



HAL
open science

Winter feeding systems and dairy cow breed have an impact on milk composition and flavour of two Protected Designation of Origin French cheeses

Catherine Hurtaud, Jean-Louis J.-L. Peyraud, Guillaume Michel, D. Berthelot, Luc Delaby

► To cite this version:

Catherine Hurtaud, Jean-Louis J.-L. Peyraud, Guillaume Michel, D. Berthelot, Luc Delaby. Winter feeding systems and dairy cow breed have an impact on milk composition and flavour of two Protected Designation of Origin French cheeses. *Animal*, 2009, 3 (9), pp.1327-1338. 10.1017/S1751731109004716 . hal-02668083

HAL Id: hal-02668083

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02668083>

Submitted on 31 May 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Winter feeding systems and dairy cow breed have an impact on milk composition and flavour of two Protected Designation of Origin French cheeses

C. Hurtaud^{1,2†}, J. L. Peyraud^{1,2}, G. Michel³, D. Berthelot^{1,2} and L. Delaby^{1,2}

¹INRA UMR 1080 Production du Lait, F-35000 Rennes, France; ²Agrocampus Ouest UMR 1080 Production du lait, F-35000 Rennes, France; ³INRA Experimental Farm, UE 326, Le Pin-au-Haras, Borculo, F-35590 Saint-Gilles, France

(Received 28 October 2008; Accepted 16 April 2009; First published online 22 May 2009)

This study investigates the effects of two feeding systems and two dairy cow breeds on milk yield and composition, physical and sensorial properties of Camembert and Pont-l'Évêque cheeses. The experiment consisted of a 2 × 2 factorial arrangement of treatments. A low energy grass diet with only 15% of concentrate (LowGS) was compared with a high-energy maize silage diet with 30% concentrate (HighMS). Thirty-four Holstein (Ho) and 34 Normande (No) cows in early lactation were assigned to one of two feeding systems for a 6-week period. Cows on the LowGS feeding system had lower milk yield, fat and protein content. In both feeding systems, No cows had lower milk yields but higher milk protein contents than Ho cows. The LowGS feeding system altered milk fatty acid (FA) composition by reducing saturated FA. Breed had only a small impact on milk FA. Concerning milk coagulating properties, only the firmness was reduced by the LowGS feeding and the Ho breed. The effects of breed and feeding system on the protein content of cheeses were more marked in Camembert cheese than in Pont-l'Évêque cheese. However, the Camembert cheese from Ho-LowGS was, in fact, characterized especially by lower protein content. LowGS feeding system and No breed produced more yellow cheeses. Feeding systems had limited effects on the firmness of Camembert and Pont-l'Évêque cheeses measured by penetrometry. In sensory analysis, Ho breed and LowGS feeding produced a Camembert cheese with a more melting texture in the mouth due to the increase of spreadability index and of proteolysis. The type of cheese also had an influence: the effects were more important on Camembert cheese than on Pont-l'Évêque cheese. Only the Ho-LowGS treatment produced a very specific Camembert cheese different from the others. The feeding systems and breed of dairy cow have no determinant effect on PDO (protected designation of origin) Camembert and Pont-l'Évêque cheeses, especially regarding taste. In this kind of trial, despite the effects of feeding systems and breed on milk composition, the role of cheese ripening and microbiology appears to be of considerable importance.

Keywords: dairy cow, feeding, breed, cheese

Implications

This study investigates the effects of two feeding systems and two dairy cow breeds on milk yield and composition, physical and sensorial properties of Camembert and Pont-l'Évêque cheeses. The differences of feeding system and breed on the technical and sensory properties are more marked in the case of Camembert than Pont-l'Évêque cheeses. Cheese-making technology is likely to moderate the effects of stock-breeding factors on the composition of milk.

Introduction

In Normandy, as in the whole of the West of France, maize silage has replaced herbage (hay or silage) in the winter

feed of dairy herds, so that the majority of protected designation of origin (PDO) cheeses manufactured in winter in this region is nowadays produced from dairy cows fed with a diet containing maize silage. Compared to this type of diet, conserved grass leads to a reduction in the milk production and in protein and fat contents (Hoden *et al.*, 1985), probably associated with a worsening of the cheese-making capacity of milks (Hurtaud *et al.*, 2001). On the other hand, conserved grass decreases the saturated fatty acid (FA) contents with a higher melting point in favour of the mono- and poly-unsaturated FA, including the FA of nutritional interest such as C18:3 or ω -9, ω -11 conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) (Chilliard *et al.*, 2001). Finally, the conserved grass intake is often associated with an energy deficit which is difficult to dissociate from the pure effect of grass alone, since the two effects are additive (Couvreur *et al.*, 2004).

[†] E-mail: Catherine.Hurtaud@rennes.inra.fr

In addition, in French dairy herds, the Holstein (Ho) cow has often replaced the less productive Normande (No) cow, but whose milk is richer in solid content (Lawless *et al.*, 1999; Piacère and Douguet, 2008). The effect of No breed in terms of the quality of dairy products has never been clearly demonstrated, even if the milk from No appears to have a better coagulation capacity (Vertès *et al.*, 1989).

Cheese-making technology is also an important parameter that interacts with the composition of the milk. In Normandy, several types of PDO cheeses are manufactured with raw milk. Camembert is a soft-ripened cheese with a flowered rind, which is ripened for 2 to 6 weeks. Pont-l'Évêque cheese is also a soft cheese, but with a washed or simply brushed rind, which is ripened for a minimum of 2 weeks.

Thus, it was particularly interesting to study the combination of these three factors (feeding system, breed and type of cheese). Indeed, little is known at present about the impact of the effects of feed crossed with breed on the properties of cheeses as a function of type of forage. Verdier-Metz *et al.* (2005) are the only authors reporting different effects from conserved grass in the form of silage or hay according to the type of cheese.

The objective of our trial was thus to compare the characteristics of two cheeses produced in Normandy based on low input grass-based systems compared with maize silage-based systems, in interaction with the breed of cows (Ho *v.* No). This study was carried out at early lactation, when the frequently negative energy balances are subject to greater variability.

Material and methods

This experiment comes under a programme of more than one year of studies on the cross effects of two feeding systems and breed of dairy cows, with the overall results being reported by Delaby *et al.* (2009).

Animals

The experiment was carried out, at the INRA (Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique) experimental farm of the Le Pin-au-Haras (Orne, France), on dairy cows at the beginning of lactation. A total of 68 dairy cows, belonging to Ho and No breeds and made up of 40 multiparous and 28 primiparous cows, at 45 days of lactation on average, were divided into two groups of 34 cows. For primiparous cows, the grouping was carried out based on the following criteria: the breed (Ho or No), sire, future date of calving, live weight at age of one or two years, gain in average live weight over the last three months and condition score at the beginning of November. Moreover, when available, the first lactation performances of the dam were included as criteria for grouping of the daughters.

For the multiparous cows, the grouping was carried out using the average results of the first 36 weeks of the preceding lactation, eventually corrected for the effects of the treatments applied during this lactation and any health incidents. The criteria adopted for the grouping were: breed,

future date of calving, milk yield, fat and protein contents averaged over 36 weeks, average live weight, body condition at the beginning of November and cell counts of milk observed over the last three controls of the preceding lactation.

In the end, each group comprised 34 cows, with 17 Ho and 17 No, including 20 multiparous and 14 primiparous cows. The distribution of genetic variants, evaluated after the grouping, was relatively homogeneous between groups and in conformity with the data for both breeds (respectively for Ho and No breed: κ -casein AA, 65.5% and 0%; κ -casein BB, 0% and 93.9%; β -casein A₁A₁, A₂A₂ and A₁A₂, 74% and 41.9%; β -lactoglobulin AA, 17.0% and 3.1%; β -lactoglobulin BB, 43.1% and 42.3%).

