

Clean recovery of antioxidant flavonoids from onions: Optimising solvent free microwave extraction method

- Zill-E-Huma, Maryline Vian, Jean Francois Maingonnat, Farid Chemat

▶ To cite this version:

- Zill-E-Huma, Maryline Vian, Jean Francois Maingonnat, Farid Chemat. Clean recovery of antioxidant flavonoids from onions: Optimising solvent free microwave extraction method. Journal of Chromatography A, 2009, 1216 (45), pp.7700-7707. 10.1016/j.chroma.2009.09.044 . hal-02665436

HAL Id: hal-02665436 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02665436v1

Submitted on 31 May 2020 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Version définitive du manuscrit publié dans / Final version of the manuscript published in : Journal of Chromatography A, 2009, DOI: 10.1016/j.chroma.2009.09.044

Clean recovery of antioxidant flavonoids from onions: Optimising solvent free microwave extraction method

Zill-e-HUMA, Maryline ABERT-VIAN*, Jean Francois Maingonnat, Farid CHEMAT

UMR A408, Sécurité et Qualité des Produits d'Origine Végétale, Université d'Avignon et des Pays de Vaucluse, INRA, F-84000 Avignon, France. Maryline.vian@univ-avignon.fr

Abstract

15 A solvent free microwave hydrodiffusion and gravity extraction (MHG) of flavonol content from onion (Allium cepa L.) was studied. Effectiveness of this innovative method in 16 17 extraction of onion total phenolic content, total quercetin (TQ), quercetin aglycon (QA), 18 quercetin-3,4'-diglucoside (QDG), quercetin-4'-monoglucoside (Q4G), quercetin-3-19 monoglucoside (O3G), kaempferol (KMF) and myricetin (MRT) have been evaluated and 20 compared with conventional solvent extraction. Microwave extraction offers important advantages like shorter extraction time (23 mins), cleaner feature (no solvent or water used) 22 and extraction of valuable onion crude juice retaining fresh organoleptic properties with 23 higher phenolic content (58.29 mg GAE/g DW) at optimized power (500W). Microwave 24 extraction resulted significant yield (81.5%) with 41.9% of flavonol contents, with better retain of remaining flavonoids (55.9%) in residues of onions. QDG (239.7 mg/100g DW) and 26 Q4G (82.55 mg/100g DW) have been reported the main flavonol in this study. Minor quantities of QA (traces), Q3G (4.22 mg/100g DW) and KMF (3.99 mg/100g DW) were also 28 detected in microwave onion extracts.

Keywords: Microwave, extraction, onion, flavonoids, solvent free.

1

2 3 4

5

6 7

8

9

14

21

25

27

29

Version définitive du manuscrit publié dans / Final version of the manuscript published in : Journal of Chromatography A, 2009, DOI: 10.1016/j.chroma.2009.09.044

1. Introduction43

Human biological system is vulnerable to the attack of extremely reactive oxygen species (ROS), which are produced continuously as a result of endogenous enzymatic reactions and also by exogenous sources [1, 2]. The formation and activity of these ROS are believed to be responsible for degenerative diseases and their associated complications like cancers, cardiovascular diseases and accelerated aging of organisms [3]. Increased consumption of diets rich in fruits and vegetables are associated with low prevalence of degenerative diseases as they provide a great amount of antioxidant phytochemicals and literature proved antioxidants as one of the defence mechanisms within the organism against ROS [4]. These phenolic antioxidants act as free radical scavengers and offer protection against cellular damage by retarding oxidative stress. Among vegetable polyphenols the flavonoids group generally dominate and found relatively in higher concentration as sugar conjugates as studied by Miean and Mohamed [5] in 62 edible plants and detected abundant amount of quercetin glucosides in different vegetables.

Onion (*Allium cepa L.*) a versatile vegetable of *Allium* family is appreciated worldwide not just for its distinctive taste and flavour but also as a significant source of many beneficial compounds. Several studies revealed the presence of various dietary flavonoids in different varieties of onions along with other bioactive compounds [6]. The main flavonol are based on quercetin among which quercetin diglucoside and quercetin monoglucoside are the major components [7-9] and almost all of these are mainly localized in the abaxial epidermis of scales [10]. The amounts of quercetin glucosides are much larger in onion bulbs in comparison with other vegetables [11, 12] and they possess very high antioxidative [13] and antiproliferative activities [4]. While considering the usefulness of antioxidants against cardiovascular disease and colorectal cancers, it's necessary to examine their extraction processes from different vegetables for obtaining maximum health effects.

Efficiency of extraction process and mass of release components depend on degree of vegetal cell disintegration which have been achieved previously by conventional solid-liquid extraction, with assistance of processes like heating, boiling, pressing, blending, maceration and mechanical fragmentation of plant material [14-17]. Leaching or organic solvent extraction is the most extensively used process for obtaining plant phenolic components from many decades. Current literature also shows the use of conventional solvent extraction

Version définitive du manuscrit publié dans / Final version of the manuscript published in : Journal of Chromatography A, 2009, DOI: 10.1016/j.chroma.2009.09.044

74 supplemented by intensive processes like steam distillation from onion sprouts [18] and 75 Soxhlet extraction of onion peel [3]. Phenolic extracts are also purified and extracted by using 76 ion exchange resins from onion and lettuce [19, 20].

