				14 14	0-30	6440 6850	350	215	59.4
De Blas et al., 1995Lactation diets with different starch and fat contentNew Zealand x Californian $2+$ x CalifornianNSLS16 16 $0-30$ 5820 297 296 194 49.2 16 47.8 16 1995different starch and fat contentx Californian16 16 $0-30$ 5820 296 194 49.2 49.2 16 16 $0-30$ 5940 292 292 198 49.4 16 16 $0-30$ 5730 297 297 191 48.8 Xiccato et al., High energy level High energy level (Provisal)1 (Provisal)8 17 $0-30$ 5730 5130 191 171 42.3 191		e) 0.82%				14	0-30	6550	337	228	56.1
1995 different starch and fat x Californian content 16 0-30 5820 296 194 49.2 1995 different starch and fat x Californian content 16 0-30 5820 296 194 49.2 16 0-30 5940 292 198 49.4 16 0-30 5730 297 191 48.8 Xiccato et al., Medium energy level Hybrid 1 8 16 0-30 5130 171 42.3 1995 High energy level (Provisal) 17 0-30 5400 180 44.3 15 0-30 5730 191 47.3	De Blas <i>et al</i>	Lactation diets with	New Zealand	2+	NSLS	16	0-30	5830	297	194	47.8
content 16 0-30 6060 307 202 51.3 16 0-30 5940 292 198 49.4 16 0-30 5730 297 191 48.8 Xiccato et al., Medium energy level Hybrid 1 8 16 0-30 5130 171 42.3 1995 High energy level (Provisal) 17 0-30 5400 180 44.3 15 0-30 5730 191 47.3	1995	different starch and fat	x Californian	-	11020	16	0-30	5820	296	194	49.2
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		content				16	0-30	6060	307	202	51.3
Xiccato et al., Medium energy level Hybrid 1 8 16 0-30 5730 297 191 48.8 1995 High energy level (Provisal) 1 8 16 0-30 5130 171 42.3 1995 High energy level (Provisal) 17 0-30 5400 180 44.3 15 0-30 5730 191 47.3						16	0-30	5940	292	198	49.4
Xiccato et al., Medium energy level Hybrid 1 8 16 0-30 5130 171 42.3 1995 High energy level (Provisal) 17 0-30 5400 180 44.3 High fat level 15 0-30 5730 191 47.3						16	0-30	5730	297	191	48.8
1995 High energy level (Provisal) 17 0-30 5400 180 44.3 High fat level 15 0-30 5730 191 47.3	Xiccato <i>et al.</i> ,	Medium energy level	Hybrid	1	8	16	0-30	5130		171	42.3
	1995	High energy level High fat level	(Provisal)			17 15	0-30 0-30	5400 5730		180 191	44.3 47.3
De Blas et al., Threonine level:	De Blas et al.,	Threonine level:									
1998 a) 0.54% Hybrids 2+ NSLS 16 0-30 5400 277 180 43.2	1998	a) 0.54%	Hybrids	2+	NSLS	16	0-30	5400	277	180	43.2
b) 0.58% (Hyplus) 16 0-30 5650 290 188 45.4		b) 0.58%	(Hyplus)			16	0-30	5650	290	188	45.4
$\begin{array}{c} c) 0.65\% \\ d) 0.68\% \\ d) 0.6\% \\ d) 0.$		c) 0.63%				16	0-30	5680	287	189	45.5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		e) 0.72%				16	0-30	5780	300	194	40.5

 Table 1: Description of the papers dealing with milk yield.

Pascual et al., 1998	 a) Starch rich lactation diet 	Crossbreed (V x A rabbit	2 2	8	20 18	0-28 0-28	5348 6608		191 236	50.7 61.8
	 b) Soy oil rich lactation diet 	does)								
Pascual <i>et al.,</i> 1999a	a) Control reproduction diet	Crossbreed (V x A rabbit	2+	8 or 11	40	0-35	5540		158	40.2
	b) With added vegetable fat	does)			40	0-35	6263		179	47.3
	c) With added animal fat				40	0-35	6365		182	46.0
Pascual et al.,	a) Low energy diet	Crossbreed	1 - 2 +	± 8	21-46	0-35	5740-6	090	164-174	44.5(3)
1999b	b) Medium energy diet	(V x A rabbit	1-2+		20-38	0-35	5430-5	425	155-155	$40.8^{(3)}$
	c) High energy diet	does)	1-2+		18-49	0-35	5240-5	495	150-157	40.3(3)
Xiccato <i>et al.</i> , 1999	Lactation diet	Hybrid (Provisal)	1	8	23	0-30	6150		205	52.6
Fraga et al.,	Different reproduction	Californian x		NSLS	22	0-28	5680		203	50.7
1989	diets	New Zealand			17	0-28	4700		168	42.0
					17	0-28	5020		179	44.8
					17	0-28	4600		164	41.0
Pascual et al.,	a) Reproduction diet	Crossbreed	1+2	8	51	0-28	5376		192	50.5 ⁽³⁾
2000b	b) Alfalfa based diet	(V x A rabbit			52	0-28	4480		160	42.1(3)
	c) Alfalfa based + animal fat	does)			49	0-28	4788		171	45.0 ⁽³⁾
Pascual et al.,	a) Animal fat enriched	Crossbreed	1	10	20	0-28	5087		182	49.7
2002a	diet b) Vegetable oil enriched diet	(V x A rabbit does)			21	0-28	5055		181	46.2
	c) Starch rich diet				23	0-28	4550		163	42.2
Pascual et al., 2002b	 a) Control reproduction diet 	Crossbreed (V x A rabbit	1	8	22	0-28	4844		173	43.0
	 b) High fibre followed by control diet 	does)			24	0-28	5404		193	48.8
Fortun-Lamothe and Sabater, 2003	Standard reproduction diet	Hybrid (INRA)	2+	10	50	0-21	5300	315	252	62.2
Khalil <i>et al.,</i> 2004	not defined	Gabali x Spanisch V line crosses (3.5 kg)	1-2+	NSLS	2141	0-28	4331		155	44.3(3)
Xiccato et al.,	Lactation diet	Hybrid	1; 2; 3	9	22	0-21	4242		202	53.6
2004		(Hyplus)			23	0-26	4964		191	52.3
					24	0-32	5774		180	49.8
Xiccato <i>et al.</i> , 2005	Lactation diet	Hybrid (Hyplus)	2+	10	31 23	0-21 0-25	5417 6296		258 252	64.0 61.5
Zerrouki et al., 2005	Reproduction diet	Kabylian (2.8 kg)	1-4+	2-8	299	0-21	2180	147	104	37.2(3)
Maertens et al.	a) Lactation diet	Hybrids	1-6	8-9	179	d3,5,9.			244	58.1 ⁽³⁾
2005	b) ω -3 lactation diet	(4.2 kg)			205	12,16,19)		236	56.2(3)
Maertens et al.,	Lactation diet	Hybrids	12	7.4	45	0-29	5900	256	203	50.2
2006				8.8	35	0-29	7600	317	262	61.8

Continuation Table 1.

¹ NSLS: not standardised litter size; ² Recalculated using the given average doe live weight (LW); ³ Recalculated using average strain LW if data were lacking

	Hybrid rabbit does1	Holstein cows ²	Hybrid sows ³
Live weight (kg)	4.2	650	230
Peak milk yield (kg)	0.320	47.5	8.9
Milk fat (g/100 g)	12.9	3.7	6.5
Milk protein (g/100 g)	12.3	2.84	5.1
Output/kg live weight (LW)			
Milk (g/d)	76	73	39
Fat (g/d)	9.8	2.7	2.5
Protein (g/d)	9.4	2.1	2.0
Output/kg metabolic weight (LW ^{0.75})			
Milk (g/d)	109	369	151
Fat (g/d)	14.1	13.7	9.8
Protein (g/d)	13.4	10.5	7.7

 Table 2: Comparison of daily milk yield, fat and protein output at lactation peak between multiparous high productive rabbit does, cows and sows.

¹Based on data of Table 1 and Table 5; ²Kay et al. (2005); ³Lauridsen and Danielsen (2004)

Average peak lactation of multiparous commercial hybrids is around 320 g/d (Fortun-Lamothe and Sabater, 2003; Xiccato *et al.*, 2005; Maertens *et al.*, 2006). Expressed per kg LW, peak yield is around 75 g/d and exceeds those of milk cows (Kay *et al.*, 2005) or sows (Lauridsen and Danielsen, 2004) (Table 2). When expressed per kg of metabolic weight, which is preferred by some scientists because of its physiological basis (but not really accurate because it is a production of a milk mass by a body mass), milk production of rabbit is still lower than that of productive Holstein cows or hybrid sows.(Table 2).

FACTORS INFLUENCING MILK YIELD

Lactation stage

Average lactation curves of multiparous hybrid does at different physiological status are presented in Figure 1 (adapted from Lebas, 1968; Szendrö *et al.*, 1985; Xiccato *et al.*, 1995; Maertens *et al.*, 2006).

The top lactation is situated on day 18-19 after kindling (Lebas, 1968; Maertens and De Groote, 1991; Fortun-Lamothe and Sabater, 2003; Casado *et al.*, 2006). However, in case of deficiencies in aminoacid supply (De Blas *et al.*, 1995; Taboada *et al.*, 1994) or when primiparous does are submitted to the intensive reproduction rhythm, the lactation peak is reached 2-3 days earlier (Maertens and De Groote, 1991; Xiccato *et al.*, 1995; Pascual *et al.*, 1999b). Moreover, Lebas (1968) observed a breed difference; Fauve de Bourgogne does reached top lactation 3-4 days (day 21) later than Californian does.

The lactation curve of rabbits is asymmetric with a convex ascending and a concave descending period (Lebas, 1968). The principle component analysis executed by the same author (Lebas, 1976) using 975 lactation curves, revealed that 74.3% of the variability between lactation curves could be explained by 3 main factors. The most important was the total daily amount, followed by a factor expressing the asymmetry of the curve and finally a factor determining the amplitude of the curve, explaining respectively 58.3, 10.1 and 5.8% of the variability.



Figure 1. Lactation curve of multiparous does according to their physiological status.

Recently Casado *et al.* (2006) proposed different empirical models for the 28-day lactation curve, based on 550 lactation records. The beta-modified equation had a better fit suitability than the quadratic model and the advantage of a greater biological interpretation of its parameters. The following prediction model is proposed (Casado *et al.*, 2006):

Milk yield $(g/day) = k \times (day/30)^a \times (1 - (day/30))^b$

where k regulates the height of the curve and a and b regulate the milk yield of the ascending and descending period, respectively. In the equation given by these authors, values for the parameters k, a and b are respectively 470.156; 0.489 and 0.371 (R^2 =0.986, RSD=5.648).

There are only few data available concerning the weekly yields of does. When we recalculate the data of Lebas (1968) based on 143 lactations, on a 4 weeks lactation basis, 15.9, 24.4, 32.0 and 27.3% were produced in weeks 1 till 4, respectively. The analysis of Fernández-Carmona *et al.* (2004), based on 943 records obtained in their experimental farm, revealed a similar weekly partition of 18, 27, 30 and 25%, respectively for weeks 1-4. However, the partition between lactation weeks is strongly dependent if the doe is concurrent pregnant or not (Lebas, 1972; Xiccato *et al.*, 1995). According to Lebas (1968), if the litter weaning is delayed to 35 or 42 days post parturition, and if the doe is not pregnant, the milk production during the 5th or the 6th week represents 19.5% or 12.9% respectively of the 0-28 days milk production.