Treatments, experimental design and feeding

Two feeding systems were compared for the winter period, from December 2002 to March 2003. The first aimed at maximizing the individual performances with an ingestible diet rich in energy consisting of maize silage supplemented by 30% concentrate (8.5% wheat, 8.5% maize, 9.5% barley, 54.7 soybean meal, 9.5% beet pulp, 1% soya oil, 2.0% sugar cane molasses, 6.3% minerals) (HighMS treatment) (Table 1). The second aimed to develop conserved grass in the form of silage (harvested by fine chop after drying) or big bale silage (long semi-wilted bits) while reducing the inputs of concentrate (12.8% wheat, 12.8% maize, 12.8% barley, 36.4% formaldehyde-treated soybean meal, 12.8% beet pulp, 1.0% soya oil, 2.0% sugar cane molasses, 9.4% minerals) to 15% of the diet, without expressing the potential of the animals (LowGS treatment) (Table 1). Cows were fed *ad libitum* with 10% of daily refusals. Thirty-four Ho dairy cows (2 × 17) and 34 No (2 × 17) received one of the two diets for a period of 6 weeks (from 10 February until 30 March 2003): Ho-HighMS, Ho-LowGS, No-HighMS and No-LowGS. The experimental design was a continuous design.

Manufacture of cheeses

Sampling and transfer of milk. Each sampling related to a particular group of cows: Ho-HighMS, Ho-LowGS, No-HighMS or No-LowGS. Each week, two groups were taken for the manufacture of Camembert and two other groups for the manufacture of Pont-l'Évêque. The milk of two successive milkings was transferred into specific tanks, which were then collected by the dairy. Milk was delivered to the dairy the day before manufacture of the cheeses. Finally, three series of manufacture by treatment were carried out for the two types of cheeses.

Camembert cheese. Manufacture of Camembert cheese took place at the Vallée Cheese dairy (group Lactalis, Laval, France) two times per week, on Tuesdays and Wednesdays for 6 weeks. Milk was stored in a tank at 12°C. Lactic ferments (mixture from group Lactalis, Laval, France), *Geotrichum penicillium*, curd yeasts and CaCl₂ (0.31 ml/l) were added to the milk, which was left to mature until the following day. The first day, the cream on the top of the milk was skimmed off by hand to standardize the milk (fat/protein

Table 1 Chemical composition and nutritional value of feeds and diets

	HighMS ^a			LowGS ^b		
	Maize silage	Hay	Concentrate ^c	Grass silage	Haylage	Concentrate ^d
DM (%)	32.1	83.1	88.4	22.2	60.3	88.4
CP (g/kg DM)	67	82	322	108	134	240
NDF (g/kg DM)	435	635	172	477	547	187
ADF (g/kg DM)	236	346	81	273	295	77
NE _L ^e (Mcal/kg DM)	1.58	1.21	1.89	1.73	1.54	1.81
PDIE ^f (g/kg DM)	67	72	181	64	92	196
PDIN ^g (g/kg DM)	40	51	231	59	84	190
Total mixed ration		HighMS			LowGS	
CP (g/kg DM)		144			138	
NDF (g/kg DM)		366			461	
ADF (g/kg DM)		195			252	
NE _L ^e (Mcal/kg DM)		1.65			1.66	
PDIE ^f (g/kg DM)		101			95	
PDIN ^g (g/kg DM)		97			89	

DM = dry matter.

^aMaize silage with energy level.

^bGrass silage with low energy level.

^cConcentrate HighMS: 8.5% wheat, 8.5% maize, 9.5% barley, 54.7% soybean meal, 9.5% beet pulp, 1% soybean oil, 2.0% sugarcane molasses, 6.3% minerals.

^dConcentrate LowGS: 12.8% wheat, 12.8% maize, 12.8% barley, 36.4% protected soybean meal, 12.8% beet pulp, 1.0% soybean oil, 2.0% sugarcane molasses, 9.4% minerals.

^eNet energy for lactation.

^fPDIE = protein digested in the small intestine supplied by rumen-undegraded dietary protein and by microbial protein from rumen-fermented organic matter (INRA, 1989).

^gPDIN = protein digested in the small intestine supplied by rumen-undegraded dietary protein and by microbial protein from rumen-degraded protein (INRA, 1989).

ratio = 0.9). Milk was heated to 20°C to 23°C, then to 35°C in five 80-l basins. The milk was then renneted (mixture of chymosin and trypsin, 20 ml/100 l). After 1 h to 1 h 10 min of coagulation, the curd was cut out and then moulded. The moulding took place using five curd ladles, with an interval of one hour between each passage, each ladle coming from a different basin every time. The cheeses were placed in a room at 30°C. They were turned over, 10 h after moulding. The following day, the cheeses were removed from the mould, sprayed with *P. candidum* at a temperature of 23°C to 24°C and salted at 18°C. Ripening took place in a cheese-drying room for a minimum of 6 days. The Camembert cheeses were then packed and ripened at 4°C to 6°C.

Pont-l'Évêque cheese. Pont-l'Évêque cheese was manufactured at the Pont-l'Évêque cheese dairy (group Graindorge, Livarot, France) once per week, on Thursdays for 6 weeks. Milk of two successive milkings was delivered the day before to the cheese dairy. It was stored in a tank at 10°C. Lactic ferments (mixture from Graindorge, Livarot, France), *G. penicillium*, curd yeasts and CaCl₂ were added to the milk, which was left in maturation until the following day. Full-cream milk was used without any standardization. It was heated to 38°C, renneted (38 ml/100 l), and then curdled in 140-l basins. The curd was cut out, left at rest and then regularly stirred five times during approximately 1 h 15 min. The curd was moulded only once. During drying, the cheeses were turned over three times. They were then removed from the mould, turned over two times at a

temperature of 16°C to 18°C, salted and dried. Ripening took place in a cheese-drying room for 7 days with brushing and reversal per week. The cheeses were packed and refined in a cellar for 15 to 25 days at 8°C.

Measurements

The quantities of feed offered and the refusals were weighed every day. The individual milk yield was measured at each milking. Fat and protein contents were determined three times per week (i.e. on six milkings) by infrared analysis (Milkoscan; Foss Electric, Hillerød, Denmark). Once a week for the six weeks, a detailed analysis of milk samples (1 l) was performed from a mixture of evening and morning milkings of the groups of cows whose milk was collected for cheese making (two samples per week). Total nitrogen content (TN), non-protein nitrogen matter (NPN), non-casein nitrogen (NCN), casein and urea were determined according to the methods described by Hurtaud *et al.* (2000). Total and soluble calcium were analysed by atomic spectrophotometry absorption on milk and milk ultrafiltrate, respectively. The FA composition was determined by extracting the lipids from a 1-ml sample of milk fat according to the method described by Bauchart and Dubois (1983) using 0.5 ml of an ethanol/HCl (4:1 vol) solution followed by 0.5 ml of hexane. Milk FAs were esterified with 1 ml of a butanol/HCl (100:5) solution followed by 2 ml of hexane (butyl esters). These esters were injected into a gas chromatograph (Varian 3400; Varian, Inc., Les Ulis, France) equipped with an electron ionization

detector. The separation of butyl esters was performed on an OV-1- fused silica capillary column (25 m × 0.32 mm i.d.). The oven temperature was programmed to rise from 70°C to 220°C at 100°C/min. Injector and detector were at 220°C and 250°C, respectively. Helium was used as gas vector.

The size of the milk fat globules was measured with a Coulter Multisizer II (Coulter Electronics Limited, Luton, UK). The coagulation capacity of these milks was measured with a Formagraph instrument (Foss Electric, Hillerød, Denmark). The parameters were measured on milk at standardized pH (6.5) per addition of lactic acid. The parameters measured at the standardized pH were the time of coagulation (*R*), the firming time (*k*20), firmness 30 min after the onset of coagulation (*a*30) and firmness at twice the time taken to set (*a*2*t*) (Hurtaud *et al.*, 2001).