Use of these traditional methods not only resulted in degradation of different phenolic contents due to intensive mechanical disruption but the involvement of long extraction timings, severe heating conditions and extensive usage of toxic organic solvents favours the liberation of oxidative enzymes and also promotes these degradation reactions. The use of ultrasound, as an upcoming extraction technique, also has been reported for onion phenolic compounds extraction [21]. The cavitational effect of ultrasound provides greater penetration of solvent into cellular materials which helps in improving the release of cell contents into bulk medium at room temperature, but its main disadvantage is again its extensive requirement of solvents and their incomplete separation from extract.

86 Microwave hydrodiffusion and gravity (MHG) is a novel technology that has massive 87 potential for variety of extractive applications as the extraction of essential oil have been 88 performed from rosemary leaves [22] and from Spearmint (M. spicata L.) and Pennyroyal 89 (*M. pulegiom L.*) plant [23]. But for antioxidants extraction, it was the first time we have 90 utilized the efficiency of this innovative technology. The use of microwaves influence textural 91 properties of plant material and increase secondary metabolites diffusion by improving tissue 92 softness and increasing cell permeability. It emerges as an energy saving technology as 93 microwaves are also being able to enhance cell disruption due to their high penetration power 94 resulting in enhancement of mass transfer within and outside the plant tissues [24]. MHG not 95 only appeared as an efficient and economical technology but its chief advantage is its 96 environmental friendly approach as it works without using any solvent just under effect of 97 microwaves and earth gravity at atmospheric pressure [25].

In this case common yellow onion, a vegetable of huge economic importance grown all over the world, loses its water content more rapidly when treated with MHG under controlled temperature. This innovative method proved itself as an ideal alternative extraction method by producing juice with retention of fresh organoleptic qualities and also by retaining increase content of valuable phenolic components. This article illustrates the efficiency of MHG for extraction of flavonoid content of yellow onion at optimized power with combination of control temperature in comparison with conventional solid liquid extraction and also focussed on onion nutritional attributes in terms of total phenol content and flavonol

77

78

79

80

81 82

83

84

85

98

Version définitive du manuscrit publié dans / Final version of the manuscript published in : Journal of Chromatography A, 2009, DOI: 10.1016/j.chroma.2009.09.044

contents (total quercetin (TQ), quercetin aglycon (QA) quercetin-3,4'-diglucoside (QDG), 106

107 quercetin-4'-monoglucoside (Q4G), quercetin-3-monoglucoside (Q3G), kaempferol (KMF) 108 and myricetin (MRT).

2. Experimental

2.1. Raw material

Raw yellow onions (Allium cepa L.) were purchased from a local supermarket in Avignon province (South France). Onion bulbs which exhibit a diameter of 50-70 mm and were apparently free of external damages was selected and peeled manually for their following processing.

117 2.2. Chemicals

118 All solvents used for chromatographic purposes were HPLC grade. Methanol and Formic 119 acid were from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany) and Acetonitrile was from Fisher Scientific Ltd. 120 (Bishop Meadow Road, Loughborough, UK). The HPLC grade flavonol standards quercetin-3,4'-diglucoside and quercetin-4'-glucoside (spiraeoside) were purchased from Extrasynthese 121 122 (Lyon, France). Quercetin, quercetin-3-glucoside, kaempeferol and myricetin were purchased 123 from Sigma Chemicals Chimie (Fallavier, France).

2.3. Determination of moisture content

Moisture content determination of onion was carried out firstly by conventional Dean-Stark distillation apparatus according to the American Oil Chemist' society (AOCS) official method [26], and also with an electric oven at 80°C. The average moisture content measured by both processes was $88.5 \pm 0.5\%$.

129 2.4. MHG apparatus and procedure

Microwave hydrodiffusion and gravity has been performed in a Milestone EOS-G microwave laboratory oven illustrated in Fig. 1. This is a multimode microwave reactor 2.45 GHz with a maximum delivered power of 900W variable in 10W increments. Time, temperature, pressure and power can be controlled with the "easy-WAVE" software package. The extraction vessels are made from Pyrex and have a capacity of 1000 mL. During experiments temperature was monitored by temperature sensor optic fibers which were

131

132

133

134

135

Manuscrit

Version définitive du manuscrit publié dans / Final version of the manuscript published in : Journal of Chromatography A, 2009, DOI: 10.1016/j.chroma.2009.09.044

inserted in the centre and outer layer of sample and also in the sample reactor. Temperature
variations in different parts of plant material and reactor were measured continuously and data
was saved automatically. This feedback helped in controlling the temperature by microwave
power regulator.

