

Pyrosequencing of the midgut transcriptome of the poplar leaf beetle Chrysomela tremulae reveals new gene families in Coleoptera

Yannick Pauchet, Paul Wilkinson, Manuella van Munster, Sylvie Augustin, David Pauron, Richard Ffrench-Constant

▶ To cite this version:

Yannick Pauchet, Paul Wilkinson, Manuella van Munster, Sylvie Augustin, David Pauron, et al.. Pyrosequencing of the midgut transcriptome of the poplar leaf beetle Chrysomela tremulae reveals new gene families in Coleoptera. Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, 2009, 39 (5-6), pp.403-413. 10.1016/j.ibmb.2009.04.001. hal-02668241

HAL Id: hal-02668241 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02668241

Submitted on 31 May 2020 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology xxx (2009) 1-11

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ibmb

Pyrosequencing of the midgut transcriptome of the poplar leaf beetle *Chrysomela tremulae* reveals new gene families in Coleoptera

Yannick Pauchet ^{a,*}, Paul Wilkinson ^a, Manuella van Munster ^b, Sylvie Augustin ^c, David Pauron ^b, Richard H. ffrench-Constant ^a

^a School of Biosciences, University of Exeter in Cornwall, Tremough Campus, Penryn TR10 9EZ, UK ^b INRA, UMR 1301 Interactions Biotiques et Santé Végétale, 06903 Sophia Antipolis cedex, France ^c INRA, UR 633 Zoologie Forestière, F-45000 Orléans, France

A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history: Received 10 February 2009 Received in revised form 2 April 2009 Accepted 2 April 2009

Keywords: Insect midgut Chitin deacetylase Cellulase Endopolygalacturonase *Chrysomela* Bt resistance

ABSTRACT

The insect midgut is the primary target site for Bt-derived insecticides and Bt alternatives. However, despite extensive recent study, the precise role and nature of different Bt receptors remains a subject of considerable debate. This problem is fuelled by a lack of understanding of the genes expressed in the insect midgut and their physiological roles. The poplar leaf beetle, *Chrysomela tremulae*, is an important model for understanding the mode of action of, and resistance to, coleopteran-specific Bt toxins and currently shows the only known naturally occurring case of resistance to Cry3A toxins. Moreover it belongs to the same family as the corn rootworm, *Diabrotica virgifera*, an economically important beetle pest and target of hybrid corn expressing Cry3 toxins. Pyrosequencing is a fast and efficient way of defining the transcriptome of specific insect tissues such as the larval midgut. Here we use 454 based pyrosequencing to sample the larval midgut transcriptome of *C. tremulae*. We identify candidate genes of putative Bt receptors including transcripts encoding cadherin-like proteins, aminopeptidase N and alkaline phosphatase. We also describe a wealth of new transcripts predicting rapidly evolving gene families involved in plant tissue digestion, which have no homologs in the genome of the stored product pest the Red Flour beetle, *Tribolium castaneum*.

© 2009 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

1. Introduction

Traditionally the major target site for small molecule insecticides has been the insect nervous system (Buckingham et al., 2005; Dong, 2007; ffrench-Constant et al., 2004; Millar and Denholm, 2007; Raymond-Delpech et al., 2005). However with the advent of Bt toxins, and Bt transformed insect resistant crops, the midgut has become the primary focus for the development of novel insecticidal proteins (Pigott and Ellar, 2007). Interest in insect midgut physiology has been strengthened by concerns over emerging Bt resistance and by ongoing research into a growing number of candidate Bt receptors and how these may become altered in Bt resistant insects (Pigott and Ellar, 2007). Identifying and understanding midgut receptors will also be important in the study of new toxins proposed as alternatives to toxins from *Bacillus*, such as the Toxin complexes (Tc's) from *Photorhabdus* and *Xenorhabdus* bacteria

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +44 1326 371 852; fax: +44 1326 253 638.

E-mail address: y.pauchet@exeter.ac.uk (Y. Pauchet).

0965-1748/\$ – see front matter \odot 2009 Published by Elsevier Ltd. doi:10.1016/j.ibmb.2009.04.001

(Bowen et al., 1998; ffrench-Constant et al., 2007). However, despite extensive recent study, the precise role and nature of different Bt receptors remains a subject of considerable debate (Pigott and Ellar, 2007; Soberon et al., 2009). This problem is fuelled by a lack of understanding of the genes expressed in the insect midgut and their respective roles in digestion, response to invading micro-organisms, homeostatic regulation, and the production and remodeling of the peritrophic membrane. We aim to address this problem by describing the midgut transcriptome of representatives of key insect pest groups such as leaf feeding beetles and Lepidoptera.

Beetles represent approximately half of all insect species recorded to date and within this group the chrysomelids are one of the largest families (Hunt et al., 2007), many of which are important defoliating and/or root damaging pests such as the corn rootworm *Diabrotica virgifera* (Gray et al., 2009). With the recent sequencing of the complete genome of the Red Flour Beetle, *Tribolium castaneum*, we are now uniquely poised to understand more about the genomics and transcriptomics of beetles. Moreover, given the rather unusual lifestyle of *T. castaneum* in feeding exclusively on stored products, comparisons with the commoner lifestyle of leaf-, root- or bark-feeding are a priority. The poplar leaf beetle, *Chrysomela* Abbreviations: EST, expressed sequence tag; PM, peritrophic membrane; Bt, Bacillus thuringiensis toxin; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism.

112

113

114

115

121

122

125

129

131

133

135

136

137

138

141

143

144

145

147

148

149

151

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

110 tremulae, is an important model for understanding Bt receptors and Bt resistance, and this is currently the only known beetle for which Cry3A resistance alleles have been found in the field (Genissel et al., 2003a). A Cry3A resistant C. tremulae strain was selected on Bt transformed poplar trees expressing the Cry3A toxin (Genissel et al., 2003b). This strain was derived from an isofemale line established 116 from field-caught insects that generated F2 offspring that survived 117 on this Bt poplar clone (Augustin et al., 2004). Resistance to Cry3A in 118 C. tremulae is under control of a single, completely recessive, 119 autosomal trait (Augustin et al., 2004), suggesting that changes in 120 a single receptor, or other gene product, may be involved in resistance. Resistance to Cry3A in the related Chrysomela scripta can be overcome using Cyt1Aa (Federici and Bauer, 1998), a protein from 123 a different class of Bt toxins, suggesting some potential specificity in 124 the nature of the resistance mechanism. In the Lepidoptera, Bt resistance has been associated with changes in midgut associated 126 aminopeptidase N, cadherin-like proteins, alkaline phosphatase 127 and, via work in model nematodes, midgut glycolipids (Pigott and 128 Ellar, 2007). However the molecular basis of Cry3A resistance in beetles has not been reported to date.

130 Pyrosequencing is a fast and efficient way of defining the transcriptome of specific insect tissues or cells and has recently 132 been used with great effect to define the transcriptome of immune related tissues in Manduca sexta larvae (Zou et al., 2008). 454 based 134 sequencing of Expressed Sequence Tags (ESTs) greatly increases the number and depth of sequence contigs that can be achieved using capillary based sequencing. Further, normalization of cDNA pools prior to sequencing reduces the frequency of highly abundant transcripts and ensures that a more even representation of 139 transcripts is sampled during sequencing. Thus 454 sequencing 140 looks poised to geometrically increase the number of known transcripts in many insect tissues. Here we use 454 based pyro-142 sequencing to sample the larval midgut transcriptome of *C. trem*ulae. We identify several classes of putative Bt receptors such as transcripts encoding putative cadherin-like proteins, aminopeptidase N and alkaline phosphatase. We also describe a wealth of 146 putative new gene products which are part of rapidly evolving gene families involved in plant cell wall digestion. These new gene families are not present in the genome of T. castaneum but are common in EST collection from other plant feeding beetles, 150 suggesting that additional leaf feeding genomic models, such as C. tremulae, will be needed in order to fully understand the 152 physiology of the Coleoptera.

2. Methods and materials

2.1. Insect rearing and midgut RNA preparation

C. tremulae larvae for midgut dissection were obtained from a strain established from a single mated pair collected in Vatan, France, in 1999 (Augustin et al., 2004). The strain (Vatan125), originated from the offspring of an isofemale line lacking alleles conferring resistance to the Cry3Aa toxin, was maintained in standard rearing conditions, in a growth chamber at 20 °C with a photoperiod of 16:8 (L:D) at URZF-INRA Orléans. Larvae and adults were reared on fresh mature leaves detached from greenhouse-grown poplar hybrid clone (Populus tremula × Populus tremuloides, INRA #353-38). Three day old third-instar larvae were used for dissection.