Total nitrogen content, NPN, NCN and casein were measured on both cheeses, Camembert and Pont-l'Évêque, after 6 weeks storage. Compression measurements were performed on slices of cheeses after 6 weeks of storage with a universal testing machine (model 4501; Instron, Norwood, MA, USA) with IX series software (Instron, Norwood, MA, USA), equipped with a strip of metal (nine measurement points per cheese: three under the rind, three in the centre of the cheese and three at the top of the lower rind) (M. H. Famelart, personal communication). Cheese colour was measured by reflectance method using a MINOLTA chromameter (Minolta, Carrières-sur Seine, France) (six measurement points per cheese) (Michalski *et al.*, 2004). Trained members of the PDO cheese-tasting panel of a Normandy firm assessed the Camembert and the Pont-l'Évêque cheeses for flavour, odour and texture. Each type of cheese had a different scale of scoring adapted to its particular characteristics.

Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis was carried out according to the Proc Mixed of SAS (2005).

We carried out a variance–covariance analysis for the parameters of milk yield as well as the fat and protein contents measured on individuals. The included covariables were the 36-week milk production on the previous year for multiparous cows, or the production of the dam's first lactation. Owing to the differences in the milk composition and body weight values between breeds, these covariables were centred within breed and parity before inclusion. The statistical model is as follows:

$$Y_{ijk} = \mu + n_i + r_j + s_k + n_i * r_j + r_j * s_k + n_i * s_k + \text{cov } Y_{ijk} + e_{ijk},$$

where μ is the mean, n_i the effect of parity (1 d.f.), r_j the breed effect (1 d.f.), s_k the feeding system effect (1 d.f.), $n_i * r_j$ the interaction between parity and breed, $r_j * s_k$ the interaction between breed and the feeding system, $n_i * s_k$ the interaction between parity and feeding system and e_{ijk} the residual error.

For the detailed composition parameters measured on group milks, the statistical model is as follows:

$$Y_{ijk} = \mu + p_i + r_j + s_k + r_j * s_k + e_{ijk},$$

where μ is the mean, p_i the period effect (5 d.f.), r_j the breed effect (1 d.f.), s_k the feeding system effect (1 d.f.), $r_j * s_k$ the interaction between the breed and feeding system and e_{ijk} the residual error.

For the parameters of cheese-making manufacture and composition of cheeses, the statistical model is as follows:

$$Y_{ijkl} = \mu + p_i + r_j + s_k + t_l + r_j * s_k + r_j * t_l + s_k * t_l + r_j * s_k * t_l + e_{ijkl},$$

where μ is the mean, p_i the period effect (5 d.f.), r_j the breed effect (1 d.f.), s_k the feeding system effect (1 d.f.), t_l the effect of type of cheese (Camembert or Pont-l'Évêque), $r_j * s_k$ the interaction between breed and feeding system, $r_j * t_l$ the interaction between breed and the type of cheese, $s_k * t_l$ the interaction between feeding system and type of cheese, $r_j * s_k * t_l$ the interaction between breed, feeding system and type of cheese and e_{ijkl} the residual error.

Sensory assessment scores were analysed according to the CATMOD procedure of SAS (2005). The model only tests the effects of breed and feeding system, along with the effect of interaction between breed and feeding system.

Results

Feed intake, milk yield and milk composition

With the LowGS treatment, the dry matter intake (DMI) of dairy cows was 17.1 kg, including 2.5 kg of concentrate, as against 22.8 kg DMI including 6.8 kg of concentrate with the HighMS treatment. The feed intakes were also different between the two breeds: the amount of DMI by No cows was 2.1 kg less than that by Ho cows. These differences were reflected in variations of net energy and protein intake: -8.7 Mcal/day, -737 g of PDIE and -681 g of PDIN with the LowGS treatment compared with HighMS and -2.4 Mcal/day, -205 g of PDIE and -193 g of PDIN for No compared with Ho cows. The net energy and protein balances were in excess for the HighMS treatment and in deficit for the LowGS treatment. The live weights at the end of the trial were lower for the LowGS treatment (-50 kg, $P < 0.001$), but higher with No (65 kg, $P < 0.001$), with No cows showing a greater loss of weight with the LowGS treatment (-70 kg *v.* -30 kg, $P = 0.024$) (Table 2).

No significant interaction was detected between the feeding systems and the breed of the dairy cow on milk yield and composition. The LowGS treatment led to a reduction in milk yield (-4.3 kg/day, $P < 0.001$), with lower fat corrected milk (-5.8 kg/day, $P < 0.001$), lower fat and protein contents (-3.8 g/kg, $P < 0.001$ and -3.0 g/kg, $P < 0.001$, respectively) as well as lower fat and proteins yields (-274 g/day, $P < 0.001$ and -213 g/day, $P < 0.001$, respectively). The No cows produced less milk (-5.0 kg/day,

$P < 0.001$), lower fat corrected milk (-4.9 kg/day, $P < 0.001$), with a higher protein content (2.4 g/kg, $P < 0.001$) and a similar fat content. Yields of fat (-195 g/day, $P < 0.001$) and proteins (-86 g/day, $P = 0.003$) were lower (Table 3).

Composition of milks used for cheese manufacture

No significant interaction was detected between the feeding systems and breed of dairy cows, except in the case of some FA.

The LowGS treatment led to a reduction in the casein content of milks (-2.4 g/kg, $P = 0.003$), while increasing the casein/protein ratio (1.5 percent units, $P = 0.021$) and reducing the total calcium content (-56 mg/kg, $P = 0.036$), but did not have any effect on the distribution of calcium

Table 2 Dry matter (DM) intake, energy and protein balances and live weight of dairy cows of the four groups (Ho-HighMS, Ho-LowGS, No-HighMS and No-LowGS)

Breed	Holstein		Normande	
	HighMS ^a	LowGS ^b	HighMS ^a	LowGS ^b
Feeding system				
Maize silage (kg DM/day)	15.6	0	14.2	0
Hay (kg DM/day)	1.2	0	1.1	0
Grass silage (kg DM/day)	0	8.2	0	7.2
Haylage (kg DM/day)	0	7.3	0	6.4
Concentrate (kg DM/day)	7.1	2.7	6.5	2.4
DMI (kg/day)	23.9	18.2	21.8	16.0
NE _L ^c (Mcal/day)	36.9	29.2	35.5	25.8
PDIE ^d (g/day)	2424	1682	2214	1482
PDIN ^e (g/day)	2283	1599	2087	1409
NE _L balance (Mcal)	1.9	-1.0	2.2	-1.4
PDI balance (g/day)	203	-180	230	10
Live weight (kg)	656	626	741	671

DMI = dry matter intake; PDI = protein digested in the intestine.

^aMaize silage with high energy level.

^bGrass silage with low energy level.

^cNet energy for lactation.

^dPDIE = protein digested in the small intestine supplied by rumen-undegraded dietary protein and by microbial protein from rumen-fermented organic matter (INRA, 1989).

^ePDIN = protein digested in the small intestine supplied by rumen-undegraded dietary protein and by microbial protein from rumen-degraded protein (INRA, 1989).

between the colloidal and the soluble phases. It decreased the urea content (-12.2 mg/100 ml, $P = 0.013$) and tended to decrease the citrate content (-0.10 g/l, $P = 0.084$). The diameter of the milk fat globules was unaffected by the feeding system (Table 4).

The No cows produced milk richer in caseins (2.3 g/kg, $P = 0.003$), with a casein/protein ratio that tended to be higher (0.9 percent units, $P = 0.080$). Their milk was also richer in total and soluble calcium (82 mg/kg, $P = 0.012$ and 47 mg/kg, $P = 0.02$, respectively) as well as in citrate (0.27 g/l, $P = 0.005$). The urea content of the milk was not modified by the breed of the dairy cows. The milk from No cows tended to have fat globules of a larger average diameter than the milk from Ho cows (0.26 μ m, $P = 0.067$) (Table 4).