MHG procedure was performed at atmospheric pressure; 500 g of fresh entire onion bulbs was heated using a fix power density without addition of solvents or water. The direct interaction of microwaves with biological water favours the release of compounds trapped inside the cells of plant material. These compounds thus move naturally by diffusion along with hot water or crude juice out of the cells of plant material and move thus naturally downwards under the effect of earth gravity on a spiral condenser outside the microwave cavity where it condensed. The crude juice was collected continuously in a graduated cylinder. The extraction was continued until no more juice was obtained or overheating was detected. Extracted crude juice was collected and was freeze-dried.

2.5. Conventional solid liquid extraction

In conventional solid liquid extraction fresh onion scales were used, onion bulb was peeled and cut manually and 5g onion scales were homogenised with 50mL of 80% methanol in an ultrahomogeniser at 8000 rpm for 45mins. After that the mixture was filtered and supernatant was collected and made upto 50mL of methanol. This filtered solution was used directly for HPLC and TPC analysis. Final concentrations of different flavonoids were calculated in mg/100g DW (dry weight).

2.6. HPLC analysis

157 HPLC analyses were performed using a Waters (Milford, MA) HPLC system 158 consisting of a Waters 600E pump, a Waters 717 manual injector rheodyn, a Waters 2996 159 photodiode array detector. The HPLC pumps, manual injector rheodyn, column temperature, 160 and diode array system were monitored and controlled by using Waters Empower 2 161 Chromatography Data software program. The wavelength used for the quantification of the onion flavonoids with the diode detector was 360 nm. The chromatographic separation was 162 163 carried out on a Purospher Star RP-18 end-capped column (250 mm × 4 mm I.D.; 5 µm 164 particle size from VWR), with a RP18 guard column (4 mm×4mm I.D.; 5µm particle size also 165 from VWR). The end-capped column and guard column were held at 37°C and the flow rate

Version définitive du manuscrit publié dans / Final version of the manuscript published in : Journal of Chromatography A, 2009, DOI: 10.1016/j.chroma.2009.09.044

was set at 1mL/min. The mobile phase consisted of two solvents: (A) acidified water (0.5% 166 167 formic acid) and (B) 100% acetonitrile. The solvent gradient used was the following: 0 min, (A) 95% and (B) 5%; 20min, (A) 60% and (B) 40%; 30min, (A) 0% and (B) 100%; 45min, 168 169 (A) 95% and (B) 5%. The injection volume was 20 µL and all analyses were performed at 170 least three times and only mean values were reported. Identification of flavonoids was done 171 by comparing the elution order and UV-visible spectra. Quantification was carried out by 172 using the external standards of known concentration. Peak areas were used to quantify the 173 compounds in the sample. A linear regression analysis was carried out on the data of the peak 174 area versus concentration. Linear calibration curves of the standards ranging from 10 to 100 mg/L were obtained with good linearity and R^2 values which were more than 99.5% accurate 175 for all the standards. Extraction was performed three times and final concentrations of different flavonoids were calculated by using the mean values, expressed in mg/100g DW.

178 **2.7. Total phenolic content (TPC)**

179 Total polyphenols were estimated colorimetrically using the Folin-Ciocalteu method [27], with a kit (SEPPAL (Isitec-lab), France) especially suitable for TPC measurement of food products. This kit includes reagent A (modified Folin-Ciocalteu reagent), reagent B (alkaline buffer) and a gallic acid solution (3g/L). A small volume (20 µL) of H₂O (blank), gallic acid solution (standard) and 200 µL the extract (sample) was mixed with reagent A (2 184 mL). After 1 min, 1 mL of reagent B was added in both water and gallic acid standard and 185 850 µL in sample. The mixtures were allowed to stand for 30 min in the dark at room 186 temperature. Then, their absorbance was measured at 760 nm with a diode-array Hewlett-Packard 8453 spectrophotometer [28]. TPCs were calculated by using the following formulae: TPC = $3 \times$ (sample absorbance – blank absorbance) / (standard absorbance – blank 188 189 absorbance). TPC measurements were performed thrice and mean values, expressed as mg gallic acid equivalent/g of dry weight (mg GAE/g DW), were reported. 190

191 **3. Results and discussion**

Efficient performance of MHG for onion antioxidants extraction depends on different factors like moisture of plant material, irradiation power, temperature and time. To determine optimal reaction conditions for obtaining significant results a preliminary study consisting of various experiments was carried out.

Manuscrit d'auteur / Author manuscript

192

193

194

Version définitive du manuscrit publié dans / Final version of the manuscript published in : Journal of Chromatography A, 2009, DOI: 10.1016/j.chroma.2009.09.044

196 **3.1.** Microwave heating phenomenon

Fig. 2 shows the heating phenomenon proceeding in the centre of onions at different powers from 300W - 900W detected by temperature sensor optic fibre. Different phases in development of temperature can be distinguished (Fig. 2). The first phase corresponds to the heating phase (A), a rapid increase in temperature was observed from initial temperature (20°C) of onions to the boiling point of water (100°C). Heating rates observed in this phase were proportional to the different applied powers: 8.5°C/min (300W), 13.5°C/min (400W), 29.7°C/min (500W), 32.2°C/min (600W), 36°C/min (700W), 40°C/min (800W) and 45.9°C/min (900W). During this phase, in situ water of plant material was heated up, when irradiated with microwaves and diffused out of plant material and moved downward under the influence of earth gravity. This phase was ended with appearance of first drop of water outside the microwave cavity.