For RNA isolation, midguts were dissected from 10 larvae into PBS buffer. Midguts were cleared of food, peritrophic membrane and Malpighian tubules, before being flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C prior to use. RNA was subsequently isolated using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Genomic DNA contamination was removed by DNAse treatment (TURBO DNAse, Ambion) for 30 min at 37 °C. Midgut RNA

was further purified by using the RNeasy MinElute Clean up Kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer's protocol and eluted in 20 µl of RNA storage solution (Ambion).

2.2. Midgut cDNA library preparation

Full-length, enriched, cDNAs were generated from 2 µg of total RNA using the SMART PCR cDNA synthesis kit (BD Clontech) following the manufacturer's protocol. Reverse transcription was performed with the PrimeScript reverse transcription enzyme (Takara) for 60 min at 42 °C and 90 min at 50 °C. In order to prevent over-representation of the most common transcripts, the resulting double-stranded cDNAs were normalized using the Kamchatka crab duplex-specific nuclease method (Trimmer cDNA normalization kit, Evrogen) (Zhulidov et al., 2004). The resulting normalized cDNA midgut library was used for 454 pyrosequencing (Margulies et al., 2005).

2.3. Pyrosequencing, sequence preprocessing and assembly

For 454 pyrosequencing, a cDNA aliquot was sent to the Advanced Genomics facility at the University of Liverpool (http://www.liv.ac. uk/agf). A single full plate run was performed on the 454 GS-FLX pyrosequencer (Roche Applied Science) using 3 µg of normalized cDNAs processed by the "shotgun" method. The reads obtained were preprocessed by removing PolyA tails and SMART adapters using custom written Perl scripts kindly provided by Mark Blaxter of the University of Edinburgh. The processed reads were then clustered using the MIRA v2.9.26x3 assembler with the "de novo, normal, EST, 454" parameters. Specifying a minimum read length of 40 nt, a minimum sequence overlap of 40 nt and a minimum percentage overlap identity of 80%.

2.4. Blast homology searches and sequence annotation

Homology searches (BLASTx and BLASTn) of unique sequences and functional annotation by gene ontology terms (GO; www. geneontology.org), InterPro terms (InterProScan, EBI), enzyme classification codes (EC), and metabolic pathways (KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes) were determined using the BLAST2GO software suite v2.3.1 (www.blast2go.de) (Conesa and Götz, 2008). Homology searches were performed remotely on the NCBI server through QBLAST, and followed a sequential strategy. First, sequences were searched against an NCBI non-redundant (nr) protein database via BLASTx using an *E*-value cut-off of 10^{-3} and selecting predicted polypeptides of a minimum length of 10 amino acids. Second, sequences for which no BLASTx hit were searched again by BLASTn, against an NCBI nr nucleotide database using an *E*-value cut-off of 10^{-10} .

For gene ontology mapping, the program extracts the GO terms associated with homologies identified with NCBI's QBLAST and returns a list of GO annotations represented as hierarchical categories of increasing specificity. BLAST2GO allows the selection of a significance level for the False Discovery Rate (FDR) which was used as a cut-off at the 0.05% probability level. Then, GO terms were modulated using the annotation augmentation tool ANNEX (Myhre et al., 2006) followed by GOSlim. GOSlim consists of a subset of the gene ontology vocabulary encompassing key ontological terms and a mapping function between the full GO and the GOSlim. Here, we used the "generic" GOSlim mapping (goslim_generic.obo) available in BLAST2GO. The data presented represent the level 2 analysis, illustrating general functional categories. Enzyme classification codes and KEGG metabolic pathway annotations are generated from the direct mapping of GO terms to their enzyme code equivalents. Finally, InterPro searches were performed remotely from

BLAST2GO to the InterProEBI web server. The default settings of BLAST2GO were used in every annotation step. For more details on BLAST2GO functionalities see Conesa and Götz (2008).

2.5. Capillary based sequencing of highly abundant messages

The over-abundant ~1.3 kb band observed in the nonnormalized cDNA lane (Fig. 1) was gel-extracted and purified using the Illustra GFX PCR DNA and Gel Band Purification kit (GE healthcare). The resulting DNA was re-amplified by 25 PCR cycles using the 5' PCR Primer II A provided in the SMART PCR cDNA synthesis kit (BD Clontech). After checking on a 1.5% agarose gel that only one band of \sim 1300 bp was re-amplified, the PCR product was cloned into the pCR4 TOPO vector (Invitrogen) and ligations transformed into chemically competent Escherichia coli TOP10 (Invitrogen). For capillary sequencing, DNA template was prepared on a Nucleoplex automated system using the Nucleoplex Plasmid Mini Prep kit (Tepnel Life Sciences). Capillary sequencing was carried out on an ABI 3130xl automatic DNA sequencer (Applied Biosystems). Unique cDNAs found in at least two clones were fully sequenced by primer walking. The unique cDNA sequences obtained were searched by BLAST and fully annotated using BLAST2GO. Sequences were submitted to Genbank with accession numbers FJ654706-FJ654727.

> 3 kb 1.5 kb 0.5 kb

Fig. 1. Agarose gel of *C. tremulae* midgut cDNA before (non-norm) and after (norm) normalization. Note the high relative abundance of several discrete bands in the non-normalized sample which disappear following normalization. The over-abundant transcripts (\sim 1.3 kb) were excised from the gel, cloned and sequenced via capillary sequencing to characterize highly abundant larval midgut transcripts (see text). Size markers are shown at left.

2.6. Phylogenetic analysis

MAFFT software (Multiple Alignment using Fast Fourier Transform, www.ebi.ac.uk/mafft/) was used to perform multiple sequence alignment of the chitin deacetylase catalytic domains prior to subsequent phylogenetic analysis. Predicted protein sequences corresponding to cellulases, deduced from *C. tremulae* 454 contigs and coleopteran-specific ESTs collected from Genbank db_est database, were screened for the presence of a putative signal peptide using the SignalP software. After removal of the predicted signal peptide, sequences were aligned using MAFFT software prior to Phylogenetic analysis. The MEGA4.0 software (Tamura et al., 2007) was used to construct the consensus phylogenetic tree using the UPGMA method (Unweighted Pair Method with Arithmetic Mean). To evaluate the branch strength of the phylogenetic tree, bootstrap analysis of 1000 replications was performed.

3. Results

3.1. Pyrosequencing, assembly and annotation

Normalization of the midgut cDNA resulted in reduction of the over-abundant 0.8–3.0 kb transcripts and production of an even distribution of transcripts ranging from 0.5 to 3.0 kb in size (Fig. 1). 454-mediated pyrosequencing of the normalized library, using a full sequencing plate of a Roche GS-FLX sequencer, generated a total of 264,698 reads of raw nucleotide sequence data with an average read length of 226 bp (Table 1). After quality scoring using MIRA v2.9.26x3, 210,885 of these reads were taken forward for assembly. Assembly resulted in 23,238 contigs and a residual 87 singletons, defined as sequences that did not assemble into a contig using the defined assembly parameters. The mean contig size was 418 bp, with contigs ranging from as small as 40 bp to as large as 2959 bp (Table 1).

For BLAST annotation, contigs were first searched using BLASTx against the non-redundant NCBI protein database using a cut-off *E*-value of 10^{-3} . Contigs returning below cut-off BLASTx results were then re-searched using BLASTn against the non-redundant NCBI nucleotide database using a cut-off *E*-value of 10^{-10} , using this sequential approach, just over half (56.1%) of all contigs returned an above cut-off BLAST result (Table 1).

3.2. Functional classifications of predicted proteins

The *E*-value and similarity distributions of the *C. tremulae* BLAST hits against the non-redundant database are shown in Fig. 2. Most (67%) of the BLAST hits are to the *T. castaneum* genome (Fig. 2C), as to date this is the only fully sequenced beetle genome and therefore *T. castaneum* sequences currently represent the vast majority of beetle sequences in current databases. Gene ontology (GO) assignments

Table 1

Summary statistics for <i>C. tremulae</i> midgut EST assembly and annotation.			
Assembly		Q2 ₃₅₈ 359	
Total number of reads	264,698	360	
Average read length	226 bp	361	
Number of reads that entered in the assembly ¹	210,885	362	
Total number of contigs	23,238		
Total number of singlets	87	363	
Average contig size (range)	418 bp (40-2959 bp)	364	
Annotation		365	
% contigs with a blast hit against nr ²	56.08%	366	
% contigs with at least 1 GO term	28.73%	367	
% contigs with an EC number	6.65%	368	
% contigs with at least one IPR	26.81%	369	

3.3. Identification of microsatellite repeats

and enzyme classifications (EC) were used to classify the functions of the predicted midgut proteins (Fig. 3). A dominance of metabolic, catalytic and binding activities is predicted (Fig. 3B and C), as expected for midgut proteins. Within the range of enzyme activities hydrolases dominate accounting for over half (51%) of midgut enzymes (Fig. 3D). The InterPro database was also used to classify the likely functions of predicted midgut proteins and a summary of the 20 most frequent classifications is shown in Table 2. Of these, enzymes involved in protein digestion (including a range of cysteine and serine proteinases) or xenobiotic metabolism (cytochrome P450s, glutathione-S-transferases and carboxylesterases) are dominant, as again predicted for the role of the insect gut in digestion and xenobiotic metabolism. In Table 3, we list candidate genes of interest for different functional roles discussed below, including cell wall degradation, putative Bt receptors and peritrophic membrane associated proteins. Six contigs predict a C. tremulae cadherin-like protein showing 40-64% amino acid identity to other coleopteran and lepidopteran cadherin-like proteins. Contig 2921 shows 64% identity and 80% similarity to the cadherin-like protein from D. yirgifera (Sayed et al., 2007) and is therefore a candidate Bt receptor. An alignment of contig 2921 with coleopteran cadherin-like proteins is shown in Fig. 4. The predicted protein sequence of contig 2921 corresponds to part of the transmembrane spanning repeats and to the whole cytosolic region of cadherin-like proteins.