The LowGS treatment reduced the proportions in saturated FA (-2.3 percent units, $P = 0.002$), primarily in favour of the mono-unsaturated FA ($P = 0.001$). Among the saturated FA, the short- and medium-chain FA showed the greatest decrease (C6:0, C8:0, C10:0, C12:0 and C14:0), while C18:0 increased. Among the unsaturated FA of nutritional interest, C18:3, τ 11-C18:1 and c 9, τ 11 CLA exhibited a significant increase. While there was an increase in the C16:1, C17:1 and C18:1 FA, C18:2 and τ 10-C18:1 decreased. The spreadability index C18:1/C16:0 significantly increased (Table 5).

The effect of breed was less marked on the profile of FA. The milk from No cows was richer in short chain FA (C4:0 and C6:0, only slightly for C8:0), as well as C14:0, iso-C15:0 and C18:0, but lower in C14:1, C16:1, C16:0 and C18:2 compared with the milk from Ho cows. The No cows produced milk slightly richer in τ 11-C18:1, but not in c 9, τ 11 CLA. C18:3 tended to increase ($+0.11$ percent units, $P = 0.08$). The C18:1/C16:0 ratio related to spreadability, tended to be higher for the No cows ($+0.03$; $P = 0.064$).

Some effects of the feeding system are accentuated with the No breed (interaction B * FS, $P < 0.05$). In particular, with the LowGS treatment, the No cows showed an accentuated reduction in the FA C12:1 and C14:0, globally in the sum of saturated FA. On the other hand, the LowGS treatment led to a more important increase in the C18:1, τ 11-C18:1 FA, and generally in the sum of unsaturated FA with the No breed (Table 5).

Table 3 Milk production and composition during the whole experiment (6 weeks) of the four groups (Ho-HighMS, Ho-LowGS, No-HighMS and No-LowGS)

Breed (B)	Holstein		Normande		s.e.d.	Effect		
	HighMS ^a	LowGS ^b	HighMS ^a	LowGS ^b		B	FS	B * FS
Feeding system (FS)								
Milk (kg/day)	33.8	29.2	28.5	24.4	0.22	<0.001	<0.001	0.798
Fat corrected milk (kg/day)	34.2	28.0	28.9	23.4	1.34	<0.001	<0.001	0.694
Fat content (%)	4.09	3.74	4.12	3.70	0.11	0.959	<0.001	0.667
Fat yield (g/day)	1381	1087	1166	912	57.5	<0.001	<0.001	0.635
Protein content (%)	3.06	2.77	3.31	3.00	0.06	<0.001	<0.001	0.828
Protein yield (g/day)	1034	807	934	734	38.6	0.003	<0.001	0.629

^aMaize silage with high energy level.

^bGrass silage with low energy level.

Table 4 Milk composition of the four groups (Ho-HighMS, Ho-LowGS, No-HighMS and No-LowGS)

Breed (B)	Holstein		Normande		s.e.d.	Effect		
	HighMS ^a	LowGS ^b	HighMS ^a	LowGS ^b		B	FS	B * FS
Milk fat globule diameter (μm)	4.12	4.05	4.44	4.26	0.075	0.067	0.291	0.638
Casein (g/kg)	25.0	23.0	27.7	24.9	0.23	0.003	0.003	0.280
Casein/protein ratio (%)	81.1	83.1	82.5	83.5	0.29	0.080	0.021	0.287
Urea (mg/l)	249	120	228	112	19.6	0.599	0.013	0.801
Total calcium (mg/kg)	1081	1055	1194	1107	13.0	0.012	0.036	0.157
Soluble calcium (mg/kg)	327	316	360	377	8.8	0.021	0.819	0.282
Colloidal calcium (mg/kg)	754	739	834	731	20.9	0.254	0.104	0.184
Colloidal Ca/casein (mg/g)	30.2	32.0	30.1	29.3	1.00	0.333	0.666	0.371
Citrate (g/l)	1.82	1.69	2.06	1.99	0.032	0.005	0.084	0.480

^aMaize silage with high energy level.^bGrass silage with low energy level.

Coagulating properties of milks, composition and properties of Camembert and Pont-l'Évêque cheeses

The feeding system did not have a significant effect on the pH of milks. The milk of the No cows had a pH lower than that of the Ho cows. The coagulation parameters are little affected by the feeding system or breed of the dairy cows. Only the firmness of the curd measured at twice the coagulation time (*a_{2t}*) was decreased by the LowGS treatment (-5.9 mm), but was increased when using the milk from No cows (8.7 mm) (Table 6).

The breed and the feeding system had different effects on the chemical composition of the cheese according to the type of cheese. The protein content of cheeses manufactured with milk from No cows was always higher, whatever the type of cheese. Feeding system did not have a systematic effect on the cheese composition. Cheeses of the Pont-l'Évêque type were characterized by a much higher fat/DM ratio ($+12.4$ percent units, $P < 0.001$), a lower TN content (-36.5 g/kg, $P < 0.001$) as well as a smaller proportion of NPN/TN and lower soluble N/TN (-8.0 and -6.9 percent units, respectively; $P < 0.001$). The effects of breed and feeding system on the protein content of cheeses were more marked on Camembert than on Pont-l'Évêque cheese. In fact, the Camembert cheese associated with Ho-LowGS was characterized by a lower content of proteins (311.3 g/kg), and higher ratios of NPN/TN and soluble N/TN (22.8% and 38.9% , respectively) (Table 7).

In terms of colour measured in the centre of the cheese, the LowGS treatment led to more yellow cheeses (4.72 , $P < 0.001$) than the HighMS treatment. With the same diet, the milk from No cows tended to produce more yellow cheeses (1.07 , $P = 0.054$). The type of cheese had a significant effect on all the colour parameters. The Camembert cheeses were brighter than the Pont-l'Évêque cheeses (3.3), with less red (-0.19) and yellow (-1.72) indexes. This effect of type of cheese tended to be more accentuated with the grass feeding system: the difference in colour between the LowGS and HighMS treatments tended to be more marked for Pont-l'Évêque than for Camembert (for the parameter *a*, $P = 0.085$ and for the parameter *b*, $P = 0.074$) (Table 8).

No interaction between treatments appeared for the firmness of cheeses. The LowGS feeding system tended to

decrease the firmness of cheeses measured under the rind (-1 N, $P = 0.065$). Breed did not have any effect on the firmness of cheeses. There was a significant effect due to the type of cheese: Pont-l'Évêque cheeses were always firmer than Camembert cheeses (Table 8).

Overall, there were few effects of the feeding system or breed on the sensory properties of Camembert cheeses. The LowGS treatment produced Camembert cheeses with a more intense bitter flavour (2.99 v. 2.60 , $P = 0.018$) and tending to have a more marked putrid taste (1.99 v. 1.66 , $P = 0.065$). The Camembert cheeses resulting from the LowGS treatment also have a more sticky texture (2.79 v. 2.47 , $P = 0.019$) and a more melting texture (3.13 v. 2.76 , $P = 0.004$). Camembert cheeses produced from the milk of No texture tended to be less sticky (2.51 v. 2.74 , $P = 0.088$), and the aspect of the rind was judged better (3.54 v. 3.25 , $P = 0.005$). The most noteworthy result was seen with Camembert cheese from Ho-LowGS, which had a much more marked aromatic intensity than the other three Camembert cheeses (3.59 v. 2.86 , $P < 0.001$). This was linked to a bitter flavour (3.30 v. 2.63 , $P < 0.001$) and a more marked spicy taste (2.77 v. 2.31 , $P = 0.010$).

Feeding system did not have a significant effect on the sensory analysis parameters of the Pont-l'Évêque cheese. The effect of breed was also very slight for this cheese. The Pont-l'Évêque cheese manufactured with No cow's milk was a little firmer (2.81 v. 2.50 , $P = 0.13$) and tended to have a less intense total aromatic intensity (2.40 v. 2.72 , $P = 0.074$). But in fact, the effect of breed was modulated by the feeding system. The HighMS treatment tended to generate more intensely flavoured Pont-l'Évêque cheese when using the milk from Ho compared with the No cows (2.87 v. 2.25 , $P = 0.086$).