At this point, temperature maintained to a plateau region and remained in this steady state at 100°C until the complete extraction of non bounded water. It corresponds to the extracting phase (B) of process, and when there was only tightly bound water remained, the temperature increased very quickly and led to the burning phase (C) which leads to the end of extraction. Heating rates of burning observed at different powers during this phase were: 5.14°C/min (300W), 6°C/min (400W), 8.5°C/min (500W), 9.6°C/min (600W), 10.3°C/min (700W), 12°C/min (800W) and 16.4°C/min (900W). Here, we can observe that the initial heating rates were more rapid than the heating rates of burning. Perhaps, it was due to the less free water content, inside the onion during the last phase of heating.

Similar phases were also detected by using optic fibers in outer layer of onion and also in reactor but the heating efficiency were in descending order from centre to outer layer of onion and then the reactor. Heating rates of phase A and phase C observed in the outer layer of onion and also in reactor were proportional to the applied powers but were less quick in comparison to the rates observed in centre of onion. Behind this, heating phenomenon of microwaves works, which are distributed volumetrically and heat transfer occurs from centre of samples to the outer colder environment. This cause an important difference when compared to conventional heating in which heat transfer occurs from outer layer to centre of onion (Fig. 2). While considering the mass transfer, it occurs from inside to the outside of plant material both in microwave and conventional heating [29]. In conventional heating, heat transfer depends on thermal conductivity, on the temperature difference across the sample,

Author

d'auteur

Manuscrit 227

222

223

224

225

226

Version définitive du manuscrit publié dans / Final version of the manuscript published in : Journal of Chromatography A, 2009, DOI: 10.1016/j.chroma.2009.09.044

and for fluids on convection currents. As a result, the temperature increase is often rather slow. By contrast, in microwave heating, due to volumetric heating effect, much faster temperature increases can be obtained, depending on microwave power and the dielectric loss factor of the material being irradiated.

3.2. Extraction kinetics

In order to carry out the study on extraction kinetics of onion extracted juice, volume of onion juice obtained at different powers was plotted as function of time. Extraction curves obtained at different powers in Figure 3 shows three diverse stages of extraction.

Stage 1 corresponds to the induction time, during this phase no recovery of water was occurred. It ends with emergence of first water drop.

Stage 2 represent the constantly increasing flow rate of extract as illustrated in Figure 3 by linear curves at different powers. All the easily exchangeable water of onion was extracted in this phase. During this phase the gradient of curves increases with increase of power.

Stage 3 marks the end of extraction process as represented by horizontal line on graph. At initiation of this phase, onions were almost dry with no more further extraction. At this point, burnt smell was generated as a result of prolonged heating.

Finally, the extracted crude juice was collected freeze dried and yield was expressed in percentage (%).

7 **3.3. Extraction yield**

The aim of this part of study was to examine the impact of MHG on extraction yield of crude onion juice at various powers. Actual yield of four medium sized onions was taken until the time at which moisture collection was completely stopped due to overheating. No remarkable difference in onion juice yield was observed at different powers. A slight decrease in juice volume was observed while moving from lower (300W) to higher (900W) power. The percentage of crude juice yields calculated at different powers was: 82.7% (300W), 82.1% (400W), 81.5% (500W), 81.1% (600W), 80.8% (700W), 80.3% (800W) and 79.7% (900W), which is close to the actual moisture percentage (88.52%) of fresh onion.

Version définitive du manuscrit publié dans / Final version of the manuscript published in : Journal of Chromatography A, 2009, DOI: 10.1016/j.chroma.2009.09.044

The water content of onion is not an alone factor for determining the final yield. The onion crude juice also holds some soluble compounds like sugar, acids and polyphenols. The dry extracts weight which was taken, after removal of water content of crude onion juice by the process of freeze drying, at different powers was also in descending order from lower to higher powers. The weight of dry extracts yields we obtained at different powers, 4.70% (300W), 4.40% (400W), 4.28% (500W), 3.41% (600W), 2.87% (700W), 2.19% (800W) and 1.95% (900W), proved that the efficient extraction of soluble solids significantly depends on applied powers. As it vary remarkably among very lower and very high power.