Gestation overlapping degree

The negative impact of the gestation overlapping on milk yield was already clearly demonstrated by Lebas (1972) and confirmed in several other studies. In practise only 3 reproductive rhythms are frequently used: intensive with complete overlapping, semi-intensive with overlapping from 11 days after parturition and a rhythm without significant overlapping. Does submitted at the intensive reproduction rhythm (mating or artificial insemination (AI), within 48 h after kindling) begin showing decreased milk production after 17 days (Maertens and De Groote, 1991; Fraga *et al.*, 1989; Xiccato *et al.*, 1995 and 2005; Pascual *et al.* 2002a) to 19 days (Lebas, 1972; Partridge *et al.*, 1986a; Szendrö *et al.*, 1985; Kustos *et al.*, 1996; Xiccato *et al.*, 1995) of lactation with a sharp and quite linear decrease during the last 10 days of pregnancy (Figure 1). However, in primiparous does that are concurrently pregnant shortly after parturition, this decline starts already at day 16-17 of the lactation (Maertens and De Groote, 1991; Xiccato *et al.*, 1995; Pascual *et al.*, 2002a). Moreover in some experiments peak yield was lower in does pregnant immediately after parturition compared to does not pregnant before day 11 post parturition (Szendrö *et al.*, 1985; Xiccato *et al.*, 1995; Xiccato *et al.*, 1995).

The decrease in milk yield due to the gestation overlapping during the entire 28-day lactation period was between 19-22% according to the diet (Maertens and De Groote, 1988) and in line with the 20% decrease determined by Xiccato *et al.* (1995). Although the milk yield decrease between 21 and 28 days was 38% in the experiment of Pascual *et al.* (2002a), for the whole lactation period only a 9% lower yield was determined in post-partum pregnant females compared to does without gestation overlapping. When compared to females pregnant from day 9 post parturition off, total milk yield was 9% lower in females with complete gestation overlapping (Fraga *et al.*, 1989).

When females are submitted to the usual semi-intensive reproductive system with AI 11 days postpartum, the milk yield is only slightly decreased from day 25 off compared to females inseminated after weaning (Szendrö *et al.*, 1985; Casado *et al.*, 2006). The decrease is limited to around 25 g during the last days of a 28-day lactation period. If weaning is performed later, a sharp decline of the milk yield is observed with virtual dried up does after 35 days of lactation (Figure 1). However, when no concurrent gestation occurs, still a significant (70 g) yield was measured at day 38 (Szendrö *et al.*, 1985).

The decline in milk yield due to the gestation overlapping is a result of the pregnancy requirements that consistently increase with the exponential foetal development (Parigi-Bini and Xiccato, 1998), the increasing volume of the uterus reducing the voluntary feed intake and due to hormonal changes caused by the imminent kindling contrasting with those for lactation.

Number of suckling kits

In various studies it has been demonstrated that the number of suckling kits is the main factor affecting milk yield of does and by consequence the intake of the suckling kits (Lebas, 1969; Torres *et al.*, 1979; Partridge and Allen, 1982; McNitt and Lukefahr, 1990; Pascual *et al.*, 1996 and 1999a). In Figure 2, the relationship between the number of suckling kits and the milk yield is presented (Lebas, 1987). Based on these data, the following quadratic model was fitted between milk yield and number of suckling kits:

Milk yield (g/day) =37.47x -1.56N² (R²=0.999, RSD=3.77)

where N is the number of kits (range=5-11).

In the experiments of Partridge and Allen (1982), does allowed to nurse 8 kits had a 24.1% higher yield compared to does with only 4 kits. Mohamed and Szendrö (1992) found an increase with 3.3% and 5.4% of the milk yield with increasing litter sizes from 6 to 8 and 10 kits, respectively. Pascual *et al.*



Figure 2. Relationship between the number of suckling kits and milk yield (Lebas, 1987).

(1996) determined even a difference of 32% in milk yield in favour of litters of more than 10 kits compared to litter sizes of 7-8 kits.

The effect of the number of suckling kits on milk yield was even clear if litter size was reduced from 10 to 4 kits at day 16 of the lactation (Fortun-Lamothe and Gidenne, 2000). Milk yield between 16 and 32 days of lactation dropped by 45% in does nursing only 4 kits. This indicates that the intake capacity of the kits, suckling only once a day, is limited because in the experiments of Szendrö *et al.* (2002) kits that nursed in morning and evening by 2 different does had a 89% higher intake compared to single nursed kits.

However, not only an increasing number of suckling kits favours milk yield but also an increasing litter weight at birth increases milk production as consequence of the uterine induction. Vásquez Martínez *et al.* (1999) studied the interaction between both factors using a complete kit exchange at birth and standardized litter sizes of 7, 8 or 9 suckling kits (Figure 3). Does with low litter weight (<450 g) showed no increase in milk yield with increasing number of suckling kits. On the contrary, does with medium and high litter weight at birth (>450 g) had a significant higher yield by assigning additional kits. Due to the limited number of kits born or suckling kits in some non selected lines or populations, maximum milk production can therefore not be reached as demonstrated by Bolet *et al.* (1996). However, Zerroucki *et al.* (2005) demonstrate in a local population that maximum does milk production capacity can be reached for a number of kits lower than the maximum litter size naturally observed in this population: in theses author's observations milk production increases regularly with the suckling kits number until a maximum (7 in the present case) above which a plateau production is observed whatever the kits number (7, 8 or 9).

Both for experimental purposes as under commercial field conditions, due to the common practise of cross fostering between does littering on the same day, the effect of litter size on milk yield is minimised. Nevertheless when comparing does milk production published in the literature, attention must be paid to the number of kits to which the litter size was adjusted in each case.

Parity order

The milk yield of does has a curvilinear relationship with parity (Khalil, 1994) and increases until the third lactation and stabilizes thereafter (Casado *et al.*, 2006). McNitt and Lukefahr (1990) reported even an increase till the 7th litter; however because less productive females were progressively culled out, their selection policy has favoured the milk yield with increasing parity order.





Figure 3. Effect of number of assigned kits on the milk yield of does dependent of the initial litter weight at birth (Vásquez Martínez *et al.*, 1999).

The highest difference is found between the 1st and 2nd lactation. Even at standardised litter size, Xiccato *et al.* (2004) reported an increase of 10% and 8% of the milk yield during lactation 2 and 3, respectively. Pascual *et al.* (1999b) found a much more modest increase between primiparous and multiparous does, on average only 3.6%. This difference was more pronounced (6.3%) when using a low energy diet. Based on the litter weight at 3 weeks, Vicente and Garcia-Ximénez (1992) report a difference of 14% in favour of multiparous does. Maertens *et al.* (2006) determined a 19.9% higher yield in lactation 2 compared to lactation 1 even after a correction for the difference in litter size. Part of this great difference could be explained by the early first insemination (15-16 weeks) compared to the aforementioned studies.

The milk production increase is a response to the higher live weight and feed intake capacity of multiparous does (Pascual *et al.*, 1999b; Xiccato *et al.*, 2004). Parigi-Bini and Xiccato (1998) mentioned an increase of the voluntary feed intake of 10-20% from the first to the 2nd lactation and 7-15% from the 2nd to the 3rd lactation. Moreover, primarily primiparous does have to share the energy between the demands for milk (and eventually concurrent pregnancy) with those for body accretion because they have not yet reached their adult weight (Parigi-Bini and Xiccato, 1998).

Number of nipples

A majority of does has 8 to 10 productive teats with independent mammary gland, although there is a variation between 6 and 12 (Szendrö and Holdas, 1984; Fleischhauer *et al.*, 1985). Nevertheless in lines selected for litter size, teats number was increased as a passive answer to selection, and females with 10 nipples may become the most numerous: 37% to 51% in 2 selected lines *vs* 27% in the control line (Rochambeau *et al*, 1988). Females with less than 8 teats have a significant lower milk yield than those with 8 or more teats (Fleischhauer *et al.*, 1985). However, in this study, females with 6 teats were obtained after surgically removing of 2 mammary glands. In the same study, females having more than 8 teats showed a slightly higher milk yield (+2.2%). Szendrö and Holdas (1984) as did not found significant differences in weight gain of kits till 21days of age between does having 8, 9 or 10 productive teats, although the highest value was obtained for does with 10. However Rochambeau *et al.* (1988) considering only litters with more than 10 kits born alive, *i.e.* with kits number exceeding that of nipples, observed higher litter weaning weights (28 days) for does having 10 nipples compared to 8 (+13.2%), which implies a higher milk production.

Later on, limited attention has been putted on this factor. Only Mohamed and Szendrö (1992) compared females with 8 and 10 nipples and determined a 4.8% higher milk yield in does having 10 nipples.

According to the observations of Petersen *et al.* (1989), milk secretion is higher in the middle pairs of teats than in pairs 1 and 4.

Genotype

In the intensive rabbit meat production, pure breeds are still seldom used and replaced by specialised strains or lines selected for a higher litter size and therefore indirectly for a higher milk yield (Garreau *et al.*, 2004). The populations originating these actual selected strains or lines belonged near exclusively to breeds of medium size, as mainly the New Zealand White or/and Californian. Usually, the females on commercial rabbit farms are obtained by crossbreeding of these strains or lines to gain the heterosis effect. These females are named crossbreds or "commercial hybrids".

Literature data comparing milk yield of different breeds are scarce. Lukefahr *et al.* (1983) demonstrated that New Zealand White does are superior (+ 30%) to Californian does and that crossbred rabbits of both breeds are superior than the pure breeds. However, in another study, the same team determined a comparable milk production for the 4 breeds tested (Calfornian, New Zealand White, Palomino and

White Satin) (McNitt and Lukefahr, 1990), which indicate that the genetic background of the particular populations is perhaps more important than the breed itself.

Vicente and Garcia-Ximénez (1992) found significant higher litter weights after the 2^{nd} and 3^{rd} lactation week in 2 synthetic lines compared with purebred New Zealand White and Californian. Native breeds as Giza White (Khalil, 1994) or Kabylian rabbit population (Zerrouki *et al.*, 2005) have a modest yield (average over the whole lactation period of 100 and 104 g/d, respectively) compared to the actual production level of over 200 g/d for commercial parental does (Fortun-Lamothe and Sabater, 2003; Xiccato *et al.*, 2005; Casado *et al.*, 2006). However, these native breeds have a low adult weight. When their milk yield is expressed per kg LW, the difference with medium-size breeds or hybrids is less pronounced (Table 1). Moreover, comparing milk yield between different experiments (and strains) remains difficult because especially for these native breeds temperature conditions were not favourable. Under favourable housing conditions, recent reported data of multiparous females of hybrid dam lines demonstrate an average daily yield of 250-260 g during the 4-weeks lactation period (Xiccato *et al.*, 2005; Maertens *et al.*, 2006).