Microsatellite loci with >5 di-, tri-, tetra- and pentanucleotide repeat units were identified from 67 C. tremulae 454 contigs. Thanks to the high coverage enabled by 454 pyrosequencing, variation in the number of tandem repeats could be assessed directly from the assembly of 3 contigs (Fig. 5). These 3 loci were found in the 5'-UTR of a transcript similar to a T. castaneum sodium-dependent phosphate transporter XP_974340.1 (Fig. 5A), the 3'-UTR of a homolog of a Nasonia vitripennis predicted protein XP_001607853.1 (Fig. 5B), and the CDS of a homolog of a Bombyx mori fumarylacetoacetate hydrolase NP_001103763.1, matching codons for Tyr (TAT) and Ile (ATA) amino acids (Fig. 5C).

3.4. Capillary sequencing of abundant midgut transcripts

Following the excision of abundant 1.2-1.5 kb transcripts from the agarose gel of non-normalized midgut cDNA, the resulting product was cloned and individual cDNAs sequenced via conventional capillary sequencing. A representative sample of the most abundant cDNAs recovered is listed in Table 4, whilst a complete list of transcripts and their associated InterPro predictions are given in the Supplementary materials (Table S1 and S2). The most abundant transcripts, with 40 clones recovered, were two isoforms of a chitin




Fig. 2. Summary of homology searches (BLASTx and BLASTn) of C. tremulae larval midgut 454 data against the non-redundant database at NCBL (A) E-value distribution of the top BLAST hit for each unique sequence. Cut-offs used in each case were 10⁻³ for BLASTx and 10⁻¹⁰ for BLASTn. (B) Similarity distribution of the top BLAST hit for each unique sequence. (C) Species distribution of the top BLAST hit for each unique sequence. Note that nearly 67% of top hits are to the beetle Tribolium whose complete genome has recently been sequenced.

Biochemistry and Molecular Biology (2009), doi:10.1016/j.ibmb.2009.04.001

Please cite this article in press as: Pauchet, Y., et al., Pyrosequencing of the midgut transcriptome of the poplar leaf beetle Chrysomela..., Insect

ARTICLE IN PRESS

IPR006649

IPR000743

IPR001316

IPR001930


Like-Sm ribonucleoprotein

Glycoside hydrolase, family 28

Peptidase M1, membrane alanine aminopeptidase

Peptidase S1A, streptogrisin

Y. Pauchet et al. / Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology xxx (2009) 1-11



Please cite this article in press as: Pauchet, Y., et al., Pyrosequencing of the midgut transcriptome of the poplar leaf beetle Chrysomela..., Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology (2009), doi:10.1016/j.ibmb.2009.04.001

IPR002557

IPR016135

IPR017442

IPR016024

Chitin-binding, peritrophin A

Armadillo-type fold

Ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme/RWD-like

Serine/threonine protein kinase-related

ber

Y. Pauchet et al. / Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology xxx (2009) 1-11

Table 3

Selection of genes of interest related to the *C. tremulae* larval midgut physiological functions.

	EC number	Total numb of contigs
Plant/fungi cell wall degradation		
Cellulase	3.2.1.4	2
Cellulose 1,4-β-cellobiosidase	3.2.1.91	6
Endopolygalacturonase (pectinase)	3.2.1.15	37
Endo-β-1,3-glucanase	3.2.1.39	2
Bt Cry3 toxin putative binding partners		
Cadherin-like protein	-	6
Aminopeptidase N	3.4.11.2	11
Alkaline phosphatase	3.1.3.1	1
Peritrophic membrane biosynthesis, degrad	dation and remodeling	
Chitinase	3.2.1.14	36
Chitin synthase	2.4.1.16	7
Chitin deacetylase	3.1.5.41	13
Peritrophin (mucin)	-	7
General digestion		
Cysteine proteinase all types	-	129
Serine proteinase all types	-	69
Aminopeptidase all types	-	33
Carboxypeptidase all types	-	25
Dipeptidylpeptidase all types	-	3
Lipase	3.1.1.3	17
α-amylase	3.2.1.1	3
α-glucosidase (maltase)	3.2.1.20	10
β-glucosidase	3.2.1.21	22

deacetylase differing by eight SNPs resulting in only two amino acid changes. These two isoforms are putatively two alleles of the same chitin deacetylase gene. Phylogenetic comparison with chitin deacetylases from a range of different insects (Fig. 6), shows that these belong to the midgut-specific Group V, according to the classification described by Dixit et al. (2008), with the closest relative being chitin deacetylase 6 from T. castaneum. A full amino acid alignment of the putative catalytic domains used to derive the phylogeny is shown in the Supplementary materials (Fig. S1). A total of 13 contigs, corresponding to the two alleles described above, and also to homologs of T. castaneum chitin deacetylase 2 and 5 were found in the 454 data (Table 3).

3.5. Identification of new beetle gene families

After searching C. tremulae contigs using BLASTx against the T. castaneum gene objects (16,404 entries) (Richards et al., 2008), 12,897 positive hits were returned corresponding to 5489 (33.5%) unique T. castaneum gene objects. Contigs returning below cut-off BLASTx results were re-searched against a non-redundant arthropod database returning a further 428 positive hits. A large portion of these contigs that did not hit any Tribolium predicted gene objects were identified as putative plant tissue degrading enzymes such as cellulases (EC:3.2.1.4) and endopolygalacturonases (EC:3.2.1.15).

To determine if such genes are common in other Coleoptera. although absent from T. castaneum, we used the predicted proteins

Ctre_contig2: Dvir_cadheri Tcas_cadheri Tmol_cadheri	n-like 1574 n-like 1521	IGVSVVLGALCLILLIAFIIRTRALNORIOKLSNTKF-GSOESGLNROGLA	50 1623 1570 1568
Ctre_contig2: Dvir_cadheri Tcas_cadheri Tmol_cadheri	n-like 51 n-like 51	APTTNKHAIEGSNPVFNNYGIKKTKDMGDFDVRSTISGDSDLIGVEENPEF APTTNKHAIEGTNPVYNNNEIKKPKNMDFDTHSIRSGDSDIVGIENPEF APTTNKHAIEGSNPVYNNEVDTKEVDRRSVTSGDSDLIGVEDDEKF APTTNKHA <mark>I</mark> EGSNPV <mark>SITKSTPKDIDRTSVT</mark> SGDSDLIGVEDDEKF	101 1674 1617 1614
Ctre_contig2: Dvir_cadherin Tcas_cadherin Tmol cadherin	n-like 1675 n-like 1618	DYSFDKKQDNNNMTTYL DYNFNTNEDKTTYL NYD-NTKEAFD DFSYPTKDDQFE	118 1688 1626 1626

Fig. 4. Amino acid alignment of known coleopteran cadherin-like genes with C. tremulae contig 2921, showing part of the predicted transmembrane spanning repeats. Sequences were aligned using the MAFFT multiple alignment program and identical residues are boxed with dark shading, and conserved residues boxed with light shading. Sequences are from Diabrotica virgifera (Dvir, AAV88529.2), Tribolium castaneum (Tcas, XP_971388.2), Tenebrio molitor (Tmol, ABL86001.1) and C. tremulae (Ctre, contig_2921).