3.4. Microwave extracted onion polyphenol contents

265

256

3.4.1. Total phenolic content

The amount of total phenolic content (TPC) varied in the onion extracts obtained at different powers as shown in Table 1. Highest phenolic content (58.29 mg GAE/g DW) was found at power of 500W and lowest content was observed at 900W (29.94 mg GAE/g DW). Initially TPC increased with increase of power from 300W (47.54 mg GAE/g DW), and a maximum amount was detected at 500W but with further increase of power, phenolic content concentration started to decrease and lowest concentration was observed at very high power (900W). TPC results obtained at 500W were not only significant in comparison with conventional solvent extraction (64.81 mg GAE/g DW) but also correlate with previous data. Our detected range of TPC falls in the range (4.6-74.1 mg GAE/g DW) observed in different varieties and layers of Allium cepa, including varieties contain very high level of phenolic content (red onion) to very low level (white onion) [30]. These results are also found in good concentration in comparison to the TPC values studied by Nuutila et al. [31] in the dry outer skin of red (80.0 mg GAE/g) and yellow onions (26.0 mg GAE/g). TPC values of microwave extracted (at 500W) residue was also observed after its conventional solvent extraction, in order to check the remaining amount of phenolic contents in residue, which was 21.60 mg GAE/g DW, these results shows that with MHG we have extracted a good percentage of phenolic compounds along with "in situ" water content of plant material.

3.4.2. Flavonoid content of onion extracts obtained at different powers

3.4.2.1. Total guercetin and major flavonoids

Version définitive du manuscrit publié dans / Final version of the manuscript published in : Journal of Chromatography A, 2009, DOI: 10.1016/j.chroma.2009.09.044

Total guercetin presented in Table 1 is the sum of concentration of free guercetin and 287 different forms of quercetin present in conjugation with carbohydates mainly as glucosides like QDG, Q4G and Q3G. QDG and Q4G provide a good estimation of level of total quercetin in the sample as they are representing about 90% of overall flavonol content [32]. ODG was detected in highest concentration in comparison to other quercetin glucosides followed by Q4G identified as second major flavonol compound. Quantification of all these compounds has been done by comparing the retention time and absorbance of peaks at 360nm with the use of authentic standards. In preliminary study, extraction efficiency of different powers for flavonol contents was tested. Higher levels of total quercetin (326.5 mg/100g DW) was calculated at 500W (Table 1) compared to other applied powers, which correspond well to the previous published data (414 mg/100g DW found by Aoyama and Yamamoto [14] in yellow onion; 348mg/100g DW quercetin content in yellow onion illustrated in Danish results by Justesen et al. [33], 507 mg/100g DW by Hertog et al. [11] and 280 mg/100g DW by Mogren et al, [34]). All the analyzed flavonols have shown almost similar behaviour to TQ, as the highest levels of ODG (239.7 mg/100g DW) and O4G (82.55 mg/100g DW) were also found at 500W. These results not only fall in the range reported by Cardi et al. [32], (QDG: 153-404 mg/100g DW, Q4G: 58-286 mg/100g DW) among different onion varieties but Q4G was also found in good concentration as compared to the results determined by Roldán-Marín et al. [9] (282 mg/100g DW concentration of QDG and 43.9 mg/100g DW of Q4G) in high pressure processed onion. Bonaccorsi et al. [8] have also found QDG in highest concentration in red onion variety (254-274 mg/100g DW), our results also correspond well with these results as yellow onion ranked after red onion as a good source of quercetin flavonoid contents. Concentration of ODG (581.8 mg/100g DW) and O4G (187.5 mg/100g DW), in fresh onion samples treated with conventional solvent extraction was also detected in higher amount in comparison to other flavonols. TO concentration determined by conventional solvent extraction method was 782.6 mg/100g DW which falls in the range of flavonols content of yellow onion (270-1187mg/100g DW) reviewed by Slimestad et al. [35]. For analysing the effect of microwave on stability of flavonoid content and their extraction efficiency, concentration of flavonoids retained in microwave extracted (at 500W) onion samples was also calculated by conventional solvent extraction. TQ retained in the residue of microwave extracted onions was found 440.7 mg/100g DW which is 56% of the TQ content determined by conventional solvent extraction of fresh onion. Amount of TQ observed at 500W is 42% of the concentration determined by conventional method. Our results showed 2% losses of TQ by microwave extraction in comparison to conventional fresh onion

Version définitive du manuscrit publié dans / Final version of the manuscript published in : Journal of Chromatography A, 2009, DOI: 10.1016/j.chroma.2009.09.044

extraction. Concentration of major flavonols, QDG (342.4 mg/100g DW) and Q4G (95.2 321 mg/100g DW), retained in residue of onions was found almost comparable with microwave extracted content along with water content of onions. These results showed that there was no remarkable loss or degradation of flavonoid compounds occurred at 500W and onion bulbs still retained a good amount of these major compounds after microwave extraction of water content. Similar to the findings of Rodrigues *et al.* [36], as they have been determined no loss of QDG and Q4G at mild (450W) microwave heating in comparison to untreated onion but 16% (QDG) and 18% (Q4G) losses were detected with increase of power (750W). Similarly, losses of these compounds were also observed with increase of power, in comparison to the highest concentration observed at 500W. A rapid decrease in concentration was observed at intense powers as shown in Table 1 and finally lowest amount of QDG was determined at 900W (101.6 mg/100g DW) with complete absence of detectable amount of Q4G, as more intense treatments resulted with loss of quercetin components. But very low power (300W) was also not an effective and efficient power for flavonoids extraction, along this it also consumes more time for complete extraction of onion water content. ODG exhibited the lowest loss (degradation or conversion into quercetin aglycon) as it is still present at very drastic condition at 900W in comparison to Q4G, Q3G and free quercetin which were not detected at 900W. In QDG glucose is attached at 3 and 4' and due to blockage of the two positions it showed much greater stability then Q4G in which position 3 is not conjugated. Makris and Rossiter [37] have also observed the lowest loss in QDG concentration (8.4%) and Q4G and QA content declined by 37.6% when subjected to heating treatments. Our results are also supported by the work of Kana et al. [38] who has selected microwave roasting without water as a better cooking method to retain flavonoids in onion tissue. But the concentration of TQ varied with power. This shows that microwave with a mild power is an efficient method for extraction of quercetin components without remarkable degradation. With MHG we can extract more than 40% of the flavonol components along with the "in situ" water content of onions also possessing fresh organoleptic properties.