Even in the same population a large individual variability has been observed. Fernández-Carmona *et al.* (2004) used the records of 943 lactations of crossbreds from 2 lines selected for litter size (V x A) and milk yield ranged between 46 and 306 g/d during the 28 days lactation period. Also Khalil *et al.* (2004) obtained, using a large data set, a variation coefficient of 38% for milk yield (0-21 d). Moreover, heritability of milk production is low and amounts only 0.14 (Lukefahr *et al.*, 1996) or 0.18 (Khalil *et al.*, 2004) for the cumulative 1 to 21 days of lactation. However, under current field conditions, litters are equalised at parturition and by consequence milk yield is much more homogeneous with the exclusion of the initial litter size effect (Casado *et al.*, 2006).

Finally, in a study using transgenic does (for obtaining the presence of human clotting factor VIII, hFVIII in their milk) milk yield was not significantly different from non-transgenic does descending from the same founder females (Rafay *et al.*, 2004). However, as the authors pointed out, it is necessary to verify these preliminary results on a larger set of animals before to generalize this observation for all transgenic does.

Temperature

High environmental temperatures have a detrimental effect on milk yield of does. Several studies have clearly demonstrated that the effect of high temperature can be explained by the drop in feed intake which is in the same range as the drop in milk yield (Rafai and Papp, 1984; Maertens and De Groote, 1990; Pascual *et al.*, 1996; Szendrö *et al.*, 1999a).

Under constant ambient temperatures, Rafai and Papp (1984) found a decrease of 7.7 g/d with each centigrade of temperature rise above 20°C. Moreover, the relative decrease is depending from the lactation stage, being largest in the week with the highest yield (3^{rd} week) (Rafai and Papp, 1984; Pascual *et al.*, 1996; Szendrö *et al.*, 1999a). The detrimental effect of high temperature on milk yield was also clearly observed by Fernández-Carmona *et al.* (2000). When housed in a climatic chamber at 30° C, milk yield dropped with 30-40% according to diet compared with housing under conventional circumstances (Pascual *et al.*, 2000b).

In the study of Szendrö *et al.* (1999a) the heat stress was not yet pronounced on milk yield when housed at 23°C (Figure 4). However, at 30°C, average daily milk yield was reduced with 29% (114 g vs. 161 g/d).

Under natural housing conditions, with varying temperature between day and night, heat stress is less pronounced and seems to be linked with the minimal temperature during the active feeding



Figure 4. Effect of temperature (■ 15°C • 23°C △ 30°C) on milk yield of does (Szendrö *et al.*, 1999a).

period (Maertens & De Groote, 1990). However, when the minimum temperature was above 24°C total lactation yield was reduced with 17.3% compared to conditions below 24°C (Pascual *et al.*, 1996).

Rearing, feeding, body weight and body condition

The litter size in which does were raised in before weaning did not influence their later milk vield (Rommers *et al.*, 2001). However, it has been shown that the feeding regime during the rearing period has an influence on the subsequent litter weight (milk yield) of primiparous does and even in multiparous does. Primiparous does fed restrictively during rearing and ad libitum later had an increased litter weight at 16 days (Rommers et al., 2004) or 21 days (Gyovai et al., 2004) compared to always ad libitum fed young does. The significant increased feed intake during the subsequent lactation observed in previously restricted reared does seems responsible for this effect in primiparous does (Rommers et al., 2004). However, in the 2 following lactations an effect on the feed intake was not more clear in this study indicating that some other factors could be involved, such as higher body weight and/or appropriate body condition. Gyovai et al. (2004) observed higher body weight (at 1st kindling and maintaining during the successive cycles) in does reared under feed restriction. In contrast, Rommers et al. (2004) reported higher body weight in ad libitum reared does but their lower milk yield fall essentially on the very heavy young does (>4,5 kg at first insemination at 17.5 weeks of age), perhaps excessively fatty (Rommers, 2004). In earlier experiments, Coudert and Lebas (1985) did not observe any significant effect of feed restriction during rearing on does LW measured 7 days after the kindling in the 3 first lactations. Thus some factors other than rearing conditions seem to be as well important for milk production or does body weight as the feed restriction itself.

Complementarily, in *ad libitum* reared does inseminated at early age (14.5 weeks), small young does (<3.5 kg, averaging 3.18 kg at insemination) had significant lower litter weight at 16 days (milk yield) than heavier, in their two first lactations (Rommers *et al.*, 2002).

Body weight of different dam lines did not have a significant effect on milk yield although their weight differed by 10% at their first parturition (Fortun-Lamothe and Bolet, 1998). However, Pascual *et al.* (2002a) observed that does presenting a better body condition at *partum* showed higher milk yield. Perirenal fat thickness at parturition used as indicator for body condition revealed to be positively correlated (r=+0.36) with the subsequent milk yield. However, the change of the body condition during the lactation is negatively correlated with milk yield (r=-0.24 and -0.61, Fortun-Lamothe and Lebas, 1996 and Pascual *et al.*, 2002a, respectively). This shows that does exhibiting higher body-fat losses during the lactation have also a higher milk yield. This relation could be reinforced or reduced according to diet's composition as demonstrated by Pascual *et al.* (2003) in their review.

MILK COMPOSITION

Major components

The average chemical composition of rabbit milk is presented in Table 3 and Figure 5. In total 20 original publications were found with determined data of the macro nutrient composition from does fed a standard diet. Data referring to experimental diets with high fat content were excluded from the dataset used for this review. Literature data are grouped per lactation week.

The lactose content of doe milk was determined only in few experiments because of the minor importance due the low content ($\leq 2 \text{ g}/100 \text{ g}$) especially at a later stage of the lactation (Lebas, 1971). Moreover, in several experiments the content was calculated by difference with the other nutrients. As a result, the variation coefficient is high for lactose (Table 2).

There exists little information concerning the composition of the colostrum of does (Lebas, 1971; El-Sayiad *et al.*, 1994; Christ *et al.*, 1996). Based on these data the colostrum DM is higher than milk DM (33 vs. 30 g/100 g) due to a higher protein content and fat content. However, this composition has to be taken with caution because the samples were collected on the day after kindling. The real colostrum is already consumed by the kits during the initial suckling which takes place during the parturition (Hudson *et al.*, 2000).

Based on the overview of literature data (Figure 5), the composition of rabbit milk is quite stable during the 2^{nd} and 3^{rd} week of the lactation, except for the protein content which shows a decreasing trend (from 12.8 till 11.9 g/100 g) with increasing daily milk yield. This quite constant composition during the 3 first weeks of the lactation (with exception of the first days) is remarkable because milk yield increases in that period with a factor of 2 till 3.

Already in week 4, the DM content is on average 2.6 points (+ 8.7%) higher and the fat and protein content increase by 1.1 g/100 g (+ 8-9%) compared to the average of the first 3 weeks (Table 3). In week 5, a strong concentration of the milk is observed which results in a DM, fat and energy content of 36.9 g/100 g, 18.7 g/100 g and 10.5 MJ/kg, respectively. Ash and protein increase more slowly in the final week of the lactation.

The changes in composition in the later stage of the lactation period are closely related with the decrease in milk yield (Lebas, 1971; Partridge at al., 1986a). The dramatic reduction of the lactose content is even higher than the corresponding drop in milk yield (Lebas, 1971). In does immediately pregnant after parturition, dry matter, protein and fat increases one week earlier than in does not concurrently pregnant (Kustos *et al.*, 1996). An interruption of the lactation by omission of one suckling leads to changes in milk composition similar to those observed with declining milk yield (Szendrö *et al.*, 1999b). However, some days later the composition returns to levels approaching the original values.

1		. 0	ę		
	Lactation week	Mean	Range	CV(%)	n¹
Dry matter (g/100 g)	Colostrum	32.6	31.4-33.7	5	2
	1	29.8	25.6-31.4	9	5
	2	30.0	25.7-33.1	9	9
	3	29.5	25.8-33.2	7	19
	4	32.4	29.8-33.7	5	6
	5	37.7	34.2-42.1	7	6
Ash (g/100 g)	Colostrum	1.8	1.7-2.0	18	2
	1	1.9	1.8-2.0	6	3
	2	1.9	1.3-2.2	16	7
	3	2.2	1.5-2.6	13	13
	4	2.4	1.8-2.6	15	5
	5	2.4	2.0-2.8	12	5
Protein (g/100 g)	Colostrum	14.7	13.5-15.9	12	2
	1	12.8	11.2-14.8	11	6
	2	12.2	10.1-14.1	10	11
	3	11.9	9.9-14.3	10	20
	4	13.4	10.6-15.5	12	7
	5	14.1	12.4-16.9	11	6
Fat (g/100 g)	Colostrum	16.3	13.7-20.4	22	3
	1	12.7	9.1-16.1	20	5
	2	13.1	8.9-17.0	19	9
	3	12.9	10.0-16.6	16	19
	4	14.0	12.2-15.7	11	5
	5	18.9	16.9-21.4	9	6
Lactose (g/100 g)	Colostrum	1.9	1.6-2.1	18	2
	1	1.6	1.0-2.0	36	3
	2	1.4	1.0-1.9	33	3
	3	1.9	0.3-3.2	50	8
	4	1.8	0.8-2.6	51	3
	5	1.0	0.2-1.8	9	2
Energy (MJ/kg)	Colostrum	9.3	-	-	1
	1	8.4	8.4-8.4	0.1	3
	2	8.5	7.5-9.6	10	6
	3	8.3	7.1-9.2	7	9
	4	9.2	8.5-10.0	8	3
	5	10.5	9.8-11.6	9	3

Table 3: Chemical composition of rabbit milk depending of the lactation stage

¹n= number of literature references used to calculate the values in table.

References: Castellini *et al.* (2004), Christ *et al.* (1996), Cole *et al.* (1983), El-Sayiad *et al.* (1994), Fraga *et al.* (1989), Kowalska and Bielanski (2004), Kustos *et al.* (1999), Lebas (1971), Lebas *et al.* (1996), Maertens *et al.* (1994, 2005 and 2006), Partridge and Allan (1982), Partridge *et al.* (1983, 1986a and 1986b), Pascual *et al.* (1996, 1999a and 2000a) and Xiccato *et al.* (1999)



Figure 5. Milk composition changes during the lactation period (literature compilation, see Table 3).

Milk composition did not vary significantly between New Zealand White and Duch rabbits (Cowie, 1968) or between commercial hybrids (Maertens *et al.*, 2006). However, El Sayiad *et al.*, (1994) found significant higher crude protein levels in Californian does (12.02 g/100 g) than in New Zealand females (11.02 g/100 g) The effect of temperature or the feeding level seems not very outspoken. Only at 30°C a trend to decreasing fat, protein and especially lactose content was observed (Kustos *et al.*, 1999).

In general, rabbit milk can be characterised as a very protein and fat rich milk (12.3 and 12.9 g/100 g, respectively) but with low lactose content (1.7 g/100 g). Compared to cow and sow milk, rabbit milk is respectively 2 and 3 times more concentrated in fat and protein (Table 5). The lactose content is only one third of the 2 other species. Remarkable is also the high energy content of rabbit milk (8.4 MJ/kg) which explains the rapid growth of the kits (LW x 6 after 3 weeks).