160 170 180 190 200		490 500 510 5:
GCACGAGACGACGAAGAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGA	.GAAAATTGAGTATATATATATATATATAtTTGTGGCTTATTATATAGGT	AAGAAAATTAGAGtatataTATATATATATATATATATAT
GCACGAGACGAC		AAGAAAATTAGAGTATATATATATATATATATATATATA
GCACGAGACGACGAAGAG	.GAAAATTGAGTATATATATA	AAGAAAATTAGAGTATATATATATATATATATATA
GCACGAGACGACGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAG	.GAAAATTGAGTATATATATATATA	AAGAAAATTAGAGTATATATATATATATATATATATATA
GCACGAGACGACGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAG	.GAAAATTGAGTATATATATATATATATATATAT	
GCACGAGACGACGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAG	.GAAAATTGAGTATATATATATATATATATATATATGTGGCTTATTATATAGGT	
GCACGAGACGACGAAGAGAAGAGGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGA	.GAAAATTGAGTATATATATATATATATATATATATGTGGCTTATTATATAGGT	
GCACGAGACGACGAAGAGAAGAGGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGA	.GAAAATTGAGTATATATATATATATATATATATATGTGGCTTATTATATAGGT	
GCACGAGACGACGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAG	.GAAAATTGAGTATATATATATATATATATATATATGTGGCTTATTATATAGGT	
GCACGAGACGACGAAGAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGA	.GAAAATTGAGTATATATATATATATATATATATATGTGGCTTATTATATAGGT	
GCACGGGACGACGAAGAGAGAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAA	.GAAAATTGAGTATATATATATATATATATATATATGTGGCTTATTATATAGGT	
GCACGGGACGACGAAGAGAGAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAA	.GAAAATTGAGTATATATATATATATATATATTTGTGG	
GCACGGGACGACGAAGAGAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGA	.GAAAATTGAGTATATATATATATATATATTTGTGGCTTATTATATAGG	
GCACGGGACGACGAAGAGAGAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGA	.GAAAATTGAGTATATATATATATATATATAT-TTGTGGCTTATTATATAGGT	
	.GAAAATTGAGTATATATATATATATATATTTGTGGCTTATTATA	
	.GAAAATTGAGTATATATATATATATATATTTGTGGCTTATTATATAGG	
	.GAAAATTGAGTATATATATATATATATATTTGTGGCTTATTATATAGGT	
	.GAAAATTGAGTATATATATATATATATATATTTGTGGCTTATTATATAGGT	
	.GAAAATTGAGTATATATATATATATATATTTGTGGCTTATTATATAGGT	
	.GAAAATTGAGTATATATATATATATATATTTGTGGCTTATTATATAGGT	
	.GAAAATTGAGTATATATATATATATATAT———TTGTGGCTTATTATATAGGT	
	.GAAAATTGAGTATATATATATATATATATTTGTGGCTTATTATATAGGT	
	.GAAAATTGAGTATATATATATATATATATTTGTGGCTTATTATATAGGT	
	.GAAAATTGAGTATATATATATATATATTTGTGGCTTATTATATAGGT	

Fig. 5. Polymorphic microsatellite repeats found in the C. tremulae 454 dataset. (A) (GAA)_n found in the 5'-UTR of a putative sodium-dependent phosphate transporter transcript. (B) $(TA)_n$ from the 3'-UTR of a predicted protein transcript. (C) $(TA)_n$ from the CDS of a putative fumarylacetoacetate hydrolase transcript.

ARTICLE IN PRESS

IB2039_proof ■ 16 April 2009 ■ 7/11

Y. Pauchet et al. / Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology xxx (2009) 1-11

7			
	6		
	6		
		3 1	
77	6 6	4	
7			
7			
		8	
		9	
		0	
7	7	1	
7			
7			
		4	
7			
7 7		6 7	
		/ 8	
		9	
		0	
7	8	1	
7	8	2	
		3	
		4	
	8		
7			
7		8	
		。 9	
7			
7			
7			
7	9	3	
		4	
		5	
7			
	9		
	9 9		
/ 8			
8			
8			
8	0	3	
8	0	4	
8			
8	0	6	
8	0 c	7	
8 8			
8 8			
8 8			
8		2	
8		_	
		4	
8	1	5	
8	1	6	
0	1	-	




Fig. 6. Phylogenetic analysis of putative chitin deacetylases from different insects. Phylogenetic analysis was conducted in MEGA4.0 using the UPGMA method and the percentage of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test (1000 replicates) is shown next to the branches. Positions containing alignment gaps and missing data were eliminated only in pairwise sequence comparisons (Pairwise deletion option). ChBD: Chitin-binding domain; LDL: Low density lipoprotein domain; CDA: Chitin deacetylase domain. *Tribolium castaneum* (Tcas), *Apis mellifera* (Amel), *Anopheles gambiae* (Agam), *Helicoverpa armigera* (Harm), *Bombyx mori* (Bmor), *Drosophila melanogaster* (Dmel), *Trichoplusia ni* (Tni), *Mamestra configurata* (Mcon), *C. tremulae* (Ctre). Note that the alignment of the CDA domains used in this phylogeny is provided as a Supplementary material (Fig. S1).

of the corresponding *C. tremulae* contigs to search public coleopteran EST collections present in the Genbank db_est database. We retrieved 130 ESTs corresponding to cellulases that clustered into 20 unique contigs, and 29 ESTs corresponding to pectinases that clustered into 18 unique contigs. A further three coleopteran cellulase sequences were retrieved from Genbank together with two endopolygalacturonase sequences. All these genes were found in coleopteran species feeding on fresh plant tissues such as the pine engraver *Ips pini*, the western corn rootworm *D. virgifera*, the Colorado potato beetle *Leptinotarsa decemlineata*, the coffee berry borer *Hypothenemus hampei*, the mustard beetle *Phaedon cochleariae* and the citrus root weevil *Diaprepes abbreviatus*. These sequence data are summarized in Table S3.

The SignalP software predicted the presence of a putative signal peptide in all the cellulase protein sequences that appeared to be full-length CDS. An alignment of all these sequences is provided as **Supplementary material** (Fig. S2). In addition, an InterProScan search predicted a glycoside hydrolase family 45 (GH45) conserved domain (IPR000334) in every sequence. The active site consensus

pattern for GH45 ([STA]-T-R-Y-[FYW]-D-x(5)-[CA]) is also conserved in all sequences (Fig. 7A). As many as six different cellulase sequences could be found in some species such as *l. pini*, but only two contigs were present in the *C. tremulae* 454 data (Fig. 7A). A phylogenetic analysis suggested the presence of at least five sub-classes in this gene family (Fig. 7B).

Thirty-seven contigs corresponding to putative endopolygalacturonase genes were found in the *C. tremulae* dataset suggesting a highly diverse gene family. An alignment of the predicted proteins deduced from the 11 longest contigs together with the sequence found in the abundant 1.3 kb band (Pect-1, Table 4) is shown in Fig. 8A. All the *C. tremulae* sequences as well as the other coleopteran ones share a glycoside hydrolase family 28 (GH28) conserved domain (IPR000743). The InterProScan search did not hit the PRO-SITE consensus pattern of GH28 proteins (PS00502) which is [GSDENKRH]-x(2)-[VMFC]-x(2)-[GS]-H-G-[LIVMAG]-x(1,2)-[LIVM]-G-S. This is because the active site consensus pattern of the coleopteran endopolygalacturonases is slightly different, we deduced it to be [SDENKRQT]-x(3)-C-x-G-[GS]-H-G-[LF]-x(3)-[IVTS]-G-x-S.