3.4.2.2. Minor flavonoids

Free quercetin was also quantified in conventional and microwave extracted samples at retention time of 24.352min by HPLC. The content of free quercetin showed a low percentage in comparison with QDG and Q4G. Any measurable quantity of QA was not 352 detected at low powers 300W and 400W. At 500W just traces of QA were observed, similar

Manuscrit

Version définitive du manuscrit publié dans / Final version of the manuscript published in : Journal of Chromatography A, 2009, DOI: 10.1016/j.chroma.2009.09.044

353 to the results obtained by Patil et al. [39] in four yellow onion varieties and one pink and red onion variety. They have detected not more than 0.4 mg/100g DW of free quercetin in all the onions. Zielinska et al. [40] also mentioned only 1.1% of free quercetin in yellow onion bulbs. At 600W a good concentration (5.25 mg/100g DW) of QA was detected but then a fall in concentration was observed with increase of power. In fresh onions normally there is always a low concentration of QA but as a result of some treatment or processing breakdown of glycosidic bonds in QDG and QMG, a good concentration of QA can be detected. Perhaps similar is the case here with decrease of gercetin glycosidic forms, an increase in concentration of QA observed at high powers of 600W and 700W. But with further increase of power, phenomenon of degradation was more profoundly expressed in free quercetin which has both sides exposed. With conventional solvent extraction method (80% methanol) a very light concentration of QA was observed (1.32 mg/100g DW) and in the residues we have also detected just traces of QA.

Along with QA the other minor components which are representing almost less than 5% of total amount of flavonols were analysed. Very small peaks with retention times of 18.115min and 27.289min have been identified as Q3G and kaempferol, respectively, by comparison with their standards. Similar to the already discussed major compounds highest concentration of Q3G have been detected at 500W (4.22mg/100g DW) which is almost 1.27% of the total amount of analysed flavonols. This value is in good agreement with the results of Zielinska et al. [40] who have determined 1.4% of Q3G in Sochaczewska onion variety which is a typical onion with a yellow-brown bulb colour. Similar to other flavonoids, it was also detected in minor amounts at vary high powers.

Kaempferol presence in comparison to quercetin content in different varieties of onions has been reported in minor quantities [39]. Kaempferol content identified and quantified at 400W (4.01mg/100g DW) and 500W (3.99mg/100g DW) were not significantly different from each other, and these values were similar to the previously reported values of 3-7 mg/100g DW by Bilyk et al.[41] in the outer and inner skins of bulbs of different varieties. A slightly higher content of kaempferol has been reported by Sellappan and Akoh [42] in five varieties of onion which ranged from 15.4 - 19.8 mg/100g DW. In contrast to the findings of Sellappan and Akoh [42], myricetin was not detected in yellow onion variety which we have extracted and analysed. The presence of different flavonoids varied among

Version définitive du manuscrit publié dans / Final version of the manuscript published in : Journal of Chromatography A, 2009, DOI: 10.1016/j.chroma.2009.09.044

different varieties and their concentration also depends on different factors like climatic 384 385 conditions and stages of maturity.

386 3.4.3. Flavonoid contents at optimized power

Impact of different microwave irradiation powers, from 300W-900W, were examined in terms of heating and burning rate, yield, extraction rate, flavonoid contents. With increasing power no better results were obtained, as at high powers (600W-900W), high speed of extraction was observed but resulted with less total dry extract yield due to degradation of phenolic compounds. On the other hand low power resulted more yield but with slower extraction rate and are also inefficient for complete extraction of flavonoids. An irradiation power of 500W was selected as an optimum power for later experiments. At optimized power, the yield and flavonoids composition were examined after each five minutes (A=0-3min, B=3-8min, C=8-13, D=13-18, E=18-23, F=23-27.5).