At peak lactation, fat and protein output per kg LW are 3 to 4 times higher in rabbits compared with cows and sows (Table 2). This explains why rabbits are searched as bioreactor for the production of recombinant proteins. The fat production per kg metabolic weight at peak lactation equals (14.1 g/ kg^{0.75}) that of high productive Holstein cows and exceeds that of hybrid sows (Table 2). The protein production (13.4 g/kg^{0.75}) exceeds largely those of both cows and sows.

Milk lipids

With an average lipid content of 12.9 g/100 g (Table 5), it is clear that the greatest energy source quantitatively for the suckling kit is the fat component. The milk lipids are composed mainly of triglycerides with small proportions of di- and monoglycerides, phospholipids, cholesterol, fat-soluble vitamins and free fatty acids (Smith *et al.*, 1968; Perret *et al.*, 1977; Demarne *et al.*, 1978; Christie, 1985). Triglycerides of acyl carbon number less than 42 made up about 75% of the total glycerides in rabbit milk (Smith *et al.*, 1968).

The fatty acid (FA) profile of rabbit milk is characterized by a very high content of short-chain FA, mainly caprilic ($C_{8:0}$), capric acid ($C_{10:0}$) and, to a smaller extent, lauric acid ($C_{12:0}$), which represent 50% of the total FA (Table 4). Consequently, milk fat of rabbits differ very markedly from the carcass depot fats. On average 70% of the milk FA are saturated (SFA), 13% monounsaturated (MUFA) and 16% polyunsaturated FA (PUFA). Nevertheless it must be pointed out that in the suckling kit, the fate of the saturated FA is very different according to the carbon chain length: short chained FA (70.0% of saturated FA) are almost exclusively used as energy source (or basis for length elongation) and only the longer ones are transferred in the body fat (Ouhayoun *et al*, 1985).

The milk contains nearly equal proportions of oleic and linoleic acid, and some ù-3 linolenic acid. Concerning the longer ω -3 FA searched for their potential as beneficial for health, small amounts of $C_{20:5,\omega-3}$ (EPA: 0.04%) and $C_{22:6,\omega-3}$ (DHA: 0.06%) are mentioned by Castellini *et al.* (2004) and Kowalska and Bielanski (2004). Moreover, these last authors found also a small amount of conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) (0.08%). The proportions of these polyunsaturated FA are mainly dependent of the lactation diet.

Fatty acids in milk are derived from blood triglycerides and *de novo* synthesis in the mammary gland. Short-chain FA are synthesized within the mammary gland rather than by FA uptake from circulating blood or by oxidation of long-chain FA (Carey and Dils, 1972). Acetate is an important precursor of both $C_{8:0}$ and $C_{10:0}$ (Jones and Parker, 1978).

Diet has a very strong influence on the FA profile of rabbit milk especially on the medium and longchain FA (see the review of Pascual *et al.*, 2003), but only little information exists concerning the nonnutritional factors affecting FA composition. Perret *et al.* (1977) determined an increase of short triglycerides (C<36) at the expense of long triglycerides (C>46) after the 10th day of lactation and the same effect but less pronounced for FA (Hall, 1971). Significant changes of the FA profile during the lactation stage were confirmed by Pascual *et al.* (1999a). Short-chain FA and by consequence SFA showed a significant increase at the 21st and 28th day. The trend for medium-chain FA was opposite, showing the highest proportions on day 7 of the lactation except for C_{15:0} and C_{17:0} that remained constant during lactation (Pascual *et al.*, 1999a; Christ *et al.*, 1996).

The FA profile of rabbit milk is strikingly different from cow and sow milk (Table 5). Data refer to a standard feeding of these species because especially fat-enriched diets manipulate to a large extend the FA profile (Pascual at al., 2003). The high concentration of short-chain FA ($C_{6:0}$ to $C_{12:0}$) results in a more saturated milk compared to especially sow milk. On the contrary, medium-chain FA ($C_{14:0}$ to $C_{17:1}$) are nearly three times as high in cow and sow milk. Due to the low content of $C_{18:1}$, rabbit milk has a rather low MUFA content MUFA (12.8%) compared to cows (30.1%) and sows (41.5%). The PUFA content is comparable with sows and much higher than in cow milk. The ratio ω -6/ ω -3 FA (around 4) is intermediate between sows and cow milk.

The FA profile is also remarkable different from the hare or rodents fed the same diet. The concentration of short-chain FA are 2.1 times lower in hare milk compared to rabbit milk (Demarne *et al.*, 1978).

	Mean	CV(%)	n ¹
Fatty acids (% of total fatty acids)			
$C_{40}; C_{50}; C_{70}$	Traces	-	1
C ₆₀	0.4	20	3
C_{so}^{0}	26.3	27	6
C ₁₀₀	20.1	21	6
C ₁₂₀	2.9	30	5
C ₁₄₀	1.6	39	6
C ₁₅₀	0.8	79	3
C ₁₆₀	12.8	23	6
C ₁₇₀	0.7	55	3
C ₁₈₀	2.9	11	6
$C_{200}; C_{220}$	Traces	-	2
Total saturated fatty acids (%)	70.4	14	7
$C_{141}; C_{171}; C_{201}$	Traces	-	2
C ₁₆₁	1.5	59	6
C ₁₈₁	11.3	18	6
Total monounsaturated fatty acids (%)	12.8	17.6	7
C ₁₈₂	12.8	37	7
C_{183}^{102}	2.5	36	7
CLA	0.08	-	1
$C_{20:4}$	0.5	49	2
$EPA(C_{20.5} \omega - 3)$	0.04	47	2
DHA ($C_{226} \omega$ -3)	0.06	64	2
Total polyunsaturated fatty acids (%)	15.6	35	7

Table 4: Fatty acid composition of rabbit milk.

¹n= number of literature references used to calculate the values in table.

References: Castellini et al. (2004), Christ et al. (1996), Fraga et al. (1989), Kowalska and Bielanski (2004), Lebas et al. (1996), Maertens et al. (2005), Pascual et al. (1999a).

Short-chain FA are even absent in guinea pig milk, and to a much lesser extent are present in mouse (one third of rabbit) or rat milk (half of rabbit) (Smith *et al.*, 1968).

Rabbits are known for their sensitivity to dietary-induced hypercholesterolemia but their milk cholesterol levels can be normally maintained unless the maternal plasma cholesterol concentration is extremely elevated (Whatley *et al.*, 1981). Milk cholesterol concentration increases from 28.0 (day 5) till 89.7 mg/100 ml (day 35 of the lactation) in close correlation with the milk triglycerides and drying up of the females (Whatley *et al.*, 1981). In the mammary gland the cholesterol excretion in milk is regulated but without local synthesis: nearly all the milk cholesterol derived from the blood plasma cholesterol (Connor and Lin, 1967).

Milk protein composition

Rabbit milk proteins have been studied intensively in view of biomedical research for e.g. the production of recombinant proteins in the milk or serum. A recent successfully example is the human α -glucosidase produced in the milk of transgenic rabbits to treat the Pompe's disease (Van den Hout *et al.*, 2001). However, in this review the information will be limited to the composition of the main constituents, referring for specific information to other reviews (Fan and Watanabe, 2003; Bõsze and Houdebine, 2006).

Table 5:	Comparative	composition	of milk	from rabbits.	cows and	sows.
		1		,		

		Rabbit does1	Cows ²	Sows ³
Dry matter (g/100 g)	-	29.8	12.5 - 13.5	17.9
Protein (g/100 g)		12.3	3.0 - 4.0	5.1
Fat (g/100 g)		12.9	3.5 - 5.0	6.5
Lactose (g/100 g)		1.7	4.5 - 5.0	5.7
Energy (MJ/kg)		8.4	2.7-3.2	4.5
Fatty acids (% of total FA)	C ₆₀	0.4	1.5	n.r.
	C ₈₀	26.3	0.9	n.r.
	C _{10:0}	20.1	2.0	0.4
	C _{12:0}	2.9	2.4	0.5
	C _{14:0}	1.6	14.3	5.6
	C _{16:0}	12.8	24.4	29.4
	C _{16:1}	1.5	1.7	13.7
	C _{18:0}	2.9	11.9	6.3
	C ₁₈₋₁	11.3	27.5	27.6
	C _{18.2}	12.8	1.6	13.3
	CLA	0.08	1.26	n.r.
	C _{18.3}	2.5	0.71	1.4
	C ₂₀₁	n.r. ⁴	n.r.	0.2
	C _{20:4}	0.5	0.04	0.09
	C _{20:5} (EPA)	0.04	0.01	0.16
	C _{22:6} (DHA)	0.06	0.04	0.20
	Other	4.2	6.3	1.2
	Total SFA	70.4	60.0	42.2
	Total MUFA	12.8	30.1	41.5
	Total PUFA	15.6	3.6	16.3
	Ratio ω -6/ ω -3	4.1	2-3	7.2

¹Average composition of lactation weeks 1-3 (Table 2). ²FA adapted from Rego *et al.* 2005 (Control diet, pasture). ³Lauridsen and Danielsen, 2004 (Control diet). ⁴Not reported.

The protein-rich milk of rabbits provides primarily the amino acids essential for tissue growth and maintenance but also to continue a certain degree of immune protection through the presence of specific whey proteins. Information concerning the amino acids composition is given in Table 6. However, the data of rabbit milk have to be taken with caution because the total sum of amino acids expressed per 100 g amino acids is 118.6 g (recalculated data from Uribe *et al.*, 1980) or only 76.9 g (Kustos *et al.*, 1999).

Rabbit milk proteins as for other mammals, are grouped in two main types: caseins which precipitate in the isoelectric conditions (pH 4.6) and represent about 70% of the total milk proteins and whey proteins which do not precipitated in these conditions (Dayal *et al*, 1982). After numerous attempts to identify the various types of casein present in rabbit milk (Allais and Jollès, 1970; Majumder and Ganguli, 1970; Testud and Ribadeau-Dumas, 1973; Al Sarraj *et al.*, 1978; Dayal *et al.*, 1982; Baranyi *et al.*, 1995; Grabowski *et al*, 1991, Virag *et al.*, 1996), 4 types can be clearly distinguished now: α_{s1} casein, α_{s2} -casein, α -casein and κ -casein. Total of caseins represent about 90 g/l with a specific contribution for example of 45 g/l of β -casein and 16 g/l of α_{s1} -casein (Grabowski *et al*, 1991). Virag *et* *al.* (1996) mentioned a micelles size of skimmed rabbit milk varying from 210 to 230 µm in relation with the 8 patterns of α_{s2} -caseins observed in the milk of New Zealand White does. One of the functions of the κ -casein is the formation, stabilisation and aggregation of the micelles (Gerencsér *et al*, 2002). In addition some hydrolysed fractions of α_{s1} and β -casein have a clear antibacterial activity which most probably participate in the protection of digestive tract of suckling kits (Baranyi *et al.*, 2003).