Agermari 2 Ctremulae 1 Ctremulae 2 Dabbreviatus 3 Dabbreviatus 4 Dvirgifera 1 Dvirgifera 2 Dvirgifera 3 Dvirgifera 4 Hhampei 1 Ipini 1 Ipini 2 Ipini 3 Ipini 5 Pcochleariae 1	1DOPYM 1DHGSEI 1DHGSEI 1DPQV 1	LPRCC I I I I XXKC I I I FVPE V S I FVPE V S I LAVEN I S I AVEN V S I LIEN F2 TC S S VIEN F2 TC S VIEN F2 T	S ADHUTYTKINAT T T A PCHVDYKS R S KE VGTLD A G S KE LVGTTKTT R A A KE IK-TPDME TAT A AD VN-TPDKC LK A AD VN-TPDKC LK G G DDTTIG KA A KE VGDLD V A VE LP-EGKEN R A VE LP-EGKEN R	AARCV_TL-NASVH AKR_VKTC-DIEDCSCT DRS_VNRV-DANAKCC- ATCV_VV-NASVCC- RVD-BAVA-PPNDSC- KANIIPT-NPDAYCC- SKCVSELTNASVSCC- QADCV_VD-DGNTCC- AKCV_VV-NASVHSCC- DICDTV-SPSICSSC- AKCV_VT-NASVHSCC- AKCV_TI-DIEQCTCY AKCV_TI-DIEQCTCY	2DGS S T SNFI -ESD R I TD _GGPAI -DDG T VNNL WA JGONI VNNL WA JGGNI WNN S -EGG SA MONS WA WNNS WA WNNS WA WNNS WA WNNS WA	FS U T VIN-SK I I N IS W T E Y-HK A V SIA G SIX V Y-SI A V SIA G SIX V Y-SI A V SIA G SIX V Y-SI A V UC AV S V N-N S M US AS S I T-SM S M O'YO A YII PSTINF A I F D'S D V T A M T F D'LA V T T N S S M EXUS V T T N S S M LL V S Y V S S M N S M
Agermari_1 Agermari_2 Ctremulae_1 Ctremulae_2 Dabbreviatus_3 Dabbreviatus_4 Dvirgifera_1 Dvirgifera_2 Dvirgifera_3 Dvirgifera_4 Hhampei_1 Ipini_1 Ipini_2 Ipini_3 Ipini_5 Pcochleariae1	106 T.QDALCERTMV OV 108 K.QGDALCERTMV OV 107 T.QKDE KDIKML OV 95 T.TNALSGETFT OV 100 N.KGKISGESLAT 112 S.KDQINKIKUL F 116 N.EDQINKIKUL F 116 N.EDQINKIKUL F 116 N.EDQINKIKUL F 116 N.EDQINKIKUL F 117 T.DGGWEDCA: QMI OL 96 T.T	III III IIII IIII IIIII IIII IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	IFIK SE GT - SN LYPY AT C DES YTTO TS HS-DVSN IFIR ST N - VC IFIR ST N - ST S DIPE HD N - WT IFIL ST DE YTE ST DE IFIL ST	ER SSESC SQ ER SSAE SQ C SEAE SQ D REEAD YN DISSE SEA SE DISSE SEAE SQ DISSE SSE SE DI HRE NE SSES SSE SQ SSE SSE SQ	KW WDC KE EWMKGVS TOLA CHW GWYGNAD SE PP CHW GWYEGVD GALSS YE DPYQNAN EVIC PC KW TFMEGVS EL C KF DPYNAN AD PP KF WYLNGS EL S HF GWYENADX EVI PC RW WEMEGVED EL AS GW INNL-GVD	N TE SCISSIVAS NYSAS NYSAS N TE Y. ECISSIVATIOY OY OY SVE.ECISSIVATIOY OY OY N TE Y. ECISSIVATIOY OY OY N TE Y. ECISSIVATIOY ONNE OY D YEIES OULSIS V OY MXEDO OXEASIVAS LOSINNIII OY OY SGSLOXIVO DI XK OY OY VAHYO VCOSIVDIS KMD
3		Dvirgife Dvirgife Dvirgife Dvirgife Dvirgife Dvirgife Hhamp	ll-3 eariae cell-1 ra cell-2 ra cell-1 ni cell-2 ni cell-1			
	57	Dvirgife	II-4 viatus cell-4 ra cell-4			

without their predicted signal peptide were aligned using MAFFT multiple alignment program and identical residues are boxed with dark shading, and conserved residues boxed with light shading. The predicted active site consensus pattern is underlined. (B) Phylogenetic analysis was conducted in MEGA4.0 using the UPGMA method and the percentage of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test (1000 replicates) is shown next to the branches. Positions containing alignment gaps and missing data were eliminated only in pairwise sequence comparisons (Pairwise deletion option). Details on how these sequences were obtained are given as Supplementary materials (Table S3).

ARTICLE IN PRESS

9

1140

1141

1142

1143

Y. Pauchet et al. / Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology xxx (2009) 1-11

A Pect-01 1 Pect-02 1 Pect-03 1 Pect-04 1 Pect-05 1 Pect-06 1 Pect-07 1 Pect-09 1 Pect-09 1 Pect-10 1 Pect-11	1
Pect-12 Pect-01 134 Pect-02 124 Pect-03 130 Pect-04 123 Pect-05 142 Pect-06 70 Pect-08 156 Pect-09 143 Pect-10 143	4 KASG SVLTGLHVLKO ROVSVNSCHVILENNIV VSA DKNKL- HUDGFDISSTSNLLIENSVVK OD VAVN-KAQHVTISKLOS GUELSLSV MSKTSAEA VVKDVHEVDCTVTNSDN HIT HUSDFAH TIDNVSIK HLLSGIAKE 29 4 EVPGKSV RN KLLICHH YVGKSDG VENHTINSA DLHHFT HUDGFDISSTSNLLIENSVVK OD VAVN-KAQHVTISKLOS GUELSLSV MSKTSAEA VVKDVHEVDCTVTNSDN HIT HUDSDKA HITDOYL LVKNIT RUDKNDINY 28 6 KARE SKIRN NLLICHH YVGKSDG VENHTINSA DKNNL- HUDGFDISTSNLLIENSVVK OD VAVN-KAQHVTISKLOS GUELSLSV MSKTSAEA VVKDVHEVDCTVTNSDN HIT HUDSDKA HITDOYL LVKNIT RUDKNDINY 28 3 DVT- GLED FLICHHVII SSTD- ILDRITV VSO UNINL- HUDGFDISTGGULEDDIVK ODD VAVN-KAGHVTISKLOS IS VIED SLSV SIN KULKURVNUTETNSVVASSENI I VIHTOYL BINNIT KULHTNGCI ILDRITV 21 INVK GT TN NIK CV VENAMKOD- HE GYNILLOF FNSL- BALL GROFBHANNVERSKIT ODD VALGSSNAVVRUM WSU SICKSSS KXT-SDRN DVXXITYEDSSIGSSIG IV 11 PT-GFI ILDRITV VOOT INN VIED SLUGSSNAVVRUM WSU SICKSSS KXT-SDRN DVXXITYEDSSIGSSIG IV 11 PT-GFI ILDRITVR OTTDYTR 29 0 VNVN GI KN NIK CV VENAMKOD- HE GYNILLOF FNSL- BALL GROFBHANNVERSKIT ODD VALGSSNAVVRUM WSU SICKSSS KXT-SDRN DVXXITYEDSSIGSSIG IV 11 PT-GFI ILDRITVR OTTDYTR 29 0 VNVN GI KN NIK CV 14 VYGND- HE GYNILLOF FNSL- AFL MARFBISNIV CONVINCI- CSSNAXIRWH WSU SICKSSS KXT-SDRN DVXXITYEDSSIGSIG IV 11 PT-GFI ILDRITVR OTTDYTR 29 0 KATE SH KN NILLCVH TS WRSGFH L GNNX VSA DKCNK- HI XXEDXXONRTI
Pect-01 292 Pect-02 283 Pect-03 287 Pect-04 279 Pect-05 299 Pect-06 226 Pect-07 Pect-08 313 Pect-09 Pect-10 Pect-11 94	2 GVNIQODYENGHSTGKPKNNIPITGLSITGVSGSM-TGSNSKAVTILGASGGSGWN-GVSISGAKKANSCTHOPSNVKC- 3 GINVQDDYCNGSSTGTFTSNIPIEALNIYNVTASACKGSKAWGYYIICGEDSGRWNTWSGIHPEGAAKKDSGNFSBCGYKGR 36
B Ctremulae_Pect-1 Dabbreviatus_1 Dabbreviatus_2 Dabbreviatus_3 Dabbreviatus_4	1 MFSLRLFSVIATAFAAVSALPTONETLSLGGSCTIKEFSQVSSVISSCTNIVISGLSVPCGKTLDLKL-KKGTTLTFEEKTVFGVAHNDGPLLQISEKITVKASSSVLDCOAKYDEKGDGV-KKKTVFVVASGSVLTCLHV 14 1
Dvirgifera_1 Dvirgifera_2 Dvirgifera_3 Hhampei_1 Hhampe1_2 Ipini_1 Ipini_2	1
Ipini_3 Ipini_4 Ldecemlineata_1 Ldecemlineata_2 Ldecemlineata_3 Pcochleariae_Pect Soryzae_Pect-1	1 IVLRYIAASLALLCIIQGAL
Ctremulae_Pect-1 Dabbreviatus_1 Dabbreviatus_3 Dabbreviatus_3 Dabbreviatus_4 Dvirgifera_1 Dvirgifera_2	147 LNC RC VSVNSCDHVIJSNNNV VSA DKNKLGH TUGELISSTSNLLIENSVVK NODE VAV KAOHVIJSKLO SGGHGLSLSVGMSKTSAEANVVKDHFVDCTVTNSDNGHHKT-HSDGAHGTIDNVSKNIHLSGIAKF 29 22 KNC BE VAIDSSNLVVONFLIDNKA APGTAAAGKEGH TUGELVAKTQYLTIKNSVVYNODE VAI NGAHMLIDHVN DGSHGFDIATGMSTSDQNLNIVNNITFOHSQLYHGMYGLHLIZ-CSDGGGVVKDITYNNITFSGPSDY 171 132 KICHNNI IMHISS-DLIVSINILI NKO YPAKAG-TKYAK, TUGE VGSTNVMVKSSIIF FODV VAI GSINLEDHLM 211 145 LICHNNI IMHISS-DLIVSINILI NKO YPAKAG-TKYAK, TUGE VGSTNVMVKSSIIF FODV VAI GSINLEDHLM 211 145 LICHNNI INI NSA EDVNAKGHTUGET USSSGTVQHSVKLODE VAI GSINLEDHLM 211 145 LICHNNI INI NSA EDVNAKGHTUGET USSSGTVQHSVKLODE VAI GSINLEDHLM 211 145 LICHNNI INI NSA EDVNAKGHTUGET USSSGTVQHSVKLODE VAI GGHLVESGGGGLSLSVGSSASGSVNTVMNTESDSTVMKS 211 146 LICHNNI INI VSA EDVNAKGHTUGET USSSGTVQHSVKLODE VAI GGHLVESGGGHLSLSGGSASGSVNTVMNTESDSTVMKS 211 15 JUNC HONSINSADVISNINI VSA EDVNAKGHTUGET USSSGTVDHEXCUUSSUNG VAI GGHLSLSGGHLSSGKND-YELNTINNTENSI VANSKALIHKT HVNNKKGEITSI YDNIKLIGISTY 100 16 JUNC HONSIONXAULISNNII VSA EDKNXLGHTVZ JUNC HONSIONXAULISNNII VSA EDKNXLGHTVZ 115550XVNFEXCUUSSASGSNTVKNNTENSKNYACNITENSINKNIINKING
Dvirgifera_3 Hhampei_1 Hhampei_2 Ipini_1 Ipini_2 Ipini_3 Ipini_4 Ldecemlineata_2	131 LOG HOUSINSSIN LISSINGLINGTIC REGISTION OF LISSING AND THE OWNER OW
Ldecemlineata_3 Pcochleariae_Pect- Soryzae_Pect-1 Ctremulae_Pect-1 Dabbreviatus_1	-1 143 LNCTVOVHSIDHSGPLTLSGNNITVSCTDKDALGH, TDGF INTTDULTIEDTVKNODDCIAV, GOTNFLENNLD, SGGHGLSLSVGTSHE-IIKNTVRNVTESNSVVRKSRNGHIKTTYQFRGRYPWRMLTYSNIAMEGIWKY 287 141 KICHOCVSISHSSSNTLSGNNITVSADSDALGH, TDGF INTTDULTIEDTVKNODDCIAV, GOTNFLENNLD, SGGHGLSLSVGTSHE-IIKNTVRNVTESNSVVRKSRNGHIKTTYQFRGRYPWRMLTYSNIAMEGIWKY 287 292 GVNIQOPENGHSTGKFKNIPITGLSITGVSGSMTG-BNSKAVYILCASGCSGNW-GVSISGAKKANSTHOESNKC 370 172 GTMVQOPENG-OCGSTREVCONVPTYNNNISTGSMG-GYSLSLARGSGSGINNNW/VICTGAKSANEC/MINHIGGWC 257
Dabbreviatus_2 Dabbreviatus_3 Dabbreviatus_4 Dvirgifera 1	31 GINVOEDYKDGGSTĞNPKCNIPITNIALHYVYGSNSGGSAMPUYILGSSGGCNNPPRSGIDITNGKKSNQCNFSPSGFSC 111 110 AINVOEDYINDGPTGHPLGNIPVKDLKIHNVYGTMTG-SNSVKAYILGSSGGCTNNNWSEINVSGAAKPNSCNFTPNGFSC 189
Dvirgifera_2	283 GINIQENYQNLPANSTFPS
	35 GIN QCDIENGSSTGTEKCNIFT FINAKISSI GESSGSSSTV TICOSGGSSSTV SSUSITINSVKSNOCHT FISGT 1 17. 281 GIN QCDIENGSSTGTEKCNIFT FINAKISSI GESSGSSSTV SSUSITINSVKSNOCHT FISGT 1 36. 102 GIN QCOYERG-SSTGTEKCNIFT FINAKISSI GESSGSSSTV SSUSITINSVKSNOCHT FISGT 1 37. 102 GIN QCOYERG-SSTGTEKCNIFT FINAKISSI GESSSTV SSUSITINSVKSNOCHT FISGT 1 37.