Initially, in situ water was heated at the rate of 27.9°C/min and it takes almost 3mins (A) to reach the extraction temperature 100°C, resulted with appearance of first drop. Almost comparable yields of water content were obtained after each five minutes: 14.7% (B), 17.6% (C), 16.9% (D), 16.4% (E), and 15.9% (F), until the end of extraction process completed in 27.5mins. But the dry extract yield obtained after freeze drying was in inverse proportion to the time, as with increase of time, the percentage of extracted component decreased (Fig 4). Highest yield of flavonoid components obtained in part C: QDG (108.5mg/100g DW), Q4G (41.9mg/100g DW), Q3G (1.80mg/100g DW). Traces of QA were also detected only in part C which also showed the highest content of total phenols (20.1mg GAE/g DW) in comparison to other parts of extraction process: 18.9mg GAE/g DW (B), 16.1mg GAE/g DW (D) and 15.9mg GAE/g DW (E). Highest dry extract yield was observed in part B as shown in Figure 4. but the lower content of flavonoids were observed in this part in comparison to part C; QDG (89.18mg/100g DW), Q4G (30.9mg/100g DW), Q3G (1.74mg/100g DW). After part C the next extracted parts D and E resulted with further decrease in dry extract yield with minimum content of flavonoids. In part D only the two major components: QDG (44.6mg/100g DW) and Q4G (7.16mg/100g DW) were detected. Kaempferol was detected in part E of extraction along with minimum quantity of these above mentioned major flavonoid components QDG (16.4mg/100g DW) and Q4G (1.12mg/100g DW). Onion juice extracted in first 23mins just before the onset of burning was analysed with HPLC (Fig. 5) and rejected

413

Version définitive du manuscrit publié dans / Final version of the manuscript published in : Journal of Chromatography A, 2009, DOI: 10.1016/j.chroma.2009.09.044

the last part of juice which was extracted during burning, as the content of flavonoids havealready been decreased.

3.5. Cost, cleanliness and scale-up of the method

The reduced cost of extraction is clearly advantageous for the proposed MHG method in terms of time and energy. Conventionnal solvent extraction required sorganic solvent, long extraction time, evaporation of the solvent is needed and the purification of the extract. The MHG method required irradiation for 20 min only. MHG is proposed as an "environmentally friendly" extraction method which avoids the use of large quantities of solvent and voluminous extraction vessels.

MHG could also be used to produce larger quantities of extracts by using existing large-scale microwave extraction reactors (www.archimex.com). These microwave reactors are suitable for the extraction of 10, 20, or 100 kg of fresh plant material per batch. These reactors could be easily modified and used for MHG isolations.

4. Conclusion and perspectives

MHG is a novel technology which has been used first time for extraction of onion flavonol content, whose antioxidant activity relates to human health promoting effects. With MHG its possible to extract 330.46mg of flavonol content from one kilo gram of fresh plant material (yellow onion variety), which is 41.9% of the flavonoid content extracted with solvent (80% methanol) from fresh plant material, along with crude onion juice content retaining organoleptic properties similar to fresh unprocessed product. MHG is an attractive novel technology that clearly offers opportunities for food processing industries to meet the growing demand of consumers for healthier food products as it works in absence of any solvent. Along with paying its role in creation of green environment, this technique is also offering a quicker alternative extraction process which allows substantial saving in energy and cost.

References

[1] D. Stajner, I.S. Varga, Acta. Bio. Szegediensis. 47(2003)103.

Version définitive du manuscrit publié dans / Final version of the manuscript published in : Journal of Chromatography A, 2009, DOI: 10.1016/j.chroma.2009.09.044

[2] B. Subhasree, R. Basker, R.L. Keerthana, R.L. Susan, P. Rajasekaran, Food Chem. 446 447 115(2009)1213.

448 449 [3] B.N. Singh, B.R. Singh, R.L. Singh, D. Prakash, D.P. Singh, B.K. Sarma, G. Upadhyay, H.B. Singh, Food. Chem Toxi. 47(2009)1161.

450 [4] D. Boivin, S. Lamy, S. Lord-Dufour, J. Jackson, E. Beaulieu, M. Côté, A. Moghrabi, S. 451 Barrette, D. Gingras, R. Béliveau, Food Chem. 112(2009)374.

- 452 [5] K.H. Miean, S. Mohamed, J. Agric. Food Chem. 49(2001)3106.
- 453 [6] J. Lachman, D. Proněk, A. Hejtmánková, J. Dudjak, V. Pivec, K. Faitová, Horti Sci. 454 4(2003)142.
- 455 [7] M.J.C. Rhodes, K.R. Price, Food Chem. 57(1996)113.
- [8] P. Bonaccorsi, C. Caristi, C. Gargiulli, U. Leuzzi, Food Chem. 107(2008)1668.
- Huthor manuscript 457 458 458 [9] E. Roldán-Marín, C. Snchez-Moreno, R. Lioría, B.D. Ancos, M.P. Cano, LWT-Food Sci. Tech. 42(2009)835.
 - [10] S. Hirota, T. Shimoda, U. Takahama, Food Sci. Tech.5(1999)384.
 - [11] M.G.L Hertog, P.C.H., Hollman, D.P. Venema, D.P. J. Agric. Food Chem. 40(1992)1591.
 - 462 [12] S. Gorinstein, H. Leontowicz, M. Leontowicz, J. Namiesnik, K. Najman, J. Drzewiecki, 463 M. Cvikrová, O. Martincová, S. Trakhtenberg, J. Agric. Food Chem. 56(2008)4418.
 - [13] P. Stratil, B. Klejdus, V. Kubán, J. Agric. Food Chem. 54(2006)607.
 - [14] S. Aoyama, Y. Yamamoto, Food Sci. Tech. 13(2007)67.
 - [15] J. Yang, K.J. Meyers, J.V.D. Heide, R.H. Liu, J. Agric. Food Chem. 52(2004)6787.
 - [16] U. Takahama, T. Oniki, S. Hirota, J. Plant. Res. 114(2001)395.
 - [17] T. Sun, J.R. Powers, J. Tang, Food Chem. 105(2007)101.