The main types of whey proteins are α -lactalbumin, tranferrin, serum albumin, whey acidic protein (WAP) and immunoglobulins (Baranyi *et al*; 1995). Transferrin is present at 17 to 23 g/l (Jordan and Morgan, 1970) and is an iron binding protein identical to the serum transferrin (Baker *et al.*, 1968) which has no immunological reaction with the classical lactoferrin (Lyster, 1967). This specificity explains for example why Masson and Hermann (1971) failed to find lactoferrin in the rabbit milk in an extensive study of lactoferrin in different species conducted with an immuno-methodology. Despite its identity with serum transferrin, the milk transferrin is almost exclusively synthesised and secreted in the mammary gland (Jordan and Morgan, 1970). This protein has an *in vitro* antibacterial activity against *Escherichia coli*, but it is not clear if this function is still active *in vivo* in the kits digestive tract (Baker *et al.*, 1968).

As previously mentioned, other whey main proteins detected in rabbit milk are serum albumins (4-5 g/l), different lactalbumins, WAP (15 g/l) (Jordan and Morgan, 1970; Grabowski *et al*, 1991; Baranyi *et al.*, 1995) and different immunoglobulins but no protein resembling to the cow β -lactoglobulin (the main whey protein in cow milk) was detected (Lyster, 1967). Nevertheless this affirmation disagrees with the results of Stambolova and Gachev (1972) which have identified a rabbit whey protein with an electrophoretic pattern identical to that of the bovine β -lactoglobulin.

The γ -globulin concentration is around 10 g/l and increases in milk during lactation (Jordan and Morgan, 1970; Maertens *et al.*, 1994). According to Berthon and Salmon (1993), the specific immunoglobulins concentration decreases from colostrum to milk. They are represented mainly by the IgG form, which represents 95.2% of the total immunoglobulins of colostrum (30 g/l) and 98% of milk ones (5 g/l). The IgA represent a higher proportion in colostrum (4.7%) than in milk (2.0%). In addition the presence of few IgM can be noticed in colostrum (0.1% of the total) but only traces are present in milk. During γ -globulin secretion in the mammary gland, the initial serum IgA is modified and T-Chains are added (Asofsky and Small, 1967). The immunoglobulins present in the first suckled colostrum seem to be transferred to the kit serum (Goszinska *et al*, 1969). But later, absorption

	g/100 g amino acid			g/100 g amino acid		
Amino acid	Rabbit ¹	Sow ²	Amino acid	Rabbit ¹	Sow ²	
Lysine	9.0-7.6	7.5	Valine	8.0-5.9	4.7	
Methionine	3.0-1.6	1.7	Tryptophan	1.3 -3	1.4	
Cysteine	_3	1.5	Arginine	6.4-4.8	5.2	
Histidine	5.3-2.3	2.4	Proline	3.2 -3	11.3	
Phenylalanine	4.9-3.3	3.9	Glycine	1.5-3.7	2.8	
Tyrosine	6.2-2.6	4.2	Glutamic acid	25.0-12.7	21.6	
Threonine	7.3-4.1	3.9	Aspartic acid	8.1-4.0	7.9	
Isoleucine	4.2-3.6	3.8	Serine	5.6-6.1	5.2	
Leucine	11.9-8.9	8.8	Alanine	7.7-5.7	3.2	

Table 6:	Amino	acid	composition	of rabbit	and	sow	milk.
----------	-------	------	-------------	-----------	-----	-----	-------

¹Left values from Uribe *et al.* (1980); right values from Kustos *et al.* (1999). ²According to Darragh and Moughan (1998). ³Traces for Uribe *et al.* (1980) and not determined by Kustos *et al.* (1999).

throught the intestinal epithelial cells of the kits in direction of blood is generally stopped inside the enterocytes (Krahenbuhl and Campiche, 1969).

The immunisation of does against specific agents such as *E. coli* or *Vibrio cholerae* induce the presence of specific IgA antibodies in the milk during the whole lactation (Yoshiyama and Brown, 1987; Milon and Camguilhem, 1989). These IgA can be immediately locally active in the kit digestive tract. But their short half-life (3 days) makes them ineffective after weaning (Milon and Camguilhem, 1989).

Also prolactin and growth hormone binding proteins have been identified in rabbit milk (Postel-Vinay *et al.*, 1991) as well as thyroid hormones (Slebodzinski and Gawecka, 1983). In addition a lot of active enzymes are detectable in rabbit milk (Hellung-Larsen, 1968).

Mineral content

The ash content of rabbit milk (Table 3) increases from about 1.8% during the first weeks of the lactation till 2.4% in the 4th and 5th week of the lactation. This increase can partly be ascribed to the drying up of the does and as consequence of the higher dry matter content with declining milk yield. Rabbit milk is rich in calcium although its concentration varies according to the source (Table 7). Also sodium and potassium concentration is high compared to sow milk (Darragh and Moughan, 1998). Since lactose and sodium are two of the main constituents concerned in maintaining the constancy of the osmotic properties of milk it is not surprising that the low level of lactose in rabbit milk is compensated by a sodium concentration higher than in cow milk (Coates *et al.*, 1964). The reduction of milk lactose concentration observed after the lactation peak is clearly associated with a decrease of sodium and a correlative increase of potassium content because of the osmolarity regulation (Gachev, 1971a)

As for the major components, mineral composition changes substantially after lactation peak especially when does are concurrently pregnant and a very rapid drying up of their milk yield occurs. Calcium concentration and to a lesser extend phosphorus increase with progressing lactation stage (Lebas *et al.*, 1971; Perret *et al.* 1977; Kustos *et al.*, 1996) while the effect for potassium and sodium is less clear. Potassium concentration drops dramatically with decreasing milk yield according to Kustos *et al.* (1996) but this was not very outspoken in earlier work of Lebas (1971), Gachev (1971a) and El-Sayid *et al.* (1994). El Sayid *et al.* (1994) reported a gradual increase of the sodium content

	Lebas et al.	Perret et al.	El-Sayid et al.	Kustos et al.	Kustos et al.
_	(1971)	(1977)	(1994)	(1996)	(1999)
Sodium	1.03	0.82	1.16	0.84	
Potassium	1.98	1.77	1.68	2.01	
Calcium	5.36	3.64	4.82	2.76	2.71
Magnesium	0.35		0.36		0.45
Phosphorus	3.28		2.61	2.44	
Chlorine			0.66		
Zinc	0.02			0.021	0.034
Iron				0.003	0.003
Copper				0.002	0.002
Manganese				0.0001	0.0002

Table 7: Mineral composition (g/kg milk) of rabbit milk¹.

¹Average value determined during lactation (colostrum excluded).

while Lebas *et al.* (1971) and Kustos *et al.* (1996) mention a tendency to a higher content at the beginning and near the end of the lactation period. Magnesium content increases with lactation stage (Lebas *et al.*, 1971; Kustos *et al.*, 1999) while the microelements (zinc, copper, iron and manganese) decrease gradually in concentration as lactation progressed (Kustos *et al.*, 1996 and 1999). For example, Tarvydas *et al.* (1968) observed a reduction of iron content from 3.9-4.6 mg/l 3-4 days after kindling down to 2.3 mg/l on day 17.

Phosphorus concentration decreases in pregnant does at the end of the lactation period probably due to more phosphorus being derived to foetal growth (El-Sayid *et al.*, 1994; Kustos *et al.*, 1996). However, the pregnancy effect was much less clear in the work of El-Sayid *et al.*, (1994), because females were not remated immediately after parturition. Calvert *et al.* (1985) observed a rise in milk sodium and chlorine concentration and a decline in potassium and lactose during the milk accumulation 24 h after the last nursing.

Vitamin content

Few data can be found about the vitamin content of rabbit milk. The research done by Coates *et al.* (1964) can therefore still be considered as basic information although only 1 or 2 samples per lactation day were analysed. Rabbit milk is richer than cow milk in all the water-soluble vitamins and vitamin A (Coates *et al.*, 1964). The high level of vitamin A in the colostrum (6-7 μ g/ml) was confirmed by El-Sayiad *et al.* (1994) and also the gradually decreasing levels as the lactation proceeds. This fits with the function of retinol being important for the kit eye development. Basic level of vitamin D₃ is fairly low in milk (0.6 mg/l or 24 IU/l), but very sensitive to doe circulating vitamin D₃ level, since a single injection of a massive vitamin D₃ dose increases the milk vitamin D₃ for minimum 5 days (Hidiroglou and Williams, 1985)

The following levels for biotin (0.45 µg/ml), folic acid (0.30 µg/ml), niacin (4.9 µg/ml), pantothenic acid (14.5 µg/ml), riboflavin (4.6 µg/ml), thiamin (1.6 µg/ml), pyridoxine (B₆) (3.6 µg/ml) and B₁₂ (0.07 µg/ml) were determined on the 18th day of the lactation (Coates *et al.*, 1964). However, some effects of stage of lactation were observed e.g. an increase for biotin between 1st and 40th day of lactation (multiplied by 2.7), and simultaneously a decrease of pyridoxine (divided by 6) (Gogeliya, 1970). More recently, Cole *et al.* (1983) determined comparable levels for folic acid and vitamin B₁₂ as the aforementioned values.

Some other components

It has been shown that rabbit milk has antibacterial effects (Canas-Rodriguez and Smith, 1966; Marounek *et al.*, 2002). When rabbit milk is added in cultures of rabbit caecal contents, a significantly decreased production of microbial metabolites was determined, whereas no inhibitory effect of a corresponding mixture of cow milk fat, casein and lactose was observed (Marounek *et al.*, 1999).

The bactericidal effect is linked to the short-chain FA ($C_{8:0}$ and $C_{10:0}$) which make that suckling rabbits are unique amongst other species in the contents of the stomach and small intestine that are almost completely sterile. This is a natural protection against the risks of the very high milk intake in only one meal a day. In rabbit milk triglycerides, $C_{8:0}$ and $C_{10:0}$ are mainly in the external position on the glycerol molecule while $C_{16:0}$ is mainly in the central position (Demarne *et al.*, 1978; Christie, 1985). This explains the quickly hydrolysis in the stomach which enables their antibacterial role in this gut segment. In addition and as shown in the protein section, some proteic milk components have their own anti-bacterial activity such as α_{s1} and β -casein fractions or transferrin, in addition to the classical immunoglobulins activity. However, rabbit milk contains only few orotic acid (0.5 mg/l, compared to the 18 mg/l of cow milk; Gajos and Krêzlewicz, 1974), a residue of arginine catabolism sometimes used in human medicine in diarrhoea control.

MAERTENS et al.

Finally, rabbit milk can contain undesirable components transferred from contaminated diets or treatments. For example an effective transfer of ochratoxin A from plasma to milk has been demonstrated (Galtier *et al.*, 1977; Ferrufino-Guardia *et al.*, 2000). Also antibiotic residues are found in milk as has been demonstrated after a tilmicosin treatment of does (Saggiorato *et al.*, 2004).

CONCLUSIONS

Due to the time consuming determination of the milk yield and the divergent methodology used, published data concerning the production capacities of does are quite scarce and difficult to be compared. However, the production level of actual highly efficient hybrids used for commercial rabbit production can be situated around 250 g/d (or 60 g/d/kg LW). By consequence, during a 30 days lactation period, total milk yield exceeds 7 kg in multiparous does.