Fig. 8. Amino acid alignment of putative *C. tremulae* and other coleopteran endopolygalacturonases. Sequences were aligned using the MAFFT multiple alignment program and identical residues are boxed with dark shading, and conserved residues boxed with light shading. The predicted active site consensus pattern is underlined. Details on how these sequences were obtained are given as Supplementary materials (Table S3).

4. Discussion

1075

1076

1077

1078

1079

1080

1081

1082

1083

1084

Pyrosequencing looks set to dramatically increase the frequency and depth of EST collections for specific insect tissues and non-Drosophilid insects as a whole. Such datasets not only provide extensive nucleotide sequence data for insects lacking genomic data but also provide a plethora of genetic markers, such as microsatellites (Fig. 5), that can be used to derive high density linkage maps for mapping traits of biological relevance. In this fashion 454 sequencing can rapidly promote both sequence data and genetic markers in species for which no previous sequence data is available. For example, 454-mediated sequencing of cDNA from immune

1150 related *M. sexta* tissues has already dramatically increased the 1151 number of ESTs available (Zou et al., 2008) and sequencing of other 1152 Manduca tissues, such as the midgut, will give us the first overview 1153 of a complete insect transcriptome from this physiological model 1154 which currently lacks any genomic framework. To our knowledge, 1155 the data described here is the first publication of a 454 derived 1156 insect midgut EST collection, and this dataset will also dramatically 1157 increase the number of midgut ESTs from collections previously 1158 derived via conventional capillary sequencing, such as those from 1159 the corn rootworm D. virgifera (Siegfried et al., 2005), the grass grub 1160 beetle Costelytra zealandica (Marshall et al., 2008) or the pine 1161 engraver I. pini (Eigenheer et al., 2003). Thus pyrosequencing of 1162 non-model insects is a dramatic and rapid way of providing 1163 genomic and transcriptomic resources in an organism where none 1164 are previously available. For example, with this publication, for 1165 *C. tremulae* the number of nucleotide sequences (reported contigs) 1166 has risen instantaneously from 8 (Genbank, 1st of February 2009) to 1167 over 23,000 (Table 1). When systematically applied to different 1168 tissues of insect models pyrosequencing will similarly dramatically 1169 increase resources available for all non-Drosophilids.

1170 With specific reference to the midgut ESTs for C. tremulae 1171 described here, many of these predict proteins with functions 1172 expected for the midgut with likely roles in digestion, xenobiotic 1173 metabolism or immunity, whereas many either show unexpected 1174 functions or no similarity to predicted proteins in current databases. 1175 Expected roles in the midgut physiology of a leaf eating beetle involve 1176 destruction of the plant cell wall, thus the dominance of cellulases, 1177 pectinases and glucanases. However, what is perhaps more inter-1178 esting is the absence of these predicted proteins from the T. casta-1179 neum genome. This predicted difference in the midgut enzyme 1180 composition of beetles feeding on stored products (T. castaneum) and 1181 fresh leaves (C. tremulae), begins to illustrate the potential power of 1182 comparing such datasets between insects with different lifestyles. 1183 We also note that enzymes degrading plant cell walls are of consid-1184 erable current interest in biotechnology for the degradation of plant 1185 material for use in biofuels. 454-mediated sequencing of insect 1186 midguts, or salivary glands, may therefore be a powerful new way of 1187 finding enzymes important for plant biotechnology.

1188 One of the central aims of this EST project was to identify contigs 1189 encoding candidate Bt receptors to use as probes in a dissection of 1190 the molecular basis of Cry3A resistance in C. tremulae. Contigs 1191 encoding three clear candidate Bt receptors were identified. First, 1192 a cadherin-like protein showing strong similarity to cadherin-like 1193 proteins from three other beetles, T. castaneum, Tenebrio molitor 1194 and D. virgifera. Second, eleven contigs encoding proteins similar to 1195 aminopeptidase N. Third, a contig encoding an alkaline phospha-1196 tase. However no contigs encoding ADAM10-like metalloproteases, recently reported as involved in the processing of Cry3A in Colo-1197 1198 rado potato beetle (Ochoa-Campuzano et al., 2007), were found.

1199 Another expected function for proteins in the insect midgut is 1200 remodeling of peritrophic membrane (Table 3). The peritrophic 1201 membrane (PM) separates the food bolus in the insect midgut, in 1202 this case poplar leaves, from the midgut epithelium and associated 1203 brush border membrane. This membrane is composed of chitin and 1204 proteins and forms a permeable barrier that protects the midgut 1205 epithelium from erosion by the food bolus whilst allowing for the 1206 passage of enzymes and solutes involved in extracellular digestion 1207 in the gut (Caldeira et al., 2007). The PM requires continuous repair 1208 and replacement and it is therefore interesting to note dominance 1209 of enzymes involved in the binding (peritrophins, seven contigs), 1210 breakdown (chitinases, 36 contigs) and remodeling (chitin syn-1211 thase, seven contigs, and chitin deacetylase, 13 contigs) of chitin 1212 (Table 3), suggesting that maintenance and remodeling of chitin in 1213 the PM occupies a major part of the C. tremulae transcriptional 1214 midgut machinery. It is interesting to note that a member of the

group V chitin deacetylase was the most abundant transcript recovered from the *C. tremulae* non-normalized midgut cDNAs. This group of putative enzymes has attracted significant recent attention in several lepidopteran species (Campbell et al., 2008; Guo et al., 2005; Pauchet et al., 2008; Toprak et al., 2008), however their role in midgut physiology remains unclear.