Discussion

The feeding systems and breed deeply affect the dairy cow performances

Our study showed a strong decrease in milk yield, a reduction in the milk protein content and a greater weight loss with the LowGS feeding system. These results are in

Table 5 Milk fatty acid (FA) composition (%) of the four groups (Ho-HighMS, Ho-LowGS, No-HighMS and No-LowGS)

Breed (B) Feeding system (FS)	Holstein		Normande		s.e.d.	Effect		
	HighMS ^a	LowGS ^b	HighMS ^a	LowGS ^b		B	FS	B * FS
C4:0	2.83	2.77	3.00	2.93	0.028	0.016	0.180	0.945
C5:0	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.002	0.309	1.000	0.309
C6:0	2.15	2.02	2.31	2.02	0.024	0.063	0.004	0.063
C8:0	1.44	1.27	1.56	1.27	0.018	0.094	0.002	0.085
C9:0	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.003	0.533	0.065	0.533
C10:1	0.31	0.29	0.31	0.27	0.005	0.219	0.011	0.219
C10:0	3.61	3.01	3.84	2.96	0.049	0.222	0.001	0.102
C11:0	0.09	0.06	0.09	0.04	0.013	0.659	0.060	0.659
C12:1	0.12	0.10	0.12	0.08	0.002	0.015	0.001	0.033
C12:0	4.33	3.53	4.50	3.45	0.039	0.445	<0.001	0.079
C13:0	0.15	0.11	0.14	0.11	0.006	0.533	0.022	0.533
C14:1	0.96	0.95	0.88	0.88	0.010	0.008	0.700	0.700
C14:0	12.5	12.1	13.3	12.0	0.03	0.002	<0.001	0.001
iso-15:0	0.24	0.32	0.29	0.36	0.003	0.002	<0.001	0.623
C15:1	0.48	0.50	0.49	0.53	0.008	0.127	0.050	0.374
C15:0	1.20	1.37	1.15	1.38	0.074	0.873	0.114	0.757
C16:1	1.53	1.62	1.32	1.50	0.017	0.003	0.006	0.103
C16:0	33.9	34.4	33.2	32.6	0.163	0.007	0.712	0.083
iso-17:0	0.31	0.39	0.32	0.41	0.005	0.103	0.001	0.259
C17:1	0.70	0.85	0.65	0.83	0.010	0.055	0.001	0.205
C17:0	0.56	0.72	0.55	0.76	0.018	0.559	0.003	0.279
C18:2	2.22	1.48	1.84	1.31	0.036	0.007	0.001	0.095
C18:3	0.01	0.57	0.12	0.68	0.034	0.080	0.001	0.955
C18:1	17.7	18.7	16.8	19.7	0.104	0.729	0.001	0.004
Σ 10-18:1	1.05	0.82	0.95	0.81	0.026	0.168	0.008	0.252
Σ 11-18:1	1.70	1.82	1.71	2.11	0.022	0.012	0.002	0.013
C18:0	9.5	9.9	10.3	10.6	0.044	0.001	0.008	0.413
Σ 9, Σ 11 CLA ^c	0.28	0.37	0.28	0.39	0.018	0.612	0.018	0.612
C18:1/C16:0 ratio	0.52	0.55	0.51	0.61	0.006	0.064	0.003	0.013
Mono-unsaturated FA	24.6	25.6	23.2	26.7	0.11	0.406	0.001	0.003
Poly-unsaturated FA	2.51	2.42	2.22	2.38	0.063	0.129	0.700	0.210
Saturated FA	72.9	72.0	74.6	70.9	0.18	0.270	0.002	0.007

^aMaize silage with high energy level.^bGrass silage with low energy level.^cConjugated linoleic acid.**Table 6** Coagulation properties of milk of the four groups (Ho-HighMS, Ho-LowGS, No-HighMS and No-LowGS)

Breed (B) Feeding system (FS)	Holstein		Normande		s.e.d.	Effect		
	HighMS ^a	LowGS ^b	HighMS ^a	LowGS ^b		B	FS	B * FS
Initial pH	6.54	6.59	6.50	6.53	0.01	0.015	0.116	0.080
At standardized pH								
Coagulation time (<i>r</i> ; min)	12.5	13.4	10.5	13.7	1.96	0.761	0.562	0.740
Firming time (<i>k</i> 20; min)	6.2	8.9	3.9	5.9	1.30	0.241	0.348	0.858
Firmness after 30 min (<i>a</i> 30; mm)	40.9	30.4	51.7	40.7	4.66	0.209	0.265	0.974
Firmness after 2 <i>r</i> (<i>a</i> 2 <i>r</i> ; mm)	35.0	27.9	42.5	37.8	0.68	0.007	0.025	0.367

^aMaize silage with high energy level.^bGrass silage with low energy level.

agreement with the literature data and are to be expected. The reduction in milk yield due to the decrease in the level of energy intake is consistent with the results of Coulon and Rémond (1991). A reduction in the protein content has been observed in many trials (Rémond, 1985; Hoden and

Coulon, 1991). It is noteworthy that this decrease in milk protein content is very marked and higher than that observed by Coulon and Rémond (1991) and Rémond (1985) (0.038% by extra Mcal v. 0.023% and 0.029%, respectively). This leads to milks that are very low in proteins

Table 7 Composition of Camembert and Pont-l'Évêque cheeses made from milk of the four groups (Ho-HighMS, Ho-LowGS, No-HighMS and No-LowGS)

Breed (B)	Holstein		Normande		s.e.d.	Effect						
	HighMS ^a	LowGS ^b	HighMS ^a	LowGS ^b		B	FS	T ^c	B * FS	B * T ^c	FS * T ^c	B * FS * T ^c
Feeding system (FS)												
Fat/DM (%)												
Camembert	50.9	51.4	49.2	48.5	3.39	0.865	0.318	<0.001	0.338	0.170	0.224	0.446
Pont-l'Évêque	61.7	60.2	68.1	59.7								
TN (g/kg DM)												
Camembert	430.7	402.8	453.4	439.6	12.81	0.063	0.195	<0.001	0.819	0.052	0.140	0.438
Pont-l'Évêque	392.6	395.4	398.3	394.2								
True protein content (g/kg DM)												
Camembert	349.0	311.3	366.9	352.6	11.34	0.025	0.167	0.713	0.652	0.027	0.037	0.076
Pont-l'Évêque	341.2	352.0	352.4	347.8								
NPN/TN (%)												
Camembert	18.9	22.8	19.1	18.7	1.81	0.320	0.692	<0.001	0.671	0.434	0.178	0.092
Pont-l'Évêque	13.0	11.0	11.5	11.8								
Soluble N/TN (%)												
Camembert	31.2	38.9	32.1	33.4	2.87	0.188	0.401	<0.001	0.400	0.916	0.063	0.259
Pont-l'Évêque	29.1	27.6	26.3	25.1								

DM = dry matter; TN = total nitrogen content; NPN = non-protein nitrogen matter.