There are much more data available of the macro nutrient composition of rabbit milk. Both the macro composition as the fatty acid composition is widely different from cow or sow milk. Due to the high yield of does and their concentrated milk, at peak lactation protein output per kg LW and even per kg^{0.75} exceeds those of Holstein cows.

Rabbit milk distinguishes from other milks by its extremely high content of short chain FA. Their antibacterial effects in the gut protect the kits against enteritis risks which are high due to the natural suckling behaviour (only one daily quantitatively rich meal).

The non-nutritional factors having the largest impact on the milk yield are the lactation stage, the number of suckling kits, the gestation overlapping degree (rapid decline after 17-20 days of gestation), the parity order and heat stress (through feed intake depression for the later factor). However, due to the common practise of equalizing the litter size at parturition, commercial strains are capable to express their maximal yield aptitude.

Milk production has had little attention in selection programs in spite of its large importance in kit survival and post-weaning growth.

Acknowledgment: The authors are very grateful to Andre Vermeulen for the help with literature search and data treatment.

REFERENCES

- Allais C., Jollès P., 1970. Comparative electrophoretical studies of human and rabbit caseins. *Int. J. Bioch.*, 1, 546-552.
- Al Sarraj K., White D.A., Mayer, R.J.,1978. Purification and properties of casein from mammary gland of lactating rabbits. *Int. J. Biochem.*, 9, 269-277.
- Asofsky R., Small P.A., 1967. Colostral immunoglobulin-A: synthesis in vitro of T-chain by rabbit mammary gland. *Science*, 158, 932-933.
- Baker E., Schaw D. C., Morgan, E. H., 1968. Isolation and characterization of rabbit serum and milk transferrins. Evidence for difference in sialic acid content only. *Biochem. Easton*, 7, 1371-1378.
- Baranyi M., Brignon G., Anglage P., Ribadeau-Dumas B., 1995. New data on the protein of rabbit (Oryctolagus cuniculus) milk. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. B Biochem. Mol. Biol., 111, 407-415.
- Baranyi M., Thomas U., Pellegrini A., 2003. Antibacterial activity of casein-derived peptides isolated from rabbit. J Dairy Res., 70, 189-97.
- Berthon P., Salmon H., 1993. Facteurs immunitaires des sécrétions mammaires. In: Biologie de la lactation, Martinet J. &

Houdebine L.M. (ed.), INRA Editions Paris, 389-414.

- BioProtein Technologies, 2006. Science about rabbit. http:// www.bioprotein.com/gb/science.htm
- Bolet G., Esparbié J., Falières J., 1996. Relations entre le nombre de foetus par corne utérine, la taille de portée à la naissance et la croissance pondérale des lapereaux. Ann. Zootech., 45, 185-200.
- Bösze Zs., Houdebine L.M., 2006. Application of rabbits in biomedical research: a review. World Rabbit Sci., 14, 1-14.
- Calvert D.T., Knight C.H., Peaker M., 1985. Milk accumulation and secretion in the rabbits. *Quarterly J. Exp. Phys. Cognate Med. Sci.*, 70, 357-363.
- Calvert D. T., Knight, C. H., 1982. Rate of milk secretion during the long suckling interval in the rabbit. J. Physiol., 334, 65-66.
- Canas-Rodriguez A., Smith H.W., 1966. The identification of the antimicrobial factors of the stomach content of suckling rabbits. *Biochem. J., 100, 79-82.*
- Carey E.M., Dils R., 1972. The pattern of fatty acid synthesis in lactation rabbit mammary gland studied *in vivo. Biochem. J.*, 126, 1005-1007.

- Casado C., Piquer O., Cervera C., Pascual J.J., 2006. Modelling the lactation curve of rabbit does: Towards a model including fit suitability and biological interpretation. *Livestock Prod. Sci.*, 99, 39-49.
- Castellini C., Dal Bosco A., Cardinali R., Mugnai C., Sciascia E., 2006. Effect of dietary N-3 fatty acids on the composition of doe's milk and tissues of suckling rabbits. *World Rabbit Sci.*, 14(sp),54.
- Castro F.O., Limonta J., Rodriguez A., Aguirre A., de la Fuente J., Aguilar A., Ramos B., Hayes O., 1999. Transgenic rabbits for the production of biologically-active recombinant proteins in the milk. *Genetic Analysis*, 15, 79-87.
- Christ B., Lange K., Jeroch H., 1996. Effect of dietary fat content and fatty acid composition of does milk. In Proc.: 6th World Rabbit Congr., Toulouse, France, Vol 1, 135-138.
- Christie W.W., 1985. Structure of the triacyl-sn-glycerols in the plasma and milk of the rat and rabbit. J. Dairy Res., 52, 219-222.
- Coates M.E., Gregory M.E., Thompson S.Y., 1964. The composition of rabbit's milk. Br. J. Nutr., 18, 583-586.
- Cole C.B., Scott K.J., Henschel M.J., Coates M.E., Ford J.E., Fuller R., 1983. Trace nutrient binding proteins in milk and the growth of bacteria in the gut of infant rabbits. *Br. J. Nutr.*, 49, 231-240.
- Connor W.E., Lin D.S., 1967. Origin of the milk cholesterol in rabbit and guinea pig. Am. J. Physiol., 213, 1353-1358.
- Coudert P., Lebas F., 1985. Production et morbidité des lapines reproductrices 1/ Effets du rationnement alimentaire avant et pendant le première gestation. Ann. Zootech., 34, 31-48.
- Cowie A.T., 1968. Lactation in the rabbit. Natl. Inst. Res. Dairying, Rep. 1968, 84.
- Cross B.A., 1952. Nursing behaviour and the milk ejection reflex in rabbits. J. Endocrinol., 8, XIII-XIV.
- Darragh A.J., Moughan P.J., 1998. The composition of colostrums and milk. In: Verstegen M.W.A., Moughan P.J., Schrama J.W. (eds), The lactating sow, Wageningen Pers, The Netherlands, pp.3-21.
- Dayal R., Hurlimann J., Suard Y.M., Kraehenbuhl J.P., 1982. Chemical and immunochemical characterization of caseins and the major whey proteins of rabbit milk. *Biochem J.*, 201, 71–79.
- Demarne Y., Lhuillery C., Pihet J., Martinet L. Flanzy J., 1978. Comparative study of triacylglycerol fatty acids in milk fat from two leporidae species: rabbit (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*) and hare (*Lepus europaeus*). Comp. Biochem. Physiol., 61B, 223-226.
- De Blas J.C., Taboada E., Mateos G.G., Nicodemus N., Méndez J., 1995. Effect of substitution of starch for fiber and fat in isoenergetic diets on nutrient digestibility and reproductive performance of rabbits. J. Anim. Sci., 73, 1131-1137.
- De Blas J.C., Taboada E., Nicodemus N., Campos R., Piquer J., Méndez J., 1998. Performance response of lactating and growing rabbits to dietary threonine content. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.*, 70, 151-160.
- El-Sayiad G.H.A., Habeeb A.A.M., El Maghawry A.M., 1994. A note on the effects of breed, stage of lactation and pregnancy status on milk composition of rabbits. *Anim. Prod.*, 58, 153-157.
- Fan J., Watanabe T., 2003. Transgenic rabbits as therapeutic protein bioreactors and human disease models. *Pharmacology & Therapeutics*, 99, 261-282.
- Fernández-Carmona J., Cervera C., Sabater C., Blas E., 1995. Effect of diet composition on the production of rabbit breeding does housed in a traditional building and at 30°C. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.*, 52, 289-297.
- Fernández-Carmona J., Santiago S., Alqedra I., Cervera C., Pascual J.J., 2000. Effect of lucerne-based diets on the reproductive performance of rabbit does at high environmental temperatures. In Proc. 7th World Rabbit Congr., Valencia, Spain, C, 203-208.

- Fernández-Carmona J., Blas E., Cervera C., Pascual J.J., 2006. The measure of milk rabbit. World Rabbit Sci., 14(sp), 58.
- Ferrufino-Guardia E.V., Tangni E.K., Larondelle Y., Ponchaut S., 2000. Transfer of ochratoxin A during lactation: exposure of suckling via the milk of rabbits does fed a naturallycontaminated feed. *Food Addit. Contam.*, 17, 167-175.
- Fleischhauer H., Schlolaut W., Lange K., 1985. Influence of number of teats on rearing performance of rabbits. J. Appl. Rabbit Res., 8, 174-176.
- Fortun-Lamothe L., Bolet G., 1995. Les effets de la lactation sur les performances de reproduction chez la lapine. *INRA*, Prod. Anim., 8, 49-56.
- Fortun-Lamothe L, Lebas F., 1996. Effects of dietary energy level and source on foetal development and energy balance in concurrently pregnant and lactating primiparous rabbit does. *Anim. Sci.*, 62, 615-620.
- Fortun-Lamothe L., Bolet G., 1998. Relations entre le format, l'évolution des réserves corporelles et les performances de reproduction chez la lapine primipare: comparaison de deux types génétiques. In Proc.: 7^{èmes} Journ. Rech. Cunicole, Lyon, ITAVI Ed., Paris, 27-30.
- Fortun-Lamothe L., Gidenne T., 2000. The effects of size of suckled litter on intake behaviour, performance and health status of young and reproducing rabbits. Ann. Zootech., 49, 517-529.
- Fortun-Lamothe L., Sabater F., 2003. Estimation de la production laitière à partir de la croissance des lapereaux. In Proc.: 10^{èmes} Journ. Rech. Cunicole, Paris, ITAVI Ed., Paris, 69-72.
- Fortun-Lamothe L., Gidenne T., Lapanouse A., De Dapper J., 2000. Technical note: an original system to separately control litter and female feed intake without modification of the mother-young relations. *World Rabbit Sci.*, 8, 177-180.
- Fraga M.J., Lorente M., Carabano M., De Blas J.C., 1989. Effect of diet and of remating interval on milk production and milk composition of the doe rabbit. *Anim. Prod.*, 48, 459-466.
- Gachev E. P., 1971a. Changes in osmotically active milk components during lactation. C.R. Acad. Bulgare Sci., 24, 543-546.
- Gachev E.P., 1971b. Diurnal change in the rate of milk formation. *C.R. Acad. Bulgare Sci.*, 24, 1567-1570.
- Gajos E., Krezlewicz H., 1974. Estimation of orotic acid in milk and milk products. Przeglad mleczarski, 23 (3 suppl. 1), 7-9.
- Galtier P., Baradat C., Alvinerie, E.M., 1977. Etude de l'élimination d'ochratoxine A par le lait chez la lapine. Ann. Nutr. Aliment., 31, 911-918.
- Garreau H., Piles M., Larzul C., Baselga M., Rochambeau H. De, 2004. Selection of maternal lines: last results and prospects. *Proc. 8th World Rabbit Congress, Puebla, Mexico, 14-25.*
- Gerencsér A., Barta E., Boa S., Kastanis P., Bösze Z., Whitelaw C. B. A., 2002. Comparative analysis on the structural features of the 5' flanking region of kappa-casein genes from six different species. *Genet. Sel. Evol.* 34, 117-128.
- Gogeliya A. K., 1970. Levels of group B vitamins in rabbits'milk during lactation. Nauch. Trudy nauchno-issled. Inst. Pushnogo Zverovod. Krolikovod., 9, 103-107.
- Godzinska H., Osinska M., Slopek S., 1969. Immunoelectrophoretic studies on blood serum, colostrum and milk of animals of different species in their ontogenetic development. I. Immunoelectrophoretic analysis of blood serum, colostrum and milk of rabbit and sheep. Arch. Immun. Therap. expl., 18, 305-314.
- Grabowski H., Le Bars D., Chene N., Attal J., Maliénou-N'Gassa R., Puissant C., Houdebine L.M., 1991. Rabbit Whey Acidic Protein concentration in milk, serum, mammary gland extract and culture medium. J. Dairy Sci., 74, 4143-4154.
- Gyovai M., Szendrö Zs., Maertens L., Biró-Németh E., Radnai I., Matics Zs., Gerencsér Zs., Princz Z., Horn P., 2004. Effect of the rearing method on the performance of rabbit does (Preliminary results). Proc. 8th World Rabbit Congress, Puebla, Mexico, 281-

287.