Manuscrit d'auteur / Author

manuscri

Author

Author

Version définitive du manuscrit publié dans / Final version of the manuscript published in : Journal of Chromatography A, 2009, DOI: 10.1016/j.chroma.2009.09.044

469 [18] M. Takahashi, T. Shibamoto, J. Agric. Food Chem. 56(2008)10462.

- 470 [19] T.N. Ly, C. Hazama, M. Shimoyamada, H. Ando, K. Kato, R. Yamauchi, J. Agric. Food 471 Chem. 53(2005)8183.
- 472 [20] P. Goupy, M. Amiot-Carlin, J. Escudier, M. Mikolajczak, M. Martin, US Pat. 6,824,797 473 B2, 2004.
- [21] J. Santas, R. Carbó, M.H. Gordon, M.P. Almajano, Food Chem. 107(2008)1210.
- Wanuscrit d'auteur / 424 422 422 426 [22] N. Bousbia, M. Abert-Vian, M.A. Ferhat, B.Y. Meklati, F. Chemat, J. Food. Eng. 90 (2009)409.
 - 477 [23] M. Abert-Vian, X. Fernandez, F. Visinono, F. Chemat, j. Chromatogr. A. 1190 (2008) 478 14.
- u manuscript 479 480 [24] F. Chemat, M. Lucchesi, Microwave-assisted extraction of essential oils, in Microwaves in organic synthesis, A. Loupy (ed) Weinheim, 2006, pp.
 - 481 [25] F. Chemat, M. Abert-Vian, F. Visinoni, EP 1 955 749 A1,(2008).
 - [26] Official Method Ja 2a-46, American Oil Chemist Society, Champaign, IL, 1993.
 - [27] J.A. Vinson, B.A. Hontz, J. Agric. Food Chem. 43(1995)401.
- Manuscrit d'auteur / A 883 884 [28] C. Dufour, M. Loonis, O. Dangles, Free Radical. Bio Med. 43(2007)241.
 - 485 [29] S. Veillet, V. Tomao, F. Visinoni, F. Chemat, Anal. Chim. Acta. 632(2009)203.
 - [30] D. Prakash, B.N. Singh, G. Upadhyay, Food Chem. 102(2007)1389.
- Manuscrit d'auteur / Author manuscript 888 668 / Author manuscript 668 / Author manuscript 668 / Author manuscript 668 / Author manuscript [31] A.M. Nuutila, R. Puupponen-Pimiä, M. Aarni, K. Oksman-Caldentey, Food Chem. 81(2003)485.
 - [32] D. Caridi, V.C. Trenerry, S. Rochfort, S. Duong, D. Laugher, R. Jones, Food Chem.105 (2007)691.
 - [33] U. Justesen, P. Knuthsen, T. Leth, J. Chromatogr. A. 799(1998)101.

Version définitive du manuscrit publié dans / Final version of the manuscript published in : Journal of Chromatography A, 2009, DOI: 10.1016/j.chroma.2009.09.044

- 492 [34] L.M. Mogren, M.E. Olsson, U.E. Gertsson, J. Chromatogr. A. 1190(2006)14.
- 493 [35] R. Slimestad, T. Fossen, I.M. Vagen, J. Agric. Food Chem. 55(2007)10067.

[36] A.S. Rodrigues, M.R. Pérez-Gregorio, M.S. García-Falcón, J. Simal-Gándara, Food Res. Inter(2009), Article in Press.

- [37] D.P. Makris, J.T. Rossiter, J. Agric. Food Chem. 49(2001)3216.
- [38] I. Kana, A. Yuka, T. Ayaka, T. Junji, N. Nobuji, T. Yoko, J. Nutri Sci. Vita.47 (2001)78.
- [39] B.S. Patil, L.M. Pike, K.S. Yoo, J. Ameri Soc. Horti Sci. 120(1995)909.
- [40] D. Zielinska, W. Wiczkowski, M.K. Piskula, J. Agric. Food Chem. 56(2008)3524.
- 500 [41] A. Bilyk, P.L. Cooper, G.M. Sapers, J. Agric. Food Chem. 32 (1984)274.
 - [42] S. Sellappan, C.C. Akoh, J. Agric. Food Chem. 50(2002)5338.