As well as forming the major organ for processing of insect food, the midgut also forms the first line of defense against ingested xenobiotics and micro-organisms, the midgut therefore also plays a critical role in xenobiotic metabolism and insect immunity. With respect to xenobiotic metabolism, cytochrome P450s (25 hits) and glutathione-S-transferases (34 hits) are highly represented in the InterPro families detected (Table 2) and as full sequences for these gene families appear it will be interesting to compare the full complement of P450 and GST genes present in this leaf feeding beetle with that found in the grain feeding *T. castaneum*. For example, given that *C. tremulae* specializes in a single species of plant we might expect the range of enzymes required to metabolize secondary plant compounds to be reduced and somewhat specialized in their role.

In conclusion, here we have shown that 454-mediated pyrosequencing is a rapid and dramatic way of increasing the size and depth of insect midgut EST collections. Many of the predicted enzymes are currently only reported in the leaf beetle C. tremulae, sequenced here. Indeed some of the enzymes recovered are the first insect representatives. For example, the cellulose cellobiosidases (Table 3) appear to only have representatives from yeast, fungi and bacteria in current sequence databases. The approach taken here, of normalizing cDNAs from a specific insect tissue, attempts to define all of the unique transcripts associated with a tissue, often termed an 'unigene set'. We note, however, that cDNA shearing associated with preparation of the sample for 454 sequencing may introduce an element of bias resulting in uneven sequence coverage of representative cDNAs. However, the depth of EST coverage generated by 454 sequencing far outweighs that generated in conventional capillary led projects. 454 sequencing of other insect tissues will therefore not only increase the depth of existing capillary generated EST collections but will also identify new insect representatives for different enzymes and receptors. Further comparison of such datasets in insects with different lifestyles and food sources will begin to tell us how different insects exploit their different niches.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Marcel Amichot for fruitful discussion, Claudine Courtin for insect rearing and Philippe Lorme for greenhouse plant production. Supported by a BBSRC grant to R. ff-C. There is no conflict of interest.

Appendix. Supplementary material

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.ibmb.2009.04.001.

References

- Augustin, S., Courtin, C., Rejasse, A., Lorme, P., Genissel, A., Bourguet, D., 2004. Genetics of resistance to transgenic *Bacillus thuringiensis* poplars in *Chrysomela tremulae* (Coleoptera: *Chrysomelidae*). J. Econ. Entomol. 97, 1058–1064.
- Bowen, D., Rocheleau, T.A., Blackburn, M., Andreev, O., Golubeva, E., Bhartia, R., ffrench-Constant, R.H., 1998. Insecticidal toxins from the bacterium *Photo-rhabdus luminescens*. Science 280, 2129–2132.
- Buckingham, S.D., Biggin, P.C., Sattelle, B.M., Brown, L.A., Sattelle, D.B., 2005. Insect GABA receptors: splicing, editing, and targeting by antiparasitics and insecticides. Mol. Pharmacol. 68, 942–951.
- Caldeira, W., Dias, A.B., Terra, W.R., Ribeiro, A.F., 2007. Digestive enzyme compartmentalization and recycling and sites of absorption and secretion along the midgut of *Dermestes maculatus* (Coleoptera) larvae. Arch. Insect Biochem. Physiol. 64, 1–18.

1215

1216

1217

1218

1219

1220

1221

1222

1223

1224

1225

Y. Pauchet et al. / Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology xxx (2009) 1-11

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Campbell, P.M., Cao, A.T., Hines, E.R., East, P.D., Gordon, K.H., 2008. Proteomic analysis of the peritrophic matrix from the gut of the caterpillar, *Helicoverpa* armigera. Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol. 38, 950–958.

1280

1281

1282

1283

1284

1285

1286

1287

1288

1289

1290

1291

1292

1293

1294

1295

1296

1297

1298

1299

1300

1301

1302

1303

1304

1305

1306

1307

1308

1309

1310

1311

1312

1313

1314

1315

1316

1317

1318

1319

1320

1321

1322

1323

1324

1325

1326

1327

1328

1329

1330

1331 1332

- Conesa, A., Götz, S., 2008. Blast2GO: a comprehensive suite for functional analysis in plant genomics. Int. J. Plant Genomics 2008, 619832.
- Dixit, R., Arakane, Y., Specht, C.A., Richard, C., Kramer, K.J., Beeman, R.W., Muthukrishnan, S., 2008. Domain organization and phylogenetic analysis of proteins from the chitin deacetylase gene family of *Tribolium castaneum* and three other species of insects. Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol. 38, 440–451.
- Dong, K., 2007. Insect sodium channels and insecticide resistance. Invert. Neurosci. 7, 17–30.
- Eigenheer, A.L., Keeling, C.I., Young, S., Tittiger, C., 2003. Comparison of gene representation in midguts from two phytophagous insects, *Bombyx mori* and *Ips pini*, using expressed sequence tags. Gene 316, 127–136.
- Federici, B.A., Bauer, L.S., 1998. Cyt1Aa protein of *Bacillus thuringiensis* is toxic to the cottonwood leaf beetle, *Chrysomela scripta*, and suppresses high levels of resistance to Cry3Aa. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 64, 4368–4371.
- ffrench-Constant, R.H., Daborn, P.J., Le Goff, G., 2004. The genetics and genomics of insecticide resistance. Trends Genet. 20, 163–170.
- ffrench-Constant, R.H., Dowling, A., Waterfield, N.R., 2007. Insecticidal toxins from *Photorhabdus* bacteria and their potential use in agriculture. Toxicon 49, 436–451.
- Genissel, A., Augustin, S., Courtin, C., Pilate, G., Lorme, P., Bourguet, D., 2003a. Initial frequency of alleles conferring resistance to *Bacillus thuringiensis* poplar in a field population of *Chrysomela tremulae*. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. 270, 791–797.
- Genissel, A., Leple, J.C., Millet, N., Augustin, S., Jouanin, L., Pilate, G., 2003b. High tolerance against *Chrysomela tremulae* of transgenic poplar plants expressing a synthetic cry3Aa gene from *Bacillus thuringiensis* ssp *tenebrionis*. Mol. Breed. 11, 103–110.
- Gray, M.E., Sappington, T.W., Miller, N.J., Moeser, J., Bohn, M.O., 2009. Adaptation and invasiveness of western corn rootworm: intensifying research on a worsening pest. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 54, 303–321.
- Guo, W., Li, G., Pang, Y., Wang, P., 2005. A novel chitin-binding protein identified from the peritrophic membrane of the cabbage looper, *Trichoplusia ni*. Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol. 35, 1224–1234.
- Hunt, T., Bergsten, J., Levkanicova, Z., Papadopoulou, A., John, O.S., Wild, R., Hammond, P.M., Ahrens, D., Balke, M., Caterino, M.S., Gomez-Zurita, J., Ribera, I., Barraclough, T.G., Bocakova, M., Bocak, L., Vogler, A.P., 2007. A comprehensive phylogeny of beetles reveals the evolutionary origins of a superradiation. Science 318, 1913–1916.
- Margulies, M., Egholm, M., Altman, W.E., Attiya, S., Bader, J.S., Bemben, L.A., Berka, J., Braverman, M.S., Chen, Y.J., Chen, Z., Dewell, S.B., Du, L., Fierro, J.M., Gomes, X.V., Godwin, B.C., He, W., Helgesen, S., Ho, C.H., Irzyk, G.P., Jando, S.C., Alenquer, M.L., Jarvie, T.P., Jirage, K.B., Kim, J.B., Knight, J.R., Lanza, J.R., Leamon, J.H., Lefkowitz, S.M., Lei, M., Li, J., Lohman, K.L., Lu, H., Makhijani, V.B., McDade, K.E., McKenna, M.P., Myers, E.W., Nickerson, E., Nobile, J.R., Plant, R., Puc, B.P., Ronan, M.T., Roth, G.T., Sarkis, G.J., Simons, J.F., Simpson, J.W., Srinivasan, M., Tartaro, K.R., Tomasz, A., Vogt, K.A., Volkmer, G.A., Wang, S.H., Wang, Y., Weiner, M.P., Yu, P., Begley, R.F., Rothberg, J.M., 2005. Genome sequencing in microfabricated high-density picolitre reactors. Nature 437, 376–380.
 - Marshall, S.D., Gatehouse, L.N., Becher, S.A., Christeller, J.T., Gatehouse, H.S., Hurst, M.R., Boucias, D.G., Jackson, T.A., 2008. Serine proteases identified from a *Costelytra zealandica* (White) (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae) midgut EST library and their expression through insect development. Insect Mol. Biol. 17, 247–259.
 - Millar, N.S., Denholm, I., 2007. Nicotinic acetylcholine receptors: targets for commercially important insecticides. Invert. Neurosci. 7, 53–66.
 - Myhre, S., Tveit, H., Mollestad, T., Laegreid, A., 2006. Additional gene ontology structure for improved biological reasoning. Bioinformatics 22, 2020–2027.
 - Ochoa-Campuzano, C., Real, M.D., Martinez-Ramirez, A.C., Bravo, A., Rausell, C., 2007. An ADAM metalloprotease is a Cry3Aa Bacillus thuringiensis toxin receptor. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 362, 437–442.
 - Pauchet, Y., Muck, A., Svatos, A., Heckel, D.G., Preiss, S., 2008. Mapping the larval midgut lumen proteome of *Helicoverpa armigera*, a generalist herbivorous insect. J. Proteome Res. 7, 1629–1639.
 - Pigott, C.R., Ellar, D.J., 2007. Role of receptors in *Bacillus thuringiensis* crystal toxin activity. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 71, 255–281.