^aMaize silage with high energy level.^bGrass silage with low energy level.^cCheese type.**Table 8** Colour and texture of Camembert and Pont-l'Évêque cheeses made from milk of the four groups (Ho-HighMS, Ho-LowGS, No-HighMS and No-LowGS)

Breed (B)	Holstein		Normande		s.e.d.	Effect						
	HighMS ^a	LowGS ^b	HighMS ^a	LowGS ^b		B	FS	T ^c	B * FS	B * T ^c	FS * T ^c	B * FS * T ^c
Feeding system (FS)												
Colour indexes (cheese centre)												
<i>L</i> ^d												
Camembert	92.5	93.3	92.7	92.7	0.99	0.701	0.727	<0.001	0.796	0.886	0.710	0.607
Pont-l'Évêque	89.7	89.6	89.3	89.4								
<i>a</i> ^d												
Camembert	-2.23	-2.17	-2.27	-2.29	0.123	0.774	0.105	0.011	0.786	0.374	0.085	0.747
Pont-l'Évêque	-2.19	-1.94	-2.15	-1.90								
<i>b</i> ^d												
Camembert	14.8	18.3	15.4	19.6	0.85	0.054	<0.001	0.002	0.936	0.725	0.074	0.478
Pont-l'Évêque	15.2	21.1	16.7	22.0								
Firmness under rind (N)												
Camembert	2.50	1.43	2.56	2.36	0.798	0.111	0.065	<0.001	0.807	0.418	0.398	0.446
Pont-l'Évêque	5.20	4.04	6.58	5.03								
Firmness in the middle (N)												
Camembert	6.02	5.26	5.24	5.39	1.00	0.825	0.822	<0.001	0.492	0.702	0.407	0.976
Pont-l'Évêque	9.85	10.00	9.48	10.49								

^aMaize silage with high energy level.^bGrass silage with low energy level.^cCheese type.^d*L* = brightness (from 0 – black to 100 – white); *a* = scale of axis from red (positive value) to green (negative value); *b* = scale of axis from yellow (positive value) to blue (negative value).

in the case of Ho cows. Journet and Chilliard (1985) have also shown that a reduction of the energy intake results in a reduction in the quantity of milk and a mobilization of the body reserves. Diets containing grass silage reduce the fat content of the milk from 0.3% to 0.4% compared with diets containing maize silage, when all other conditions are equal

(Hoden *et al.*, 1985). This effect of the maize silage is probably correlated with the increased production of butyrate in the rumen and with the maize lipids content (Journet and Chilliard, 1985).

Under the same feeding system, the lower milk yield and higher protein contents derived from the No cows are in

agreement with the results of Dillon *et al.* (2003), Froc *et al.* (1988) and Vertès *et al.* (1989). On the other hand, the above-mentioned studies failed to confirm the relative stability of the fat content with the breed. This can perhaps be attributed to the fact that the cows were at the beginning of lactation, when the milk solid contents are at a minimum, to the differences in nutritive intake between the various diets, to body mobilization of the animals as a function of the systems or to the particular characteristics of the herd used. Indeed, the No cows of the Pin-au-Haras farm have a relatively low milk fat content, with 4.07% as against 4.27% on average for the breed (Piacère and Douguet, 2008).

The weight loss was highly variable according to the breed. We can assume that No cows draw on their body reserves only when the energy intake is insufficient. If not, they adapt the milk production to the feed intake, whereas Ho cows always mobilize their body reserves to a greater or lesser extent.

The composition of milks and their coagulation properties are affected by feeding systems and breed

The low energy intakes with the LowGS diet led to significant modifications of the composition of milks and their coagulation properties, which followed the same trends in both breeds. LowGS treatment produced a reduction in milk casein and protein contents. Coulon and Rémond (1991) and Macheboeuf *et al.* (1993) already noted the negative effect of a restriction of the energy intake on these criteria. The LowGS treatment, on the other hand, caused an increase in the casein/protein ratio. This result is in contradiction with Rémond (1985) and Coulon *et al.* (1998), who did not detect any effect of the energy level on the casein/protein ratio. The reduction in the firmness of the curd measured at twice the coagulation time associated with the LowGS feeding system is a direct consequence of the reduction in the casein content of milks. Indeed, Colin *et al.* (1992) and Hurtaud *et al.* (2001) showed that there was a close relationship between the protein or casein content of milk and the firming time.

The LowGS feeding system led to a decreased percentage of long-chain and unsaturated FA at the expense of short- and medium-chain and saturated FA. The effect of diet on the FA profile is consistent with the literature (Chilliard *et al.*, 2001; Hurtaud *et al.*, 2002; Schroeder *et al.*, 2003; Couvreur *et al.*, 2006a and 2007). The large increase in C18:1 is probably due to a greater mobilization of the lipidic reserves with the LowGS diet (Chilliard *et al.*, 2001). This system increases the contents of FA of nutritional value in milk (linolenic and rumenic acids). It is probable that the LowGS diet has the same effect on the FA of the cheeses (Lucas *et al.*, 2006).

Compared to the Ho milk, the No milk had higher TN and casein contents and a higher casein/protein ratio. The increase in the casein content is consistent with the literature (Vertès *et al.*, 1989). This appears to be related to the presence of casein variants, in particular the variant B of κ -casein, which is much more frequent in our population of No cows, accounting for almost 95% of the variants,

whereas it is lacking in Ho cows. According to Delacroix-Buchet *et al.* (1993), cows having the allele B of κ -casein produce milk with higher contents of TN and total casein. The effect of No breed on the casein/protein ratio is more surprising. According to Froc *et al.* (1988), the casein/protein ratio shows no difference between the Pie-Noire and No breeds. In the same way, Coulon *et al.* (1998) did not find any significant difference in the casein/protein ratio between the three breeds (Ho, Montbéliarde and Tarentaise), but noted a tendency to a reduction with the Ho breed (−0.6). The favourable effect of the No breed on this ratio could be linked to the B variants of κ -casein. The improvement of the coagulation capacity with the milk from No cows results from its high content in coagulable proteins (cf. diet effect). Froc *et al.* (1988) also showed that the milk of No cow decreases the firming time by 72% and increases the firmness of curds by 20%. This improvement in coagulation capacity could also be linked to the polymorphism of proteins, in particular κ -casein. According to Grosclaude (1988) and Schaar (1984), the milk of the homozygote κ -casein BB (very prevalent in the No breed) has a shorter time of coagulation and a shorter firming time, as well as a firmer curd than the milk of the homozygote κ -casein AA (very prevalent in the Ho breed), with the heterozygote having intermediate values. Contrary to the effect of the feeding system, the breed has little effect on the FA profile of milks. The No cows had less C16:0 and C18:2, but more C18:0 and C18:1 than Ho cows, in accordance with Couvreur *et al.* (2006b) and Lawless *et al.* (1999). The milk fat globules are larger in the case of No cow, with an average increase of 0.27 μm compatible with the preliminary data of Couvreur *et al.* (2006b), that could result in a better butter-making capacity of the creams.

Feeding system and breed affect the composition and sensory properties of cheeses

The two types of cheese-making technology led to products that were different in terms of chemical composition. The Pont-l'Évêque cheese was characterized by a higher fat/DM ratio, a lower proportion of NPN and soluble nitrogen in TN and a more yellow colour. The difference in the fat/DM ratio is directly related to the manufacturing process: the Camembert cheese was manufactured with milk whose fat/protein ratio is normalized to 0.9, whereas Pont-l'Évêque was made with full-cream milk. While this generated Camembert cheeses that were entirely comparable in terms of fat/DM ratio, this criterion showed a high variability among the Pont-l'Évêque cheeses. This difference in cheese making was also reflected on the TN contents of cheeses. The ratios NPN/TN and soluble N/TN are indicators of the intensity of proteolysis, which is far more accentuated for Camembert than for Pont-l'Évêque. The surface microbial flora associated with a rather long ripening time (6 weeks in our trial) is probably responsible for this phenomenon (Choisy *et al.*, 1997). Thus, the smaller the size of the cheese (standard Camembert), the higher is the relative abundance of the surface flora and the faster is the

ripening. Lastly, the Pont-l'Évêque cheeses were more yellow than Camembert cheeses. This difference is probably related to a greater enrichment of fat content in Pont-l'Évêque cheeses, carotenoid being lipophilic molecules (Nozière *et al.*, 2006). Pont-l'Évêque cheeses were also firmer probably because of the lower degree of proteolysis and less advanced ripening.