- Hall A.J., 1971. Fatty acid composition of rabbit (Oryctolagus cuniculus) milk fat through lactation. Int. J. Biochem., 2, 414-418.
- Hellung-Larsen P., 1968. Lactate dehydrogenases of colostrum and milk from different species in relation to cellular content. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol.*, 27, 703-708.
- Hidiroglou M., Williams C. J., 1985. Mammary transfer of vitamin D3 in rabbit doe. Int. J. Vitam. Nutr. Res., 55, 35-39.
- Hoy S., Selzer D., 2002. Frequency and time of nursing in wild and domestic rabbits housed outdoors in free range. *World Rabbit* Sci., 10, 77-84.
- Hudson R., Schaal B., Martínez-Gómez M., Distel H., 2000. Motheryoung relations in the European rabbit: physiological and behavioural locks and keys. *World Rabbit Sci.*, 8, 85-90.
- Jones C.S., Parker D.S., 1978. Uptake of substrates for milk-fat synthesis by lactating-rabbit mammary gland. *Biochem. J.*, 174, 291-296.
- Jordan S. M., Morgan E. H., 1970. Plasma protein metabolism during lactation in the rabbit. Am. J. Physiol., 219, 1549-1554.
- Kay J.K., Weber W.J., Moore C.E., Bauman D.E., Hansen L.B., Chester-Jones H., Crooker B.A., Baumgard L.H., 2005. Effects of week of lactation and genetic selection for milk yield on fatty acid composition in Holstein cows. J. Dairy Sci., 88, 3886-3893.
- Khalil M.H., 1994. Lactational performance of Giza White rabbits and its relation with pre-weaning litter traits. *Anim. Prod.*, 59, 141-145.
- Khalil M.H., Mehaia M.A., Al-Homidan A.H., Al-Sobayil K.A., 2005. Genetic analysis for milk yield and components and milk conversion ratio in crossing of Saudi rabbits with V-line. *World Rabbit Sci.*, 13, 52-53.
- Kowalska D., Bielanski P., 2006. Effect of supplemental dietary fat for rabbits on milk composition and rearing performance of young rabbits. *World Rabbit Sci.*, 14(sp),63.
- Kraehenbuhl J. P., Campiche M., 1969. Les stades initiaux de l'absorption intestinale d'anticorps chez le porc, le rat et le lapin nouveau-nés. J. Microscopy, 8, 64a.
- Kustos K., Szendrö Zs., Csapó J., Biró H., Radnai I., Biró-Németh E., Bálint Á., 1996. Effect of lactation stage and pregnancy status on milk composition. In Proc.: 6th World Rabbit Congr., Toulouse, France, 2, 187-190.
- Kustos K., Papp Z., Szendrö Zs., Bálint A., 1999. Effect of environmental temperature and restricted feeding on composition of rabbit milk. In Proc.: 2nd Int. Conf. on Rabbit Production in Hot Climates. In: Cahiers Options Méditerranéennes, 41, 19-24.
- Lauridsen C., Danielsen V., 2004. Lactational dietary fat levels and sources influence milk composition and performance of sows and their progeny. *Livestock Prod. Sci.*, 91, 95-105.
- Lebas F., 1968. Mesure quantitative de la production laitière chez la lapine Ann. Zootech., 17, 169-182.
- Lebas F., 1969. Alimentation lactée et croissance pondérée du lapin avant sevrage. Ann. Zootech., 18, 197-208.
- Lebas F., 1970. Description d'une machine à traire les lapines Ann. Zootech., 19, 223-228.
- Lebas F., 1971. Composition chimique du lait de lapine, évolution au cours de la traite et en fonction du stade de la lactation. *Ann. Zootech.*, 20, 185-191.
- Lebas F., 1972. Effet de la simultanéité de la lactation et de la gestation sur les performances laitières chez la lapine. Ann. Zootech., 21, 129-131.
- Lebas F., 1976. Relations entre la forme de courbe de lactation maternelle et la croissance des lapereaux. Etude sur 975 courbes individuelles. In Proc.: 1^{rst} World Rabbit Congr., Dijon, France, Communic., 9, 4.
- Lebas F., 1987. Influence de la taille de la portée et de la production

laitière sur la quantité d'aliment ingérée par la lapine allaitante. *Reprod. Nutr .Dévelop., 27 (1 B), 207-208.*

- Lebas F., Besancon P., Abouyoub A., 1971. Composition minérale du lait de lapine. Variations en fonction du stade de lactation. *Ann. Zootech.*, 20, 487-495.
- Lebas F., Lamboley B., Fortun-Lamothe L., 1996. Effects of dietary energy level and origin (starch vs oil) on gross and fatty acid composition of rabbit milk. In Proc.: 6th World Rabbit Congr., Toulouse, France, 1, 223-226.
- Lukefahr S., Hohenboken W.D., Cheeke P.R., Patton N.M., 1983. Characterization of straightbred and crossbred rabbits for milk production and associative traits. J. Anim. Sci., 57, 1100-1107.
- Lukefahr S., Cheeke P.R., Patton N.M., 1996. Heritability of milk production and 21-day litter weight and litter size in purebred and crossbred rabbits using an animal model. In Proc.: 6th World Rabbit Congr., Toulouse, France, 2, 319-324.
- Lyster R.L.J., 1967. Whey proteins of rabbit's milk. Natl. Inst. Res. Dairying, Report, 97
- Maertens L., De Groote G., 1988. The influence of the dietary energy content on the performances of post-partum breeding does. In Proc.: 4th World Rabbit Congr., Budapest, Hungary, 3, 42-53.
- Maertens L., De Groote G., 1990. Comparison of feed intake and milk yield of does under normal and high ambient temperature. J. Appl. Rabbit Res., 13, 159 -162.
- Maertens L., De Groote G., 1991. The nutrition of highly productive rabbit does and kits before weaning. *Revue de l'Agriculture*, 44, 725-737.
- Maertens L., Van Renterghem R., De Groote G., 1994. Effects of dietary inclusion of Paciflor® (*Bacillus* CIP 5832) on the milk composition and performances of does and on caecal and growth parameters of their weanlings. *World Rabbit Sci.*, 2, 67-73.
- Maertens L., Aerts J.M., De Brabander D.L., 2005. Effet d'un aliment riche en acides gras omega-3 sur les performances et la composition laitière des lapines et la viabilité de leur descendance. Proc. 11^{èmes} Journ. Rech. Cunicole, Paris, ITAVI Ed., Paris, 205-208.
- Maertens L., Vanacker J., De Coninck J., 2006. Milk yield and milk composition of 2 commercial hybrids and a selected strain fed a high- energy lactation diet. Proc. 18th Hungarian Conference on Rabbit Production, Kaposvar 24 May 2006, 35-41.
- Majumder G. C., Ganguli N. C., 1970. An appraisal of caseins from different species of animals by starch gel electrophoresis. *Indian* J. Dairy Sci., 23, 179-187.
- Marcus G.E., Shum T.F., Goldman S.L., 1990. A device for collecting milk from rabbits. *Lab. Anim. Sci.*, 40, 219-221.
- Marounek M., Fievez V., Mbanzamihigo L., Demeyer D., Maertens L., 1999. Age and incubation time effects on in vitro caecal fermentation pattern in rabbits before and after weaning. Arch. Anim. Nutr., 52, 195-201.
- Marounek M., Skøivanová V., Savka O., 2002. Effect of caprilic, capric and oleic acid on growth of rumen and rabbit caecal bacteria. J. Anim. Feed Sci., 11, 507-516.
- Masson P.L., Heremans, J.F., 1971. Lactoferrin in milk from different species. Comp. Biochem. Physiol., 39(1B), 119-129.
- Matics Zs., Szendrö Zs., Hoy St., Nagy I., Radnai I., Biró-Németh E., Gyovai M., 2004. Effect of different management methods on the nursing behaviour of rabbits. *World Rabbit Sci.*, 12, 95-108.
- McNitt J., Moody G. L., 1988. Milk intake and growth rates of suckling rabbits. J. Appl. Rabbit Res., 11, 117-119.
- McNitt J.I., Lukefahr S.D., 1990. Effect of breed, parity, day of lactation and number of kits on milk production of rabbits. J. Anim. Sci., 68, 1505-1512.
- Milon A., Camguilhem R., 1989. Essais de protection des lapereaux sevrés contre l'entérite à *Escherichia coli* O103: vaccination des mères avec un vaccin inactivé. *Rev. Med. Vet.*, 140, 389-395.
- Mohamed M.M.A., Szendrö Zs., 1992. Studies on nursing and milk

production of does and milk intake and suckling behaviour of their kits. J. Appl. Rabbit Res., 15, 708-716.