Raymond-Delpech, V., Matsuda, K., Sattelle, B.M., Rauh, J.J., Sattelle, D.B., 2005. Ion channels: 1333 molecular targets of neuroactive insecticides. Invert. Neurosci. 5, 119–133.

- 1334 Richards, S., Gibbs, R.A., Weinstock, G.M., Brown, S.J., Denell, R., Beeman, R.W., Gibbs, R., Beeman, R.W., Brown, S.J., Bucher, G., Friedrich, M., Grimmelikhuijzen, C.J., Klingler, M., Lorenzen, M., Richards, S., Roth, S., 1335 1336 Schroder, R., Tautz, D., Zdobnov, E.M., Muzny, D., Gibbs, R.A., Weinstock, G.M., 1337 Attaway, T., Bell, S., Buhay, C.J., Chandrabose, M.N., Chavez, D., Clerk-Blankenburg, K.P., Cree, A., Dao, M., Davis, C., Chacko, J., Dinh, H., Dugan-1338 Rocha, S., Fowler, G., Garner, T.T., Garnes, J., Gnirke, A., Hawes, A., Hernandez, J., Hines, S., Holder, M., Hume, J., Jhangiani, S.N., Joshi, V., Khan, Z.M., Jackson, L., 1339 1340 Kovar, C., Kowis, A., Lee, S., Lewis, L.R., Margolis, J., Morgan, M., Nazareth, L.V., Nguyen, N., Okwuonu, G., Parker, D., Richards, S., Ruiz, S.J., Santibanez, J., 1341 Savard, J., Scherer, S.E., Schneider, B., Sodergren, E., Tautz, D., Vattahil, S., Villasana, D., White, C.S., Wright, R., Park, Y., Beeman, R.W., Lord, J., Oppert, B., Lorenzen, M., Brown, S., Wang, L., Savard, J., Tautz, D., Richards, S., Weinstock, G., Gibbs, R.A., Liu, Y., Worley, K., Weinstock, G., Elsik, C.G., Reese, J.T., Elhaik, E., 1342 1343 1344 1345 Landan, G., Graur, D., Arensburger, P., Atkinson, P., Beeman, R.W., Beidler, J., Brown, S.J., Demuth, J.P., Drury, D.W., Du, Y.Z., Fujiwara, H., Lorenzen, M., 1346 Maselli, V., Osanai, M., Park, Y., Robertson, H.M., Tu, Z., Wang, J.J., Wang, S., Richards, S., Song, H., Zhang, L., Sodergren, E., Werner, D., Stanke, M., 1347 Morgenstern, B., Solovyev, V., Kosarev, P., Brown, G., Chen, H.C., Ermolaeva, O., Hlavina, W., Kapustin, Y., Kiryutin, B., Kitts, P., Maglott, D., Pruitt, K., Sapojnikov, V., Souvorov, A., Mackey, A.J., Waterhouse, R.M., Wyder, S., Zdobnov, E.M., Zdobnov, E.M., Wyder, S., Kriventseva, E.V., Kadowaki, T., Bork, P., 1348 1349 1350 1351 Aranda, M., Bao, R., Beermann, A., Berns, N., Bolognesi, R., Bonneton, F., Bopp, D., Brown, S.J., Bucher, G., Butts, T., Chaumot, A., Denell, R.E., Ferrier, D.E., 1352 Friedrich, M., Gordon, C.M., Jindra, M., Klingler, M., Lan, Q., Lattorff, H.M., 1353 Laudet, V., von Levetsow, C., Liu, Z., Lutz, R., Lynch, J.A., da Fonseca, R.N., Posnien, N., Reuter, R., Roth, S., Savard, J., Schinko, J.B., Schmitt, C., 1354 1355 Schoppmeier, M., Schroder, R., Shippy, T.D., Simonnet, F., Marques-Souza, H., Tautz, D., Tomoyasu, Y., Trauner, J., Van der Zee, M., Vervoort, M., Wittkopp, N., 1356 Wimmer, E.A., Yang, X., Jones, A.K., Sattelle, D.B., Ebert, P.R., Nelson, D., 1357 Scott, J.G., Beeman, R.W., Muthukrishnan, S., Kramer, K.J., Arakane, Y., Beeman, R.W., Zhu, Q., Hogenkamp, D., Dixit, R., Oppert, B., Jiang, H., Zou, Z., 1358 Marshall, J., Elpidina, E., Vinokurov, K., Oppert, C., Zou, Z., Evans, J., Lu, Z., 1359 Zhao, P., Sumathipala, N., Altincicek, B., Vilcinskas, A., Williams, M., 1360 Hultmark, D., Hetru, C., Jiang, H., Grimmelikhuijzen, C.J., Hauser, F., Cazzamali, G., Williamson, M., Park, Y., Li, B., Tanaka, Y., Predel, R., Neupert, S., 1361 Schachtner, J., Verleyen, P., Raible, F., Bork, P., Friedrich, M., Walden, K.K., 1362 Robertson, H.M., Angeli, S., Foret, S., Bucher, G., Schuetz, S., Maleszka, R., 1363 Wimmer, E.A., Beeman, R.W., Lorenzen, M., Tomoyasu, Y., Miller, S.C., Grossmann, D., Bucher, G., 2008. The genome of the model beetle and pest 1364 Tribolium castaneum. Nature 452, 949-955. 1365
- Sayed, A., Nekl, E.R., Siqueira, H.A., Wang, H.C., ffrench-Constant, R.H., Bagley, M., Siegfried, B.D., 2007. A novel cadherin-like gene from western corn rootworm, *Diabrotica virgifera virgifera* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae), larval midgut tissue. Insect Mol. Biol. 16, 591–600.
- Siegfried, B.D., Waterfield, N., ffrench-Constant, R.H., 2005. Expressed sequence tags from *Diabrotica virgifera virgifera* midgut identify a coleopteran cadherin and a diversity of cathepsins. Insect Mol. Biol. 14, 137–143.
- Soberon, M., Gill, S.S., Bravo, A., 2009. Signaling versus punching hole: how do *Bacillus thuringiensis* toxins kill insect midgut cells? Cell. Mol. Life Sci., doi:10.1007/s00018-008-8330-9.
- Tamura, K., Dudley, J., Nei, M., Kumar, S., 2007. MEGA4: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis (MEGA) software version 4.0. Mol. Biol. Evol. 24, 1596–1599.
- Toprak, U., Baldwin, D., Erlandson, M., Gillott, C., Hou, X., Coutu, C., Hegedus, D.D., 2008. A chitin deacetylase and putative insect intestinal lipases are components of the *Mamestra configurata* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) peritrophic matrix. Insect Mol. Biol. 17, 573–585.
- Zhulidov, P.A., Bogdanova, E.A., Shcheglov, A.S., Vagner, L.L., Khaspekov, G.L., Kozhemyako, V.B., Matz, M.V., Meleshkevitch, E., Moroz, L.L., Lukyanov, S.A., Shagin, D.A., 2004. Simple cDNA normalization using kamchatka crab duplexspecific nuclease. Nucleic Acids Res. 32, e37.
- Zou, Z., Najar, F., Wang, Y., Roe, B., Jiang, H., 2008. Pyrosequence analysis of expressed sequence tags for *Manduca sexta* hemolymph proteins involved in immune responses. Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol. 38, 677–682.

1383 1384

1366

1367

1368

1369

1370

1371

1372

1373

1374

1375

1376

1377

1378

1379

1380

1381

1382

1385

Please cite this article in press as: Pauchet, Y., et al., Pyrosequencing of the midgut transcriptome of the poplar leaf beetle Chrysomela..., Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology (2009), doi:10.1016/j.ibmb.2009.04.001

11