The effects of feeding system on the characteristics of the products begin to be better known (Martin *et al.*, 2005) but not well in the case of Normandy PDO cheeses. Our work clearly demonstrated that the diet can affect the properties of cheeses. The present results showed, in particular, that the LowGS treatment led to cheeses much more yellow than those produced with the HighMS treatment. This result, already observed in the case of cheeses produced in other regions of France (Verdier-Metz *et al.*, 1998; Houssin *et al.*, 2002; Coulon *et al.*, 2004), is related to a larger intake of carotenoid-type pigments with a diet containing preserved grass (Nozière *et al.*, 2006). Calderon *et al.* (2007), Coulon (1997), Hurtaud *et al.* (2001), Nozière *et al.* (2006) and Verdier-Metz *et al.* (2002) have shown that milks are more yellow when associated with grass silage diets. Moreover, such milks produce cheeses that are more yellow than those obtained with maize silage very poor in carotenes, which leads to whiter cheeses. The LowGS treatment also tended to produce less hard and more melting cheeses, which is clearly a result of the modification in the FA profile of the milk, and in particular the C18:1/C16:0 ratio (Hurtaud *et al.*, 2001; Bugaud *et al.*, 2002; Coulon *et al.*, 2004). The presence of these FA increases the fluidity of the fat content and thus leads to softer cheeses (Hurtaud *et al.*, 2001; Bugaud *et al.*, 2002).

Very few authors have studied the effect of breed on the properties of dairy products in interaction with the feeding system (Chiofalo *et al.*, 2000; Ferlay *et al.*, 2006). We showed that the No cows produced more yellow cheeses. This result could be a consequence of the size of the fat globules. Indeed, according to Michalski *et al.* (2003), milks with large fat globules appear to produce more yellow cheeses. This difference in colour could also be related to a difference in the metabolism of carotenoids between the No and Ho breeds (Nozière *et al.*, 2006). Some key steps could control carotenoid transfer from the diet to the milk in dairy cows. Specificity occurs in the digestive tract due to mechanisms of rumen outflow, to the composition of duodenal lipophilic nutrients and to enterohepatic recycling. On the other hand, breed did not affect the firmness of cheeses measured by penetrometry, even if No cows tended to produce cheeses slightly harder under the rind. Coulon *et al.* (2004) reported that the differences between breeds would only be perceptible when the cheeses are made from full-cream milk (like the Pont-l'Évêque cheese in our trial).

We showed that the effects of breed and feeding system could interact on the sensory properties of Camembert cheeses. In particular, the aromatic intensity of Camembert cheeses measured by trained panellists was increased with the Ho breed fed on a LowGS system. This result is probably due

to a more advanced ripening. This aromatic intensity is largely composed of an ammoniacal aroma. The stronger ammoniacal aroma of the Camembert cheeses with the LowGS treatment is possibly related to a greater degree of proteolysis (higher than the threshold values, which appear to be 34% and 22% for the ratios of soluble N/TN and NPN/TN, respectively) (Choisy *et al.*, 1997). Ammoniacal aroma is an important component of traditional Camembert flavour and arises from the desamination action of *P. camembertii*, *G. candidum* and *Brevibacterium linens* (Choisy *et al.*, 1997).

Effects of feeding system and breed on cheese characteristics are modulated according to the type of cheese

This trial showed that the effects of feeding practices on the quality of cheeses are not systematic for all the types of cheeses manufactured. Only the work of Verdier-Metz *et al.* (2005) has shown that the same cow diet could be expressed differently according to the type of cheese.

The protein contents of cheeses are closely related to milk composition in the case of the Camembert cheeses (cheese protein content = $9 \times$ milk protein content + 67.7, $R^2 = 0.67$), whereas they do not vary with Pont-l'Évêque. Thus, in particular, the Camembert cheeses derived from milk of No cows are richer in protein than those from the Ho cows in both systems. There could have been fewer losses during drying with No cow's milk because of a more cohesive curd (Remeuf *et al.*, 1991).

Similarly, the differences of diet and breed have a more marked effect on sensory properties in the case of Camembert than Pont-l'Évêque cheeses. The Pont-l'Évêque cheese appeared to have been tasted at a too early stage of ripening.

These results appear to confirm the conclusions of Martin and Coulon (1995): the variations in the sensory qualities are initially controlled by the cheese-making technology and especially the kinetics of acidification during manufacture. Hence, it is only when the manufacture is well controlled that the factors related to the animals and the feeding can be expressed. Moreover, time of ripening could be adapted to the type of cheese associated with a breed or a feeding system.

Conclusion

In view of these results, the feeding systems and breed of dairy cow have no determinant effect on PDO Camembert and Pont-l'Évêque cheeses, especially regarding taste. In this kind of trial, despite the great effects of feeding systems and breed on milk composition, the role of cheese ripening and microbiology appears to be of considerable importance. Hence, there is a need for further studies on the interactions between cheese technology and feeding systems or dairy cow breed.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the Vallée dairy in Bernières d'Ailly (Lactalis group), the dairy 'Atelier Fromager' in Pont-l'Évêque (Graindorge group) and the Interprofessional Union of Farmers

and Producers of PDO Cheeses of Normandy, in particular Mr F. Michel, its secretary. The authors wish to thank the team of the INRA Experimental Farm at Le Pin-au-Haras for their contribution to animal care. They also thank A. Brasseur, I. Jicquel, N. Huchet, T. Le Mouël and M. Vérité from the INRA-Agrocampus Ouest UMR 1080 Production du Lait for their technical assistance, as well as M.-H. Famelart from the UMR INRA-Agrocampus Ouest Science and Technology of Milk and Egg department in Rennes, for her advice on the rheological measurements. The authors also extend their gratitude to M. S. N. Carpenter for the English translation.