- Ouhayoun J., Gidenne T., Demarne, Y., 1985. Evolution postnatale de la composition en acides gras des lipides du tissu adipeux et du tissu musculaire chez le lapin en régime hypolipidique. *Reprod. Nutr. Develop.*, 25, 505-519.
- Parigi Bini R., Xiccato G., 1998. Energy metabolism and requirements. In: De Blas and Wiseman (Eds.), The nutrition of the Rabbit, CABI Publishing, Wallingford, UK, pp.103-131.
- Partridge G.G., Allen S.J., 1982. The effects of different intakes of crude protein on nitrogen utilization in the pregnant and lactating rabbit. *Anim. Prod.*, 35, 145-155.
- Partridge G.G., Fuller M.F., Pullar J.D., 1983. Energy and nitrogen metabolism of lactating rabbits. *Brit. J. Nutr.*, 49, 507-515.
- Partridge G.G., Lobley G.E., Fordyce R.A., 1986a. Energy and nitrogen metabolism of rabbits during pregnancy, lactation, and concurrent pregnancy and lactation. *Brit. J. Nutr.*, 56, 199-207.
- Partridge G.G., Daniels Y., Fordyce R.A., 1986b. The effects of energy intake during pregnancy in doe rabbits on pup birth weight, milk output and maternal body composition change in the ensuing lactation. J. Agric. Sci., Camb., 107, 697-708.
- Pascual J.J., Cervera C., Blas E., Fernández–Carmona J., 1996. Milk yield and composition in rabbit does using high fat diets. Proc. 6th World Rabbit Congr. Toulouse, France, Vol 1, 259-262.
- Pascual J.J., Sebastian A., I., Cervera C., Blas E., Fernández-Carmona J., 1998. Effets de la substitution de l'orge par de l'huile de soja sur les performances des lapines allaitantes : premiers résultats Proc. 7^{émes} Journ. Rech. Cunicole, Lyon, France, ITAVI Ed., Paris, 167-174
- Pascual J.J., Cervera C., Blas E., Fernández-Carmona J., 1999a. Effect of high fat diets on the performance, milk yield and milk composition of multiparous rabbit does. *Anim. Sci.*, 68, 151-162.
- Pascual J.J., Tolosa C., Cervera C., Blas E., Fernández-Carmona J., 1999b. Effect of diets with different digestible energy content on the performance of rabbit does. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.*, 81, 105-117.
- Pascual J.J., Cervera C., Fernández-Carmona J., 2000a. The effect of dietary fat on the performance and body composition of rabbits in their second lactation. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.*, 86, 191-203.
- Pascual J.J., Fonfria M.J., Alqedra I., Cervera C., Fernández–Carmona J., 2000b. Use of lucerne-based diets on reproductive rabbit does. In Proc.: 7th World Rabbit Congr., Valencia, Spain, Vol. C, 379-384.
- Pascual J.J., Motta W., Cervera C., Quevedo F., Blas E. and Fernández-Carmona J., 2002a. Effect of dietary energy source on the performance and perirenal fat thickness evolution of primiparous rabbit does. *Anim. Sci.*, 75, 267-279.
- Pascual J.J., Cervera C., Fernández–Carmona J., 2002b. A feeding programme for young rabbit does based on lucerne. World Rabbit Sci. 10, 7-13.
- Pascual J.J., Cervera C., Blas E., Fernández-Carmona J., 2003. Highenergy diets for reproductive rabbit does: effect of energy source. *Nutrition Abstracts and Reviews*, 73 (5), 27R-39R.
- Perret J. P., Dorier A., Bacques C., 1977. Etude de l'évolution de la nature des triglycérides du lait pendant les trois premières semaines de lactation, chez la lapine normale ou tarie précocement. Ann. Biol. Anim. Biochem. Biophys., 17, 153-163.
- Petersen J., Buscher K., Lammers H.J., 1989. Das Sauge- und Saugverhalten von Kaninchen und die Milchaufnahme. Proc. 6. Arbeitstagung über Haltung und Krankheiten der Kaninchen, Pelztiere und Heimtiere, Celle, 59-67.
- Postel-Vivay M.C., Belair L., Kayser C., Kelly P.A., Djiane J., 1991. Identification of prolactin and growth hormone binding proteins in rabbit milk. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 88, 6687-

6690.

- Rafai P., Papp Z., 1984. Temperature requirement of does for optimal performance. Arch. Exper. Vet. Med., Leipzig, 38, 450-457.
- Rafay J., Chrenek P., Vašièkek D., Vašièková K., Süvegová k., Jurèik R., Chrastinová L., Dragin S., 2004. Lactation and growth intensity of transgenic rabbits. Proc. 8th World Rabbit Congr., Puebla, Mexico, 133-136.
- Rego O.A., Rosa H.J.D., Portugal P.V., Franco T., Vouzela C.M., Borba A.E.S., Bessa R.J.B., 2005. The effects of supplementation with sunflower oils on the fatty acid profile of milk fat from grazing cows. *Anim. Res.*, 54, 17-24.
- Rochambeau de H., 1998. La femelle parentale issue des souches expérimentales de l'INRA: évolutions génétiques et perspectives. Proc. 7^{émes} Journ. Rech. Cunicole, Lyon, France, ITAVI Ed., 3-14.
- Rochambeau de H., Tudela F., Chabert J., 1988. Some results about number of teats in 3 strains of rabbits. Proc. 4th World Rabbit Congr., Budapest Hungary, Vol. 2, 261-268.
- Rommers J.M., 2004. Breeding of young female does. Proc. 8th World Rabbit Congr., Puebla, Mexico, 1518-1531.
- Rommers J.M., Kemp B., Meijerhof R., Noordhuizen J.P.T.M., 2001. The effect of litter size before weaning on subsequent body development, feed intake, and reproductive performance of young rabbit does. J. Anim. Sci., 79, 1973-1982.
- Rommers J.M., Meijerhof R., Noordhuizen J.P.T.M., Kemp B., 2002. Relationships between body weight at first mating and subsequent body development, feed intake, and reproductive performance of rabbit does. J. Anim. Sci., 80, 2036-2042.
- Rommers J.M., Meijerhof R., Noordhuizen J.P.T.M., Kemp B., 2004. Effect of body weight and age at first insemination on performances during subsequent reproduction in rabbit does. *Reprod. Nutr. Develop, 44, 321-332.*
- Saggiorata M., Pradella G., Fedrizzi G., Menotta S., Scandurra S., Anfossi P., Casarotti M., Giovanardi D., 2004. Excretion of tilmicosin in milk of rabbits after subcutaneous administration. In Proc. 8th World Rabbit Congr., Puebla, Mexico, 620-6251.
- Schley P., 1975. Kaninchenmilk-Zusammensetzung und Probennahme. Tierärztliche Wochenschrift, 88, 171-173.
- Slebodzinski A. B., Gawecka A., 1983. Passage of thyroid hormone into milk in rabbits. *Endocrinol. exp.*, 17, 253-254.
- Smith S., Watts R., Dils, R., 1968. Quantitative gas-liquid chromatographic analysis of rodent milk triglycerides. J. Lipid Res., 9, 52-57.
- Stambolova M.A., Gachev, E.P., 1972. On the protein fractions of milk whey. C.R. Acad. Bulg. Sci., 25, 1145-1147.
- Szendrö Zs., Holdas S., 1984. Relationship between the number of mamary glands and the production of female rabbits. In Proc.: 3th World Rabbit Congr., Rome, Vol. II, 141-148.
- Szendrö Zs., Maertens L., 2001. Maternal effect during pregnancy and lactation in rabbits (a review). Acta Agraria Kaposváriensis, 5 (2), 1-21.
- Szendrö Zs., Szabó S. Kustos K., 1985. Studies on milk production, weight change and feed consumption of rabbit does during suckling period. In Proc.: 13th Conference on meat rabbit breeding, Nitra, 105-117.
- Szendrö Zs., Papp Z., Kustos K., 1999a. Effect of environmental temperature and restricted feeding on production of rabbit does. In Proc.: 2nd Int. Conf. on Rabbit Production in Hot Climates. In: Cahiers Options Méditerranéennes, 41, 11-17.
- Szendrö Zs., Jovánczai Zs., Theau-Clement M., Radnai I., Biró-Németh E., Milisits G., 1999b. The effect of doe-litter separation on production performance in rabbit does and their kits. *World Rabbit Sci.*, 7, 165-169.
- Szendrö Zs., Gyarmati T., Maertens L., Biró-Németh E., Radnai I., Milisits G., Matics Zs., 2002. Effect of nursing by two does on the performance of sucking and growing rabbits. *Anim. Sci.*, 74, 117-125.

- Taboada E., Mendez J., Mateos G.G., De Blas J.C., 1994. The response of highly productive rabbits to dietary lysine content. *Livest. Prod. Sci.*, 40, 329-337.
- Tarvydas H., Jordan S.M., Morgan E.H., 1968. Iron metabolism during lactation in the rabbit. Brit. J. Nutr., 22, 565-573.
- Testud M., Ribadeau-Dumas B., 1973. Etude des caséines du lait de lapine. Biochimie, 55, 1085-1093.
- Torres, A., Fraga, M.J., De Blas, J.C. 1979. Produccion de leche y mortalidad de los gazapos de raza neozelandesa. An. Inst. Nac. Invest. Agr., 10, 25-30.
- Tudela F., Hurtaud J., Garreau H., De Rochambeau H., 2003. Comparaison des performances zootechniques de femelles parentales issues d'une souche témoin et d'une souche sélectionnée pour la productivité numérique. In Proc.: 10^{emes} Journ. Rech. Cunicole, Paris, ITAVI Ed., Paris, 53-56.
- Uribe A.P., Alfonso J.B., Lara A.M.C., 1980. Analisis proximal y amino acidos de la leche de coneja. 2nd World Rabbit Congr., Barcelona, Spain, Vol. 2, 18.
- Van den Hout J.M., Reuser A.J., de Klerk J.B., Arts W.F., Smeiting J.A., Van der Ploeg A.T., 2001. Enzyme therapy for pompe disease with recombinant human alpha-glucosidase from rabbit milk. J. Inherit. Metab. Dis., 24, 266-274.
- Vásquez Martßnez R., Petersen J., Mennicken L., 1999. Milk performance of does depending on their litter weight at birth and on the number of kids assigned after a complete kid exchange. *Arch. Geflügelk.*, 63, 169-173.
- Vicente J.S., Garcia-Ximénez F., 1992. Growth limitations of suckling rabbits. Proposal of a method to evaluate the numerical performance of rabbit does until weaning. In Proc.: 5th World Rabbit Congr., Oregon, USA. In: J. Applied Rabbit Res., 15,

848-855.

- Virag G., Baranyi M., Bôsze Z., Devinoy E., 1996. Variability of alpha2-casein phenotypes in a New Zealand White rabbit breeding stock in Hungary. In Proc.: 6th World Rabbit Congr., Toulouse, France, Vol. 2, 377-380.
- Whatley B.J., Green J. B., Green M. H., 1981. Effect of dietary fat and cholesterol on milk composition, milk intake and cholesterol metabolism in the rabbit. J. Nutr., 111, 432-441.
- Xiccato G., Parigi-Bini R., Dalle Zotte A., Carazzolo A., Cossu M.E., 1995. Effect of dietary energy level, addition of fat and physiological state on performance and energy balance of lactating and pregnant rabbit does. *Anim. Sci.*, 61, 387-398.
- Xiccato G., Bernardini M., Castellini C., Dalle Zotte A., Queaque P.I., Trocino A., 1999. Effect of postweaning feeding on the performance and energy balance of female rabbits at different physiological states. J. Anim. Sci., 77, 416-426.
- Xiccato G, Trocino A., Sartori A., Queaque P.I., 2004. Effect of parity order and litter weaning age on the performance and body energy balance of rabbit does. *Livest. Prod. Sci.*, 85, 239-251.
- Xiccato G., Trocino A., Boiti C., Brecchia G., 2005. Reproductive rhythm and litter weaning age as they affect rabbit doe performance and body energy balance. *Anim. Sci.*, 81, 289-296.
- Yoshiyama Y., Brown, W.R., 1987. Specific antibodies to cholera toxin in rabbit milk are protective against vibrio cholerae induced intestinal secretion. *Immunology*, 61, 543-547.
- Zarrow M.X., Denenberg V.H., Anderson C.O., 1965. Rabbit: Frequency of suckling in the pup. Science, 150, 1835-1836.
- Zerrouki N., Lebas F., Beriche M., Bolet G., 2005. Evaluation of milk production of a local Algerian rabbit population raised in the Tizi-Ouzou area (Kabylia). World Rabbit Sci., 13, 39-47.