References

- Bauchart D and Dubois F 1983. Utilization of glass capillary columns for milk fatty acids analysis (in French). *Cahier des Techniques de l'INRA* 1, 37–46.
- Bugaud C, Buchin S, Hauwuy A and Coulon JB 2002. Flavour and texture of cheeses according to grazing type: abundance cheese (in French). *Productions Animales* 15, 31–36.
- Calderon F, Chauveau-Duriot B, Martin B, Graulet B, Doreau M and Nozière P 2007. Variations in carotenoids, vitamins A and E, and color in cow's plasma and milk during late pregnancy and the first three months of lactation. *Journal of Dairy Science* 90, 2335–2346.
- Chilliard Y, Ferlay A and Doreau M 2001. Dietary control of milk fat nutritional quality in the dairy cow: *trans*, and polyunsaturated fatty acids, and conjugated linoleic acid (in French). *INRA Productions Animales* 14, 323–335.
- Chiofalo V, Maldonato R, Martin B, Dupont D and Coulon JB 2000. Chemical composition and coagulation properties of Modicana and Holstein cows' milk. *Annales de Zootechnie* 49, 497–503.
- Choisy C, Desmazeaud M, Gripon JC, Lamberet G and Lenoir J 1997. Biochemistry of cheese maturing (in French). In *Le Fromage* (ed. Tec et Doc), pp. 86–153. Tec & Doc, Paris, France.
- Colin O, Laurent F and Vignon B 1992. Relations between soft cheese yields variations and milk composition or coagulation parameter variations (in French). *Le Lait* 72, 307–319.
- Coulon JB 1997. Effet de la nature des fourrages sur les caractéristiques physico-chimiques et organoleptiques du fromage (in French). *Fourrages* 152, 429–436.
- Coulon JB, Delacroix-Buchet A, Martin B and Pirisi A 2004. Relationships between ruminant management and sensory characteristics of cheeses: a review. *Le Lait* 84, 221–241.
- Coulon JB, Hurtaud C, Rémond B and Vérité R 1998. Factors contributing to variation in the proportion of casein in cows' milk true protein: a review of recent INRA experiments. *Journal of Dairy Research* 65, 375–387.
- Coulon JB and Rémond B 1991. Variations in milk output and milk protein content in response to the level of energy supply to the dairy cow. A review. *Livestock Production Science* 29, 31–47.
- Couvreur S, Hurtaud C, Delaby L and Peyraud JL 2004. Effect of haylage or maize silage based diets with or without energy restriction on milk fat properties. In *Proceeding of the 20th General Meeting of the European Grassland Federation* (ed. A Lüscher, B Jeangros, W Kessler, O Huguenin, M Lobsige, N Millar and D Suter), pp. 1142–1143. 21–24 June 2004, Luzern, Switzerland.
- Couvreur S, Hurtaud C, Lopez C, Delaby L and Peyraud JL 2006a. The linear relationship between the proportion of fresh grass in the cow diet, milk fatty acid composition, and butter properties. *Journal of Dairy Science* 89, 1956–1969.
- Couvreur S, Hurtaud C and Peyraud JL 2006b. Milk fat globule size and fatty acid composition variability in dairy cows (in French). *Rencontres autour des Recherches sur Ruminants* 13, 301–304.
- Couvreur S, Hurtaud C, Marnet PG, Faverdin P and Peyraud JL 2007. Composition of milk fat from cows selected for milk fat globule size and offered either fresh pasture or a corn-silage based diet. *Journal of Dairy Science* 90, 392–403.
- Delaby L, Faverdin P, Michel G, Disenhaus C and Peyraud JL 2009. Effect of different feeding strategies on lactation performance of Holstein and Normande dairy cows. *Animal* 3, 891–905.
- Delacroix-Buchet A, Lefier D and Nuyts-Petit V 1993. κ -casein polymorphism and milk rennet coagulation ability (in French). *Le Lait* 73, 61–72.
- Dillon P, Buckley F, O'Connor P, Hegarty D and Rath M 2003. A comparison of different dairy cow breeds on a seasonal grass-based system of milk production. 1. Milk production, live weight, body condition score and DM intake. *Livestock Production Science* 83, 21–33.
- Ferlay A, Martin B, Pradel P, Coulon JB and Chilliard Y 2006. Influence of grass-based diets on milk fatty acid composition and milk lipolytic system in Tarentaise and Montbéliarde cow breeds. *Journal of Dairy Science* 89, 4026–4041.
- Froc J, Gilibert J, Daliphart T and Durand P 1988. Composition and cheese making ability from Friesian and Normandy cows. 1/ Breeding effects (in French). *INRA Productions Animales* 1, 171–177.
- Grosclaude F 1988. The genetic polymorphism of the main bovine lactoproteins. Relationships with milk yield, composition, and cheese yielding capacity (in French). *INRA Productions Animales* 1, 5–17.
- Hoden A and Coulon JB 1991. Regulating the composition of milk: influence of nutritional factors on the quantity and the fat and protein content of milk (in French). *INRA Productions Animales* 4, 361–367.
- Hoden A, Coulon JB and Dulphy JP 1985. Influence of feeding on milk composition. 3. Effects of dietary diets on milk fat and protein contents (in French). *Bulletin Technique CRZV Theix INRA* 62, 69–79.
- Houssin B, Forêt A and Chesnais F 2002. Effect of the winter diet (corn vs. grass silage) of dairy cows on the organoleptic quality of butter and camembert cheese. In *Proceeding of the 19th General Meeting of the European Grassland Federation* (ed. JL Durand, JC Emile, JC Huyghe and G Lemaire), pp. 572–573. 27–30 May 2002, La Rochelle, France.
- Hurtaud C, Buchin S, Martin B, Verdier-Metz I, Peyraud JL and Noël Y 2001. Milk quality and consequences on quality of dairy products: some techniques of measure in dairy cows trials (in French). *Rencontres autour des Recherches sur Ruminants* 8, 35–42.
- Hurtaud C, Delaby L and Peyraud JL 2002. Evolution of milk composition and butter properties during the transition between winter-feeding and pasture. In *Proceeding of the 19th General Meeting of the European Grassland Federation* (ed. JL Durand, JC Emile, JC Huyghe and G Lemaire), pp. 574–575. 27–30 May 2002, La Rochelle, France.
- Hurtaud C, Lemosquet S and Rulquin H 2000. Effect of graded duodenal infusions of glucose on yield and composition of milk from dairy cows. 2. Diets based on grass silage. *Journal of Dairy Science* 83, 2952–2962.
- Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique (INRA) 1989. Ruminant nutrition: recommended allowances and feed tables (ed. R Jarrige). John Libbey, London, UK.
- Journet M and Chilliard Y 1985. Influence of feeding on milk composition. 1. Fat content: general factors (in French). *Bulletin Technique CRZV Theix INRA* 60, 13–23.
- Lawless F, Stanton C, L'Escop P, Devery R, Dillon P and Murphy JJ 1999. Influence of breed on bovine milk cis-9, trans-11-conjugated linoleic acid content. *Livestock Production Science* 62, 43–49.
- Lucas A, Rock E, Chamba JF, Verdier-Metz I, Brachet P and Coulon JB 2006. Respective effects of milk composition and the cheese-making process on cheese compositional variability in components of nutritional interest. *Le Lait* 86, 21–41.
- Macheboeuf D, Coulon JB and D'Hour P 1993. Effect of breed, protein genetic variants and feeding on cows' milk coagulation properties. *Journal of Dairy Research* 60, 43–54.
- Martin B and Coulon JB 1995. Milk production and cheese characteristics. II. Influence of herd milk characteristics and cheesemaking methods on farmhouse French Reblochon cheese's characteristics (in French). *Le Lait* 75, 133–149.
- Martin B, Verdier-Metz I, Buchin S, Hurtaud C and Coulon JB 2005. How the nature of forages and pasture diversity influence the sensory quality of dairy livestock products? *Animal Science* 81, 205–212.
- Michalski MC, Camier B, Briard V, Leconte N, Gassi JY, Goudéranche H, Michel F and Fauquant J 2004. The size of native milk fat globules affects physico-chemical and functional properties of Emmental cheese. *Le Lait* 84, 343–358.
- Michalski MC, Gassi JY, Famelart MH, Leconte N, Camier B, Michel F and Briard V 2003. The size of native milk fat globules affects physico-chemical properties of Camembert cheese. *Le Lait* 83, 131–143.
- Nozière P, Graulet B, Lucas A, Martin B, Grolier P and Doreau M 2006. Carotenoids for ruminants: from forages to dairy products. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 131, 418–450.

- Piacère A and Douguet M 2008. Results of milking control of bovine and caprine species (campaign 2007) (in French) (ed. Institut de l'Élevage), France.
- Remeuf F, Cassin V, Dervin C, Lenoir J and Tomassone R 1991. Relationships between physico-chemical characteristics of milks and their cheese-making properties (in French). *Le Lait* 71, 397–421.
- Rémond B 1985. Influence of feeding of dairy cow milk composition. 2. Protein content: general factors (in French). *Bulletin Technique CRZV Theix INRA* 62, 53–67.
- SAS 2005. SAS/STAT users guide. Release 9.1. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA.
- Schaar J 1984. Effect of κ -casein genetic variants and lactation number on the renneting properties of individual milks. *Journal of Dairy Research* 51, 398–406.
- Schroeder GF, Delahoy JE, Vidaurreta I, Bargo F, Gagliostro G and Muller LD 2003. Milk fatty acid composition of cows fed a total mixed ration or pasture plus concentrates replacing corn with fat. *Journal of Dairy Science* 86, 3237–3248.
- Verdier-Metz I, Coulon JB, Pradel P, Viallon C and Berdagué JL 1998. Effect of forage conservation (hay or silage) and cow breed on the coagulation properties of milks and on the characteristics of ripened cheeses. *Journal of Dairy Research* 65, 9–21.
- Verdier-Metz I, Martin B, Pradel P and Coulon JB 2002. Combined effect of the breed and the type of forage on the cheese characteristics: interaction with the cheese-making used (in French). *Rencontres autour des Recherches sur Ruminants* 9, 355–358.
- Verdier-Metz I, Martin B, Pradel P, Albouy H, Hulin S, Montel MC and Coulon JB 2005. Effect of grass-silage vs. hay diet on the characteristics of cheese: interactions with the cheese model. *Le Lait* 85, 469–480.
- Vertès C, Hoden A and Gallard Y 1989. Effect of nutritional level on composition and cheese making quality of milk from Holstein and Normandy cows (in French). *INRA Productions Animales* 2, 89–96.